E ects of D estriping E rrors on E stim ates of the C M B Power Spectrum

G.Efstathiou

Institute of A stronom y, M adingley Road, C am bridge, C B 3 O H A.

20 M arch 2024

ABSTRACT

Destriping methods for constructing maps of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) anisotropies have been investigated extensively in the literature. However, their error properties have been studied in less detail. Here we present an analysis of the e ects of destriping errors on CMB power spectrum estimates for Planck-like scanning strategies. A nalytic form ulae are derived for certain simple scanning geometries that can be rescaled to account for di erent detector noise. A ssum ing Planck-like low-frequency noise, the noise power spectrum is accurately white at high multipoles (' > 50). Destriping errors, though dominant at low er multipoles, are small in comparison to the cosmic variance. These results show that simple destriping map-making methods should be perfectly adequate for the analysis of Planck data and support the arguments given in an earlier paper in favour of applying a fast hybrid power spectrum estimator to CMB data with realistic 1=f' noise.

K ey words: M ethods: data analysis, statistical; C osm ology: cosm ic m icrowave background, large-scale structure of U niverse

1 IN TRODUCTION

The problem of constructing a map of the CMB anisotropies from a set of time-ordered data (TOD) has been studied by many authors. The methods can be broadly divided into two classes: bptim al' methods that provide a least squares map making solution (e.g. W right 1996; W right et al. 1996; Tegm ark 1997a,b; Borrill et al. 2001; N atoli et al. 2001; D ore et al. 2001) and approximate testriping' methods (e.g. Burigana et al. 1997; D elabrouille 1998; M aino et al. 1999; R evenu et al. 2000; K eihanen et al. 2003). A brute force application of bptim al' methods requires the inversion of large matrices and is computationally impractical for large TODs such as those expected from W M AP and P lanck[?]. As a result, iterative algorithm s have been developed (e.g. W right et al. 1996; N atoli et al. 2001; D ore et al. 2001) which do not require matrix inversions. N evertheless, for P lanck-sized datasets, these iterative algorithm s are computationally expensive and require the use of supercomputers.

D estriping algorithm s are well suited to a P lanck-type scanning strategy in which the sky is scanned m any times on rings. The TOD can then be averaged on rings and the e ects of low frequency noise noise approxim ated by a constant o set for each ring. The o sets can be determined from the ring overlaps. D estriping algorithm s are conceptually simple and computationally fast. Even for P lanck-sized TODs it is practical to apply destriping m ap m aking m ethods on m any thousands of simulations to test the e ects of various system atic errors (see e.g. Poutanen et al. 2004).

The motivation for this paper is twofold. Firstly, although a number of authors have investigated destriping algorithms, alm ost all of this work has been num erical. A notable exception is the paper by Stom por and W hite (2004) who present an analysis of destriping errors for some simple scanning strategies. One of the aim s of this paper is to develop on the work of Stom por and W hite and to derive an analytic model of the e ects of destriping errors on the CMB power spectrum for P lanck-like scanning strategies. This is useful because it helps in developing an understanding of the map-making process and how the errors depend on the parameters of the experiment. This analysis also sheds light on the di erences between

? See the P lanck web-site http://www.rssd.esa.int/index.php?project=PLANCK.

2 G.Efstathiou

destriping and 'optim al' m ap-m aking m ethods. In particular, whether the extra computational cost and complexity of an optim alm ethod actually produces any signi cant im provem ent on simple destriping.

The second motivation for this paper follows from the need to compute estimates of the CMB power spectrum, C_{γ} , rapidly and acurately. In an earlier paper (E fstathiou 2004, hereafter E 04) a fast hybrid estimator was developed that combines a quadratic maximum likelihood estimator at low multipoles with a set of besudo- C_{γ} estimates at high multipoles with di erent pixel weightings. In E 04 this method was tested against numerical simulations that used a realistic scanning strategy for P lanck, but assumed uncorrelated white noise. In this approximation, the hybrid estimator was shown to be very close to optimal and, importantly, can provide an accurate estimate of the covariance matrix h C $\sim C_{\gamma}$ i. How ever, in a realistic experiment, striping errors will introduce correlations in the noise. The question then arises as to whether the hybrid estimator is applicable, for example, is there a natural angular scale below which the noise can be assumed white, and if so, what xes this scale?

Our goal, therefore, is to investigate the e ects of destriping errors on the CMB power spectrum for realistic scanning strategies and noise models. It should be emphasised that we do not attempt to develop a new map making technique, nor to investigate 'real world' complexities such as asymmetric beam s, positional errors or non-stationary noise, though we will comment on how some of these aspects may e ect our results.

