On the Form ation of G as G iant P lanets on W ide O rbits

Alan P.Boss

Department of Terrestrial Magnetism, Carnegie Institution of Washington, 5241 Broad Branch Road, NW, Washington, DC 20015-1305

boss@dtm.ciw.edu

ABSTRACT

A new suite of three dimensional radiative, gravitational hydrodynamical models is used to show that gas giant planets are unlikely to form by the disk instability mechanism at distances of 100 AU to 200 AU from young stars. A similar result seems to hold for the core accretion mechanism. These results appear to be consistent with the paucity of detections of gas giant planets on wide orbits by infrared in aging surveys, and also imply that if the object orbit-ing GQ Lupus is a gas giant planet, it most likely did not form at a separation of 100 AU. Instead, a wide planet around GQ Lup must have undergone a close encounter with a third body that tossed the planet outward to its present distance from its protostar. If it exists, the third body may be detectable by NASA's Space Interferom etry M ission.

Subject headings: stars: planetary system s { stars: low -m ass, brown dwarfs

1. Introduction

Because radial velocity planet- nding surveys are most sensitive to planets with relatively short-period orbits, the search for gas giant planets on wide orbits (i.e., separations much greater than 10 AU) has been undertaken primarily by direct in aging surveys at infrared wavelengths. These surveys have largely turned up empty-handed: M cC arthy & Zuckerm an (2004) found no evidence for any planets with masses of 5 to 10 M $_{\rm J}$ (Jupiter mass) at distances of 75 to 300 AU from 100 stars. Sim ilarly, M asciadri et al. (2005) found nothing in their search of 28 stars for sim ilar-mass planets with separations of at least 36 to 65 AU, as did Low rance et al. (2005) in their search of 45 nearby stars.

However, recently two ground-based surveys have detected very low mass companions with wide separations. Using the NACO adaptive optics systems on the Very Large Telescope, Chauvin et al. (2004) found a $5M_{\rm J}$ companion to the $25M_{\rm J}$ brown dwarf 2M 1207,

with a separation of 60 AU.Neuhauser et al. (2005) used the same NACO system to detect an object orbiting 100 AU from the 1 Myr-old classical T Tauristar GQ Lup. The mass of this object appears to lie between $1M_J$ and $42M_J$, with a good chance that its mass is low enough (< $13M_J$) for it to be classified as a planet rather than as a brown dwarf.

Core accretion (M izuno 1980), the generally accepted mechanism of gas giant planet form ation, encounters di culties in form ing gas giants at distances much greater than 5 AU (Pollack et al. 1996; Inaba, W etherill, & Ikom a 2003) because of the decreasing surface density of solids available to make the solid cores and the consequent increase in the form ation tim e scale. Form ing gas giant planets at distances of 100 AU by core accretion appears to be extrem ely unlikely.

O bærvations of the circum stellar disk orbiting the Herbig A e star A B A urigae show clear evidence for spiral structures at both near-infrared (Fukagawa et al. 2004) and m illim eterwavelengths (C order, E isner, & Sargent 2005). Four trailing spiral arm s can be resolved, at distances of 200 AU to 450 AU from the central 4 M yr-old star with a m ass of 2.8 M . These observations are perhaps the rst direct evidence for large scale gravitational instability in a circum stellar disk, and suggest that the competing mechanism for gas giant planet form ation, disk gravitational instability (C am eron 1978; Boss 1997, 2003), m ight be able to operate at distances of 100 AU orm ore. This paper exam ines the latter possibility, by extending the same disk instability models that suggest gas giant planet form ation is possible at distances of 10 AU, to exam ine the case of much larger disks, 100 AU in size.

2. Num erical M ethods

The calculations were performed with a nite volume code that solves the three dimensional equations of hydrodynamics and radiative transfer, as well as the Poisson equation for the gravitational potential. The code is second-order-accurate in both space and time (Boss & M yhill 1992) and has been used extensively in previous disk instability studies (e.g., Boss 2003).

The equations are solved on spherical coordinate grids with $N_r = 101$, N = 23 in =2 0, and N = 256 or 512. The radial grid extends from 100 AU to 200 AU with a uniform spacing of r = 1 AU. The grid is compressed toward the midplane in order to ensure adequate vertical resolution ($= 0.3^\circ$ at the midplane). The grid is uniform by spaced to prevent any azim uthal bias. The central protostar wobbles in response to the grow th of disk nonaxisym m etry, preserving the location of the center of m ass of the star and disk system. The number of terms in the spherical harm onic expansion for the gravitational potential of the disk is $N_{Y m} = 32 \text{ or } 48$. The Jeans length criterion is monitored throughout the calculations to ensure proper spatial resolution: the num erical grid spacings in all three coordinate directions always remain less than 1/4 of the local Jeans length.

