Speed of Sound in the M ass Varying Neutrinos Scenario

Ryo Takahashi a_y and Morim itsu Tanim oto b_z

^a G raduate School of Science and Technology, N iigata University, 950-2181 N iigata, Japan
^bD epartm ent of Physics, N iigata University, 950-2181 N iigata, Japan

ABSTRACT

We discuss about the speed of sound squared in the Mass Varying Neutrinos scenario (MaVaNs). Recently, it was argued that the MaVaNs has a catastrophic instability which is the emergence of an imaginary speed of sound at the non-relativistic limit of neutrinos. As the result of this instability, the neutrino-acceleron—uid cannot act as the dark energy. However, it is found that the speed of sound squared in the neutrino-acceleron—uid could be positive in our model. We exam into the speed of sound in two cases of the scalar potential. One is the small fractional power-law potential and another is the logarithm ic one. The power-law potential model with the right-handed neutrinos gives a stable one.

ye-m ail: takahasi@ m use.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

ze-m ail: tanim oto@ m use.sc.niigata-u.ac.p

I INTRODUCTION

One of the most challenging questions in both cosmological and particle physics is the nature of the dark energy in the Universe. At the present epoch, the energy density of the Universe is dominated by a dark energy component, whose negative pressure causes the expansion of the Universe to accelerate. In order to clarify the origin of the dark energy, one has tried to understand the connection of the dark energy with particle physics.

In a scenario proposed by Fardon, Nelson and W iner (M aVaNs), relic neutrinos could form a negative pressure—uid and cause cosm ic acceleration [1]. In this idea, an unknown scalar eld which is called \acceleron" is introduced and neutrinos interact through a new scalar force. The acceleron—eld sits at the instantaneous m in im um—of its potential, and the cosm ic expansion only modulates this m in im um—through changes in the neutrino density. Therefore the neutrino mass is given by the acceleron, in other words, it depends on its number density and changes with the evolution of the Universe. The cosm ological parameter w—and the dark energy also evolve w ith the neutrino m ass. Those evolutions depend on a model of the scalar potential strongly. Typical examples of the potential have been discussed by Peccei [2].

The variable neutrino mass was considered at rst in [3], and was discussed for neutrino clouds [4]. Ref. [5] considered coupling of the dark energy scalar, such as Quintessence to the neutrinos and discuss its impact on the neutrino mass limits from Baryogenesis. The MaVaNs scenario leads to interesting phenomenological results. The neutrino oscillations may be a probe of the dark energy [6, 7, 8]. The leptogenesis [9, 10], the cosmo MSW elect of neutrinos [11] and the solar neutrino [12, 13] have been studied in the context of this scenario. Cosmological discussions of the scenario are also presented [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The extension to the supersymmetry have been presented in ref. [21, 22]. This scenario is also discussed in the context of the texture of the neutrino mass matrix with three families [23].

Despite many implications of this scenario, ref. [24] showed that this scenario contains a catastrophic instability which occurs when neutrinos become non-relativistic. As neutrinos become non-relativistic, the speed of sound squared in the neutrino-acceleron—uid turns to be negative. As the result of this instability, neutrinos condense into neutrino nuggets, and thus cannot act as the dark energy. Here, it is important to say that some non-adiabatic

m odels [14, 15] do not su er from this instability. However, we have found that the speed of sound squared in this uid could be positive even though neutrinos are not enough relativistic and the neutrino-acceleron uid is adiabatic. In order to realize the positive speed of sound squared, a constraint for the scalar potential is required.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec.II, we summarize the MaVaNs scenario with three families. Sec.III presents discussions for the speed of sound in the hydrodynamic picture. Sec.IV presents a stable model in the MaVaNs scenario. Sec.V devotes to the summary.

II DARK ENERGY FROM MaVaNs

In the M aVaNs scenario, one considers a dark energy sector consisting an \acceleron" eld, and a dark ferm ion, n. This sector couples to the standard model sector only through neutrinos. The dark energy is assumed to be the sum of the energy densities of neutrinos and a scalar potential for the acceleron:

$$_{DE} = + V (_{a}); \qquad (1)$$

where the potential energy of the acceleron is responsible for the acceleration of the Universe and for the dynamical neutrino mass. The energy density for three generations of neutrinos and antineutrinos is generally given by

$$= T^{4} X^{3} F (_{i}); \qquad _{i} \frac{m_{i}}{T}; F (_{i}) \frac{1}{^{2}} \frac{dyy^{2} \overline{y^{2} + \frac{2}{i}}}{e^{y} + 1}; \qquad (2)$$

where i denotes three fam ilies.

