INFRARED PHOTOMETRY OF NGC 6791¹

Bruce W . $Camey^2$, Jae-W oo Lee³,

and

Benjam in Dodson²

ABSTRACT

We present deep JHK photom etry of the old and metal-rich open cluster NGC 6791. The photom etry reaches below the main sequence turn-o to 16.5 m ag. W e com bine our photom etry with that from Stetson, Bruntt, & Κ Grundahl (2003) to provide color-m agnitude diagram s showing K vs. J K,K vs.V K, and V vs. V K. We study the slope of the red giant branch in the infrared, but nd that it is not a useful m etallicity indicator for the cluster, nor any m etal-rich cluster that lacks a well-populated red giant branch, because it is not linear, as has often been assumed, in K vs. J K. The mean color of the red horizontal branch/red clum p stars provide an estim ate the cluster reddening, 0:04 mag for [Fe/H] = +0:40:1. The mean magnitudes Ε(B V) = 0:14of these stars also provide a good distance estimate, (m $M_{0} = 13:07$ 0:04. Finally, we nd that the isochrones of Y i, K im, & D em arque (2003) provide optimal ts in V vs.B VandVK andK vs.JK andV K for such values if Fe/H] lies between + 0.3 and + 0.5 (with a slight preference for + 0.5) and ages between 9 G yrs (Fe/H] = +0.3) and 7.5 G yrs (Fe/H] = +0.5).

Subject headings: G alaxy: disk | open clusters: individual (NGC 6791) | stars: abundances | infrared: stars

 $^{^1{\}rm B}\,{\rm ased}$ on observations made with the M ayall 4-m eter telescope of the N ational O ptical A stronom y O bservatory

²Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255; bruce@physics.unc.edu; benjadod@em ailunc.edu

³Department of Astronomy & Space Science, Astrophysical Research Center for the Structure and Evolution of the Cosmos, Sejong University, 98 Gunja-Dong, Gwangjin-Gu, Seoul, 143-747; jaewoo@arcsec.sejong.ac.kr

1. IN TRODUCTION

NGC 6791 is a remarkable star cluster. It is one of the very few known open clusters whose age approaches that of globular clusters and the halo generally, with recent age estimates ranging from 8 Gyrs (Chaboyer, Green, & Liebert 1999) to 10 Gyrs (Tripicco et al. 1995) to 12 Gyrs (Stetson, Bruntt, & Grundahl 2003; hereafter SBG 2003). The cluster is therefore a rare fossil nd, and is one of the few samples where we can study stars that form ed very early in the evolution of the Galaxy's disk. Despite its age, its heavy elements appear to be more abundant than in the much younger Sun and comparable age stars and clusters at the solar Galactocentric distance.

The abundances are di cult to measure in this moderately distant and moderately extinguished cluster, and m etallicity estimates or measures have been provided using three general methods, all of them sum marized well by SBG 2003. Metallicity \indicators" are so nam ed because they must be calibrated using other clusters or stars whose abundances have been m easured using high-resolution spectroscopy. Canterna et al. (1986), using W ashington system photom etry, and Friel & Janes (1993), using DDO photom etry, pointed the way to a \super-solar m etallicity" for NGC 6791. Low - and m oderate-resolution spectroscopy, likew ise calibrated using stars whose abundances have been determ ined using high-resolution spectroscopy, have also in plied high m etallicities (Frielet al. 20002; W orthey & Jow ett 2003; see also C ole et al. 2004). The m odel isochrones em ployed to derive estim ates of the cluster's age m ay also help estim ate the cluster's chem ical com position, although the problem is then multiparametric. Chaboyer et al. (1999) and SBG 2003 have both used this approach to infer heavy element mass fractions of at least twice solar. The most direct method to determine the cluster's chem ical composition is, of course, high-resolution spectrocopy. This is not as simple as it sounds. The cluster is relatively distant, with $(m - M)_0$ estimates ranging from 12.6 to 13.6 (!). Thus even its most lum inous stars are relatively faint. However, such stars are also quite cool, and the combination of low e ective temperature and high metallicity conspire to make such spectra di cult to evaluate. This is (partly) what led Peterson & Green (1998) to study star 2-17, which is apparently a cluster member, despite being a \blue horizontal branch" star; that is, a fairly hot star with a rather thin hydrogen envelope and a hydrogen-burning shell above a helium burning core. The existence of such stars in NCG 6791 adds spice to an already sum ptuous cluster, and also show that the m etallicity is indeed very high, Fe/H] + 0:4 0:1. The cluster's reddening estimates are also som ew hat uncertain, but a careful study of eld stars in the direction of the cluster revealed E (B V) 0:02 (Montgomery, Janes, & Phelps 1994). Taylor (2001) has critically reviewed = 0:10 the m etallicity estimates and concluded that Fe/H]m ost probably lies in the range of + 0.16 to + 0.44.

So NGC 6791 truly is remarkable: it som ehow form ed long before the Sun, and not too long after the onset of star form ation had begun in the G alactic disk, yet its star-form ing environm ent had already produced twice as many heavy elements per unit mass as had the environm ent out of which the Sun and sim ilar stars form ed several billion years later. For this reason alone, NGC 6791 deserves extensive study.

There is a second reason for an observational focus on NGC 6791. Because it is both old and m etal-rich, it can play a critical role in calibrating the diverse \scondary " m etallicity indicators, such as bw-to m oderate-resolution spectroscopy or photom etry.

W e argue that infrared photom etry of the cluster can adds valuable inform ation. The slope of the red giant branch in K vs. J K is reddening- and distant-independent, yet has been shown to be a metallicity indicator [K uchinski et al. (1995); K uchinski & Frogel (1995); Ferraro et al. (2000); Ivanov & Borissova (2002); Valenti, Ferraro, and O riglia (2004a; hereafter VFO); Valenti et al. 2004b]. M etal-rich globular clusters also appear to have de-reddened m ean colors of their red horizontal branch stars that are insensitive to m etallicity, and claim s have also been m ade that their m ean K -band lum inosities do not depend strongly on metallicity (K uchinski et al. 1995; K uchinski & Frogel 1995; but see G rocholski & Sara jedini 2002). Thus such stars in NGC 6791 m ay provide independent reddening and distance estimates. Infrared colors such as (J K) are less a ected by line blanketing and hence show reduced sensitivity to metallicity than optical colors. (See A lonso, A mibas, & M artinez 1999 for a sim ilar discussion of giant stars). Finally, the com bination of optical and infrared photom etry provides very long wavelength baselines and colors that have special sensitivity to tem perature independent of metallicity, such as V K.