The boundary conditions are chosen at both 100 AU and 200 AU to absorb radial velocity perturbations. M ass and linear or angular m om entum entering the innerm ost shell of cells at 100 AU is added to the central protostar and thereby rem oved from the hydrodynam icalgrid. Sim ilarly, m ass and m om entum that reaches the outerm ost shell of cells at 200 AU is e ectively rem oved from the calculation: the m ass piles up in this shell and is assigned zero radial velocity. The inner and outer boundary conditions are designed to absorb incident m ass and m om entum, rather than to re ect m ass and m om entum back into the m ain grid. The angular m om entum added to the central protostar is used only to m onitor the conservation of total angular m om entum during the calculation.

As in Boss (2003), two of the models treat radiative transfer in the di usion approxim ation, with no radiative losses or gains occuring in regions where the vertical optical depth drops below 10. In very low density regions, the disk tem perature is assumed to be the same as that of the disk envelope, 30K. The energy equation is solved explicitly in conservation law form, as are the four other hydrodynamic equations.

3. Initial C onditions

The models calculate the evolution of a 1M central protostar surrounded by a protoplanetary disk with a mass of 0.16 M between 100 AU and 200 AU. The models envision planet form ation as occurring during the embedded phase of star form ation, when the star is a Class I object still accreting mass from the infalling cloud envelope onto a relatively massive protoplanetary disk. Given that the disk mass interior to 100 AU would add another

 $0\,:\!1M$, the total am ount of circum stellar m atter is assumed to be perhaps unrealistically high, making the negative results regarding forming gas giants by disk instability at such large distances obtained here even stronger than would be the case for an assumed lower mass disk system .

The initial protop lanetary disk structure is based on the following approximate vertical density distribution (Boss 1993) for an adiabatic, self-gravitating disk of arbitrary thickness in near-K eplerian rotation about a point mass M $_{\rm s}$

$$(R;Z)^{1} = {}_{\circ}(R)^{1}$$

$$-\frac{1}{K}^{h} \frac{2 G (R)}{K} Z + \frac{GM_{s}}{K} \frac{1}{R} \frac{1}{(R^{2} + Z^{2})^{1-2}}^{1};$$

where R and Z are cylindrical coordinates, $_{\circ}$ (R) is a specified midplane density, and (R) is a specified surface density. The disk's surface is defined by locations where the density distribution defined above falls to zero; regions where the density falls below zero are outside the disk. The adiabatic pressure (used only for defining the initial model { the radiative transfer solution includes a full therm odynam ical treatment) is defined by p = K, where K is the adiabatic constant and is the adiabatic exponent. The adiabatic constant is $K = 5:1 \quad 10^{17}$ (cgs units) and = 5=3 for the initial model. K was chosen to be three times larger than in the previous disk instability models in order to produce a thicker outer disk. The radial variation of the midplane density is a power law that ensures near-K eplerian rotation throughout the disk

$$_{\circ}(\mathbb{R}) = _{\circ 4} \frac{\mathbb{R}_{4}}{\mathbb{R}}^{3=2};$$

where $_{o4} = 4:0$ 10 ¹¹ g cm ³ and $R_4 = 4$ AU.W ith this assumption for $_o(R)$, the initial disk surface density pro le from 100 AU to 200 AU is $_i / r^2$, a steeper fallo than occurs for this choice of an initial disk model at radii of 20 AU, where $_i / r^{3=2}$ (Boss 2002). A low density halo $_h$ of gas and dust infalls onto the disk, with

$$_{h}(r) = _{h4}\cos^{2}(r) \frac{R_{100}}{r}$$
;

where $_{h4} = 4.5 \quad 10^{-15} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $R_{100} = 100 \text{ AU}$, and r is the spherical coordinate radius. The initial envelope m ass is 0.05 M .

Three initially uniform disk temperatures are investigated, $T_o = 20, 25$, and 30K.W ith the assumed initial density product the disks have initial Q gravitational stability parameters as low as $Q_{m in} = 1.3$ for $T_o = 20$ K and $Q_{m in} = 1.6$ for $T_o = 30$ K. In low optical depth regions such as the disk envelope, the temperature is assumed to be 30 K. The Rosseland mean opacities used in the radiative transfer solution have been updated to include the dust grain opacities calculated by Pollack et al. (1994).

4. Results

Table 1 lists the initial conditions for the models as well as the naltimes ($\[mm]$) to which they were evolved. Note that for the initial disk, the orbital period is 740 yrs at 100 AU and 2400 yrs at 200 AU, so the N = 256 m odels were evolved for times that varied between 10 and 110 orbital periods at 100 AU, while the N = 512 m odels were calculated for

between 5 and 27 orbital periods at 100 AU.