2 OVERVIEW OF MAP MAKING WITH DESTRIPING

We denote the noise contribution to the TOD by n(t), which is considered to be a vector speci ed at integer values of the sampling frequency $t_{\text{sam }p}$, i.e. $n_i = n(it_{\text{sam }p})$, i = 1; ::: N. The discrete Fourier transform of the noise TOD is denoted n() and the power spectrum hn() $\frac{1}{2}i$ is assumed to be of the form

$$P_{n}() = \frac{\frac{2}{n}}{T_{max}} + \frac{knee}{r}; \quad \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{2t_{sam p}}; \quad (1)$$

where k_{nee} is the knee frequency and T is the total length of the time-stream. The variance of the noise contribution given the power spectrum (1) is

$$h_{jn}(t)_{ji} = \frac{1}{n} (1 + k_{nee} \ln (0.5T = t_{sam p}));$$
(2)

and thus n^2 xes the overall amplitude of the noise and is equal to the variance of white noise in the lim it k_{nee} ! 0. The actual TOD is the sum of the true sky signal s_p and the noise TOD

 $x_i = P_{ip}s_p + n_i;$

. .

where P_{ip} is a pointing matrix mapping a pixel p on the sky to the pixel i in the TOD. For a P lanck-type scanning strategy, the same circle on the sky is mapped N _{repoint} = 60 times before the satellite is repointed. We will denote the spin period by t_{epin} and the repointing time interval by $t_{repoint}$ ($N_{repoint}t_{spin}$). As explained in the introduction, the main aim of this paper is not to develop an optimal' map-making technique, but rather to gain an intuitive understanding of the error properties of simple destriping map making methods. We therefore assume perfect pointing and simply average the TOD on each scanning ring:

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k} = \mathbf{x}_{i+jN_{ringpix}+(k-1)N_{repoint}N_{ringpix}}^{N ringpix} \mathbf{t}_{pin} = \mathbf{t}_{sam p} \mathbf{:}$$

$$\mathbf{j} = 0$$
(4)

where N $_{ringpix}$ is the number of pixels withing a single ring pixel and x_i^k is the mean vaue of the TOD in ring pixel i of ring k. This simple averaging is the maximum likelihood solution for map making on a ring if the pointing is assumed to be perfect. It is possible to improve on (4) to account for imperfect pointing by solving the maximum likelihood equations to reconstruct a map from all scanning rings within a single pointing period (see, for example, van Leeuwen et al. 2002).

For the sinulations presented here, we adopt the parameters listed in Table 1 unless stated otherwise. Table 1 also serves as a sum mary of the notation used in this paper. The knee frequency in Table 1 has been chosen to be representative of the 70 GHz channel of the Planck Low Frequency Instrument (LFI) (see Tuovinen 2003). This is an interesting case because the knee frequency is about twice the spin frequency. The knee frequencies for the Planck High Frequency Instrument (HFI) should be smaller than the spin frequency. This case is less interesting because if $_{knee}$ spin, it is a very good approximation to model the low frequency noise as a constant o set in each ring. The noise level $_n$ for the simulations has been chosen so that the CMB power spectrum is noise dom inated at multipoles '> 300 (rather than to match the noise for any of the Planck detectors). The input CMB power spectrum, C , is that of the concordance CDM model favoured by W MAP (Spergel et al. 2003). As in E04, unless stated otherwise beam functions will not be written explicitly in equations, thus C will usually m ean C b_n^2 , where b is the spherical transform of a symmetric G aussian beam. The remaining parameters, such as the num ber of ring pixels, m ap pixel size etc were chosen so that large numbers of simulations could be run quickly.

(3)

sym bol	description	value
N _{ring}	num ber of rings	2160
N _{ringp ix}	number of pixels per ring	2160
N _{repoint}	num ber of rings per repointing	60
Т	length of TOD	7:78 M s
t _{repoint}	pointing period	3600 s
t _{sp in}	spin period	60 s
t _{sam p}	sam pling period	27 : 78 m s
sp in	spin frequency	16 : 67 m H z
knee	knee frequency	30 : 00 m H z
m ax	maximum frequency	18 : 00 H z
N	noise am plitude	1:36 m K
ring	ring width	10 arcm in
rin gp ix	size of ring pixel	10 arcm in
m ap	size ofmap pixel	15 arcm in
beam	beam width FW HM	30 arcm in
N _{m ap}	number of map pixels	659676
xi	signal+noise in TOD pixeli	
\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}	mean signal+noise in pixeli of ring k	
k	oset of ring k	
m p	map pixelp	

Table 1:N otation and param eters

The basic assumption behind destriping techniques is that low frequency drifts in the TOD can be accounted for by adding a constant o set $_{k}$ to each ring. The ring o sets can be determined by minimising

