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#### Abstract

W e present deep JH K photom etry of the old and metal-rich open cluster NGC 6791. The photom etry reaches below the $m$ ain sequence tum-o to K $\quad 16: 5 \mathrm{~m}$ ag. W e com bine our photom etry w ith that from Stetson, B runtt, \& G rundahl (2003) to provide colorm agnitude diagram s show ing $K$ vs. J $K$, $K$ vs. V K, and V vs.V K.W e study the slope of the red giant branch in the infrared, but nd that it is not a usefulm etallicity indicator for the cluster, nor any $m$ etal-rid cluster that lacks a well-populated red giant branch, because it is not linear, as has often been assum ed, in K vs. J K. The mean color of the red horizontalbranch/red clum p stars provide an estim ate the cluster reddening, $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V})=0: 14 \quad 0: 04 \mathrm{~m}$ ag for $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0: 4 \quad 0: 1$. The m ean m agnitudes of these stars also provide a good distance estim ate, $(m \quad M)_{0}=13: 07$ 0:04. Finally, we nd that the isochrones of $Y i, K i m, \& D e m$ arque (2003) provide optim al ts in $V$ vs. $B \quad V$ and $V \quad K$ and $K$ vs. $J \quad K$ and $V \quad K$ forsuch values if $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ lies betw $e n+0.3$ and +0.5 (w ith a slight preference for +0.5 ) and ages between $9 \mathrm{Gyrs}(\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0.3$ ) and 7.5 G yrs ( $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0.5$ ).
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

NGC 6791 is a rem arkable star cluster. It is one of the very few known open clusters whose age approaches that of globular clusters and the halo generally, w ith recent age estim ates ranging from 8 G yrs (Chaboyer, G reen, \& Liebert 1999) to 10 G yrs (Tripicco et al 1995) to 12 G yrs (Stetson, B runtt, \& G rundahl 2003; hereafter SBG 2003). The cluster is therefore a rare fossil nd, and is one of the few sam ples where we can study stars that form ed very early in the evolution of the $G$ alaxy's disk. D espite its age, its heavy elem ents appear to be $m$ ore abundant than in the $m u c h$ younger Sun and com parable age stars and clusters at the solar G alactoœentric distance.

The abundances are di cult to $m$ easure in this $m$ oderately distant and moderately extinguished cluster, and $m$ etallicity estim ates or $m$ easures have been provided using three generalm ethods, all of them sum m arized well by SBG 2003. M etallicity \indicators" are so nam ed because they $m$ ust be calibrated using other clusters or stars whose abundances have been $m$ easured using high-resolution spectroscopy. C antema et al. (1986), using $W$ ashington system photom etry, and Friel \& Janes (1993), using D D O photom etry, pointed the way to a \super-solar m etallicity" for NGC 6791. Low - and m oderate-resolution spectroscopy, likew ise calibrated using stars whose abundances have been determ ined using high-resolution spectroscopy, have also im plied high m etallicities (Frielet al. 20002; W orthey \& Jow ett 2003; see also C ole et al. 2004). Them odel isochrones em ployed to derive estim ates of the chuster's age $m$ ay also help estim ate the cluster's chem ical com position, although the problem is then m ulti-param etric. C haboyer et al. (1999) and SB G 2003 have both used this approach to infer heavy elem ent $m$ ass fractions of at least tw ice solar. The $m$ ost direct $m$ ethod to determ ine the cluster's chem ical com position is, of course, high-resolution spectrscopy. This is not as sim ple as it sounds. The cluster is relatively distant, with (m M) estim ates ranging from 12.6 to 13.6 (!). Thus even its m ost hum inous stars are relatively faint. H ow ever, such stars are also quite cool, and the com bination of low e ective tem perature and high m etallicity conspire to $m$ ake such spectra di cult to evaluate. This is (partly) what led Peterson \& G reen (1998) to study star 2-17, which is apparently a cluster $m$ ember, despite being a \blue horizontalbranch" star; that is, a fairly hot star w ith a rather thin hydrogen envelope and a hydrogen-buming shell above a helium -buming core. T he existence of such stars in NCG 6791 adds spice to an already sum ptuous cluster, and also show that the m etallicity is indeed very high, $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \quad+0: 4 \quad 0: 1$. The cluster's reddening estim ates are also som ew hat uncertain, but a carefulstudy of eld stars in the direction of the cluster revealed E (B V) $=0: 10 \quad 0: 02$ (M ontgom ery, Janes, \& Phelps 1994). Taylor (2001) has critically review ed them etallicity estim ates and concluded that $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{m}$ ost probably lies in the range of +0.16 to +0.44 .

So N G C 6791 truly is rem arkable: it som ehow form ed long before the Sun, and not too long after the onset of star form ation had begun in the $G$ alactic disk, yet its star-form ing environm ent had already produced tw ioe as $m$ any heavy elem ents per unit $m$ ass as had the environm ent out of which the Sun and sim ilar stars form ed several billion years later. For this reason alone, N G C 6791 deserves extensive study.

There is a second reason for an observational focus on N G C 6791. Because it is both old and $m$ etal-rich, it can play a critical role in calibrating the diverse \secondary" m etallicity indicators, such as low - to m oderate-resolution spectroscopy or photom etry.

W e argue that infrared photom etry of the cluster can adds valuable inform ation. The slope of the red giant branch in K vs. $J \quad \mathrm{~K}$ is reddening- and distant-independent, yet has been shown to be a metallicity indicator $\mathbb{K}$ uchinski et al. (1995); Kuchinski \& Frogel (1995); Ferraro et al (2000); Ivanov \& B orissova (2002); Valenti, Ferraro, and O riglia (2004a; hereafterV FO );V alentiet al. 2004b]. M etal-rich globular chusters also appear to have de-reddened $m$ ean colors of their red horizontal branch stars that are insensitive to $m$ etallicity, and claím s have also been $m$ ade that their $m$ ean $K$ boand lum inosities do not depend strongly on m etallicity (K uchinskiet al. 1995; K uchinski\& Frogel 1995; but see G rocholski \& Sara jedini 2002). Thus such stars in NGC 6791 m ay provide independent reddening and distance estim ates. Infrared colors such as ( J K ) are less a ected by line blanketing and hence show reduced sensitivity to $m$ etallicity than optical colors. (See A lonso, A rribas, \& $M$ artinezR oger 1996 for a discussion of color-tem perature relations form ain sequence stars, and A lonso, A rribas, \& M artinez 1999 for a sim ilar discussion of giant stars). Finally, the com bination of optical and infrared photom etry provides very long w avelength baselines and colors that have specialsensitivity to tem perature independent ofm etallicity, such as $V \mathrm{~K}$.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS\& DATAREDUCTIONS

N G C 6791 was observed on the night of June 15-16, 1997 using the K itt Peak N ational O bservatory 4 -m eter $M$ ayall telescope and the $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{M}$ cam era. $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{M}$ contained a 256256 pixels H gC dTeN ICM OS3 detector, with an im age scale of roughly $0.6^{\infty}$ pixel ${ }^{1}$, and a eld of view of $154^{\infty} 154^{\infty}$. The night was clear throughout, $w$ ith the fullw idth at halfm axm im um in age quality ranged from $0.8^{\infty}$ to $1.0^{0}$.

