Space-time variation of the ne structure constant and evolution of isotope abundances.

M . G . Kozlov

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, 188300, Russia

V.A.Korol

St.Petersburg Polytechnical University, St.Petersburg, Russia

J. C. Berengut, V. A. Dzuba, and V. V. Flam baum University of the New South Wales, Sydney, Australia (Dated: March 20, 2022)

At present several groups are analyzing astrophysical data in a search for time-variation of the ne structure constant. Here we discuss how to exclude systematice ects caused by the changes in the isotope abundances during the evolution of the universe. We suggest using particular combinations of the transition frequencies of O II, AlIII, AlIII, SiII and Mn II as anchors, which are insensitive to -variation and to changes in isotope abundances. These anchors can be used to determine the cosmological redshift. Then, one can use other combinations of frequencies as probes for the time-variation of and another set as probes for the isotopic abundances. In this way it is possible to eliminate one source of the systematic errors in the search for -variation and get new information about evolution of the isotopes. On the level of accuracy that has already been reached in the search for -variation it is possible to see 10% changes in isotope abundances of Si and Ni.

PACS num bers: 06.20 Jr, 31.30 Gs, 98.80 Ft

Introduction

If, during previous stages of the evolution of the universe, the ne structure constant was di erent from its present value 0, we can expect small deviations of atom ic frequencies from their laboratory values [1]:

$$! = !_{lab} + qx + 0 (x^2)$$
 (1a)

$$!_{lab} + 2q = ;$$
 (1b)

$$x = (x = x_0)^2 = 1;$$

where the parameter q rapidly grows with the nuclear charge Z. At least three groups are looking for such deviations in the spectra of distant quasars [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. These groups use dierent data and their results are not fully consistent with each other. In [2, 3, 4] a nonzero variation is reported, while [5, 6] agree with the constant

The frequency shifts which correspond to Eq. (2) are of the order of magnitude of the typical isotope shifts. Therefore, any changes in isotope abundances can cause system atic errors in the search for -variation (see, for example, discussions in [4, 7, 8, 9] and references therein).

Here we use recent calculations of the isotope shifts [10, 11, 12] to reanalyse the role of the isotope evolution in the search for -variation. We suggest how to exclude the possible systematic error caused by isotope shift from the search for -variation. We also show that the spectroscopic data from Eq. (2) can be used to get information about evolution of isotope abundances of Si and Ni.

The shift in frequency of any transition in an isotope with mass number $A^{\,0}$ with respect to an isotope with mass number A can be expressed as:

$$!^{A^{\circ};A} = k_{MS} \frac{1}{A^{\circ}} \frac{1}{A} + F \text{ hr}^{2}\dot{z}^{A^{\circ};A};$$
 (3)

where hr^2 i is the m ean square nuclear radius. The m ass shift constant $k_{M\ S}$ accounts for the norm almass shift and for the speci c m ass shift [3]: $k_{M\ S}$ = $k_{N\ M\ S}$ + $k_{SM\ S}$, where

$$k_{\text{NM S}} = \frac{!}{1823};$$
 (4)

and the value 1823 refers to the ratio of the atom ic m ass unit (am u) to the electron m ass. The values of the constants $k_{\text{SM S}}$ for the speci $\,$ c m ass shift and F for the volum e shift in (3) depend on the details of the atom ic structure (see [10, 11] for details). Note that we use the sign convention [10, 11] w ith $k_{\text{NM S}} < 0$, which diers from the convention used in [13] and some other publications.

P resent accuracy of the theory for $k_{\text{SM S}}$ for atom s w ith m one than one valence electron is not always succient for our purpose. However, it is possible to improve the theory by applying techniques suggested in [14, 15]. Note that calculations for the ions with one electron above

closed shells are already su-ciently accurate [10,16,17]. Below we ignore the volume shift of Eq. (3). For light elements it is much smaller than the mass shift, but becomes more important for such elements as Ni, Zn, and Ge. Still, its size is comparable to the accuracy to which we currently know the isotope shift parameters $k_{\rm M\,S}$.