2. OBSERVATIONS & DATA REDUCTIONS

NGC 6791 was observed on the night of June 15–16, 1997 using the K itt Peak N ational O bservatory 4-m eter M ayall telescope and the \mathbb{R} M can era. \mathbb{R} M contained a 256 256 pixels H gC dTe N ICM O S3 detector, with an in age scale of roughly $0.6^{\circ\circ}$ pixel¹, and a eld of view of $154^{\circ\circ}$. The night was clear throughout, with the full width at half m axm in um in age quality ranged from $0.8^{\circ\circ}$ to $1.0^{\circ\circ}$.

The HgC dTe detector was operated with a gain setting of 10.46 electrons per ADU, and the full well capacity was equivalent to about 400,000 electrons. The readnoise was 35 electrons, and the typical dark level was 2 electrons (not discernable at our gain setting). The device was non-linear for the brightest objects, the electrons reaching about 6% at 350,000

electrons. We calibrated the non-linearity pixel-by-pixel by taking a lengthy series of dome ats. Exposures of 1, 3, 1, 10, 1, 15, 1, 20, 1, 30, and 1 seconds were taken through the J lter. The interspersed 1-sec frames enabled us to monitor any changes in the calibration lamp illum ination. Dark frames were also taken every day at all the exposure times employed for the observing run. (See Lee & Carney 2002 for additional discussion of the corrections for non-linearity, especially their Figure 1.)

The cluster was observed using 0.5, 1.0, and 10-second integration times, with each recorded in age resulting from the ∞ -addition of two such observations. For each pointing of the telescope, a ve-position raster pattern was employed to dither the images on $5^{\circ\circ}$ scales. This was done primarily to produce a smoother sky background, which dom inates the photom etric precision for most of our program stars. Two such rasters were done at each pointing, yielding a set of images for each eld with a cumulative exposure time of 200 seconds. A fler each cluster eld had been observed, a single set of 5-position rasters wasmade of a eld in a region of lower stellar density to improve the sky background measurem ent.

Five cluster elds were observed. One was centered on the cluster's central position. Each of the remaining four elds were o set by roughly 75^{00} in both right ascension and declination in the northeast, northwest, southeast, and southwest directions. Thus all four o set elds overlapped di erent quadrants of the cluster central eld, enabling us to better register the images to a common grid, and to provide som ewhat improved observations for the central eld since this observation pattern elds extively doubled the integration time for all of the central stars.

Seven standard stars were observed during the night, with one of them followed from airm ass 1.2 to 2.0 to determ ine the atm ospheric extinction. The standard stars were taken from the list of faint JH K standard stars for UK IRT (C asali & H aw arden 1992), and ranged from 9.76 to 13.12 in K, and had a good range in color, J K = 0.09 to + 0.62 m ag. All standard stars were analyzed using the PHOTOMETRY task in DAOPHOTII (Stetson 1995). With the results of aperture photom etry, a grow th curve analysis was perform ed using DAOGROW (Stetson 1990) to obtain integrated magnitudes. The rst-order K passband extinction term k_k is 0.077 m ag airm ass ¹ and the rst-order color extinction term s k_{jk}^0 and k_{jk}^0 are 0.003 and 0.039 m ag airm ass ¹, respectively.

To calibrate the photom etry, the photom etric transform ations were assumed to have the following form .

$$K_{UK IRT} = k_0 + K;$$

$$(J K)_{UK IRT} = (j k)_0 + J K;$$

$$(J H)_{UK IRT} = (j h)_0 + J H;$$
(1)

The transform ation coe cients are $_{\rm K}$ = 3:285 0:009, = 1:024 0:018, $_{\rm J~K}$ = 0:626 0:009, = 0:983 0:016, and $_{\rm J~H}$ = 0:115 0:004 in the UK IRT JH K system, where the errors are those of the mean.

Point-spread function (PSF) photom etry for allNGC 6791 fram es was perform ed using DAOPHOTII and ALLSTAR/ALLFRAME (Stetson 1987, 1994, 1995; Turner 1995). We applied apperture corrections, air-m ass corrections, and the above photom etric transform ations to the PSF m agnitudes returned from our ALLFRAME run. Finally, we converted our UK IRT JHK m agnitudes to the CIT JHK m agnitudes using the following transform ation equations given by Casali & Hawarden (1992):

$$K_{CIT} = K_{UKIRT} \quad 0.018 (J \quad K)_{UKIRT};$$

$$(J \quad K)_{CIT} = 0.936 (J \quad K)_{UKIRT};$$

$$(H \quad K)_{CIT} = 0.960 (H \quad K)_{UKIRT}:$$
(2)

3. RESULTS

3.1. The Infrared Color-m agnitude diagram

Figure 1 shows the results of our observations. The red giant branch, red horizontal branch, subgiant branch, and even main sequence turn-o are clearly discernible. Data for individual stars are given in Table 1. Positions for these stars are taken from SBG 2003.

3.2. Comparison of 2M ASS Photom etry with Our Results

Excluding stars near the edges of our frames, we are able to match 149 stars in the 2M ASS survey with stars for which we have been able to measure JHK magnitudes and colors. This includes only 2M ASS stars with the highest quality photom etry (\AAA" photom etry quality ags). We have used the recommended transform ations between the 2MASS and CIT photom etric system s (Carpenter 2001), and in Figures 2, 3, and 4 we show the differences (in the sense our results m inus those from 2MASS) between the K m agnitudes and K colors for the brighter stars, those with K J K and H 13:6 m aq. The weighted mean dierence in K is 0:014 0:005 mag, with no dependence on K magnitude. Likewise, there is no signi cant correlation in the di erences in the J K colors with the colors them selves, and the weighted average di erence between our values and those from 2M ASS is only +0.007 0.005 m ag. In the case of H K, how ever, there is a correlation between (H K) and H K (the correlation \cos cient is 0.68). The overall e ect is modest, and the mean

di erence in H K is only 0:021 0:007 m ag. W e do not believe the problem arises in the H lter we employed, nor in our photom etric data reductions since the transform ations appear to be good between the instrum ental system and the UK RT standard stars. However, we note that the equations derived by Carpenter (2001) for transform ing UK RT and CII photom etry into the 2M ASS system m ay be employed to derive the following CII -to-UK RT relations:

$$K_{CIT} = K_{UKIRT} + 0.004 (J K)_{UKIRT} 0.026;$$

(J K)_{CIT} = 1.012 (J K)_{UKIRT} + 0.001;
(H K)_{CIT} = 1.035 (H K)_{UKIRT} + 0.045: (3)

These do not agree particularly well with the relations derived by Casali & Hawarden (1992; Equation 1). The relatively good agreement between our K and J K results and those from 2MASS, and the disagreement for the H K results suggests that the transformations between the various photometric systems remain a source of some uncertainties.