The HgCdTe detector was operated with a gain setting of 10.46 electrons per AD U, and the full well capacity was equivalent to about 400,000 electrons. The readnoise was 35 electrons, and the typicaldark levelw as 2 electrons (not discemable at our gain setting). The device was non-linear for the brightest ob jects, the e ect size reaching about 6\% at 350,000
electrons. W e calibrated the non-linearity pixel-by-pixel by taking a lengthy series of dom e ats. Exposures of $1,3,1,10,1,15,1,20,1,30$, and 1 seconds were taken through the $J$ lter. $T$ he interspersed 1 -sec fram es enabled us to $m$ onitor any changes in the calibration lam p illum ination. D ank fram es were also taken every day at all the exposure tim es em ployed for the observing run. (See Lee \& C amey 2002 for additional discussion of the corrections for non-linearity, especially their $F$ igure 1.)

The cluster was observed using $0.5,1.0$, and 10 -second integration tim es, with each recorded im age resulting from the co-addition of two such observations. For each pointing of the telescope, a ve-position raster pattem was em ployed to dither the im ages on $5^{\infty}$ scales. This was done prim arily to produce a sm oother sky background, which dom inates the photom etric precision for m ost of our program stars. Two such rasters were done at each pointing, yielding a set of im ages for each eld with a cum ulative exposure tim e of 200 seconds. A fter each cluster eld had been observed, a single set of $5-\mathrm{position}$ rasters w asm ade of a eld in a region of low er stellar density to im prove the sky background $m$ easurem ent.

Five cluster elds were observed. O ne was centered on the chuster's central position. Each of the rem aining four elds were o set by roughly $75^{\infty}$ in both right ascension and declination in the northeast, northwest, southeast, and southw est directions. T hus all four - set elds overlapped di erent quadrants of the cluster central eld, enabling us to better register the im ages to a com $m$ on grid, and to provide som ew hat im proved observations for the central eld since this observation pattem e ectively doubled the integration time for all of the central stars.

Seven standard stars were observed during the night, with one of them followed from airm ass 12 to 2.0 to determ ine the atm ospheric extinction. The standard stars were taken from the list of faint JH K standard stars for UK $\mathbb{R} T$ (Casali\& H aw arden 1992), and ranged from 9.76 to 13.12 in K , and had a good range in color, $\mathrm{J} K=0: 09$ to +0.62 mag . All standard stars were analyzed using the PHOTOMETRY task in DAOPHOTII (Stetson 1995). W th the results of aperture photom etry, a grow th curve analysis wasperform ed using DAOGROW (Stetson 1990) to obtain integrated magnitudes. The rst-order K passband extinction term $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is 0.077 m ag airm ass ${ }^{1}$ and the rst-order color extinction term $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{jk}}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{jh}}^{0}$ are 0.003 and 0.039 m ag air m ass ${ }^{1}$, respectively.

To calibrate the photom etry, the photom etric transform ations w ere assum ed to have the follow ing form.


The transform ation coe cients are $\mathrm{K}^{=} 3: 2850: 009$, $=1: 024 \quad 0: 018$, Ј к $=0: 626$ $0: 009$, $=0: 983 \quad 0: 016$, and Ј $=0: 115 \quad 0: 004$ in the UK $\mathbb{R} T$ JH K system, where the errors are those of the $m$ ean.

Point-spread function (PSF) photom etry for allNGC 6791 fram es was perform ed using DAOPHOTII and ALLSTAR/ALLFRAME (Stetson 1987, 1994, 1995; Tumer 1995). We applied apperture corrections, air-m ass corrections, and the above photom etric transform ations to the PSF m agnitudes retumed from our A LLFRAM E run. Finally, we converted our UK $\mathbb{R T}$ JH K magnitudes to the CIT JH K magnitudes using the follow ing transform ation equations given by C asali \& H aw arden (1992):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C} \mathbb{T}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{UK} \mathrm{\mathbb{R} T}} \quad 0: 018(\mathrm{~J} \quad \mathrm{~K})_{\mathrm{UK} \mathbb{R} T} ; \\
&(\mathrm{J} \quad \mathrm{~K})_{\mathrm{C} \mathbb{T}}=0: 936(\mathrm{~J} \\
&\mathrm{K})_{\mathrm{UK} \mathbb{R T}} ;  \tag{2}\\
&(\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{~K})_{\mathrm{C} \mathbb{I T}}=0: 960(\mathrm{H} \\
&\mathrm{K})_{\mathrm{UK} \mathbb{R}}:
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. The In frared C olor-m agnitude diagram

F igure 1 show s the results of our observations. The red giant branch, red horizontal branch, subgiant branch, and even $m$ ain sequence tum-o are clearly discemible. D ata for indívidual stars are given in Table 1. Positions for these stars are taken from SBG 2003.

### 3.2. C om parison of 2M A SS P hotom etry with Our Results

Excluding stars near the edges of our fram es, we are able to $m$ atch 149 stars in the 2MASS survey with stars for which we have been able to $m$ easure JH $K$ magnitudes and colors. This includes only 2M ASS stars w ith the highest qually photom etry (\AAA " photom etry quality ags). W e have used the recom $m$ ended transform ations between the 2M A SS and C IT photom etric system s (C arpenter 2001), and in Figures 2, 3, and 4 we show the differences (in the sense our results m inus those from 2 MASS ) between the K magnitudes and J K and H K colors for the brighter stars, those w ith $\mathrm{K} \quad$ 13:6 mag. The weighted m ean di erence in K is $0: 014 \quad 0: 005 \mathrm{~m}$ ag, w ith no dependence on K m agnitude. Likew ise, there is no signi cant correlation in the di erences in the $J \quad K$ colors with the colors them selves, and the w eighted average di erence betw een our values and those from 2M A SS is only + 0:007 0:005 m ag. In the case of H K, how ever, there is a correlation between (H K ) and $H \quad K$ (the correlation coe cient is 0.68 ). The overalle ect is $m$ odest, and the $m$ ean
di erence in $\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{K}$ is only $0: 021 \quad 0: 007 \mathrm{~m}$ ag. W e do not believe the problem arises in the H lter we em ployed, nor in our photom etric data reductions since the transform ations appear to be good betw een the instrum ental system and the UK $\mathbb{R T}$ standard stars. H ow ever, we note that the equations derived by Carpenter (2001) for transform ing UK $\mathbb{R} T$ and CIT photom etry into the 2M A SS system m ay be em ployed to derive the follow ing C IT -to-U K $\mathbb{R}$ T relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{C T T}=K_{U K \mathbb{R} T}+0: 004(\mathrm{~J} \quad \mathrm{~K})_{U K \mathbb{R} T} \quad 0: 026 ; \\
& \text { ( } \mathrm{J} \text { K })_{\text {CTT }}=1: 012(\mathrm{~J} \quad \mathrm{~K})_{U K \mathbb{R T}}+0: 001 \text {; } \\
& \text { ( } \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{~K})_{\mathrm{CTT}}=1: 035(\mathrm{H} \quad \text { K })_{\mathrm{UK} \mathbb{R} T}+0: 045 \text { : } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