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ (k + x_{1}^{k} & 1 & x_{j}^{1})^{2} + & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

where the notation j i indicates that the ring pixels j and i overlap the same m ap pixel m_p . The second term in equation (5) is included to enforce the condition k = 0. The o sets are therefore given by the solution of the linear equations

There has been some discussion in the literature concerning the weighting of the term in the rst sum mation in equation (5). Equation (5) assigns equal weight to each overlapping pixel, as in M aino et al. (1999). Delabrouille (1998) assigns a weight $w = 1 = (n_p - 1)$, where n_p is the total hit count in map pixel p, while K eihanen et al. (2003) assign a weight $w = 1 = n_p$. For P lanck-like scanning strategies the di erences between destriping using these weight functions are much smaller than the striping errors them selves (see F igure 3 of K eihanen et al. 2003) and so the weight function will be set to unity throughout this paper.

Equations (6) can be solved by a matrix inversion and the solution is independent of the regularising parameter , provided it is chosen to be large enough. A lternatively, these equations can be solved by iteration by setting

$$_{k} \quad \frac{1}{N_{ok}} \underset{1j \quad i}{\overset{X}{\underset{1j \quad i}}} (x_{j}^{1} \quad x_{i}^{k});$$

$$(7)$$

adding the derived o sets to x_1^k and re-evaluating equation (7) until the o sets (7) converge to zero. In (7), N_{ok} is the number of pixels on all rings that overlap pixels on ring k. This algorithm is identical to the plate matching procedures applied to create galaxy catalogues from photographic data (G roth and Peebles 1986; M addox, E fstathiou and Sutherland 1996). If the number of overlaps per ring is large, then an accurate estimate of the variance of the o sets can be derived from the rst iteration of equation (7),

$$h_{k}^{2}i = \frac{(N_{oll^{0}} + N_{ok})}{N_{ok}^{2}} \frac{1}{N_{repoint}} = \frac{N_{oll^{0}} + N_{ok}}{N_{ok}^{2}} \frac{1}{N_{repoint}};$$
(8)

where $N_{oll^0 \ k}$ is the number of identical ring pixels sum med over all pairs of rings l, l^0 that overlap with ring k. In equation (7) we have assumed that the noise in each averaged ring pixel is white, with variance ${}^2_n = N_{repoint}$, which is accurate on a single ring even in the presence of 1=f noise unless knee spin. The second term on the right hand side of equation (8) applies for a P lanck-type scanning strategy for which N_{oll^0} k N_{ok} .



Figure 1. The correlation function of the ring o sets for two Planck-type scanning strategies: (a) for a bore-sight angle $_{\rm b}$ = 85 and spin axis aligned with the ecliptic plane; (b) for $_{\rm b}$ = 85 and with a slow sinusoidal precession of = 5 above and below the ecliptic as discussed in the text. The indices i and j refer to the ring number.

We consider simple P lanck-type scanning strategies with the spin axis either aligned with the ecliptic plane, or with a slow precession of 5 sin (2 $_{\rm e}$) about the ecliptic plane, where $_{\rm e}$ is the ecliptic longitude. The sky is scanned with a single detector at a bore-sight' angle of $_{\rm b}$ with respect to the spin axis. A fler a complete uniform sweep of the ecliptic plane, the tim e-stream m aps to a set of N rings each of angular width ring.

Figure 1 shows the correlation functions of the ring o sets averaged over 250 simulations for each of the two scanning strategies discussed in the previous paragraphs. There gures indicate the following:

(a) The dispersion in the ring o sets is $h_i^2 i^{1=2} = 11 \quad K^Y$ in excellent agreem ent with equation (8). This is much smaller than the white noise level of 176 K on a single ring because the number of overlaps for a Planck-type scanning strategy is large. As emphasized by Stom por and W hite (2004) the pixel noise of the resulting maps will be predom inantly white and uncorrelated.

(b) It is interesting to compare the ring variance with the expected signal variance for the case of no precession:

$$h_{k}^{2}i = \frac{1}{4} \left[(2^{+} + 1)C \cdot \left[\mathbb{P} \cdot (\cos_{b}) \right]^{2} = (142 \text{ K})^{2}:$$
(9)

Thus, for the parameters adopted in this paper, the oset variance arising from ring pixel noise is comparable to the true signal variance.

(c) The o set correlation functions in Figure (1) drop rapidly to zero. To high accuracy, we can model the striping errors as a set of random o sets with dispersion and e ective ring-width as illustrated in Figure 2. As shown in the next Section, The contributions of these errors to the spherical harm onics and power spectrum of the destriped m aps can, in this approximation, be calculated exactly for the case of a perfect ring torus.

(d) There is almost no perceptible di erence in the correlation functions for the two scanning strategies. We would therefore expect (and this is veried in Section 4) that the elects of destriping errors on the power spectra should be very similar for these two scanning strategies.