3.3. M atching to Optical Photom etry

The very extensive BVI photom etry of SBG 2003 was employed to obtain optical photom etry for our program stars. The transformation of (x,y) positions in the superposed image of the cluster began with identication of sixteen of the brighter stars in the 2MASS survey, and a pair of third-order polynomial equations to transform (x,y) to right ascension and declination. The rms error of the tswere of order 0.2° . The superposition of the ve elds was not perfect, and only about half of the 150 or so 2MASS stars were identied by this process. A similar procedure was employed for sixteen of the brighter remaining 2MASS stars. The rms error in positions was roughly 0.1° for this case. We thus were forced to employ two possible coordinate transformations for all of our program stars.

We then applied both transform ations to compute the estim ated right ascensions and declinations for all of our program stars. A match to a star from SBG 2003 was declared only if the separation was less than 3^{00} . In the case of multiple matches, only the nearest star was selected for each transform ation.

Nonetheless, some of our program stars had matches using both transformations. To select the star that provides a better match, we then compared J K color indices from our work with the V magnitude from SBG 2003 and the K magnitude from our work. A simple linear relation was to the J K vs.V K color indices, and 3 outliers were rejected. A new iteration was undertaken with the remaining stars, and multiple iterations were employed until the relation showed no signi cant change from one iteration to the next.

At that point the empirical J K vs. V K relation was employed to select the better m atch when both position transform ations found m atches. We then eliminated stars that deviated by m ore than 1.0 m ag in V K from that predicted by our J K photom etry.

Our nal results, given in Table 1, include the positions for each star from RBG 2003, the V, B V, and V I photom etry, also from SBG 2003, and our own results, J, H, K, as well as J K and V K, and their associated errors.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. The Slope of the Red G iant B ranch

4.1.1. Calibration of the relation between the slope and [Fe/H]

The slope and color of the red giant branch have both been used for decades to infer the mean metallicity of globular clusters from optical color-magnitude diagrams. Zinn & West (1984) and Zinn (1985) discussed the earlier work thoroughly, and Sarajedini (1994) showed how the reddening-insensitive slope and the reddening-dependent color of a BV color-magnitude diagram could be used to infer the cluster metallicity and the reddening simulataneously. Sarajedini & Layden (1997) extended this to V I color-magnitude diagrams. Kuchinski et al. (1995) and Kuchinski & Frogel (1995) demonstrated that the slope of the red giant branch in a K vs. J K color-magnitude diagram could also be employed as a metallicity indicator, but also argued that the color of the red giant branch is not particularly sensitive to reddening form etallicities in the range 1:0 [Fe/H] 0:3, diminishing the possibility of measuring both [Fe/H] and E (J K) simultaneously.

K uchinski et al. (1995) recommended simple linear ts to determ ine the slope since, unlike optical photom etry, the red giant branches do not show any signi cant curvature above the level of the horizontal branch. They recommended using stars lying between 0.6 and 5.1 m agnitudes above the level of the red horizontal branch, the bright lim it being set by the desire to avoid possible photom etric variable stars near the lum inous tip of the red giant branch. Valentiet al. (2004b) and VFO have re-calibrated the relationship between the slope, de ned by $(J \ K)/ K$, and [Fe/H]. They noted that reliance on the magnitude level of the horizontal branch to de ne a reference point comprom ises the method prescribed by K uchinski et al. (1995) since some clusters do not have red horizontal branch stars. Since the horizontal branch " is not horizontal in a K vs. J K diagram, clusters with predom inantly blue horizontal branches would be more di cult to exploit within the above m agnitude lim it prescription. Valentiet al. (2004b) and VFO therefore recommended that the slope be simply

de ned using stars 0.5 and 5.0 m agnitudes fainter than the most lum inous red giant branch star. We point out the obvious fact that in the case of a linear t to a linear set of data will yield exactly the same answer, so either set of measured slopes should, in principle, suit equally well. We show below, however, that the RGB slope does <u>not</u> appear to be linear.

Both Valentiet al. (2004b) and VFO also compared the slope with M/H , the \mean m etallicity" of the cluster. This is meant to include the contributions of elements other than those of the iron peak, the abundance of light elements in particular. The logic of such a calibration is that model isochrones depend on the heavy element mass fraction, Z, and results are also often available for di erent m ixes of the \ "elements (O, Mg, Ca, Si, and in som e cases T i). Indeed, isochrones that are distinguished only by Z and not by [/Fe]m ay still be compared using an expression relating them to [Fe/H] (see Straniero & Chie 1991 and Salaris, Chie, & Straniero 1993 for details). The most inclusive calibration between [M/H] and the slope of the red giant branch is that given by VFO. We are reluctant to employ such a calibration for NGC 6791 or, indeed, the most heavily extinguished globular clusters for which observations are now underway, because system atic di erences between [/Fe] at xed [Fe/H] do exist to a sm all degree am ong eld halo stars (K ing 1997; C arney et al. 1997; Nissen & Schuster 1997), in a few globular clusters (Brown, Wallerstein, & Zucker 1997; Cohen 2004), and in stars in the Galactic bulge (M dW illiam & R ich 1994). The di erences are even stronger in neighboring dwarf galaxies with predom inantly old populations such as D raco and U rsa M inor (Shetrone, Côte, & Sargent 2001) and Sculptor (Shetrone et al. 2003), where low [/Fe] ratios are found even at very low metallicities. Comparisons with model isochrones using M/H] or Fe/H] and variable [/Fe] values are useful in an exploratory sense, as we do below, but the most reliable comparisons can be made only once high-resolution spectroscopy has provided as detailed an elemental abundance pro le as possible.

VFO also derived a relationship between the slope of the red giant branch and [Fe/H], and we reproduce their equation A 41 here:

$$F = H_{L_{G97}} = 22.21 \text{ (slope}_{RGB} \text{)} 2.80:$$
 (4)

Note that the metallicity scale they employed was that of Carretta & Gratton (1997), which diers slightly from that employed by Zinn (1985). VFO also noted that this relation disagrees signicantly with that derived by Ivanov & Borissova (2002). VFO attributed the dierence to the less extensive set of data available to Ivanov & Borissova (2002) and to an inaccurate measurement of the red giant branch slope for 47 Tuc, their most metal-rich cluster.

