D isorder resistivity of solid neutron-star m atter

P.B. Jones

Department of Physics, University of Oxford Denys W ilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3RH, England. (Dated: March 20, 2024)

Lower lim its are found for the disorder electrical resistivity of solid neutron-star matter in the neutron-drip region which is am orphous and heterogeneous in nuclear charge. This tem perature-independent resistivity, large com pared with that produced by phonon scattering, has direct consequences for theories of neutron-star magnetic eld generation and evolution.

PACS num bers: 97.60 Jd, 97.60 Gb, 26.60.+ c, 72.15 C z

Following the discovery of neutron stars in 1967, very m any papers have been published containing theories of the nature and origin of their magnetic ux distributions. The original view was that the distributions are fossil elds am pli ed during gravitational collapse. A recent variant of this idea is eld generation by rapid dynam o action during a brief interval of convective motion im m ediately after that process [1]. But som e authors have doubted that amplied fossil elds can be of the order of m agnitude inferred from m easured pulsar periods and their tim e-derivatives, and have considered therm oelectric eld generation in the solid crust and liquid surface region of the star [2, 3, 4] during the early years after its form ation. This Letter shows that disorder electrical resistivity is present in solid neutron-starm atter. It is tem perature-independent and so large that, with the im purity resistivity, it severely constrains other than fossileld theories.

At times when them oelectric processes have become negligible, and with no movement of matter, the evolution of the magnetic ux density B in the solid crust is given by the M axwell induction equation with 0 hm 's law and neglect of displacement currents,

$$\frac{@B}{@t} = r \quad \frac{c^2}{4}r \quad B$$

$$r \quad \frac{c}{4 ne}(r \quad B) \quad B; \quad (1)$$

where is the zero-eld conductivity and n the electron number density. Eq. (1) assumes that B is not so large in relation to n that classical conductivity fails (see, for example, Ref. [5]). The existence of disorder resistivity leads to sm all values of , renders negligible the term in (1) bilinear in B representing H all driff, and allows unambiguous calculation of eld evolution within the crust.

P reviously, values of have been derived from calculations of electron-phonon scattering in a hom ogeneous boc lattice [6], and from scattering [7, 8, 9] by fractional concentrations c_i of impurity charges Z_i with mean Z and mean square deviation $Q = \frac{1}{2} c_i Z_i Z_i^2$. The

latter has been treated as a free parameter, usually assumed to satisfy Q 1. For these , the Hall term in (1) is, in many cases, larger than the ohm ic dissipative linear term [10, 11]. Eq. (1) has some similarity with the vorticity equation for a viscous incompressible uid (see, for example, Ref. [12]) and a number of authors [11, 13, 14] have investigated the existence of a cascade to higher-wavenum ber components analogous with the Kolm ogorov cascade in uid turbulence. This would have a signi cant e ect on evolution because, although H alldrift is not in itself dissipative, the ohm ic dissipation rate for any ux-distribution component is dependent on the square of its wavenum ber.

It must be emphasized that the references cited so far are not complete but provide an introduction to a very large literature on a subject of some complexity. Solutions of Eq. (1) are not simple if the Hall term is appreciable. A lso, if is derived from electron-phonon resistivity, it is so highly temperature-dependent that the cooling of the star and the evolution of its magnetic ux distribution must, in principle, be calculated in parallel. The present work shows that disorder becomes the dom inant source of resistivity as the interior cools below 10⁹ K and so obviates these problem s.

There is now evidence that the assumption of a bcc lattice, on which calculations of have been based, is not correct. W eak-interaction equilibrium is not reached during the form ation and cooling of the neutron star so that, as a consequence of shell structure and of the sm all di erences in form ation enthalpy between nucleiofdi erent Z, the solid is both heterogeneous and am orphous [15, 16]. (This work applies to neutron stars that have not undergone signi cant m ass-accretion. The high m ass-transfer rates in certain binary system s can com pletely replace the natural solid crust with accreted m atter of heterogeneous Z [17].) It is well known that am orphous system s have high and substantially tem perature-independent resistivities (see, for example, Ref. [18]). In solid neutron-star m atter, disorder is the most im portant source of resistivity and does not seem to have been considered previously.