(e) The striping errors arising from the dispersion in the ring o sets are 'irreducible' errors. By this, we mean that these errors are xed by the instrum ental white noise on the rings and the crossing points (interconnectedness) of the TOD. They cannot be reduced by applying m ore tim e-consum ing 'bptim al' m ap-m aking m ethods (see Section 5). How ever, since the knee frequency k_{nee} exceeds both the repointing frequency $1=t_{repoint}$ and the spin frequency, the averaged ring data x_i^k will contain low amplitude gradients associated with 'l=f' noise. These gradients can, in principle, be removed by modifying the destriping

 $^{
m Y}$ For P lanck the dispersion will be sm aller because the detector noise is sm aller than assumed here



F igure 2.A map of random striping errors for a ring torus with $_{\rm b}$ = 85 .N ote that there is some Yinging' with the map pixelisation, but as will be shown in Section 3 this ringing has a negligible e ect on the power spectrum of the ring o sets.

code to determ ine from the crossing points a few low order coe cients in, say, a Legendre poynom ial or Fourier expansion, (D elabrouille 1998; K eihanen et al. 2003) H ow ever, as we will show in Section 3, the e ects of these gradients are sm aller than the e ects of the o set errors.

3 ANALYTIC MODELS OF DESTRIPING ERRORS

In this Section we consider a scanning strategy which leads to a perfect ring torus, i.e. the spin axis is aligned with the ecliptic plane as the sky is scanned by a single detector with a bore-sight angle of $_{\rm b}$. For such a scanning strategy, the distribution of hit-counts on the sky will follow a distribution with ecliptic latitude of

dH () =
$$\begin{array}{c} N (1 \quad \cos^2 = \sin^2 _{b})^{1=2} \sin d; \cos \sin_{b}; \\ 0; \quad \cos > \sin_{b}; \end{array}$$
(10)

The hit-count distribution is therefore highest at $\cos = \sin b$ and lowest at the ecliptic = -2.

A s described in the previous Section, the o sets $_{k}$ of each ring can be modelled as a set of independent G aussian random variates with dispersion . The map constructed from these ring o sets (which we will refer to as the error map) will contain most of the information on pixel correlations introduced by the map-making process. Our goal in this Section is to compute the e ects of these errors on the CMB power spectrum.

The spherical harm onic transform of the error map can be written as

$$a_{m}^{e} = \bigvee_{ik} W_{ik} T_{ik} V_{m} (i_{k}; i_{k});$$
(11)

where the index k denotes the ring number, i denotes the pixel number within the ring and $_{ik}$ is the solid angle of the ring pixel. The weight factors w_{ik} account for the averaging of the ring pixels in constructing the map and thus are proportional to the inverse of the hit count distribution of equation (10).

To evaluate equation (11), reorient each ring to a new coordinate system (0 ; 0) in which the spin axis is aligned with the new z^{0} axis. The spherical harm onic transform for each ring is then,

$$a_{im}^{0ek} = \frac{1}{2} {}_{k}A_{i}^{m}P_{i}^{m} (\cos b) \sin b \qquad j \sin \frac{b}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \sin$$

where k is the constant ring-o set T $_{ik} = k$ and the A^{m}_{k} are the normalising factors of the spherical harmonics

6 G.Efstathiou

$$A_{\cdot}^{m} = \frac{2^{\cdot} + 1}{4} \frac{(\cdot m)!}{(\cdot + m)!}^{1=2} :$$
(12b)

Notice that the hit count distribution has been norm alised so that the sum of the weight factors \boldsymbol{X}

$$w_{ik} = 4 \sin b$$

$$(13)$$

for a complete ring torus (which completely covers the sky twice for the case $_{\rm b}$ = =2). Perform ing the integral over 0 in equation (12a),

$$a_{m}^{0ek} = {}_{k} \sin_{b} A \stackrel{m}{,} P \stackrel{m}{,} (\cos_{b}) \frac{1}{(1 - m^{2})} (1 + (-1)^{m}) = {}_{k} \sin_{b} K \stackrel{m}{,} (\cos_{b});$$
(14)

where K $^{\pi}_{n}$ is zero for allodd values of m . Transform ing back into the original coordinate system

$$a_{m}^{e} = a_{m}^{0ek} D_{m}^{o} (_{k}; _{k}; _{k});$$

$$a_{m}^{0ek} D_{m}^{o} (_{k}; _{k}; _{k});$$
(15)

where the D_{mn} are the W igner D -m atrices (see e.g. Brink and Satchler 1993; Varshalovich, M oskalev and K hersonskii 1988) and , and are the Euler angles relating the two coordinate systems. In our case, k = 0, k = -2, hence in terms of the real reduced rotation m atrices (15) is

$$a_{m}^{e} = \underset{k m^{0}}{\overset{K}{\longrightarrow}} b_{k} \overset{m}{\overset{o}{\longrightarrow}} d_{m}^{\circ} d_{m} (=2)e^{im k} :$$
(16)

