The non-linear evolution of bispectrum from the scale-free N-body simulation

YH.Hou^{1;2;4}, YP.Jing^{1;4}, DH.Zhao^{1;4}, G.Borner^{3;4}

ABSTRACT

We have accurately measured the bispectrum for four scale-free models of structure form ation with the spectral index n = 1, 0, .1, and 2. The measurement is based on a new method that can e ectively eliminate the alias and num erical artifacts, and reliably extend the analysis into the strongly non-linear regime. The work makes use of a set of state-of the art N-body simulations that have signi cantly increased the resolution range compared with the previous studies on the subject. W ith these measured results, we dem onstrate that the measured bispectrum depends on the shape and size of k-triangle even in the strongly nonlinear regime. It increases with wavenum ber and decreases with the spectral index. These results are in contrast with the hypothesis that the reduced bispectrum is a constant in the strongly non-linear regime. We also show that the tting form ula of Scoccim arro & Friem an (1999) does not describe our sim ulation results well (with a typical error about 40 percent). In the end, we present a new thing formula for the reduced bispectrum that is valid for 0 2 n with a typical error of 10 percent only.

Subject headings: cosm ology:theory -galaxies:clusters:general - large-scale structure of universe -m ethods:N -body simulations

1. Introduction

Large-scale structures in the Universe are thought to arise from small primordial uctuations through gravitational amplication. It is known that gravitational clustering is a

¹Shanghai A stronom ical O bservatory, the Partner G roup of M P I fur A strophysik, N andan R oad 80, Shanghai 200030, C hina

²G raduate School of the Chinese A cadem y of Science, Yu Quan Road 19a, Beijing 100039, China

³M ax-P lanck-Institut fur A strophysik, K arl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 1, 85748 G arching, G erm any

⁴e-mail: hyh@center.shao.ac.cn, ypjing@center.shao.ac.cn, dhzhao@center.shao.ac.cn, grb@mpagarching.mpg.de

non-linear process. W hen the density uctuations are su ciently small, the evolution of the structures can be studied using perturbation theory (PT). W ith the growth of the uctuation, even for an initially G aussian uctuation, nonlinear gravitational instability induces non-G aussian signatures in the density eld. In the weakly non-linear regime, leading order (tree-level) perturbation theory (Juszkiewicz, Bouchet & Colom bi 1993; B emardeau 1994a; B emardeau et al. 1994b; Lokas et al. 1995; G aztamaga & Baugh 1995; B augh, G aztamaga & E fstathiou 1995; B ouchet et al. 1995) can describe the clustering properties successfully. A s one approaches smaller scales, the loop corrections to the tree-level results are expected to becom e in portant (Scoccim arro & Friem an 1996; Scoccim arro 1997). In the non-linear regime, num erical simulations must be applied to follow the development of the cosm ic structures.

The n-point correlation functions have been widely used as a powerful tool for quantifying the statistical properties of a density eld both in theoretical models and observational catalogs (Peebles 1980). For a Gaussian random eld, the two-point correlation function (2PCF) or its Fourier transform, the power spectrum P (k) can completely characterize its statistical properties, with all higher-order (connected) correlation functions being zero. It requires the higher order correlation functions to describe the statistical properties of the non-Gaussian distribution resulting from gravitational instability (Peebles 1980; Fry 1984; Bemardeau et al. 2002 for an excellent review and references therein).

The bispectrum, the three-point correlation function (3PCF) in Fourier space, is the lowest order statistic that probes the shape of large-scale structures generated by the gravitational clustering (Peebles 1980). Theoretical models of weakly non-linear 3PCF have been studied well in the literature based on PT.PT can describe properties of dark m atter on large $10 h^1 M pc$. It predicts that the 3PCF depends on the shape of the linear power scales spectrum and on the shape of the triangle con guration both in real space (Jing, Borner & Valdamini 1995; Jing & Borner 1997; Friem an & Gaztanaga 1999; Barriga & Gaztanaga 2002) and in Fourier space (Fry 1984; Scoccin arro et al. 1998; Scoccin arro et al. 1999). W hen the galaxy bias is considered, the bispectrum of galaxies contains inform ation on the prim ordial uctuation and on galaxy biasing (Fry 1994; Fry & Gaztanaga 1993; Hivon et al. 1995; Mo, Jing, & White 1997; Matarrese et al. 1997; Verde et al. 2002). Measuring the galaxy bispectrum on large scales can help break the degeneracy between the linear bias and the matter parameter musually present in the dynamical analysis of galaxy redshift. surveys (Fry 1984; H ivon et al. 1995; M atamese et al. 1997; Verde et al. 1998; Scoccim arro et al. 1998). Several authors have started to measure the bias parameters from current large galaxy surveys (Friem an & Gaztanaga 1999 and Gaztanaga & Freim an 1994 for the APM galaxies; Scoccin arro et al. 2001c for IRAS galaxies; Verde et al. 2002 and Jing & Borner 2003 for the 2dFGRS galaxies; K ayo et al. 2004 for SDSS galaxies).

A quantitative modeling of the 3PCF or bispectrum in the nonlinear regime is more challenging. There have been attempts to predict the 3PCF based on the so-called halo model (M a & Fry 2000, Scoccim arro et al. 2001b, Takada & Jain 2003a, W ang et al. 2004). In their detailed modeling, Takada & Jain (2003a) found that the halo model prediction for the 3PCF agrees with the simulation result of Jing & Borner (1998) both in linear and strongly non-linear regimes, but fails on intermediate non-linear scales ($1\,h^1\,M\,pc$). They also pointed out that the 3PCF at the interm ediate scales is very sensitive to the outer radial cut of halos, which could be the reason for the failure of the halo model. On the other hand, N-body simulations have been widely used to study the 3PCF or bispectrum in the nonlinear regime (D avis et al. 1985; E fstathiou et al. 1988). Based on extensive studies with N-body simulations, a tting form ula for the bispectrum was proposed for the scale-free models by Scoccim arro & Friem an (1999, hereafter SF 99), and then extended for the cold dark matter (CDM) models by Scoccim arro & Couchman (2001).