Isotopic e ects

In this section we discuss the implications of recent calculations of isotope shifts [10,11,12] for the astrophysical search for -variation. Table I presents calculated values of the parameters q and $k_{\rm M}$ s for the transitions used in astrophysical surveys [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. In order to estimate possible isotope shifts in astrophysics we calculate isotope shifts $!_{1:2}$ for two simple assumptions:

 $!_1$ corresponds to the case when the abundance of the leading isotope A is reduced by 10%, while the abundance of the next to leading isotope A 0 is increased by the same amount. That means that for Si and Cr A 0 = A + 1; for M g, N i, and Zn A 0 = A + 2; for T i, Fe, and G e A 0 = A 2. Then $!_1$ = 0:1 $!_1$ $!_2$ $!_3$ $!_3$.

 $!_2$ corresponds to the substitution of the terrestrial abundances with the single leading isotope. For O , A $!_v$ and M n that means zero shifts as these elements have no isotopes.

The shifts $\,!_{\,1}$ and $\,!_{\,2}$ are usually of the opposite sign, and we use them to estimate the range of the possible isotopic shifts for distant absorber. In order to compare isotopic elects with the expected elects from variation, Table I also presents the shifts $\,!$ which correspond to $\,=\,0.57\,$ 10 5 [4]. Note that our is assumption of a 10% change in abundances is a rather conservative one. Sometimes, much more dramatic scenarios of the isotope evolution are considered (see, for example [9] and the references therein). For these scenarios our conclusions will only be enhanced.

Table I shows that the shifts $!_{1;2}$ are indeed of the same order of magnitude as the shifts observed in [4]. Moreover, in some cases there is a strong correlation between ! and $!_{1;2}$. That con m searlier suggestions that isotopic elects may lead to signicant systematic errors in the search for -variation.

Let us, for exam ple, consider Fe II. Here isotope shifts are relatively small. On the other hand, coe cients q and $k_{M \ S}$ are strongly correlated, and it is impossible to disentangle these two elects. For the lines used in \$1, the isotope shifts which correspond to our two limiting cases would in itate the following -variation:

$$--- = 10^5$$
 0:14 case 1,
+ 0:07 case 2. (5)

These values lie within the error bars given by Quast et al., but will not allow one to improve their results signicantly, unless isotope e ects are accounted for. That,

in turn, will require one to include other lines in the analysis.

Anchors and probes

When spectra of distant astrophysical objects are observed, one needs to exclude the cosmological redshift. That can be done by looking at the frequency ratios for dierent transitions, i.e. by comparing $(!_i=!_k)_{astro}$ with $(!_i=!_k)_{lab}$. When forming these ratios it is convenient to use \anchor" lines, which are not sensitive to the variation of the parameter of interest.

As we saw above, there can be two e ects of the same order of magnitude, i.e. possible -variation and variation of isotope abundances. Therefore, we need anchors which are insensitive to both e ects. In TableI there is only one line of Si II, which may be considered suitable as anchor:

$$A_{I} = ! {}^{2}P_{1=2} {}^{\circ} ! {}^{2}S_{1=2} {}_{SIII} = 65495 \text{ cm} {}^{1} : (6)$$

It is preferable to have more than one anchor. However, all other lines have either relatively large q, or $k_{\rm M~S}$, or both. A lum inum , oxygen and manganese ions have only one stable isotope each (leading isotope abundance for $^{16}\!\!$ O is greater than 99%). In order to exclude the -dependence of transition frequencies we can use the following combinations of $^{16}\!\!$ O and $^{27}\!\!$ A l:

$$A_{II} = 0:62 \quad ! \, ^{4}S_{3=2}^{\circ} \; ! \, ^{4}P_{5=2} \qquad (7)$$

$$0:38 \quad ! \, ^{4}S_{3=2}^{\circ} \; ! \, ^{4}P_{1=2} \qquad = 29650 \text{ cm} \, ^{1};$$

$$A_{III} = 0:59 \quad ! \, 3s_{1=2} \; ! \, 3p_{1=2} \, _{A\,III} \qquad (8)$$

$$0:41 \quad ! \, 3s_{1=2} \; ! \, 3p_{3=2} \, _{A\,III} = 6781 \text{ cm} \, ^{1};$$

$$A_{IV} = 0:68 \quad ! \, 3s_{1=2} \; ! \, 3p_{1=2} \, _{A\,IIII} \qquad (9)$$

$$0:32 \quad ! \, 3s_{1=2} \; ! \, 3p_{3=2} \, _{A\,IIII} = 19465 \text{ cm} \, ^{1};$$

For ⁵⁵M n we can form several anchors, such as:

$$A_V = 0:67 \quad !^7S_3 ! \quad ^7P_4 \circ [3d^54p]_{MnII}$$
 (10)
+ 0:33 $!^7S_3 ! \quad ^7P_4 \circ [3d^44s4p]_{MnII} = 53699 \text{ cm}^{-1}$:

Numerical factors in (7) \mid (10) are formed from the q-factors for two transitions and are normalized to unity. In the leading order $A_{\text{II}} \mid A_{\text{V}}$ do not depend on and can be used as anchors.

