# G ravitationalm icrolensing of quasar B road Line R egions: the in uence of fractal structures

Geraint F. Lew is & Rodrigo A. Ibata<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of A stronom y, School of Physics, U niversity of Sydney, N SW 2006, A ustralia: gfl@physics.usyd.edu.au <sup>2</sup>O bærvatoire de Strasbourg, 11 R ue de l'U niversite,F-6700 Strasbourg, France: ibata@astro.u-strasbg.fr

11 A pril 2024

# ABSTRACT

Recent models for the emission clouds within the Broad Line Region of quasars suggest that they are due to transient overdensities within an overall turbulent medium. If this were the case, the broad line emission would spatially appear fractal, possessing structure on a range of scales. This paper examines the in uence of such fractal structure when a quasar is microlensed by a population of intervening masses. It is found that while the highest fractal levels can undergo signi cant microlensing magni cation, when these light curves are superimposed to create an emission line prole, the resultant emission line prole remains relatively constant for physicalm odels of the B road Line Region. It is concluded that the detection of the possible fractal structure of B road Line Regions via gravitationalmicrolensing is not practical.

K ey words: gravitational lensing { quasars: em ission lines { quasars: absorption lines

# 1 IN TRODUCTION

W hile quasars are amongst the most lum inous objects in the U niverse, the majority of their emission is generated within a region only parsecs in extent. At cosm obgical scales, such regions are well below the resolving power of even the most powerful telescopes, and so the spatial structure within these inner regions cannot be directly observed and must be inferred by other, more indirect means.

In recent years, a general picture for quasar central regions has emerged, with a hot accretion disk surrounding a superm assive black hole being responsible for the extensive continuum emission characteristic of quasars. Beyond this, on the scale of 1pc, this accretion disk is surrounded by a large population of clouds which are illuminated by the central engine and produce the broad emission lines, with widths of thousands of km s<sup>-1</sup>, also characteristic of quasar spectra<sup>1</sup>.

Initial studies of the Broad Line Region (BLR) were based on simple ionisation models, with predicted BLR sizes of 0.1 to a few parsecs in extent (e.g. Davidson & Netzer 1979). Recent studies, utilising reverberation mapping to measure the scale of the BLR, however, have found evidence for a smaller BLR, more than an order of magnitude smaller than suggested by ionisation models (P eterson, C renshaw, & M eyers 1985).Furtherm ore, W andel, P eterson, & M alkan (1999) and K aspiet al. (2000) have demonstrated that the size of the BLR scales with quasar lum inosity, with  $R_{BLR}$  /  $L^{0:7}$ , and BLRs were also found to possess signicant ionisation stratication, with high-ionisation lines arising in a region an order of magnitude smaller than low – ionisation lines.

The origin of the BLR has proved problem atic to understand, with suggestions that the em itting m aterial could be the debris from star-disk collisions at the heart of the quasar (Zurek, Siem iginowska, & Colgate 1994; Arm itage, Zurek, & Davies 1996), supernova explosions within quasar out ows (Pittard et al. 2001, 2003), or even the bloated atm ospheres of irradiated stars (A lexander & N etzer 1997). However, the BLR must possess signicant substructure, with line emission arising from dense clouds embedded in a lower density medium (Capriotti, Foltz, & Byard 1981), with the sm oothness of em ission lines suggesting that there must be of order 10<sup>8</sup> em itting clouds (A rav et al. 1998), effectively ruling out the picture of the BLR being com posed of a relatively sm all num ber of large clouds or bloated stars. Nevertheless, such a situation is physically problem atic, as these clouds should rapidly dissipate, with various mechanism s suggested for their con nem ent [e.g. m agnetic elds Rees (1987)].

Recently, Bottor & Ferland (2001) suggested that the

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  It should be noted that alternative m odels for the source of the broad line em ission exist, including the accretion disk w ind m odel of M urray et al. (1995) which occurs on a considerably sm aller scale.

clouds' within the BLR are not isolated, individual entities embedded within a con ning medium, but rather represented transient knots of higher density within an overall turbulent BLR. O ne conclusion of this study was that the BLR would not appear as a sm oothly-varying em itting region. Instead, the turbulent nature of the BLR would result in the spatialem ission from the region possessing an overall fractal structure.

