The Inclination Angle of and M ass of the B lack H ole in X T E J1118+ 480

Dawn M.Gelino

dawn@ipac.caltech.edu

M ichelson Science Center, Caltech, M S 100-22, 770 South W ilson Avenue, Pasadena, CA, 91125

Solen Balman, Umit K z loglu, Arda Y lmaz

Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey

Em rah Kalem ci

Sabanc University, Orhanl-Tuzla, Istanbul, 34956, Turkey

John A. Tom sick

Center for A strophysics and Space Sciences, Code 0424, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093

ABSTRACT

We have obtained optical and infrared photom etry of the quiescent soft X-ray transient XTE J1118+480. In addition to optical and J-band variations, we present the rst observed H - and K_s-band ellipsoidal variations for this system. We model the variations in all bands simultaneously with the WD 98 light curve modeling code. The infrared colors of the secondary star in this system are consistent with a K 7V, while there is evidence for light from the accretion disk in the optical. Combining them odels with the observed spectral energy distribution of the system, the most likely value for the orbital inclination angle is 68 2. This inclination angle corresponds to a primary black holem assof8:53 0:60M. Based on the derived physical parameters and infrared colors of the system, we determ ine a distance of 1.72 0.10 kpc to XTE J1118+480.

Subject headings: binaries: close infrared: stars stars: individual (XTE J1118+480) stars: variables: other X-rays: binaries

1. Introduction

Transient low m ass X -ray binaries (LM X B s) exhibit large and abrupt X -ray and optical outbursts that can be separated by decades of quiescence (Chen, Shrader & Livio 1997). For these system s, the compact object is a black hole or a neutron star, and the companion is norm ally a low -m ass K - or M -type dwarf-like star (Charles & Coe 2003). During their periods of quiescence, these system s are faint at X -ray, optical, and infrared (IR) wavelengths. W hile the quiescent X -ray em ission can be caused by accretion onto a compact object or therm al em ission from the surface of a neutron star (G arcia et al. 2001; W ijnands 2004), the companion can dom inate the lum inosity at optical and IR energies. D uring the binary orbit, the changing aspect of the tidally-distorted companion causes a periodic m odulation of the optical and IR em ission (G elino 2001). M easurem ents of these \ellipsoidal variations" provide inform ation about the physical param eters of the binary.

XTE J1118+480 ($_{2000} = 11^{h}18^{m}10.85^{s}$, $_{2000} = 48\ 02^{0}12.9^{0}$) was discovered with the all-sky monitor (ASM) on the Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer by Remillard et al. (2000) on 2000 M arch 29, while G arcia et al. (2000) spectroscopically identieed its 12.9 m agnitude optical counterpart. Owing to its position in the G alactic halo, this high latitude (b = +62) system has been observed by num erous groups over m any wavelength regimes. Recent orbital parameters determined from optical spectra suggest an orbital period of 4.078 hr and a secondary star radial velocity sem i-am plitude of 709 7 km s¹ (Torres et al. 2004). These values in ply a mass function of f(M) = 6.3 0.2 M, identifying the compact object as a black hole.

Determ ining a precise black hole mass requires an accurate measurem ent of the orbital inclination angle of the system. As discussed in Gelino, Harrison, & Orosz (2001), the best way to nd the inclination angle in a non-eclipsing system is to model its infrared ellipsoidal light curves. In the IR regime, there is a smaller chance of contam ination from other sources of light in the system . W hile m odeling several light curves from one wavelength regin e helps to constrain m odel param eters, sim ultaneously m odeling light curves that span m ore than one wavelength regime provides tighter constraints than m odeling IR light curves alone. Previous inclination estimates for XTE J1118+480 have come from modeling optical ellipsoidal variations as the system approached quiescence (M cC lintock et al. 2001; W agner et al. 2001; Zurita et al. 2002a). These inclination angles range from 55 (M oC lintook et al. 2001) to 83 (W agner et al. 2001), and correspond to prim ary m asses of 10 M and 6.0 M, respectively. Since this system has been known to exhibit optical superhum ps from the precession of an eccentric accretion disk on its way to quiescence (Zurita et al. 2002a), it is in portant to determ ine the orbital inclination angle while XTE J1118+480 is in a truly quiescent state.