If the weak-interaction strength were (hypothetically) adjusted so as to allow the attainment of equilibrium, an amorphous and Z-heterogeneous solid would transform to a bcc structure with hom ogeneous nuclear charge and a population of lattice defects. Thus an am orphous structure with minimum disorder can be obtained by the reverse transformation, starting from a defect-free boc lattice and sequentially changing each nuclear charge Z ! Z_i random ly so as to give fractional concentrations ci. This procedure has been carried out for a system of 24357 boc lattice sites contained within a spherical volum e using distributions c_i of Z_i found by the methods of Ref. [15, 16]. These are based on equilibrium boc lattices with charge $Z_{\,C\,\,L\,\,D\,\,M}~$ whose parameters have been obtained at tem perature T = 0 for each of the matter densities in Table I using the compressible liquid-drop m odelofLattim er et al [19]. The densities selected are in the neutron-drip region and represent an interval equivalent to most of the depth of the crust. Calculations of form ation enthalpies for nuclei with 20 50 in equi- Z_i librium with the neutron continuum [15, 16] give values of the c_i for therm all equilibrium, at an initial tem perature $T_{o} = 5$ 10° K, which is near the melting temperature T_m of the solid. There is movement away from weakinteraction equilibrium during the early stages of cooling and the fractional concentrations c_i evolve to frozen-in values giving the parameters Q of Table I. This produces a sm all shift Z in nuclear charge so that the m ean charge of Table I is $Z = Z_{C LDM} + Z$. Because there are considerable uncertainties in obtaining the shell-corrected nuclear form ation enthalpies, a range of possibilities is considered [16]. Rows labelled sp in Table I are based on values of the ci found from form ation enthalpies given by the Strutinski procedure for shell-correction (see, for example, Ref. [20]) with proton pairing. Rows labelled p are for ci given by formation enthalpies with proton pairing but no shell correction.

Each change of nuclear charge Z ! Z_i produces displacements of all other lattice sites in the system considered. Our assumption here is that these are identical with those found in Ref. [15] for an isolated point-defect in a hom ogeneous boc lattice. (This, of course, is not strictly correct because as the sequence of transform ations Z ! Z_i is made, the neighbours of a given nucleus becom e heterogeneous in charge and their positions deviate from those of the bcc lattice.) These displacements were calculated for each of the neighbour sets = 1З, but for 3, they are assumed equal to those produced by a point defect in an isotropic incompressible elastic medium. The volume change represented by these displacem ents is determ ined, principally, by the properties of the C oulom b-electron stress tensor in the neutron-drip region whose isotropic components are between one and two orders of m agnitude larger than the o -diagonal, and by the fact that a 1, where is the electron screening wavenum ber [15, 16]. Thus the displacem ents and volum e change are such that the electron density, averaged over a volum e of the order of a W igner-Seitz cell, adjusts to values alm ost exactly equal to those of the undisturbed lattice with Ferm i wavenum ber $k_{\rm Fe}$. The result of calculating the total displacement for each lattice site in the system considered is that the radial density distribution of nuclear positions relative to the origin site changes from a sum of -functions in the undisturbed bcc system to a sum of gaussian functions of approximately constant variance w^2 . There is also a change in the mean spatial density of sites, but it is statistically consistent with zero. Values of w have been calculated for each matter density and are given in Table I.

Our calculation of disorder resistivity follows closely the classic paper of Z im an [21] on liquid m etals. C om parison with the exact electron scattering m atrix elem ent [22] as a function of the momentum transfer q indicates that for the size of momentum transfer allowed in the neutron-drip region, $0 < q < 2k_{Fe}$, the Born approxim ation is adequate for scattering by the potential inside an electrically neutral W igner-Seitz cell. The Fourier transform of the charge density is ZeF (q). It assumes the uniform proton density of a CLDM nucleus [19] and uniform electron density inside a charge-neutral spherical cell whose radius is de ned by Z and k_{Fe} . The scattering amplitude for the whole system is then a sum of two terms; one dependent on Z and the other on the differences (Z_i Z). The static structure function derived from the rst term,

$$S_{d}(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=1}^{X^{N}} e^{iq \cdot s};$$
 (2)

which vanishes in the absence of disorder, has been obtained directly, to term s of order $q^2 w^2$, from the Fourier transform of the radial density distribution of the nuclear positions $r_{\rm s}$ N ucleiofany speci c charge Z $_{\rm i}$ are random ly distributed and the set of such nuclei has static structure function $S_{\rm z}$ = 1.