W e em ploy here the [Fe/H] m etallicity scale adopted by Z inn (1985). W hile yet another calibration of the relationship between the red giant branch slope and [Fe/H] may be of limited value, we believe such a calibration has merit. The Carretta & Gratton (1997)

m etallicity scale is not as widely applicable to other clusters as those of Z inn (1985). Indeed, excluding the multimetallicity cluster ! Cen, use of the results from Z inn (1985) increases the sample size from 23 to 28 clusters, and is capable of growing much larger still using an internally consistent metallicity scale as new JHK observations of clusters become e available. We collect in Table 2 the available data, relying primarily on VFO for the data for the red giant branch slopes, and the [Fe/H] values from Z inn (1985). Use of an ordinary least squares bissector to the data, assuming ([Fe/H]) 0.2 for most clusters, results in:

$$F = H_{2 inn} = 27.95 (2.26) (slope_{RGB}) 3.35 (0.19):$$
(5)

Figure 5 shows our results. P lease note that the open circle represents our own results (Lee, Carney, & Balachandran 2004) for Palom ar 6, a cluster so heavily extinguished that it is most readily studied photom etrically and spectroscopically only at infrared wavelengths.

4.1.2. Results for NGC 6791

As discussed in the Introduction, NGC 6791 is thought to have a very high m etallicity, [Fe/H] + 0.3. Extrapolation of Equations 4 and 5 to such a m etallicity predict red giant branch slopes of 0:14 and 0:13, respectively. If the uncertainty in the slope of either relation is about 8%, as in plied in Equation 5, then these predicted slopes have uncertainties of about 0:01.

NGC 6791 is a relatively sparse cluster, and coupled with the relatively small area we have surveyed, there are too few stars at or above the magnitude level of the horizontal branch to employ the full 4 to 5 m agnitude range recommended by either K uchinski et al. (1995) or VFO.But only the precision of the slope is comprom ised by a more limited range, not the value itself, so long as the data are indeed well-de ned by a linear t. Because our results for the slope are not consistent with the predictions, we derive them here using three di erent approaches.

First, to increase the num ber of stars and, we hope, in prove the precision of ourm easure, we extend the tting region below the horizontal branch. Figure 6 shows the resultant slope and the stars employed to determ ine the t (lled circles). We have again employed an ordinary least squares bissector tting routine. The slope in this case is $0.088 \quad 0.005$, which diers considerably from what is expected. In fact, both Equations 4 and 5 then predict $[Fe/H] = 0.9 \quad 0.1$.

Second, we restrict the measurement of the slope to only the nine brightest red giants in Figure 6, avoiding the problem of possible non-linear behavior for stars fainter than the horizontal branch. In this case, the slope becomes 0.092 0.013, im plying [Fe/H] =

0:8 0:3. The implied very low metallicity is con med. The dashed line shows roughly what the slope should be if we use Equation 5, with the intersection between the two relations forced to lie at the magnitude level of the horizontal branch. It is very clear that our data yield a red giant branch slope that is inconsistent with a metallicity greater than solar, if Equations 4 or 5 are extrapolated into higher metallicity regions than the clusters that have been used to calibrate both relations.

F inally, we derive slope using the results from the 2M ASS survey, independent of our own photom etry. We do not expect di erent answers since, as we have seen, the 2MASS photom ery is very consistent with our data once m inor zero point o sets are taken into account. In Figures 7, 8, and 9 we show the results from 2MASS.Figure 7 shows the \eld" in the vicinity of NGC 6791, and includes stars in four elds 15° north, south, east, and west of the cluster center. Each eld has a radius of 3° . These were selected to crudely sample possible variations in interstellar reddening (see below) and provide a sample size roughly consistent with that of Figure 8, which was centered on the cluster and also has a radius of 3°. The led circles in Figure 8 represent the 8 stars used for the t, which yielded a red giant branch slope of 0:084 0:009. Figure 9 repeats the analysis, but includes a radius of 4⁰ around the cluster center, and a t to the 11 stars m arked as led circles, which yielded a slope of 0.083 0.007. Figures 8 and 9, coupled with Equations 4 and 5 all lead to Fe/H] estimates that range from 0.9 to 1.0, each with an uncertainty of about 0.2 dex. The 2M ASS results thus independently con m that the slope of the red giant branch in NGC 6791 is not consistent with the calibrations of Equation 4 and 5 and Fe/H] values anywhere near solar. Som ething is wrong.

How can we reconcile the di erences between the spectroscopic estimates of the high metallicity for NGC 6791 and the much lower value implied by the slope of the red giant branch? There are basically six options.

- 1. Our photom etry is in error. This also seems unlikely, since our results agree so well with 2M ASS, and we feel that our observations were obtained under excellent observing conditions, and optim ized to remove any possible detector non-linearities.
- 2. The cluster's m etallicity is, in fact, very low. This likew is seems implausible since the spectroscopic evidence for such a high m etallicity is compelling.
- 3. NGC 6791 m ay m in ic a lower m etallicity cluster if the dom inant electron donors in the stellar atmospheres are underabundant relative to the calibrating clusters. This would include the elements such as Ca and Mg, in particular. This is in apparent con ict with the results of Peterson & Green (1998).

- 4. We have too few stars to reliably measure the slope of the red giant branch. While the number of stars is small, the slope does appear to be well-measured.
- 5. The slope of the red giant branch is a linear function of Fe/H], as indicated in Figure 5, but only if Fe/H] 0:3.
- 6. Finally, the claim that the slope of the red giant branch is linear in K vs. J K may be incorrect.

W e explore the explore that latter two points using the isochrones of Y i, K in , & D em arque (2003; hereafter Y K D 2003) and the color-tem perature relations of A lonso et al. (1999). Figure 10 shows the predicted values of M_K vs. J K for Fe/H] values ranging from 0.8 to +0.3. O verall, it appears that the color of the red giant branch continues to depend on Fe/H] up to the metallicity comparable to that of NGC 6791. However, the slope of the red giant branch at any xed metallicity is not predicted to be linear above M_K = 1.5, a value typical of the red horizontal branch or red clum p stars. Curvature in the M_K vs. J K plane is clearly present at each metallicity shown. W hile linear approximations of the slope may yet prove useful, the F igure shows that any such measure must employ consistently de ned and employed reference points. Nontheless, the the slope of the red giant branch does appear to still be a good reddening-insensitive metallicity indicator, although it appears that a new calibration will be required so that it m ay be applied to sparse clusters, such as NGC 6791, whose red giants do not extend more than about two magnitudes above the red horizontal branch red clum p dom ain.

