

GEV-TeV AND X-RAY FLARES FROM GAMMA-RAY BURSTS

XIANG-YU WANG^{1,2}, ZHUO LI³ AND PETER MÉSZÁROS^{1,4}

ABSTRACT

The recent detection of delayed X-ray flares during the afterglow phase of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) suggests an inner-engine origin, at radii inside the deceleration radius characterizing the beginning of the forward shock afterglow emission. Given the observed temporal overlapping between the flares and afterglows, there must be inverse Compton (IC) emission arising from such flare photons scattered by forward shock afterglow electrons. We find that this IC emission produces GeV-TeV flares, which may be detected by GLAST and ground-based TeV telescopes. We speculate that this kind of emission may already have been detected by EGRET from a very strong burst—GRB940217. The enhanced cooling of the forward shock electrons by the X-ray flare photons may suppress the synchrotron emission of the afterglows during the flare period. The detection of GeV-TeV flares combined with low energy observations may help to constrain the poorly known magnetic field in afterglow shocks. We also consider the self-IC emission in the context of internal-shock and external-shock models for X-ray flares. The emission above GeV from internal shocks is low, while the external shock model can also produce GeV-TeV flares, but with a different temporal behavior from that caused by IC scattering of flare photons by afterglow electrons. This suggests a useful approach for distinguishing whether X-ray flares originate from late central engine activity or from external shocks.

Subject headings: gamma-ray: bursts—radiation mechanisms: non-thermal

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the key findings from the recently launched *Swift* satellite is the common presence of X-ray flares in the early afterglows of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) (e.g. Burrows et al. 2005a,b; Zhang et al. 2005; Nousek et al. 2005; O’Brien et al. 2006). The flares typically occur at hundreds of seconds to hours after the trigger, but in some cases days after the trigger. The amplitude of the X-ray flare can be a factor of $\lesssim 500$ for GRB050502B (Burrows et al. 2005; Falcone et al. 2005), and in most cases a factor 3–10, compared with the background afterglow component. Delayed GeV photons (~ 1.5 hours after the burst) have been detected from GRB940217 by the EGRET, including one 18 GeV photon (Hurley et al. 1994). Motivated by the similarity between this time delay in the GeV emission and that in the recent X-ray flares, here we study the high energy signatures produced by the inverse Compton (IC) scattering of the X-ray flare photons.

The rapid rise and decay behavior of some flares suggests that they are caused by internal dissipation of energy due to late central engine activity (e.g. Burrows et al. 2005a, Fan & Wei 2005, Zhang et al. 2005; Wu et al. 2005). The observed overlapping in time between flares and afterglows indicates that the forward shock electrons which produce afterglows (Mészáros & Rees 1997) are being exposed to these inner flare radiation, and must produce IC emission by scattering the flare photons⁵. This process is expected

to occur whether the X-ray flares are produced by internal shocks or by other internal energy dissipation mechanisms, as long as they occur inside the inner edge of the forward shock region.

2. IC SCATTERING OF X-RAY FLARE PHOTONS BY FORWARD SHOCK ELECTRONS

We consider an X-ray flare of duration δt superimposed upon an underlying power law X-ray afterglow around time $t = 10^3 t_3$ s after the burst, as observed in GRB050502B. The X-ray afterglow is produced by a forward shock with energy of $E = 10^{52} E_{52}$ erg expanding in a uniform interstellar medium with $n = 1 n_0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. For GRB050502B, the prompt burst fluence is $8 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$. Assuming an efficiency of $\sim 10\%$ for the prompt gamma-ray emission, the blast wave has an energy about $E = 10^{52} \text{ erg}$ (for a burst distance of $D = 10^{28} \text{ cm}$.) The X-ray flare occurs around $t = 10^3$ s with a total fluence of $9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$ (Burrows et al. 2005a, Falcone et al. 2005).