The tting form ula of Scoccim arro & Couchm an (2001) was applied to calculating the skew ness of the convergence eld in the weak gravitational lensing survey (Van W aerbeke et al. 2001; H am ana et al. 2002). Now adays weak lensing surveys have becom e detailed to start m easuring the skew ness of the lensing shear eld (Pen et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2003), and will soon becom e big enough to m easure the three-point correlation function of cosm ic shears (Schneider et al. 2003; Takada & Jain 2003b). It is important to reliably predict the skew ness and the three-point correlation function of cosm ic shears in cosm ological m odels, as the observational determ inations of these quantities are expected to yield a m easure of the cosm ological density param eter (B artelm ann & Schneider 2001 for an excellent review). Therefore, the m otivation is high to derive an accurate m odel for the bispectrum from linear to non-linear scales, and present them in a form useful for the weak lensing survey analysis.

In this paper, we present such a study to investigate how the non-linear evolution of the bispectrum proceeds with a set of scale-free simulations of 512^3 particles. The simulations have the initial spectral index n = 1, 0, 1, or 2. We not that the bispectrum depends not only on n but also on the shape and size of the triangle even in the strong non-linear regime. Our results show that the formula of SF 99 cannot accurately describe the properties of the bispectrum in the non-linear regime. Com paring our measured non-linear bispectrum with the weakly non-linear bispectrum obtained from the second order PT (hereafter PT 2), we have arrived at a new formula for the bispectrum that is significantly more accurate than that of SF 99.

In this analysis, we have carefully examined possible e ects on the bispectrum measurement of the numerical artifacts, such as nite box size, force softening, and particle discreteness. We have also closely paid attention to the e ect caused by the mass assignment using the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT, i.e. the alias e ect). In order to get the true power spectrum and bispectrum from the simulation, we have developed a procedure to correct for the num erical and alias e ects.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give a brief overview of the selfsim ilar evolution of the density eld. In section 3, we describe the numerical simulations used. In section 4, we introduce the power spectrum and the bispectrum, and outline the possible numerical artifacts in the measurements of the power spectrum and the bispectrum. In section 5, we describe our method to measure the bispectrum. We present the measured bispectrum, and give our thing formula for the bispectrum in section 6. The last section contains our summary.

2. Self-sim ilarity

G ravitational clustering from initial conditions has provided one of the classic problem s in cosm ology. To achieve a self-sim ilar evolution, according to Peebles (1980) and E fstathiou et al.(1988), two conditions must be fullled: (1) The background cosm ology should not contain any characteristic scales, thus the universe must be an E instein-de Sitter one, and (2) The initial density eld should have no characteristic length scale, thus its initial power spectrum must be a power law.

For a self-sim ilar clustering pattern, its physical properties remain the same during its evolution when the length scale R is scaled by a characteristic scale R_0 . A simple choice of R_0 for a self-sim ilar clustering is the scale at which the uctuations begin to become non-linear, i.e., the variance of the linear density eld sm oothed on this scale is unity,

$$^{2}(R_{0};a) = 1:$$
 (1)

The variance is de ned by

² (R;a) =
$${}^{2}_{L}$$
 (k;a) j_{V} (kR) $j_{L}^{2} \frac{dk}{k}$: (2)

where W (x) is the Fourier transform of a window function (usually a top hat or G aussian), 2 (k) = d 2 =d ln k = (V = (2)^{3})4 k³P (k) is the contribution to the fractional density variance per unit ln k (V is a norm alization volum e), and a (t) is the expansion scale factor. For

the scale-free initial power spectrum , P_L / a^2k^n , the characteristic scale satis es

$$R_0 / a(t)^{\frac{2}{3+n}}$$
: (3)

The characteristic wavenum ber k_0 can be chosen to be R_0^{-1} , so $k_0 / a(t)^{2=(3+n)}$. With the characteristic scale R_0 , all statistical measures of the density eld can be expressed as a

sim ilarity solution that is independent of time

$$f(R;t) = q(R=R_0) \text{ or } f(k;t) = q(kR_0);$$
 (4)

(Peebles 1980; Efstathiou et al. 1988; Colom bi, Bouchet, & Hemquist 1996; Jain & Bertschinger 1998).

3. The num erical sim ulation

We study the scale-free models that assume an Einstein-de Sitter universe (i.e. $_0 = 1$ and $_0 = 0$), and a power-law P (k) / kⁿ with n being 1, 0, 1, and 2 respectively for the linear density power spectrum. For each model, we have one simulation of 512³ particles that was produced by one of the authors (YPJ). The current simulations are constructed in a similar way as the scale-free simulation sample in Jing (1998), but have higher force and mass resolutions. They were generated with a parallel-vectorized P³M (i.e. Particle Particle Particle M esh) code (Jing & Suto 2002) at the National A stronom ical O bservatory of Japan. The gravitational force is softened with the S2 form of Hockney and Eastwood (1981) with the softening parameter $= 1 10^4$ L (L is the simulation same evolved for 2000 time steps with a total of ten (n=1,0, 2) or eleven (n= 1) outputs at a constant logarithm ic interval (log a) in the scale factor a. Table 1 sum marizes the parameters that are relevant to the discussion in the current work.

4. Power and bispectrum

4.1. Basic theory

Let (r) be the cosm ic density eld, with the mean density . The density eld can be represented by a dimensionless eld (r) (which is usually referred to as the density contrast)

$$(\underline{x}) = \frac{(\underline{x})}{\cdots} : \tag{5}$$

Based on the cosm ological principle, we expect (r) to be periodic in some large rectangular volum eV. Its Fourier transformation is then dened by

$$\mathfrak{k}) = \frac{1}{V} \bigvee_{v}^{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathfrak{r}) e^{i\mathfrak{r} \,\mathfrak{k}} d\mathfrak{r}$$
(6)

The density eld of the simulation is periodic at the box size L. The requirement of periodicity restricts the allowed wavenumbers to harm onic boundary conditions

$$k_x = nk_b; (k_b = \frac{2}{L}; n = 2; 1;0;1;2;3);$$

(7)

with similar expressions for $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{v}}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{z}}$.

