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ABSTRACT
W e present a new m ethod how to discrim inate the $m$ atter content ofparsec-scale jets of active galactic nuclei. By constraining the kinetic lum inosity of jet from the observed core size at a single very long baseline interferom etry frequency, we can infer the electron density of a radio-em litting com ponent as a function of the com position. Com paring this density w ith that obtained from the theory of synchrotron self-absonption, we can determ ine the com position. W eapply this procedure to the ve com ponents in the 3C 345 jet and nd that they are likely pair-plasm a dom inated at 11 epochs out of the total 21 epochs, provided that the bulk Lorentz factor is less than 15 throughout the jet. W e also investigate the com position of the 3C 279 jet and dem onstrate that its two com ponents are likely pair-plasm a dom inated at three epochs out of four epochs, provided that their D oppler factors are less than 10, which are consistent w ith observations. T he conclusions do not depend on the low er cuto energy of radiating particles.

Subject headings: galaxies: active | quasars: individual (3C 345) | quasars: individual (3C 279) | radio continuum : galaxies

## 1. Introduction

The study of extragalactic jets on parsec scales is astrophysically interesting in the context of the activities of the centralengines of active galactic nuclei (AGN). In particular, a determ ination of their $m$ atter content would be an im portant step in the study of jet form ation, propagation and em ission. There are two m ain candidates for their m atter content: A horm al plasm a' consisting of (relativistic or nonrelativistic) protons and relativistic electrons (C elotti and Fabian 1993; see also G om ez et al. 1993, 1994a,b for num erical sim ulations of shock fronts in such a jet) and a pair plasm a' consisting only of relativistic electrons and positrons (e.g., K ino and Takahara 2004). D iscrim inating between these possibilities is crucial for understanding the physical processes OCcurring close to the central engine (presum ably a superm assive black hole) in the nucleus.

Very long baseline interferom etry ( $V$ LB I) is uniquely suited to the study of the $m$ atter content of pc-scale jets, because other observational techniques cannot im age at $m$ illiarcsecond resolution and $m$ ust resort to indirect $m$ eans of studying the active nucleus. So far, there have been two observational approaches with VLBI to discrim inate jet com positions: polarization (W ardle et al. 1998) and spectroscopic (Reynolds et al 1996) techniques.

W ardle et al. (1998) observed the 3C 279 jet at 15 and 22 GHz . They exam ined the circular polarization ( $1 \%$ ) due to Faraday conversion of linear to circular polarization caused by low -energy electrons and derived that the low est Lorentz factor, $m$ in, of radiating particles, should be much less than $10^{2}$. They also analyzed the linear polarization ( 10\% ), which strongly lim its intemal Faraday rotation to derive that m in $10^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be held for a norm al-plasm a com position and that no constraint w ould be obtained for a pair-plasm a com position. (N ote that an equal $m$ ixture of electrons and positrons can produce Faraday conversion, but not rotation.) From these argu$m$ ents, they concluded that com ponent CW of the 3C 279 jet consists of a pair plasm a w ith m in $10^{2}$.

On the other hand, Reynolds et al. (1996) analyzed historical VLBI data of the M 87 jet at 5 GHz (Pauliny-Toth et al. 1981) and concluded that the core is probably dom inated by an e plasma. In the analysis, they utilized the standard theory of synchrotron self absorption (SSA) to constrain the $m$ agnetic eld, $B$ [G], and gave $B=0: 2 \mathrm{G}$ (line $B$ in $g^{-}$
 solved core becom es optically thick for self-absonption and derived another constraint on $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and B . U sing the observed angular diam eter of the core ( $0: 7 \mathrm{~m}$ as), the totalcore ux density ( $1: 0 \mathrm{Jy}$ ) at 5 GHz , and the reported view ing angle (30 40), and assum ing that the spectral index is $0: 5$ (see end of this section), they derived $N_{e} B^{2}>2{ }_{m}^{1}$ ax in cgs unit (line $C$ in gure ${ }_{\text {In }}^{1}$ ), where $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ax}}(=2$ for the M 87 core) refers to the upper lim it of the D oppler factor of the uid's bulk $m$ otion.

This condition is, how ever, applicable only for the V LB I observations of M 87 core at epochs Septem ber 1972 and M arch 1973. Therefore, in order to apply the analogous $m$ ethod to other AGN jets or to the M 87 jet at other epochs, we m ust derive a m ore general condition.

On these grounds, H irotaniet al. (1999, hereafter P aper I) generalized the condition $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{B}^{2}>2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ax}}^{2}$ and applied it to the 3C 279 jet on parsec scales. In that paper, they revealed that the core and com ponents C 3 and C 4, of which spectra are reported, are likely dom inated by a pair plasm $a$. It is interesting to note that the sam e conclusion w as derived by an independent $m$ ethod by $W$ ardle et al. (1998) on com ponent $C W$ in the 3C 279.

Subsequently, H irotaniet al. (2000, hereafter P aper II) applied the same method to the 3C 345 jet. D educing the kinetic lum inosity, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}$, from $a$ reported core-position o set (Lobanov 1998), they dem onstrated that com ponents C 2, C 3, and C 4 at epoch $1982.0, \mathrm{C} 5$ at 1990.55 , and C 7 at four epochs are likely dom inated by a pair plasm a. In the present paper, we propose a new schem e to deduce the kinetic lum inosity of an unresolved core and re-exam ine the com position of the two blazers 3C 345 and 3C 279, adding spectral inform ation at 11 epochs for the 3C 345 jet to $P$ aper II and and 2 epochs for the 3C 279 jet to $P$ aper I.

In the next section, we give a general expression of
 new $m$ ethod how to infer $L_{k \text { in }}$ from the core size observed at a single V LB I frequency; the inferred $L_{k \text { in }}$ gives lines $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ in gure 1 , depending on the com position. O nce $L_{k}$ in is obtained for the core by this $m$ ethod, we can apply it to individual jet com ponents,_assum_ing a constant $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}$ along the jet. In sections', and 3C 279. In the nal section, we discuss the possibility of the entrainm ent of the am bient $m$ atter as a jet propagates dow nstream.

W e use a H ubble constant $\mathrm{H}_{0}=65 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{M} \mathrm{pc}$ and $q_{0}=0: 5$ throughout this paper. Spectral index is de ned such that $S /{ }^{+}$.
2. Synchrotron self-absorption constraint in the jet region

In this paper, wem odela jet com ponent as a hom ogeneous sphere of angular diam eter $d$, containing a tangled $m$ agnetic eld B [G] and relativistic electrons, which give a synchrotron spectrum w ith optically thin index. In x 2.11, w e constrain B (i.e., line B in g.' $1_{1}^{\prime 1}$ ) for arbitrary optical thickness by the theory of synchrotron self-absorption. O nce B is obtained, we can com pute the electron num ber density $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) (i.e., line C ) as w illbe described in $x \underline{2}_{2}^{-1} \overline{2}$ w th the aid of the com puted optical depth at the tumover frequency.

### 2.1. M agnetic eld strength

The magnetic eld strength, B, can be com puted from the synchrotron spectrum, which is characterized by the peak frequency, $m$, the peak ux density, $S_{m}$, and the spectral index, , in the optically thin regim e. M arscher (1983) considered a uniform spherical synchrotron source and related B with $m, S_{m}$, and for optically thin cases (0 1:0). Later, C ohen (1985) considered a uniform slab of plasm a as the synchrotron source and derived sm aller values of $B$ for the sam e set of (,$S_{m}$, ). In this section, we express B (ie., line B in $g$. a uniform sphere for arbitrary optical thickness (i.e., for arbitrary 0 ). $W$ e assum $e$ that the $m$ agnetic eld is uniform and that the distribution of radiating particles are uniform in the con guration space and are isotropic and power-law (eq. [19]) in the $m$ om entum space.