From energy conservation of the adiabatic shock and $R = 4\Gamma^2 ct$ (Waxman 1997), we can get the Lorentz factor and radius of the afterglow shock, i.e. $\Gamma \simeq 30(E_{52}/n_0)^{1/8} t_3^{-3/8}$ and $R \simeq 10^{17} (E_{52} t_3 / n_0)^{1/4} \text{ cm}$. From $R^2 \Gamma^2 U'_X c = D^2 F_X$, we have the energy density of the X-ray flare photons in the forward shock frame $U'_X = D^2 F_X / (\Gamma^2 R^2 c)$, where F_X is the observed flare flux. This energy density is larger than the magnetic energy den-

¹Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802; xywang@astro.psu.edu, nnp@astro.psu.edu

²Department of Astronomy, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

³Department of Condensed Matter Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel; lizhuo@wisemail.weizmann.ac.il

⁴Center for Gravitational Wave Physics and Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802

⁵Similar processes have been studied, such as the IC scattering between the reverse shock photons (electrons) and forward shock electrons (photons) (Wang et al. 2001), the IC scattering of prompt MeV photons of GRBs by reverse shock electrons (Beloborodov 2005) and by afterglow electrons (Fan et al. 2005).

sity ($B^2/8\pi$) in the forward shock comoving frame when $F_X > 10^{-10} \epsilon_{B,-2} E_{52} t_3^{-1} D_{28}^{-2} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, where ϵ_B is the equipartition factor for magnetic field in forward shocks. Since the flux of the X-ray flare is also much larger than that of the underlying X-ray afterglow which may represent the synchrotron luminosity, we conclude that generally the afterglow electron cooling is dominated by scattering the X-ray flare photons.

The Lorentz factor of the electrons which cool by IC scattering of X-ray flare photons in the dynamical time, $R/\Gamma c$, is $\gamma_c = 3m_e \Gamma c^2 / 4\sigma_T U'_X R$, while the minimum Lorentz factor of the post-shock electrons is $\gamma_m \simeq 1.5 \times 10^3 \epsilon_{e,-1} E_{52}^{1/8} n_0^{-1/8} t_3^{-3/8}$ for a typical value of the power-law index of the electron energy distribution $p = 2.3$. Thus, when the X-ray flare flux is larger than a critical flux

$$F_{X,c} = 3 \times 10^{-10} E_{52}^{1/2} \epsilon_{e,-1}^{-1} n_0^{-1/2} t_3^{-1/2} D_{28}^{-2} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}, \quad (1)$$

we have $\gamma_m \gtrsim \gamma_c$, and all the newly shocked electrons will cool, emitting most of their energy into the IC emission. This critical flux is usually much lower than the X-ray flare flux averaged over its duration, so we conclude that the flare photons can effectively cool the electrons in the forward shock.

2.1. IC emission and GeV-TeV flares

As the forward shock propagates in the surrounding medium, the energy that goes in to the newly shocked electron per unit observer's time is $L_e = \epsilon_e L_{sh} = \epsilon_e 4\pi R^2 c U' \Gamma^2$, where $U' = 2\Gamma^2 n m_p c^2$ is the energy density of the shock in the comoving frame. So, $L_e = 2 \times 10^{48} \epsilon_{e,-1} E_{52} t_3^{-1} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$. In the case where the characteristic flare duration is comparable to the dynamic timescale t of the afterglow (i.e. $\delta t \sim t$) at the flare time, the received IC luminosity is $L_{IC} \simeq L_e = 2 \times 10^{48} \epsilon_{e,-1} E_{52} t_3^{-1} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ due to fast-cooling of electrons, corresponding to a flux at the detector of

$$F_{IC} = \frac{L_{IC}}{4\pi D^2} \simeq 10^{-9} \epsilon_{e,-1} E_{52} t_3^{-1} D_{28}^{-2} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \quad (2)$$

But in the case where $\delta t < t = t_p$, where t_p denotes the peak time of the flare, the duration of the IC emission will be lengthened by the angular timescale of the afterglow shock ($R/2\Gamma^2 c \sim t_p$) and therefore the received flux will be reduced (though the total fluence does not change). The total IC energy is $E_{IC} = \delta t L_e = 2 \times 10^{51} \epsilon_{e,-1} E_{52} (\delta t/t_p) \text{ erg}$ and the averaged IC flux is

$$F_{IC} \simeq 10^{-9} \epsilon_{e,-1} E_{52} t_{p,3}^{-1} D_{28}^{-2} \left(\frac{\delta t}{t_p} \right) \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}. \quad (3)$$

The incoming X-ray flare photons are likely to be anisotropic seen by the isotropically distributed electrons in the forward shock, so more head-on scatterings may decrease the IC emission in the $1/\Gamma$ cone along the direction of the photon beam (corresponding to the angle less than $\pi/2$ relative to the photon beam direction in the comoving frame), but enhance the emission at larger angles with about half of the emission falling into the angles between $1/\Gamma$ and $2/\Gamma$. For a jet with opening angle much larger than $1/\Gamma$, the jet geometry can be approximately regarded

as a sphere. Supposing that the flare photons and external shock ejecta have a 4π solid angle (i.e. not jet), then the IC emission in the observer frame should have the same flux in every direction with a flux level as estimated here.

