# M ining for norm algalaxies in the First XMM –New ton Serendipitous Source Catalog

A.E.Georgakakis<sup>1,2</sup>, V.Chavushyan<sup>3,4</sup>, M.Plionis<sup>1,3</sup>, I.Georgantopoulos<sup>1</sup>, E.Koulouridis<sup>1,5</sup>, I.Leonidaki<sup>1,5</sup>, A.Mercado<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of A stronom y & A strophysics, N ational O bservatory of A thens, I. M etaxa & V. P avbu, A thens, 15236, G reece

 $^2$ A strophysics G roup, B lackett Laboratory, Im perial C ollege, P rince C on sort Rd , London SW 7 2BZ, UK

<sup>3</sup> Instituto Nacional de Astrofisica Optica y Electronica, AP 51 y 216, 72000, Puebla, Pue, Mexico

<sup>4</sup> Instituto de Astronom ia, Universidad Nacional Autonom a de Mexico, A.P. 70-264, DF 04510, Mexico

<sup>5</sup>A stronom ical Laboratory, D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of P atras, 26500 R io-P atras, G reece

11 A pril 2024

#### ABSTRACT

This paper uses the First XMM -Newton Serendipitous Source Catalog compiled by the XMM-Newton Science Center to identify low-z X-ray selected normal galaxy candidates. Our sample covers a total area of 6 deg<sup>2</sup> to the 0.5-2 keV lim it 10  $^{15}$  erg s  $^{1}$  cm  $^{2}$ . A total of 23 sources are selected on the basis of low X -ray{to{ optical ux ratio  $\log f_X = f_{opt} < 2$ , soft X-ray spectral properties and optical spectra, when available, consistent with stellar than AGN processes. This sample is combined with sim ilarly selected systems from the Needles in the Haystack Survey (Georgantopoulos et al. 2005) to provide a total of 46 unique z < 0.2 X -ray detected norm al galaxies, the largest low -z sample yet available. This is rst used to constrain the normalgalaxy log N log S at bright uxes (10<sup>15</sup> 10<sup>13</sup> erg s<sup>1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>). We estimate a slope of 1:46 0:13 for the cum ulative num ber counts consistent with the euclidean prediction. We further combine our sample with 23 local (z < 0.2) galaxies from the Chandra Deep Field North and South surveys to construct the local X-ray lum inosity function of norm algalaxies. A Schechter form provides a good t to the data with a break at  $\log L_{?} = 41.02^{+0.14} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  and a slope of = 1.76 0.10. Finally, for the sample of 46 systems we explore the association between X-ray lum inosity and host galaxy properties, such as star-form ation rate and stellar mass. We distant the  $L_X$ of the em ission-line system s correlates with H lum inosity and 1.4 G H z radio power, both providing an estim ate of the current star-form ation rate. In the case of early type galaxies with absorption line optical spectra we use the K -band as proxy to stellar mass and nd a correlation of the form  $L_X / L_K^{1:5}$ . This is atter than the  $L_X$ LB relation for local ellipticals. This may be due to either  $L_K$  providing a better proxy to galaxy mass or selection e ects biasing our sample against very lum inous early-type galaxies,  $L_X > 10^{42}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>.

Keywords: Surveys { X-rays: galaxies { X-rays: general

#### 1 IN TRODUCTION

The launch of the XMM -Newton and the Chandra m issions has opened new opportunities in the study of the X -ray properties of norm algalaxies. A part from targeted observations of local system s, surveys perform ed by these telescopes have allowed, for the rst time, study of norm algalaxies at X -ray wavelengths outside the nearby U niverse, thus opening the way for evolutionary investigations. Stacking analysis studies using these m issions for example, have provided constraints on the mean X -ray properties ( $L_X$ , hardness ratios,  $L_X = L_B$ ) of star-forming systems over the redshift range z = 0.1 3 (Hornschem eier et al. 2002; N andra et al. 2002; G eorgakakis et al. 2003a, b; Laird et al. 2004). The stacking analysis results from the above independent studies when com bined together are consistent with lum inosity evolution

<sup>?</sup> em ail: a georgakakis@ im perial.ac.uk

of the form  $(1 + z)^3$  at least to z 1.5 (e.g. Georgakakis et al. 2003a, b).

The X -ray stacking analysis provides inform ation on the m ean properties of system s that are too X -ray faint to be individually detected. A major breakthrough how ever, of the XMM -Newton and the Chandra m issions has been the detection of norm al galaxies at low and moderate redshifts. Bauer et al. (2002) and A lexander et al. (2002) studied the radio and mid-infrared properties of X-ray sources in the Chandra Deep Field (CDF) North and argue that systems with X -ray em ission dom in a ted by starburst activity are detected in this eld out to z 1. Homschem eier et al. (2003) dem onstrated that m ore quiescent norm algalaxies with X ray{to{optical ux ratio  $\log f_X = f_{opt} < 2$  and a median 03 not only constitute a non-negligible com redshift z ponent of the X-ray source population in the CDF-North but are also likely to outnum ber AGNs at uxes below  $f_x$  (0:5 2 keV) 10 <sup>17</sup> erg s <sup>1</sup> cm <sup>2</sup>. Norm an et al. (2004) extended the Homschem eier et al. (2003) study and identied over 100 starburst/quiescent galaxy candidates to z 1 in the combined CDF-North and South albeit with optical spectroscopy limited to a fraction of them . These authors estim ate the X-ray lum inosity function of norm algalaxy candidates and nd evidence for X-ray evolution of the form  $/(1 + z)^3$  to z 1, i.e. sim ilar to that inferred from other wavelengths (e.g. Hopkins 2004 and references therein).

Parallel to the studies above focusing on distant sources (z > 0.3), there have also been e orts to com pile X -ray selected norm algalaxy samples at low redshifts z < 0.2. This is essential to complement the deep CDF studies and to provide a comparison sample in the nearby Universe. Georgakakis et al. (2004) and Georgantopoulos, Georgakakis & Koulouridis (2005) used public XMM -Newton data overlapping with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Stoughton et al. 2002; York et al. 2000) to identify norm al galaxies at a median redshift of about 0.07 over an  $11 \deg^2$  area. This dataset, the Needles in the Haystack Survey, has been used to constrain the galaxy log N logS at bright uxes, estimate the X-ray lum inosity function of absorption and em ission line galaxies separately and to assess their contribution to the diuse X-ray background under dierent evolution scenarios. A similar study using the HRI detector onboard ROSAT has been performed by Tajer et al. (2005) aim ing to determ ine the number counts of low Xray {to {optical ux ratio system s (log  $f_x = f_{opt} < 1$ ) at very bright uxes (10  $^{14}$  10  $^{12}$  erg s  $^{1}$  cm  $^{2}$ ). More recently, Homschem eier et al. (2005) explored the X-ray properties of z 0:1 galaxies identi ed in public Chandra pointings overlapping with the SDSS. They use this sample to investigate the link between X -ray lum inosity, host galaxy starform ation rate (SFR) and stellar mass. These relations are consistent with a picture where the X -ray em ission arises in a com bination of low - and high-m ass X -ray binaries as well as hot gas interstellar m edium .

D espite signi cance progress, the number of X -ray selected norm al galaxies at low -z (e.g. z < 0.2) remains sm all ham pering statistical studies. In this paper we further expand existing sam ples using as a resource the 1st X M M -N ewton Science C enter. Our aim is to demonstrate the power of this unique and continuously expanding database and how it can be exploited to advance our under-

standing of the properties of X -ray selected norm al galaxies. A mong others, such a sam ple can provide a tight anchor point for comparison with deeper surveys probing on average higher-z, calibrate the relation between SFR diagnostics and X -ray lum inosity and im prove our understanding of the association between host galaxy properties (e.g. stellar m ass) and X -ray em ission. Throughout this paper we adopt  $H_{\circ} = 70 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{M pc}^{-1}$ ,  $_{\rm M} = 0.3 \, \text{and} = 0.7$ .

