```
**TITLE **
ASP Conference Series, Vol. **VOLUME ***, **YEAR OF PUBLICATION **
**NAMES OF EDITORS**
```

Six Years of G am m a R ay Burst O bservations with BeppoSAX

Filippo Frontera

Physics Department, University of Ferrara, V ia Paradiso, 12, 44100 Ferrara, Italy and IASF, CNR, V ia Gobetti, 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy

A bstract. I give a sum mary of the prom pt X {/gam m a {ray detections of G am m a Ray Bursts (G R B s) with the BeppoSAX satellite and discuss som e signi cant results obtained from the study of the prom pt em ission of these G R B s obtained with the BeppoSAX G am m a Ray Burst M onitor and W ide Field C am eras.

1. The BeppoSAX revolution

A ll the e orts perform ed before BeppoSAX, an Italian satellite w ith D utch participation (B cella et al. 1997a), of unveiling the mystery of G am m a R ay Bursts (GRBs), had not solved the problem. The most relevant result in this direction was obtained with the BATSE experiment aboard the C ompton G am m a R ay O bærvatory (C GRO): the GRBs were isotropically but not hom ogeneously distributed in the sky. These facts were very suggestive of an extragalactic origin, but they were not a direct dem onstration. Indeed, it was recognized (e.g., F ishm an & M eegan 1995) that only the identication of a GRB counterpart at other wavelengths was needed in order to make a breakthrough in setting their distance scale. M oreover the presence of a delayed em ission was not considered a necessary consequence the observed GRB phenom enon. Thus, about thirty years after the initial discovery, the GRBs were still a mysterious phenom enon.

Thanks to BeppoSAX, this situation was reversed. The distance scale issue of long (> 1 s) GRBs has been de nitely settled, the GRB afferglow emission at multiwavelengths has been discovered, with the GRB phenomenon, which is being unveiled. BeppoSAX has opened a new window in the GRB astronomy, providing most of the exciting results of the last 6 years. The high perform ance of BeppoSAX for GRB studies is due to a well{matched con guration of its payload, with both wide eld instruments (W FIs) and narrow eld telescopes (NFIs). The W FIs comprised a {ray (40{700 keV} all{sky monitor (G am m a-Ray Burst M onitor, GRBM, Frontera et al. 1997) and two W ide Field C am eras (W FC s, 2{28 keV, Jager et al. 1997). The NFIs included four focusing X -ray (0.1{10 keV} telescopes (one LEC S, Parm aret al. 1997; and three M EC S, Boella et al. 1997b) and two higher energy direct{view ing detectors (HPG SPC, M anzo et al. 1997, and PD S, Frontera et al. 1997).

The BeppoSAX capability of precisely (arcm in accuracy) localizing GRBs was soon tested, only one month after the rst light of the satellite, with the detection of GRB 960720 (P iro et al. 1998). Starting from D ecem ber 1996, at the

BeppoSAX Science O peration C enter, an alert procedure for the prom pt search of simultaneous G R BM and W FC detections of G R B swas implemented. Thanks to this procedure, the rstX-ray afferglow of a G R B which occurred on February 28, 1997 was soon discovered (8 hrs delay) and its fading law determined (C osta et al. 1997). The afferglow light curve, unlike all other transients, decayed according to a power{law. Simultaneously, an optical counterpart of the X-ray afferglow source was detected, which showed the same decay rate (van Paradijs et al. 1997). A RO SAT observation of the NFI error box con rm ed the decay law of the fading source and established its positional coincidence with the optical transient (Frontera et al. 1998).

Less than two months later, the rst optical redshift (z = 0.835) of a GRB source was determined thanks to the prom pt localization (5 hrs delay), provided by BeppoSAX, of GRB 970508 (M etzger et al. 1997). The extragalactic distance scale of the rst GRB was de nitely established. At the same time an exciting result came from the radio observations of the same event: the discovered radio afterglow exhibited, for about one month, rapid variations interpreted as interstellar scintillations. Only a very com pact source highly relativistically expanding could be responsible for them (Frail et al. 1997). This result was the rst actual con rm ation of reballm odel for GRBs (see, e.g., review by Piran 1999).