The power spectrum P (k) and the bispectrum $B_{123} = B(\tilde{k}_1; \tilde{k}_2; \tilde{k}_3)$ are de ned as

h
$$\mathfrak{K}_{1}$$
) \mathfrak{K}_{2}) i = $_{\text{D irac}}(\mathfrak{K}_{1} + \mathfrak{K}_{2})P(\mathfrak{k})$;
h \mathfrak{K}_{1}) \mathfrak{K}_{2}) \mathfrak{K}_{3}) i = $_{\text{D irac}}(\mathfrak{K}_{1} + \mathfrak{K}_{2} + \mathfrak{K}_{3})B_{123}$; (8)

where h i means ensemble average is the D irac delta, and the $_{D \ irac}(\tilde{k}_1 + \tilde{k}_2 + \tilde{k}_3)$ in plies that the bispectrum is de ned for con gurations of wavenum bers that form closed triangles in k-space. There are many ways to express the shape of a triangle. For a triangle with $\tilde{k}_1, \tilde{k}_2, \tilde{k}_3$, and $\tilde{j}\tilde{k}_1 j$ $\tilde{j}_2 j$ we can parameterize its shape by k, v, as:

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{\tilde{j}}_{1} \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{\tilde{j}}_{1} \mathbf{j}}{\mathbf{\tilde{j}}_{2} \mathbf{j}} = \operatorname{arccos} \frac{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}_{1} \mathbf{\tilde{k}}_{2}}{\mathbf{\tilde{j}}_{1} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{\tilde{k}}_{2} \mathbf{j}} :$$
(9)

In the tree-level PT, the bispectrum can be expressed as follows:

$$B_{123} = 2 F_2 (\tilde{k}_1; \tilde{k}_2) P_1 P_2 + cyc;;$$
(10)

where $P_i = P_i$ (i = 1;2;3), and $F_2(k_1;k_2)$ is the kernel function,

$$F_{2}(\tilde{k}_{1};\tilde{k}_{2}) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{k}_{1}}{k_{1}k_{2}} \frac{\tilde{k}_{2}}{k_{2}} + \frac{k_{2}}{k_{2}} + \frac{2}{7} \frac{\tilde{k}_{1}}{k_{1}k_{2}} \frac{\tilde{k}_{2}}{k_{1}k_{2}}$$
(11)

For convenience we can de ne the reduced bispectrum Q as

$$Q(\tilde{k}_{1};\tilde{k}_{2};\tilde{k}_{3}) = \frac{B_{123}}{P_{1}P_{2} + P_{2}P_{3} + P_{3}P_{1}}:$$
(12)

According to Eq. (9), Q can be expressed as a function of k, v, and \therefore

4.2. M easuring the bispectrum

The Fourier modes of a particle distribution can be determined exactly using the expression (Peebles 1980)

$$\mathfrak{K}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{i\mathfrak{K} \cdot x_{i}} :$$
(13)

Owing to the periodic boundary condition in the simulation, wavenum bers are restricted to the form dened by Eq. (7). It is inecient to use Eq. (13) to compute the Fourier transformation for a simulation where both N and the mode number considered are large. Here we use the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) technique to compute (k). In this case, there is an upper limit for k that is in posed by the nite sampling of the density eld at the FFT mesh points, which is called the Nyquist wavenum ber,

$$k_{N y} = \frac{1}{x}; \qquad (14)$$

where $x = L = N_m$ is the mesh spacing and N_m is the dimension of the mesh. Then the power spectrum and bispectrum can be estimated through averaging all modes of which the wavenum bers k_i in this shells ($k_i = k$, $k = k_i$, i = 1, 2, 3) satisfy Eq. (8):

$$\hat{P}(k_{1}) = \frac{1}{m} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ m \\ \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, 2 \\ K_{1}, \kappa_{2}, k_{3}, k_{2} \end{pmatrix}_{D \text{ irac}} (\kappa_{1} + \kappa_{2});$$

$$\hat{B}_{123} = \frac{1}{m} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ m \\ \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}, 2 \end{pmatrix}_{D \text{ irac}} (\kappa_{1} + \kappa_{2} + \kappa_{3}); \quad (15)$$

where is the set composed by all wavenum bers \tilde{k}_1 (with $\tilde{k}_2 = \tilde{k}_1$) in the thin shell ($k_1 = k$, $k = k_1$), and is the set composed by all triangles with the same shapes formed by \tilde{k}_1 and \tilde{k}_2 (with $\tilde{k}_3 = \tilde{k}_2 = \tilde{k}_1$) in their thin shells respectively. m and m⁰ are the numbers of the pairs and the triangles to be averaged.

A lthough it is very e cient to compute (k) with FFT, it is in portant to remember the num erical limitations caused by FFT. As shown in Jing (1992; 2004), the mass assignment onto a grid for FFT has two e ects: the smoothing e ect and the sampling e ect. The sm oothing e ect has been considered by m any authors in previous bistpectrum m easurem ents (e.g. Scoccin arro et al. 1998, their Appendix), but the sam pling e ect has not. Because both e ects are coupled, the sm oothing e ect cannot be fully corrected if the sam pling e ect is not considered. These e ects must be taken into account for a precision analysis such as the current work. First, the particle distribution must be sampled at the FFT grid points. Here we adopt the Nearest-Grid-Point (NGP) (Efstathiou et al. 1985) approximation to assign the particle mass to the grid. This generally leads to a smoothing of the density eld on the scale of x in the coordinate space. Higher order mass assignment schemes, e.g. cloud-in-cell (CIC) or triangular shaped cloud (TSC), cannot avoid the sm oothing issue either; in fact they increase the sm oothing even to a larger scale. In the next subsection, we will show that the mass assignment with NGP has the smoothing e ect for $k > k_{\rm Ny} = 3$ which essentially limits the usable Fourier modes to $k < k_{Ny}=3$. For a 3D FFT with $N_m = 1024$, k is thus limited to < 160kb that is not enough for fully exploring the non-linear properties. A

much bigger N_m would require a huge computer resource for the FFT computation. In the next section, we will show that we can overcome this problem electively with a 2D FFT.

4.3. Numerical e ects on the power spectrum and bispectrum

W hen m easuring the power spectrum and bispectrum from a simulation we must take account for the num erical artifacts, such as the discreteness e ect, the nite box size, and the force softening. These lim it the dynam ical range of the simulation, and thus a ect the m easured power spectrum and bispectrum. O ther important e ect is that introduced by the FFT. Here we will address how to correct and/or account for these num erical artifacts.

4.3.1. Discreteness e ects

Since the power spectrum and bispectrum are measured from simulations with a nite number of particles, we need to correct for the discreteness e ect arising from the Poisson shot noise. A coording to Peebles (1980), we divide the volum e V into in nitesimal elements fdV_{ig} with n_i objects inside dV_i . The over-density can be written as: $_i = (n_i \quad n)=n$ (n is the mean number density), and its Fourier transform ation can be expressed as:

$${}^{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} n_{i} e^{i\mathbf{x}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{k}} \sum_{D \text{ irac}} (\mathbf{k}; 0);$$
(16)

where N = nV , is the number of particles in V . Since dV_i is taken so sm all that n_i is either 0 or 1, we have $n_i = n_i^2 = n_i^3 =$.