The angular dispersion of high-energy IC photons will wash out the shorter temporal structure of X-ray flares (Beloborodov 2005), so in both cases the IC emission has a temporal structure determined by the forward shock dynamic time, which could be quite different from the temporal structure of the flare itself. The observed IC νF_ν flux peaks at

$$\varepsilon_{IC,p} \simeq 2\gamma_m^2 \varepsilon_X \simeq 3\epsilon_{e,-1}^2 E_{52}^{1/4} n_0^{-1/4} t_3^{-3/4} \varepsilon_{X,\text{keV}} \text{ GeV} \quad (4)$$

where ε_X is the peak of the flare energy spectrum. For the X-ray flare of GRB050502B, spectral fitting gives its energy peak at $\sim 2.5 \text{ keV}$ (Falcone et al. 2005). The IC emission occurs right inside the GLAST window and has a total fluence about $10^{-7} - 10^{-6} \epsilon_{e,-1} E_{52} D_{28}^{-2} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$ for $0.1 < \delta t/t < 1$, so it should be detected by GLAST. For the strongest bursts with $E \sim 10^{54} \text{ erg}$, the GeV photons could even have been detected by EGRET, such as from GRB940217 (Hurley 1994).

If described approximately as broken power law, the IC energy spectrum (νF_ν) has indices of $1/2$ and $-(p-2)/2$ before and after the break at $\varepsilon_{IC,p}$ respectively. The $-(p-2)/2$ power law spectrum can extend to a maximum energy $\varepsilon_{IC,M}$, above which the IC falls into the Klein-Nishina regime. Requiring the IC scattering to be in the Thomson regime, $\gamma_e < \gamma_{e,M} = \Gamma m_e c^2 / \varepsilon_X$, gives

$$\varepsilon_{IC,M} = 0.4 E_{52}^{1/4} n_0^{-1/4} t_3^{-3/4} \varepsilon_{X,\text{keV}}^{-1} \text{ TeV}. \quad (5)$$

The optical depth due to $\gamma\gamma$ absorption on the X-ray flare photons for the maximum energy $\varepsilon_{IC,M}$ is $\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \simeq 0.3 F_{X,-9} n_0^{1/2} t_3^{1/2} E_{52}^{-1/2} D_{28}^2 (\delta t/t) \varepsilon_{X,\text{keV}}^{-1}$. Due to the low absorption depth and the flat spectral slope above $\varepsilon_{IC,M}$, TeV photons associated with bright X-ray flares are expected to be detectable with detectors such as H.E.S.S., MAGIC, VERITAS and ARGO etc.

2.2. Implications for the synchrotron afterglow

The illumination by X-ray flare photons enhances the cooling of the forward shock electrons, which in turn suppresses the synchrotron afterglow emission. Thus, during the X-ray flare the optical afterglow from the forward shock is expected to be much dimmer than the extrapolation from the times before and after the flare. The X-ray afterglow flux is also suppressed during this period but this is masked by the X-ray flare. Following Sari & Esin (2000), we derive the synchrotron and synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) luminosity when the external IC seed photons (i.e. the X-ray flare photons here) are present. Denoting the ratio of the energy density of the flare photons to that of the synchrotron photons by $k = U_X/U_{syn}$, the luminosity ratios are

$$\frac{L_{SSC}}{L_{syn}} = \frac{L_{IC}}{k L_{syn}} = \frac{U_{syn}}{U_B} = \frac{\eta U_e}{U_B} (1 + \frac{U_{SSC}}{U_{syn}} + \frac{U_{IC}}{U_{syn}})^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

where η is the radiation efficiency of the electrons, L_{syn} (U_{syn}), L_{SSC} (U_{SSC}) and L_{IC} (U_{IC}) are, respectively, the luminosities (energy densities) of synchrotron, SSC and

external IC emission. Defining the parameter $Y \equiv (L_{IC} + L_{SSC})/L_{syn} = (k+1)x_1$, we get $Y = \eta(k+1)\epsilon_e/\epsilon_B$ if $\eta(k+1)\epsilon_e/\epsilon_B \ll 1$ or $Y = [\eta(k+1)\epsilon_e/\epsilon_B]^{1/2}$ if $\eta(k+1)\epsilon_e/\epsilon_B \gg 1$. As $\eta\epsilon_e L_{sh} = L_{syn} + L_{SSC} + L_{IC}$, we finally get

$$L_{syn} = \frac{1}{Y+1}\eta\epsilon_e L_{sh}, L_{IC} = kL_{SSC} = \frac{k}{k+1}Y L_{syn} \quad (7)$$