4.2. Cluster Reddening

NGC 6791 lies at a relatively high Galactic latitude (b 10:9 deg), so the reddening is not expected to be high, as discussed in detail by SBG 2003. The compilation of Twarog, A shm an, & Anthony-Twarog (1997) suggests E (B V) is 0.15 m ag, while SBG 2003 adopted 0.09 m ag, and M ontgom ery et al. (1994) found a value of 0:10 0:02 m ag using eld stars in the cluster's vicinity.

O urphotom etry m ay be used to explore this issue, following the suggestions of Kuchinski et al. (1995) and Kuchinski & Frogel (1995) that the mean color of the red horizontal branch for globular clusters with 1:0 [Fe/H] 0:3 is insensitive to metallicity.

We revisit this issue here. We con ne our attention to only the oldest clusters: those with estimated ages exceeding 2.5 10^9 years. This includes only three open clusters (Be 39, NGC 6791, and NGC 6819) from G rocholski & Sarajedini (2002), plus their globular

clusters 47 Tuc, NGC 362, and the globular clusters NGC 5927, NGC 6624, NGC 6637, NGC 6712, and NGC 6838 (M 71) from Kuchinski & Frogel (1995). (W e exclude the most heavily extinguished clusters Liller 1, Terzan 2, and NGC 6440.) Before presenting our results, we felt it necessary to repeat the color estimations done by Grocholski & Sarajedini (2002), since it appeared from their gures that their results may have been comprom ised by including stars on the red giant branch. W e show in Figures 11 and 12 the 2M ASS K vs. J K data for these clusters, with the red horizontal branch/red clump stars identied as open circles. The mean colors were computed and transform ed to the CIT photom etric system (Carpenter 2001), and our results are given in Table 3.

Figure 13 som ewhat con m s the speculation of Kuchinski & Frogel (1995), which is hardly suprising since we are employing their data for the clusters with 1.0 [Fe/H]

0.3. But the Figure also shows that inclusion of more metal-poor and more metal-rich clusters shows that there is indeed a fairly strong metallicity sensitivity! If we t the data using an ordinary least squares bissector, we nd

$$< J K >_{0} = (0.170 0.026) F = H] + (0.596 0.016):$$
 (6)

The freen stars identi ed as red horizontal branch stars in Figure 6 yield $\langle J | K \rangle = 0.73 \ 0.01$. If we accept that $[Fe/H] = +0.4 \ 0.1$ (Peterson & Green 1998), we not that $E(J | K) = 0.075 \ 0.02$. Employing the interstellar extinction law measured by Rieke & Lebofsky (1985), we note (B | V) = 0.14 \ 0.04. This is consistent with previous cluster estimates. Indeed, the estimate of M ontgomery et al. (1994) of 0.10 \ 0.02 would, notionally, support a metallicity for the cluster a bit higher than + 0.4.

4.3. Cluster Distance

The lum inosity of the horizontal branch has been an extrem ely useful (and disputed) tool for the estimation of cluster distances for decades. Since the infrared magnitudes are less vulnerable to reddening and extinction, M_K (RHB) has attracted some special attention. K uchinski et al. (1995) and K uchinski & Frogel (1995) helped pioneer this area of study as well, noting that the interm ediate m etallicity clusters had com parable M_K (RHB) values. A lves (2000) combined H ipparcos parallaxes, K -band photom etry, and spectroscopic m etallicity estimates for over two hundred eld red clump stars, alm ost all with 0:6 [Fe/H] + 0:1. The peak in the lum inosity distribution was found to lie at M_K 1:61 0:03, and A lves (2000) argued that there is no sensitivity to m etallicity, at least over the range studied, making the toolparticularly powerful form etal-rich clusters, and supporting the earlier results of K uchinski et al. (1995) and K uchinski & Frogel (1995). We note that apparently

not all of the stars studied by A lves (2000) are horizontal branch or red clump stars since the dispersion in the derived M $_{\rm K}$ value is much too large. But the median value appears reliable, and, in fact, Grocholski & Sarajedini (2002) derived a remarkably similar value, М_к = 1:62 0:06, using open clusters. Age may also play a role, and ages for the eld stars en ployed by A lves (2000) are unknown. Grocholski & Sarajedini (2002) explored this issue as well, employing a consistent set of distance, reddening, and metallicity estimation procedures for a sample of open and globular clusters. They found that clusters with ages greater than about 2 G yrs did not show signi cant variation in M_{K} (RHB) with age, but that there is some sensitivity to metallicity. (Conversely, younger clusters' red clum p stars' lum inosities were not so dependent on metallicity, but were sensitive to age di erences.) Finally, Pietrzynski, & Udalski (2003) com pared K -band red clum p lum inosities derived for stars in four Local G roup galaxies with distances estimated from the the red giant branch tip I-band m agnitudes, V-band RR Lyrae lum inosities, and K-band cepheid observations. They agreed with Gocholski & Sarajedini (2000) that the K -band lum inosity of red clum p stars has \very little (if any) dependence on age over an age range of about 2-8 G yrs". They sim ilarly found little sensitivity to metallicity, although their metallicity range was roughly 0.5 down to 1.8, well out the regime of interest in terms of a distance estimate for from NGC 6791.

The value of $\langle K \rangle$ for the freen red horizontal branch stars in NGC 6791 is 11:50 0:03, and di erences in E (B V) of 0.05 m ag alter the calculation of $\langle K_0 \rangle$ by only 0.01 m ag. U sing the calibration of A lves (2000), we therefore derive a distance m odulus of (m M)₀ = 13:07 0:04.

5. THE AGE OF NGC 6791

The color index V K provides a very powerful indicator of elective temperature in stars, and its relationship to T_e and [Fe/H] for dwarfs and giants has been described by A lonso et al. (1996, 1999), respectively. In brief, the wide wavelength span enables high sensitivity, roughly 20 K per 0.01 m ag, or better. The metallicity sensitivity is also weak, at least until temperatures are cool enough or metallicities are high enough for T iO bands to cause signi cant absorption in the V bandpass.

Figures 14 and 15 show the combined optical and infrared photom etry for our sample of stars using the V K color index and the K and V m agnitudes, respectively. The e ects of T iO absorption in the V bandpass are apparent. In Figure 14 the shape of the cooler part of the red giant branch has a noticeable change of slope, com pared to the near-linear behavior seen in the K vs. J K diagram shown in Figure 1. In Figure 15, where we com pare V

vs.V K, the e ect is even stronger. Optical color indices such as B V and V I, with shorter wavelength baselines, coupled with the V bandpass, are therefore not as suitable for thoroughly testing observations with the predictions of m odel isochrones.