O ther elements in Table I have more than one isotope. Strong correlation between the factors q and $k_{M \ S}$ for Fe II allow sus to form several combinations of frequencies suitable as anchors. It could be possible to make anchors from practically any pair of lines in Fe II which include the line 62172 cm 1 , for example:

$$A_{VI} = 0.5$$
 ! $^6D_{9=2}$! $^6D_{9=2}^{\circ}$ $_{Fe\,II}$ + 0.5 ! $^6D_{9=2}$! $^6P_{7=2}^{\circ}$ $_{Fe\,II}$ = 50316 cm 1 :

TABLE I: Results of the calculations of the -variation parameters q [18, 19, 20, 21] and the isotope shift parameters $k_{\text{M S}}$ [10, 12] for the transitions used in astrophysical surveys. The error bars are given in parentheses where available. Column! shows the shifts that correspond to the -variation observed in [4]. Column! shows the line shift caused by a 10% abundance transfer from the leading isotope to the next to leading (by abundance). Similarly, column! presents the line shift for the case when natural abundance is substituted by a single leading isotope.

	nsition	! 0	q		k _M		1	! 1	1 2	R eferences
1011	10EE/II	(cm 1)	(am	¹)	(cm 1	amu)	· (10 ³		1)	I CELCIO
-		(4)	(4		(4	<u> </u>	(20	<u> </u>		
0 II ${}^{4}S_{3=2}^{\circ} [2s^{2}2p^{4}]!$	⁴ P ₅₌₂ [2s2p ⁴]	119873	346		1		4		0	[20]
	⁴ P ₃₌₂ [2s2p ⁴]	120000	489		į		6	İ	0	[20]
!		120083	574		i		7	j	0	[20]
	1-21 1 1							'		
$MgI^{1}S_{0}[3s^{2}]$!	1 P $_{1}^{\circ}$ [3s3p] a	35051	86			(0.3)	1	5	8	[18, 22]
$M g \coprod 3s_{1=2}$!	$3p_{1=2}$	35669	120			(0.4)	1	10	16	[10, 18]
!	$3p_{3=2}$	35761	211		32:1	(0.2)	2	10	16	[10, 18]
AlII ¹ S ₀ [3s ²] !	³ P₀[3s3p]	37393	146	(15)	1		2	1	0	[21]
1111 00 00 1	³ P ₁ [3s3p]	37454		(20)	ł		2	-	0	[21]
AlIII $3s_{1=2}$!	$3p_{1=2}$	53682	216	(20)			2	-	0	[18]
11 1 1 1 3 3 1 = 2	$3p_{1=2}$ $3p_{3=2}$	53920	464		ł		5	-	0	[18]
·	OP 3=2	00320			'		Ü	1	ŭ	[20]
$SiII ^{2}P_{1=2}^{\circ} [3s^{2}3p] !$		55309	520	(30)	63	(15)	6	8	9	[10, 19]
!	$^{2}S_{1=2}$ [2s $^{2}4s$]	65495	50	(30)		(20)	1	2	2	[10, 19]
$Silv 3s_{1=2}$!	$3p_{1=2}$	71290	346			(0.4)	4	11	13	[10, 18]
!	$3p_{3=2}$	71750	862		89 : 6	(0.2)	10	11	13	[10, 18]
TiII ${}^{4}F_{3=2} [3d^{2}4s]$!	${}^{4}F_{3=2}^{\circ} [3d^{2}4p]$	30837	541	(50)	20	(4)	6	2	1	[12 , 20]