Since that rst detection of an X-ray afferglow, m any other afferglow detections have been obtained, m ainly with BeppoSAX and, less often, with other satellites, i.e., ROSAT, RossiX (ray Time Explorer (RXTE), ASCA, Chandra X (ray Observatory (CXO), XMM -Newton. In this paper I sum m arize the GRBs prom ptly localized with BeppoSAX and discuss some relevant results obtained using GRBM and W FCs.

2. Six Years of BeppoSAX results

Table 1 reports the main information on the 51 GRBs simultaneously detected with GRBM and WFCs. This information includes positioning accuracy obtained with the WFCs, duration of the events in the 2{10 and 40{700 keV energy bands, peak uxes in these two bands and the time delay between the GRB onset and the rst TOO observation with the BeppoSAX NFIs.

As can be seen, all the GRB events detected with both W FCs and GRBM are long (>2 s), even if a sizable fraction (22GRBM are short (Guidorzi et al. 2003a). In addition, most of the detected events are classical GRBs, except two, which are X-ray rich events (981226, 990704). Four of the detected GRBs (960720, 010213,010304, 010501) were discovered with the o-line analysis, three (010518, 020321, 020322) were alerted by the ground trigger software, 36 were followed up with the NFIs.

X {ray afterglows, whose measurements were performed starting from 5:7 hrs after the main event, are discovered in about 90% of the followed-on GRBs, against about 50% in the optical and about 40% in the radio. Thus an open problem is the origin of GRBs with no optical emission (dark). A re they very weak? Do not they emit optical radiation? Is this radiation strongly absorbed by the circum burst medium? A re they at very large distances? Do they fade very rapidly? Likely som e orm ore than one of the above reasons could

Table 1.	G R B s dete	ected with ·	the Beppos	SAX GRBM a	and WFCs	
GRB	P osition	2{10 keV	T _{2 10keV}	40{700 keV	T _{40 700keV}	1 st T00
	error radius	peak ux	(s)	peak ux	(s)	delay
	(arcm in)	(ª)		(ª)		(hrs)
G B B 960720	З	0.25	17	10	8	1080
C R B 970111	3	1 /	£0	56	13	16
C D D 070220	3	1 /	80	37	80 80	8
G R D 970220 C D D 070402	2	1. 4 0.16	150	27	150	0
GRB970402	3	0.10	130	<u>ک</u> ک	150	0
GRB970508	1.9	0.35	29	5.6	15	5./
GRB9/1214	3.3	02	35	6.8	35	6./
GRB9/122/	8	0.36	/	3.8	/	14
GRB980109	10	0.16	20	3.9	20	{
G R B 980326	8	0.84	9	2.7	9	{
G R B 980329	3	1.3	68	51	58	7
G R B 980425	8	0.61	40	2.4	31	9
GRB 980515	4	0.3	20	3	15	10
GRB980519	3	0.51	190	13	28	7
GRB980613	4	0.13	50	1.6	50	9
GRB981226	6	0.085	260	0.56	20	11
G R B 990123	2	0.5	100	170	100	6
G R B 990217	3	0.11	25	1.1	25	6
G R B 990510	3	1.4	80	24	20 75	8
G R B 990625	60	0.065	11	0.11	11	1
G R B 990623	3	0.065	60	1.6	28	1
G R B 990027	5	1.2	40	1.0	20	0
G R B 990704	1		40	1.0	23	0
GRB990705	3	0.85	45	37	42	11 (
GRB990712	2	3.1	30	6 <i>చ</i>	30	{
G R B 990806	3	0.35	30	5.5	30	8
G R B 990907	6	0.39	220	9.5	1	11
G R B 990908	8	0.35	130	1.0	50	{
G R B 991014	6	0.54	10	4.2	3	13
GRB991105	5	0.24	40	6.4	13	{
GRB000210	2	1.6	20	180	20	7
GRB000214	6.5	0.63	115	40	10	12
G R B 000528	2	0.62	120	14	80	12
GRB000529	4	0.2	30	7	14	7.5
GRB000615	2	8.0	120	1	12	10
GRB000620	3.6	0.9	20	7	15	ł
GRB001011	2	0.07	60	25	31	ł
G R B 001109	2.5	0.6	65	4.2	60	16.5
GRB010213	6	0.65	25	14	23	{
GRB010210	а Х	17	30	55	20	6
GRB010214 GRB010220	<u></u>	15	150	56	40	136
C P P 010220	25	21	280	86	170	20.0
G R D 010222	2.0	2.1	200	11	15	0
GRB010304	2.0	0.59	24		15	0
GRB010412	1.6	0.64	90	1/	/4	{
G R B 010501	6	0.09	41	1.5	37	{
GRB010518	5	0.15	30	1.3	25	{
GRB011121	2	3.65	100	73	105	21.2
GRB011211	2	0.09	400	0.5	400	11.1
GRB020321	5	0.06	90	1.1	70	8
GRB020322	3	0.17	50	3.0	15	7.5
GRB020409	3.2	0.36	60	1.8	40	{
GRB020410	2	0.19	> 1290	13 (°)	1800 (^b)	20.2
GRB020427	3	0.19	60	< 0:66 (3)	_	11.2
(a) Peak 11Vec	tin unite of 10	7 erra cm 2	s 1	/		·
, , i curs unco		cry un	~			