For a uniform $B$ and $N_{e}$, the transfer equation gives the speci c intensity

$$
\left.I=A \quad 5=2\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \exp (  \tag{1}\\
x_{0}
\end{array}\right)\right] ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { A( ) } \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{e}{c} \frac{a()}{C()} \quad \frac{e}{2 m_{e} C} \quad B^{1=2} \text {; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ gives the physical thickness of the em itting region along the line of sight; $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{m}$ e, and c refer to the charge on an electron, the rest $m$ ass of an electron, and the speed of light, respectively. T he coe cients a ( ) and C ( ) are given in table 1; a quantity $w$ ith
an asterisk is $m$ easured in the co-m oving fram $e$, while that $w$ ithout an asterisk in the observer's fram e. At the distance aw ay from the cloud center (g. $\mathbf{i n}_{2}$ ), the fractional thickness, which is Lorentz invariant, becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{0}}{2 R}=\cos (+)=1 \frac{\sin }{\sin (d=2)}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R \cos +(R \sin =\sin ) \cos =R=\sin (d=2)$ is used in the second equality; $a$ is the angular diam eter of the com ponent in the perpendicular direction of the jet propagation. Thus, the speci cintensity at angle aw ay from the center, becom es

where (0) $2 R$ is the optical depth for $=0$ (or $b=0$ ). A veraging over pitch angles of the isotropic electron power-law distribution (eq. [1] ]), we can write down the absorption coe cient in the co-m oving fram e as (Le R oux 1961, G inzburg \& Syrovatskii 1965)

$$
\begin{equation*}
=C() r^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}-\quad-\quad \mathrm{B} \quad(2+3)=2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad c=r \quad c=\left[e^{2}=\left(m_{e} c^{2}\right)\right]=1: 063 \quad 10^{4}$ G H z and $\quad e B=\left(2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}} C\right)$. In deriving equation ( $\left.\overline{4} \mathbf{I}^{1}\right)$, we utilized $I={ }^{3}=I={ }^{3}$ and $==(1+z)$, where $z$ represents the redshift and the D oppler factor, , is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(1 \quad \cos ^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad 1=\frac{p}{=} \overline{2}$ is the bulk Lorentz factor of the jet component $m$ oving $w$ ith velocity $c$, and ' refers to the view ing angle.

Even if special relativistic e ects are im portant, the observer nd the shape to be circular. We thus integrate I ( ) cos over the em itting solid angles $2 \sin d$ in $0 \quad d=2$ to obtain the ux density

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=2^{Z} \int_{d=2}^{Z}() \cos \sin d \\
& =\sin ^{2} \frac{d}{2} \frac{1=2}{1+z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{5=2_{1}^{Z_{1}}} 1 \quad e^{(0)^{p} \overline{1}} d \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad[\sin =\sin (d=2)]^{2}$.
D i erentiating equation (7, ) w ith respect to , and putting $d S=d$ to be 0, we obtain the equation that relates $(0)$ and at the tumover frequency, $m$,

$$
\begin{array}{rl} 
& Z_{1 h} \\
& { }^{1} e^{(0)^{p} \overline{1}^{i}} \\
=\quad & 1 \\
& \frac{2}{5} \\
Z_{1} & 0 \\
(0)^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{1} & e^{(0)^{p} \overline{1}} d \text { (8) }
\end{array}
$$

W e denote the solution (0) at $=\mathrm{m}$ as $\mathrm{m}(0)$, which is presented as a function of in table 1.

It is worth com paring equation $\bar{\eta}_{1}$, ) w ith that for a uniform slab of plasm a w ith physical thickness R. If the slab extends over a solid angle $(0: 5 \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{rad})^{2}$, the ux density becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}=\frac{-}{4} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{rad}}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{r}}{1+\mathrm{z}}^{1=2} \mathrm{~A}^{5=2} 1 \quad \mathrm{e}^{(0)}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The opticaldepth $\quad(0)=\quad 2 \mathrm{R}$ does not depend on
for the slab geom etry. T herefore, com paring equations $(\overline{7}, \overline{1})$ and $(\underline{\underline{9}})$ we can de ne the e ective optical depth, $h$ i, for a uniform sphere of plasm a as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\mathrm{h} \quad \text { i } \quad{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} e^{(0)^{p} \overline{1}} \mathrm{~d}: ~} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e denoteh iat $=\mathrm{m}$ ash m i, which is presented in table 1. N ote that ${\underset{D}{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{m}}$ i is less than $m(0)$ due to the geom etrical factor $\overline{1}$.

U sing m (0), we can evaluate the peak ux density, $S_{m}$, at $=m$ and inversely solve equation $\left(\bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ for $B$. W e thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=10^{5} \mathrm{~b}() \frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{GHz}}^{5} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{mas}}^{4} \frac{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{Jy}}{ }^{2} \frac{}{1+\mathrm{z}} \text {; } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where d 1 rad is used and

$$
\begin{gathered}
b(~)=3: 98 \quad 10^{3} \frac{3}{2}^{2}{\frac{a()^{2}}{C()}}^{Z_{1 h}} \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0
\end{array} e^{m(0)^{p} \overline{1}^{i}}{ }^{2}: \quad \text { (12) }
\end{gathered}
$$

T he values are tabulated in table 1; they are, in fact, close to the values obtained by C ohen (1985), who


Fig. 1.| C onstraints on ( $B, N_{e}$ ) plane im posed by synchrotron self-absorption and totalkinetic hum inosity considerations (see g. 1 in Reynolds et al 1996). The VLBI surface brightness constraint on $B$ gives line $B$, while the condition that the a jet com ponent (or the resolved core in Reynolds et al. 1996) becom es optically thick to self-absonption gives line C. $T$ he horizontal lines are the proper densities derived from the kinetic lum inosity; line $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ correspond to a pure pair and a pure norm al plasm a, respectively.


Fig. 2.| Fhx em itted from a spherical cloud of radius R .
presented b( ) for a slab geom etry with
1:25. This is because the averaged optical depth, $h$ i at
$=\mathrm{m}$, for a spherical geom etry becom es com parable w ith that for a slab geom etry (Scott \& R eadhead 1977).

W e could expand the integrant in equation $\left(\overline{V_{1}}\right)$ in the optically thin lim it $m$ (0) 1 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad e^{m(0)^{p} \overline{1}} \quad m(0)^{p} \overline{1} \text {; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain $b(0: 5)=3: 34$ and $b(1: 0)=3: 85$, for exam ple. This optically thin lim it ( $\mathrm{m} \quad 1$ ) was considered by M arscher (1983), who gave b( ) for 1:0 (i.e., for $m(0)<1: 0)$.

### 2.2. E lectron density

W e next consider how to constrain $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (i.e., line C in $\left.9 . \overline{1} \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ from the theory ofsynchrotron self-absorption. To exam ine the lower lim it of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA), we consider a conical jet geom etry ( $\mathrm{g} \overline{\underline{1},})$ in this section, even
 vidual jet com ponents, which would be more or less spherical rather than conical. The optical depth for synchrotron selfabsonption at distance from the in jection point, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\frac{\sin }{\sin \left(\left(^{\prime}+\right)\right.}+\frac{\sin }{\sin \left(r^{\prime}\right)} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where' is the view ing angle and [1/cm ] refers to the e ective absonption (i.e., absonption $m$ inus stim ulated em ission) coe cient. For a sm all half opening angle ( 1), this equation can be approxim ated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=2 \frac{\sin }{\sin ^{\prime}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\sin$ ' denotes the pro jected, observed opening angle. Noting that $\sin$ represents the transverse thickness of the jet, we nd that equation (15) holds not only for a conical geom etry but also for a cylindrical one.

Since and $\sin$ are Lorentz invariants, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\sin ^{\prime}}=\overline{\sin ^{\prime}}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since is also Lorentz invariant, equation (1-1 $)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sin ^{\prime}}{\sin ^{\prime}}=-=\frac{}{1+z}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Com bining equations (1-15) and (171), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1+\mathrm{z}}{2 \sin } \frac{1+\mathrm{z}}{\sin ^{\prime}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\sin ^{\prime}} \frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L}}}{(1+\mathrm{z})^{2}} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{L}=(1+z)^{2}$ is the angular diam eter distance to the AGN; d is m easured in radian unit (i.e., not in $m$ illiarcsecond). Equation (1'd) holds for a conical geom etry w ith an arbitrary sm all opening angle, including a cylindrical case.

W e assum e that the electron energy distribution is represented by a pow er-law,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dN}}{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} 1 \quad\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\text {in }} \ll \mathrm{max}^{2}\right) ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{e}$ refers to the proper electron num ber density. Integrating $d N{ }_{e}=d$ from $m$ in to $m a x$, and assum ing $m$ ax $m$ in and $<0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{\mathrm{min}^{2}}{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cient C() is given in Table 1 of Gould (1979) and also in table 1 in this paper.

Substituting equation ( $\overline{5}$ (5) into ( $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1} \bar{B}_{1}\right)$, and assum ing $m$ in $m a x$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{~B}^{+1: 5}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{e}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{e}}{2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{C}}{ }^{1: 5+} \frac{(\mathrm{)}}{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{)}} \frac{\mathrm{min}^{2}}{2} \\
& \frac{\sin ^{\prime}}{d} \frac{(1+z)^{2}}{D_{L}} \frac{1+z}{+1: 5} \quad+2: 5:(21)
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluating at $m$, and combining $w$ ith equation (1121), we obtain $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) in ogs unit as (see also M arscher 1983 for relatively optically thin cases,


Fig. 3.| Schem atic gure of a conical jet in the core region $w$ ith halfopening angle in the observer's fram e.