G ravitational m icrolensing provides an opportunity to See' the structure in the central regions of quasars, utilising di erential magni cation e ects (W am bsganss & Paczynski 1991). Recently, Lew is (2004) demonstrated that small-scale fractal structures within quasars, arising in an x-ray em itting hot corona above the accretion disk, im print them selves on the light curve of a m icrolensed quasar. Hence, this paper considers the in uence of m icrolensing on an extended, fractal BLR. How ever, as this is m ore extensive than the X-ray em itting region, it is im portant to com pare it to the natural scale length for gravitational m icrolensing; the E instein radius. In the source plane, this is given by

$$= 4\frac{GM}{c^2}\frac{D_{\rm ls}D_{\rm os}}{D_{\rm ol}}$$
(1)

where M is the mass of the microlensing body, and D  $_{\rm ij}$  are angular diam eter distances between the observer (o), lens (1) and source (s). For typical cosm ological lensing con gurations, with microlensing stars with mass M  $\,^<\,$  1M  $\,$  , this scale length is < 0:1pc, and is often substantially sm aller. The X-ray emitting region considered in Lewis (2004) is sm all com pared to this scale and hence signi cant m icrolensing m agni cation is expected. As discussed in Lew is & Ibata (2004), how ever, the extensive nature of the BLR ensures it must lie across a substantial portion of the com plex caustic network that is seen at high optical depths, and the e ects of m icrolensing cannot be approximated by the in uence of individual caustic structures. The structure of this paper is as follows; Section 2 describes the num erical approach adopted in this study, while Section 3 discusses the resultant m icrolensing light curves and their statistical properties. The conclusions to this study are presented in Section 4.

# 2 METHOD

#### 2.1 C loud D istributions

The argument that BLRs possess fractal structure was expounded by the turbulence model of Bottor & Ferland (2001), and it is this procedure for de ning a fractal cloud distribution that is utilised in this paper. The fractal structure occupies a region with an overall scale size of  $R_{max}$ . W ithin this radius there are a series of hierarchies whose radius is given by

$$R (h) = R_{max} L^{h}$$
(2)

where h = 0;1 H is the level of the hierarchy, H denotes the maximum fractal hierarchy in the structure and L is a geom etric factor. Each hierarchy possesses N substructures (the multiplicity) and the overall fractal dimension is de ned to be

$$D = \frac{\log N}{\log L} :$$
 (3)



F igure 1.A realisation of a fractalBLR as described in Bottor & Ferland (2001).C learly clum ps and subclum ps can be seen, but the sm allest structures in this picture (  $10^{-6}$ pc) are too sm all to be seen by eye.

To generate a fractal distribution, a region  $R_{max}$  is de ned; this is the zeroth level of the hierarchy with h = 0.W ithin this region, N locations are chosen at random and represent the centres of the rst level of the hierarchy (with h = 1) and assigned a radius given by Equation 2.W ithin each individual subregion, a further N centres are scattered at random and are assigned a radius corresponding to the second level of the hierarchy, with h = 2. The process is continued until the highest level of the hierarchy, h = H is reached; each point in this upper-most hierarchy corresponds to the location of a cloud with a radius given by Equation 2. This procedure results in a total of  $n_{tot} = N^{H}$  clouds being distributed over a region. For the purposes of this study, each of these nalclouds was assumed to have the same lum inoisty, although a more realistic model would probably require a distribution of lum inosities throughout the region.

In considering a realistic model for the BLR Bottor & Ferland (2001) determined the fractal parameters required to reproduce known physical properties of the region, such as its column density and covering factor. These values are employed in this current study, with  $R_{m\,ax} = 5:12R_{BLR}$ , where  $R_{BLR}$  is the nominal radius to the BLR, L = 3:2 and N = 14 (see footnote 2). The maximum hierarchy which will be employed is related to the resolution of the microlensing magnication map, which is discussed in Section 2.2.

In choosing  $R_{BLR}$ , the scale relation between the BLR radius and the lum inosity of the quasar, as derived from reverberation m apping is employed (W andel, Peterson, & M alkan 1999; K aspi et al. 2000). As discussed in the next section, this study will focus on the m icrolensed in ages of

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  In the study of Bottor & Ferland (2001), N = 14:62, and fractal structures could be generated by drawing the number in a particular hierarchy with this being the mean value. Fixing N = 14 does not signi cantly in uence the resulting fractal structure.



F igure 2. The m agni cation m ap em ployed in this study. Representing im age A of the m icrolensed quasar, Q 2237+ 0305, a dim ensionless surface density of = 0:36 and external shear of = 0:41 were em ployed. The units are in Einstein radii for a solarm ass star and the scale of the im age m atches that presented in Figure 1.

the quasar Q 2237+ 0305, which possess an absolute m agnitude of M 26 [accounting for a magnication of 16 (Schmidt, W ebster, & Lew is 1998)]; as shown in (Lew is & Ibata 2004) this corresponds to a  $R_{\rm B\,LR}$  0.05pc for high-ionization em ission (e.g. C IV ) and  $R_{\rm B\,LR}$  0.4pc for low-ionisation em ission (e.g. M g II ). For the purposes of this study,  $R_{\rm B\,LR}$  = 0.1pc, a value between the high and low em ission scale sizes. U sing these parameters, a typical fractal BLR can be generated, with an example presented in Figure 1.