M odels A and A H began with a minimum Q parameter of 1.30, and thus had a greater tendency toward instability than m odels C and CH, where the initialm inimum Q value was 1.59, im plying initialm arginal gravitational instability. M odels B and B H were intermediate with a value of 1.45. M odels A and A H were evolved with the usual radiative transfer procedures, but it was found to be necessary for numerical stability to evolve the other four m odels (B, B H, C, C H) with the disk temperature xed at its initial value. The latter assumption errs on the side of encouraging clump formation, compared to the full therm odynam ical treatment in m odels A and A H, but we shall see that even this assumption does not result in clump formation.

Figure 1 shows the result of model AH after 4000 yrs of evolution. A tightly wound set of spiral arms has formed, but no dense clumps capable of contracting to become self-gravitating protoplanets appear, nor do any appear during the earlier phases of the evolution. This is in spite of the fact that model AH is the model that should be most likely to form clumps if clump formation is possible, given its low initial minimum value of Q = 1:30 and its relatively high spatial resolution (N = 512).

The main reason why clumps do not form in this model (as well as the others) appears to be that rapid inward transport of mass associated with the gravitational torques between the growing spiral arms is able to deplete the inner disk gas before it can become dense enough to form gravitationally-bound clumps. This is evident in Figure 2, which shows how the disk in model AH has developed a severe inner depletion of gas that renders the disk locally gravitationally stable by a large factor. The relatively steep decrease in disk surface density at these distances ($_i$ / r^2) means that the loss of the innerm ost disk gas drives the disk toward stability.

The tightness of the spiral arm s in model AH is further illustrated in Figure 3, which shows the equatorial tem perature contours at the same time as Figure 1. The spiral arm s are delineated by sharply de ned, tightly wound tem perature maxim a that occur where the disk gas is being compressed locally. The fact that the spiral structures that form are so tightly wound appears to also work against clump form ation, as this con guration prevents the superposition of multiple spiral arm s that would lead to locally higher gas densities, a process that occurs frequently in disk instability models on scales of 10 AU (e.g., Boss 2003) and helps lead to gravitationally-bound clump formation. The spiral arms in Boss (2003) are loosely wound in comparison to those seen in Figures 1 and 3. In the latter models, clumps formed within 300 yrs, or 3 orbital periods at 20 AU.

G iven the failure of m odel AH to produce any dense clum ps, it should be obvious that in the remaining ve m odels, clum p form ation is even m ore inhibited by the same processes that a icted m odel AH. This is in spite of the fact that in m odels B, BH, C, and CH, the disk was assumed to remain isotherm all during the evolution for numerical reasons, an assumption that can be expected to err on the side of clum p form ation. Figure 4 shows that in m odel CH, with an initial minimum value of Q = 1.59 and N = 512, the disk becomes remarkably axisymmetric after its inner regions have been depleted by accretion onto the central protostar.

5. Conclusions

These models have shown that the disk instability mechanism has great di culty form ing gas giant planets in situ at distances of 100 AU to 200 AU from solar-m assprotostars. The models presented here show no clump form ation, even in an initially marginally gravitiationally unstable disk. Given that the core accretion mechanism is even more ham pered in forming gas giant planets at 100 AU distances (Pollack et al. 1996; Inaba, W etherill & Ikom oa 2003), it seems clear that gas giant planets do not appear to be able to form on such wide orbits, consistent with the failure of most direct in aging surveys to detect massive gas giant planets (M cC arthy & Zuckerm an 2004; M asciadri et al. 2005; Low rance et al. 2005).

On the other hand, the detection of the wide, very low mass objects around 2M 1207 by Chauvin et al. (2004) and around GQ Lup by Neuhauser et al. (2005) demands an explanation. The 2M 1207 system would seem to be best explained as a binary system composed of a brown dwarf and a sub-brown dwarf, with a mass ratio q = 0.2, sim ilar to that of m any binary star system s. B inary and multiple star system s are generally believed to have form ed from the collapse and fragm entation of dense molecular clouds, a process that precedes the planet form ation processes considered here.

If the very low m ass companion to GQ Lup turns out to have a m ass close to the upper lim it of $42M_{\rm J}$, then it also m ost likely formed as a brown dwarf companion to GQ Lup through collapse and fragmentation into a binary system with q 0.06 (assuming a m ass of 0.7M for the T Tauri star GQ Lup). However, if the object has a much lower m ass, im plying that it did not form by fragmentation, but through the planet formation process in a protoplanetary disk, it is hard to see how such an object could have formed in situ at 100 AU by either core accretion or disk instability. Instead, one would be forced to consider a scenario where the object formed much closer to GQ Lup, perhaps within 30 AU (e.g., Boss 2003), and then was ung outward on a highly eccentric orbit to 100 AU by a close encounter with another body in the GQ Lup system (cf., Debes & Sigurdsson 2006). Outward scattering of planets to wide orbits is a likely possibility in crowded system s of giant planets (A dam s & Laughlin 2003).