In the scenario, $_{\text{DE}}$ is stationary with respect to variation in the neutrino mass. This stationary condition is represented by

$$\frac{0}{X^{3}} + \frac{0V (_{a} (m_{i}))}{X^{3}} = 0:$$

$$0 = m_{i} \quad 0 = m_{i}$$

$$= 1$$
(3)

 $^{^{1}}$ In ref. [1, 2], there is a more precise discussion about the Fermi factor in eq.(2). For our purpose it su ces to use this form.

If e^{P} m $_{i}=e_{a} \in O_{r}$ this condition turns to

$$T^{4} \frac{X^{3}}{e^{1}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial e^{1}} \frac{\partial e^{1}}{\partial e^{1}} + \frac{\partial V(e^{1})}{\partial e^{1}} = 0$$
: (4)

U sing the equation of energy conservation in the Robertson-Walker background and the above stationary condition, one can get the equation of state parameter was follows:

$$w + 1 = \frac{[4 \quad h(T)]}{3_{DE}};$$
 (5)

w here

$$h (T) = \frac{X^{3}}{i} \frac{QF(_{i})}{Q_{i}}$$

$$F(_{j})$$

$$f = 1$$
(6)

The speed of sound squared in the neutrino-acceleron uid is given by

$$c_{\rm s}^2 = \frac{p}{p_{\rm DE}} = \frac{w_{\rm DE} + w_{\rm DE}}{p_{\rm DE}}$$
 (7)

where p is the pressure of the dark energy [24, 25]. Recently, it was argued that when neutrinos are non-relativistic, this speed of sound squared becomes negative in this scenario: $c_s^2 = (0 \ln m) < 0$, where n is the number density of neutrinos. The emergence of an imaginary speed of sound shows that the MaVaNs scenario with non-relativistic neutrinos is unstable, and thus the uid in the scenario cannot act as the dark energy. However, it is found that the speed of sound squared in this uid can be positive even though neutrinos are non-relativistic. Then, a constraint for the scalar potential is required.

III SPEED OF SOUND

At the non-relativistic lim it of neutrinos, the energy density of neutrinos is given by

$$= \mathop{\text{m}}_{i=1}^{X^3} m_i n ; \qquad (8)$$

then the stationary condition eq.(3) is rewritten as follows:

$$n = \frac{\text{@V (a)}}{X^3} :$$

$$\text{@ m i}$$

$$\text{i= 1}$$
(9)

Now the dark energy density is given as

$$_{DE} = {\stackrel{X^3}{\underset{i=1}{m}}} m_{i} n + V (_{a});$$
 (10)

U sing these relations, the speed of sound squared in the neutrino-acceleron—uid is negative: $c_s^2 = (0 \ln m) < 0$ as shown in ref. [24]. In order to study c_s^2 quantitatively, we start with discussing the energy density of neutrinos in eq.(2). Taking account that c_s is much larger than 1 for non-relativistic neutrinos, the function F (c_s) is expanded in terms of c_s as:

F (i) '
$$\frac{\hat{n}}{T^3}$$
 i + $a\frac{\hat{n}}{T^3}\frac{1}{i}$; (11)

w here

$$\hat{n} = \frac{T^3}{2} \int_0^{Z} \frac{dyy^2}{e^y + 1}; \qquad a = \frac{R_1}{2} \frac{\frac{dyy^4}{e^y + 1}}{R_1} \int_0^{Z} \frac{dyy^4}{e^y + 1} \int_0^{Z}$$

Since the rst term of the right hand side in eq.(10) is derived from the rst term of the right hand side in eq.(11), the e ect of the second term in eq.(11) should be added to the dark energy density as a correction. Due to this correction, it could be that the negative speed of sound squared turns to be positive under a condition, which is discussed in detail later.

The dark energy density including the correction term is given as follows:

$$DE = \sum_{i=1}^{X^3} m_i \hat{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{Y^3} v_i + V(a);$$
 (13)

Then the stationary condition eq.(4) is described as

$$T = \frac{X^3}{1}$$
 $\hat{n} = \frac{a\hat{n}}{2} \cdot \frac{e_{i}}{e_{a}} + \frac{e_{i}}{e_{a}} = 0$: (14)

The equation of state is generally given by eq.(5). At the non-relativistic lim it, it is easy to see h(T) = 1. Because of the correction term, h(T) is deviated from 1 as follows:

$$h(T) = \frac{T^{4} X^{3} \frac{eF(i)}{0}}{X^{3} \frac{eF(i)}{0}} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{\frac{1}{X^{3}} e^{X^{3}} \frac{a}{a} A}{1} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{\frac{1}{X^{3}} e^{X^{3}} \frac{a}{a} A}{1} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{\frac{1}{X^{3}} e^{X^{3}} \frac{a}{a} A}{1} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} = \frac$$