We have relied on the grid of model isochrones computed by YKD 2003, and the transform ation of lum inosity into M_V and M_K and elective temperature into B_V, J_K, and V_K that they employed. Because our infrared data are on a slightly dimension etric system, we employed the transform ations recommended by Bessell & Brett (1998). Because good empirical color-temperature transform ations exist only for solar-metallicity stars with [/Fe] 0.0, we chose to employ only those isochrones with a solar mix of heavy elements.

Figure 16 shows ts in the four color-m agnitude diagram planes for [Fe/H] = 0.0, using parameters selected according to the criterion that a \best t" be obtained in the V vs. B V plane. Note that the implied reddening, E (B V) = 0.26 m ag, and distance m odulus, (m M)₀ = 12.73, are not in good agreement with the estimates presented above. Note also that while the m atch is very good in the optical, the m atches in the infrared are, frankly, unacceptable, due primarily to the large reddening value we had to adopt. (The unusual \hook" behavior seen in the V vs.B V plane near the tip of the red giant branch arises from line blanketing produced by m olecular absorption, especially by T iO. The optical spectra of cooler, m ore m etal-rich stars are a ected especially. The F igure illustrates that near-infrared spectra and photom etry are a ected m uch less strongly.)

Figure 17 shows one of the best matches for [Fe/H] = +0.3, E (B V) = 0.17 m ag, (m M)₀ = 12.93, and an age of 8.0 G yrs. A slightly higher extinction (0.21 m ag) leads to (m M)₀ = 13.00 m ag, and an age of 6.0 G yrs, but the t is slightly poorer. Increasing [Fe/H] to +0.4, the value recommended by Peterson & Green (1998), leads to Figure 18, with an optimum optical theing obtained for E (B V) = 0.13 m ag, (m M)₀ = 12.96 and an age of 8 G yrs. Now the agreement with the estimated reddening and distance modulus is even better, and the agreement for all the color-magnitude diagrams with the isochrones is quite good.

The best m atch to the empirical reddening and distance estimates and the best m atches to color-m agnitude diagrams is shown in Figure 19, for [Fe/H] = +0.5, E(B = V) = 0.11m ag, (m = M)₀ = 13.11 m ag, and an age of 7.5 G yrs. The agreement of the reddening and the distance m odulus to the empirically-derived values discussed in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 is excellent. A dopting [Fe/H] = +0.5 leads to empirically-calibrated values for E(B = V) of 0.09 m ag and (m = M)₀ = 13.07 m ag. We note that this metallicity is slightly higher than derived by Peterson & Green (1998), but is within the uncertainty, and m ay be accounted for by our use of [/Fe] = 0.0, while a value of + 0.1 m ay be m ore appropriate. W hile there are uncertainties in all of our estimates, including the reddening, the distance modulus, and in the procedure of thing model isochrones to the optical and infrared color-magnitude diagrams, we are very encouraged by the consistency of the results for the relatively high [Fe/H] values of + 0.4 and + 0.5, from which we conclude that the isochrones are most consistent with a cluster age of roughly 8 G yrs.

6. SUMMARY

We have obtained relatively deep JHK photom etry of the very old, very metal-rich open cluster NGC 6791. We have derived a revised relationship between the slope of the red giant branch and the metallicities of clusters using the Zinn (1985) calibrations. However, the slope of the RGB in NGC 6791 yields an unrealistically low metallicity because the cluster RGB is not well populated and the RGB itself is not expected to be linear, even in the K K plane. The mean color of the red horizontal branch stars in plies E (B vs. J V) = 0:04 m ag, while the mean K m agnitude is consistent with (m 0:14 M)₀ 13:07.Both of these values produce very good agreem ent between optical and infrared color-m agnitude diagram s for Fe/H] values of + 0.4 or + 0.5. The optim alm atches are found for a cluster age of roughly 8 G yrs. Lower m etallicities yield poorer agreem ents between the color-m agnitude diagram s and m odel isochrones.

JW L thanks Y.-C.K im for his kind discussion and acknow ledges the nancial support of the K orea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) to the A strophysical R esearch C enter for the Structure and Evolution of the Cosm os (ARCSEC).BW C acknowledges the nancial support of the N ational Science Foundation to the University of N orth C arolina through grants A ST -9619381, A ST -9988156, and A ST -0305431.

Table 1. Results of JHK Photom etry & Matching to Optical Photom etry

Id	R ight ascension D eclination	V	B V	VI	J	Н	К	JK	V K
10099	19 20 58 . 65	12,155	2,348	0,569	9.658	9.064	8.668	0.990	3.487
	37 47 40.8	0.047	0.224	0.086	0.075	0.058	0.051	0.091	0.070
8904	19 20 55.11	13.862	1.633	1.970	10.582	9.780	9.598	0.984	4,264
	37 47 16.5	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.029	0.028	0.018	0.034	0.018
8266	19 20 53.39	13.741	1.616	1.779	10.775	10.024	9.770	1.005	3.971
	37 48 28.4	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.058	0.054	0.037	0.069	0.037
11814	19 21 4 27	13.849	1.575	1.658	11.060	10.304	10.128	0.932	3.721
	37 47 18.9	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.049	0.049	0.029	0.057	0.029
7972	19 20 52.60	14.136	1.593	1.763	11.160	10.445	10,208	0.952	3.928
	37 44 28.5	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.050	0.047	0.032	0.059	0.032
7063	19 20 50.04	13.061	1.081	1249	10.927	10.356	10,259	0.668	2.802
	37 47 28.4	0.004	0.006	0.005	0.031	0.028	0.018	0.036	0.019
5342	19 20 44.86	14.138	1.569	1.749	11,203	10.463	10,298	0.905	3.840
	37 46 21.7	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.046	0.043	0.029	0.054	0.029
7328	19 20 50.78	13.599	0.869	1,259	11.618	11.119	11.006	0.612	2 . 593
	37 46 33.8	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.042	0.036	0.025	0.049	0.025