These do not agree particularly well w ith the relations derived by C asali \& H aw arden (1992; Equation 1). The relatively good agreem ent between our $K$ and $J \quad K$ results and those from 2M ASS, and the disagreem ent for the $H \quad K$ results suggests that the transform ations betw een the various photom etric system s rem ain a source of som e uncertainties.

## 3.3. $M$ atching to $O$ ptical $P$ hotom etry

The very extensive B V I photom etry of SBG 2003 was em ployed to obtain optical photom etry for our program stars. The transform ation of ( $x, y$ ) positions in the superposed im age of the cluster began w ith identi cation of sixteen of the brighter stars in the 2M A SS survey, and a pair of third-order polynom ial equations to transform ( $x, y$ ) to right ascension and declination. The m s error of the ts were of order $02^{\infty}$. The supenposition of the ve elds was not perfect, and only about half of the 150 or so 2M ASS stars were identi ed by this process. A sim ilar procedure was em ployed for sixteen of the brighter rem aining 2M A SS stars. The m s error in positions was roughly $0.1^{\infty}$ for this case. W e thus were foroed to em ploy two possible coordinate transform ations for all of our program stars.

W e then applied both transform ations to com pute the estim ated right ascensions and declinations for all of our program stars. A m atch to a star from SBG 2003 w as declared only if the separation was less than $3^{\infty}$. In the case ofm ultiple $m$ atches, only the nearest star was selected for each transform ation.
$N$ onetheless, som e of our program stars had $m$ atches using both transform ations. To select the star that provides a better $m$ atch, we then com pared $J \quad K$ color indices from our work w ith the V magnitude from SBG 2003 and the K magnitude from our work. A simple linear relation was to the $J \quad K$ vs. V K color indices, and 3 outliers were rejected. A new iteration was undertaken w th the rem aining stars, and multiple iterations were em ployed until the relation show ed no signi cant change from one iteration to the next.

At that point the em pirical J K vs. V K relation was em ployed to select the better $m$ atch when both position transform ations found $m$ atches. $W$ e then elm inated stars that deviated by more than 1.0 mag in $V \quad K$ from that predicted by our $J \quad K$ photom etry.

O ur nal results, given in Table 1, include the positions for each star from RBG 2003, the $V$, B V , and $V$ I photom etry, also from $\operatorname{SBG} 2003$, and our own results, $\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{K}$, as well as J $K$ and $V \quad K$, and their associated errors.

## 4. D ISC U SSIO N

### 4.1. The Slope of the $R$ ed $G$ iant $B$ ranch

### 4.1.1. C alibration of the relation betwen the slope and $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$

The slope and color of the red giant branch have both been used for decades to infer the $m$ ean $m$ etallicity of globular clusters from optical colorm agnitude diagram s . Z inn \& W est (1984) and Zinn (1985) discussed the earlier work thoroughly, and Sara jedini (1994) showed how the reddening-insensitive slope and the reddening-dependent color of a BV colorm agnitude diagram could be used to infer the cluster $m$ etallicity and the reddening sim ulataneously. Sara jedini\& Layden (1997) extended this to V I colorm agnitude diagram s. Kuchinskiet al (1995) and Kuchinski\& Frogel (1995) dem onstrated that the slope of the red giant branch in a K vs. J K colorm agnitude diagram could also be em ployed as a $m$ etallicity indicator, but also argued that the color of the red giant branch is not particularly sensitive to reddening for $m$ etallicities in the range $1: 0 \quad \mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}] \quad 0: 3$, dim inishing the possibility ofm easuring both $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ and $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{J} \quad \mathrm{K})$ sim ultaneously.

K uchinski et al. (1995) recom $m$ ended sim ple linear ts to determ ine the slope since, unlike optical photom etry, the red giant branches do not show any signi cant curvature above the level of the horizontal branch. They recom $m$ ended using stars lying between 0.6 and 5.1 m agnitudes above the level of the red horizontal branch, the bright lim it being set by the desire to avoid possible photom etric variable stars near the lum inous tip of the red giant branch. Valentiet al. (2004b) and VFO have re-calibrated the relationship between the slope, de ned by ( J K )/ K , and $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$. They noted that reliance on them agnitude level of the horizontalbranch to de ne a reference point com prom ises the $m$ ethod prescribed by K uchinskiet al. (1995) since som e clusters do not have red horizontalbranch stars. Since the \horizontalbranch" is not horizontalin a K vs.J K diagram, chusters with predom inantly blue horizontalbranches would be m ore di cult to exploit w ithin the above m agnitude lim it prescription. Valentiet al. (2004b) and VFO therefore recom $m$ ended that the slope be sim ply
de ned using stars 0.5 and 5.0 m agnitudes fainter than the m ost lum inous red giant branch star. W e point out the obvious fact that in the case of a linear $t$ to a linear set of data w ill yield exactly the sam e answer, so either set ofm easured slopes should, in principle, suit equally well. W e show below, how ever, that the RGB slope does not appear to be linear.

Both Valentiet al. (2004b) and VFO also com pared the slope with $M / H]$, the $\backslash m$ ean $m$ etallicity" of the cluster. This is $m$ eant to include the contributions of elem ents other than those of the iron peak, the abundance of light elem ents in particular. The logic of such a calibration is that $m$ odel isochrones depend on the heavy elem ent $m$ ass fraction, $Z$, and results are also often available for di erent $m$ ixes of the $\backslash$ " elem ents ( $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Si}$, and in som e cases T i). Indeed, isochrones that are distinguished only by Z and not by [ /Fe] m ay still be com pared using an expression relating them to $\mathbb{E e} / H$ ] (see Straniero \& Chie 1991 and Salaris, Chie , \& Straniero 1993 for details). The m ost inclusive calibration between M/H ] and the slope of the red giant branch is that given by VFO.W e are reluctant to em ploy such a calibration for NGC 6791 or, indeed, the m ost heavily extinguished globular chusters for which observations are now underw ay, because system atic di erences between [ / Fe] at xed $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ do exist to a sm alldegree am ong eld halo stars (K ing 1997; C amey et al. 1997; $N$ issen \& Schuster 1997), in a few globular chusters (B rown, W allerstein, \& Zucker 1997; C ohen 2004), and in stars in the $G$ alactic bulge ( $M$ oV illiam \& $R$ ich 1994). The di erences are even stronger in neighboring dw arf galaxies $w$ th predom inantly old populations such as D raco and U rsa M inor (Shetrone, C Ate, \& Sargent 2001) and Sculptor (Shetrone et al 2003), where low [ /Fe] ratios are found even at very low m etallicities. C om parisons w ith m odel isochronesusing $M / H$ ] or $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}$ ] and variable [ /Fe] values are usefillin an exploratory sense, as we do below, but the $m$ ost reliable com parisons can be m ade only once high-resolution spectroscopy has provided as detailed an elem ental abundance pro le as possible.