Table 1: Table of constants

|  | 0 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 0.2833 | 0.149 | 0.103 | 0.0831 | 0.0740 | 0.0711 | 0.0725 | 0.0776 | 0.0865 |
| C | 1.191 | 1.23 | 1.39 | 1.67 | 2.09 | 2.72 | 3.67 | 5.09 | 7.23 |
| $\mathrm{~m}(0)$ | 0 | 0.252 | 0.480 | 0.687 | 0.878 | 1.055 | 1.220 | 1.374 | 1.519 |
| $\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{i}$ | 0 | 0.167 | 0.314 | 0.445 | 0.564 | 0.672 | 0.770 | 0.862 | 0.946 |
| b | 0 | 1.66 | 2.36 | 2.34 | 2.08 | 1.78 | 1.51 | 1.27 | 1.08 |

1:0)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{e}(S S A)=e() \frac{m^{\text {in }^{2}}}{2} \frac{\sin ^{\prime}}{d_{A}} \frac{d}{m \text { as }}{ }^{4} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{GHz}}}{}{ }^{4} 5^{5} \frac{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{Jy}}{ }^{2+3} \overline{1+z}^{2} \quad 3 \text {; (22) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
d_{A} \quad \frac{z q_{0}+\left(q_{0} \quad 1\right)\left(1+{ }^{p} \overline{2 q_{0} z+1}\right)}{h(1+z)^{2} q_{0}^{2}}
$$

e( ) $1: 71 \quad 10^{9} \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}2: 79 & 10^{8} \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{)}]^{1: 5} \quad \overline{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{)}}\end{array}\right.$
If the jet com ponent is discontinuous (e.g., spherical), equation (22') gives the low er $\lim$ it of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA ), because the path length becom essm allerthan $2 \sin =\sin ^{\prime}$ (eq. []-르]).

It looks like from equation $\left[\overline{2} \underline{2}_{1}^{1}\right)$ that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA})$ depends on $d$ and $m$ very strongly. In the case of $=0: 75$, for instance, we obtain $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA}) /$ $\mathrm{d}^{10} \mathrm{~m}^{8}$. Nevertheless, as a radio-em itting components evolves along the jet, its d increases due to expansion while its $m$ decreases due to (synchrotron+ adiabatic) cooling. A s a result, these two e ects partially cancel each other and suppress the variations of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) along the jet.

## 3. $K$ inetic lum inosity in the core region

In this section, we present a new $m$ ethod how to deduce the kinetic lum inosity, $L_{k \text { in }}$, of $\mathfrak{j e t}$ in the unresolved V LB I core from the perpendicular core size, utilizing the core-position o set am ong di erent frequencies as an interm ediate variable in $m$ anipulation. O nce $L_{k}$ in is obtained for the core, we can apply it to derive lines $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ for individual jet com ponents, assum ing a stationary jet ejection w th constant $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}$.

### 3.1. Scaling Law

Let us introduce a dim ensionless variable $r$ $r$ to $m$ easure in parsec units, where $r_{1}=1 \mathrm{pc} . \mathrm{We}$
assum e that the electron num ber density scales with ras

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $N_{1}$ refers to the value of $N_{e}$ at $r=1$ (i.e., at 1 pc from the core in the observer's fram e). If particles are neither created nor annihilated in a conical jet, conservation of particles gives $n=2$. In the same $m$ anner, we assum e the follow ing scaling law :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{m}} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $B_{1}$ refers to the values of $B$ at $r=1$. In $a$ stationary super-fast-m agnetosonic jet, the $m$ agnetic ux conservation gives $m=1$. N ote that we assum e such scaling law s only in the unresolved V LB I core, not in the jet region, for which we consider $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA ).

W e further introduce the follow ing dim ensionless variables:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \operatorname{rr}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1} ; \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{1}=\quad=\frac{\mathrm{eB}_{1}}{2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{eC}}} \frac{1}{0}: \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

Utilizing equation ( ${ }_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{5}}$ ), and rew riting $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and B in term $s$ of $x_{N}$ and $x_{B}$, we obtain from the left equality in equation (19)

$$
\begin{gather*}
=C() \frac{2}{\sin ^{\prime}} \frac{2}{m^{2} \text { in }^{2}} \quad \underline{1+z} \quad-\quad 1  \tag{28}\\
\mathrm{r}^{1 \mathrm{n} m} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\quad 3=2 \quad . N$ ote that both $\otimes$ and $x_{B}$ should be distinguished from $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA})$ and B presented in section ${ }_{2} \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}$, because we are considering the core region in this section.

At a given frequency, the ux density will peak at the position where becom es unity. Thus setting
$=1$ and solving equation $(2)$ (2) for $r$, we obtain the distance from the V LB I core observed at frequency from the in jection point as (Lobanov 1998)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r()={ }^{h} x_{B}{ }^{k_{b}} f()-^{i_{1=k_{r}}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm { ) } \quad \mathrm { C } \left(\mathrm{)} \frac{2}{\sin ^{\prime}} \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2} \text { in }^{2}} \quad \frac{}{1+\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}\right.\right.  \tag{30}\\
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}} \frac{3}{5} 2 \mathrm{2} \text {; }  \tag{31}\\
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \frac{(32) \mathrm{m}+2 \mathrm{n} \quad 2}{52} \text { : } \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2. C ore-P osition $O$ set

Ifw em easure $r()_{\text {) at }}$ tw o di erent frequencies (say $a$ and $b$ ), equation (2d) gives the dim ension less, projected distance of $r(a) \quad r(b)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{\text {proj }}=[r(a) r(b)] \sin ^{\prime} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $r_{\text {proj }}$ is in pc units; therefore, $r_{1} \quad r_{\text {proj }}$ represents the pro jected distance of the tw o V LB I cores (in am , say). That is, $r_{1} \quad r_{\text {proj }}$ equals $4: 85 \quad 18 \quad r_{m a s} D_{L}=(1+$ $z)^{2}$ in equation (4) in Lobanov (1998), where $r_{m}$ as refers to the core-position di erence in $m$ as. De ning the core-position o set as
we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{r_{1}}=\left(x_{B}^{k_{b}} f \quad\right)^{1=k_{r}} \sin \prime \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting b ! 1 in equation $[\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1})$, we can express the absolute distance of the V LB I core m easured at
from the centralengine as (Lobanov 1998)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\text {core }}()=\frac{r}{r_{1} \sin { }^{\prime}} \quad 1=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

To express $x_{B}$ in term sof $x_{N}$ and $r$, we solve equation ( 3 는) for $x_{B}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{B}={\frac{r}{r_{1} \sin }}^{k_{r}=k_{b}}(f)^{1=k_{b}}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that $x_{N}$ is included in $f=f()$.
W e next represent $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}$ (or equivalently, $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ ) as a function of $r$. To this end, we param eterize the energy ratio betw een particles and the $m$ agnetic eld as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{e} m \text { in } m e C^{2}=K \frac{B^{2}}{8}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen an energy equipartition betw een the radiating particles and the $m$ agnetic eld holds, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}{\mathrm{h} i} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the averaged electron Lorentz factor, h i becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{\text {max }} \\
& \text { h i } \\
& =\frac{2^{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}}{2+1} \mathrm{~m} \text { in } \frac{(\mathrm{max}=\mathrm{m} \text { in })^{2+1} \quad 1}{(\mathrm{max}=\mathrm{m} \text { in })^{2}} 1 \text { (40) }
\end{aligned}
$$

for < 0. We assum e a rough energy equipartition holds and adopt

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { K } \quad \frac{2+1}{2} \frac{(\mathrm{max}=\mathrm{m} \text { in })^{2} \quad 1}{(\mathrm{max}=\mathrm{m} \text { in })^{2+1}}: \text { : } \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lim it ! $0: 5$, the right-hand side tends to $1=\ln (\mathrm{max}=\mathrm{m}$ in $)$, which is $0: 1$ if $\mathrm{max}=10^{4: 34} \mathrm{~m}$ in , for instance. N ote that we assum e a rough energy equipartition in the core and not in the jet.