C luster	Fe∕H]ª	Slope		R eference ^b
NGC 104 (47 Tuc)	0 : 71	0:110	0.002	1
NGC 288	1:40	0:071	0.004	1
NGC 362	1:28	0:074	0.003	1
NGC 4590 (M 68)	2:09	0:048	0.003	1
NGC 5272 (M3)	1:66	0:071	0.003	1
NGC 5904 (M 5)	1:40	0:082	0.004	1
NGC 5927	0:30	0:112	0.005	2
NGC 6121 (M 4)	1:28	0 : 079	0.009	1
NGC 6171 (M 107)	0:99	0:101	0.005	1
NGC 6205 (M 13)	1 : 65	0 : 065	0.002	1
NGC 6254 (M 10)	1:60	0:048	0.005	1
NGC 6341 (M 92)	224	0:046	0.003	1
NGC 6342	0 : 66	0:102	0.003	1
Terzan 2	0 : 47	0:107	0.006	2
NGC 6380	0:99	0:094	0.003	1
Palomar6	0 : 8	0 : 075	0.004	3
NGC 6440	026	0:093	0.005	1
NGC 6441	0 : 53	0:092	0.005	1
NGC 6528	+ 0:12	0:114	0.002	1
NGC 6553	029	0:092	0.002	1
NGC 6624	0:35	0:100	0.005	4
NGC 6637 (M 69)	0:59	0:092	0.002	1
NGC 6712	1:01	0:091	0.006	2
NGC 6752	1:54	0:048	0.003	1
NGC 6809 (M 55)	1:82	0:049	0.003	1
NGC 6838 (M 71)	0 : 58	0:110	800.0	2
NGC 7078 (M 15)	2:15	0:044	0.003	1
NGC 7099 (M 30)	2:13	0:044	0.004	1

Table 2. Calibrating C lusters for the Relation Between $\mbox{Fe/H}$] and the Slope of the Red G iant Branch

^a [Fe/H] values are from Z inn (1985), except for that of Palom ar 6, which is taken from Lee et al. (2004).

^b (1) Valentiet al. (2004a); (2) Kuchinskiet al. (1995); (3) Lee & Camey (2002); (4) Kuchinski & Frogel (1995)

C luster	Ε(B	V)	[Fe/H]		log age	M _K (RHB)		< (J	K)> ₀ ª	
0 kl 0 pen C lusters ^b										
Be 39		0.11	0:18	0.03	9.88	1 : 64	0.12		0.605	0.02
M 67		0.04	0.000	0.09	9.60	1:71	0.108		0.600	0.01
NGC 6819		0.16	0.07	0.04	9.42	1 : 69	0.136		0.56	0.02
G lobular C lusters ^b										
47 Tuc		0.04	0:70	0.07	10.08	1:364	0,211		0.50	0.02
G LODULAR C LISTERS										
NGC 362		0.05	1.15	0.06	10.08	0.83	0 241		0 39	0 04
NGC 5927		0.45	0:31	0.1	10.08	1:28	0.2		0.49	0.02
NGC 6624		0.25	0:37	0.10	10.08	1:17	0.2		0.50	0.02
NGC 6637		0.18	0:59	0.10	10.08	1:18	0.2		0.49	0.02
NGC 6712		0.39	1:01	0.10	10.08	1:16	0.2		0.44	0.02
NGC 6838		0.27	0:58	0.10	10.08	1:03	0.2		0.47	0.02

Table 3. M ean M agnitudes and Colors of Clusters' Red Horizontal Branches or Red Clumps

^aAll photom etry is given in the CIT system

^bFrom Kuchinski& Frogel (1995)

^cFrom Grocholski & Sarajedini (2002)

REFERENCES

- Alonso, A., Arribas, S., & Martinez-Roger, C. 1996, A&A, 313, 873
- Alonso, A., Arribas, S., & Martinez-Roger, C. 1999, A& AS, 140, 261
- Alves, D. 2000, ApJ, 539, 732
- Bergbusch, P.A., & VandenBerg, D.A. 2001, ApJ, 556, 322
- Bessell, M.S., & Brett, J.M. 1988 PASP, 100, 1134
- Brown, J.A., Wallerstein, G., & Zucker, D. 1997, AJ, 114, 180
- Carney, B.W., Wright, J.S., Sneden, C., Laird, J.B., Aguilar, L.A., & Latham, D.W. 1997, AJ, 114, 363
- Carpenter, J.M. 2001, AJ, 121, 2851
- Carretta, E., & Gratton, R.F. 1997, A & A S, 121, 95
- Casali, M. M., & Hawarden, T. G. 1992, JCM T-UK IRT New sletters, 4, 33
- Chaboyer, B., Green, E.M., & Liebert, J. 1999, AJ, 117, 1360
- Cohen, J.G. 2004, AJ, 127, 1545
- Cole, A.A., Smecker-Hane, T.A., Tolstoy, E., Bosler, T.L., & Gallagher, J.S. 2004, MNRAS, 347, 367
- Ferraro, F. R., Montegrio, P., Origlia, L., Fusi Pecci, F. 2000, AJ, 119, 1282
- Friel, E.D., & Janes, K.A. 1993, A&A, 267, 75
- Friel, E.D., Janes, K.A., Tavarez, M., Scott, J., Katsanis, R., Lotz, J., Hong, L., & Miller, N. 2002, AJ, 124, 2693
- Frogel, J.A., Persson, S.E., & Cohen, J.G. 1979, ApJ, 227, 499
- Frogel, J.A., Persson, S.E., & Cohen, J.G. 1981, ApJ, 246, 842
- Frogel, J.A., Persson, S.E., & Cohen, J.G. 1983, ApJS, 53, 713
- Grocholski, A.J., & Sarajedini, A. 2002, AJ, 123, 1603
- Harris, W .E. 1996, AJ, 112, 1487
- Ivanov, V.D., & Borissova, J. 2002, A & A, 390,937
- King, J.R. 1997, AJ, 113, 2302
- Kuchinski, L.E., & Frogel, J.A., Persson, S.E. 1995, AJ, 110, 2844
- Kuchinski, L.E., Frogel, J.A., Temdrup, D.M., & Persson, S.E. 1995, AJ, 109, 1131
- Lee, J.-W ., & Camey, B.W .2002, AJ, 123, 3305