VFO also derived a relationship between the slope of the red giant branch and $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$, and we reproduce their equation A 41 here:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cG} 97}=22: 21\left(\text { slope }_{\text {R } B}\right) \quad 2: 80: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the m etallicity scale they em ployed was that of C arretta \& G ratton (1997), which di ens slightly from that em ployed by Zinn (1985). VFO also noted that this relation disagrees signi cantly w th that derived by Ivanov \& Borissova (2002). VFO attributed the di erence to the less extensive set of data available to Ivanov \& Borissova (2002) and to an inaccurate $m$ easurem ent of the red giant branch slope for 47 Tuc , their $m$ ost $m$ etal-rich cluster.

W e em ploy here the $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{m}$ etallicity scale adopted by Z inn (1985). W hile yet another calibration of the relationship between the red giant branch slope and $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{m}$ ay be of lim ted value, we believe such a calibration has merit. The C arretta \& G ratton (1997)
$m$ etallicity scale is not as widely applicable to other chusters as those of $Z$ inn (1985). Indeed, exchuding the multim etallicity chuster! Cen, use of the results from $Z$ inn (1985) increases the sam ple size from 23 to 28 chusters, and is capable of grow ing m uch larger still using an intemally consistent m etallicity scale as new JH K observations of clusters becom e available. W e collect in Table 2 the available data, relying prim arily on VFO for the data for the red giant branch slopes, and the $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}$ ] values from Z inn (1985). U se ofan ordinary least squares bissector to the data, assum ing ( $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ ) $0: 2$ form ost clusters, results in:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{F} \in=H l_{\mathrm{inn}}=27: 95(2: 26)\left(\text { slope }_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~B}\right) \quad 3: 35(0: 19): \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ igure 5 show s our results. $P$ lease note that the open circle represents our ow n results (Lee, Camey, \& Balachandran 2004) for Palom ar 6, a cluster so heavily extinguished that it is m ost readily studied photom etrically and spectroscopically only at infrared wavelengths.

### 4.1.2. Results for NGC 6791

A s discussed in the Introduction, N G C 6791 is thought to have a very high m etallicity, $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}] \quad+0: 3$. Extrapolation of Equations 4 and 5 to such a m etallicity predict red giant branch slopes of $0: 14$ and $0: 13$, respectively. If the unœertainty in the slope of either relation is about 8\% , as im plied in Equation 5, then these predicted slopes have uncertainties of about 0:01.

NGC 6791 is a relatively sparse cluster, and coupled with the relatively sm all area we have surveyed, there are too few stars at or above the $m$ agnitude level of the horizontal branch to em ploy the full 4 to 5 m agnitude range recom m ended by either K uchinski et al. (1995) or VFO . But only the precision of the slope is com prom ised by a m ore lim ited range, not the value itself, so long as the data are indeed well-de ned by a linear $t$. Because our results for the slope are not consistent w ith the predictions, we derive them here using three di erent approaches.
$F$ irst, to increase the num ber ofstars and, we hope, im prove the precision ofourm easure, we extend the tting region below the horizontalbranch. F igure 6 show s the resultant slope and the stars em ployed to determ ine the $t$ (lled circles). W e have again em ployed an ordinary least squares bissector tting routine. The slope in this case is 0:088 0:005, which di ers considerably from what is expected. In fact, both Equations 4 and 5 then predict $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=0: 9$ 0:1.

Second, we restrict the $m$ easurem ent of the slope to only the nine brightest red giants in $F$ igure 6, avoiding the problem of possible non-linear behavior for stars fainter than the horizontal branch. In this case, the slope becom es $0: 092$ 0:013, im plying $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=$
$0: 8 \quad 0: 3$. The im plied very low m etallicity is con m ed. The dashed line show s roughly what the slope should be ifw e use Equation 5, w ith the intersection betw een the tw o relations forced to lie at the $m$ agnitude level of the horizontal branch. It is very clear that our data yield a red giant branch slope that is inconsistent with a m etallicity greater than solar, if Equations 4 or 5 are extrapolated into higher $m$ etallicity regions than the clusters that have been used to calibrate both relations.
$F$ inally, we derive slope using the results from the 2M ASS survey, independent of our own photom etry. W e do not expect di erent answers since, as we have seen, the 2M A SS photom ery is very consistent with our data once $m$ inor zero point o sets are taken into account. In Figures 7, 8, and 9 we show the results from 2M A SS.F igure 7 show $s$ the \eld" in the vicinity of NGC 6791, and includes stars in four elds $15^{\circ}$ north, south, east, and west of the cluster œenter. Each eld has a radius of $3^{0}$. These were selected to crudely sam ple possible variations in interstellar reddening (see below) and provide a sam ple size roughly consistent w ith that of F igure 8, which was centered on the cluster and also has a radius of $3^{\circ}$. The lled circles in $F$ igure 8 represent the 8 stars used for the $t$, which yielded a red giant branch slope of 0:084 0:009. Figure 9 repeats the analysis, but inchudes a radius of $4^{\circ}$ around the cluster center, and a $t$ to the 11 stars $m$ arked as lled circles, which yielded a slope of $0: 083$ 0:007. F igures 8 and 9, coupled w ith Equations 4 and 5 all lead to $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ estim ates that range from $0: 9$ to $1: 0$, each w ith an uncertainty of about $0: 2$ dex. The 2 M A SS results thus independently con $m$ that the slope of the red giant branch in NGC 6791 is not consistent with the calibrations of Equation 4 and 5 and $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ values anywhere near solar. Som ething is w rong.

H ow can we reconcile the di erences between the spectroscopic estim ates of the high m etallicity for NGC 6791 and the much lower value im plied by the slope of the red giant branch? There are basically six options.