NASA's Space Interferom etry M ission (SIM) should be able to detect such a third body orbiting relatively close to GQ Lup, if it exists, settling the question of the origin of the putative planet's wide orbit. GQ Lup has a V m agnitude of 14.4 but is highly reddened, allowing SIM to perform narrow angle astrom etry on it in the R band. Because the third body would be left on a tighter orbit around GQ Lup as a result of the close encounter with the planet now on a wide orbit, the third body would be expected to be on a relatively short period orbit that could be detected during SIM 's nom inal m ission lifetime of 5 yrs. At GQ Lup's distance of 140 pc, SIM would be able to detect a third body with a m ass as low as $1M_J$ orbiting with a sem im a jor axis of 10 AU or less. As the third body is likely to be signi cantly m ore m assive than the wide planet, SIM should be able to detect this hypothetical object.

I thank A lycia W einberger for m otivating these calculations, C harles B eichm an for advice about SIM 's capabilities, Fred A dam s for his excellent comments on the manuscript, and Sandy K eiser for her extraordinary computer systems expertise. This research was supported in part by NASA P lanetary G eology and G eophysics grant NNG 05G H 30G and by NASA A strobiology Institute grant NCC 2-1056. The calculations were performed on the C amegie A lpha C luster, the purchase of which was partially supported by NSF M a jor R esearch Instrum entation grant M R I-9976645.

REFERENCES

Adams, F.C, & Laughlin, G. 2003, Icarus, 163, 290

Boss, A.P. 1993, ApJ, 417, 351

- . 1997, Science, 276, 1836
- . 2002, ApJ, 576, 462
- . 2003, ApJ, 599, 577

Boss, A.P., & Myhill, E.A. 1992, ApJS, 83, 311 Cameron, A.G.W. 1978, Moon Planets, 18, 5

- Chauvin, G., et al. 2004, A & A, 425, L29.
- Corder, S., Eisner, J., & Sargent, A. 2005, ApJ, 622, L133
- Debes, J.H., & Sigurdsson, S. 2006, A & A, submitted
- Fukagawa, M., et al. 2004, ApJ, 605, L53
- Inaba, S., Wetherill, G.W., & Ikoma, M. 2003, Icanus, 166, 46
- Low rance, P.J., et al. 2005, AJ, 130, 1845
- Masciadri, E., et al. 2005, ApJ, 625, 1004
- M cC arthy, C ., & Zuckerm an, B . 2004, A J, 127, 2871
- M izuno, H. 1980, Prog. Theor. Phys., 64, 544
- Neuhauser, R., et al. 2005, A&A, 435, L13.
- Pollack, J.B., et al. 1994, ApJ, 421, 615
- | . 1996, Icarus, 124, 62

This preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\mathbb I}{\mathbb P} T_E X$ m acros v5.0.



Fig. 1. Equatorial density contours for model AH after 4000 yrs of evolution. In this gure as well as in the subsequent gures, a 0:16M disk is in orbit around a central 1 M protostar. The entire disk is shown, with an outer radius of 200 AU and an inner radius of 100 AU, through which m ass accretes onto the central protostar. Hashed regions denote spiralarm swith densities higher than 32 10¹⁴ g cm³. Density contours represent factors of two change in density.



Fig. 2. | Radial (azim uthally averaged) proles for the disk gas surface density (dots) in the initial model AH and after 4000 yrs of evolution, compared to the surface densities needed for Q = 1 (solid line) at both times. The smoother curves are the initial proles for both quantities. A fter 4000 yrs, the innerm ost disk gas has been largely accreted inside the inner 100 AU boundary.



Fig. 3. Equatorial tem perature contours for model AH after 4000 yrs of evolution, as in Figure 1. Tem perature contours represent factors of 1.3 change in tem perature.



Fig. 4. Equatorial density contours form odelCH after 20000 yrs of evolution, as in Figure 1.

m odel	T_{\circ} (K)	m in (Q $_{i}$)	Ν	N _{Y lm}	t _f (yrs)
А	20	1.30	256	32	7 , 800
ΑH	20	1.30	512	48	4,000
В	25	1.45	256	32	35 , 000
ΒH	25	1.45	512	48	5 , 000
С	30	1,59	256	32	84,000
СН	30	1,59	512	48	20,000

Table 1. Initial conditions for the models.