De ning the power spectrum of the error m ap as

$$C^{e} = \frac{1}{(2^{+} + 1)} \sum_{m}^{X} h a^{e}_{m} a^{e}_{m}; i$$
(17)

(where the tilde on C^e signiles that the power spectrum is computed from the a_{lm}^e coecients computed on the incomplete sky if $b \in =2$) and using the relation

we nd

$$C^{e} = \frac{2}{(2^{+}+1)}^{2} \sin^{2} \frac{X}{b} + \frac{X}{b} +$$

For the special case $_{b} = =2$, the factor \mathcal{K}^{m} j is proportional to $P^{m}_{*}(0)^{2}$ and so vanishes for odd values of ('m). Since K^{m}_{*} is zero for odd values of m, it follows that $C^{e}_{*} = 0$ for odd values of '. An alternative derivation of the C^{e}_{*} for the special case $_{b} = =2$, (in which the spherical harm onic transform for each ring is evaluated in a coordinate system with the z-axis perpendicular to the spin axis) gives

$$C_{\gamma}^{e} = \frac{1}{2} {}^{2} I_{\gamma}; \qquad (20a)$$

where

R

$$I_{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos P_{r}(\cos) d & even; & 6 \\ 0; & odd: \end{pmatrix}$$
(20b)

For large values of `the integral in equation (20b) can be approximated by Z

$$\cos P(\cos) d \qquad J_0(('+1=2)) = \frac{1}{('+1=2)};$$
(21)

hence

$$C'' = \frac{1}{(2'+1)}^2$$
; 'even: (22)

Equation (22) is, in fact, an extrem ely good approximation (to within 2%) to the exact answers of equations (19) and (20a) for values of 'as small as '= 4.

Some examples of the error power spectra for three values of $_{\rm b}$ are shown in Figure 3. For each value of $_{\rm b}$, 10⁴ simulations were generated each with 720 rings assigned random o sets. The rings were then mapped on to the igloo pixelization scheme described in E04 with 0.25 0.25 pixels simply by assigning the nearest map pixel to each ring pixel and averaging over all of the ring pixels assigned to any particular map pixel. The power spectra were computed from the igloo maps using tast spherical transforms. As can be seen from Figure 2, the nite sizes of the ring and map pixels introduce some structure



F igure 3. The power spectrum of destriping errors for ring toriw ith various values of $_{\rm b}$. In each gure the lled (blue) points show the results from numerical simulations and the solid (red) lines show the analytic expression of equation (19). The dot-dashed (green) line show s the sim ple analytic approximation of equation (22).

8 G.Efstathiou

in the nalerror maps. Nevertheless, the mean power spectra for the error maps (shown by the points in Figure 3) agree perfectly with the analytic results of equation (19) which were derived in the continuum limit. Evidently, the elects of nite pixelisation and ring widths are negligible and hence the analytic model developed in this Section gives an extrem ely accurate representation of the destriping errors.

If the prim ordial uctuations are G aussian, the spherical harm onic coe cients will satisfy

$$ha_{m}^{e}a_{0m}^{e}\circ i = C \cdot \cdot \circ a_{mm} \circ i$$
(23)

The striping erros will, however, introduce correlations in the a_m . From equation (16) it is straightforward to show that the correlations introduced by striping are given by

$$ha^{e}_{m}a^{e}_{0_{m}}\circ i = 2 \quad ^{2}\sin^{2} \quad _{b} \quad ^{n}_{m m \circ} \quad K^{m}_{i}K^{m}_{i_{0}}C^{i}_{d_{m}_{1}m} (=2)d^{'^{0}}_{m_{1}m \circ}(=2):$$
(24)

For the special case b = -2, these correlations can be written as

$$ha^{e}_{m} a^{e}_{0m} \circ i = 2^{2} \qquad _{m m} \circ E^{m} E^{m 0}_{0} (1 + (1)^{m});$$
(25a)

where 7

$$E_{v}^{m} = A_{v}^{m} P_{v}^{m} () d :$$
(25b)

If the vector a_m^c is ordered as (m; '), (i.e. $(0; m_{in}); \dots (0; m_{ax}), (1; m_{in}); \dots (1; m_{ax}), etc)$ the covariance matrix $ha_m^c a_{0m}^c oillow and the experiment of the experi$