In this paper, we assum e that $K=m$ in is constant for $r$. Then, equation ( $3 \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ ) requires $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~m}$. Substituting $N_{e}=N_{1} r^{2 m}$ and $B=B_{1} r^{m}$ into equation ( $\overline{3}^{\prime} \overline{8}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), and replacing $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{~m} \text { in }} \frac{r_{1}}{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om bining equations $\left(\overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ and $(\overline{4} \overline{2})$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{l}) \frac{\mathrm{K}}{\sin ^{\prime}} \frac{\mathrm{K}}{\mathrm{~m} \text { in }} \frac{\mathrm{r}_{1}}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}} \quad \frac{2=(72)}{1+\mathrm{z}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { (43): }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

For ordinary values of $(<0), x_{B}$ decreases $w$ ith increasing $K$, as expected. T heparticle num berdensity, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}$, can be readily com puted from equation (42').

## 3.3. $K$ inetic Lum inosity

W hen the jet has a cross section $R_{?}^{2}$ at a certain position, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{kin}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ are related by
$L_{k i n}=R_{?}{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}$ N $\quad(1) h \quad i m e c^{2}+h+i m+c^{2} ;$
where $h+i$ refers to the averaged Lorentz factors of positively charged particles, and $m+$ designates the $m$ ass of the positive charge.

A ssum ing that the particle num ber is conserved, we nd that $R_{?}{ }^{2} C \quad N$ is constant along a stationary jet. Thus, provided that 1 holds, $R$ ? ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ can be replaced as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{?}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}=\underline{1}\left(\mathrm{r}_{1} 1\right)^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1}=\underline{1}{ }_{1}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{r}_{1}}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \text {; } \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 1 and 1 referto and at 1 pc. Substituting


$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{k \text { in }}=C_{k i n} K \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{r^{3}} \quad{ }_{1}(1) \quad 1 \\
& \text { " } \frac{r}{r_{1} \sin ^{\prime}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \#_{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
5 & 2
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
7 & 2
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we adopt $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}={ }^{2} \mathrm{~h} \quad$ im $\mathrm{e}^{3}=\mathrm{m}$ in for a pair plasm a , while $C_{k \text { in }}={ }^{2} h+i m_{p} C^{3}=(2 \mathrm{~m}$ in $)$ for a norm alplasm $a$, $w$ here $m p$ refers to the rest $m$ ass of a proton. Equation (4-1) holds not only for a conical jet but also for a general jet w ith 1 provided that the particle num ber is conserved and that $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ holds. It should be noted that $m$ in takes di erent values betw een a pair and a norm alplasm a.

### 3.4. Jet O pening A ngle

Let us now consider the half opening angle of the jet, and further reduce the expression of $L_{k i n}$. As noted in x

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{?}}{0: 5 \text { d;core }(\mathrm{)}} \frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L}}}{(1+\mathrm{z})^{2}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a conical geom etry, where d;core ( ) is the angular diam eter of the VLBI core at a frequency in the perpendicular direction to the jet-propagation direction on the projected plane (i.e., a V LB Im ap). The lum inosity distance is given by
$D_{L}=4: 61 \quad 10^{9} h^{1} r_{1} \frac{q_{0} z+\left(\begin{array}{ll}q_{0} & 1\end{array}\right)\left(1+{ }^{p} \overline{2 q_{0} z+1}\right)}{q_{0}^{2}}:$
O $n$ the other hand, equation $(\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
=r_{\text {core }} r_{1}=\frac{r}{\sin ^{\prime}} \quad 1=k_{r} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equations ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{9}_{1}^{\prime}\right),(4 \overline{4})$ into $\left(\overline{4} \bar{q}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{0} \frac{r}{r_{1} \sin ^{\prime}}=11: 1 h^{1} \frac{q_{0} z+\left(q_{0} \quad 1\right)\left(1+{ }^{p} \overline{2 q_{0} z+1}\right)}{q_{0}^{2}(1+z)^{2}} \\
\frac{\text { d;core }}{\mathrm{mas}} \frac{1=k_{r}}{0}: \tag{50}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $m=1$ is consistent $w$ th the theory of $m$ agnetohydrodynam ics, we will adopt $k_{r}=1$ in the rest of this paper. Then, it follow $s$ that the factor containing $r=\sin ^{\prime}$ in equation $\left(\frac{-\bar{G}}{\mathbf{G}}\right.$ ) can be $\sin p l y$ expressed in term s of d;core ( ). That is, to evaluate $L_{k i n}$, we do not have to stop on the way at the computation of $r$, which requires $m$ ore than two V LB I frequencies. W e only need the V LB I-core size at a single frequency, d;core ( ), in addition to,$'$, , m in $, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{K}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}$. If we have to exam ine , we additionally need $r$. The inform ation of the composition is included in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}$. In the present paper, we assume ${ }^{2}=11^{2}$.

If $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}$ deviates from unity, we have to independently exam ine and $r$. In another word, we need at least two VLBI frequencies (e.g., 2 and 8 GHz ) to $m$ easure the core-position 0 set in order to constrain $L_{k i n}$, even if we know the value of $k_{r}$ by som em eans.

To constrain in equation ( $\overline{4} \overline{6}-\overline{3}$ ), let us brie $y$ consider how to obtain its lower bound. If the apparent velocity of a radio-em itting com ponent, app $=$
$\begin{array}{ll}\sin ^{\prime}=\left(1 \quad \cos ^{\prime}\right) & \text {, re ects the uid pelocity, the } \\ \text { low er bound can be obtained by } m \text { in } & a^{2}+1\end{array}$ O $n$ the other hand, the upper bound of is not easily constrained; thus, we must consider it source by source, using observations at di erent photon energies (e.g., X rays) if necessary.

### 3.5. Electron density deduced from kinetic lum inosity

O nce $L_{k i n}$ is know $n$ by them ethod described above or by som e other $m$ ethods, we can com pute the electron number density as a function of the com position. $W$ e assum e that the $L_{k i n}$ deduced for the core can be applied for the individual jet com ponents. Then, solving equation (44]), which holds not only in the core but also in the jet, for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$, and utilizing $R_{?}=(d=2) D_{L}=(1+z)^{2}$, we obtain for a norm al plasm a
$N_{e}\left(n m_{1}\right)=5: 93 \quad 10^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{46}}{(1)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{mas}}{ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{q_{0}^{2}(1+z)^{2}}{z q_{0}+\left(q_{0} 1\right)\left(1+{ }^{2} \overline{\left.2 q_{0} z+1\right)}\right.} \\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}+\mathrm{i}} \frac{1}{1+\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{im}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} ; \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{L}_{46}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}=\left(10^{46}\right.$ ergs s $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$. If $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm}$ l) becom es less than the electron density deduced independently from the theory of synchrotron self-absonption (SSA ), the possibility of a norm alplasm a dom inance can be ruled out.

It is worth com paring $N_{e}\left(n m\right.$ l) w ith $N_{e}$ (pair), the particle density in a pure pair plasm a. If we com pute $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}$ by using equation $[\overline{4} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ and $\left(\overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain the follow ing ratio

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}} \text { (pair) }}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{l})} & =\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }(\text { pair })}^{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l)}}} \frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{c}^{2}+\mathrm{h} \quad\left(\mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{l)im} \mathrm{e}^{2}\right.}{2 \mathrm{~h} \text { (pair) im } \mathrm{c}^{2}}}{} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{m} \text { in (nm l) }}{\mathrm{m} \text { in (pair) }} ; \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ in (pair) and $m$ in ( $n$ M l) refer to $m$ in in a pair and a norm al plasm a, respectively; $h$ (pair) i and $h(n m y) i$ refer to the averaged Lorentz factor of electrons in a pair and a norm al plasm a, respectively. Therefore, if m in (pair) is com parable to m in ( nm l ) 100, there is little di erence betw een $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (pair) and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})$.

### 3.6. Sum $m$ ary of the $M$ ethod

Let us sum $m$ arize the $m$ ain points that have been

(1) W e can com pute the $m$ agnetic eld strength (i.e., line $B$ in $\left.g .{ }^{\prime} 11_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ from the surface brightness condition.
(2) W e can also constrain the proper electron density and the $m$ agnetic eld strength (i.e., line C) from the synchrotron self-absorption constraint.
(3) C om bing (1) and (2), we obtain the electron density, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA ), for each radio-em itting com ponent.
(4) O bserving the core size, d;core ( ), at a single frequency, , and substituting equation (50) into equation (46), we obtain the kinetic lum inosity, $L_{k}$ in .
(5) A ssum ing a norm al-plasm a dom inance, we obtain the proper electron density, $N_{e}(n m l)$ (i.e., line $D_{2}$ ) from $L_{k \text { in }}$ by equation ( $51 \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ).
(6) IfN $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l}) \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA ) holds, we can rule out the possibility of a nom alplasm a dom inance and that of a pair-plasm a dom inance w ith m in 100 or greater. That is, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} 1) \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) indicates the dom inance of a pair plasm a w ith m in 100 .