1. O ur photom etry is in error. This also seem s unlikely, since our results agree so well w ith 2M A SS, and we feel that our observations w ere obtained under excellent observing conditions, and optim ized to rem ove any possible detector non-linearities.
2. The cluster's m etallicity is, in fact, very low. T his likew ise seem s im plausible since the spectroscopic evidence for such a high m etallicity is com pelling.
3. NGC 6791 m ay m im ic a lower metallicity chuster if the dom inant electron donors in the stellar atm ospheres are underabundant relative to the calibrating clusters. This would include the elem ents such as Ca and M g , in partioular. This is in apparent con ict with the results of Peterson \& G reen (1998).
4. W e have too few stars to reliably $m$ easure the slope of the red giant branch. $W$ hile the num ber of stars is $s m$ all, the slope does appear to be well-m easured.
5. The slope of the red giant branch is a linear fiunction of $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$, as indicated in F igure 5, but only if $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \quad 0: 3$.
6. Finally, the claim that the slope of the red giant branch is linear in K vs. J K may be incorrect.

W e explore the explore that latter tw o points using the isochrones of $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{K}$ im , \& D em arque (2003; hereafter Y K D 2003) and the color-tem perature relations of A lonso et al (1999). Figure 10 show s the predicted values of $M_{K}$ vs. J $K$ for $\mathbb{F e} / H$ ] values ranging from $0: 8$ to +0.3 . O verall, it appears that the color of the red giant branch continues to depend on Fe/H ] up to the m etallicity com parable to that ofN G C 6791. H ow ever, the slope of the red giant branch at any xed $m$ etallicity is not predicted to be linear above $M_{K}=1: 5$, a value typicalof the red horizontalbranch or red clum p stars. Curvature in the $M_{K}$ vs. J K plane is clearly present at each $m$ etallicity show. W hile linear approxim ations of the slope $m$ ay yet prove useful, the $F$ igure show s that any such $m$ easure $m$ ust em ploy consistently de ned and em ployed reference points. N ontheless, the the slope of the red giant branch does appear to still be a good reddening-insensitive $m$ etallicity indicator, although it appears that a new calibration w ill be required so that it m ay be applied to sparse clusters, such as N G C 6791, whose red giants do not extend $m$ ore than about tw o $m$ agnitudes above the red horizontal branch/red clum $p$ dom ain.

### 4.2. C luster $R$ edden ing

NGC 6791 lies at a relatively high G alactic latitude (b $10: 9$ deg), so the reddening is not expected to be high, as discussed in detail by SBG 2003. T he com pilation of T w arog, A shm an, \& A nthony-T warog (1997) suggests E (B V) is 0.15 m ag, while SB 2003 adopted 0.09 m ag, and M ontgom ery et al. (1994) found a value of 0:10 0:02 mag using eld stars in the cluster's vicinity.

O urphotom etry m ay be used to explore this issue, follow ing the suggestions of $u$ uchinski et al. (1995) and K uchinski\& Frogel (1995) that the m ean color of the red horizontalbranch for globular clusters w ith 1:0 $\quad \mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \quad 0: 3$ is insensitive to $m$ etallicity.

W e revisit this issue here. W e con ne our attention to only the oldest clusters: those w ith estim ated ages exceeding $2.5 \quad 10^{9}$ years. This includes only three open chusters (Be 39,NGC 6791, and NGC 6819) from G rocholski\& Sarajedini (2002), plus their globular
chusters 47 Tuc, NGC 362, and the globular clusters NGC 5927, NGC 6624, NGC 6637, NGC 6712, and NGC 6838 (M 71) from Kuchinski\& Frogel (1995). (W e exchude the $m$ ost heavily extinguished clusters Liller 1, Terzan 2, and NGC 6440.) Before presenting our results, we felt it necessary to repeat the color estim ations done by G rocholski \& Sara jedini (2002), since it appeared from their gures that their results $m$ ay have been com prom ised by including stars on the red giant branch. We show in Figures 11 and 12 the 2M A SS K vs. J K data for these clusters, w ith the red horizontalbranch/red clum p stars identi ed as open circles. The $m$ ean colors were com puted and transform ed to the C IT photom etric system (C arpenter 2001), and our results are given in Table 3.

Figure 13 som ew hat con m s the speculation of $\mathrm{K} u$ uninski \& Frogel (1995), which is hardly suprising since we are em ploying their data for the clusters with 1:0 $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ $0: 3$. But the $F$ igure also show $s$ that inclusion of $m$ ore $m$ etalpoor and $m$ ore $m$ etal-ridh chusters show $s$ that there is indeed a fairly strong metallicity sensitivity! If we $t$ the data using an ordinary least squares bissector, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.<J \quad K>_{0}=(0: 170 \quad 0: 026) \mathbb{F} e=H\right]+(0: 596 \quad 0: 016): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he fteen stars identi ed as red horizontalbranch stars in Figure 6 yield < J K > $=0: 73$ 0:01. Ifwe accept that $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0: 4 \quad 0: 1$ (Peterson \& $G$ reen 1998), we nd that $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{J} \quad \mathrm{K})=0: 075$ 0:02. Em ploying the interstellar extinction law $m$ easured by R ieke \& Lebofsky (1985), we nd E (B V) $=0: 14$ 0:04. This is consistent w ith previous cluster estim ates. Indeed, the estim ate ofM ontgom ery et al. (1994) of 0:10 0:02 would, notionally, support a m etallicity for the cluster a bit higher than +0.4 .

### 4.3. C luster D istance

The lum inosity of the horizontal branch has been an extrem ely useful (and disputed) tool for the estim ation of cluster distances for decades. Since the infrared $m$ agnitudes are less vulnerable to reddening and extinction, $M_{K}(R H B)$ has attracted som e special attention. K uchinski et al. (1995) and K uchinski \& Frogel (1995) helped pioneer this area of study as well, noting that the interm ediate m etallicity clusters had com parable $M_{k}$ (RHB) values. A lves (2000) com bined H ipparcos parallaxes, K łband photom etry, and spectroscopic $m$ etallicity estim ates for over two hundred eld red clum p stars, alm ost all with 0:6 $\quad$ Fe/H ]
$+0: 1$. The peak in the hum inosity distribution was found to lie at $M_{k} \quad 1: 61 \quad 0: 03$, and A lves (2000) argued that there is no sensitivity to $m$ etallicity, at least over the range studied, $m$ aking the tool particularly pow erfiul form etal-rich clusters, and supporting the earlier results of K uchinskiet al. (1995) and K uchinski \& Frogel (1995). W e note that apparently
not all of the stars studied by A lves (2000) are horizontal branch or red clum p stars since the dispersion in the derived $M_{K}$ value is $m u c h$ too large. But the $m$ edian value appears reliable, and, in fact, G rocholski \& Sarajedini (2002) derived a rem arkably sim ilar value, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}=1: 62 \quad 0: 06$, using open clusters. A ge m ay also play a role, and ages for the eld stars em ployed by A lves (2000) are unknown. G rocholski\& Sara jedini (2002) explored this issue as well, em ploying a consistent set of distance, reddening, and m etallicity estim ation procedures for a sam ple of open and globular clusters. T hey found that clusters w ith ages greater than about 2 G yrs did not show signi cant variation in $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}$ (RHB) with age, but that there is som e sensitivity to $m$ etallicity. (C onversely, younger clusters' red clum $p$ stars' hum inosities were not so dependent on m etallicity, but were sensitive to age di erences.) F inally, P ietrzynski, \& U dalski (2003) com pared K łoand red clum p lum inosities derived for stars in four Local $G$ roup galaxies w ith distances estim ated from the the red giant branch tip I-band m agnitudes, V łband RR Lyrae hum inosities, and K łband cepheid observations. They agreed with Gocholski\& Sarajedini (2000) that the $K$ boand lum inosity of red clum $p$ stars has \very little (if any) dependence on age over an age range of about $2-8 \mathrm{G}$ yrs". They sim ilarly found little sensitivity to $m$ etallicity, although their $m$ etallicity range $w$ as roughly from $0: 5$ down to $1: 8$, well out the regim e of interest in term $s$ of a distance estim ate for NGC 6791.