4 SIM ULATIONS W ITH REALISTIC NOISE

In this Section, we describe the results from a set of simulations with realistic 1=f' noise (equation 1) with the parameters given in Table 1.A s explained in Section 2, the resolution and sizes of the m aps and ring sets were chosen so that large numbers of simulations could be run quickly while demonstrating the salient features of the m ap-m aking problem. As a further speed up, 1=f-noise was generated by using an FFT for frequencies below 0:133 Hz. Above this frequency the noise was assumed to be white, which has the additional advantage that the white noise can be added to ring pixels on the y' so that it is never necessary to store a complete TOD in memory. A complete simulation, including noise generation, destriping and power spectrum estimation takes approximately 70 seconds on an single 1:4 G Hz Itanium 2 processor. Splitting the noise into a low frequency 1=f' component and a high frequency white noise component has the additional advantage that the ext second second power that the ext second second power second second power that the ext second second

We have run two sets each of 250 simulations for the two scanning strategies adopted for Figure 1, namely, $_{\rm b}$ = 85 with no precession and $_{\rm b}$ = 85 with a slow sinusoidal precession of 5. In each case, we generated three ring-sets for each simulation: one with white noise only, one with low frequency 1=f' noise only, and one using the sum of these two noise models. These ring-sets were passed through the destriping algorithm to produce three maps per simulation. The averaged power spectra for the two sets of simulations are plotted in Figure 5.

The upper panels in Figure 5 show the power spectra for the case of white noise only on the rings. This is the case that is closest to the analytic model of the previous Section. As expected, the analytic model of equation (19) sum med with the appropriate constant white noise level, provides an excellent m atch to the simulations when proper allow ance is made to calculate the elective ring width using the correlation functions of Figure 1. This is true even for the slow precession scanning strategy shown in Figure 5d. The main elect of a the slow precession is to ll in the coverage gaps at the eclipic poles, but the ring pattern is so close to a perfect ring torus that the residual striping errors produce a nearly identical elect on the power spectrum.

Figures 5b and 5e show the residual striping errors when only low frequency 1=f' noise is included. These errors arise prim arily from residual gradients on the rings and so their am plitude depends on the knee frequency. Had we adopted a knee frequency much sm aller than the spin frequency, these errors would have had a much lower am plitude. Nevertheless, even for the parameters adopted here, the am plitude of these errors is considerable sm aller than the errors caused by the dispersions in the ring o sets. Notice that these errors also decay roughly as 1=1, as for the error power spectra for pure white noise. A sm entioned earlier, D elabrouille (1998) and K eihanen et al. (2003) have explored tting low order functions for each ring



Figure 4. The covariance matrices for $ha^e_m a^e_{\cdot 0_m 0}$ i for the simulations shown in Figure (3) compared to the analytic expression of equation (24). The indices i_1 and i_2 are ordered as (m; '), (i.e. (0;0);:::(0; 'm ax), (1;0);:::(1; 'm ax), etc) with m and 'running from 0 to 10.



F igure 5. A verages over 250 simulations of noise for a single detector with $_{\rm b}$ = 85 and no precession (Figures 5a, b, & c) and with a slow sinusoidal precession of 5 am plitude as discussed in the text (Figures 5d, e & f). Filled circles in the upper panels (a & d) show residual errors after destriping assuming only uncorrelated white noise on the rings. Filled circles in the middle panels (b & e) show residual errors after destriping assuming only low frequency 1=f noise. Filled circles in the bottom panels (c & f) show residual errors including white noise and low frequency 1=f noise. The solid lines in the upper panels show the model for destriping errors plotted in Figure 3b together with the white noise level appropriate to the maps. The solid lines in the low er panels show the same destriping model renorm alised to t the simulations.

(2.8)

during destriping, rather than a single o set k. The results are mixed and in fact K eihanen et al. (2003) nd that including more parameters actually produces larger power spectrum errors than simply thing constant o sets. This is not suprising because the errors on the individual crossing points are dominated by the white noise. If the white noise level is high, and the knee frequency is low, there may not be enough crossing points to determ in more than a single constant o set per ring with any precision.

The points in the lower panels in Figure 5 show the errors for the full noise model. These are just the sum of the white noise and l=f' errors. The theoretical models, plotted as the solid lines, are simply equation (19) rescaled to provide a good m atch to the simulations, sum m ed with the appropriate constant white noise level. These provide an excellent m atch to the simulation results. The general shape of the power spectrum errors C^e plotted in Figures 5c and 5f is wellknown from previous num erical work on destriping (D elabrouille 1998; M aino et al. 1999; et al. 2000; K eihanen et al. 2003). However, the results presented here explain why the errors have this particular form and how they depend on the parameters of the experiment.