# 2.2 Gravitational M icrolensing

A snoted in Section 1, the substantial size of the BLR in plies that the in uence of gravitational microlensing cannot be approxim ated as a simple point-mass lens or isolated caustic structure. To this end, the backward ray-tracing approach of K ayser et al. (1986) and W am bsganss et al. (1990) was used to generate the magnication map employed in this study.

The macrolensing parameters were chosen to represent Im age A of Q 2237+0305, the most intensively-studied microlensed quasar, with a dimensionless surface mass density of = 0:36 and an external shear of = 0:41; this surface mass density describes the focusing due to matter within the beam of light traversing the galaxy, whereas the shear is the distortion of the beam due to the larger scale distribution of matter (K ayser et al. 1986). All of the mass is distributed in microlenses with masses of 1M . Given that the lensing galaxy in this system possesses a redshift of  $z_1 = 0.0395$ , while the source quasar is at  $z_s = 1.695$ , the E instein R adius (E quation 1) for a solar mass star is  $_0$  0.06pc, adopting the current concordance cosm ology. To accom m odate the model BLR described in Section 2.1, the entire ray trac-

ing region is taken to be 28 E instein radii ( 1:68pc) on a side. The resulting magnication map used in this study is presented in Figure 2.

#### 2.3 Im age pixelation

C learly, the fractal clouds outlined in Section 2.1 possess structure on a range of scales and this must be re ected in the magnication map. Hence the magnication map utilised in this study possesses a pixel scale of 1000 pixels per Einstein Radius, with one pixel physically corresponding to 6  $10^{5}$  pc, and the total map presented in Figure 2 is 28000 pixels on a side. If a pixel in this map represents a single cloud in the fractal BLR, then the maximum hierarchy in the fractal distribution corresponds to H 7 and the total number of clouds in the BLR is  $N_{tot}$  1:5  $10^9$ . Note that the model of Bottor & Ferland (2001) considered a maximum fractal hierarchy of H = 11, with a total 4 10<sup>14</sup> individual clouds; with this, the scale of N<sub>Tot</sub> of each cloud would be 1:4 10 <sup>6</sup>pc and the magnication m ap presented in Figure 2 would have to be  $12 10^6$ pixels along each side, a very challenging prospect. As discussed later, the lower resolution m ap adopted here does not signi cantly in uence the conclusions of this paper.

# 2.4 Generating light curves

In typical gravitational m icrolensing problem s, m agni cation m aps are convolved with the surface brightness prole of a preferred source, providing a map from which the microlensing light curve from that source can be drawn. Such a convolution, utilising Fourier transform techniques, was found to be computationally too expensive, given the number of pixels used in the magni cation map. Hence, in this present study, light curves were simply generated by extracting a single colum n of pixels along a magni cation map at the location of a BLR cloud. Sum ming each of these columns for a collection of clouds together then gives the overall light curve of the BLR region of interest. W ith this approach, each individual BLR cloud (the sm allest structure w ithin the entire BLR) has a size of a single pixel in the map, corresponding to 6 10  $^5$  pc.

# 3 RESULTS

Figure 3 presents a series of light curves derived from this analysis. Each panel presents subsections of the fractal hierarchy, from h = 7 in the top-left, consisting of a total of 14 sources), to h = 0 in the bottom -right, with a total of 1.5  $10^9$  clouds. The background of each panel presents a greyscale m ap of the fractal structure (note in h = 7 and h = 6 the structure is too sm all to see). Each subpanel presents the m icrolensing light curve for the fractal hierarchy; the x-axis is in units of E instein radii, whereas the y-axis corresponds to the m agni cation of the region. The expected crossing tim e of an E instein radius in this system (assum ing a transverse velocity of the lens of 600km /s) is

8 yrs (e.g. Lew is & Irw in 1996). The degree of variability changes quite strongly with fractal hierarchy h = 7 displaying the strong variability, with caustic crossings quite sim ilar



F igure 3.Exam ple light curves for the fractal hierarchies presented in this paper, from the smallest (h = 7 top-left) to the largest (h = 0 bottom -right). Each panel presents the cloud distribution (note that the smallest structures are not visible on the scale of these gures), with the resulting light curve arising from the magnication map presented in Figure 2.Each light curve is 1 E instein radii in extent, with the location of the clouds in the gure representing the centre of the position at the centre of the light curve.