(°) 15{1000 keV KONUS data. GRBM was switched o .

4 F.Frontera

be at the origin of the dark GRBs. W ith the future m issions (e.g., SW IFT), the origin of the dark GRBs will be certainly unveiled.

3. Som e signi cant results

I review below few of the most relevant results obtained with the GRBM plus WFC instruments aboard BeppoSAX, in particular the discovery of X {ray rich GRBs, the test of syncrotron shock model from the shape of the X {/gamma{ray prompt emission spectra and their evolution, the discovered relation between peak energy of the F() spectrum and the isotropic electrom agnetic energy released in the GRB prompt emission, the discovery of a transient absorption feature during the rise time of the burst prole of GRB 990705 (evidence of a transient absorption feature from GRB 011211 is under investigation, Frontera et al. 2003).

3.1. X {ray rich GRBs: the link between classical GRBs and XRFs

A new class of GRBs has been discovered with BeppoSAX. It includes GRBs which, unlike classical GRBs, em it most of their energy in the X {ray band (2{ 28 keV}. Two oustanding examples of X {ray rich GRBs were detected with BeppoSAX: GRB981226 (Frontera et al. 2000a), and GRB990704 (Feroci et al. 2001). Their light curves are shown in Fig. 1. As can be seen, both GRBs are well visible in the 2{26 keV band, but they are barely visible in the 40{700 keV interval. A slo a percursor with onset 180 s before the main event, is only observed in the X {ray band, but not in gam ma{rays. G am ma{ray em ission is only visible for a short time in correspondence of the GRB onset in the case of GRB 981226, and in correspondence of the main peak in the case of GRB 990504.

A useful parameter which characterize the X {ray richness is the ratio between the the uences in the 2{10 keV and 40{700 keV energy band, respectively (softness ratio). Figure 2, from the paper by Ferociet al. (2001), shows the behaviour of the softness ratio for the two X {ray rich GRBs compared with that of som e classical GRBs. Their separation from the classical GRBs is apparent. The softness ratio of X {ray ashes (XRF, Heise et al. 2001, not plotted in the gure) is still m ore separated, m aking X {ray rich events GRBs as the link between classical GRBs and XRFs.

Both two X {ray rich GRBswere followed-on with the BeppoSAX NFIs. The afferglow spectra are consistent with power{laws (photon indices of1:92 0:47 for GRB 981226 and 1:69^{+0:60} for GRB 990704), as those ofm any classical GRBs. A lso the afferglow light curves shows decay rates typical of classical GRBs. However an unexpected dip of at least two hours in the afferglow decay rate is observed at the beginning of the GRB 981226 afferglow observation, which was started 11 hrs after the main event. This feature was interpreted as due to a tem porary cessation (or strong reduction) of the X {ray afferglow due to the absence (or very reduced density) of am bient gas, like a cavity surrounding the explosion (Frontera et al. 2000a).



Figure 1. Light curves of two X {ray rich GRBs. Left: GRB 981226, reprinted from Frontera et al. (2000a). Right: GRB 990704, reprinted from Ferociet al. (2001).



Figure 2. Ratio S (2{10 keV)/S (40{700 keV) between X {ray and gamma{ray uences for various GRBs detected with the BeppoSAX W FC and GRBM. The position in the diagram of the X {ray rich GRBs 981226 and 990704 is marked. Reprinted from Ferocietal. (2001).

F.Frontera



Figure 3. Tim e averaged EF (E) spectrum of GRB 970111 (left) and GRB 980329 (right), with superposed the twith the optically thin synchrotron shock model (Tavani 1996). Reprinted from Amatiet al. 2001.