## 4. A pplication to the 3C 345 Jet

Let us apply the $m$ ethod described in the previous sections to the radio-em itting com ponents in the 3C 345 jet and investigate the com position. This quasar ( $\mathrm{z}=0: 595$; H ew itt \& Burbidge 1993) is one of the best studied ob jects show ing structural and spectral variabilities on parsec scales around the com pact unresolved core (for a review, see e.g., Zensus 1997). At this redshiff, 1 m as corresponds to $5: 85 \mathrm{~h}^{1} \mathrm{pc}$, and 1 m as yr ${ }^{1}$ to app $=30: 3 \mathrm{~h}^{1}$.

## 4.1. $K$ inetic lum inosity

To deduce $L_{k i n}$, we rst consider_d;core $=0$, where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$ is adopted in equation (5dq). From the reported core size at 222 GHz at 6 epochs by Zensus et al. (1995), at 5 epochs by Unw in et al. (1997), and at 3 epochs by Ros et al. (2000), we can deduce the averaged core size, d;core, as $0: 296 \mathrm{~m}$ as ( $g . \underline{4}_{\underline{4}}^{\mathbf{4}}$ ) ; here, we evaluate the core size w th 1:8 $\overline{\mathrm{ab}}$ for the latter three epochs, where $a$ and $b$ refer to the $m$ jor and $m$ inor axes at the FW HM of the elliptical $G$ aussian presented in Ros et al. (2000). From d;core $=0: 296$ m as, we obtain d ;core $=0=6: 18 \quad 10^{14}$ as the averaged value over the 14 epochs at 22 GHz . In the sam e m anner, we obtain the follow ing averaged values at di erent frequencies: d;core $=0.258 \mathrm{~m}$ as and d;core $=0=3: 64 \quad 10^{14}$ over 3 epochs at 15 GHz (Ros et al. 2000); d;core $=0: 436 \mathrm{~m}$ as and d;core $=0=4: 38 \quad 10^{14}$ over 7 epochs at 10.7 G Hz ( 1 epoch from Unw in et al. 1994; 4 epochs from Zensus et al. 1995; 2 epochs from $G$ abuzda et al. 1999); d ;core $=0: 343 \mathrm{~m}$ as and $\mathrm{d} ;$ core $=0=2: 71 \quad 10^{14}$ over 7 epochs at 8.4 GHz ( 1 epoch from Unw in et al. 1994; 3 epochs from Zensus et al. 1995; 3 epochs from Ros et al. 2000); d;core $=0: 423 \mathrm{~m}$ as and d;core $={ }_{0}=1: 98 \quad 10^{14}$ over 11 epochs at 5.0 GHz (4 epochs from Brown et al. 1994; 1 epoch from Unwin et al. 1994; 3 epochs from Zensus et al. 1995; 3 epochs from Ros et al. 2000). Taking a weighted average of d ;core $=0$ over the 42 epochs at the di erent 5 frequencies, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\text { d;core }}{0}=4: 01 \quad 10^{14}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e next consider the spectral index that re ects the energy distribution of the power-law electrons in the core. From infrared to optical observations (J,H ,K ,L bands from Sitko et al 1982; J,H ,K bands from $N$ eugebauer et al. 1982; K band from Im pey et
al 1982; $\mathbb{R A S} 12,25,60,100 \mathrm{~m}$ icrons from M oshir et al. 1990), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad 1: 15 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the core. This value is consistent with that adopted by Zensus et al. (1995).

U sing equations ( 5 equation (50 ${ }^{-1}$ )

$$
\frac{1}{0} \frac{r}{r_{1} \sin ^{\prime}}{ }^{2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & )=(72) \tag{55}
\end{array}\right)=4: 97 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{~h}^{1: 57}: ~}
$$

M oreover, $=1: 15$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { C ( ) } \frac{2 \mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{~min}^{2}+1} \frac{\mathrm{r}_{1}}{\mathrm{r}_{0}} \quad 4=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
7 & 2
\end{array}\right) \\
& =1: 31 \quad 10^{14} \mathrm{~K}^{0: 43} \mathrm{~m} \text { in }{ }^{0: 56} \text { : } \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

W e thus obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ( 1) } \frac{1}{\sin ^{\prime}} \overline{1}^{2: 65} \quad \text { ergs s }^{1} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

for a norm alplasm a, that is, $C_{k \text { in }}={ }^{2} h+i m p C^{3}=(2 \mathrm{~m}$ in $)$ in equation $[\overline{4} \overline{1})$. $N$ ote that the factor $h+i$ cancels out when we com pute $N_{e}(n m$ l) by equation (511) and hence does not a ect the conclusions on the $m$ atter content in the present paper. H ow ever, to exam ine the absolute kinetic lum inosity of a norm al-plasm adom inated jet, we have to independently know $h+i$, because we can constrain only the electron density by SSA theory.

### 4.2. K inem atics near the core

To constrain $L_{k i n}$ further, we must consider the kinem atics of the core and evaluate and'. In the case of the 3C 345 jet, com ponents are found to be accelerated' as they propagate aw ay from the core. For exam ple, for com ponent C 4, apph < 8 held when the distance from the core was less than 1 m as (Zensus et al. 1995); how ever, it looked to be accelerated' from this distance (or epoch 1986.0) and attained apph 45 atepoch 1992.5 (Lobanov \& Zensus 1994). It is, how ever, unlikely that the uid bulk Lorentz factor increases so much during the propagation. If app re ected the uid bulk m otion ofC 4 , the bulk Lorentz factor, , had to be at least 45 until 1992.5. T hen
app 6 during 1981-1985 indicates that the view ing angle should be less than 0:05, which is probably much less than the opening angle. A nalogous acceleration' w as reported also for C 3 (Zensus et al 1995) and C 7 (U nw in et al. 1997).

On these grounds, we consider the apparent acceleration' of the com ponents in their later stage of propagation on V LB I scales are due to geom etricaleffects such as scissorse ects' (H ardee \& N orm an 1989; Fraix B umet 1990), which $m$ ight be created at the intersection of a pair of shock waves. Such shock w aves $m$ ay be produced, for exam ple, by the interaction betw een relativistic pair-plasm a beam and the am bient, non-relativistic norm al-plasm a w ind (Sol, P elletier \& A sseo 1989; P elletier \& R oland 1989; P elletier \& Sol 1992; D espringre \& Fraix-Bumet 1997; P elletier \& $M$ arcow th 1998). In their tw o- uid m odel, the pair beam could be destructed at a certain distance from the core on V LB I scales due to the generation ofL angmuirwaves.

Then, how should we constrain and 'for the 3C 345 jet? In this paper, we assum e that the radioem itting com ponents before their rapid acceleration represent (or m im ic) the uid bulk m otion. Under this assum ption, Ste en et al. (1995) tted the m otions of C 4 (during 1980-1986) and C 5 (during 19831989) w hen the com ponents are w thin 2 m as from the core by a helicalm odel. They found $=5: 8$ for C 4 and $4: 6$ for $C 5 \mathrm{w}$ th ' $=6: 8$, assum ing $h=1: 54$ (i.e., $\left.\mathrm{H}_{0}=100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{M} \mathrm{pc}\right)$. These Lorentz factors w ill be

9 and 7 for C 4 and C5, respectively, if $h=1$ (i.e., $\mathrm{H}_{0}=65 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{M} \mathrm{pc}$ ). Subsequently, $Q$ ian et al. (1996) investigated the intrinsic evolution ofC 4 under the kinem atics of $=5: 6$ and ${ }^{\prime}=2$ \{ 8 , assum ing $\mathrm{h}=1.54$; the range of view ing angles is in good agree$m$ ent $w^{\prime}$ th ' $=2$ (for C 4) \{ 4 (for C 2) at 1982.0 obtained by Zensus et al. (1995), who used a larger value of $=10$ underh = 1:54, which corresponds to 15 for $h=1$.
Unless a substantial deceleration takes place, the assum ption of a constant Lorentz factor is justi ed as the rst order of approxim ation. In the last part of xi4. 4 , we w ill exam ine the case when the Lorentz factor is di erent from this assum ed value and consider how the conclusion of the com position depends on . C lose to the core, we adopt' $=2$, because the view ing angle is suggested to decrease with decreasing distance from the core (Zensus et al. 1995; U nw in et al 1997). If $'$ is less than 2 in the core, $L_{k i n}$, and hence $N_{e}(n m$ l) further decreases; that is, the norm al-
plasm a dom inance can be further ruled out. In short, we apply $K=0: 1,=15$ and ${ }^{\prime}=2$ in equation ( $57_{1}$ ). A ssum ing a norm al-plasm a dom inance, we adopt ${ }_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=10^{2}$ for electron energy distribution.