#### 2 SAMPLE SELECTION AND OBSERVATIONS

# 2.1 The X-ray data

In this paper we use the F irst XMM –N ewton Serendipitous Source C atalogue (1XMM; version 1.0.1) constructed by the XMM –N ewton Survey Science C entre (SSC $^{Y}$ ) and released on 2003 A pril7th.This is a huge database comprising source detections drawn from 585 XMM –N ewton EPIC observations m ade between 2000 M arch 1 and 2002 M ay 5.

After the initial reduction of the raw data and the 1tering of the high particle background periods the resulting event les are used to construct in ages in 5 energy bands: 02{05,05{2.0,2.0{4.5,4.5{7.5 and 7.5{12.0 keV. The initial source detection is performed simultaneously on the 5 energy bands above using the eboxdetect task of SAS. The detected sources are passed on to the SAS task emldetect to assess their reliability and to determ ine various source param eters by tting the instrum ental point spread function (PSF). The source position was t simultaneously on all input in ages, whereas the source count rates are left to vary independently in each im age. Emldetect estimates count rates corrected for vignetting, losses due to inter-chip gaps, bad pixels/columns, events arriving during readout tim es and the extended PSF. Parallel to the source count rate the emldetect task also outputs the detection likelihood for each source providing an estimate of the probability of spurious detection. W e convert count rates to ux assuming a power-law spectral energy distribution with index = 1:8 and G alactic absorption appropriate for each individual XMM - Newton eld. The index = 1:8 is consistent with the median hardness ratio, HR 0:6, of latetype galaxies in our nal sample (see section 3). Using a Raym ond-Sm ith modelw ith a tem perature of 1 keV instead of a power-law, would overestim ate the ux in the 0.5-2 keV band by only about 4 per cent.

In this paper we concentrate on XMM-Newton elds that have the EPIC (European Photon Im aging Camera; Struder et al. 2001; Turner et al. 2001) cameras as the prime instrument with the PN detector operated in full-framem ode and exposure times > 7 ks, to avoid observations that are too shallow to be useful for our purposes. We consider only sources with declination DEC (J2000) > 10 deg to guarantee access from northerm hem isphere telescopes and galactic latitude  $p_{\rm II}$  j > 20 deg to m inim ise contam ination from G alactic stars. We use sources detected on the 0.5{2 keV PN im ages (i.e. we do not consider the M O S1 and 2 CCD s here) at o -axis angles < 14 arcm in, to avoid spurious sources close to the edge of the eld of view.

Y http://xmm ssc-www.star.le.ac.uk/

Finally, in this paper we only consider elds with right ascensions, RA (J2000)> 4 hours, where our spectroscopic follow -up program of norm algalaxy candidates is complete. For systems with RA (J2000) = 0 4 hours optical spectroscopy is in progress. A total of 51 XMM -N ewton elds full the criteria above.

A drawback of the 1XMM catalogue is that there is no areal coverage inform ation providing an estim ate of the surveyed area available at di erent point-source ux lim its. To overpass this issue we determ ine the area curve indirectly by com paring the di erential observed counts (i.e. including any incom pleteness) in the 0.5-2 keV band with the logS from the literature. Here, we adopt expected log N the double-power law param etrisation of Baldiet al. (2002) for the 0.5-2 keV di erential source counts. For the observed counts we use all X-ray sources in the 1XMM catalogue that overlap with the XMM -Newton elds considered in this paper and 0.5-2 keV likelihood probability > 7. The com parison between our uncorrected counts and the Baldiet al. (2002) double-power law is shown in Figure 1. The resulting area curve is plotted in Figure 2. This is increasing to about  $6 \deg^2$  at 10<sup>14</sup> erg s<sup>1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> and then remains alm ost constant. At bright uxes, > 10  $^{13}\,\mathrm{erg\,s}^{-1}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$  , the uncorrected di erential counts in Figure 1 are a ected by (i) sources associated with the prime target of a given XMM -Newton pointing (Galactic stars or QSOs), (ii) sm all num ber statistics and (iii) uncertainties arising from the extrapolation of the Baldi et al. (2002) source counts to these uxes. As a result the area curve above  $10^{-13}$  erg s  $^{1}$  cm  $^{2}$ is unreliable. However, this does not a ect our results since all our norm al galaxy candidates are fainter than 10  $^{13}$  erg s  $^{1}$  cm  $^{2}$  (see section 3).W e also con m that the area curve in Figure 2 is robust using the Needles in the Haystack Survey (Georgantopoulos et al. 2005). For that sam ple we derive the area curve using the method outlined above and compare it with that estimated using the sensitivity maps. We nd excellent agreem ent between the two m ethods suggesting that the area curve in Figure 2 is reliable.

# 2.2 Norm algalaxy selection

The SSC cross-correlates the 1XMM X-ray source positions with various astronom ical catalogues including the U S.N avalO bærvatory C atalog (USNO) version A 2.0. This provides a hom ogeneous platform for the optical identic cation of 1XMM sources. The USNO-A 2.0 catalogue is based on photographic material and provides accurate positions (typical error of 0.25 arcsec) and reasonable B-band photom etric with typical photom etric errors of about 0.25m ag (M onet et al. 2003). This photom etric uncertainty is adequate for this study that identi es horm al' galaxy candidates on the basis of the low X-ray{to{optical ux ratio  $\log f_{\rm X} = f_{\rm opt} < 2.$  This is estimated from the 0.5-2 keV ux  $f_{\rm X}$  (0.5 2 keV) and the USNO-A 2.0 B-band magnitude according to the relation

$$\log \frac{f_X}{f_{opt}} = \log f (0.5 \ 2 \text{ keV}) + 0.4 \text{ B} + 5.29;$$
(1)

The equation above is derived from the X-ray{to{optical ux ratio de nition of Stocke et al. (1991) that involved 0.3-3.5 keV ux and V-band magnitude. These quantities



Figure 1.D i erential source counts in the 0.5-2 keV band.The dots are the dN =dS for all the 1XMM catalogue sources with likelihood probability > 7 that lie on the XMM -N ewton elds considered in this paper.The dashed line is the double power-law t to the 0.5-2 keV counts of B aldiet al. (2002).



Figure 2. Solid angle as a function of  $\lim iting$  ux in the 0.5-2 keV band.

are converted to 0.5-2 keV ux and B -band m agnitude assum ing a mean colour B V = 0.8 and a power-law X-ray spectral energy distribution with index = 1.8. The log  $f_x = f_{opt} = 2$  cuto although minim ises the AGN contam ination may exclude from the sample X-ray ultralum inous norm algalaxies such as powerful starbursts (e.g. NGC 3256; 2  $10^{42}$  erg s<sup>1</sup>; M oran, Lehnert & H elfand 1999) or very massive ellipticals ( $L_x > 10^{42}$  erg s<sup>1</sup>; e.g. O'Sullivan, Forbes & Ponm an 2001). Georgantopoulos et al. (2005) argue that in the case of star-form ing galaxies the log  $f_x = f_{opt} = 2$  lim it is not likely to be a major



F igure 3. Cumulative norm al galaxy counts in the 0.5-2 keV spectral band. Filled circles are the combined sample of normal galaxy candidates from the N eedles in the H aystack Survey (N H S; G eorgantopoulos et al. 2005) and the present study. The open circles are the source counts of H omschem eier et al. (2003). The continuous line is the best t to the log N log S at bright uxes from the combined N H S and 1X M M samples. The inlet plot shows the log N log S for the galaxies in the present study only, in comparison with the counts from the N H S

source of incom pleteness, at least for the low-z U niverse. For early type galaxies we use the local E /S0 sam ple of Fabbiano et al. (1992) to estim ate incom pleteness because of the log  $f_X = f_{opt} = 2$  cuto .W e nd that about 20 per cent of the galaxies in that sam ple have log  $L_X = L_B > 2$ , most of which are also lum incus with  $L_X > 10^{42}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>. W e note how ever, that som e AGN contam ination is expected within this X-ray bright subsam ple.