The value of < K > for the fteen red horizontalbranch stars in NGC 6791 is 11:50 $0: 03$, and di erences in $E(B \quad V)$ of 0.05 m ag alter the calculation of $<\mathrm{K}_{0}>$ by only 0.01 m ag. U sing the calibration of A lves (2000), we therefore derive a distance m odulus of (m M $)_{0}=13: 07 \quad 0: 04$.
5. THEAGEOFNGC 6791

The color index V K provides a very powerful indicator of e ective tem perature in stars, and its relationship to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\left.\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}\right]$ for dwarfs and giants has been described by A lonso et al (1996, 1999), respectively. In brief, the wide wavelength span enables high sensitivity, roughly 20 K per 0.01 m ag, or better. Them etallicity sensitivity is also weak, at least until tem peratures are cool enough or metallicities are high enough for T io bands to cause signi cant absonption in the V bandpass.
$F$ igures 14 and 15 show the com bined optical and infrared photom etry for our sam ple of stars using the $V \quad \mathrm{~K}$ color index and the K and V magnitudes, respectively. The ects of $T$ io absonption in the $V$ bandpass are apparent. In $F$ igure 14 the shape of the cooler part of the red giant branch has a notioeable change of slope, com pared to the near-linear behavior seen in the $K$ vs. $J \quad K$ diagram show $n$ in $F$ igure 1. In Figure 15, where we com pare $V$
vs. V K, the e ect is even stronger. O ptical color indioes such as B V and V I, w ith shorter w avelength baselines, coupled w ith the $V$ bandpass, are therefore not as suitable for thoroughly testing observations w th the predictions of m odel isochrones.

W e have relied on the grid ofm odel isochrones com puted by Y K D 2003, and the transform ation of hum inosity into $M_{V}$ and $M_{K}$ and e ective tem perature into $B \quad V, J \quad K$, and $V \quad K$ that they em ployed. Because our infrared data are on a slightly di erent photom etric system, we em ployed the transform ations recom $m$ ended by B essell \& B rett (1998). Because good em pirical color-tem perature transform ations exist only for solar-m etallicity stars w ith [ /Fe] 0.0, we chose to em ploy only those isochrones with a solarm ix of heavy elem ents.

Figure 16 shows ts in the four colorm agnitude diagram planes for $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=0.0$, using param eters selected according to the criterion that a lbest $t^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained in the $V$ vs. B V plane. N ote that the im plied reddening, E (B V) $=0.26 \mathrm{~m}$ ag, and distance m odulus, (m M ) $)_{0}=12.73$, are not in good agreem ent $w$ th the estim ates presented above. N ote also that while the $m$ atch is very good in the optical, the $m$ atches in the infrared are, frankly, unacceptable, due prim arily to the large reddening value we had to adopt. (T he unusual \hook" behavior seen in the V vs.B V plane near the tip of the red giant branch arises from line blanketing produced by m olecular absonption, especially by T io . The optical spectra of cooler, $m$ ore $m$ etal-rich stars are a ected especially. The Figure ilhustrates that near-infrared spectra and photom etry are a ected much less strongly.)

Figure 17 show s one of the best $m$ atches for $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0.3, \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V})=0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ ag, (m M $)_{0}=12.93$, and an age of 8.0 G yrs. A slightly higher extinction ( 0.21 m ag ) leads to ( $\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M})_{0}=13.00 \mathrm{~m}$ ag, and an age of 6.0 G yrs, but the t is slightly poorer. Increasing $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ to +0.4 , the value recom $m$ ended by Peterson \& $G$ reen (1998), leads to Figure 18, w ith an optim um optical tbeing obtained for $E(B \quad V)=0.13 \mathrm{mag},(\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M})_{0}=12.96$ and an age of 8 G yrs. N ow the agreem ent w ith the estim ated reddening and distance $m$ odulus is even better, and the agreem ent for all the color-m agnitude diagram $s$ w ith the isochrones is quite good.
$T$ he best $m$ atch to the em pirical reddening and distance estim ates and the best $m$ atches to colorm agnitude diagram s is shown in F igure 19, for $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0.5, \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V})=0.11$ mag , ( $\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M})_{0}=13.11 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{ag}$, and an age of 7.5 G yrs. The agreem ent of the reddening and the distance m odulus to the em pirically-derived values discussed in Sections 52 and 5.3 is excellent. A dopting $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0.5$ leads to em pirically-calibrated values for $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{B} V)$ of 0.09 m ag and $(\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M})_{0}=13.07 \mathrm{~m}$ ag. W e note that this m etallicity is slightly higher than derived by Peterson \& G reen (1998), but is within the uncertainty, and $m$ ay be accounted for by our use of $[/ F e]=0.0$, while a value of +0.1 m ay be m ore appropriate.

W hile there are unœrtainties in all of our estim ates, including the reddening, the distance $m$ odulus, and in the procedure of tting m odel isochrones to the optical and infrared color-m agnitude diagram s , we are very encouraged by the consistency of the results for the relatively high $\mathbb{E e} / \mathrm{H}]$ values of +0.4 and +0.5 , from which we conclude that the isochrones are m ost consistent w ith a cluster age of roughly 8 G yrs.

## 6. SUM M ARY

W e have obtained relatively deep JH K photom etry ofthe very old, very m etal-rich open cluster NGC 6791. W e have derived a revised relationship betw een the slope of the red giant branch and the m etallicities of clusters using the $Z$ inn (1985) calibrations. H ow ever, the slope of the RGB in NGC 6791 yields an unrealistically low m etallicity because the cluster RGB is not well populated and the RGB itself is not expected to be linear, even in the $K$ vs. J $K$ plane. The $m$ ean color of the red horizontal branch stars im plies $E(B \quad V)=$ 0:14 0:04 m ag, while the $m$ ean $K \mathrm{~m}$ agnitude is consistent $w$ ith ( $m \quad M$ ) $13: 07$. B oth of these values produce very good agreem ent betw een optical and infrared color-m agnitude diagram sfor $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ values of +0.4 or +0.5 . T he optim alm atches are found for a chuster age of roughly 8 G yrs. Low er $m$ etallicities yield poorer agreem ents betw een the color-m agnitude diagram $s$ and $m$ odel isochrones.

