

LOST AND FOUND DARK MATTER IN ELLIPTICAL GALAXIES

Stoehr, F.¹, Mamon, G. A.¹, Dekel, A.² and Cox, T. J.³

Abstract. The kinematical properties of elliptical galaxies formed during the mergers of equal mass, stars+gas+dark matter spiral galaxies are compared to the observed low velocity dispersions found for planetary nebulae on the outskirts of ellipticals, which have been interpreted as pointing to a lack of dark matter in ellipticals (which poses a problem for the standard model of galaxy formation). We find that the velocity dispersion profiles of the stars in the simulated ellipticals match well the observed ones. The low outer stellar velocity dispersions are mainly caused by the radial orbits of the outermost stars, which, for a given binding energy must have low angular momentum to reach their large radial distances, usually driven out along tidal tails.

1 Introduction

There is a wide consensus that spiral galaxies must be embedded within dark matter halos, as there have been no other good explanations of the observed flat rotation curves of spiral galaxies, unless one resorts to modifying physics (e.g. MOND, see McGaugh in these proceedings). Moreover, dissipationless cosmological N -body simulations lead to structures, whose halos represent most spiral galaxies (Hayashi et al. 2005; Stoehr 2005).

If elliptical galaxies originate from major mergers of spiral galaxies (Toomre 1977; Mamon 1992; Baugh, Cole & Frenk 1995; Springel et al. 2001a), then they too should possess dark matter halos. Using planetary nebulae (PNe) as tracers of the dark matter at large radii, Romanowsky et al. (2003) found low velocity dispersions for their outermost PNe, which after some simple Jeans modeling and more sophisticated orbit modeling led them to conclude to a dearth of dark matter in ordinary elliptical galaxies. This result is not expected in the standard model

¹ IAP, 98 bis Bd Arago, F-75014 Paris, FRANCE

² Hebrew University, Jerusalem, ISRAEL

³ Harvard-Smithsonian CfA, 60 Garden St, Cambridge MA 02138, USA

of structure and galaxy formation. This has led us (Dekel et al. 2005) to analyze the final outputs of N -body simulations of spiral galaxies merging into ellipticals.

2 Merger simulations

The merger simulations we have analyzed were run by Cox (2004, see also Cox et al. 2004). In these simulations, the initial spiral galaxies had an exponential spherical bulge and a thin exponential disk, as well as a thin gaseous exponential disk and a spherical NFW (Navarro et al. 1996) dark matter halo. The particles were advanced with the GADGET TREE-SPH code (Springel et al. 2001b) until 2–3 Gyr after the final merger. The galaxies were thrown at one another on a variety of near parabolic orbits (see Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Snapshots of two equal mass spiral galaxies merging into a single elliptical galaxy. From Dekel et al. (2005, see also Cox 2004, Cox et al. 2004).

3 2D diagnostics

The left hand plot of Figure 2 shows the surface density of the different components of the simulated galaxies, and the surface brightness profiles of galaxies NGC 3379 and NGC 821. The match is excellent, except perhaps in the very inner regions, where the simulations predict too much mass.

More important, the right-hand plot of Figure 2 shows that the simulated and observed velocity dispersion profiles are very similar. In other words, *our simulations, which include normal amounts of dark matter, reproduce very well the observed velocity dispersion profiles*. Hence, we conclude that *the low outer velocity dispersions do not imply a lack of dark matter in elliptical galaxies*.




Fig. 2. *Left:* Surface density (*curves*) and brightness (*symbols*) profiles of simulated (*curves*) and observed (*symbols*: NGC 3379 and NGC 821) elliptical galaxies. *Right:* Line-of-sight velocity dispersion profiles. The *lower* and *upper thin (green)* curves represent the predictions of Romanowsky et al. (2003), respectively without and with dark matter. From Dekel et al. (2005). *Both plots:* dark matter are the *upper solid (blue)* curves, stars are the *lower curves* (old, young and ‘all’ are *dotted, dashed* and *solid*). The curves are averages over 60 profiles (10 simulations, 2 timesteps and 3 viewing angles), and the *shaded regions* indicate the $\pm 1\sigma$ spread. The *x-* and *y-* axes are normalized to the values at the half-projected light (mass) radius, i.e. effective radius, R_{eff} .

4 3D diagnostics

What causes this discrepancy between the *kinematic* modeling of Romanowsky et al., which concludes to no or little dark matter in ellipticals, and the *dynamical* modeling, which concludes to a normal content of dark matter in ellipticals? Figure 3 shows that for $R > 2R_{\text{eff}}$, while the dark matter particles travel along very slightly radial orbits, as found in cosmological simulations (see Mamon & Lokas 2005, and references therein), *the outer stellar particles travel along very elongated orbits.*

Why do the stars at a few R_{eff} travel on much more elongated orbits than do the dark matter particles at the same distances from the elliptical galaxy center? Since the stars in the initial spiral galaxies lie at small radii (while the dark matter particles lie in a wide range of radii), they will usually end up at small radii in the merging pair, since the most bound particles usually remain the most bound (Barnes 1992). So the outermost stars must have traveled along elongated orbits to reach their large present radial distances: i.e., not only they have low binding energies, but they must have low angular momentum given their binding energy. A more detailed analysis indicates that these stars are driven outwards on nearly radial orbits in the tidal tails (see Fig. 1) that are formed after the first passage of the two spirals.

In a companion contribution (Mamon, in these proceedings), we analyze in




Fig. 3. Velocity anisotropy versus radius (from Dekel et al. 2005). Dark matter is the nearly horizontal curve, while the other curves are for the stars (dotted, dashed and solid for old, young and ‘all’ stars, respectively).

further detail what quantity of dark matter can be derived from kinematical modeling and what we can learn from the detailed structure and internal kinematics of the simulated merger remnants.

References

- Barnes, J. E. 1992, *ApJ* 393, 484
 Baugh, C. M., Cole, S. & Frenk, C. S. 1996, *MNRAS* 283, 1361
 Cox, T. J. 2004, PhD thesis, Univ. of California at Santa Cruz
 Cox, T. J., Primack, J., Jonsson, P. & Somerville, R. S. 2004, *ApJL* 607, L87
 Dekel, A., Stoehr, F., Mamon, G. A., Cox, T. J. et al. 2005, *Nat* 437, 707
 Hayashi, E., Navarro, J. F., Jenkins, A. et al. 2005, arXiv:astro-ph/0408132
 Mamon, G. A. 1992, *ApJL* 401, L3
 Mamon, G. A. & Lokas, E. L. 2005, *MNRAS* 363, 705
 Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S. & White, S. D. M. 1996, *ApJ* 464, 563
 Romanowsky, A., Douglas, N., Arnaboldi, M. et al. 2003, *Sci* 301, 1696
 Springel, V., White, S. D. M., Tormen, G. & Kauffmann, G. 2001a, *MNRAS* 328, 726
 Springel, V., Yoshida, N. & White, S. D. M. 2001b, *NewA* 6, 79
 Stoehr, F. 2006, *MNRAS*, 365, 147
 Toomre, A. 1977, in *Evolution of Galaxies and Stellar Populations*, ed. B. M. Tinsley & R. B. Larson (New Haven: Yale Univ. Press), p. 401