F igure 4. The m agni cation distributions for 250 realisations of fractal BLRs combined with the m agni cation m ap displayed in F igure 2.

to point-like sources<sup>2</sup>. Clearly these source sizes at fractal hierarchies of h > 7 are substantially smaller than the fractal structure seen in Figure 2 and hence the resulting light curves for individual sources at this level would appear qualitatively the same as the h = 7 case, although the peak m agnications would be larger. Considering lower values of h, it can be seen that the light curve uctuations are smoothed out, as expected as the source size increases (W am bsganss & Paczynski 1991), while at the base fractal level (h = 0), which considers the entire cloud population, the light curve is quite at.

To exam ine this further, 250 light curve sam ples were generated with di ering realisations of the fractal hierarchy. Figure 4 presents the m agni cation probability distributions for each of the fractal hierarchies in the entire sam – ple. These con m the properties of the light curve seen in Figure 3, with the highest fractal hierarchy displaying m agni cations of 10 20%. This distribution is quite di erent to the lower fractal hierarchies which display typical light curves for sm all sources. Here the m agni cation probability distribution is dom inated by a peak at a m agni cation of 0.5, corresponding to extensive periods of dem agni cation in the light curve, with a high m agni cation tail representing the periods of caustic crossing (W am bsganss 1992; Lew is & Irw in 1995).

A simple interpretation of this result, therefore, is that if BLRs do indeed possess the fractal structure outlined, then, on the whole, they should not be signi cantly m agnied by gravitational m icrolensing. W hile this is true, what it actually im plies is that the total ux in the em ission line will not vary as the quasar is m icrolensed, but it m ust be rem em bered that as well as spatial structure, BLR s also possess kinem atic structure which is responsible for the width

# Microlensing of Fractal BLRs 5

of the emission line [see earlier studies by Nemiro (1988) and Schneider & W am bsganss (1990)].

As noted in Bottor & Ferland (2001), however, the fractal BLR model they present is purely geometrical and does not consider the kinem atic aspects of the BLR . For the purposes of this paper, a toy m odel for the kinem atic properties is considered, with clouds of a particular fractal hierarchy assigned a velocity drawn from a Keplerian distribution with random projections (i.e. all clouds at a particular fractalhierarchy and above are assigned the same projected velocity). This velocity structured BLR was then m icrolensed and the light curves sum m ed into kinem atic bins. The results of this exercise are presented in Figure 5; the left-hand panels present the em ission line pro le, com prised of 1000 velocity elem ents, whereas the right-hand panel presents the light curves for sam pled values of the velocity elem ents over one Einstein radius. The spread in the emission line pro le represents the superposition of all emission lines along the one E instein radius. The pairs of panels arranged vertically represent the the various velocity m odels; i.e. in the h = 7model, each of the 14 clouds within the highest fractal hierarchy are assigned the sam e K eplerian velocity, for h = 6, 14<sup>2</sup> within the second highest are assigned the same Keplerian velocity, etc. C learly, the h = 7 em ission line pro le displays very little variability. Even at this high resolution, each velocity element must be the sum of of the light curves of m any sm all sources, averaging out to give an overall at light curve. In moving to lower fractal hierarchies, the em ission line pro les display more and more variability. How ever, it is clear that bulk motions of the lowest fractal hierarchies result in very \choppy" structure, with the h = 2 em ission line breaking down into a series of spikes. The sm oothness of observed emission lines suggest that such a situation is unphysical (e.g. A rav et al. 1998) and hence the kinem atic properties must be de ned by the higher fractal hierarchies. It can be concluded, therefore, that the fractal structure will be virtually in possible to detect by studying the em ission line pro les ofm icrolensed quasars.

# 4 CONCLUSIONS

The nature of the broad line emission regions of quasars has remained a subject of discussion for a number of years, with recent models suggesting that the emitting clouds are temporary density enhancements in an overall turbulent medium. Such a scenario predicts that these density enhancements should have a fractal distribution of structures. This paper has investigated the in uence of gravitational microlensing on this extensive fractal structure, noding that di erent levels of the fractal structure undergo di ering m agni cations, with the smaller sections of the substructure suffering stronger magni cation. It was found, how ever, that while individual clouds in the fractal hierarchy displayed quite dram atic variability due to microlensing, the com bination of light curves from the entire population of clouds within the BLR resulted in an overall constant light curve.