Thus the synchrotron luminosity is reduced by a factor of $\sim (k+1)^{1/2}$ (for $\eta = 1$), compared with the case without the presence of a flare in this period. This effect should be considered when modelling the part of the optical (or other wavelength) afterglow that overlaps with X-ray flares (Gou et al. 2006). The SSC emission from the afterglow electrons is also reduced, so GeV-TeV emission from this component is lower than IC emission of X-ray flare photons scattered by afterglow electrons⁶.

In some bursts with X-ray flares, such as GRB050502B, the underlying power law decay of the X-ray afterglow does not change before and after the flare, which implies that the total energy loss of the forward shock due to the IC emission is not significant. The total energy loss of the forward shock during the flare period is $E_{loss} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L_{IC} dt = 2 \times 10^{51} \epsilon_{e,-1} E_{52} \ln(t_2/t_1)$ erg, where t_1 and t_2 are respectively the beginning and ending times of the cooling period caused by the flare, during which the flare flux is larger than $F_{X,c}$. Since E_{loss} must be less than E , we get $\epsilon_e < 0.5/\ln(t_2/t_1)$. For the case of the GRB050502B, the light curve of its X-ray afterglow decays with a single power law as $t^{-0.8 \pm 0.2}$ before and after the flare. Taking $F_{X,c} = 0.3 \times 10^{-9} \epsilon_{e,-1} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for this burst, we can infer $\epsilon_e \lesssim 0.3 - 0.4$ from the light curve of the flare.

The microphysical shock parameter ϵ_B is currently less known in the afterglow. Here we suggest that it can be constrained with multi-wavelength observations from the optical, X-ray to the GeV-TeV range. With the observed IC emission (F_{IC}), X-ray flare (F_X) and UV/optical afterglow (F_{AG}) fluxes during the X-ray flare, we have the following constraint

$$\frac{\epsilon_e}{\epsilon_B} \simeq \frac{U_{IC}}{U_B} = \frac{U_{IC} U_X}{U_X U_B} = \frac{F_{IC}^2}{F_X F_{AG}} \quad (8)$$

where we have assumed $U_X > U_{syn}$ and $U_X > U_B$, and F_{AG} is the bolometric synchrotron flux of afterglow, which is emitted mainly at $\nu_m \simeq 10^{15} E_{52}^{1/2} \epsilon_{B,-2}^{1/2} \epsilon_{e,-1}^2 t_3^{-3/2}$ Hz, i.e., UV/optical band.

3. SELF IC SCATTERING OF X-RAY FLARE PHOTONS IN LATE INTERNAL SHOCKS

Assuming that the X-ray flares are produced by late internal shocks, we consider in this section the IC emission of the flare photons by the same electrons that produce these photons, i.e. the self IC emission. In the late internal shock scenario, the exact radiation mechanism producing the flares is unclear; it could be synchrotron radiation or IC emission (Wei et al. 2006). If the X-ray flares are produced by synchrotron emission, then the SSC luminosity is

$$L_{SSC} = (\epsilon_e/\epsilon_B)^{1/2} L_{syn} = (\epsilon_e/\epsilon_B)^{1/2} L_{flare} \quad (9)$$

where we have assumed fast cooling for late internal shocks and $\epsilon_e > \epsilon_B$. If instead the X-ray flares are produced by first order IC emission, then the second order IC luminosity (which is probably still in the Thomson regime) is

$$L_{IC,2nd} = (\epsilon_e/\epsilon_B)^{1/3} L_{IC,1st} = (\epsilon_e/\epsilon_B)^{1/3} L_{flare} \quad (10)$$