Thus, the equation of state is given by

where we om itted the term of 0 (1=3). Using eqs.(13) and (16) and the stationary condition eq.(3), the speed of sound squared in the neutrino-acceleron uid is described nally as follows (see Appendix):

$$c_{s}^{2} = \frac{\underline{w}_{DE} + \underline{w}_{DE}}{\underline{DE}} = \frac{\frac{\underline{e}_{w}}{\underline{e}_{z}} \underline{DE} + \underline{w}_{\underline{e}_{z}}^{\underline{e}_{DE}}}{\underline{e}_{z}}$$

$$= \frac{X^{3}}{\underline{e}_{z}} \frac{\underline{e}_{m}_{i}}{\underline{e}_{z}} + \frac{5}{3} \underline{a} \underline{n}_{i}^{2} \frac{ST_{0}}{\underline{e}_{z}} + \frac{T}{2} \underline{e}_{m}_{i}^{2}}{\underline{e}_{z}}; \qquad (17)$$

$$= \frac{\underline{i}_{z} \underline{1}}{X^{3}} \underline{e}_{\underline{n}_{z}}^{\underline{n}_{z}} + \frac{5}{3} \underline{a} \underline{n}_{i}^{2} + \frac{5}{3} \underline{a}_{i}^{2} + \frac{5}{3} \underline{a}_{i}^{2}$$

where z is the redshift parameter, z $(T=T_0)$ 1 and 0" represents a value at the present epoch. We have taken the form dierentiated by the redshift parameter instead of the time. The rst term in the right hand side of eq.(17) is the leading term at the non-relativistic limit and is negative denite because of 0m $_i=0$ z <0 and 0n =0z >0. The numerator of the second term, which is the correction term, is the positive denite. Thus, it is possible that the speed of sound squared is positive, in other words, the neutrino-acceleron unid could be stable due to this term. From the eq.(17), it is easy to see that if the following relation is satisfied, the speed of sound squared becomes positive:

$$\frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\theta m_{i}}{\theta z}} = 1 = \frac{5aT^{2}}{3m_{i}^{2}} + \frac{25aT_{0}^{2}(z+1)}{3} = \frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{m_{i}}} > 0:$$
 (18)

The sign of c_s^2 is determined by the magnitude of $m_i=0$ z. Since the magnitude of $m_i=0$ z is model dependent, we will examine two cases of the scalar potential for the acceleron, one is the power-law potential and another is the logarithmic one in the next section.

IV MODELS

In the MaVaNs scenario, one needs a at scalar potential [1]. Therefore, we will discuss the speed of sound in cases of the small fractional power-law potential and logarithm ic one in

this section. The magnitude of @m $_i=@z$ does not only depend on the scalar potential but also the coupling between neutrinos and the acceleron. Therefore, we consider two cases in eqs.(20) and (28) for this coupling.

A. The power-law potential

We take the small fractional power-law potential of the form

$$V (a) = A - \frac{a}{0}; k 1;$$
 (19)

where parameters A and k are xed by the magnitude of the dark energy and the stationary condition at the present epoch, respectively.

Three left-handed neutrinos and a sterile neutrino

We take a Lagrangian of the form

$$L = L m_{n-n} + a_{n-n} + h x; (20)$$

where $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm n}$ are the left-handed and a sterile neutrino, respectively. Since we consider three families of active neutrinos, the mass matrix m $_{\rm D}$ is 3 1 matrix. Thus the neutrino mass matrix is given by

$$M = \frac{m_D m_D^T}{a}; \qquad (21)$$

where we assume m_D a. A fler diagonarizing this matrix, we can ind mass eigenvalues of neutrinos as follows:

$$m_{i} = \frac{M_{i}^{2}}{a};$$
 (22)

which gives

$$_{i}=\frac{M_{i}^{2}}{_{2}T}: \tag{23}$$

U sing eqs.(1), (19) and (23), we have the redshift dependence of the acceleron from the stationary condition eq.(4) as

where the leading term is taken after expanding @V = @ a by k. Thus, the redshift dependence of neutrino masses is given by

$$\frac{X^{3}}{\frac{9m}{6z}} = \frac{X^{3}}{\frac{2}{a}} \frac{M_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}}{\frac{2}{a}} \frac{9a}{\frac{2}{a}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{a}} \frac{9a}{\frac{2}{a}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{a}} \frac{9a}{\frac{2}{a}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{a}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{a}$$

where the right hand side is negative and its absolute value is the increasing function of k .