Finally, in Figure 6 we illustrate the e ects of l=f' noise on a simulated m ap of the CMB sky for the scanning strategy with a slow sinusoidal precession. Figure 6a shows the power spectra of the input m ap, the destriped m ap and for the di erence m ap. The power spectrum of the di erence m ap is shown on a greatly expanded scale in Figure 6b, together with the analytic m odelplotted in Figure 5f. Figure 6c shows the di erences between the power spectra of the input m ap output m aps but with the white noise level subtracted, again plotted on an expanded scale. The solid lines show the expected errors

$$h(C_{\gamma})^{2} i^{1=2} = \frac{1}{(2(C_{\gamma})^{2} + 4C_{\gamma}C_{\gamma})};$$
(26)

using the error model of Figure 5f. The dotted lines in this Figure show the expected error from white noise alone. Notice that pure white noise is an excellent approximation to the errors for $^{>}$ 10 and that even at low multipoles the destriping errors are much smaller than the cosm is variance h C $^{2}_{1}i = 2C^{2}_{2}=(2+1)$. For example, the error in the quadrupole amplitude for this realisation is T $^{2}_{2} = ('+1) C = 2 = 10.4 K^{2}$, compared to the expected cosm is variance of T $^{2}_{2} = 717.8 K^{2}$. C om pared to the cosm is variance, the destriping errors at low multipoles can be ignored. Furthermore, errors of this magnitude are much smaller than the errors of 50-100 K² expected from inaccurate subtraction of the G alaxy (cf the discussion of the e ects of G alactic subtraction on the low multipoles measured by W M A P, B ennet et al. 2003; Slosar and Seljak 2004).

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have presented an analytic analysis of the e ects of destriping errors on the CMB power spectrum for various scanning strategies. Destriping errors produce a characteristic error power spectrum of the form shown in Figure 3 which decays roughly as 1= '. The amplitude of the error power spectrum is determined by the number of intersections in the ring set which depends on the scanning strategy. In agreement with Stompor and W hite (2003), and with earlier num erical work, there are so m any interconnections in a P lanck-like scanning strategy that the destriping errors should be small. M aps from P lanck will therefore be dom inated by white noise, underneath which there will be low am plitude correlated noise associated with striping. N evertheless, the low am plitude errors from striping introduce a characteristic block-diagonal structure in the covariance m atrix for the $a_{\rm im}$. These could confuse searches for small amplitude physical e ects, such as non-G aussian features of the CMB signal.

The implications of our analysis for the application of a hybrid power spectrum estimator to Planck-like data are fairly self-evident. Since the noise can be very accurately approximated as white form ultipoles $^{>}$ 50, the combination of a number of pseudo-C \cdot estimators with dienent pixel weighting schemes as in E04 should give a close to optimal estimate of the power spectrum at high multipoles. At low multipoles, an estimate of C \cdot can be found by applying a quadratic maximum likelihood (Q M L) estimator (Tegmark 1997c) to a low resolution map. This provides a close to optimal estimate of the power spectrum at low multipoles, taking into account of masked regions of the sky, and returns an estimate of the covariance matrix hC \cdot C $^{0}_{\cdot 1}$ that . For the Q M L estimates, it should be an excellent approximation simply to neglect destriping errors, since these are likely to be negligible compared to the cosm ic variance. If necessary, destriping errors can be taken into account in the Q M L estimates, and folded into the covariance matrix, by computing the full pixel-noise covariance matrix on a low resolution map using an optimal map making algorithm.

F inally, this analysis has some in plications for optim alm ap making algorithms. The maximum likelihood map m is given by the well-known expression

$$\mathbf{m} = (\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{N}^{-1} \mathbf{P})^{-1} \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{N}^{-1} \mathbf{t};$$
(27)

where N is the noise covariance matrix N $_{ij} = hn_in_ji$. Since N is symmetric, it can be written as

$$N = W W'$$
;

where W is orthogonal and is diagonal. The matrix W^T is a 'prew hitening lter', since the noise matrix of the vector $n^0 = W^T t$ is diagonal. In terms of the prew hitened time-stream, equation (27) can be written as,



F igure 6. The top panel (a) shows the power spectrum of the input m ap (solid line) and the power spectrum of the destriped m ap (led circles). The dashed line shows the power spectrum of the ducial CDM model used to generate the input m ap. The power spectrum of the di erence (noise) m ap is also show n. The middle panel (b) shows the power spectrum of the di erence m ap on an expanded scale (led circles) together with the error model show n in Figure 5f. The led circles in the lower panel (c) show the di erences between the power spectrum of the destriped m ap. after subtracting the constant white noise level, and the power spectrum of the input m ap. The solid lines show the dispersion expected from equation (26) using the error model plotted in Figure (6b). The dashed lines show the disersion expected from white noise alone.

$$P^{T}W$$
 $^{1}W^{T}Pm = P^{T}W$ $^{1}W^{T}t$:

Now consider equation (29) applied to a TOD consisting of repeated scans of a single ring with stationary noise of an arbitrary power spectral shape. If edge e ects are ignored then the components of will be identical, in which case the solution of (29) is obviously the average of to over the rings (equation 4). The rhs of equation (29) e ectively liters the TOD, but this is undone by the lbs to return the average of the signal over the rings.