JW L thanks Y. C. K im for his kind discussion and acknow ledges the nancial support of the K orea Science and Engineering Foundation (K O SEF) to the A strophysical R esearch Center for the Structure and Evolution of the Cosm os (ARCSEC).BW C acknolwedges the nancial support of the $N$ ational Science Foundation to the U niversity of $N$ orth $C$ arolina through grants A ST -9619381, A ST -9988156, and A ST -0305431.

Table 1. Results of JH K P hotom etry \& M atching to O ptical P hotom etry

| Id | $R$ ight ascension D eclination | V | B V | V I | J | H | K | J K | V K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10099 | 192058.65 | 12.155 | 2.348 | 0.569 | 9.658 | 9.064 | 8.668 | 0.990 | 3.487 |
|  | 374740.8 | 0.047 | 0224 | 0.086 | 0.075 | 0.058 | 0.051 | 0.091 | 0.070 |
| 8904 | 192055.11 | 13.862 | 1.633 | 1.970 | 10.582 | 9.780 | 9.598 | 0.984 | 4.264 |
|  | 374716.5 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.029 | 0.028 | 0.018 | 0.034 | 0.018 |
| 8266 | 192053.39 | 13.741 | 1.616 | 1.779 | 10.775 | 10.024 | 9.770 | 1.005 | 3.971 |
|  | 374828.4 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.058 | 0.054 | 0.037 | 0.069 | 0.037 |
| 11814 | 1921427 | 13.849 | 1.575 | 1.658 | 11.060 | 10.304 | 10.128 | 0.932 | 3.721 |
|  | 374718.9 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.029 | 0.057 | 0.029 |
| 7972 | 192052.60 | 14.136 | 1.593 | 1.763 | 11.160 | 10.445 | 10.208 | 0.952 | 3.928 |
|  | 374428.5 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.050 | 0.047 | 0.032 | 0.059 | 0.032 |
| 7063 | 192050.04 | 13.061 | 1.081 | 1.249 | 10.927 | 10.356 | 10259 | 0.668 | 2.802 |
|  | 374728.4 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.031 | 0.028 | 0.018 | 0.036 | 0.019 |
| 5342 | 192044.86 | 14.138 | 1.569 | 1.749 | 11.203 | 10.463 | 10298 | 0.905 | 3.840 |
|  | 374621.7 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.046 | 0.043 | 0.029 | 0.054 | 0.029 |
| 7328 | 192050.78 | 13.599 | 0.869 | 1.259 | 11.618 | 11.119 | 11.006 | 0.612 | 2.593 |
|  | 374633.8 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.042 | 0.036 | 0.025 | 0.049 | 0.025 |

 G iant B ranch

| C luster | $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]^{\text {a }}$ | Slope |  | R eference ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N G C 104 (47 Tuc) | $0: 71$ | $0: 110$ | 0.002 | 1 |
| NGGC 288 | 1:40 | $0: 071$ | 0.004 | 1 |
| N G C 362 | 1:28 | $0: 074$ | 0.003 | 1 |
| NGGC4590 (M68) | 2:09 | $0: 048$ | 0.003 | 1 |
| N G C 5272 (M 3) | 1:66 | $0: 071$ | 0.003 | 1 |
| NGC 5904 (M5) | 1:40 | $0: 082$ | 0.004 | 1 |
| NGGC5927 | $0: 30$ | $0: 112$ | 0.005 | 2 |
| NGC 6121 (M4) | 1:28 | $0: 079$ | 0.009 | 1 |
| NGC 6171 (M 107) | $0: 99$ | $0: 101$ | 0.005 | 1 |
| NGGC6205 (M 13) | 1:65 | $0: 065$ | 0.002 | 1 |
| NGGC6254 (M10) | 1:60 | $0: 048$ | 0.005 | 1 |
| NGGC6341 (M92) | $2 \cdot 24$ | 0:046 | 0.003 | 1 |
| NGGC6342 | $0: 66$ | 0:102 | 0.003 | 1 |
| Terzan 2 | $0: 47$ | $0: 107$ | 0.006 | 2 |
| NGCC 6380 | $0: 99$ | $0: 094$ | 0.003 | 1 |
| P alom ar 6 | $0: 8$ | $0: 075$ | 0.004 | 3 |
| N G C 6440 | $0 \cdot 26$ | $0: 093$ | 0.005 | 1 |
| NGC 6441 | $0: 53$ | $0: 092$ | 0.005 | 1 |
| NGGC 6528 | + 0:12 | 0:114 | 0.002 | 1 |
| N G C 6553 | $0 \cdot 29$ | $0: 092$ | 0.002 | 1 |
| N G C 6624 | $0: 35$ | $0: 100$ | 0.005 | 4 |
| NGGC6637 (M69) | $0: 59$ | $0: 092$ | 0.002 | 1 |
| NGGC 6712 | 1:01 | $0: 091$ | 0.006 | 2 |
| NGGC6752 | 1:54 | $0: 048$ | 0.003 | 1 |
| NGCC6809 (M55) | 1:82 | $0: 049$ | 0.003 | 1 |
| NGGC6838 (M71) | $0: 58$ | $0: 110$ | 0.008 | 2 |
| NGGC7078 (M 15) | 2:15 | 0:044 | 0.003 | 1 |
| NGGC7099 (M30) | 2:13 | $0: 044$ | 0.004 | 1 |

$\left.{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}\right]$ values are from $Z$ inn (1985), except for that of Palom ar 6, which is taken from Lee et al. (2004).
b (1) Valentiet al. (2004a); (2) K uchinskiet al. (1995); (3)
Lee \& Camey (2002); (4) K uchinski\& Frogel (1995)