1 1 1 1 3 = 2 [2 4 15] .	${}^{4}F_{5=2}^{\circ} [3d^{2} 4p]$	30959		(70)		(4)	8	2	1	[12, 20]
·	1 5=2 PG 1P1	30303	075	(, 0)	20	(1)	J	_	-	[£2 , 20]
$Cr \coprod {}^{6}S_{5=2} [3d^{5}]$!	${}^{6}P_{3=2}^{\circ} [3d^{4}4p]$	48399	1360	(150)	63	(40)	16	2	1	[12 , 19]
!	${}^{6}P_{5=2}^{\circ} [3d^{4}4p]$	48491	1280	(150)	63	(40)	15	2	1	[12 , 19]
!	${}^{6}P_{7=2}^{\circ} [3d^{4}4p]$	48632	1110	(150)	63	(40)	13	2	1	[12 , 19]
70 D 15 4 1	7p 0 p 15 4 3	20266	0.60	(0.0)	1		10	1	0	E001
M n II 7S3 [3d54s] !	$^{7}P_{2}^{\circ} [3d^{5}4p]$	38366		(90)	-		10	-	0	[20]
!	$^{7}P_{3}^{\circ}$ [3d ⁵ 4p] $^{7}P_{4}^{\circ}$ [3d ⁵ 4p]	38543		(100)	-		12	-	0	[20]
!	⁷ P ₂ ° [3d ⁴ 4s4p]	38807		(100)			15	-	0	[20]
!	P ₂ βd 4s4p] ⁷ P ₃ βd ⁴ 4s4p]	83255		(300)	-		35 32	-	0	[20]
: !	P ₃ βd 4s4p] ⁷ P ₄ ° βd ⁴ 4s4p]	83376		(300)			32 29	-	0	[20]
•	r ₄ pa 454pj	83529	2550	(300)	I		23	ı	U	[20]
Fe II 6 D $_{9=2}$ [3d 6 4s] !	$^{6}D_{9=2}^{\circ} [3d^{6}4p]^{b}$	38459	1330	(150)	60	(20)	15	4	2	[12 , 19]
!	$^{6}D_{7=2}^{\circ} [3d^{6}4p]^{b}$	38660	1490	(150)	60	(20)	17	4	2	[12 , 19]
!	${}^{6}F_{11=2}^{\circ} [3d^{6}4p]^{b}$	41968	1460	(150)	63	(20)	17	4	2	[12 , 19]
!	⁶ F ^o ₉₌₂ [3d ⁶ 4p] ^b	42115	1590	(150)	63	(20)	18	4	2	[12, 19]
!	⁶ P ^o ₇₌₂ [3d ⁶ 4p] ^b	42658		(150)	60	(20)	14	4	2	[12, 19]
!	${}^{4}F_{7=2} \circ [3d^{6}4p]$					(40)	13	4	2	
	⁶ P ° [3d ⁵ 4s4p] b					(40)	15	4	2	[12, 19]
·	- /=2 [(/	-	(/		_	_	[,]
	${}^{2}F_{7=2}^{\circ}$ [3d ⁸ 4p]	57080	700	(250)	77	(50)	8	4	18	[12 , 19]
!	$^{2}D_{5=2}^{\circ}$ [3d ⁸ 4p]	57420	1400	(250)	77	(50)	16	4	18	[12 , 19]
!	${}^{2}F_{5=2}^{\circ}$ [3d ⁸ 4p]	58493	20	(250)	77	(50)	0	4	18	[12 , 19]
7p TI /c '	4n	10101	150/	(25)	70.0	(2.3)	10	2	24	MO 101
Zn II $4s_{1=2}$!	$4p_{1=2}$	48481 49355	1584 2490	(25) (25)		(2.3) (2.3)	18 28	3	24 24	[10, 19] [10, 19]
:	$4p_{3=2}$	49333	2 1 30	(23)	092	(42)	20	J	24	[±∪ , ±೨]
$G \in II 4p_{1=2} \qquad \qquad !$	5s ₁₌₂	62403	607		0:7	(2.3)	7	0	0	[10, 18]
- -										· · ·

 $^{^{}a}\mbox{For this transition we give experim ental value for <math display="inline">k_{M~S}$ from [22].

 $^{{}^{\}rm b}{\rm T}\,{\rm he}$ lines of Fe II used by Q uast et al. [5].