For the power spectrum , we can not the ensemble average of $\ ^d$ (K_1) $\ ^d$ (K_2) which reads:

$$h^{d}(\mathbf{k}_{1})^{d}(\mathbf{k}_{2})i = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{ij}^{X} hn_{i}n_{j}ie^{i\mathbf{r}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{i}\mathbf{r}_{j}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{2}} D_{irac}(\mathbf{k}_{1};0) D_{irac}(\mathbf{k}_{2};0)$$

$$= h(\mathbf{k}_{1}) (\mathbf{k}_{2})i + \frac{1}{N} D_{irac}(\mathbf{k}_{1};\mathbf{k}_{2}):$$
(17)

Finally, we get the desired result:

$$P(k) = hj^{d}(k)j^{2}i \frac{1}{N}$$
 (18)

>From Eq. (18) we know that the discreteness (or shot noise) e ect gives an additional term 1=N to the power spectrum. We can correct for the shot noise in the power spectrum

easily. In analogy with the power spectrum, we write the bispectrum :

$$h^{d}(\tilde{k}_{1})^{d}(\tilde{k}_{2})^{d}(\tilde{k}_{3})i = h \tilde{k}_{1} \tilde{k}_{2} \tilde{k}_{3})i + \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{k}_{1}) + \mathbb{P}(\tilde{k}_{2}) + \mathbb{P}(\tilde{k}_{3})] + \frac{1}{N^{2}};$$
(19)

The bispectrum with the shot noise removed can be expressed as:

$$B(\tilde{K}_{1};\tilde{K}_{2};\tilde{K}_{3}) = h^{d}(\tilde{K}_{1})^{d}(\tilde{K}_{2})^{d}(\tilde{K}_{3})i$$

$$\frac{1}{N} P(\tilde{K}_{1}) + P(\tilde{K}_{2}) + P(\tilde{K}_{3})] \frac{1}{N^{2}}: \qquad (20)$$

4.3.2. Force softening and box size

In the simulation, in order to suppress two-body encounters, a softening must be applied when calculating the gravitational interaction. This induces an error in the integration of particle trajectories at small scale. We must impose some constraint on the scale below which the numerical elect dominates the clustering in the simulation. The cuto can be a few times of the softening length.

The box size of the simulation is nite, so there is no clustering power beyond the simulation box. On one hand, this results in a limited number of Fourier modes at k & k_b which can in uence the accuracy of measuring the clustering spectra. On the other hand, this large-scale cuto may also a ect the clustering on scale much smaller than the box size, because the coupling between di erent scales can be important on non-linear and quasilinear scales.

Both the force softening and the box-size cuto are expected to break down the scaling property of the self-sim ilar evolution. We will use the expected scaling to quantify these num erical artifacts.

4.3.3. Mass assignment

W hen doing the FFT, we rst need to collect density values on grids (usually called m ass assignment). The m ass assignment in fact is equivalent to convolving the density eld by one chosen function W (r) and sampling the convolved density on a nite number of grid points, therefore the FFT of (r_g) generally is not equal to the FT of (r). In this work, we have adopted the NGP (the nearest grid point) scheme to assign particles to the mesh. The nite sampling of the convolved density results in the summation of the aliased power spectrum or bispectrum.

We can correct the alias e ect on the power spectrum through a theoretical calculation (Jing 1992, 2004; Baugh & Efstathiou 1994; Sm ith et al. 2003). But it is complicated to correct for the alias e ect on the bispectrum through a theoretical calculation. Fortunately, we nd that the 2D statistical properties are identical to the 3D statistical properties in Fourier space (x5). Thus we can make use of the 2D density eld instead of the 3D density eld when calculating the bispectrum at sm all scales, because the 2D FFT needs less computer memory than the 3D case. In fact, the larger number of grid points N_m for the FFT, the sm aller scale where the alias e ect takes place. The 2D FFT can overcom e the limitation of the computation and involve little alias e ect. Thus we can extend the measurem ent of the bispectrum to very sm all scales with little num erical artifact.

5. The method

Let $_{3D}$ (r) be the over-density in 3D real space, and $_{2D}$ (x) the over-density in 2D real space,

$$_{3D}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \frac{(\mathbf{r}) \quad 0}{0};$$

$$_{2D}(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \frac{!(\mathbf{x}) \quad !_{0}}{!_{0}}: \qquad (21)$$

where (\mathbf{r}) and $!(\mathbf{x})$ are the density eld of the 3D real space and the 2D real space respectively, $_0$ and $!_0$ are the mean density correspondingly. The 2D density eld is de ned by integrating the 3D density eld along one direction, say z-axis,

The over-density in 2D space is:

$$_{2D}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{!(\mathbf{x}) !_{0}}{!_{0}} = \frac{1}{L} \int_{L}^{Z} _{3D}(\mathbf{x}) dz:$$
 (23)

Eq. (6) gives the Fourier transform ation of the over-density in 3D space. The Fourier transform ation of the over-density in 2D space can be expressed as

$$_{2D} (\tilde{\kappa}_{2D}) = \frac{1}{A} \int_{A}^{Z} (x) e^{ix \tilde{\kappa}_{2D}} dx; \qquad (24)$$

where A is the norm alization surface area. Inserting Eq. (23) into Eq. (24), we get

$$_{2D}$$
 (\tilde{K}_{2D}) = $\frac{1}{A} \begin{bmatrix} Z & 1 \\ A & L \end{bmatrix}_{L} = _{3D}$ (r) $e^{ir \tilde{K}_{2D}} dz dr$

7

Eq. (25) gives an important hint that we can connect the statistical properties of 3D Fourier space with that of 2D Fourier space. Following Eq. (8), we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h_{2D} (\aleph_1)_{2D} (\aleph_2) i &=& h_{3D} (\aleph_1 ; 0)_{3D} (\aleph_2 ; 0) i; \\ h_{2D} (\aleph_1)_{2D} (\aleph_2)_{2D} (\aleph_3) i &=& h_{3D} (\aleph_1 ; 0)_{3D} (\aleph_2 ; 0)_{3D} (\aleph_3 ; 0) i: \end{array}$$
(26)

Consistent with the Cosm ological Principle (the isotropic property of the Universe), Eq. (26) means that the 2D density eld in Fourier space has the same statistical properties as the 3D eld.