### 4.3. C om position of individual com ponents

We can now investigate the com position of the radio-em itting com ponents in the 3C 345 jet, using their spectral inform ation. W e assum e that the jet is nether accelerated nor decelerated and apply the Lorentz factor obtained for the (unresolved) core to all the (resolved) jet com ponents. To com pute $N_{e}$ (SSA ), we further need' for each com ponent at each epoch. (N ote that' $=2$ is assum ed for the core, not for the com ponents.) For this purpose, weassum e that app re ects the uid motion if app $<\frac{2}{2} 1$ and that' is xed after app exceeds $\frac{2}{2}$. That is, we com pute' from

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \frac{2 \mathrm{app}}{\mathrm{app}^{2}+{ }^{2} \quad 1} \text { if } \mathrm{app}<\mathrm{p} \frac{}{2} 1 \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{app}>\mathrm{P} \overline{2} \quad 1 \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

$\tan ^{\prime}=$

The constancy of ' when app $>\mathrm{P} \overline{{ }^{2} \quad 1}=15: 0$ could be justi ed by the helical m odel of Ste en et al. (1995), who revealed that the view ing angle does not vary signi cantly after the com ponent propagates a certain distance (about 1 m as in the cases of com ponents C 4 and C5) from the core. As we will see in table 2 (later in this subsection),' increases $w$ th increasing distance from the core and do not deviate signi cantly from the assum ed value for the core (2 degree)."

The synchrotron self-absonption spectra of individual com ponents are reported in severalpapers. They were rst reported in Unw in et al. (1994), who presented ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$, ) and d (or in their notation) of components C 4 and C5 at epoch 1990.7. Subsequently, Zensus et al. (1995) gave ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$, ), d, and apph for C2, C3, and C 4 at 1982.0. H ow ever, the errons are given only for $d$; therefore, we evaluate the errors of the output param eters, , ', B, $N_{e}(n m 1)$, and $N_{e}(n m 1)=N_{e}(S S A)$, using the errors in d alone for C 2, C 3, and C 4 at 1982.0. Later, U nw in et al. (1997) presented ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$, ), d, and apph of C 5 (at 1990.55) and C 7 (at 1992.05,1992.67,1993.19, and 1993.55). M ore recently, Lobanov and Zensus (1999) presented a com prehensive data of ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$, ) of C 3, C 4, and C5 at various epochs. W e utilize
$d=0: 114+0: 0658$ (epoch 1979:50) for C 4 (Q ian et al. 1996), ${ }_{d}=0: 114+0: 0645$ (epoch $1980: 00$ ) for C5, which is obtained from the data given in Zensus et al. (1995) and Unwin et al. (1997), and $\mathrm{d}=0: 09+0: 45\left(\sin ^{\prime}=\mathrm{m}\right.$ as) for C3 (B iretta et al. 1986), where $\sin ^{\prime}$ refers to the pro jected distance from the core.

The results for individual com ponents at various epochs are given in table 2 for $K=0: 1$. It follow $s$ that the ratio, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA ) becom es less than 1 if the $m$ agnetic eld is an acceptable strength, (e.g., B < 100m G). For C 4, we cannot rule out the possibility of a norm al-plasm a dom inance at epochs 1985.8 and 19882 . N evertheless, at these two epochs, unnatural input param eters $(=0: 2$ at epoch 1985.8 and $S_{m}=0: 8$ Jy at epoch 1988 2) give too large B ( $>380 \mathrm{mG}$ ) for a moderate $(<30)$. If we instead intenpolated $S_{m}$ and at epochs 1985.8 and 19882 from those at epochs 1983.4 and 1990.7, we would obtain reasonable values $B=70 \mathrm{mG}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} 1)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA})=0.28$ at epoch 1985.8, and $\mathrm{B}=$ 50 mG and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA})=0: 046$ at epoch 1988 2. On the other hand, C 5 at 19842 gives unusual value $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA})=3: 71 \mathrm{~S}^{\circ} \mathrm{am}^{3}$. It is due to the unnaturally sm all value of $\mathrm{m}(=2: 5 \mathrm{GHz})$ in the early stage of its evolution.

For an assum ed Lorentz factor $=15$ throughout the jet, we present the results ofN $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA ) as a function of the projected distance $\sin ^{\prime}$ in gure's. The horizontal solid line represents $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} 1)$. It should be noted that $h$ i $10^{3}$ holds in equation ( $5 \mathbf{1} \mathbf{I n}^{1}$ ) for a norm al plasm a. T hus, the solid line gives the upper lim 五 of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA). W hat has be notioed is that the possibility of a nom alplasm a dom inance can be ruled out ifN $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} 1)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA ) 1 holds. There is also depicted a horizontaldashed line representing $0: 01 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} 1)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) $\quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (pair) $=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA ) (see eq. $\left.{ }^{[ } 2^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right]$ ). If the ratio appears near the dashed line, it indicates that the hom ogeneous com ponent is pair dom inated. T he horizontal dotted line corresponds to $(2=1836) \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA). If the ratio appears under this line, 过 $m$ eans that $L_{k}$ in is underestim ated for that particular com ponent. If $m$ resides w ithin the observed frequency range, the point and error bar is indicated by thick pen, whereas if $m$ resides outside of the observed frequency range (i.e., if $m$ is extrapolated and hence contains a large error together with $S_{m}$ ) it is indicated by thin one. The tw o points appearing above the solid line correspond to C 4 at 1985.8 and 1988 2, which have the unnat-
ural input param eters as discussed in the foregoing paragraph.

It follows from the gure that the upper bound of the $68 \%$-error bars for the 11 epochs appear below $0: 1$, and hence that the jet is likely pair-plasm a dom inated, provided 15. (C5 at 19842 is not counted in the 11 epochs, because it gives too sm all $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) value.) For a smaller, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} 1)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) further decreases. H ow ever, in this case, the ratio appears low er than the dotted line, indicating that $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}$ is underestim ated for those jet com ponentsw ith $<15$, or that $h+i \quad 1$ holds for a norm al plasm a com position. The ratio shows no evidence of evolution as a function of the projected distance, $\sin ^{\prime}$, under the assum ption of a constant

It is notew orthy that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} 1)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) is proportional to $(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{in}=100)^{2} \quad 1: 56$ for the spectral index of 1:15 for the core. Therefore, for a typical spectral index 0:75 for the jet com ponents, the dependence on $m$ in virtually vanishes. That is, the conclusion does not depend on the assum ed value of m in 100 for a norm alplasm a.

If we assume instead $=20$ (rather than $=15$ ) and ${ }^{\prime}=2$, we obtain the follow ing kinetic lum inosity
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}=1: 3 \quad 10^{46} \mathrm{~h}^{1: 57} \mathrm{~h}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}{100} \quad 1: 56 \mathrm{~K}^{0: 56} \operatorname{ergs} \mathrm{~s}^{1}:$
Evaluating the view ing angles of individual com ponents by equation (5) w th $=20$, we can com pute $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) as presented in gure' G . It follow s from this gure that we cannot rule out the possibility of a norm al-plasm a dom inance for such large Lorentz factors. The greater we assum $e$, the greater be$\operatorname{com} \operatorname{es} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA).

## 5. A pplication to the 3C 279 Jet

Let us next consider the 3C 279 jet. This quasar ( $z=0: 538$ ) was the rst source found to show superlum inalm otion (C otton et al. 1979; Unw in et al. 1989). At this redshiff, 1 m as $\mathrm{yr}^{1}$ corresponds to app $=28 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~h}^{1}$.

### 5.1. K inetic lum inosity

In the same manner as in 3C 345 case, we rst consider $d$;core $=0$. Since the tumover frequency of the core is reported to be about 13 GHz (Unwin et al. 1989), we m easure d;core at 10:7

Core size of 3 C 345 jet at 22 GHz


Fig. 4.| A ngular core size of the 3C 345 core at 222 G H z. T he horizontal line represents the bet $t$ value.


Fig. 5.| The ratio $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) as a function of the distance from the core. Above the solid, horizontal line, the dom inance of a norm alplasm a is allowed. = 15 is assum ed.