W hile the overall m agni cation of the BLR appears to be constant, m ore signi cant m agni cation of substructures could be apparent when exam ining the form of the em ission line pro le, i.e. substructures within the BLR could possess coherent velocities and hence m ay contribute to a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The slight fuzz visible in the light curve is due to low -level num erical noise in the m agni cation m aps. Its presence does not in uence the results of this study.



F igure 5. The emission line prole for the toy kinematic models presented in this paper. The left-hand panel presents the emission line prole for the appropriate bulk motion of the fractal structure. The right-hand panel presents the light curve for various portions of the lines, colour-coded to match the wavelengths presented in the left-hand panels. In this gure, the total fractal hierarchy is the same for all fram es and the h values denote the hierarchy at which the bulk velocity has been assigned; i.e. in the top panel with h = 2, each h = 2 has been assigned to each higher fractal level within that h = 2 structure. Hence the h = 7possesses more kinematic diversity that h = 2, resulting in the overall sm oothness of the resulting emission line.

particular velocity within the emission line. This paper considered a simple Keplerian velocity structure for the em ission line clouds, assigning a particular velocity to all fractal hierarchies below a particular level. The result of this procedure, however, revealed that coherent velocity structure cannot apply to the lowest levels of the fractal hierarchy as the resultant em ission line pro le is clearly too structured, although dram atic variability is seen throughout the line. Assigning coherent velocity structure to the higher fractal hierarchy does smooth out the form of the emission line, but it also sm ooths out the light curves for each velocity bin. From this it is possible to conclude that while individual structures within a BLR are being microlensed and are undergoing signi cant m agni cations, the extensive nature of the BLR and the requirem ent that the resulting em ission line appears relatively smooth means that microlensing is unlikely to reveal the putative fractal structure of quasar BLRs.

#### REFERENCES

- A bajas C ., M ediavilla E ., M unoz J.A ., Popovic L.C ., O scoz A ., 2002, A pJ, 576, 640
- A lexander T., Netzer H., 1997, MNRAS, 284, 967
- A rav N ., Barlow T . A ., Laor A ., Sargent W . L . W ., B landford R . D ., 1998, M N R A S, 297, 990
- Arm itage P.J., Zurek W .H., Davies M.B., 1996, ApJ, 470, 237
- Bottor M , Ferland G , 2001, ApJ, 549, 118
- Capriotti E., Foltz C., Byard P., 1981, ApJ, 245, 396
- Davidson K ., N etzer H ., 1979, R vM P, 51, 715
- K aspiS., Sm ith P.S., N etzer H., M aoz D., JannuziB.T., Giveon U., 2000, ApJ, 533, 631

Kayser R., Refsdal S., Stabell R., 1986, A & A, 166, 36

- Lew is G .F ., 2004, M NRAS, 355, 106
- Lew is G.F., Ibata R.A., 2004, MNRAS, 348, 24
- Lew is G . F ., Irw in M . J., 1995, M NRAS, 276, 103
- Lew is G . F ., Irw in M . J ., 1996, M NRAS, 283, 225
- Mediavilla E., et al., 1998, ApJ, 503, L27
- Murray N., Chiang J., Grossman S.A., Voit G.M., 1995, ApJ, 451, 498
- Nem iro R.J., 1988, ApJ, 335, 593
- Peitgen, H.-O., Saupe, D., 1988, The Science of Fractal Im ages, Springer-Verlag (Berlin)
- Peitgen, H.-O., Richter, P.H., 1986, The Beauty of Fractals, Springer-Verlag (Berlin)
- Peterson B.M., Crenshaw D.M., Meyers K.A., 1985, ApJ, 298, 283
- Pittard J.M., Dyson J.E., Falle S.A.E.G., Hartquist T.W., 2003, A&A, 408, 79
- Pittard J.M., Dyson J.E., Falle S.A.E.G., Hartquist T.W., 2001, A&A, 375, 827
- Rees M. J., 1987, MNRAS, 228, 47P
- Schm idt R ., W ebster R . L ., Lew is G . F ., 1998, M N R A S, 295, 488
- Schneider P., W am bsganss J., 1990, A & A, 237, 42
- W am bsganss J., 1992, ApJ, 386, 19
- W am bsganss J., PaczynskiB., 1991, AJ, 102, 864
- W am bsganss J., Paczynski B., Katz N., 1990, ApJ, 352, 407
- W andelA "Peterson B.M., Malkan M.A., 1999, ApJ, 526, 579
- W ayth, R.B., O'D ow d, M., W ebster, R.L., 2005, MNRAS, Accepted, astro-ph/0502396
- Zurek W .H., Siem iginowska A., Colgate S.A., 1994, ApJ, 434, 46