At the present epoch, values of som e param eters are given by

$$T_0$$
 ' 1:69 10 4 (eV); A ' 2:99 10 11 (eV 4); $\hat{\pi}^0$ ' 8:82 10 13 (eV 3); (26)

and we take the following typical masses at the present epoch:

$$m_{1}^{0} = 0.0045 \text{ (eV)}; \quad m_{2}^{0} = 0.01 \text{ (eV)}; \quad m_{3}^{0} = 0.05 \text{ (eV)};$$
 (27)

which lead to m $_{\text{atm}}^2$ = 2.4 10 3 (eV 2) and m $_{\text{sun}}^2$ = 8.0 10 5 (eV 2). We numerically evaluate the relation (18) by putting values of (26) and (27). We not that k has to be smaller than 5.5 10 5 to satisfy the relation (18). However this value of k is unfavored in the phenomenology of the neutrino experiments. The value of k is related with neutrino masses through the stationary condition eq.(14). A ctually, the k which is smaller than 5.5 10 5 leads to P m $_i$ 0 (10 4) (eV). In the case of 10 4 < k < 10 2 , P m $_i$ 0 (10 3 10 1) (eV) is expected. Therefore, this model including the relation (22) and the scalar potential (19) is unfavored.

Three left- and right-handed neutrinos and a sterile neutrino

We add usual right-handed neutrinos to the Lagrangian (20)

$$L = {}_{L} m_{D} n + {}_{ann} + {}_{L} M_{D} R + {}_{R}^{T} M_{R} C^{1} R + hx;$$
 (28)

where $_{\rm R}$ is the right-handed neutrinos and both M $_{\rm D}$ and M $_{\rm R}$ are 3 3 m atrix. Then, the neutrino m ass m atrix is given as the 7 7 m atrix

$$M = {}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{D} {}^{T}_{D} {}^{A}_{D} {}^{C}_{A} ;$$

$$M = {}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{D} {}^{T}_{D} {}^{A}_{D} {}^{C}_{A} ;$$

$$M = {}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{D} {}^{C}_{A} ({}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{A} ;$$

$$M = {}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{D} ({}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{A} ;$$

$$M = {}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{A} ;$$

$$M = {}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{e} {}^{D}_{e} ;$$

$$M = {}^{D}_{e$$

in the ($_L$; $_n$; $_R$) basis. We take the right-handed M a jorana mass scale to be much higher than the D irac neutrino mass scale, and assume m $_D$ a. Then, the elective neutrino mass matrix is approximately given by

$$M = M_{D} M_{R}^{1} M_{D}^{T} + \frac{m_{D} m_{D}^{T}}{a} :$$
 (30)

The rst term in the right hand side of eq.(30) is the time—independent neutrino seesaw mass matrix, which is denoted by M , and so it depends on the avor model of neutrinos. In this case, since the neutrino mass matrix reduces to eq.(21) at the limit of M ! 0, we assume that M dominates the elective neutrino mass matrix M . Then we can describe generally mass eigenvalues in the rst order perturbation as follows [23]:

$$m_{i} = m_{i} + c_{i} \frac{M_{i}^{2}}{a};$$
 (31)

where c_i is a coe cient of order 1 depending on the model of families. Using this relation and eq.(19), we have the redshift dependence of the acceleron from the stationary condition eq.(14) as

where the rst term of the left hand side in eq.(14) was expanded by the second term of the right hand side in eq.(31). Thus, the redshift dependence of neutrino masses is given by

$$\frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{em}{ez}} = \frac{kA \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{x^{3}}{m}} \cdot \frac{5aT^{2}}{m^{2}}!}{kA \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{m^{2}} \cdot \frac{x^{3}}{m^{2}}!} \cdot \frac{x^{3}}{m^{2}} \cdot \frac{x^{3}}{m^$$

The magnitude of the rst term of the left hand side in eq.(18) is nearly equal to kA = n at the present epoch. In order to realize the positive speed of sound squared, k has to be smaller than $5:19 10^6$. When we assume that the magnitude of the second term of the right hand side in eq.(31) is 0:1 percent of the rst term, we obtain $k = 1:90 10^6$ which reproduce observed values of neutrino masses. Therefore, the model including the scalar potential (19) and the relation between neutrino masses and the acceleron (31) is favored in the MaVaNs scenario and can act as the dark energy.

 $^{^2}$ The values of c_i are given in a speci $\, c_i$ avor symmetry as in ref. [23].

B. The logarithm ic potential

We take the logarithm ic scalar potential of the form

$$V(a) = B \ln \frac{a}{a};$$
 (34)

B ' 5:68
$$10^{14} \text{ (eV}^4);$$
 (35)

where we use values of (26) and (27) to x the value of B.

We will consider two cases of the coupling between neutrinos and the acceleron as well as the case of the small fractional potential.