In Figure 1 we compare the 2D power spectrum with the 3D power spectrum measured from the simulations with initial spectral index 1, 0, 1, and 2. The number of grid points of the 2D FFT is 16384^2 , and the number of the 3D FFT is 1024^3 . For the 3D power spectrum we plot 2 (kR₀) (k = nk_b, n = 1;2)) for the last output of the simulations until n = 512. As to the 2D case, we measured the power spectrum with the last three outputs of the simulations under the scaling transform ation, and plot 2 (kR₀) (k = 2 n=L;n = 1;2)

) until n = 3000. Here we do not correct for the alias e ect, and nd that the alias begins to a ect the power spectrum when the wavenum ber is larger than $k_{\rm AL} = k_{\rm N y}$ =3 as indicated by the vertical lines in the picture. This picture also shows that the 2D power spectrum is identical to the 3D power spectrum when the alias e ect is negligible.

In Figure 2 we compare the reduced bispectrum measured in the 2D and 3D density elds with the simulation of n = 1. We express the reduced bispectrum as a function of k, v and as de ned in Eq. (9). Each panel shows Q (k;v;) as a function of the angle between \aleph_1 and \aleph_2 with di erent k and v. For the 3D bispectrum we only show the results of the last output for this simulation (a = 1). For the 2D case we get the reduced bispectrum with the last two outputs of the simulation (a = 0:792;1) under the scaling transform ation. The corresponding k values of the triangles are less than $k_{\rm AL}$, so the alias does not a loss the measured results. The gure shows that the bispectrum measured in 2D agrees very well with that in 3D, as expected.

From Figures 1 and 2, we conclude that it is reliable to use the 2D FFT instead of the 3D FFT to calculate the power spectrum and bispectrum on small scales. For the 2D particle distribution, an FFT with $N_m = 8192$ requires computer memory about 0.3 G byte, even

that with $N_m = 16384$ requires m em ory about 1 G byte only. Therefore we can extend the bispectrum m easurem ent into the strong nonlinear regim e with little computer limitation.

In fact, $N_m = 8192$ is su cient to avoid the alias e ect. In Figure 3, we show the power spectra at di erent epochs measured with the same number of FFT grid points. The deviation of the power spectrum from the scaling expectation at $k < k_{AL}$ should be attributed to the num erical artifacts in the simulations. From the gure, we nd that these artifacts begin to in uence the power spectrum at k 0:1k for late outputs where k = 2 = .We believe this is mainly caused by the force softening. For early outputs, the deviation from the scaling happens at a larger scale, which we attribute to the cuto of the initial uctuation at $k > k_{N_v}$ as well as the initial distribution of the particles on grid. All this shows that $N_m = 8192$ is su cient to explore the non-linear features in our simulations. In Figure 4 we check the softening e ect on the reduced bispectrum. For simplicity we give the results only for spectral index 1. The left panels show the reduced bispectrum for equilateral triangles at four epochs up to the wavenum ber where the softening begins to a ect the power spectrum (as de ned in Figure 3). The points with error bars are measured from the 2D density eld, and those without error bars are obtained from the 3D density eld. The error bars of the 2D bispectra are estimated from three projections. We compare these results on the right panel using the sim ilarity scaling, which shows that the reduced bispectrum is not a ected by the softening for the wavenum bers less than the softening limit set by the power spectrum analysis (Figure 3). We will use this criteria to minimize the softening e ect in our Q m easurem ent.

We should point out that for a xed range of k, the number of the Fourier modes is much smaller in 2D than in 3D. This limitation implies that the 2D FFT is not appropriate for the measurement at small wavenumbers. In our current analysis, we do both the 3D FFT with $N_m = 1024$ and the 2D FFT with $N_m = 8192$ for each simulation. For the wavenumber k < 2 = L 512=3, we use \tilde{K}) based on the 3D FFT; otherwise we use \tilde{K}) based on the 2D FFT. For the 2D case, we have projected the density eld along three axes. The 2D bispectrum is measured by averaging over the results of the three projections.

6. Results

6.1. Num erical results

In this section, we show the reduced bispectrum from the quasilinear regime to the strong non-linear regime measured with our new method. We have measured the bispectrum for many triangle shapes at dierent scales. In each case, we scale the results of two or three outputs with the characteristic scale de ned by Eq. (1) in order to make sure that these results are not a ected by numerical elects. For simplicity, in Figure 5 we show only a few measured bispectra for spectral index 1, 0, 1, 2, and these results scale very well. From the measured results (Figures 7, 9 and 11; left columns), we not that the results are in good agreement with the one-loop PT prediction in the quasilinear regime (Scoccim arro et al. 1998): the reduced bispectrum Q is higher than the second-order PT for n = 2 and is smaller for nonlinear regime, which is in contrast with the hypothesis adopted by SF 99 that Q is a constant in this regime. It decreases with the initial spectral index and increases with the wavenum ber, sim ilar to what found for cold dark matter models (Jing & Borner 1998, Ma & Fry 2000, Scoccim arro, et al. 2001b, Takada & Jain 2003a).

6.2. A tting form ula for the bispectrum

SF 99 presented a tting form ula for the bispectrum in scale-free clustering m odels. From F igures 7, 9 and 11 we nd that the reduced bispectrum predicted by this form ula agrees with the m easured bispectrum at linear and quasilinear scales, and works well for some triangles with special shapes (such as $k_1 = 2k_2$ in the n = -1 m odel) in the strong nonlinear regime. But this form ula cannot generally follow the bispectrum accurately at strongly nonlinear scales, because they assumed that the normalized bispectrum Q is a constant for a given initial spectral index, independent of the triangle's wavenum ber and shape. We provide a new tting form ula in this section to describe the nonlinear evolution of the bispectrum.