(Kobayashi et al. 2005). When the ratio ϵ_e/ϵ_B is not too large, which is reasonable for internal shocks, the IC luminosity is comparable to the flare luminosity in both cases. Since internal shock may have a relative shock Lorentz factor of the order of unity, i.e. $\Gamma_{IS} \sim 1$, the characteristic Lorentz factor of electrons (in the comoving frame) is $\gamma_{m,IS} \simeq 60 \epsilon_{e,-1} (\Gamma_{IS} - 1)$ for $p = 2.5$, which is independent of the unknown bulk Lorentz factor of the X-ray flares. So, the IC of the flare photons peaks at

$$\epsilon_{IC,p} = 2\gamma_{m,IS}^2 \epsilon_X \simeq 10 \epsilon_{e,-1}^2 (\Gamma_{IS} - 1)^2 \epsilon_{X,keV} \text{ MeV}. \quad (11)$$

Except for the case when the late internal shock has a large relative Lorentz factor Γ_{IS} , this peak energy is much lower than GeV, and therefore the contribution to the GeV-TeV flux of this emission component is dominated by that of the IC emission scattered by the forward shock electrons.

In the case when the X-ray flares come from the first-order IC emission, we can even infer the corresponding synchrotron luminosity, i.e. $L_{syn} \sim L_{flare}$ when $\epsilon_e \gtrsim \epsilon_B$. The peak energy of this synchrotron emission is $\epsilon_{syn,p} = \epsilon_X / (2\gamma_{m,IS}^2) = 0.1 \epsilon_{e,-1}^{-2} (\Gamma_{IS} - 1)^{-2} \epsilon_{X,keV} \text{ eV}$. Thus infrared to optical observations during the flare period give a potential approach to diagnose the emission mechanism (i.e. whether synchrotron or IC) of the X-ray flares.

4. SELF IC SCATTERING OF X-RAY FLARE PHOTONS IN THE EXTERNAL SHOCK SCENARIO

It has been suggested that X-ray flares may come from an external shock as it collides into a lumpy cloud in the surrounding medium (Dermer 2005) or from the onset of the external shock (Piro et al. 2005; Galli & Piro 2005). In this external shock scenario, the flare photons come from the same region that produces the afterglow, and the most likely emission mechanism is synchrotron radiation. There is only a first-order IC process here, i.e. the SSC process. Since the flare photons usually cause fast-cooling of the electrons, this IC luminosity is

$$L_{SSC} = (\epsilon_e/\epsilon_B)^{1/2} L_{flare}. \quad (12)$$

The peak energy of the SSC emission here is larger than that of the SSC emission in the late internal shocks, because external shocks have much larger shock Lorentz factors and hence much larger characteristic electron energy. In the scenario where the X-ray flares are produced by collision between the blast wave and a lumpy cloud, the peak energy of the SSC emission is the same as given by Eq. (4). For the scenario invoking the onset of external shocks, the Lorentz factor Γ is higher and the peak likely locates around tens of GeV. Therefore in the external shock scenario, the IC of X-ray flare photons can

⁶However, outside the period of the x-ray flares, the SSC emission from the afterglow shock can produced a background GeV emission, which could also be detectable by GLAST under proper shock parameters (Zhang & Mészáros 2001).

also produce strong GeV flares that could be detected by GLAST.

The GeV flares produced in this case correlate tightly with the X-ray flare, i.e., X-ray and high energy flares have similar temporal profiles and durations, because they come from the same emission region and electron population. On the other hand, in the previous case of an afterglow IC emission due to inner-origin X-ray flares illuminating the afterglow electrons, the X-ray flare and IC emission are produced in different regions, and the duration of the high energy flare is determined by the outer afterglow shock geometry, $\sim R/2\Gamma^2c \sim t$, leading to a duration longer than that of the X-ray flare ($\delta t < t$). This difference provides a useful approach to distinguish these two different models of the X-ray flares, using future observations of GeV-TeV flares.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Several models have been proposed to explain the delayed GeV emission from GRB940217, including an electron IC emission scenario in the forward shock (Mészáros & Rees 1994, Zhang & Mészáros 2001), a hadron process scenario (e.g. Katz 1994; Totani 1998) and a scenario invoking electromagnetic cascade processes of TeV gamma-rays in the infrared/microwave background (Cheng & Cheng 1996; Dai & Lu 2002; Wang et al. 2004; Razzaque, Mészáros and Zhang 2004). Here we have suggested a new model, i.e. the electron IC emission accompanying the late time X-ray flares recently discovered in GRBs. This model can be tested with high energy detectors such as AGILE or GLAST operating simultaneously with *Swift*. TeV gamma-rays accompanying bright X-ray flares are also expected to be detected by ground-based telescope such as H.E.S.S., MAGIC, VERITAS, ARGO. Due to the delay times up to $10^3 - 10^4$ seconds of the X-ray flares after the trigger, ground-based telescopes may have sufficient time to slew to the directions of the bursts.