In order to determ ine an accurate orbital inclination angle for X T E J1118+ 480, we have obtained B -, V -, R -, J -, H -, and K_s -band light curves of the system while in quiescence, and simultaneously model them here with the W D 98 light curve modeling code (W ilson 1998). To date, this is the most comprehensive ellipsoidal variation data set published for this system. The modeled inclination angle is combined with recently published orbital parameters to determ ine a highly constrained mass of the black hole in this X-ray binary.

2. Observations & Data Reduction

W e observed the XTE J1118+480 eld in the optical and IR wavelength regimes. Table 1 sum marizes our observations, while we describe them in detail below .

2.1. OpticalObservations

We obtained optical observations of XTE J1118+ 480 over three nights in 2003 and four nights in 2004 using standard Johnson B, V, and R Iters with the 1.5 m telescope at the TUBETAK National Observatory (TUG)¹ in Antalya, Turkey. The data were obtained with the in aging CCD² on 2003 June 4-6 and the ANDOR CCD³ on 2004 M arch 18-19 and 2004 April 23-24. A total of 31, 84, and 83 in ages were obtained in the B-, V- and R-bands, respectively. The data were reduced with bias frames, dark current frames, and dome at elds using standard procedures. The instrum entalm agnitudes of XTE J1118+ 480 were derived through the use of the PSF tting algorithm s, DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987) and ALLSTAR in the MIDAS software package using freen PSF stars. In addition, a reference star (=11:18:07.10 and =+48:03:53.2) close to our transient source was used to reduce the scintillation e ects and derive the calibrated m agnitudes for a given Iter. The reference star and target star errors were added in quadrature to produce the nal errors on the photom etric points. The resulting data were com bined into 20 bins per orbit.

¹See http://www.tug.tubitak.gov.tr/index.html?en

²See http://www.tug.tubitak.gov.tr/aletler/SDSU_im aging_cod/

³See http://hea.iki.rssi.ru/AZT22/ENG/focal.htm

2.2. Infrared Observations

Infrared data on XTE J1118+480 were obtained on 2003 January 17 and 18 using SQIID (Simultaneous Quad Infrared Imaging Device⁴) on the 2.1 meter telescope at K itt Peak National Observatory. We simultaneously obtained measurements in the J-, H-, and K_s-bands and kept the number of counts in each exposure in the linear regime of each chip. The data were reduced using tasks in the upsquid package in IRAF⁵. The images taken at one position were subtracted from the images taken at a slightly o set position to remove the sky, dark current, and any bias level. We then at elded the images using twilight sky ats.

Aperture photom etry was performed on XTE J1118+480 and ve nearby eld stars. U sing the IRAF phot package, a di erential light curve for each band was generated. We followed standard error propagation rules for calculating the di erential magnitude errors from the target and reference star instrum ental magnitudes. The di erential photom etric results show that over the course of our observations, the com parison stars did not vary more than expected from photon statistics. We used photom etric in ages of the H unt et al. (1998) A S-11 and A S-18 A R N ICA standard star elds, as well as 2M A SS eld stars to calibrate the data set. A s with the optical, the data were com bined into 20 bins per orbit.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 presents the resulting B -, V -, and R-band light curves of XTE J1118+480, while the nalJ, H, and K_s di erential light curves are presented in Figure 2. D expite the expectation of detecting superhum ps from the 52 day accretion disk precession period, there was no evidence of a superhum p period when the data were run through a periodogram. These results are consistent with the ndings of Shahbaz et al. (2005) whose optical data taken on 2003 June suggested that if a superhum p m odulation existed, it was at the < 0.50% level. Shahbaz et al. (2005) also observed stochastic variability and fast ares in their light curves. However, the observed stochastic variability had the sam e m agnitude as their photom etric error bars, and despite the signi cant power in the power density spectrum, the area seen in XTE J1118+480 had roughly the sam e m agnitude as the spread in the