There is no interference between the two amplitude terms and so the resistivity R is

$$R = R_{z} + R_{d} = \frac{4 e^{2}}{Z c_{e}} Q_{z} + Z^{2}_{d}; \qquad (3)$$

where $_{\rm e}$ is the electron chem ical potential. The modi ed C oulom b integrals are,

$$d_{z} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{2} dq}{0} \frac{dq}{q} = 1 - \frac{q^2}{4k_{Fe}^2} = F^2(q)S_{dz}(q)e^{-2W}; \quad (4)$$

in which the nalterm , the D ebye-W aller factor, can be set equal to unity at all q $2k_{\!F\,e}$ for the relevant T

 T_D , where T_D is the D ebye tem perature of a neutron-drip box lattice. The rst term in Eq. (3) is identical with the standard expression for in purity resistivity but the Table II values of R_z obtained from it are smaller than those

TABLE I:D isordered system s at matter density have mean nuclear charge Z and electron Ferm i wavenum ber k_{Fe} giving an equivalent box lattice constant a. The parameter Q is the mean square nuclear charge deviation and w^2 is the variance of the gaussian radial distributions of nuclear positions relative to the origin site. Rows labelled sp are for the cases in which nuclear concentrations c_i have been obtained by the procedures of R ef. [16] with shell corrections and proton pairing. Rows labelled p are for c_i given by proton pairing alone.

		Z	k _{F e}	a	Q	W
	(10 ¹³ g am	³)	(10^{13} cm)	¹) (10 ¹³	am)	(10 ² a)
sp	1.6	37.8	0.231	56.6	5 11.9	1.47
sp	3.7	35.3	0.286	44.7	6.0	1.80
sp	8.8	39.0	0.363	36.4	19.0	1.90
р	1.6	34.6	0.231	55.0	5.0	1.40
р	3.7	33.8	0.286	44.1	. 17.4	2.46
р	8.8	34.4	0.363	34.9	24.3	3.19

of Ref. [8, 9] owing to the di erent assumption in the present work concerning F (q) . (The assumption of [8], that F (q) is the nuclear form factor divided by the small-q lim it of the longitudinal static dielectric constant, gives the bracketed R_z values.) The second is the disorder resistivity and, even for the minimum disorder case, it is the larger term given the F (q) of this Letter, as shown in Table II.

It is di cult to be speci c about exam ples of greater disorder than the minimum de ned here. O ne possibility, which we arbitrarily de ne as maximum disorder, would resemble the inital stages of component separation in which small volumes of hom ogeneous lattice exist as irregular polyhedra, with random orientations. It is assumed that their linear dimensions are so small that the wave function of an electron is alm ost independent of the reciprocal lattice vectors of the polyhedron within which it is moving. In this case, and under the assumption of random orientation, the structure function (2) becomes

$$S_{d}(q) = \frac{1}{N}^{X} N S(q);$$
 (5)

where S is the structure function for a single polyhedron of N nuclei. Order of magnitude estimates of the resistivity can be found by substituting the mean S for S_d in Eq. (4). Values of S have been calculated for a number of trial irregular polyhedra containing 103 nuclei. Typical values give the resistivities R_d^{max} in the nal column of Table II, which are not sensitive to polyhedron size. Their order of m agnitude shows that m ore disordered structures can produce very high resistivity. On this basis, we regard the values of R in the penultimate column of Table II as lower lim its, though it has to be emphasized that they are subject to considerable uncertainties arising from the shell-correction procedure, as is shown by the di erences between those labelled p and sp.

TABLE II: Resistivities are listed for system s with the properties given in Table I, $R_d^{m \ in}$ from the e ect of m inimum disorder and R_z from charge heterogeneity; $R = R_d^{m \ in} + R_z$. The bracketed values of R_z are for the form factor assumed in [8]. The nal column gives an estimate of the resistivity arising from maximum disorder as de ned here.