The log  $f_x = f_{opt} < 2$  regime is also populated with G alactic stars. The USN O A 2.0 catalogue does not discrim – inate between optically extended and point-like sources. For this purpose we use the APM scans of the UK ST plates<sup>Z</sup> that provide star/galaxy separation. We further quantify the reliability of the APM star/galaxy classi cation below, using follow -up spectroscopic observations or CCD quality data from the 3rd release of the Sloan D igital Sky Survey (SD SS D R 3), where available.

A total of 102 sources in our 1XMM sample have  $\log f_X$  =f\_{\rm opt} < 2.APM classi es 69 of them point-like and 30 extended (e.g.galaxies) with the remaining 3 having am - biguous classi cation. The latter class is for objects that are assigned di erent types on the red and blue UKST survey plates.

# 2.3 Optical spectroscopic observations

A total of 21 out of 102 sources in our 1XMM sample with  $\log f_X = f_{opt} < 2$  have been observed, 5 of them are unre-

solved in APM with the remaining 16 classi ed galaxy-like. The 5 point-like sources are included in the target list for follow-up spectroscopic observations to explore the reliability of the APM star/galaxy separation.

Low resolution optical spectroscopy was carried out with the M exican 2-m class telescopes of the O bservatorio A stro sico G uillerm o H aro (O A G H ) in C ananea and O bservatorio A stronom ico N acional de San Pedro M artir (O A N SPM ). O bservations with the 2.1-m O A G H telescope were carried out with the Boller & C hivens (B & C h) spectrograph and Landessternwarte Faint O b ject Spectrograph and C am era (LFO SC) Zickgraf et al. (1997).

The B & Ch spectrograph uses a Tektronix TK 1024AB CCD m ounted at the Cassegrain focus giving a pixel scale of approximately 0.45 arcsec. W e use a 2.5 arcsec wide slit and a grating with 150 lines/mm providing a dispersion of 3.5 A pixel<sup>1</sup> and a wavelength resolution of 15 A (' 4 pixels FW HM) over the range  $3800\{7100 \text{ A}$ . The LFO SC is attached to the Cassegrain focus and is equipped with an EEV P 8603 385x578 CCD giving a  $10x6 \text{ arcm in}^2$  eld ofview and an image scale of 1 arcsec. W e used a 3 arcsec wide slit and the G 3 grism giving a wavelength resolution of 18A in the range 4200-9000 A. The observations were carried out during various observing runs between D ecem ber 2003 and M arch 2005.

The observations with the 2.1-m OAN-SPM telescope were carried out with the B & Ch spectrograph equipped with SITe3 (1024x1024 pix) CCD installed at the Cassegrain focus giving a pixel scale of approxim ately 1 arcsec. We use a 2.5 arcsec wide slit and a grating with 300 lines/mm, providing a dispersion of 4.5 A/pix and an e ective instrumental spectral resolution of about 10A (' 2 pixels FW HM) in the wavelength range 3800{8000 A. The observations were carried out during two observing runs in N ovem ber 2003 and February 2004.

Typical exposure times for both the B&Ch and the LFOSC were 1h per target. The observations were reduced following standard procedures using IRAF tasks resulting in wavelength and ux calibrated spectra. Redshifts were determined by visual inspection.Optical spectra of the galaxies from these observations are presented in Appendix 1.0 ur own follow-up spectroscopy is complemented with publicly available data from either the SDSS or the literature.

Out of the 30 sources classi ed extended by APM one is the prime target of the XMM -Newton pointing (NGC 3184) and one has unresolved optical light pro le in the SDSS CCD quality data and therefore is most likely associated with a Galactic star. Of the remaining 28 sources a total of 26 are assigned spectroscopic redshifts from either our own campaign (17) or the literature (9). Two sources in the sample have no redshifts.

From the sources classi ed optically unresolved by the APM a total of 18 have either spectroscopic data from our own campaign or CCD quality star/galaxy separation from the SDSS. All these sources are indeed con med to be G alactic stars suggesting that the APM classi cation is reliable. Finally, all 3 am biguous sources are associated with G alactic stars on the basis of the optical spectra or SDSS photom etric data.

# 3 THE SAMPLE

In the analysis that follows we exclude all G alactic stars on the basis of either optical spectroscopy or the APM /SDSS star/galaxy separation. Our nal norm al galaxy sample comprises a total of 28 sources, 26 spectroscopically identi ed galaxies and 2 sources without spectra classi ed extended by APM .This is presented in Table 1.For completeness we also show the 4 sources with extended (1) or am biguous (3) APM classi cation that turned out to be G alactic stars.

A number of sources in our sample are classied AGNs on the basis of their optical spectroscopic properties. These include source # A 063 which is a BAL-O SO at z = 0.149(Gallagher et al. 1999) and source # A 140 (NGC 4156) suggested to harbor AGN activity by Elvis et al. (1981). Two of the system s for which we obtained optical spectroscopic observations, # A 001 and A 035 (see Fig. A 1), show evidence for broad H emission-line with FW HM v 1600 and  $2000 \,\mathrm{km}$  s  $^1$  respectively. In both cases the measured broad width may be partly due to the low resolution spectrum that does not allow separation of the H from the [N II]6583A.Nevertheless, we conservatively classify these sources AGN. Source # A019 has narrow optical em ission lines but the line ratios [log ([S II] 6716 + 31=H ) 0:4, log([0 III]5007=H ) +0:5; see Fig. A1] place it in the AGN region of the diagnostic diagrams of Kewley et al. (2001) rst introduced by Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich (1981) and Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987). Here we adopt the theoretical lower bound for starburst galaxy em issionline ratios from Kewley et al. (2001; see their Figure 16) to discrim inate between H II and AGN dom inated system s.All these sources are excluded from the analysis. Additionally two of our norm al galaxies (sources # A 106, A 149; see Fig. A 1) are C om a cluster m em bers identied in elds targeting this cluster and are excluded from statistical studies (e.g. log N log S, lum inosity function).

Table 1 presents our sam ple including AGN s.W e list:

1. Identi cation number and the NED name of that source if available.

2, 3. Right ascension and declination of the optical source in J2000.

4.B-band magnitude from the USNO-2 version A 2.0.

5.K -band magnitude from the 2M ASS All Sky Data Release (Skrutskie et al. 1997).E ither the extended or the point source catalogue was used.

 $6.0\,$  set in arcseconds between the X -ray and optical source position.

 $7.0.5{-}2\;keV$  X -ray  $\;ux\;connected\;for\;G\;alactic absorption$  in units of 10  $\;^{14}\;erg\;s^{-1}\;cm^{-2}$  .

8. Hardness ratio using the 0.5-2 keV and the 2-4.5 keV spectral bands corrected for vignetting.

9.X -ray{to{optical ux ratio de ned in equation 1.

10.1.4 G H z radio ux density in m Jy from either the FIRST (Becker et al. 1995; W hite et al. 1997) or the NVSS (C ondon et al. 1998) surveys.

11. Redshift of the source. In the appendix we present the optical spectra of the norm algalaxy candidates in the 1X M M sample obtained at the OAGH and OAN-SPM Mexican telescopes as part of this project.

12.0.5-2 keV X -ray lum inosity in units of ergs<sup>1</sup>. For

the k-correction a power-law spectral energy distribution was adopted with photon index = 1.8.

13.H lum inosity in units of ergs  $^1$ . This is measured from the optical spectra after correcting for intrinsic dust obscuration using the Balmer decrement H = H . For more details see section 6.

14.1.4 GHz radio lum inosity. For the k-correction a power-law spectral energy distribution was adopted with spectral index = 0.8.

15. Classi cation on the basis of the optical spectroscopic observations: H II for starforming galaxies, ABS for absorption line spectra and AGN for systems showing evidence for central black hole accretion. There is no spectrum available for source # 142 with the redshift estimate, show ing both emission and absorption line features, coming from Arp (1977). In the analysis that follows this is assumed to be H II type system.

16.APM star/galaxy separation.