Three left-handed neutrinos and a sterile neutrino

We take Lagrangian in eq.(20), and thus, neutrino masses in eq.(22). The stationary condition of eq.(14) gives the redshift dependence of the acceleron:

a'
$$\frac{B + H (z)}{2 \text{ arf } T^2 (1=M_1^2)}$$
; H (z) $\frac{v_u^2}{E} \frac{(1=M_2^2)^2 (1=M_2^2)^2 (1=M_2^2)^2$

and thus the redshift dependence of neutrino masses is given by

$$\frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2\pi^{3}}{2\pi^{2}} = \frac{4a^{2}\hat{n}^{2}T^{4}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2\pi^{3}}{(z+1)[B+H(z)^{\frac{3}{4}}]} = \frac{2\pi^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2\pi^{3}}{2\pi^{3}} = \frac{2\pi^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2\pi^{3}}{(1-M_{1}^{2})^{\frac{7}{4}}} = \frac{3\pi^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2\pi^{3}}{2\pi^{3}} = \frac{3\pi^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2\pi^{3}}{2\pi^{3}} = \frac{3\pi^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2\pi^{3}}{2\pi^{3}} = \frac{3\pi^{3}}{2\pi^{3}} = \frac{3$$

Using values of eqs.(26), (27) and (35), the rst term of the left hand side in eq.(18) is 0 (10¹) (eV), however the second term is 0 (10⁴) (eV) at the present epoch. Thus the speed of sound squared becomes negative, in other words, one cannot build a stable M aVaN s model including the form of the neutrino mass like as eq.(22).

Three left- and right-handed neutrinos and a sterile neutrino

We take Lagrangian in eq.(28), and thus, neutrino masses in eq.(31). The redshift dependence of the acceleron is given as:

and thus, the redshift dependence of neutrino masses is given by

$$\frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2m}{2}} = \frac{\frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{SaT^{2}}{m^{2}}}}{\frac{X^{3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{SaT^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{SaT^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}$$

U sing values of eqs.(26) and (27), we can estimate the redshift dependence of neutrino masses. Since m_i dominate the neutrino mass, we assume m_i m_i. In this case, the rst term of the left hand side in eq.(18) is 0 (10³) (eV), however the second term is 0 (10⁴) (eV). Thus, the speed of sound squared becomes negative as well as the previous case. We conclude that the speed of sound squared in the neutrino-acceleron—uid becomes negative when neutrinos are non-relativistic for the logarithmic scalar potential in eq.(34) and neutrino masses like as eqs.(22) and (31).

V SUMMARY

We have discussed about the speed of sound squared in the neutrino-acceleron—uid, and tried to—nd a stable M aVaNs model in which the—uid is adiabatic. In order to exam ine c_s^2 quantitatively, we have taken two types of the scalar potential for the acceleron. One is the small fractional power-law potential and another is the logarithm ic one. Furthermore, we have studied about two types of models which have dierent couplings between neutrinos and the accerelon.

In our analysis, models including the logarithm ic scalar potential are unstable and cannot act as the dark energy because the speed of sound squared in the neutrino-acceleron uid becomes negative. However, models with the small fractional power-law potential can avoid this instability. The model including only three left-handed neutrinos and a sterile neutrino avoids this instability but does not reproduce the observed neutrino masses. On the other hand, the model including the right-handed neutrinos reproduces the observed neutrino masses and realizes the positive speed of sound squared. Neutrino masses in this model have the time-independent component from the seesaw mechanism, which was assumed to be dominant in the elective neutrino mass. Therefore, it is easy to reconcile these neutrino masses with observed ones. Due to this time-independent mass, this model becomes viable.

A ppendix

The derivation of the speed of sound squared in eq.(17) is presented in this Appendix.

The energy density for three generations of neutrinos and antineutrinos is generally given by

$$= T^{4} X^{3} F (_{i}); \qquad _{i} \frac{m_{i}}{T}; F (_{i}) \frac{1}{^{2}} \frac{dyy^{2} \sqrt{y^{2} + \frac{2}{i}}}{e^{y} + 1};$$
 (40)

As neutrinos becom e non-relativistic, $_i$ is much larger than 1. Therefore, the function F ($_i$) is expanded in terms of $_i$ as:

$$F(_{i}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{0} \frac{dyy^{2}}{e^{y} + 1} \frac{y_{1}}{i} \frac{Y_{1}}{2} + 1$$

$$(_{i}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{0} \frac{dyy^{2}}{e^{y} + 1} \frac{y_{1}}{i} + 1$$

$$(_{i}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{0} \frac{dyy^{2}}{e^{y} + 1} \frac{y_{1}}{i} + 1$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{0} \frac{dyy^{2}}{e^{y} + 1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{0} \frac{dyy^{4}}{e^{y} + 1}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{n}}{T^{3}} \frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{0} \frac{dyy^{4}}{e^{y} + 1}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{n}}{T^{3}} \frac{1}{i} + a \frac{\hat{n}}{T^{3}} \frac{1}{i}; \qquad (41)$$

w here

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{\mathbf{T}^{3}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{Z}}{2} & \frac{\mathbf{dyy}^{2}}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\mathbf{e}^{y} + 1}{2} \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{a} = \frac{\mathbf{R}_{1}}{2} \frac{\frac{\mathbf{dyy}^{4}}{2} + 1}{2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{1} & \frac{\mathbf{dyy}^{2}}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\mathbf{dyy}^{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{7}; \tag{42}$$