Table 3. $M$ ean $M$ agnitudes and $C$ olors of $C$ lusters' $R$ ed $H$ orizontal $B$ ranches or $R$ ed C hum ps

| C huster | E (B) | V ) | [ $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ |  | log age | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}$ (RHB) |  | $<1 \mathrm{~J}$ | K ) $>_{0}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | O ld O pen C husters ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Be 39 |  | 0.11 | 0:18 | 0.03 | 9.88 | 1:64 | 0.12 |  | 0.605 | 0.02 |
| M 67 |  | 0.04 | 0.000 | 0.09 | 9.60 | 1:71 | 0.108 |  | 0.600 | 0.01 |
| NGC 6819 |  | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 9.42 | 1:69 | 0.136 |  | 0.56 | 0.02 |
| G lobular C husters ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 Tuc |  | 0.04 | 0:70 | 0.07 | 10.08 | 1:364 | 0211 |  | 0.50 | 0.02 |
| G lobular C histers ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NG C 362 |  | 0.05 | 1:15 | 0.06 | 10.08 | 0:83 | 0241 |  | 0.39 | 0.04 |
| NGC 5927 |  | 0.45 | 0:31 | 0.1 | 10.08 | 1:28 | 02 |  | 0.49 | 0.02 |
| NGC 6624 |  | 025 | 0:37 | 0.10 | 10.08 | 1:17 | 02 |  | 0.50 | 0.02 |
| NGC 6637 |  | 0.18 | 0:59 | 0.10 | 10.08 | 1:18 | 02 |  | 0.49 | 0.02 |
| NGC 6712 |  | 0.39 | 1:01 | 0.10 | 10.08 | 1:16 | 02 |  | 0.44 | 0.02 |
| NGC 6838 |  | 027 | 0:58 | 0.10 | 10.08 | 1:03 | 02 |  | 0.47 | 0.02 |

${ }^{a}$ A llphotom etry is given in the C IT system
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ From Kuchinski\& Frogel (1995)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ From G rocholski \& Sarajedini (2002)
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## FIG URECAPTIONS

Fig. 1.| The K vs.J K diagram resulting from our observations. $T$ he photom etry is on the \C IT " photom etric system.

Fig. 2.| The di erences between our $K$ magnitude results $m$ inus those from the 2M A SS survey, after transform ation to the C IT photom etric system. O nly stars w ith highest quality photom etry and in a lim ited range of $K m$ agnitudes are show $n$.

Fig. 3. The di erences between our J $K$ resultsm inus those from the 2M A SS survey, after transform ation to the C IT photom etric system. Only stars with highest quality photom etry and in a lim ited range of K m agnitudes are show n .

Fig. 4. The di erences between our $H \quad K$ results $m$ inus those from the 2 M A SS survey, after transform ation to the CIT photom etric system. Only stars with highest quality photom etry and in a lim ited range of K magnitudes are show n .

Fig. 5.| The relation betw een the slope ofthe red giant branch, ( $J$ K )/ K, as a function of $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ for globular chusters. D ata for the calibrating clusters are given in Table 2.

Fig. 6. The results of our photom etry, with red giant branch $m$ em bers (led circles) and red horizontalbranch $m$ embers (open circles) identi ed. The solid line is the ordinary least squares bissector $m$ easurem ent of the slope of the red giant branch, while the dashed line represents the expected slope for $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=+0.3$, adopting the calibrations discussed in the text. The two lines have been adjusted to intersect at the magnifude level of the red horizontalbranch.

Fig. 7.| The colorm agnitude diagram for the \eld" around NGC 6791, taken from the 2M A SS survey. Four separation regions were used to provide the eld background sam ple. Each region has a radius of $3^{\circ}$, and each is centered $15^{\circ}$ aw ay from the cluster center along north, south, east, and west directions.

Fig. 8.| The colorm agnitude diagram of NGC 6791 obtained using 2M A SS data. In this case, the stars were selected to lie w ithin $3^{0}$ of the cluster center. T he lled circles represent stars used to $m$ easure the slope of the red giant branch, indicated by the solid line, using an ordinary least squares bissector analysis.

Fig. 9.| The colorm agnitude diagram of NGC 6791 obtained using 2M ASS data. In this case, the stars were selected to lie w thin $4^{0}$ of the cluster center. The led circles represent stars used to $m$ easure the slope of the red giant branch, indicated by the solid line, using an
ordinary least squares bissector analysis.
Fig. 10.| Theoretical isochrones of $M_{K}$ vs. J K from YKD 2003. N ote that the color and slope of the red giant branch are sensitive to $\mathbb{F e} / H]$, but that the slope is not linear.

Fig. 11.| The colorm agnitude diagram of Be 39, obtain from the 2M ASS database, and restricted a region w thin $10^{\circ}$ of the cluster's center. The stars we identify as red horizontal branch or red clum $p$ stars are indicated as open circles.

Fig. 12. The colorm agnitude diagram of NGC 6819, obtain from the 2M ASS database, and restricted a region w ith in $5^{\circ}$ of the chuster's center. The stars we identify as red horizontal branch or red clum $p$ stars are indicated as open circles.

Fig. 13.| The de-reddened mean J K colors (in the C IT photom etric system ) of the red horizontalbranch stars in the oldest open clusters and globular clusters.

Fig. 14. The com bined opticaland infrared photom etry for stars in our observing program, after outliers in the $J \quad K$ vs. V K diagram have been rem oved. Here we com pare an infrared $m$ agnitude and an optical-infrared color. N otice that the shape of the red giant branch is distinctly curved com pared to F igure 1.

Fig. 15.| The com bined opticaland infrared photom etry for stars in our observing program, after outliers in the $J \quad K$ vs.V $K$ diagram have been rem oved. H ere we com pare an optical $m$ agnitude and an optical-infrared color. N otice that the shape of the red giant branch is distinctly curved even $m$ ore than in $F$ igure 1 or $F$ igure 14.

Fig. 16.| H ere we show a com parison between m odel isochrones taken from Y K D 2003 and the optical and infrared photom etry. We rst identify an optim um $m$ atch in $V$ vs. $B V$, using the optical photom etry of SBG 2003. In this case we found a good match for $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]$ $=0.0,[/ \mathrm{Fe}]=0.0, \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V})=0.26 \mathrm{~m}$ ag, a distance m odulus of 12.73 m ag, and an age of 8 G yrs. H ow ever, the infrared colorm agnitude diagram s do not agree well at all, im plying signi cant errors in the reddening, hence distance, or in the $m$ etallicity.

Fig. 17. W e repeat the com parisons of F igure 16 , but using $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0.3$ and $[/ \mathrm{Fe}]=$ 0.0 . H ere the optim al to the optical data suggests $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V})=0.17 \mathrm{mag}$, $(\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M})_{0}=$ 12.93 m ag, and, again, an age of 8 G yrs.

Fig. 18. W e repeat the com parisons of Figure 16, but using $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0.4$ and $[/ \mathrm{Fe}]=$ 0.0 . H ere the optim al to the optical data suggests $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V})=0.13 \mathrm{mag},(\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M})_{0}=$
12.96 m ag, and, again, an age of 8 G yrs.

Fig. 19. W e repeat the com parisons of F igure 16 , but using $\mathbb{F e} / \mathrm{H}]=+0.5$ and $[/ \mathrm{Fe}]=$ 0.0 . Here the optim al to the optical data suggests $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{V})=0.11 \mathrm{mag},(\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{M})_{0}=$ 13.15 m ag , and an age of 7.5 G yrs.
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