- Lee, J.-W., Camey, B.W., & Balachandran, S. 2004, AJ, in press
- M dW illiam, A., & Rich, R.M. 1994, ApJS, 91, 749
- Nissen, P.E., & Schuster, W.J. 1997, A&A, 326, 751
- Peterson, R.C., & Green, E.M. 1998, ApJ, 502, L39
- Pietrzynnski, G., Gieren, W., & Udalski, A. 2003, AJ, 125, 2494
- Rieke, G.H., & Lebofsky, M.J. 1985, ApJ, 288, 618
- Salaris, M., Chie, A., & Straniero, O. 1993, Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana, 63, 315
- Sarajedini, A. 1994, AJ, 107, 618
- Sarajedini, A., & Layden, A. 1997, AJ, 113, 264
- Shetrone, M. D., Côte, P., & Sargent, W. L.W. 2001, ApJ, 548, 592
- Shetrone, M. D., Venn, K. A., Tolstoy, E., Primus, F., Hill, V., & Kaufer, A. 2003, AJ, 125, 684
- Stetson, P.B. 1987, PASP, 99, 191
- Stetson, P.B. 1990, PASP, 102, 932
- Stetson, P.B. 1994, PASP, 106, 250
- Stetson, P.B. 1995, DAOPHOTIU ser's M anual (Victoria: Dominion A strophysical Observatory)
- Stetson, P.B., Bruntt, H., & Grundahl, F. 2003, PASP, 115, 413 (SBG 2003)
- Straniero, O., & Chie, A. 1991, ApJS, 76, 911
- Taylor, B.J. 2001, A & A, 377, 473
- Tripicco, M. J., Bell, R. A., Dorman, B., & Hufnagel, B. 1995, AJ, 109, 1697
- Turner, A.M. 1995, Cooking with ALLFRAME (Victoria: Dominion Astrophysical Observatory)
- Twarog, B.A., Ashman, K.M., & Anthony–Twarog, B.J. 1997, AJ, 114, 2556
- Valenti, E., Ferraro, F.R., & Origlia, L. 2004a, MNRAS, 351, 1204 (VFO)
- Valenti, E., Ferraro, F.R., Perina, S., & Origlia, L. 2004b, A & A, 418, 139
- Worthey, G., & Jowett, K.J. 2003, PASP, 115, 96
- Yi, S., Dem arque, P., & Kim, Y.-C. 1997, ApJ, 482, 677
- Yi, S., Demarque, P., Lee, Y.-W. Kim, Y.-C. Ree, C. H., Lejeune, T., & Barnes, S. 2001, ApJS, 136, 417

Yi, S., Kim, Y.-C., & Demarque, P.2003, ApJS, 144, 259 Zinn, R.1985, ApJ, 293, 424

This preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\rm IAT}_E X$ m acros v5.0.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. The K vs. J K diagram resulting from our observations. The photom etry is on the \CIT photom etric system.

Fig. 2. The di erences between our K magnitude results minus those from the 2MASS survey, after transformation to the CIT photometric system. Only stars with highest quality photometry and in a limited range of K magnitudes are shown.

Fig. 3. The di erences between our J K results m inus those from the 2M ASS survey, after transform ation to the CII photom etric system. Only stars with highest quality photom etry and in a limited range of K m agnitudes are shown.

Fig. 4. The di erences between our H K results minus those from the 2MASS survey, after transformation to the CIT photom etric system. Only stars with highest quality photom etry and in a limited range of K magnitudes are shown.

Fig. 5. The relation between the slope of the red giant branch, $(J \times)/K$, as a function of Fe/H] for globular clusters. D at a for the calibrating clusters are given in Table 2.

Fig. 6. The results of our photom etry, with red giant branch members (led circles) and red horizontal branch members (open circles) identi ed. The solid line is the ordinary least squares bissector measurement of the slope of the red giant branch, while the dashed line represents the expected slope for [Fe/H] = +0.3, adopting the calibrations discussed in the text. The two lines have been adjusted to intersect at the magnitude level of the red horizontal branch.

Fig. 7. The color-m agnitude diagram for the $\$ eld" around NGC 6791, taken from the 2M ASS survey. Four separation regions were used to provide the eld background sample. Each region has a radius of 3°, and each is centered 15° away from the cluster center along north, south, east, and west directions.

Fig. 8. The color-m agnitude diagram of NGC 6791 obtained using 2M ASS data. In this case, the stars were selected to lie within 3^0 of the cluster center. The lled circles represent stars used to measure the slope of the red giant branch, indicated by the solid line, using an ordinary least squares bissector analysis.

Fig. 9. The color-m agnitude diagram of NGC 6791 obtained using 2MASS data. In this case, the stars were selected to lie within 4^0 of the cluster center. The led circles represent stars used to measure the slope of the red giant branch, indicated by the solid line, using an

ordinary least squares bissector analysis.

Fig. 10. Theoretical isochrones of M_K vs. J K from YKD 2003. Note that the color and slope of the red giant branch are sensitive to [Fe/H], but that the slope is not linear.

Fig. 11. The color-m agnitude diagram of Be 39, obtain from the 2M ASS database, and restricted a region within 10° of the cluster's center. The stars we identify as red horizontal branch or red clump stars are indicated as open circles.

Fig. 12. The color-m agnitude diagram of NGC 6819, obtain from the 2MASS database, and restricted a region within 5° of the cluster's center. The stars we identify as red horizontal branch or red clump stars are indicated as open circles.

Fig. 13. The de-reddened m ean J K colors (in the CII photom etric system) of the red horizontal branch stars in the oldest open clusters and globular clusters.

Fig. 14. The combined optical and infrared photom etry for stars in our observing program, after outliers in the J K vs. V K diagram have been removed. Here we compare an infrared magnitude and an optical-infrared color. Notice that the shape of the red giant branch is distinctly curved compared to Figure 1.

Fig. 15. The combined optical and infrared photom etry for stars in our observing program, after outliers in the J K vs.V K diagram have been removed. Here we compare an optical magnitude and an optical-infrared color. Notice that the shape of the red giant branch is distinctly curved even more than in Figure 1 or Figure 14.

Fig. 16. Here we show a comparison between model isochrones taken from YKD 2003 and the optical and infrared photom etry. We instidentify an optimum match in V vs. B V, using the optical photom etry of SBG 2003. In this case we found a good match for [Fe/H] = 0.0, [/Fe] = 0.0, E(B V) = 0.26 mag, a distance modulus of 12.73 mag, and an age of 8 G yrs. However, the infrared color-magnitude diagrams do not agree well at all, in plying signi cant errors in the reddening, hence distance, or in the metallicity.

Fig. 17. We repeat the comparisons of Figure 16, but using [Fe/H] = +0.3 and [/Fe] = 0.0. Here the optimal t to the optical data suggests E (B V) = 0.17 m ag, (m M)₀ = 12.93 m ag, and, again, an age of 8 G yrs.

Fig. 18. We repeat the comparisons of Figure 16, but using [Fe/H] = +0.4 and [/Fe] = 0.0. Here the optimal t to the optical data suggests E(B = V) = 0.13 mag, $(m = M)_0 = 0.13 \text{ mag}$, $(m = M)_0 = 0.13 \text{ mag}$,

12.96 m ag, and, again, an age of 8 G yrs.

Fig. 19. We repeat the comparisons of Figure 16, but using [Fe/H] = +0.5 and [/Fe] = 0.0. Here the optimal t to the optical data suggests E (B V) = 0.11 m ag, (m M)₀ = 13.15 m ag, and an age of 7.5 G yrs.





