and thus, we get

$$_{DE} = + V (_{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{3}} m_{i} \hat{n} + V (_{a});$$
 (44)

$$\frac{\theta_{DE}}{\theta_{Z}} = \frac{X^{3}}{\theta_{Z}} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{Z}} \hat{n} + m_{i} \frac{\theta_{i} \hat{n}}{\theta_{Z}} + \frac{\theta_{i} \hat{n}}{\theta_{Z}} + \frac{\theta_{i} \hat{n}}{\theta_{Z}} + \frac{\theta_{i} \hat{n}}{\theta_{Z}} + \frac{\theta_{i} \hat{n}}{\theta_{Z}}$$
(45)

The stationary condition eq.(3) leads to the relation:

$$\frac{\text{@V (a)}}{\text{@z}} \frac{\text{@z}}{\text{@m_i}} = \frac{\text{@}}{\frac{X^3}{0}} 4^{X^3} \text{m_j ft} \quad 1 + \frac{a}{\frac{2}{j}} 5$$

$$= \hat{n} \frac{\frac{0}{2} z}{\frac{2}{3} \frac{0}{0} z} \frac{\frac{0}{0} z}{\frac{0}{2} z} \frac{x^{3}}{\frac{0}{2} z} m_{j} \hat{n} \frac{\frac{a}{2} A}{\frac{2}{j}}$$

$$= \hat{n} \frac{\frac{0}{2} z}{\frac{x^{3}}{0} m_{j}} \frac{4^{x^{3}}}{\frac{0}{2} z} \frac{\frac{0}{0} m_{j}}{\frac{0}{2} z} \hat{n} \frac{\frac{a}{2} + m_{j}}{\frac{0}{2} z} \frac{\frac{0}{2} a}{\frac{0}{2} z} 2 m_{j} \hat{n} \frac{\frac{a}{2} \frac{0}{2} z}{\frac{3}{2} 0 z} \frac{1}{5};$$

$$(46)$$

and thus, we have

$$\frac{\text{@V (a)}}{\text{@z}} = \frac{X^3}{\text{@x}} \frac{\text{@m_i}}{\text{@z}} \hat{n} \qquad \text{a} \quad \frac{\text{@m_i}}{\text{@z}} \hat{n} \quad \frac{1}{\frac{2}{3}} + \text{m_i} \frac{\text{@n}}{\text{@z}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{3}} \qquad 2\text{m_i} \hat{n} \quad \frac{1}{\frac{3}{3}} \frac{\text{@_i}}{\text{@z}} : \tag{47}$$

U sing eqs.(45) and (47), the redshift dependence of the dark energy is

$$\frac{\theta_{DE}}{\theta z} = \frac{X^{3}}{\frac{1}{10}} \frac{\theta m_{i}}{\theta z} \hat{n} + m_{i} \frac{\theta \hat{n}}{\theta z} + m_{i} \frac{\theta \hat{n}}{\theta z} + m_{i} \frac{\theta \hat{n}}{\frac{1}{10}} + m_{i} \frac{2a}{\frac{1}{10}} \frac{\theta_{i}}{\theta z} + m_{i} \frac{2a}{\frac{1}{10}} \frac{\theta_{i}}{\theta z} + m_{i} \frac{\theta \hat{n}}{\theta z} + m_{i} \frac{1}{10} \frac{2a}{\frac{1}{10}} + m_{i} \frac{\theta \hat{n}}{\theta z} + m_{i} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} + m_{i} \frac{\theta \hat{n}}{\theta z} + m_{i} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} + m_{i} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}$$

The equation of state parameter w is

$$w + 1 = \frac{[4 \quad h(T)]}{3_{DE}}; \tag{49}$$

w here

$$h (T) = \frac{\frac{X^{3}}{i} \frac{QF(i)}{Qi}}{X^{3}};$$

$$F(i)$$

$$i = 1$$
(50)

U sing eq.(41),

$$h(T) = \frac{X^{3}}{\frac{i=1}{T^{3}}} \cdot \frac{\frac{n}{T^{3}}}{\frac{1}{T^{3}}} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{i=1}{X^{3}}}{\frac{n}{T^{3}}} \cdot \frac{\frac{n}{T^{3}}}{\frac{1}{T^{3}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{i=1}{X^{3}}}{\frac{i=1}{X^{3}}} \cdot \frac{\frac{a}{X^{3}}}{\frac{a}{X^{3}}} \cdot \frac{a}{\frac{a}{X^{3}}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{i=1}{X^{3}}}{\frac{x^{3}}{X^{3}}} \cdot \frac{x^{3}}{\frac{a}{X^{3}}} \cdot \frac{a}{\frac{a}{X^{3}}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{i=1}{X^{3}}}{\frac{x^{3}}{X^{3}}} \cdot \frac{x^{3}}{\frac{a}{X^{3}}} \cdot \frac{a}{\frac{a}{X^{3}}}$$