3.2. Test of the 2{700 keV prom pt em ission m echanism

As discussed in various papers (e.g., Amati et al. 2002), most of the time averaged spectra of the GRBs observed with BeppoSAX WFCs and GRBM are well t down to 2 keV with a sm oothly broken power-law ('B and function', B and et al. 1993) (in the other cases, a single power{law describes the data). The Band function, as discussed by Tavani (1996), has a spectral shape similar to that of the synchroton radiation if the energy spectrum of the em itting electrons is hybrid, i.e., it is distributed partially according to a M axwellian function and partially according to a power{law. The synchrotron spectrum derived by Tavani (1996) also assumes a negligible self absorption (Optically Thin Synchrotron Shock Model, OTSSM). We t the time averaged spectra of 19 GRBs with this model (Am ati et al. 2001). The result is that in about 70% of the cases the OTSSM twell the data. Two examples of these t results are shown in Fig. 3. A property of the OTSS model is that, below the peak energy of the EF (E) spectrum, the photon index is 2=3, independently of the electron energy distribution and uniform ity of the associated magnetic eld, if no electron cooling takes place during the GRB.We nd that this does not occur in 30% of our data. In these cases, the spectral index is consistent with that expected in the case of an electron cooling: photon index between 2=3 and 1:5).

A more constraining test of the promptem ission mechanism is the spectral evolution of the GRB emission. This study has been performed for almost all GRBs detected with the BeppoSAX GRBM and WFCs (see Frontera et al. (2000b) for a sample of GRBs, and Frontera et al. 2003a for the entire population of BeppoSAX GRBM and WFCs events). The analysis, in addition to a general hard to soft evolution, shows that in most cases the spectra soon after the GRB onset cannot be twith an OTSSM, which how ever becomes acceptable as the time elapses. This feature shows that likely some other emission mechanism (e.g. Inverse Compton, as discussed by Frontera et al. 2000b) is at work at the early times.



Figure 4. Peak energy E_p of the redshift-corrected energy EF (E) spectra of GRBs with known redshift as a function of the isotropic gam ma{ray energy released during prompt emission. Reprinted from Amatiet al. 2002.

3.3. Peak energy vs. isotropic released energy correlation

An investigation devoted to search out correlations between parameters derived from the redshift{corrected energy spectra of GRBs with known redshift has permitted us to discover (Am ati et al. 2002) a power{law relation (see Fig. 4) between peak energy E_p of the EF (E) redshift{corrected time averaged spectra and isotropic electrom agnetic energy E_{rad} released in the GRB event:

$$E_{p} / E_{rad}^{0.52 \ 0.06}$$
 (1)

The relation is now con med (D. Lamb, private communication) by HETE results. It puts strong constraints to the GRB em ission models: independently of the beam ing, eq. (1) must be satis ed. A discussion on possible interpretations of the above relation is given by Zhang and M eszaros (2002) in the context of the internal and external shock models.

3.4. Test of the GRB environm ent

W ith the W FC and GRBM spectral data, it has also been possible to gain inform ation on the GRB environment from the study of the hydrogen-equivalent column density behaviour with time, and the search of X { ray lines in the prompt em ission. Both these investigations have given positive results, with the discovery of variable hydrogen column density from some GRBs and the discovery of a transient absorption line from at least one GRB.We discuss both.

Variable column density A decreasing N $_{\rm H}$ has been detected in the X {ray spectra of at least events three GRB events: GRB 980329 (Frontera et al. 2000),

GRB010222 (in t Zand et al. 2001), GRB010214 (Guidorzi et al. 2003). The N_H time behaviour observed from GRB980329 is explained (Lazzati & Pema 2001) if the GRB event occurs in an overdense region within a molecular cloud, with properties similar to those of star form ation globules (Bok globules).

Transient absorption feature from GRB990705 A clear evidence of a transient absorption feature was found in the X {ray spectrum collected during the rise (rst 13 s) of GRB 990705 (event total duration of about 40 s).