H am ilton et al. (1991) proposed a universal em pirical relation between the linear $_{\rm L}$ and non-linear NL two-point correlation functions. The relation is a powerful tool for predicting NL in cosm obgicalm odels. Later, Jain, Mo, & White (1995) dem onstrated that the relation ofH am ilton et al. fails for the scale-free m odel of n = 2. Peacock & Dodds (1996) exam ined a large set of scale-free m odels and CDM m odels, and obtained a accurate tting form ula for the power spectrum which agrees with the results of N-body simulations. M otivated by their work, we nd that the ratio of the measured bispectrum to the weakly non-linear bispectrum (the second-order PT) has interesting properties, especially the behavior of $(Q_{n1}=Q_1)^{1+0.25n}$ 0). A few typical examples of $(Q_1=Q_1)^{1+0.25n}$ are shown in Figures 6, 8 and (2 n 10 (the open symbols) for the three models with n = 0, 1, and 2. From these results we expect that the relation between the weakly non-linear bispectrum and the nonlinear bispectrum can be expressed as:

$$Q_{n1}(kR_{0};v;) = f_{n1}^{1=(1+0.25n)}(kR_{0};v; ;n)Q_{1}(kR_{0};v;));$$
(27)

where n satisfies 2 n 0. At linear scales Q_1 Q_1 , and f_{n1} takes an asymptotic form

as f_{n1} (kR₀;v; ;n) 1 in the linear regime. From the measured $(Q_{n1}=Q_1)^{1+0.25n}$, we can nd that $(Q_{n1}=Q_1)^{1+0.25n}$ as a function of is approximated by a Gaussian function. Its difference from the Gaussian function is dependent on the triangle shape, scale and spectral index n. Taking into account of these factors, we propose the following form for f_{n1} (kR₀;v; ;n):

$$f_{n1}(kR_{0};v; ;n) = \frac{a_{1}(kR_{0};v;n)\exp \left(\frac{(= \hat{g}(kR_{0};v;n))^{2}}{a_{3}(kR_{0};v;n)} + a_{4}(kR_{0};v;n)\right)}{1 + a_{5}(kR_{0};v;n)(=)^{2} + a_{6}(kR_{0};v;n)(=)^{4}}; \quad (28)$$

where a_i (kR₀;v;n) are:

$$a_{1} (kR_{0}; v; n) = f1 \frac{2}{\exp(0.5(1 - n)kR_{0}) + 1} + [(4 + n)0.002v + (0.012 + 0.008n)]kR_{0}g[(0.1 - 0.3n)v + 0.4];$$

$$a_{2} (kR_{0}; v; n) = 0.5 + 0.2v;$$

$$a_{3} (kR_{0}; v; n) = [1: \frac{2}{\exp(0.5kR_{0}) + 1} + (0.01nv + 0.001)kR_{0}]0.04 + 0.06v + 0.1^{(1 - n)};$$

$$a_{4} (kR_{0}; v; n) = f1.1 + [(n^{2})^{1.3}0.05 - 0.2]tanh(2kR_{0}) + 0.15\exp(-(0.3kR_{0})^{2}) + (0.01 - \frac{0.05 - 0.035v}{4v + 2})kR_{0}g=[1 + (0.4 - 0.2v)^{1 + kR_{0}}];$$

$$a_{6} (kR_{0}; v; n) = [0.8 - \frac{2}{\exp((0.5 - n)kR_{0}) + 1} + (0.01 - 0.005 = (v + 0.1))kR_{0}]$$

$$[2 - \frac{0.3}{v + 0.1}] + 0.2n tanh(2kR_{0} - 1);$$

$$a_{5} (kR_{0}; v; n) = 0.7a_{6} (kR_{0}; v; n);$$
(29)

where tanh(x) = (exp(x)) exp(x) = (exp(x) + exp(x)) is the hyperbolic function.

We have obtained the best-tting parameters in $a_i (kR_0; v; n)$ by doing a ² m inimization between the predicted and measured bispectrum for all triangles. In Figures 6, 8 and 10 we compare the best tting function f_{n1} with our measured $(Q_{n1}=Q_1)^{1+0.25n}$. The gures show that the tting formula (28) works very well for 2 n 0, for all triangle shapes, and for all scales (characterized by kR_0) studied. With the function f_{n1} , we can convert the weakly non-linear bispectrum into the non-linear bispectrum. In Figures 7, 9 and 11 we compare our measured reduced bispectra with the prediction of our tting formula. There we also plot the prediction of the tting formula of SF99. From the gures, one can see that the tting formula obtained in this paper can accurately match the simulation results, much

better than the form ula of SF 99.

To quantify the accuracy of our thing form ula, we plot the percentage of the deviation

Q=Q in Figures 12, 13 and 14. The deviation is de ned as:

$$\frac{Q}{Q} = \frac{jQ_{fit} \quad Q_{sim u} \quad j}{Q_{sim u}};$$
(30)

where Q_{fit} is the prediction of our thing formula, and Q_{simu} is the reduced bispectrum measured from the simulations. Similarly we also estimate the accuracy of the thing formula of SF 99 that is also shown in the gures. The gures show that our thing formula can match the measured results typically at an accuracy of 10 percent. The deviation is slightly larger, about 20 30%, when and kR < 1, which could be attributed to some stochastic uctuation in Q_{simu} for the limited number of k-triangles in the con guration. We also see that the deviation for the SF 99 formula is much larger, alm ost about 50 percent in the strongly nonlinear regime.

A lthough we only have a single realization for these simulations, we measured the bispectrum for two or three outputs scaled according to the similarity solution. We regard each output as a realization, and estimate the errors of the bispectra from the dierent outputs. We also have taken the projections along dierent axes as independent realizations when we estimate errors for the 2D bispectra. In Figures 7, 9 and 11, the error bars are estimated with this method.

7. Sum m ary and discussion

In this paper, we have accurately measured the bispectrum for four scale-free models. The measurement is based on a new method that can e ectively eliminate the alias and num erical artifacts, and reliably extend the analysis into the strongly non-linear regime. The work also makes use of a set of state-of-the art N-body simulations of scale-free hierarchical m odels that have a signi cantly larger dynam ical range than the previous studies. W ith these m easured results, we dem onstrated that the m easured bispectrum depends on the shape and size of k-triangle even in the strongly nonlinear regime. It increases with wavenumber and decreases with the spectral index. These results are consistent with that those found for the three-point correlation for CDM models (Jing & Borner 1998, Ma & Fry 2000, Scoccim arro & Couchm an 2001, Scoccim arro et al. 2001b, Takada & Jain 2003a), but are in contrast with the hypothesis that Q is a constant in the strongly non-linear regime (SF 99). We also show that the tting formula of SF 99 does not describe our simulation results well, with a typical error about 40 percent. In the end, we present a new tting form ula for the reduced bispectrum that is valid for 2 n 0 with a typical error of 10 percent only.