$$1 \frac{1}{X^{3}} e^{X^{3}} \frac{a}{a} A$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{X^{3}} \frac{1}{y^{2} + 1} \frac{1}{y^{2}}}{1 \frac{1}{X^{3}} \frac{1}{y^{2} + 1} \frac{1}{x^{3}} \frac{a}{y^{2} + 1} \frac{1}{y^{2} + 1}}$$

$$(51)$$

$$1 \frac{1}{X^{3}} e^{X^{3}} e^{X^{3}} \frac{a}{y^{2} + 1} \frac{a}{$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{6}{6} 3 + \frac{2}{x^{3}} \underbrace{\frac{0}{6} x^{3}}_{j=1} \frac{\frac{1}{7}}{\frac{7}{5}} x^{3}}_{j=1} m_{k} \hat{n} + \frac{x^{3}}{1} x^{3} \frac{T}{1}$$

$$w + 1 = \frac{\frac{1}{1}}{3} \underbrace{\frac{x^{3}}{0} m_{k} \hat{n} + \frac{x^{3}}{0} x^{3}}_{j=1} \frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{x^{3}}{0} m_{k} \hat{n} + \frac{2}{1}}_{j=1} \underbrace{\frac{0}{j} x^{3}}_{j=1} \frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{x^{3}}{0} m_{k} \hat{n}}_{k=1}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{2}{x^{3}} \underbrace{\frac{0}{6} x^{3}}_{j=1} \frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{1}{k=1}}_{k=1} \frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{1}{k=1}}_{k=1}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1} \frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{k=1}$$

$$\frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1} \frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{k=1}$$

$$\frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1}$$

$$\frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1}$$

$$\frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j} m_{k}}_{j=1}$$

$$\frac{1}{j} \underbrace{\frac{$$

where the last term is negligible small because $_{\rm i}$ is much larger than 1. Thus we get

$$3 \sum_{i=1}^{X^{3}} m_{i} \hat{n} + 3 \sum_{i=1}^{X^{3}} a \hat{n} \frac{T}{i} + \frac{2T}{X^{3}} e^{X^{3}} \frac{a}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{3}} m_{k} \hat{n}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{X^{3}} m_{i} \hat{n} + \frac{5a\hat{n}}{3} \frac{T}{i} :$$
(53)

Di erentiating eq.(53) by the redshift parameter, we have

$$\frac{\theta_{DE}}{\theta z} w + \frac{\theta_{DE}}{\theta z} + \int_{DE} \frac{\theta w}{\theta z} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^3} \frac{\theta m_i}{\theta z} \hat{n} + m_i \frac{\theta \hat{n}}{\theta z} + \frac{5a}{3} \frac{\theta \hat{n}}{\theta z} \frac{T}{i} + \frac{\theta T}{\theta z} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{T}{i} \frac{\theta_i}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{T}{i} \frac{\theta_i}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{T}{i} \frac{\theta_i}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{T}{i} \frac{\theta_i}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{T}{i} \frac{\theta_i}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{T}{i} \frac{\theta_i}{i} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} \frac{\hat{n$$

which leads to

$$\frac{\partial_{DE}}{\partial z}w + DE \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \frac{X^{3}}{i=1} \frac{\partial_{m}i}{\partial z} + m i \frac{\partial_{n}i}{\partial z} + \frac{5a}{3} \frac{3\hat{n}}{z+1} \frac{T}{i} + T_{0} \frac{\hat{n}}{i} + T_{0} \frac{\partial_{n}i}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial_{n}i}{\partial z} \frac{\partial_{n}i}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial_{n}i}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial_{n}i}{\partial z} \frac{\partial_{n}i}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial_{n}i}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial_{n}i}{\partial z} \frac{\partial_{n}i$$

Then, using the relation (48), we have

$$\frac{\theta_{DE}}{\theta z}w + DE\frac{\theta w}{\theta z} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^3} \frac{\theta_{i}}{\theta z} + m \frac{\theta n}{\theta z} + \frac{5an}{3} \frac{4T_0}{\theta z} + \frac{T}{\frac{2}{i}} \frac{\theta}{\theta z} + \frac{X^3}{\frac{1}{i}} m \frac{\theta n}{\frac{1}{i}}$$
(56)