#### 4 THE LOGN LOGS

The inlet plot in Figure 3 presents the log N log S in the 0.5-2 keV band for our norm al galaxy candidates derived using the area curve from section 2.1. The 5 AGNs and the two Com a cluster members in Table 1 are not used in this plot. At faint uxes (< 10  $^{14}$  erg s  $^{1}$  cm  $^{2}$ ) there is fair agreement with the results from the Needles in the Haystack Survey (NHS; Georgakakis et al. 2004; Georgantopoulos et al. 2005) that used norm algalaxies selected in the 0.5-8 keV band. The NHS cum ulative counts are shifted to the 0.5-2 keV energy range assuming = 1:8. At the bright end our counts are elevated com pared to this survey, although still consistent within the 1 uncertainties. This m ay be because of eld-to-eld variations a ecting prim arily the bright ux counts derived from our relatively sm all area survey ( $6 \deg^2$ ). D espite these uncertainties at the bright end, the agreem ent of our log N log S with previous studies suggests that the area curve derived in section 2.1 is robust.

W e improve the statistical reliability of our results by merging our norm algalaxy sample with that from the NHS presented by G eorgantopoulos et al. (2005). A salready m entioned this sample is compiled in the 0.5-8 keV spectral band using public XMM -Newton elds overlapping with the SDSS DR-2.A total of 28 systems over 11 deg<sup>2</sup> are identied. We shift the NHS 0.5-8 keV uxes and area curve into the 0.5-2 keV band adopting = 1.8.A total of 13 overlapping elds in the two surveys are taken into account only once in the area curve calculation. The combined sample covers about 15 deg<sup>2</sup> and com prises a total of 46 unique entries. log S is presented in Figure 3. The di erential The log N horm al' galaxy counts in the 0.5-2 keV of the two combined surveysare tby a power law yielding a slope of 2:46 0:13. This correspond to a slope of 1:46 0:13 for the cum ulative number counts, in agreem ent with the results of Tajer et al. (2005) at bright uxes and Homschem eier et al. (2003;

 $1.46^{+0.28}_{-0.30})$  at the faint end, the latter sample based on the same selection criteria used here (log  $f_X$  =f\_{opt} < -2).

σ

ID	$\alpha_{opt}$	$\delta_{opt}$	B	K	$\delta_{XO}$	$f_X(0.5 - 2 \text{ keV})$	HR	$\log f_X / f_{opt}$	$S_{1.4}$	z	$\log L_X(0.5 - 2 \text{ keV})$	$\log L_{H\alpha}$	$\log L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$	class	photo
	(J2000)	(J2000)	(mag)	(mag)	('')	$(10^{-14} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2})$			(mJy)		$( erg s^{-1} )$	$(erg s^{-1})$	$(W Hz^{-1})$		type
A014	$23 \ 04 \ 01.24$	$+03\ 15\ 18.69$	13.2	12.54	1.4	$3.25 \pm 0.33$	$-0.61 \pm 0.06$	-2.91	-	-	-	-	-	NONE	GAL
A169	$22 \ 28 \ 04.30$	$-05\ 17\ 51.32$	16.6	15.24	1.4	$0.31 \pm 0.05$	$-0.38 \pm 0.13$	-2.57	—	-	-	_	-	NONE	GAL
A053	$20 \ 39 \ 50.00$	$-00\ 59\ 27.54$	12.2	9.55	0.1	$1.84 \pm 0.24$	$-0.92 \pm 0.05$	-3.56	—	0.000	_		-	STAR	STAR/GAL
A054	$20 \ 39 \ 48.41$	$-00\ 56\ 44.86$	15.2	12.48	1.5	$0.46 \pm 0.12$	$-1.00 \pm 0.30$	-2.96	-	0.000	-		-	STAR	STAR/GAL
A173	$15 \ 04 \ 22.23$	$+47 \ 41 \ 12.39$	15.4	13.08	3.0	$0.64 \pm 0.11$	$-1.00 \pm 0.08$	-2.74	9.53	0.093	41.14		23.35	ABS	GAL
A074	$13 \ 31 \ 04.83$	+24 24 06.84	13.9	< 14.47	3.8	$0.40 \pm 0.07$	$-0.60 \pm 0.10$	-3.54	< 1.00	0.028	39.86	40.73	< 21.26	HII	GAL
A076	$13 \ 30 \ 36.94$	$+24 \ 22 \ 18.82$	13.8	15.15	1.5	$0.32 \pm 0.07$	$-0.95 \pm 0.13$	-3.68	< 1.00	0.031	39.85	40.67	< 21.36	HII	GAL
A077	$13\ 29\ 57.87$	$+24\ 11\ 37.99$	17.7	14.09	1.6	$0.29 \pm 0.08$	$-1.00 \pm 0.32$	-2.15	< 1.00	0.165	41.33		< 22.93	ABS	GAL
A001	$13 \ 06 \ 10.88$	$+18 \ 03 \ 38.58$	17.8	15.02	1.7	$0.12 \pm 0.03$	$-0.84 \pm 0.17$	-2.49	< 1.00	0.123	40.69	41.15	< 22.65	AGN	GAL
A005	$13 \ 05 \ 58.86$	+17 57 43.62	16.2	13.97	2.1	$0.24 \pm 0.04$	$-0.86 \pm 0.15$	-2.84	< 1.00	0.080	40.57	_	< 22.22	ABS	GAL
A007	$13 \ 05 \ 35.27$	$+18 \ 08 \ 07.34$	18.0	14.05	1.2	$0.16 \pm 0.03$	$-0.96 \pm 0.12$	-2.29	< 1.00	0.149	40.99	_	< 22.83	ABS	GAL
A006	$13 \ 05 \ 20.05$	+17 55 22.68	15.1	13.33	1.0	$0.18 \pm 0.04$	$-0.86 \pm 0.13$	-3.40	< 1.00	0.047	39.97	_	< 21.73	ABS	GAL
A106, MRK 57	12 58 37.25	+27 10 35.39	12.0	14.30	0.9	$0.95 \pm 0.18$	$-0.68 \pm 0.11$	-3.92	< 1.00	0.026	40.18	_	< 21.21	HII	GAL
A149, NGC 4827	12 56 43.59	$+27\ 10\ 43.44$	10.4	11.69	0.6	$3.34 \pm 0.25$	$-0.95 \pm 0.03$	-4.02	11.69	0.024	40.64	_	22.19	ABS	GAL
A171	$12 \ 52 \ 05.43$	$+27 \ 35 \ 47.15$	11.7	12.11	2.2	$0.28 \pm 0.05$	$-0.56 \pm 0.14$	-4.57	< 1.00	0.024	39.57	_	< 21.12	ABS	GAL
A035	$12 \ 49 \ 29.35$	-05 57 51.69	18.4	15.07	2.6	$0.17 \pm 0.05$	$-0.71 \pm 0.27$	-2.11	< 1.00	0.199	41.28	_	< 23.12	AGN	GAL
A033	$12 \ 32 \ 53.13$	$+64\ 08\ 49.74$	14.6	12.80	3.1	$0.35 \pm 0.07$	$-1.00 \pm 0.18$	-3.32	2.96	0.076	40.69	_	22.65	ABS	GAL
A146	$12\ 11\ 17.82$	+39 24 29.23	17.1	_	0.3	$0.45 \pm 0.09$	$-0.40 \pm 0.14$	-2.21	< 1.00	0.022	39.71	39.88	< 21.06	HII	GAL
A140, NGC 4156	$12\ 10\ 49.60$	$+39 \ 28 \ 22.25$	10.1	12.20	0.4	$12.20 \pm 0.19$	$-0.67 \pm 0.01$	-3.58	2.93	0.021	41.11	_	21.50	AGN	GAL
A141	$12\ 10\ 16.62$	$+39 \ 18 \ 17.01$	15.5	12.55	0.4	$1.88 \pm 0.08$	$-0.68 \pm 0.03$	-2.23	< 1.00	0.021	40.29	_	< 21.03	ABS	GAL
A142	$12\ 10\ 13.49$	+39 24 32.68	18.8	14.45	0.8	$0.07 \pm 0.02$	$-0.53 \pm 0.17$	-2.32	< 1.00	0.158	40.69	_	< 22.89	$HII^{a}$	GAL
A003	$11 \ 28 \ 45.86$	$+58 \ 35 \ 37.15$	13.7	< 13.31	3.1	$0.27 \pm 0.06$	$-0.56 \pm 0.19$	-3.78	< 1.00	0.059	40.36	40.89	< 21.94	HII	GAL
A019	$10 \ 44 \ 20.05$	-01 24 24.92	17.6	_	2.7	$0.15 \pm 0.03$	$-0.37 \pm 0.17$	-2.47	< 1.00	0.062	40.16	41.00	< 21.99	AGN	GAL
A048	$10 \ 31 \ 18.94$	+31 05 55.65	16.9	13.84	2.3	$0.16 \pm 0.04$	$-1.00 \pm 0.10$	-2.72	< 1.00	0.095	40.58		<22.39	ABS	GAL
A111, NGC 3222	$10\ 22\ 34.51$	$+19\ 53\ 13.30$	10.1	10.98	3.5	$0.68 \pm 0.11$	$-0.83 \pm 0.08$	-4.83	< 1.00	0.019	39.75		< 20.92	ABS	GAL
A011	$10\ 18\ 31.14$	$+41 \ 26 \ 43.39$	18.1	_	3.8	$0.16 \pm 0.04$	$-0.22 \pm 0.20$	-2.24	< 1.00	0.000	-	_	-	STAR	GAL
A 01 3	$10\ 11\ 17.55$	$+55 \ 38 \ 12.81$	14.9	13.89	3.2	$0.20 \pm 0.04$	$-1.00 \pm 0.28$	-3.44	2.04	0.118	40.87	41.76	22.92	HII	GAL
A002	$10\ 11\ 00.93$	+55 47 09.90	18.1	15.63	1.9	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	$-0.42 \pm 0.18$	-2.47	< 1.00	0.183	40.96	41.79	< 23.04	HII	GAL
A065 MRK 91	$08 \ 32 \ 28.19$	+52 36 21.39	11.6	11.82	1.5	$2.71 \pm 0.26$	$-0.85 \pm 0.04$	-3.63	12.57	0.016	40.19	41.30	21.86	HII	GAL
A063	08 04 30.49	+645952.43	14.3	10.44	1.2	$2.10 \pm 0.15$	$-0.81 \pm 0.04$	-2.66	39.5	0.149	42.09	_	24.43	AGN	GAL
A031	$07 \ 45 \ 50.13$	$+74 \ 32 \ 57 \ 16$	17.3	14.86	1.4	$0.40 \pm 0.07$	$-0.53 \pm 0.12$	-2.18	_	0.000	_	_	_	STAR	STAR/GAL
A044	$06\ 16\ 12.67$	$+78\ 14\ 31.34$	15.9	13.29	1.8	$1.28 \pm 0.25$	$-1.00 \pm 0.15$	-2.24	_	0.067	41.14	—	-	ABS	GAL
A044	$06\ 16\ 12.67$	+78 14 31.34	15.9	13.29	1.8	$1.28 \pm 0.25$	$-1.00 \pm 0.15$	-2.24	_	0.067	41.14	_	-	ABS	GAL