⁴See http://www.noao.edu/kpno/sqiid/

 $^{{}^{5}}$ RAF is distributed by the National Optical A stronom y Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronom y, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

com parison star m easurements. The 2003 and 2004 V - and R-band light curves presented here were consistent in shape and am plitude. As Table 1 shows, two thirds of the nights that XTE J1118+480 was observed, data was gathered for at least 75% of an orbit, with more than one full orbit covered on four of the nine nights. No evidence for unequal maxima, ares, or light curve distortions were found in the unphased data. The periodogram results are consistent with the results from Torres et al. (2004) and therefore all data presented here have been phased to their ephemeris. These are the rst H - and K s-band detections of ellipsoidal variations from this X-ray binary system.

3.1. Ellipsoidal M odels

The spectral type of the secondary star can be estimated by comparing its red optical spectrum with the spectra of stars with various spectral types from the same lum inosity class. A Iternatively, one can use a spectral energy distribution (SED), and published lim its on the spectral type to not only derive an e ective tem perature of the secondary star, but to also estimate both the visual extinction and contamination level. Given that photometric data usually has a higher S/N than spectroscopic data, an e ective temperature derived using photom etry can be just as useful as a spectral type derived from a spectroscopic data set. To this end, we com pared the observed optical/IR (BVRJHK_s) SED for XTE J1118+480 with the observed SEDs for KOV { M 4V stars (Bessell & Brett 1988; Bessell 1991; M ikam i & Heck 1982). We present the best tting SED in Figure 3, and nd that it predicts a visual extinction of $A_V = 0.065$ 0.020 m ags, and a secondary star spectral type of K 7V. It also includes 60 { 70% light from the accretion disk at B and 30 { 35% at V. A K5V gives a slightly worse t, and predicts more R-band light than is observed, as well as a sm aller am ount of disk light in the B - and V -bands, inconsistent with previously published values. The visual extinction found here is consistent with the column density adopted by M cC lintock, Narayan, & Rybicki (2004, N $_{\rm H}$ = 1.2 10^{20} cm 2) based on three independent m easurements, and the spectral type found here is consistent with those found through spectral tting (M oc lintook et al. 2003; Torres et al. 2004, K 5/7 V).

Light from the prim ary object or accretion disk in an X-ray binary will act to dilute the am plitude of the ellipsoidal variations of the secondary star. Torres et al. (2004) estim ate that the secondary star in the XTE J1118+ 480 system contributes roughly 55% of the total ux between 5800A and 6400A; however, at R = 18.6, the system was not in true quiescence when their observations were taken. Zurita et al. (2002b) determ ined that XTE J1118+ 480 has a true quiescent R-band m agnitude of 18.9. The optical data presented here (R = 19.00) are consistent with this value, and thus we contend that the system was in a quiescent state

during our observations. In addition, D oppler in aging of the system did not detect any H em ission from a hot spot or accretion stream (Torres et al. 2004). Since our observations took place while XTE J1118+480 was in true quiescence, the optical data presented here are consistent with both of the Torres et al. (2004) results.

The most di cult contam ination source to extract in the case of XTE J1118+ 480 is the one that has the shallowest spectral slope. If the disk contam ination in the infrared is based on the assumption that the optically thin disk radiates through free-free emission processes, and we therefore ascribe the entire V-band excess to free-free emission, then in the K_s-band, the contam ination would be 8%. An 8% contam ination in the infrared bands would cause the observed orbital inclination angle of the system to be underestim ated by 2 . W hat if we instead assume that any IR contam ination is ascribed to a jet or some form of an advection dom inated accretion ow (ADAF)? M odels by Yuan & Cui (2005) for XTE J1118+ 480 in quiescence show that both the jet and ADAF ux in the IR are predicted to constitute signi cantly less than 8% of the companion ux.