Since the speed of sound squared in the dark energy is given by

$$c_s^2 = \frac{\theta p}{\theta_{DE}}$$

$$= \frac{\theta (w_{DE})}{\theta_{DE}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\theta_{DE}}{\theta_{Z}} w + \frac{\theta_{W}}{\theta_{Z}}}{\frac{\theta_{DE}}{\theta_{Z}}};$$
(57)

using eqs.(48) and (56), we get nally

$$c_{s}^{2} = \frac{x^{3} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} \hat{n} + m_{i} \frac{\theta_{n}^{2}}{\theta_{z}} + \frac{5a\hat{n}}{3} \frac{4T_{0}}{i} \frac{T_{0}}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\theta_{i}}{\theta_{z}} + \frac{X^{3}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{\theta_{n}^{2}}{\theta_{z}}}{m_{i}^{2}} = \frac{x^{3} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} \hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\frac{5}{3}a\hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\frac{5}{3}a\hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{X^{3}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{5T_{0}}{i} \frac{T_{0}^{2} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}}}{m_{i}^{2}} :$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} \hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\frac{5}{3}a\hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{X^{3}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{5T_{0}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{T_{0}^{2} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}}}{m_{i}^{2}} :$$

$$= \frac{X^{3} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} \hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\frac{5}{3}a\hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{X^{3}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{5T_{0}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{T_{0}^{2}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} :$$

$$= \frac{X^{3} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} \hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\frac{5}{3}a\hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{X^{3}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{5T_{0}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{T_{0}^{2}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} :$$

$$= \frac{X^{3} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} \hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\frac{5}{3}a\hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{X^{3}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{5T_{0}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{T_{0}^{2}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} :$$

$$= \frac{X^{3} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} \hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} + \frac{\frac{5}{3}a\hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{X^{3}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} :$$

$$= \frac{X^{3} \frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta_{z}} \hat{n}}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{i}^{2}} \frac{$$

It is easy to see that if the following relation is satis ed, the speed of sound squared becomes positive:

$$\frac{X^{3}}{\log z} \frac{\text{@m}_{i}}{\text{@z}} = 1 = \frac{5aT^{2}}{3m^{2}_{i}} + \frac{25aT_{0}^{2}(z+1)}{3} \frac{X^{3}}{\log z} \frac{1}{\log z} > 0;$$
 (59)

R eferences

- [1] R. Fardon, A. E. Nelson and N. Weiner, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 10,005 (2004).
- [2] R.D. Peccei, Phys. Rev. D 71, 023527 (2005).

- [3] M. Kawasaki, H. Murayam a and T. Yanagida, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7, 563 (1992).
- [4] G.J. Stephenson, T. Goldman and B.H.J.McKellar, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 13, 2765 (1998); Mod.Phys.Lett.A 12, 2391 (1997).
- [5] P.Gu, X-L.W ang and X-M in. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 68, 087301 (2003).
- [6] D.B.Kaplan, A.E.Nelson, N.Weiner, Phy. Rev. Lett. 93, 091801 (2004).
- [7] V. Barger, D. Marfatia and K. Whisnant, hep-ph/0509163.
- [8] P.H.Gu, X.J.Bi, B. Feng, B.L. Young and X. Zhang, hep-ph/0512076.
- [9] X-J.Bi, P.Gu, X-L.W and and X-M in. Zhand, Phys. Rev. D 69, 113007 (2004).
- [10] P.Gu and X-J.Bi, Phys. Rev. D 70, 063511 (2004).
- [11] P.Q. Hung and H. Pas, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 20, 1209 (2005).
- [12] V. Barger, P. Huber and D. Marfatia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 211802 (2005).
- [13] M. Cirelli and M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and C. Pena-Garay, Nucl. Phys. B 719, 219 (2005).
- [14] X-J.Bi, B. Feng, H. Liand X-M in. Zhang, hep-ph/0412002.
- [15] A.W. Brook eld, C. van de Bruck, D. F. Mota and D. Tocchini-Valentini, astro-ph/0503349.
- [16] R. Horvat, astro-ph/0505507;R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall, S. J. O liver and A. Strum ia, Phys. Lett. B 625, 189 (2005).
- [17] N.W einer and K.Zurek, hep-ph/0509201.
- [18] H. Li, B. Feng, J-Q. X ia and X-M in. Zhang, astro-ph/0509272.
- [19] A.W. Brook eld, C. van de Bruck, D.F. Mota and D. Tocchini-Valentini, astro-ph/0512367.

- [20] P-H.Gu, X-J.Biand X.Zhang, hep-ph/0511027.
- [21] R. Takahashi and M. Tanim oto, hep-ph/0507142.
- [22] R. Fardon, A. E. Nelson and N. Weiner, hep-ph/0507235.
- [23] M. Honda, R. Takahashi and M. Tanim oto, hep-ph/0510018.
- [24] N.A. fishordi, M. Zaldarriaga and K. Kohri, Phys. Rev. D 72,065024 (2005).
- [25] V.F.Mukhanov, H.A.Feldman and R.H.Brandenberger, Phys.Rept.215, 203 (1992).