		$R_d^{m in}$	R z	R	R_d^{max}
	$(10^{13} \text{ g cm}^{3})$	(10 ²⁴ s)	(10 ²⁴ s)	(10 ²⁴ s)	(10 ²⁴ s)
sp	1.6	0.49	0.31 (0.73)	0.80	35
sp	3.7	0.39	0.11 (0.29)	0.50	21
sp	8.8	0.19	0.15 (0.55)	0.34	10
р	1.6	0.40	0.14 (0.33)	0.54	35
р	3.7	0.70	0.33 (0.88)	1.03	21
р	8.8	0.47	0.22 (0.80)	0.69	10

If component separation were too extensive, our assumption about electron wave functions would fail and the solid would become ordered over those length scales which determ ine resistivity. How ever, neutron star crusts di er from white dwarf interiors in which component separation is possible (see, for example Ref. [23]) because $0.5T_m$ occurs rapidly, in 10^2 ³s. Vacancy cooling to T m obility (or its analogue in an am orphous system) is the dom inant di usion m echanism enabling com ponent separation to occur [24]. Under the assumption that sufcient sinks exist in the solid structure to maintain a therm al equilibrium vacancy concentration during cooling, the jump frequency for a given nucleus is of the order of $_{D} \exp(((H_{Fv} + ^{\prime})), where _{D})$ is the D ebye frequency and $1 = k_B T$. In a box lattice, the jump activation energy given in Ref. [24] can be expressed, approximately, as 15 V_{W S} 2 =4, in which is the shear modulus, V_{WS} is the mean volume per nucleus and $^2 = 0.08$. Evaluation of this expression, as an estimate of ^ for an am orphous system, gives values much smaller than calculated vacancy form ation enthalpies H_{Fv} [25] which are therefore the principal factor determ ining di usion rates. For these form ation enthalpies, jum p frequencies becom e negligible at T < T_m relative to cooling rates. A further consideration is the formation of clusters of like nuclei. which might form the initial stages of component separation. Approximate calculations [15] of the formation enthalpy of some specic forms of cluster show that any decrease in enthalpy attained is smaller than the free energy term derived from con gurational entropy at T_m . On these grounds, we believe that component separation in neutron-starm atter is insigni cant and that the am orphous nature of the solid persists during cooling.

The consequences of disorder resistivity are unam biguous. From Eq. (1), the exponential decay time for a mode of wavelength is $= 2 = c^2$. Under the assumption that is derived entirely from the high-density R of Table II, the decay time is = 0 = 0.7 + 1.3 + 10 yr for a mode with $= 2 = 10^5$ cm, the approximate depth of the whole crust in a 1:4M neutron star. This limits the ap-

plicability of models in which the eld is con ned to the crust [26] or has been submerged within it by post corecollapse accretion [27]. Non-fossil eld models, in which considerable amplication occurs after formation of the neutron star, rely on processes which are optimized at small electron density n. This is true of therm oelectric amplication [2, 3, 4] and of amplication arising from the Hall term in Eq. (1) which requires the inequality В nec [11, 13, 14]. Even if such processes occur for the small n at depths 10^4 cm, the models rely on movement of ux by Hall drift to regions of higher n in which ohm ic dissipation is very slow. Previous assum ptions about were consistent with regions of this kind occupying most of the crust volume. But the magnitudes of resistivity produced by disorder give ohm ic dissipation tim eswhich are smalleverywhere in the spherical nuclear phase of the crust [28].

For di erent lim iting regions of B, solutions of Eq. (1) represent either Hall drift or ohm ic dissipation. A recent paper [29], which also sum marizes earlier work, has de ned the boundary between these regions as a function of Q. The conclusion was that, for Q $^{>}$ 1 and B $^{<}$ 10³ G, Hall drift never dom inates the evolution of currents in the crust. Given these authors' assumed $1\hat{\theta}^5 Q^{-1}$ s⁻¹, this is consistent with the 4:4 value order of magnitude condition B < nec being appliedin the high-density regions of the crust. This Letter has shown that for am orphous structures, resistivity is not de ned directly by Q but rather by the disorder which is an inevitable consequence of Z -heterogeneity. The specic values of R calculated here allow one to reach, but with no free parameter, the same conclusion as [29]. Thus eld evolution in neutron stars with $B < 10^{13} G$, including alm ost the whole population of radio pulsars, is govemed by movement of ux from the liquid core, if it occurs, and by ohm ic dissipation in the crust. The M axwell stress is at least of the order of the critical shear stress of the crust at depths 10^4 cm. Therefore Eq. (1) m ay not be satis ed if, during the evolution of B, there are departures from hydrom agnetic equilibrium su ciently large to cause mechanical failure of the solid. But this complication does not a ect the main conclusion of the present Letter, which is that in neutron stars with ages exceeding a small multiple of o, the magnetic ux density observed is a fossil eld, irrotational in the crust.