In summary, we have studied the IC emission associated

with X-ray flares in GRBs. We find the following results: (1) If the x-ray flares originate inside the forward shock radius, e.g. from central engine activity, the IC emission due to the X-ray flares overlapping with the forward shock electrons can produce GeV-TeV flares. The fluence of such high energy flares is sufficiently high for detection by high energy detectors such as GLAST. The delayed GeV emission from GRB940217 could have been produced in this process in a strong GRB. The light curves of such GeV-TeV flares may not correlate with that of the X-ray flares, but will instead become smoother and longer.

(2) Strong X-ray flare fluxes can enhance the cooling of the forward shock electrons, hence suppressing the synchrotron afterglow emission during the periods of the flares. This will cause dimming or changing of the decay slopes of the optical afterglow. Moreover, late-time GeV-TeV detection during X-ray flares are useful for constraining the microphysics in the afterglow shocks.

(3) GeV-TeV flares could also be produced by the self-synchrotron Compton emission of the flare photons in an external shock scenario of the X-ray flares. In this case, the light curves of the GeV-TeV flares may correlate with the X-ray flares themselves. So the different temporal behavior of the GeV-TeV flares for the two IC processes (1) and (3) provides a potential way to distinguish whether X-ray flares originate from a late central engine activity or from an external shock scenario.

We are grateful to E. Waxman, B. Zhang, Z. G. Dai, S. Razzaque, L. J. Gou, X. F. Wu and Y. Z. Fan for useful discussions, and the referee for valuable comments. This work is supported by NASA NAG5-13286 and NSF AST 0307376, an ISF grant and a Minerva grant (for ZL), the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants 10403002, 10233010 and 10221001, and the Foundation for the Authors of National Excellent Doctoral Dissertations of China (for XYW).

REFERENCES

- Beloborodov, A. M. 2005, ApJ, 618, L13
 Burrows, D. et al. 2005a, Science, 309, 1833
 Burrows, D. et al. 2005b, astro-ph/0511039
 Cheng, L. X., & Cheng, K. S. 1996, ApJ, 459, L79
 Dai, Z. G., & Lu, T., 2002, ApJ, 580, 1013
 Dermer, C. D., 2005, talk presented on the conference "Gamma-ray bursts in the Swift era".
 Falcone, A. D. et al., 2005, ApJ, accepted, astro-ph/0512615
 Fan, Y. Z. & Wei, D. M., 2005, MNRAS, 364, L42
 Fan, Y. Z., Zhang, B. & Wei, D. M., 2005, ApJ, 629, 334
 Galli, A. & Piro, L., 2005, A&A, submitted, astro-ph/0510852
 Gou, L. J., Fox, D. & Mészáros, P., 2006, in preparation
 Hurley, K. et al., 1994, Nature, 371, 652
 Katz, J. I. 1994, ApJ, 432, L27
 Kobayashi, S. et al., 2005, astro-ph/0506157
 Mészáros, P., & Rees, M. J. 1994, MNRAS, 269, L41
 Mészáros, P. & Rees, M. J. 1997, ApJ, 476, 232
 Nousek, J. A., 2005, ApJ, astro-ph/0508332
 O'Brien, P. T., 2006, ApJ, submitted, astro-ph/0601125
 Piro L. et al., 2005, ApJ, 623, 314
 Razzaque, S., Mészáros, P. & Zhang, B., 2004, ApJ, 613, 1072
 Sari, R., & Esin, A. A. 2001, ApJ, 548, 787
 Totani, T. 1998, ApJ, 502, L13
 Wang, X. Y., Dai, Z. G. & Lu, T., 2001, ApJ, 556, 1010
 Wang, X. Y. et al. 2004, ApJ, 604, 306
 Waxman, E., 1997, ApJ, 491, L19
 Wei, D. M., Yan, T. & Fan, Y. Z., 2006, ApJ, 636, L69
 Wu, X. F. et al. 2005, ApJ, submitted, astro-ph/0512555
 Zhang, B., & Mészáros, P. 2001, ApJ, 559, 110
 Zhang, B. et al. 2005, ApJ, in press, astro-ph/0508321