Our new method for measuring the bispectra is to use the property that the 2D power spectrum and bispectrum are identical to the 3D ones. This property can be easily proved

and has been tested with our N-body simulations. As the 2D FFT requires less computer memory than the 3D FFT, we can extend our analysis of the bispectrum into very small scales with little computer limitation. We also use the scaling properties of the scale-free models to correct for all known num erical artifacts and to identify those regimes where the bispectra can reliably measured.

A lthough we have obtained an empirical form ula of the bispectrum for scale-free m odels of the spectral index 2 n 0, som e issues need to be addressed in future work. One obvious aspect is that the form ula does not work for n = 1, because the tree-level PT cannot predict the weakly non-linear bispectrum for this model. Fortunately the slope of the power spectrum in CDM models, which are the most plausible theory for the structure form ation in the Universe, is in the range 1 to 3 at the non-linear scales. Therefore, we may generalize our thing formula to CDM models by considering the change of the power spectrum slope with scale as well as the deviation from the Einstein-de Sitter model. We will study the bispectrum in CDM models in a future paper. Another issue is that our thing formula is purely empirical. Considering that our measured Q results are in good agreem ent with the one-loop PT prediction in the quasilinear regime (Scoccim arro et al. 1998) and that the halo m odel can successfully m atch the three-point correlation function in the strongly non-linear regine (Takada & Jain 2003a), we think it would be possible to combine these theoretical predictions to nd a more theory-oriented thing form ula for Q.

We thank Ue-LiPen for useful discussion at the initial stage of this work. The work is supported in part by NKBRSF (G 19990754), by NSFC (N os.10125314, 10373012), and by the CAS-MPG exchange program. Num erical simulations presented in this paper were carried out at ADAC (the A stronom icalD ata A nalysis C enter) of the N ationalA stronom ical O bservatory, Japan.

REFERENCES

Barriga, J. & Gaztanaga, E. 2002, MNRAS, 333, 443
Bartelm ann, M., & Schneider, P. 2001, Phys. Rep., 340, 291
Baugh, C. M. & Efstathiou, G. 1994, MNRAS, 270, 183
Baugh, C. M., Gaztanaga, E., & Efstathiou, G. 1995, MNRAS, 274, 1049
Bernardeau, F. 1994a, A & A, 291, 697
Bernardeau, F., Singh, T. P., Banerjee, B., & Chitre, S.M. 1994b, MNRAS, 269, 947
Bernardeau, F., Colombi, S., Gaztanaga, E., & Scoccin arro, R. 2002, Phys. Rep., 367, 1
Bouchet, F. R., Colombi, S., Hivon, E., & Juszkiew icz, R. 1995, A & A, 296, 575
Colombi, S., Bouchet, F. R., & Hernquist, L. 1996, ApJ, 465, 14

- Davis, M., Efstathiou, G., Frenk, C.S., & White, S.D.M. 1985, ApJ, 292, 371
- Efstathiou, G., Davis, M., White, S.D.M., & Frenk, C.S. 1985, ApJS, 57, 241
- Efstathiou, G., Frenk, C.S., White, S.D.M., & Davis, M. 1988, MNRAS, 235, 715
- Friem an, J.A. & Gaztanaga, E. 1999, ApJ, 521, L83
- Fry, J.N. 1984, ApJ, 279, 499
- Fry, J.N. & Gaztanaga, E. 1993, ApJ, 413, 447
- Fry, J.N. 1994, Physical Review Letters, 73, 215
- Fry, J.N., Melott, A.L., & Shandarin, S.F. 1995, MNRAS, 274, 745
- Gaztanaga, E. & Frieman, J.A. 1994, ApJ, 437, L13
- Gaztanaga, E. & Baugh, C. M. 1995, MNRAS, 273, L1
- Ham ilton, A.J.S., M atthews, A., Kumar, P., & Lu, E. 1991, ApJ, 374, L1
- Hamana, T., Colombi, S.T., Thion, A., Devriendt, J.E.G.T., Mellier, Y., & Bernardeau, F. 2002, MNRAS, 330, 365
- Hivon, E., Bouchet, F.R., Colombi, S., & Juszkiewicz, R. 1995, A&A, 298, 643
- Hockney, R.W. & Eastwood, J.W. 1981, Computer Simulation Using Particles, New York: M cG raw -H ill, 1981
- Jain, B., Mo, H.J., & White, S.D.M. 1995, MNRAS, 276, L25
- Jain, B. & Bertschinger, E. 1998, ApJ, 509, 517
- Jing, Y.P. 1992, PhD. Thesis
- Jing, Y. P. 2004, astroph/0409240
- Jing, Y.P., Borner, G., & Valdamini, R. 1995, MNRAS, 277, 630
- Jing, Y.P. 1998, ApJ, 503, L9
- Jing, Y.P.& Borner, G. 1997, A&A, 318, 667
- Jing, Y.P.& Bomer, G.1998, ApJ, 503, 37
- Jing, Y.P.& Suto, Y.2002, ApJ, 574, 538
- Jing, Y.P.& Bomer, G. 2004, ApJ, 607, 140
- Juszkiewicz, R., Bouchet, F.R., & Colombi, S. 1993, ApJ, 412, L9

Lokas, E.L., Juszkiewicz, R., Weinberg, D.H., & Bouchet, F.R. 1995, MNRAS, 274, 730

- Kayo, I., et al., 2004, preprint (astro-ph/0403638)
- Ma, C.& Fry, J.N. 2000, ApJ, 538, L107
- Matarrese, S., Verde, L., & Heavens, A.F. 1997, MNRAS, 290, 651
- Mo, H.J., Jing, Y.P., & White, S.D.M. 1997, MNRAS, 284, 189
- Peacock, J.A. & Dodds, S.J. 1996, MNRAS, 280, L19
- Peebles, P.J.E., 1980, The Large-Scale Structure of the Universe. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ
- Pen, U., Zhang, T., van Waerbeke, L., Mellier, Y., Zhang, P., & Dubinski, J. 2003, ApJ, 592, 664
- Schneider, P., Kilbinger, M., & Lombardi, M., preprint (astro-ph/0308328)