The columns are: 1: identification number and common galaxy name if available; 2: right ascension of the optical source; 3: declination of the optical source; 4: optical *B*-band magnitude; 5: 2MASS *K*-band magnitude; 6: offset in arcsec between the optical and X-ray source position; 7: X-ray flux in the 0.5-2 keV spectral band in units of  $10^{-14} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ; 8: Hardness ratio estimated from the 0.5-2.0 and 2.0-4.5 keV bands; 9: X-ray-to-optical flux ratio; 10: radio flux density; 11: spectroscopic redshift; 12: 0.5-2 keV X-ray luminosity in units of  $\text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ; 13: Ha luminosity in units of  $\text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ; 14: 1.4 GHz radio power in units of W/Hz; 15: classification based on optical spectroscopic properties; 16: APM star/galaxy separation on the basis of the optical light profile.

<sup>a</sup>There is no spectrum available for source #142 with the redshift estimate, showing both emission and absorption line features, coming from Arp (1977). In the analysis that follows this is assumed to be H II type system.

Table 1: The candidate 'normal' galaxy sample.

# 5 THE LUM INOSITY FUNCTION

W e further explore the statistical properties of the norm al galaxy sample by deriving the X-ray lum inosity function (LF) using m ethods that are fully described by G eorgantopoulos et al. (2005). W e estimate both the binned X-ray LF using the technique of Page & Carrera (2000) and the param etric M axim um Likelihood t (Tam m ann, Sandage & Yahil 1979) adopting a Schechter (1976) form for the lum inosity function.

We rst estimate the 0.5-2 keV LF for the 1XMM data alone. Figure 4 plots our results in comparison with those from the combined NHS and CDF data from G eorgantopoulos et al. (2005) shifted to the 0.5-2 keV band assuming = 1.8. There is good agreement within the 1 errors further suggesting that the area curve derived in section 2.1 is adequate for statistical studies. We note that the two sources without spectroscopic redshift estimate are not used in this calculation.

We improve the statistical reliability of our LF estim ates by com bining our sam ple with both the NHS and 23 z < 0.2 galaxies selected in the 0.5-2 keV spectral band from the CDF-N and CDF-S.The CDF-N data are obtained from Homschem eieret al. (2003) by selecting a total of 15 sources with 0.5-2 keV band detection. All of these systems have spectroscopic redshifts available. In the case of the CDF-S we select a total of 8 sources detected in the 0.5-2 keV spectral band with  $\log f_X = f_{opt} < 2$  from the catalogue presented by Rosatiet al. (2001). Spectroscopic (total of 5) or photom etric (total of 3) redshifts are available from Szokoly et al. (2004) and Zheng et al. (2004) respectively. This com bined sample is also split into absorption and emission line systems to explore the LF for dierent galaxy types. Our results are plotted in Figure 5 with the maximum likelihood best-t parameters presented in Table 2, where  $L_{?}$  is the break of Schechter function, is the faint-end slope and ? the norm alisation. In the same table we give the X -ray em issivity (lum inosity per M pc3) estimated by the relation (L) L dL, where (L) is the lum inosity function. ÷. = The 1 errors on L<sub>2</sub> and are estimated from the regions around the best twhere the likelihood function changes by L = 0.5 (e.g. Press et al. 1992). The uncertainties in  $_{?}$  and  $j_x$  are approxim ated by perform ing 200 bootstrap resamples of the data and then estim ating the 68th percentiles around the median. For a Gaussian distribution these correspond to the 68 per cent con dence level.

Figure 5 also compares the X-ray lum inosity function of the com bined 1X M M + N H S + C D F sam ple at a m ean redshift z = 0.087 with the higher-z LF estimates of Norman et al. (2004). These authors used Bayesian statistical analysis to select norm algalaxy candidates in the CDF-North and South and derived the stever X -ray lum inosity function for these systems in two redshift bins with medians z = 0.26 and z = 0.66 respectively. Their z < 0.5 LF in Figure 5 is in fair agreem ent with ours at the faint end but diverges at brighter lum inosities. This may suggest (i) contam ination of the Norm an et al. (2004) sample by AGNs at bright lum inosities, (ii) bias in our sam ple again*s*t X -ray ultra-lum inous system s (e.g.  $L_x > 10^{42}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>) because of the  $\log (f_x = f_{opt}) < 2$  selection or (iii) evolution of the norm algalaxy lum inosity function. In the latter case, the median redshift of the z < 0.5 subsample of Norm an et al.



F igure 4. The local 0.5-2 keV lum inosity function for the present sample of 1X M M galaxies. A lso shown is the maximum likelihood t m ethod for z < 0.2 norm algalaxies from the combined N H S and CDF samples (see G eorgantopoulos et al. 2005) shifted from the 0.5-8 to the 0.5-2 keV band assuming = 1.8.

is  $z_{m edian} = 0.26$  higher than our mean of z = 0.087. For lum inosity evolution of the form  $(1 + z)^{2:7}$  derived by N orm an et al. (2004), a source at z = 0.26 is expected to become about 1.5 times more lum inous relative to z = 0.087. Such a brightening can indeed, partially account for the observed di erences.