Invadiation of the secondary star by the accretion disk will a ect the symmetry of the ellipsoidal light curves. Torres et al. (2004) investigated the possibility of X-ray invadiation powering the H emission seen in their D oppler tom ogram s of the XTE J1118+480 system. They concluded that it was improbable that this could be the case, and found that the strength of the H emission from the secondary star was comparable with other K dwarfs. Sim ilarly, we see no signi cant evidence for irradiation e ects in the unphased data or the light curves presented here.

As in Gelino, Harrison, & Orosz (2001), we simultaneously modeled the optical and infrared light curves of XTE J1118+480 with W D 98 (W ilson 1998). See Gelino, Harrison, & M cN am ara (2001) for references and a basic description of the code, and Gelino (2001) for a more comprehensive description.

The data were run through W D 98's D C program which uses a Simplex algorithm for initial parameter searches and a damped least squares algorithm for error minimization between the data and model light curves. We ran the code for a semi-detached binary with the primary component's gravitational potential set so that all of its mass is concentrated at a point. The most important wavelength-independent input values to W D 98 are listed in Table 2. The models were run for a range of inclination angles with parameters for a K 3V through an M 1V secondary star. The secondary star atm osphere was determined from solar-metallicity K unucz models. We used normal, non-irradiated, square-root limb darkening coe cients (van Hamme 1993). We also adopted gravity darkening exponents found by C laret (2000), and mass ratios ranging from q = 0.030 - 0.056 (Harlaftis et al. 1999; O rosz 2001). We assumed that the secondary star was not exhibiting any star spots

during our observations. The models were run with varying amounts of additional light in all bands. Solving for six consistent light curve solutions simultaneously allowed the rejection of many disk light scenarios.

W ith 118 degrees of freedom, the best t m odel had a reduced 2 of 1.65. W hile the error on the best t inclination angle was dependent on combining the uncertainties from varying all of the m odel parameters simultaneously, we found that changing the spectral type of the secondary from a K 5V to an M 1V resulted in a change in the orbital inclination angle of 1. Similarly, varying q from 0.030 to 0.056 a ected i by 1. We nd that the best tting B -, V -, R -, J -, H -, and K s-band m odel has i = 68, and the parameters found in Table 3. Figure 1 presents this m odel for the optical bands, while Figure 2 presents this m odel for the J -, H -, and K s-band light curves.

3.2. The M odel and its Uncertainties

Based on our optical/IR SED, as well as published values, we adopt a secondary star spectral type of K7 (Torres et al. 2004; M oc lintook et al. 2001) with a temperature of T_e = 4250 K (G ray 1992). The corresponding gravity darkening exponent is $_1 = 0.34$.

M odeling six light curves simultaneously is a robust m ethod for constraining the am ount of extra light in the system . If we m odel the light curves individually and assume that all of the light in the system comes from the secondary star, the best ting orbital inclination angle for X TE J1118+ 480 varies with wavelength. Since the contam inating light does not have the same spectrum as the secondary star the light curves at each wavelength are diluted by di erent am ounts, causing the best t inclination for the a ected bands to be di erent from the others. This is the case for the B - and V -band light curves. If a jet or other contam inant were to have a at spectrum that only a ected the IR, the results from the SED would most likely not m atch those obtained from spectral measurem ents, and we would not be able to determ ine a reasonable param eter set and inclination angle is i = 68 with 62% disk light in B, and 31% disk light in V. These disk light contributions are consistent with those found through the SED tting.