Now, when we have several anchors to exclude the cosmological redshift, we can look for the combinations of frequencies which are sensitive to only the mass shift or to the variation of . These combinations can be used as \probes" of isotope abundances and -variation. For example, the combination

$$P_{I} = 0:64 \quad !3s_{1=2} ! \quad 3p_{1=2} _{M g II}$$
 (11)
 $0:36 \quad !3s_{1=2} ! \quad 3p_{3=2} _{M g II} = 9740 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

is insensitive to -variation and can serve as a probe of the abundances of M g isotopes in distant absorbers.

Note that even though q-factors for M g are relatively small, it is still necessary to use $P_{\rm I}$ as a probe, rather than individual lines. Since -variation on the scale of = 0.6 10 5 is currently not excluded, we can expect the shift ~! $(3s_{1=2}~!$ $3p_{3=2})$ 0.002~cm 1 , which is comparable to the current sensitivity of observations to the frequency shifts.

By analogy with Mg II it is possible to form combinations for the ne structure doublets in Si IV and Zn II:

$$P_{II} = 0:71 \quad !3s_{1=2} ! \quad 3p_{1=2} _{SiIV}$$
 (12)
 $0:29 \quad !3s_{1=2} ! \quad 3p_{3=2} _{SiIV} = 30381 \text{ cm}^{-1};$
 $P_{III} = 0:61 \quad !4s_{1=2} ! \quad 4p_{1=2} _{ZnII}$ (13)
 $0:39 \quad !4s_{1=2} ! \quad 4p_{3=2} _{ZnII} = 10423 \text{ cm}^{-1}:$

For most other transitions in Table I there is strong correlation between parameters q and $k_{\text{M S}}$, and we cannot exclude the dependence on . The only exception is N i II, where the transition with frequency 58493 cm $^{1}\,$ is insensitive to -variation and two other transitions have dierent parameters q. That gives us two more probes of isotope abundances:

$$P_{IV} = ! ^{2}D_{5=2} ! ^{2}F_{5=2}^{\circ} = 58493 \text{ cm}^{-1};$$
 (14)
 $P_{V} = 0.67 ! ^{2}D_{5=2} ! ^{2}F_{7=2}^{\circ} = 18952 \text{ cm}^{-1};$ (15)
 $0.33 ! ^{2}D_{5=2} ! ^{2}D_{5=2}^{\circ} = 18952 \text{ cm}^{-1};$

The same method can be applied for building combinations that are insensitive to isotope shift and can serve as probes for the variation of . Above we suggested that several combinations of spectral lines of 0, Al, and Mn ions can be used as anchors. These lines them selves can also be introduced as -dependent probes. Indeed, these elements have only one stable isotope each and therefore have no isotope shifts. Here, manganese is the best probe, as it has the biggest absolute value of q. Ge II is also a good probe because of a very low value of $k_{\rm M\ S}$ of the only transition $4p_{1=2}$! $5s_{1=2}$, listed in Table I.

O ther ions from Table I are sensitive to isotope shifts. Still it is possible to make several combinations to exclude this dependence. M g II, Si II, and T i II have relatively low values of q and would not make good probes. For remaining ions $k_{\rm M\ S}$ is practically the same for all

TABLE II: -variation probes, insensitive to isotope shifts.

Ion	!	q	Frequency	
	$$ cm 1	cm^{-1}	combinations	
OII	119873	346	! $(^{4}S_{3=2}^{\circ} ! ^{4}P_{5=2})$	
	120000	489	! $(^{4}S_{3=2}^{\circ} ! ^{4}P_{3=2})$	
	120083	574	! $(^{4}S_{3=2}^{\circ} ! ^{4}P_{1=2})$	
AlII	37393	146	! (¹ S ₀ ! ³ P ₀)	
	36454	211	$! (^{1}S_{0} ! ^{3}P_{1})$	
AlIII	53682	216	! $(s_{1=2} ! 3p_{1=2})$	
	53920	464	! $(s_{1=2} ! 3p_{3=2})$	
SilV	230	258	1=2 ! (3 ₆₌₂ ! 3 _{p3=2})	
			1=2 ! $(3s_{-2} ! 3p_{1-2})$	
M n II	38366	869	! $(^{7}S_{3} ! ^{7}P_{2} [3d^{5}4p])$	
	38543	1030	! (${}^{7}S_{3}$! ${}^{7}P_{3}$ [3d ${}^{5}4p$])	
	38807	1276	! $(^{7}S_{3} ! ^{7}P_{4} [3d^{5}4p])$	
	83255	3033	! (${}^{7}S_{3}$! ${}^{7}P_{2}$ [3d 4 4s4p])	
	83376	2825	! ($^{7}S_{3}$! $^{7}P_{3}$ [3d 4 4s4p])	
	83529	2556	! (⁷ S ₃ ! ⁷ P ₄ [3d ⁴ 4s4p])	
NiII	170	350	$1=2 !^2 D_{5=2} ! ^6 D_{5=2})$	
			1=2 $!^{2}$ $p_{5=2} ! {}^{6}F_{7=2}^{\circ}$)	
	537	690	1=2 $!^{2}$ $ p_{5=2} ! {}^{6}F_{5=2} $)	
			$1=2 !^{2}D_{5=2} !^{6}D_{5=2}^{0}$	
Zn II	437	453	1=2 ! (4 ₅₌₂ ! 4 _{p3=2})	
			$1=2 ! (4s_{-2} ! 4p_{1-2})$	
GeII	62403	607	! $(4p_{1=2} ! 5s_{1=2})$	