In Table 2 we also estimate a 0.5-2 keV X -ray emissivity of (0.24 0.02)  $10^{38}$  and (0.22 0.03)  $10^{38}$  erg s  $^{1}$  M pc  $^{3}$ for em ission and absorption line system s respectively. These values are lower than previous estim ates. For example G eorgakakis et al. (2003b) used stacking analysis to estimate the mean X-ray properties of 2dF galaxies at z 0:1. These authors estimate  $j_x = (0.4 \quad 0.3) \quad 10^{38}$  and (1.2) $10^{38}$  erg s <sup>1</sup> M pc <sup>3</sup> for late and early type galaxies 0:4) respectively. Georgantopoulos, Basilakos & Plionis (1999) convolved the local optical lum inosity function of the Ho, Filippenko & Sargent (1997) sample with the correspond- $L_B$  relation based on E instein data. Their  $j_X$  esing L<sub>X</sub> tim ates scaled to the 0.5-2 keV band are (0:50 0:06) 10<sup>38</sup> erg sec <sup>1</sup> M pc <sup>3</sup> for H II galaxies and (0:53 0:06) $10^{38}$  erg sec  ${}^{1}$  M pc  ${}^{3}$  for passive galaxies. The origin of the discrepancy between the em issivity estim ates presented here and those found in the studies above is not clear. In the case of stacking analysis for example, lum inous system sm ay bias the mean signal toward high values, resulting in an overestim ation of the  $i_k$  . A lthough the m ethod presented here for estimating  $j_x$  is direct and robust, we note that a possible bias in our sample against high  $L_X$  system s m ay result in a system atic reduction of the  $j_{\boldsymbol{X}}$  .

Sam ple	N um ber of sources	m ean red <i>s</i> hift	logL <sub>?</sub> (ergs <sup>1</sup> )		( $\ln(10)$ 10 $\frac{^{2}}{^{4}}$ M pc $^{3}$ dex $^{1}$ )	jx ( 10 <sup>38</sup> ergs <sup>1</sup> M pc <sup>3</sup> )
1XMM/NHS/CDF	67 <sup>?</sup>	0.087	41:02 <sup>+ 0:14</sup> 0:12	1:76 <sup>+ 0:10</sup>	3:4 <sup>+ 2:5</sup> 1:8	0:47 <sup>+ 0:04</sup> 0:04
Em ission	33	0.091	40:65 <sup>+ 0:17</sup> 0:14	1:61 <sup>+ 0:20</sup> 0:17	6:0 <sup>+</sup> 7:3 3:8	$0:24^{+0:02}_{0:02}$
A bsorption	34	0.083	41:25 <sup>+ 0:25</sup> 0:18	1:79 <sup>+ 0:13</sup> 0:14	0:9 <sup>+</sup> <sup>1:1</sup> 0:4	0:22 <sup>+ 0:03</sup>

<sup>7</sup> The 2 sources in the 1XMM sample without redshift determ ination have been excluded from the analysis.

Table 2. The lum inosity function best-t parameters



Figure 5. The 0.5-2 keV lum inosity function for the com bined sample of 1X M M, NHS and CDF z < 0.2 norm al galaxies. The derived m axim um -likelihood ts to the observations are shown with the continuous (full sample), dotted (em ission-line objects) and dashed (absorption-line system s) lines. For clarity the results from the non-parametric method are shown with lled circles only for the full sample. The lum inosity function derived by Norm an et al. (2004) in two redshift bins is plotted for com parison.

# 6 X RAY LUM INOSITY AND HOST GALAXY PROPERTIES

U sing the 1X M  $\rm M$  + N H S sam ple we explore the correlation between 0.5-2 keV X -ray lum inosity and indicators of star-form ation activity. The H  $\,$  lum inosity (L $_{\rm H}$ ) m easured from the optical spectra and the 1.4 G H z radio lum inosity (L $_{1:4}$ ) obtained from either the FIRST or the NVSS surveys are used as proxies to the galaxy SFR . O nly X -ray selected normal galaxy candidates with emission line optical spectra are considered here.

Figure 6 plots  $L_X$  against  $L_{1:4}$  for our sources. Because of the large num ber of upper lim its we do not attempt to t an  $L_X$   $L_{1:4}$  relation to the data. A loo shown in this gure for comparison are the spiral galaxies from Shapley et al. (2001). These authors compiled an optically selected sample of local spirals with X-ray data (detections or upper limits) from the Einstein observatory and  $4.85 \,\text{GHz}$  radio ux densities from the literature. Powerful AGNs in this sample are not plotted in Figure 6. The Einstein X-ray uxes in the 0.2-4 keV band are transform ed to the 0.5-2 keV band assum ing a power-law m odel with a spectral index = 1.8. At

radio wavelengths a power-law spectral energy distribution <sup>0:8</sup>, appropriate for star-form ing galaxof the form f ies, is adopted to convert the 4.85 G H z radio ux density of Shapley et al. (2001) to 1.4GHz. A lso shown in this plot is  $L_{1:4}$  relation of R analli et al. (2003) of the form the  $L_X$  $L_{\rm X}~/~L_{1:4}^{0.88}$  . These authors used ASCA and BeppoSAX data of optically selected star-form ing galaxies classi ed on the basis of high quality nuclear spectra from Ho et al. (1997). M any ofour sources are not detected at 1.4 G H z to the 1 m Jy ux density lim it of the FIRST survey. The upper lim its and detections, although within the scatter of the Shapley et al. (2001) data, appear slightly o set from the Ranalli et al. (2003) best-t relation, particularly for star-form ation yr<sup>1</sup>. This may suggest a contribution from rates < 10 M low-mass X-ray binaries (e.g. old stellar population) to the observed X -ray em ission ofm ore quiescent system s.A larger num ber of radio detections is required to further explore this issue.

In Figure 7 we plot  $L_X$  against H luminosity for em ission-line galaxies in the com bined 1X M M + N H S sam ple only. The latter is measured from the optical spectra after correcting for intrinsic dust obscuration. W e quantify the visual extinction,  $A_{\,\rm V}$  , by com paring the H  $\,$  /H  $\,$  decrem ent with the theoretical (case B recombination) value of 2.86 (Brocklehurst 1971) and a standard reddening curve (Savage & M athis 1979). The technique is ham pered by the poor S/N ratio of some of the spectra and by stellar H absorption that reduces the measured ux of this line. We account for this e ect by applying a correction of 2 A to H , sim ilar to the mean stellar absorption in this line determined for star-form ing galaxies (Tresse et al. 1996; Georgakakis et al. 1999). A sm all num ber of system s in our sam ple have H /H ratios below the theoretical value of 2.86 for case B recombination, suggesting either little dust reddening, stellar H absorption lower than 2A or poor signal-to-noise ratio optical spectra. For these sources we still apply a correction to H for dust obscuration adopting the mean optical extinction of Av = 1 m ag for spiral galaxies determ ined by Kennicutt (1992).

The best-t relation of the form  $L_X / L_H$  with = 0:69 0:06 is plotted in Figure 7. This is estimated using the bisector least square tting described in Isobe et al. (1990). A loo shown is the  $L_X - L_H$  relation for starforming galaxies determined by Zezas (2000) using a total of 43 systems with PSPC ROSAT data, classified as H II on the basis of high quality nuclear optical spectra from H o et al. (1997). The exponent of the Zezas (2000) relation is = 0:62 - 0:11 in good agreement with our determination. There is however a difference in the normalisation. This is most likely because Zezas (2000) uses H - luminosities integrated over the whole galaxy whereas we are measuring this



F igure 6. 0.5-2 keV X -ray lum inosity against radio power. The L<sub>1:4</sub> is converted into SFR on the top horizontal axis using the relation of Bell et al. (2003). The large open squares are the em ission-line galaxies from the 1X M + N H S sam ple. A cross on top of a sym bol is for AG N s. Radio upper lim its are shown with an arrow pointing to the left. The sm all open circles are local spiral galaxies from Shapley et al. (2001). The dashed line is the best t L<sub>X</sub> L<sub>1:4</sub> relation of the form L<sub>X</sub> / L<sub>1:4</sub><sup>+ 0:88</sup> derived by R analli et al. (2003). The dotted lines represent the 1 sigm a rm s envelope around the best t.

line through a slit (or a bre in the case of SD SS data) with typical angular size of 2 arcsec. This width corresponds to a physical scale of about 2 kpc diam eter at the mean redshift of our sample. Extrapolating uxes measured through a slit to integrated values over the whole galaxy is not straightforward and depends on the size of star-form ing regions, their distribution in the galaxy, the position of the slit and the seeing at the time of the observations.