Using an estimate of 8% for the infrared accretion disk contamination in the system gives an inclination of $68^{+2.8}_{2}$, however, if we articially add in 8% or more infrared light, we are unable to obtain a reasonable solution for the orbital inclination angle that is consistent throughout the entire data set. Therefore, based on the IR colors of the system (J-K = 1.1 0.3), the SED t, and the results of the simultaneous light curve modeling, it

appears unlikely that the infrared light curves are signi cantly a ected by any such contam – ination. In order to determ ine the nalerror on the orbital inclination angle, we plotted the 2 values as a function of i. Therefore, based on the error in each of the m odel parameters including q, the spectral type (i.e. tem perature) of the secondary star, the am ount of observed disk light, as well as the photom etric error bars, the orbital inclination angle is 68 2. We combined the determ ined inclination angle with the orbital period (P = 0.1699167 1.72 10 5 d), radial velocity of the secondary star (K $_2$ = 709 7 km; Torres et al. (2004)), and and the m ass ratio (q = 0.0435 0.0100) to nd the m ass of the prim ary object. A M onte C arb routine was used to propagate the errors on the above quantities and gives a prim ary m ass of 8.53 0.60 M , con rm ing it as a black hole.

The constraints on the mass of the black hole in this system presented here represent a considerable in provem ent over those previously published. W agner et al. (2001) determ ined a mass of 6.0 { 7.7 M from data obtained before XTE 1118+480 had entered a quiescent 18.3). M cC lintock et al. (2001) gave an upper lim it of M $_1$ state (R 10 M , and more recently, M cC lintock, Narayan, & Rybicki (2004) adopted a m ass of 8 M for their calculations of the therm allem ission from the black hole in the system. The 8.53 M black hole mass determined here is consistent with the adopted mass of M cC lintock, Narayan, & Rybicki (2004), and falls nicely into the current observed black hole mass distribution. Theoretical models by Fryer & Kalogera (2001) predict that there should exist a greater number of 3 { 5 M black holes than 5 { 12 M black holes; how ever, most of the determ ined black hole masses thus far have fallen into a 6 { 14 M range. In fact, thus far, GRO J0422+32 is the only system with a compact object that falls into the 3 { 5 M range (Gelino & Harrison 2003, 3.97 0.95 M).

U sing the m ass of the compact object and the orbital period, we computed the orbital separation of the two components in the system . We then combined the separation with the m ass ratio to nd the size of the Roche lobe for the secondary star. The temperature of the secondary and its Roche lobe radius were then used to nd the secondary's bolom etric lum inosity and bolom etric absolute m agnitude. A fler accounting for the bolom etric correction (Bessell 1991), the distance m odulus for the J, H, and K_s bands were used to nd an average distance of 1.72 0.10 kpc. Consistent with results from the m odeling and SED tting, this calculation assumes that all of the IR light in the system originates from the secondary star. Table 3 lists all of the derived param eters for the XTE J1118+ 480 system. Both the m ass and radius of the secondary star are sm aller than that of a K 7V ZAM S star. In addition, the infrared colors of the system and its position in the G alactic halo, support the notion that the secondary star in the XTE J1118+ 480 system may be evolved.

4. Sum m ary

In this paper, we have presented the rst observed H - and K -band ellipsoidal variations, as well as the rst B -, V -, and R -band ellipsoidal variations observed while X T E J1118+ 480 was in a truly quiescent state. The derived parameters in Table 3 are based on the modeling of these variations including disk contributions of 62% in the B -band and 31% in the V - band. Consistent with Shahbaz et al. (2005) and Fitzgerald & O rosz (2003), we do not see evidence for any optical superhum p light or irradiation in the system. This supports the the fact that the system was in a truly quiescent state during our observations.

W hile the orbital inclination angle found here is lower than that found by groups who optically studied the system while approaching quiescence and exhibiting superhumps (Zurita et al. 2002a, i=71 { 82), it is consistent with that found from data taken in true quiescence that suggest no signi cant superhum p activity (Fitzgerald & O rosz 2003, i=63 { 73). Furtherm ore, the distance we nd is consistent with those found previously through both optical (W agner et al. 2001; M cC lintock et al. 2001, 1.9 0.4 kpc, 1.8 0.6 kpc, respectively) and infrared (M ikola jew ska et al. 2005, 1.4 0.2 kpc) observations.