- Scoccim arro, R. & Friem an, J.A. 1996, ApJ, 473, 620
- Scoccim arro, R. 1997, ApJ, 487, 1
- Scoccim arro, R., Colom bi, S., Fry, J.N., Friem an, J.A., Hivon, E., & Melott, A. 1998, ApJ, 496, 586
- Scoccim arro, R. & Friem an, J.A. 1999, ApJ, 520, 35
- Scoccim arro, R. & Couchm an, H. M. P. 2001, MNRAS, 325, 1312
- Scoccim arro, R., Sheth, R.K., Hui, L., & Jain, B. 2001b, ApJ, 546, 20
- Scoccim arro, R., Feldman, H.A., Fry, J.N., & Frieman, J.A. 2001c, ApJ, 546, 652
- Sm ith, R E., Peacock, J.A., Jenkins, A., W hite, S.D.M., Frenk, C.S., Pearce, F.R., PA. Thom as, P.A., Efstathiou, G., Couchmann, H.M. P. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 1311
- Takada, M . & Jain, B . 2003a, M NRAS, 340, 580
- Takada, M. & Jain, B. 2003b, MNRAS, 344, 857
- Verde, L., Heavens, A.F., Matamese, S., & Moscardini, L. 1998, MNRAS, 300, 747
- Verde, L., et al. 2002, MNRAS, 335, 432
- Van Waerbeke L., Ham ana T., Scoccim arro R., Colom bi S., Bernardeau F., 2001, MNRAS, 322, 918
- W ang,Y., Yang, X., Mo, H.J., van den Bosch, F.C., Chu, Y.Q., preprint (astro-ph/0404143)
- Zhang, T., Pen, U., Zhang, P., & Dubinski, J. 2003, ApJ, 598, 818

This preprint was prepared with the AAS IPT_EX m acros v5.0.

sim ulation	=L		tim esteps	a initial	afirstoutput	$a_{\rm final}$	outputs	loga _i
n=1.	1:	10 ⁴	2000	0.0007	0.0042	1.0	10	0.266
n=0.	1:	10 ⁴	2000	0.0028	0.0157	1.0	10	0.20
n= 1	1:	10 ⁴	2000	0.0136	0.064	1.0	11	0.121
n= 2	1:	10 ⁴	2000	0.0834	0.2514	1.0	10	0.0667

Table 1: The scale-free simulations of 512^3 particles



Fig. 1. Comparison of the power spectrum measured from the 2D and 3D density elds. The data are the last three outputs of the simulations with the spectral index n = 1;0; 1; 2. In each panel, the three short-dashed lines (middle) represent the 2D power spectrum measured from the last three outputs of the simulation, the solid line (bottom) is the 3D power spectrum measured from the last output of the simulation, and the long-dashed line (up) is the prediction by the tting formula of Peacock & Dodds (1996). The value of NG represents the number of grid points adopted in their FFT respectively. W e plot 2 (kR₀) (k = 2 n=L;n = 1;2 .) until n = 512 for the 3D power spectrum, and until n = 3000 for the 2D power spectrum. The wavenum ber k_{AL} at the vertical line is k_{N y}=3 for 3D power spectrum . R₀ is the characteristic scale de ned in Eq. (1).



Fig. 2. Comparison of the reduced bispectrum measured from the 2D and the 3D density elds. The data are the last two outputs (a = 0:792, a = 1:0) of the simulation with spectral index n = 1. The solid line corresponds to the 3D reduced bispectrum at the last output of the simulation, and the open symbols are for the 2D reduced bispectrum at the last two outputs of the simulation under the scaling transformation. The left panels give Q (k;v;) for $kR_0 = 11:8$, and the right ones for $kR_0 = 17:8$.



Fig. 3. The power spectrum measured by FFT with the same number of grid points (NG = 16384^2) for ve epochs of the simulations, and scaled by the characteristic scale. These results are plotted by the lines A, B, C, D, E in each paneluntil k_{AL} , and their epochs are shown in the picture. The numerical artifacts begin to a ect the power spectrum at the vertical line indicated by k_{NB} , k_{NC} , k_{ND} , k_{NE} (in units of k, is the softening length), at which the deviation of the power spectrum from the true power spectrum is about 10 percent.



Fig. 4. | The reduced bispectrum for equilateral triangles measured by FFT with N G_{2D} = 8192^2 or N G_{3D} = 1024^3 for four epochs of the n = 1 simulation. The results are plotted up to the wavenumber at which the softening begins to in uence the power spectrum (the vertical lines in Figure 3). Each panel on the left shows the results for one epoch. The points with and without error bars are measured from the 2D and 3D density elds respectively. We compare these results on the right panel where for clarity we do not show the errors.



Fig. 5. The reduced bispectrum Q (k_iv_i) as a function of the angle between \tilde{k}_1 and \tilde{k}_2 for two or three outputs scaled by the characteristic scale. These results are measured by 3D FFT or 2D FFT as indicated at the bottom. The scales are also indicated. D i erent symbols (open circles, open triangles, open squares) stand for the results at di erent outputs.



Fig. 6. The value of $(Q_{n1}=Q_1)^{1=(1+0.25n)}$ as a function of for the spectral index n = 0. Q_{n1} is the reduced bispectrum measured from the simulation, and Q_1 is the weakly non-linear reduced bispectrum predicted by PT2. The solid line shows our tting formula for $(Q_{n1}=Q_1)^{1=(1+0.25n)}$, and the circle symbols are the simulation results. Vertical panel columns have the same kR₀, as indicated at the bottom.



Fig. 7. The reduced bispectrum Q (k;v;) measured from the simulation with the spectral index n = 0 (open circles), compared with the predictions by PT2 (long-dashed lines), by the tting formula of SF99 (short-dashed lines), and by the tting formula in this paper (solid lines). Vertical panel columns have the same kR₀, as indicated at the bottom.



Fig. 8. Same as Fig.6, but for the spectral index n = 1.



Fig. 9. Same as Fig.7, but for the spectral index n = 1.



Fig. 10. Same as Fig.6, but for the spectral index n = 2.



Fig. 11. Same as Fig.7, but for the spectral index n = 2.



Fig. 12. The relative accuracy of the thing form use for the bispectrum for the spectral index n = 0. The open circles are for the form use of SF 99, and the solid lines are for the form use obtained in this paper.



Fig. 13. Same as Fig.12, but for the spectral index n = 1.



Fig. 14. Same as Fig.12, but for the spectral index n = 2.