W e further explore the association between 0.5–2 keV X – ray lum inosity and galaxy m ass for absorption-line galaxies in the combined 1X M M + N H S sam ple. The K -band lum inosity is used as proxy to the stellar m ass of the system. Figure 8 plots  $L_X$  against  $L_K$ . Also shown in this gure is the relation between the total X –ray lum inosity of point sources,  $L_{X,P}$ , and the host galaxy  $L_K$  determined by C olbert et al. (2004) using C handra observations of nearby ellipticals. This line shows the mean expected contribution of low -m ass X –ray binaries to the observed em ission at a given K -band lum inosity or galaxy m ass and does not include the X –ray em itting hot gas component.

For absorption-line systems in our sample (excluding AGNs) we adopt a relation of the form  $L_X / L_{_{\rm K}}$  to estimate a bisector exponent = 1.5 0.1. This is attention the slope of 1.8 found by Fabbiano et al. (1992) for the  $L_X - L_B$  relation of local E/S0s and Homschem eier et al. (2005) for the  $L_X$  /stellar-m ass correlation of absorption line galaxies in the SD SS. This apparent discrepancy may be due to the log  $f_X = f_{\rm opt} < 2$  cuto that e ectively excludes from the sample very luminous X-ray selected norm al galaxies. For example about 20 per cent of the Fabbiano et al. (1992) sample have log  $L_X = L_B > 2$ . The vast majority of these



Figure 7. 0.5-2 keV X-ray luminosity against H luminosity corrected for intrinsic dust obscuration for the combined 1X M M + N H S emission-line galaxies. The H is converted into SFR on the top horizontal axis using the relation of K ennicutt (1998). A cross on top of a sym bol is for AGNs. The continuous line shows the bisector least squares t relation of the form  $L_X / L_H$  with = 0:69 0:06. The dotted line is the  $L_X L_H$  relation for star-form ing galaxies of Zezas (2000). A lthough the slopes of the two lines are similar they di er in the norm alisation. This is most likely because Zezas (2000) used H data integrated over the whole galaxy rather than slit spectroscopy used here.

system s are also lum inous with  $L_X > 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ . Excluding E/S0 galaxies with  $\log L_X = L_B > 2$  from that sample we nd a atter slope of 1:5 for the  $L_X - L_B$  relation in agreement with the value estimated here. A lternative the atter  $L_X - L_K$  relation may indicate that the K-band provides a better proxy to galaxy mass. For example, Shapley et al. (2001) used H-band near-infrared data and nd a atter slope for the  $L_X - L_B$  relation of spirals compared to the  $L_X - L_B$  relation for the same system s. A lthough comparing the X-ray properties of ellipticals and spirals is not appropriate it suggests that the atter slope we are estimating may be partly due to the use of near-infrared rather than optical lum inosities.

#### 7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we demonstrate the power of the First XMM – Newton Serendipitous Source Catalog for studies of X –ray selected normal galaxies. Our sample is compiled from X – ray sources detected on XMM –Newton pointings that have (i) EPIC –PN detector as prime instrum ent operated in fullframe mode, (ii) exposure time > 7ks, (iii) declinations DEC (J2000) > 10 deg, (iv) galactic latitude  $j_{DII}j$ > 20 deg and (v) right ascension RA (J2000) > 4 hours. A total of 51 elds full the above criteria, covering a total area of  $6 deg^2$  to the 0.5–2 keV band limit  $f_X$  (0.5 2 keV)

10 <sup>15</sup> erg s <sup>1</sup> cm <sup>2</sup>. The USNO A2.0 catalogue provides a homogeneous

The USNO A2.0 catalogue provides a hom ogeneous platform that allows identication of X-ray selected norm al



F igure 8.0.5-2 keV X -ray lum inosity against K -band lum inosity. The large squares are norm algalaxies in the NHS and 1XMM sam ples with absorption line optical spectra. A cross on top of a sym bol is for sources in our sam ple that show evidence for AGN activity. The continuous line is the  $L_X$   $L_K$  relation of C olbert et al. (2004) which, for a given K -band lum inosity, corresponds to the m ean expected contribution of the point source X -ray binary population to  $L_X$ . The dashed line is the best t relation to absorption line galaxies in our combined NHS+1XMM sam ple.

galaxy candidates on the basis of the low X-ray{to{optical ux ratios of these sources,  $\log f_X = f_{opt} < 2$ . Reliable star/galaxy separation is available from the APM, allowing us to exclude G alactic stars that also have  $\log f_X = f_{opt} < 2$ . O ptical spectroscopy is then used to identify system s that show evidence for AGN activity resulting in a sam ple of 23 norm algalaxy candidates: 9 with narrow emission lines, 12 with absorption lines only and 2 without optical spectroscopic inform ation. Future releases of the XMM -N ewton Serendipitous Source C atalog will provide m uch wider areal coverage resulting in signi cantly larger low -z norm algalaxy sam ples.

At present we increase our sample size by combining it with X-ray selected norm algalaxy candidates from the Needles in the Haystack Survey (Georgantopoulos et al. 2005). This provides a total of 46 z < 0.2 X-ray detected norm algalaxies, the largest low -z sample yet available. Such a large number of sources provides a unique opportunity to constrain the norm algalaxy log N log S at bright uxes (10<sup>15</sup> 10<sup>13</sup> erg s<sup>1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>). We estimate a slope of 1.46 0.13 consistent with the euclidean prediction and in agreem ent with previous determ inations (Homschem eier et al. 2003; Tajer et al. 2005).

O ur enlarged sam ple is further combined with 23 local (z < 0.2) galaxies from the C handra D eep Field N orth and South surveys to construct the local X -ray lum inosity function of norm algalaxies. This is twith a Schechter function with a break at  $\log L_{?} = 41.02^{+0.14} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  and a slope of = 1.76 0.10. The large sam ple size allows us to also estimate the lum inosity function of em ission and absorption line system s separately and to provide the m ost accurate es-

tim ates of the 0.5-2 keV X -ray em issivity of these system s in the nearby U niverse. We end  $j_x = (0.24 \ 0.02) \ 10^{38}$  and  $(0.22 \ 0.03) \ 10^{38}$  erg s  $^1$  M pc  $^3$  for em ission and absorption line system s respectively. We note that these are lower than previous (less direct) estim ates based on stacking analysis (e.g. G eorgakakis et al. 2003b) or optically selected local galaxies (G eorgantopoulos et al. 1999).

Finally, for the combined sample of 46 systems we explore the association between X-ray lum inosity and host galaxy properties, such as SFR and stellar mass. W e use the 1.4 G H z radio and H lum inosities as star-form ation indicators.W e nd that the  $L_X = L_{1:4}$  relation for our sources is consistent with that determ ined by Ranalli et al. (2003) for the local U niverse. For the  $\log L_X$ log L<sub>H</sub> correlation we estimate a slope of 0:7 in fair agreement with previous results (Zezas 2000). The above relations suggest that the X-ray lum inosity in the em ission-line galaxies in our sam ple is directly related to the current star-form ation activity as m easured by the 1.4 G H z radio and H lum inosities. For the early type galaxies in the sam ple show ing absorption optical lines only we use the K -band as proxy to their stellar m ass and nd a linear correlation between  $\log L_X$  and  $\log L_K$  with a slope of 1.5. This is atter than the slope of 1.8 for the  $L_X$   $L_B$  relation for local ellipticals of Fabbiano et al. (1992) or the Lx /stellar-m ass correlation for absorption line galaxies in the SD SS determ ined by Homschem eier et al. (2005). This may be due to a possible bias in our sample against very lum inous galaxies,  $L_X > 10^{42}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, likely introduced by the low X-ray {to {optical ux ratio selection. A lternatively, this may indicate that the K -band provides a better representation of the galaxy mass.