Simultaneously modeling multi-wavelength light curves allows us to better constrain the amount of disk light in an X-ray binary system. As a result, we have been able to constrain the mass of the black hole in the XTE 1118+480 system to 8.53 0.60 M , and the distance to the system of 1.72 0.10 kpc.

SB acknow ledges support from the Turkish A cademy of Sciences TUBA-GEBIP (D istinguished Young Scientist) Fellow ship. EK acknow ledges partial support from TUBITAK. UK and SB acknow ledge the Turkish N ational O bærvatory of TUBITAK for providing the facilities for the optical observations. This publication m akes use of data products from the Two M icron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of M assachusetts and the Infrared P rocessing and A nalysis C enter/C alifornia Institute of Technology, funded by the N ational A eronautics and Space A dm inistration and the N ational Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Bessel, M.S. 1991, AJ, 101, 662
- Bessell, M.S.& Brett, J.M. 1988, PASP, 100 1134
- Charles, P.A., & Coe, M.J. 2003, astro-ph/0308020
- Chen, W , Shrader, C.R., & Livio, M. 1997, ApJ, 491, 312
- Claret, A. 2000, A&A, 359, 289
- Fitzgerald, M. & Orosz, J.A. 2003, AAS, # 6.01
- Fryer, C.L. & Kalogera, V. 2001, ApJ, 554, 548
- Garcia, M., Brown, W., Pahre, M., McClintock, J., Callanan, P., & Garnavich, P.2000, IAU Circ. 7392
- Garcia, M. R., McClintock, J. E., Narayan, R., Callanan, P., Barret, D., & Murray, S. S. 2001, ApJ, 553, L47
- Gelino, D.M. 2001, Ph.D. Thesis, New Mexico State University
- Gelino, D.M., Harrison, T.E., & M. Namara, B.J. 2001, AJ, 122, 971
- Gelino, D.M., Harrison, T.E., & Orosz, J.A. 2001, AJ, 122, 2668
- Gelino, D.M. & Harrison, T.E. 2003, ApJ, 599, 1254
- Gray, D.F. 1992, Cambridge Astrophysics Series # 20, The Observations and Analysis of Stellar Photospheres (New York: Cambridge University Press)
- Harlaftis, E.T., Collier, S., Home, K., & Filippenko, A.V. 1999, A&A, 341, 491
- Hunt, L.K., Mannucci, F., Testi, L., Migliorini, S., Stanga, R.M., Baa, C., Lisi, F., & Vanzi, L. 1998, AJ, 115, 2594
- M cc lintock, J.E., Narayan, R., & Rybicki, G.B. 2004, ApJ, 615, 402
- M cC lintock, J.E., Narayan, R., Garcia, M.R., Orosz, J.A., Rem illard, R.A., & Murray, S.S. 2003, ApJ, 593, 435
- M cC lintock, J.E., Garcia, M.R., Caldwell, N., Falco, E.E., Garnavich, P.M., & Zhao, P. 2001, ApJ, 551, L147