G H z (i.e., optically thick frequency range). At 10.7 GHz, d;core is reported to be 0:79 m as from veepoch observations by $U \mathrm{nw}$ in et al. (1989), and 0:61 $m$ as from three-epoch observations by C arrara et al. (1993). W ith one-epoch VSO P (VLBI Space Observatory $P$ rogram $m$ e) observation, $d$;core $=1: 8 \quad 0: 28$ m as and d;core $=1: 8 \quad 1: 95 \mathrm{~m}$ as were obtained at 4.8 GHz and 1.6 GHz , respectively ( P iner et al 2000). A s the weighted $m$ ean over the 10 epochs, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\text { d;core }}{0}=6: 6 \quad 10^{14} ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{0} \frac{r}{r_{1} \sin ^{\prime}} & =2: 8 \mathrm{~h}^{1} \frac{\text { dicore }}{\mathrm{mas}}- \\
& =3: 710^{13}: \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

W e next consider the spectral index of the core in the optical thin frequencies. From mm to $\mathbb{R} A S$ (90150 GHz and $270-370 \mathrm{GHz}$ ) observations, G randi et al. (1996) reported

$$
\begin{equation*}
=12: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

A dopting this value as the spectralindex that re ects the energy distribution of the pow er-law electrons in the core, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{0}{\frac{r}{r_{1} \sin ^{\prime}}}^{2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 2
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
7 & 2 \tag{63}
\end{array}\right)}=2: 7 \quad 10^{20} \mathrm{~h}^{1: 57}:
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{C}(1) \frac{2 \mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{~min}^{2}+1} \frac{r_{1}}{r_{0}} \quad 4=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
72
\end{array}\right) \\
=1: 81 \quad 10^{14} \mathrm{~K}^{0: 43} \mathrm{~m} \text { in } 0: 56: \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

If we assum e a norm alplasm a dom inanœ, we obtain

### 5.2. K inem atics near the core

W e now consider and' near to the core to constrain $L_{k}$ in further. $T$ he apparent superlum inalvelocities of the com ponents in the 3C 279 jet do not show
the evidence of acceleration and are roughly constant during the propagation. For exam ple, C arrara et al. (1993) presented app $=4: 51 \mathrm{~h}^{1}$ for C 3 during 1981 and 1990 over 15 epochs at 5,11 , and 22 GHz and app $=4: 23 \mathrm{~h}^{1}$ for C 4 during 1985 and 1990 over 15 epochs at 11 and 22 GHz . We thus assum e that the apparent $m$ otion of the com ponents represent the uid bulk motion and that both and ' are kept constant throughout this straight jet. Since app does not di er very much betw een C 3 and C 4, we adopt app $=4: 51$ as the com $m$ on value and apply the sam e and' for these two com ponents. The errors incurred by the di erence in app are small.
$\mathrm{p} \frac{\text { The lower bound of can be obtained as }>}{\mathrm{app}^{2}+1}=4: 61$. The upper bound of , on the other hand, can be obtained by the upper bound of for a given app $=4: 51$. In general, the upper bound of is di cult to infer. In the case of3C 279, how ever, it is reasonable to suppose that a substantial fraction of the X ray ux in the aring state is produced via synchrotron self C om pton (SSC) process. Therefore, the D oppler factors $3: 9$ ( M attox et al 1993) and

5 (Henri et al. 1993) derived for the 1991 are, and 6:3 (W ehrle et al 1998) for the X -ray are in 1996, give good estim ates. W e thus consider that does not greatly exceed 10 for the 3C 279 jet. Im posing < 10, we obtain < 6:06 from app $=4: 61$. C om bining w th the lower bound, we can constrain the Lorentz factor in the range $4: 6 \ll 6: 1$.

As case 1, we adopt the sm allest bulk Lorentz factor, $=4: 61$, which results in ' $=12: 5$ and
$=4: 6$ for $\mathrm{app}=4: 51$. It is worth com paring this
$w$ ith that $m$ easured in the quiescent state; $U n w$ in derived $=3: 6_{1: 6}^{+4: 0}$ so that the calculated $X$-ray ux $m$ ay agree $w$ th the observation. M oreover, from the RXTE observation in the $0.1-2.0 \mathrm{keV}$ band during 1996.06 and 1996.11, Law son and $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}$ ardy (1998) obtained 2 Jy in the quiescent state during 1996.49\{ 1996.54 from 16-epoch observations. Applying this $X$ ray ux density to the spectral inform ation ( m , $S_{m}$, ) and d (table 3) for com ponent C 4 at epochs 1987.40 and 198926 and com ponent C 3 at 1984.05, we obtain > 1:9, > 4:0, and > 3:3, respectively. Therefore, we can regard that the value of $=4: 6$ are consistent w th the X -ray observations. In this case, equation ( 6 - $\mathbf{F}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) gives
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}=7: 2 \quad 10^{46} \mathrm{~K}^{0: 57} \mathrm{~h}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}{100}{ }^{1: 6} \mathrm{~h}^{1: 6} \operatorname{ergs} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ (case 1):
As case 2, we adopt a large Lorentz factor of
$=6: 0$. In this case, app $=4: 51$ gives $=9: 8$ and ' = 4:45. There is, in fact, another branch of solution that gives sm aller D oppler factor of $2: 2$; how ever, th is solution gives too large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA )'s ( $10^{5} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). W e thus consider only the solution giving $=9: 8 \mathrm{as}$ case 2, which results in
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}=3: 5 \quad 10^{66} \mathrm{~K}^{0: 57} \mathrm{~h}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}{100} \quad 1: 6 \mathrm{~h}^{1: 6} \operatorname{ergs~s}^{1}$ (case 2)
W e will exam ine these tw o cases in the next subsection.

### 5.3. C om position of individual com ponents

For the jet com ponent C 3, Unw in et al. (1989) presented $\mathrm{m}=6: 8 \mathrm{GHz}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}=9: 4 \mathrm{Jy},=1: 0$, and $d=0: 95 \mathrm{~m}$ as at epoch 1983.1 (table 3). It was also reported in their paper that the ux densities were 5:13 0:14 Jy at 5.0 GHz (at epoch 1984 25), $4: 49 \quad 0: 17$ Jy at 10.7 GHz (1984.10), and 2:58 0:18 Jy at 222 GHz (1984.09) for C 3. W e m odel t the three-frequency data by the function

$$
\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{A}_{1} 1 \quad \exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{2} & 2: 5 \tag{68}
\end{array}\right):
$$

A ssum ing $=1: 0$, we obtain $\mathrm{m}=6: 6 \mathrm{GHz}$ and $S_{m}=5: 9$ Jy as the best $t$ at epoch 1984.10.

For C 4, C arrara et al. (1993) presented m 11 $\mathrm{GHz}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad 4: 3 \mathrm{Jy}, \quad=0: 9$, and $\mathrm{d} \quad 0: 6 \mathrm{~m}$ as from their 1989-1990 m aps at 5, 11, and 22 GHz . At epoch 1987.4, we use the ux density of 1:43 0:17 Jy at 22 G H z (C arrara et al 1993) and that of $3: 95$ 0:20 Jy at 5 GHz (G abuzda et al. 1999). W e extrapolate the ux density at 11 G H z from those at 1988.17, 1989 26, and 1990.17 presented in C arrara et al (1993) to obtain 3:60 0:20 Jy. A ssum ing $=0: 9$, we can m odel $t$ the three-frequency data to obtain $m=6: 4 \mathrm{GHz}$ and $S_{m}=4: 4$ Jy. At this epoch (1987.4), com ponent C 4 is located about 1 m as from the core; therefore, the angular size vs. distance relation (C arrara et al. 1993) gives $\mathrm{d} 0: 6 \mathrm{~m}$ as. The input param eters for C 3 and C 4 at these 4 epochs are sum $m$ arized in table 3 .

In case 1 (see $\left.\times \cos _{5}^{2}\right)$, we obtain $N_{e}(S S A)=4: 3$ $10^{3}$ and 3:0 $10^{4}$, for C 3 at 1983.1 and 1984.10, and 8:0 10 and 6:4 $10^{\circ}$ for C 4 at 1987.4 and 198926, respectively. These large values of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) in the rst three epochs result in such (un-physically) sm all values ofN $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA})$ as $1: 4 \quad 10^{4}, 2: 9 \quad 10^{5}$, and 1:9 $10^{4}$. Since even a pair-plasm a dom inance should be ruled out for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) $\quad 100$ (see
eq. [52 $2^{1}$ ), we consider that the value of $(=4: 6)$ is underestim ated.