Now consider a TOD consisting of a set of rings as in the Planck-like scanning strategies considered in this paper. The maximum likelihood map will dier from a simple average over rings because the rings cross. Thus optimal map making performs destriping by comparing the crossing points of the TOD. However, since the noise on a single ring is dominated by white noise, optimal map making cannot reduce the errors much below the 'irreducible' white noise errors shown in Figures 5a and 5d. Optimal map making can, in principle, reduce the map making errors associated with 'l=f' noise (cf Figures 5b and 5e) if the knee frequency is signi cantly greater than the spin frequency, but even in this case, the ability to reduce these

(29)

Destriping Errors 13

errors will be limited by the white noise on a ring. These arguments suggest that for a Planck-like scanning strategy^Z, simple destriping algorithms will be very close to optimal, and may actually be preferable to optimal algorithms because of their speed and because they require fewer assumptions about the noise. Optimal algorithms are only optimal if the noise model is accurate. In practice, with realistic non-stationary noise, simple destriping may perform just as well and conceivably better than an optimal algorithm.

A cknow ledgem ents: I thank mem bers of CITA for their hospitality during a visit where this work was begun. I am especially grateful to Mark A shdown, Dick Bond, Anthony Challinor and F bor van Leeuwen for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

Bartolo N., Kom atsu E., M atarrese S., R iotto A., 2004, submitted to Physics Reports. astro-ph/0406398.

Bennett, C. et al., 2003, ApJS, 148, 1.

Brink D M., Satchler G.R., 1993, Angular M om entum, third edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Borrill J., Ferreira P.G., Ja e A.H., Stom por R., 2001, In M ining the Sky', Proceedings of the M PA / ESO / M PE W orkshop, E dited by A.J.Banday, S.Zaroubi, and M. Bartelm ann. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, p403.

Burigana C., Malaspina M., Mandolesi N., Danese L., Maino D., Bersanelli M., Maltoni M., 1997, Internal Report IT ESRE. astroph/9906360.

Delabrouille, J., 1998, A & A Suppl. Ser., 127, 555.

Dore O., Teyssier R., Bouchet F.R., Vibert D., Prunet S., 2001, A&A, 374, 358.

E fstathiou G ., 2004, M N R A S, 439, 603.

G roth E J., Peebles P J.E., 1986, ApJ, 310, 507.

Hinshaw G.etal, 2003, ApJS, 148, 63.

Keihanen E., Kurki-Suonio H., Poutanen T., Maino, D., Burigana C., 2003, submitted to A & A. astro-ph/0304411.

M aino D ., et al., 1999, A & A Suppl. Ser., 140, 383.

M addox S.J., E fstathiou G ., Sutherland W ., 1996, M NRAS, 283, 1227.

Natoli P., de Gasperis G., Gheller C., Vittorio N., 2001, A&A, 372, 346.

Poutanen T., Maino, D., Kurki-Suonio H., Keihanen E., Hivon E., 2004, submitted to MNRAS.astro-ph/0404134.

Revenu B., Kim A., AnsariR., Couchot F., Delabrouille J., Kaplan J., 2000, A& AS, 142, 499.

Slosar A., Seljak U., 2004, submitted to PRD, astro-ph/0404567.

SpergelD N. et al, 2003, ApJS, 148, 175.

Stom por R ., W hite M ., 2004, A & A , 419, 783.

Tegm ark M ., 1997a, ApJL, 480, L87.

Tegm ark M ., 1997b, PRD, 56, 4514.

Tegm ark M ., 1997c, PRD, 55, 5895.

Tuovinen J., 2003, Planck N ew sletter N um ber 4., p7. (http://www.rssd.esa.int/SA/PLANCK/docs/N ew sletters/PlanckN ew sletter4.pdf) Varshalovich, D A., M oskalev A N., K hersonskii V K., 1988, Q uantum Theory of Angular M om entum, W orld Scientic, Singapore. van Leeuwen F., et al., 2002, M NRAS, 331, 975.

W right E L., 1996, astro-ph/9612006.

W right E L., H inshaw G., Bennett C L., 1996, ApJ, 458, L53.

^Z The situation is di erent for a W MAP-type strategy with fast precession (see Bennett et al. 2003; Hinshaw et al. 2003), in which a pixel on the sky is observed on m any di erent tim escales.