The elds of 10^{14} ¹⁵ G thought to be present in the anom alous X -ray pulsars (A X P) m ay evolve by H alldrift. There are several possible m echanisms for the persistent emission of these objects [30] involving departures from hydrom agnetic equilibrium in the star. But the resistivities of Table II m ean that, unless the eld is alm ost irrotational in the solid, ohm ic dissipation m ust be a source of strong therm al emission with a lifetime 10^{6} f yr whether or not hydrom agnetic equilibrium exists.

- [1] C.Thom pson and R.C.Duncan, A strophys. J. 408, 194 (1993).
- [2] V.A.Unpin and D.G.Yakovlev, Sov. Astron. 24, 425 (1980).
- [3] R.D.Blandford, J.H.Applegate and L.Hemquist, Mon. Not.R.A stron. Soc. 204, 1025 (1983).
- [4] H.-J.W iebicke and U.G eppert, A stron. A strophys. 309, 203 (1996).
- [5] A.Y. Potekhin, Astron. Astrophys. 351, 787 (1999).
- [6] V.A. Urpin and A.G. Muslim ov, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 256, 261 (1992).
- [7] D.G.Yakovlev and V.A.Upin, Sov.Astron.24, 303 (1980).
- [8] O.Y.Gnedin, D.G.Yakovlev and A.Y.Potekhin, Mon. Not.R.Astron.Soc.324, 725 (2001).
- [9] N. Itoh and Y. Kohyama, Astrophys. J. 404, 268 (1993).
- [10] P.B Jones, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 233, 875 (1988).
- [11] P.Goldreich and A.Reisenegger, Astrophys.J. 395, 250 (1992).
- [12] G.K.Batchelor, Fluid Dynamics (Cambridge University Press, England, 1967), p. 267.
- [13] M. Rheinhardt and U. Geppert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 101103 (2002); U. Geppert and M. Rheinhardt, Astron. Astrophys. 392, 1015 (2002).
- [14] R.Hollerbach and G.Rudiger, Mon.Not.R.A stron.Soc. 347, 1273 (2004).
- [15] P.B. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3589 (1999); M on. N ot. R.A stron. Soc. 321, 167 (2001).
- [16] P.B. Jones, Mon.Not.R.A stron.Soc., to be published (2004) astro-ph/0403400.
- [17] H. Schatz, L. Bildsten, A. Cumming and M. Wiescher, A strophys. J. 524, 1014 (1999).
- [18] K.V.Rao, in Amorphous M etallic Albys, edited by F. E.Luborsky (Butterworths, London, 1983), p. 401.
- [19] J.M. Lattim er, C.J. Pethick, D.G. Ravenhall and D. Q.Lamb, Nucl. Phys. A 432, 646 (1985).
- [20] P.R ing and P.Schuck, The Nuclear M any-Body Problem (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980), p.83.
- [21] J.M. Zim an, Phil. M ag. 6, 1014 (1961).
- [22] D.R.Yennie, D.G.Ravenhall and R.N.W ilson, Phys. Rev.95, 500 (1954).
- [23] L.Segretain, G.Chabrier, M.Hemanz, E.Garcia-Berro, J. Isem and R.Mochkovitch, Astrophys. J. 434, 641 (1994).
- [24] C. P, Flynn, Point Defects and Di usion (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1972), pp. 327-332, 783-788.
- [25] P.B.Jones, Mon.Not.R.Astron.Soc.306, 327 (1999).
- [26] D. Page, U. G eppert and T. Zannias, A stron. A strophys. 360, 1052 (2000).
- [27] U.Geppert, D.Page and T.Zannias, Astron. Astrophys. 345, 847 (1999).
- [28] C.J.Pethick and D.G.Ravenhall, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part. Sci. 45, 429 (1995).
- [29] A.Cumming, P.Arras and E.Zweibel, astro-ph/0402392.
- [30] S.M ereghetti, L.C hiarlone, G.L. Israel and L. Stella, in Proc. 270 W E H eraeus Sem inar on N eutron Stars, Pulsars and Supernova Rem nants, edited by W. Becker, H. Lesch and J.Trum per (MPE Rep. 278; G arching: MPE, 2002), p. 29.

E lectronic address: p.jones1@ physics.ox ac.uk