W e next exam ine case 2 , in which a larger D oppler factor $(=9: 8)$ is adopted. In this case, we obtain reasonable values of $B$ and $N_{e}$ (SSA) as presented in table 3. It follow s that the ratio $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA ) becom es 4:3 $10^{3}$ and 8:7 $10^{3}$, for C 3 at 1983.1 :and 1984.10, and 5:0 $10^{3}$ and 0:62 for C 4 at 1987.4 and 198926 , respectively. If exceeds 10 (or equivalently, if exceeds 6), the density ratio for C 4 at 198926 becom es close to unity; therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility of a norm al-plasm a dom inance for such large D oppler factors.

On these grounds, we can conclude that the jet com ponents are dom inated by a pair plasm a w ith m in 100, provided that $<10$ holds, in the rst three epochs. For the last epoch (1989 26), the 68\% error bar of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA})$ appears above $0: 1$; thus, we cannot rule out the possibility of a norm alplasm a dom inance. If is as sm all as 5, not only a norm al-plasm a dom inance but also a pair-plasm a dom inance should be ruled out for the rst three epochs. Thus, we consider is greater than 5 for the 3C 379 jet.

## 6. D iscussion

In sum $m$ ary, we derived a general schem $e$ to infer the kinetic lum inosity of an AGN jet using a core size observed at a single V LB I frequency. The kinetic lum inosity gives an electron density as a function of the com position of the jet. If the density deduced under the assum ption of a nom al-plasm a dom inance becom es much less than that obtained independently from the theory ofsynchrotron self-absorption, we can exclude the possibility of norm al-plasm a dom inance. A pplying thism ethod to the 3C 345 jet, we found that com ponents C $2, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5$, and C 7 are dom inated by a pair plasm a $w$ th m in 100 at 11 epochs out of the total 21 epochs exam ined, provided that < 15 holds throughout the jet. W e also investigated the 3C 279 jet and found that com ponents C 3 and C 4 are dom inated by a pair plasm a w ith m in 100 at three epochs out of the four epochs exam ined, provided that < 10 .
It is notew orthy that the kinetic lum inosity com puted from equations ( $4-\overline{6}$ ) and ( $5 \underline{0}_{1}^{-1}$ ) has, in fact, weak dependence on the com position. Substituting $C_{k \text { in }}$ for
a pair and a norm alplasm a, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{L_{k \text { in }} \text { (pair) }}{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}(\mathrm{nm} 1)} & =\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{~h} \text { (pair) } \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }} \text { (pair) }}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}=2 \mathrm{~m} \text { in }(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l)}} \\
& =\frac{1}{9: 18} \frac{\mathrm{~h} \quad \text { (pair) } i}{\mathrm{~m} \text { in (pair) }} \frac{\mathrm{m} \text { in }(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l)}}{100}: \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

It follow sfrom equation ( $4 \mathbf{N O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) that $h \quad$ (pair) $i=m$ in (pair) 3 holds for $=0: 75$, for instance. Thus, we obtain com parable kinetic lum inosities irrespectively of the com position assum ed, if we evaluate them by the $m$ ethod described in xing

Let us exam ine the assum ption of $K$ 0:1. For a typicalvalue of $=0: 75$, we obtain ( $\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{m}$ in $) \mathrm{K}=$ $(\text { eq }=)^{17=2}$, where eq refers to the lequipartition D oppler factor" de ned by (Readhead 1994)
eq $\quad 10^{36} \mathrm{~F}()^{34} \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{z})^{2}$

$$
\left(1+z^{172} \quad m^{352} \quad S_{m}^{16} \mathrm{~d}^{34} 1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
13 & 2 \tag{70}
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(z) \frac{0: 65 h}{q_{0} z+\left(q_{0} \quad 1\right)\left(1+{ }^{p} \overline{2 q_{0} z+1}\right)} \text {; } \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F()$ is given in Scott and $R$ eadhead (1977); $m$, $S_{m}$, and $d$ are $m$ easured in GHz, Jy, and $m$ as, respectively. Therefore, we can expect a rough energy equipartition betw een the radiating particles and the $m$ agnetic eld if eq is close the derived independently.

Substituting the input param eters presented in table 2, we can compute eq's for the 3C 345 jet. The com puted eq ranges betw een 1:1 and 67 except for com ponent C 5 at 1984 2, which gives eq $=736$ due to unnaturally sm all m. If we singled out this epoch, wewould obtain eq $=23$ 9. The resultant $m$ agnetic eld strength, $B=6: 7 \quad 6: 4 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{G}$, is reasonable. For the 3C 279 jet, we obtain eq $=9: 5 \quad 1: 5$ and $12 \quad 8$ $\mathrm{m} G$ for the four epochs exam ined.

Since eq $=23 \quad 9$ for $3 C 345$ is consistent $w$ th those presented in table 2 and since eq $=9: 5$ 1:5 for 3C 279 is consistent w ith those in table 3, we can expect a rough energy equipartition in these tw o blazer jets. H ow ever, it $m$ ay be worth noting that the energy density of the radiating particles dom inates that of the $m$ agnetic eld if becom es much sm aller than eq. Such a case ( $=4$ 12) was discussed by U nw in et al. $(1992 ; 1994 ; 1997)$ for the 3C 345 jet, assum ing that a signi cant fraction of the X -rays w as em itted
via SSC from the radio-em itting com ponents considered.

W e nally discuss the com position variation along the jet. In the application to the two blazers, we assum ed constant Lorentz factors ( ) throughout the jet. H ow ever, $m$ ay in generaldecrease $w$ ith increasing distance from the central engine. If the decrease of is caused by an entrainm ent of the am bient $m$ atter (e.g., disk wind) consisting of a norm alplasm a, it follows from equation ( $5 \mathrm{IN}_{1}^{1}$ ) that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{nm} 1)$ and hence $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{l}_{)}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (SSA) increases at the place where the entrainm ent occurs. ( $N$ ote that the kinetic lum inosity w ill not decrease due to an entrainm ent in a stationary jet.) Therefore, the change of com position from a pair plasm a into a norm alplasm a along the jet $m$ ay be found by the $m$ ethod described in this paper.

To study further the change of the com position, we need detailed spectral inform ation ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$, ) of individual com ponents along the jet. To constrain the spectral tumover accurately, we m ust model $t$ and decom pose the VLBI im ages from high to low frequencies; in another word, spatial resolution at low frequencies is crucial. Therefore, sim ultaneous observations w ith ground telescopes at higher frequencies and w ith space+ ground telescopes at low er frequencies are essential for this study.
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Fig. 6.| Thesame gure as gure 3, but the di erent Lorentz factor $=20$ is adopted.
$T$ his 2 -colum $n$ preprint $w$ as prepared $w$ the the $A I_{E} T_{E} X$ m acros v4.0.

Table 2: Input param eters and the results for the 3C 345 jet when $=15$.


- For the input param eters, 1 errors are presented if they are given in the litearture.
a Input param eters are cited from Zensus et al. (1995).
b Input param eters are cited from Lobanov and Zensus (1999).
c Input param eters are cited from U nw in et al. (1994).
d Input param eters are cited from U nw in et al. (1997).

Table 3: Input param eters and the results for the 3C 279 jet in case 2.

| epoch | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \sin ^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{m} \text { as } \end{aligned}$ | app h | $\stackrel{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{GHz}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline S_{m} \\ & J y \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ |  | deg | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ \mathrm{mGG} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA}) \\ \mathrm{cm}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{l})=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{SSA}) \\ (68 \% \text { errors) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| com ponent C 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1983.10^{\text {a }}$ | 1.4 | 4.5 | 6.8 | 9.4 | -1.0 | 0.95 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 1.8 E 1 | 3.5E1 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-3(3.6 \mathrm{E}-3,5.0 \mathrm{E}-3)$ |
| $1984.10^{\text {b }}$ | 1.4 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 8.9 | -1.0 | 0.80 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 82 E 0 | 2.5E2 | 8.7E-4 ( $7.3 \mathrm{E}-4,1.0 \mathrm{E}-3)$ |
| com ponent C 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1987.40^{\text {b }}$ | 1.0 | 42 | 6.4 | 4.4 | -0.9 | 0.60 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 9.8 E 0 | 7.7 E 1 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-3(4.1 \mathrm{E}-3,5.7 \mathrm{E}-3)$ |
| $1989.26^{\text {c }}$ | 12 | 42 | 11.0 | 4.3 | -0.9 | 0.60 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 1.6 E 2 | $62 \mathrm{E}-1$ | $62 \mathrm{E}-1(2.9 \mathrm{E}-1,1.3 \mathrm{E} 0)$ |

[^0]
[^0]:    a Input param eters are cited from $U n w$ in et al. (1989).
    b Input param eters are com puted in this paper (see text).
    c Input param eters are cited from C arrara et al. (1993).