transitions of interest. Hence, we can form a probe by taking the dierence of any two frequencies. The results are given in Table II, where we introduced normalization factors as above.

For Fe II and CrII it is impossible to exclude isotope dependence because of the correlations between q and $k_{\text{M S}}$. In both cases isotopic e ects are relatively small. That allows one to use these ions to test -variation on the level reported in [4], but not on the level of the result [6].

D iscussion of sensitivity

Let us use Eq. (2) and Table I to estim ate typical sensitivity to the frequency shifts in modern astrophysical studies. The paper [5] is based only on the analysis of the lines of Fe II. From Table I we see that for all transitions in this ion jqj 1300 cm ¹. Eq. (1b) then gives statistical accuracy ! 0.005 cm ¹. Two other groups [4, 6] use more ions, but Fe II is present in both samples and we can again use the same value of jqj as typical. That leads to the estimates of the accuracy which are roughly 2 [4] and 3 [6] times better than above. This is statistical accuracy, which is achieved by averaging results form any transitions, so we will use the following estimate of the achievable accuracy for the frequency shifts in astrophysical observations:

!
$$0.005 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$
: (16)

Now we estimate frequency shifts for the probes P_{I} {

 P_V and compare them with current sensitivity (16). To do this we need to specify the way in which isotope abundances are changed. We assume that the intensity of the line of the leading isotope is transferred to the next to leading one. If there are two comparable weaker lines, we assum e that they are equally increased. Within this simple model we calculate the shifts of the centers of gravity of the lines and the resulting shift of the probe. Com parison of this shift to the modern frequency sensitivity (16) gives us the sensitivity to the isotope abundances.

M g has three stable isotopes A = 24, 25, and 26 with modern abundances 79:10:11. Suppose that in the early universe it was (79 x) : (10 + x = 2) : (11 + x = 2). Using factors $k_{M S}$ from Table I and ignoring the volume shift and saturation e ects, we can estimate corresponding shift of the center of gravity of the probe (11):

$$P_{I} = 0.27 \frac{3k_{MS} x}{200 A^{2}} = 0.00023 x \text{ cm}^{-1} : (17)$$

For Si and Zn there are also two comparable admixtures to the leading isotope. If we assume (92 x): (5 + x=2): (3 + x=2) abundances for Si and (49 y): 0: (28 + y=2) : 4 : (19 + y=2) : 0 : 1 abundances for Zn, we get:

$$P_{II} = 0.43 \frac{3k_{M S} x}{20028^{2}} \quad 0.00074 x \text{ cm}^{-1} : (18)$$

$$P_{III} = 0.22 \frac{3k_{M S} y}{100.64^{2}} \quad 0.00011 y \text{ cm}^{-1} : (19)$$

$$P_{III} = 0.22 \frac{3k_{MS}y}{10064^2} \quad 0.00011 y \text{ cm}^{-1} : \quad (19)$$

Nihas only one dominant admixture to the leading isotope, so we assume (68 x) : 0 : (26 + x) : 1 : 4 : 0 : 1abundances for Ni. That gives:

$$P_{IV} = \frac{2k_{MS} x}{100.58^2}$$
 0:00046 x cm⁻¹; (20)

while the probe $P_{\,\text{V}}\,$ is three times less sensitive.