- Mikami, T.& Heck, A. 1982, PASJ, 34, 529
- Mikolajewska, J., Rutkowski, A., Goncalves, D., & Szostek, A. 2005, astro-ph/0505534
- 0 rosz, J.A. 2001, ATel, 67, 1
- Rem illard, R., Morgan, E., Sm ith, D., & Sm ith, E. 2000, IAUC, 7389
- Shahbaz, T., Dhillon, V.S., Marsh, T.R., Casares, J., Zurita, C., Charles, P.A., Haswell, C.A., & Hynes, R.I. 2005, MNRAS, 362, 975
- Stetson, P.B. 1987, PASP, 99, 191
- Torres, M. A. P, Callanan, P. J., Garcia, M. R., Zhao, P., Laycock, S., & Kong, A. K. H. 2004, ApJ, 612, 1026
- van Hamme, W .1993, AJ, 106, 2096
- Wagner, R.M., Foltz, C.B., Shahbaz, T., Casares, J., Charles, P.A., Starreld, S.G., & Hewett, P.2001, ApJ, 556, 42
- W inands, R. 2004, astro-ph/0405089
- W ilson, R E. 1998, in Reference M anual to the W ilson-D evinney Program, C om puting B inary Star O bservables, Version 1998 (G ainsville, FL:Univ.Florida)
- Yuan, F., Cui, W. 2005, ApJ, 629, 408
- Zurita, C., Casares, J., Shahbaz, T., Wagner, R.M., Foltz, C.B., Rodriguez-Gil, P., Hynes, R.I., Charles, P.A., Ryan, E., Schwarz, G., & Starreld, S.G. 2002, MNRAS, 333, 791
- Zurita, C., Casares, J., Martinez-Pais, I.G., Piccioni, A., Bemabei, S., Bartolini, C., Guamieri, A. 2002, IAU Circ # 7868

This preprint was prepared with the AAS $\mathbb{P}T_EX$ m acros v5.0.

Table 1. Observations of XTE J1118+480

D ate	Filter	Exp Tim e ^a	% OrbitalCoverage
2003 Jan 17 2003 Jan 18 2003 Jun 4 2003 Jun 5 2003 Jun 6	J;H;K _s J;H;K _s V;R V;R V;R V;R	200 200 240 240 240 240	179 172 14 ^b 9 ^c 62 ^b 73 ^c 44 ^b 40 ^c
2004 M ar 18 2004 M ar 19 2004 Apr 23 2004 Apr 24	V R B B	300 300 420 420	192 224 28 83

^aE ective exposure time per in age in seconds

^bO rbital coverage percentage for the V lter

 $^{\rm c}{\rm O}$ rbital coverage percentage for the R ~ lter

Table 2. W avelength-Independent W D 98 Input Param eters

Param eter	Value	
Orbital Period (days)	0.1699339	
Ephemeris (HJD phase 0.0)ª	2451880.1086	
O rbital E coentricity	0.0	
Tem perature of Secondary (K)	4250	
MassRatio (M_2/M_1)	0.0435	
Atm osphere M odel	Kurucz (log g = 4.59)	
LimbDarkeningLaw	Square-root	
Secondary Star G ravity D arkening Exponent	₁ =0.34	
Secondary Star Bolom etric A lbedo	0.676	

^aFrom Torres et al. (2004)

Table 3. Derived Param eters for XTE J1118+480

Parameter	Value ^a	
Amount of Disk Light at B (%)	62	3
Amount of Disk Light at V (%)	31	3
0 rbital Inclination Angle ()	68	2
Primary Object Mass M $_1$ (M)	8 : 53	0 : 60
Secondary StarMassM $_2$ (M)	0:37	0:03
Orbital Separation a (R)	2 : 67	0:06
Secondary StarRadiusR $_{\rm L_2}$ (R)	0:43	0:01
D istance (kpc)	1:72	0:10

^aE mors are 1 ($^2 = 1$)



Fig. 1. | XTE J1118+480 B-, V-, and R-band light curves from 2003 (open circles) and 2004 (led triangles). The data are plotted over two phase cycles for clarity. Error bars are 1. The solid line represents the best tting (i = 68) W D 98 m odel as described in the text.



Fig. 2. | XTE J1118+480 J-, H-, and K_s-band light curves (circles). The data are plotted over two phase cycles for clarity. Error bars are 1 . The solid line represents the best tting (i = 68) W D 98 m odel as described in the text.



Fig. 3. | XTE J1118+480 phase averaged optical-infrared quiescent SED dereddened by $A_V = 0.065 \text{ m}$ ag (led circles). Error bars are 1 . The observed data were compared with SEDs for KOV -M 4V stars with $A_V = 0.045 - 0.085 \text{ m}$ ag. The best t SED, norm alized at H, is that of a K7V with 65% extra light at B and 33% extra light at V (open stars).