# 8 ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e thank the anonym ous referee for valuable com m ents that signi cantly im proved this paper. AG acknow ledges funding by the European Union and the G reek M inistry of D evelopm ent in the fram ework of the program m e Promotion of Excellence in Technological D evelopm ent and R esearch', project X -ray A strophysics with ESA's m ission XMM'.W e acknow ledge the use of data from the XMM -Newton Science A rchive at V ILSPA.VC acknow ledges the CONACYT research grant 39560-F.

Funding for the creation and distribution of the SD SS A rchive has been provided by the A lfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Aeronautics and Space Adm inistration, the National Science Foundation, the U.S.D epartm ent of Energy, the Japanese M onbukagakusho, and the M ax P lanck Society. The SD SS W eb site is http://www.sdss.org/. The SDSS is managed by the A strophysical Research Consortium (ARC) for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are The University of Chicago, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, The Johns Hopkins University, Los A lam os National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronom y (M PIA), the M ax-Planck-Institute for A strophysics (M PA), New M exico State University, University of Pittsburgh, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory, and the University of W ashington.

# APPENDIX A:

In this appendix we present the optical spectra of the normalgalaxy candidates in the 1XMM sam ple obtained at the OAGH and OAN-SPM Mexican telescopes as part of this project.

# REFERENCES

- A lexander D ., et al., 2003, A J, 126, 539
- A lexander D . M ., A ussel H ., B auer F . E ., B randt W . N ., H omschem eier A . E ., V ignali C ., G arm ire G . P ., Schneider D . P ., 2002, A p J, 568L, 85
- Anp H., 1977, ApJ, 218, 70
- BaldiA , M olendiS., Com astriA , Fiore F , M att G , V ignaliC , 2002, ApJ, 564, 190
- Baldwin J.A., Phillips M.M., Terlevich R., 1981, PASP, 93, 5
- B auer F.E., A lexander D.M., B randt W.N., H ornschem eier A. E., V ignali C., G arm ire G.P., Schneider D.P., 2002, A J, 124, 2351

Becker R.H., W hite R.L., & Helfand D.J., 1995, ApJ, 450, 559

- BellE.F., 2003, ApJ, 586, 794
- Brocklehurst M., 1971, MNRAS, 153, 471
- Condon J.J., Cotton W.D., Greisen E.W., Yin Q.F., Perley R. A., Taylor G.B., & Broderick J.J., 1998, AJ, 115, 1693
- Colbert E.J.M., Heckm an T.M., Ptak A.F., Strickland D.K., W eaver K.A., 2004, ApJ, 602, 231
- Elvis M., Schreier E.J., Tonry J., Davis M., Huchra J.P., 1981, ApJ, 246, 20
- Fabbiano, G., Kim, D.W., Trinchieri, G., 1992, ApJS, 80, 531
- Gallagher S.C., Brandt W.N., Sambruna R.M., Mathur S., YamasakiN., 1999, ApJ, 519, 549
- Georgakakis, A E ., Georgantopoulos, I., Basilakos, S., Plionis, M ., Kolokotronis, V ., 2004, M NRAS, 354, 123
- Georgakakis A., Hopkins A.M., Sullivan M., A fonso J., Georgantopoulos I., M obasher B., Cram L.E., 2003a, MNRAS, 345, 939
- Georgakakis A., Georgantopoulos I., Stewart G.C., Shanks T., Boyle B.J., 2003b, MNRAS, 344, 161
- Georgakakis A., Mobasher B., Cram L., Hopkins A., Lidman C., Rowan-Robinson M., 1999, MNRAS, 306, 708
- G eorgantopoulos I., G eorgakakis A ., K oulouridis E ., 2005, M N RAS, in press, astro-ph/0503494
- G eorgantopoulos I., B asilakos S., P lionis M., 1999, M N R A S, 305, L31.
- HoL.C., FilippenkoA.V., SargentW., 1997, ApJS, 112
- Hopkins, A., 2004, ApJ, 615, 209
- Hornschem eier A.E., Heckman T.M., Ptak A.F., Tremonti C. A., Colbert E.J.M., 2005, AJ, in press, astro-ph/0410669
- Hornschem eier A.E. et al., 2003, AJ, 126, 575

Hornschem eier A.E., Brandt W.N., Alexander D.M., Bauer F. E., Garm ire G.P., Schneider D.P., Bautz M.W., Chartas G., 2002, ApJ, 568, 82.

- Isobe T , Feigelson E . D , A kritas M . G , B abu G . J., 1990, A pJ, 364, 104
- Kennicutt R.C.Jr., 1998, ARA & A, 189
- Kennicutt R.C.Jr., 1992, ApJ, 388, 310
- Kewley L.J., Dopita M.A., Sutherland R.S., Heisler C.A., Trevena J., 2001, ApJ, 556, 121
- Laird E.S., Nandra K., A delberger K.L., Steidel C.C., Reddy N.A., MNRAS, in press, astro-ph/0501411
- M onet D .G ., et al., 2003, A J, 125, 984
- N andra K , M ushotzky R . F , A maud K , Steidel C . C , A delberger K . L , G ardner J. P , Teplitz H . I., W indhorst R . A , 2002, ApJ, 576, 625
- Norm an C., et al., 2004, ApJ, 607, 721
- Page, M J. & Carrera, F J. 2000, M N R A S, 311, 433

Ranalli, P., Com astri, A. Setti, G., 2003, A & A , 399, 39

- Savage B.D., & M athis J.S., 1979, ARA & A, 17, 73
- Shapley A., Fabbiano G., Eskridge P.B., 2001, ApJS, 137, 139
- Schechter, P., 1976, ApJ, 203, 297
- Skrutskie M .F.et al., 1997, conf.proceedings on \The Im pact of Large Scale Near-IR Sky Surveys", eds.F.G arzon et al., p. 25.,K huwer A cadem ic Publishing C om pany
- Stocke, J.T . et al., 1991, 76, 813
- Stoughton C ., et al., 2002, A J, 123, 485.
- Struder L., BrielU., DennerlK., et al. 2001, A & A, 365, L18.
- Szokoły G.P., et al., 2004, ApJS, 155, 271
- Tajer M ., Trinchieri G ., W olter A ., Campana S ., M oretti A ., Tagliaferri G ., 2005, A & A , in press, astro-ph/0412588
- Takeuchi, T.T., Yoshikawa, K.I., Takako T., 2003, ApJ, 587, L89
- Tam m ann, G A ., Yahil, A ., Sandage, A ., 1979, ApJ, 234, 775
- Tresse L., Rola C., Ham m er F., Stasinska G., Le Fevre O., Lilly S.J., C ram pton D., 1996, M N R A S, 281, 847
- Tumer M . J. L ., Abbey A ., A maud M ., et al., 2001, A & A , 365, L27.
- Veilleux S. & Osterbrock D. E., 1987, ApJS, 63, 295
- W hite R.L., Becker R.H., Helfand D.J., & Gregg M.D., 1997, ApJ, 475, 479
- York D.G., et al., 2000, AJ, 120, 1579
- Zezas A., 2000, PhD Thesis, University of Leceister
- Zheng W ., et al., 2004, ApJS, 155, 73Z
- Zickgraff J., Thiering I., K rautter J., et al. 1997, A & A S, 123, 103



F igure A1. Optical spectra of galaxy candidates in the 1XMM sample obtained at the OAGH and OAN-SPM M exican telescopes. Sources A001, A035 and A019 are classi ed AGN.