Two possible interpretations have been proposed. Am ati et al. (2000) interpreted the feature as a K absorption edge of neutral Fe (rest fram e energy $E_{K} = 7.1$ keV) within a shell of material around the GRB location, which is photo-ionized by the GRB photons. W ith this assumption, the GRB redshift derived is $z_X = 0.86 = 0.17$, and the iron relative abundance is A=A 75, which is typical of a young supernova explosion environm ent. A successful test of this model is the later measurement of the redshift $(z_{opt} = 0.8420 \quad 0.0002 \text{ of})$ the GRB host galaxy (LeFloch et al. 2002), which is nicely consistent with the X {ray redshift value. The draw back of thism odel is the large m ass of Fe in plied (several solar m asses), unless the Fe m aterial is clum ped and a clum p was along the line of sight (Boettcher et al. 2001). In order to overcome this problem, Lazzati et al. (2001) interpreted the absorption feature as an absorption line due resonant scattering of GRB photons o H {like Fe (transition 1s-2p, E rest = 6:927 keV).W ith this assumption, the X {ray redshift is still consistent with that of the GRB host galaxy, the line broadening is interpreted as dispersion in the out ow velocity (up to 0.1c), the required relative Fe abundance (A = A10) is still consistent with the site of a young supernova explosion, but the Fe m ass required is only 02M .

Thus, independently of the speci c m odel, the observed feature points to an iron-rich circum burst environm ent, in which a supernova explodes rst, and the GRB occurs later on. These results are consistent with the expectations of the 'supranova' m odel for GRB progenitors (Vietri & Stella 1998).

E vedence of another X-ray transient absorption line from GRB011211 is under evaluation (Frontera et al. 2003b).

4. Conclusions

BeppoSAX has given a key contribution to the study of the GRB prompt em ission properties in an unprecedented broad energy band (2-700 keV). Certainly m any questions are left unsolved by BeppoSAX, which hopefully will be answered by the future m issions (e.g., SW IFT), but I am certain that the six years of BeppoSAX will be unlikely left down.

A cknow ledgm ents. Iw ish to thank Lorenzo Am ati for his help to prepare Table 1 of this paper. I also acknoledge the support by the Italian Spage A gency A SI and the M inistry of E ducation, University and Research of Italy (COFIN funds 2001).

References

Am ati, L. et al. 2000, Science, 290, 953 Amati, L. et al. 2001, in: Gamma (Ray Bursts in the Afterglow Era, eds. E. Costa, F. Frontera & J. H jorth (Springer, Berlin), p. 34 Am ati, L. et al. 2002, A & A , 390, 81 Band, D. et al. 1993, ApJ, 413, 281 Boella, G. et al. 1997a, A & A S, 122, 299 Boella, G. et al. 1997b, A & A S, 122, 327 Boettcher, M. et al. 2001, Gamma {Ray Bursts in the Afterglow Era, eds. E. Costa, F. Frontera & J. H jorth (Springer, Berlin), p. 160 Costa, E. et al. 1997, Nature, 387, 783 Feroci, M .et al. 2001, A & A , 378, 441 Fishm an, G A. & M eegan, C A. 1995, ARA & A, 33, 415 (1995) Frail, D A . et al. 1997, Nature, 389, 261 Frontera, F. et al. 1997, A & A S, 122, 357 Frontera, F. et al. 1998, A & A, 334, L 69 Frontera, F. et al. 2000a, ApJ, 540, 697 Frontera, F. et al. 2000b, ApJS, 127, 59 Frontera, F. et al. 2003a, in preparation Frontera, F. et al. 2003b, in preparation Guidorzi, C. et al. 2003a, these Proc.s Guidorzi, C. et al. 2003b, A & A, 401, 491 Heise, J. et al. 2001, in: Gamma (Ray Bursts in the Afferglow Era, eds. E. Costa, F. Frontera & J. H prth (Springer, Berlin), p. 16 Jager, R. et al. 1997, A & A S, 125, 557 Lazzati, D. & Pema, R. 2001, MNRAS, 330, 383 Lazzatiet al. 2001, ApJ, 556, 471 LeFbch, E.et al. 2002, ApJ, 581, L81 Manzo, G. et al. 1997, A&AS, 122, 341 M etzger, M.R. et al. 1997, Nature, 387, 878 Parm ar, A N. et al. 1997, A & A S, 122, 309 Piran, T. 1999, Phys. Rep., 314, 575 Piro, L. et al. 1998, A & A, 329, 906 Tavani, M .1996, ApJ, 466, 768 Vietri, M. & Stella, L. 1998, ApJ, 507, L45 van Paradijs, J. et al. 1997, Nature, 386, 686 in 't Zand, J.J.M . et al. 2001, ApJ, 559, 710