Comparing (17) { (20) with (16) we see that current sensitivity allows detection of a 22% change in the abundance of the isotope 24M g, a 7% change for the isotope ²⁸Si, 45% for ⁶⁴Zn, and an 11% change in the abundance of⁵⁸Ni.

C on clusions

In this paper we analyze the sensitivity of modern astrophysical surveys that search for -variation to isotopic e ects and come to the following conclusions:

Isotopic frequency shifts are generally likely to be of the sam e order of m agnitude as the present experim ental sensitivity. Therefore, isotope shifts are an important source of system atic errors.

It is possible to elim inate isotopic e ects by taking proper combinations of transition frequencies. These combinations depend on the calculated mass shift coe cients. At present not all of them are known with a su cient accuracy. Corresponding theory can be improved by applying the method suggested in [14, 15]. New experimental data on the isotope shifts is also highly desirable.

As a by-product of the search for -variation it is possible to get inform ation about isotopic evolution of several elem ents.

The accuracy of the surveys, which use only lines of Fe II is limited by the isotopic e ects on the 10^{-6} . This estimate is based on a rather conservative assum ptions about possible isotope evolution.

We thank S.A. Levshakov for very helpful com ments on the manuscript. M.K. is grateful to M. Murphy, and D.A. Varshalovich for valuable discussions. This work is supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant No. 02-0216387, and the Australian Research Council.

^[1] V.A.D zuba, V.V.Flam baum, and J.K.Webb, Phys. Rev.Lett.82,888 (1999).

^[2] J.K.Webb, V.V.Flambaum, C.W.Churchill, M.J. Drinkwater, and J.D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 884 (1999).

 $[\]ensuremath{\beta}\xspace$] J. K. Webb, M. T. Murphy, V. V. Flam baum, V. A. D zuba, J.D. Barrow, C.W. Churchill, J.X. Prochaska, and A.M.Wolfe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 091301 (2001), [physics/0012539].

^[4] M .T.M urphy, J.K.W ebb, and V.V.Flambaum, Mon. Not.R.Astron.Soc.345,609 (2003), [astro-ph/0310318]

^[5] R.Quast, D.Reimers, and S.A.Levshakov, Astron. Astrophysics 415, L7 (2004), [astro-ph/0311280].

^[6] R. Srianand, H. Chand, P. Petitjean, and B. Aracil, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 121302 (2004), [astro-ph/0401094, astro-

ph/0402177].

^[7] S.A. Levshakov, Mon. Not. R. Ast. Soc. 269, 339 (1994).

^[8] D.A. Varshalovich, A.Y. Potekhin, and A.V. Ivanchik, Phys. Scr. T 95, 76 (2001).

^[9] T.P.A shenfelter, G.J.M athews, and K.A.O live, astroph/0404257 (2004).

^[10] J.C.Berengut, V.A.D zuba, and V.V.F lam baum, P hys. Rev.A 68,022502 (2003).

^[11] J. C. Berengut, V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flam baum, and M.G. Kozlov, Phys. Rev. A 69, 044102 (2004), [physics/0312137].

^[12] J.C.Berengut, V.A.D zuba, V.V.Flam baum, and M.G. Kozlov, unpublished.

^[13] I. I. Sobelm an, Atom ic spectra and radiative transitions (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979).

- [14] V.A.D zuba, V.V.Flam baum , and M.G.Kozlov, JETP Lett. 63, 882 (1996).
- [15] V .A .D zuba, V .V .F lam baum , and M .G .K ozlov, P hys. R ev .A 54, 3948 (1996).
- [16] M .S.Safronova and W .R.Johnson, Phys.Rev.A 64, 052501 (2001).
- [17] I. I. Tupitsyn et al, Phys. Rev. A 68, 022511 (2003), [physics/0307026].
- [18] V .A .D zuba, V .V .F lam baum , and J.K .W ebb, Phys. Rev.A 59, 230 (1999).
- [19] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flam baum, M. G. Kozlov, and M. Marchenko, Phys. Rev. A 66, 022501 (2002), [physics/0112093].
- [20] J.C.Berengut, V.A.D zuba, V.V.Flam baum , and M.V. Marchenko, physics/0404008 (2004).
- [21] E.J.Angstmann, V.A.D zuba, and V.V.Flam baum, physics/0404042 (2004).
- [22] L. Hallstadius, Z. Phys. A 291, 203 (1979).