IR S Spectra of Solar-Type Stars: A Search for A steroid Belt Analogs

C.A.Beichm an^{1;2}, A.Tanner², G.Bryden², K.R.Stapelfeldt², M.W.Werner², G.H. Rieke³, D.E.Trilling³, S.Law ler¹, T.N.Gautier²

1) M ichelson Science Center, California Institute of Technology, M /S 100-22, Pasadena, CA, 91125

2) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 4800 Oak Grove Dr, Pasadena, CA 91109

3) Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Ave, Tucson, AZ 85721

chas@pop.jpl.nasa.gov

ABSTRACT

We report the results of a spectroscopic search for debris disks surrounding 41 nearby solar type stars, including 8 planet-bearing stars, using the Spitzer Space Telescope. With accurate relative photom etry using the Infrared Spectrom eter $(\mathbb{R}S)$ between 7-34 m we are able to look for excesses as small as 2% of photospheric levels with particular sensitivity to weak spectral features. For stars with no excess, the 3 upper limit in a band at 30-34 m corresponds to 75 tim es the brightness of our zodiacal dust cloud. Com parable lim its at 8.5-13 m correspond to 1,400 tim es the brightness of our zodiacal dust cloud. These limits correspond to material located within the <1 to 5 AU region that, in our solar system, originates from debris associated with the asteroid belt. We nd excessem ission longward of 25 m from vestars of which four also show excess em ission at 70 m. This em itting dust must be located around 5-10 AU.One star has 70 m icron em ission but no IRS excess. In this case, the em itting region must begin outside 10 AU; this star has a known radial velocity planet. Only two stars of the ve show emission shortward of 25 m where spectral features reveal the presence of a population of sm all, hot dust grains em itting in the 7-20 m band. The data presented here strengthen the results of previous studies to show that excesses at 25 m and shorter are rare: only 1 star out of 40 stars older than 1 G yr or 2:5% shows an excess. A steroid belts 10-30 times more m assive than our own appear are rare am ong m ature, solar-type stars.

Subject headings: (stars:) circum stellar matter, debris disks, Kuiper Belt, Asteroid Belt, com ets, infrared, extra-solar planets

1. Introduction

The infrared excess associated with main sequence stars (Aumann et al. 1984) is produced by dust orbiting at distances ranging from less than 1 to more than 100 AU. In discussing these debris disks we often use the analogy of our Solar System, where dust is generated by collisions between larger bodies in the asteroid and Kuiper belts, as well as outgassing com ets. As in the Solar System, we distinguish between: a) hot (200-500 K) debris located relatively close to the star (<1 AU out to 5 AU) and arising in a region dom inated by refractory material, e.g. debris associated with an asteroid belt (D erm ott et al. 2002); and b) cooler debris (< 30 200 K) located beyond 5 AU that may be associated with more volatile, com etary material, i.e. the analog of our Kuiper Belt. Observed dust lum inosities range from $L_{dust}=L_{?}$ ' 10 ⁵ to greater than 10 ³ for main-sequence stars, com pared to $L_{dust}=L_{?}$ ' 10 ⁷ measured for our own Kuiper belt (Stem 1996; Backman, D asgupta, & Stencel 1995) and 10 ⁷ measured for our asteroid belt (Backman & Paresce 1993; D erm ott et al. 2002).

The FGK survey of solar-type stars is a Spitzer GTO program designed to search for excesses around a broad sample of 148 nearby, F5-K5 m ain-sequence eld stars, sampling wavelengths from 7-34 m with the Infrared Spectrograph (IRS; Houck et al. 2004) and 24 and 70 m with the Multiband Im aging Photom eter for Spitzer (M IPS; Rieke et al. 2004). In the context of our paradigm, IRS is well suited to searching for and characterizing hot dust in an asteroid belt analogue while M IPS is better suited to studying cooler m aterial in a K uiper Belt analogue. The sam ple consists of two components | stars with planets and those without. The M IPS observations of planet-bearing stars are discussed in Beichm an et al. (2005a) and of non planet-bearing stars in Bryden et al. (2006). This paper presents the results of the IRS survey of a sub-sam ple of 39 stars, 7 with planets, and the remainder without planets based on current know ledge from radial velocity program s. In addition, the paper includes IRS follow-up observations of 2 stars (one of which is planet-bearing) that were not originally scheduled for spectroscopy, but which were found to have a 70 m excess. R esults for one star with a notable IRS spectrum, HD 69830, have been presented elsewhere (Beichm an et al. 2005b) but willbe summarized below in the context of the com plete survey.

The IRS portion of the program was designed with four goals in m ind: 1) to extend the wavelength coverage beyond that possible with M IPS to look for dust em itting either short-ward or longward of M IPS's 24 m band; 2) to take advantage of IRS's broad wavelength

coverage to reduce system atic errors and thus, possibly, identify smaller excesses than previously possible; 3) to constrain the spatial distribution of dust grains by assessing the range of tem peratures present in a given debris disk; and 4) to search for m ineralogical features in the spectra of any excesses. In this paper we describe the sam ple of stars (x2); present our calibration procedure and give the reduced spectra for each star (x3 and Appendix-1); discuss detections of or limits to IR excesses (x4); present m odels of the excesses in the 4 stars with IRS and M IPS 70 um excesses and discuss the nature of their debris disks; discuss overall results and conclusions (x5 and x6).

2. The Sam ple

The details of the sam ple are presented in Bryden et al. (2006). In brief, the survey consists of solar-type stars with main sequence spectral types ranging from F5 to K5, m ost of which are within roughly 25 parsecs. Some stars at larger distances were included because they were known to possess planets via radial velocity studies (Butler et al. 1999; M arcy et al. 2002). A sub-sam ple of 39 stars covering the full range of spectral types was selected for IRS observations. Table 1 describes 41 stars including follow -up observations of two stars earlier found by M IPS to have excesses (Table 4) (Beichm an et al. 2005a; Bryden et al. 2006). Of the 39 original survey stars, seven are known to have planets from radial velocity studies with one additional planet-bearing star (HD 128311) selected for follow -up due to a possible M IPS excess. We also report M IPS results obtained after the observations reported in (Beichm an et al. 2005a; Bryden et al. 2006) for 9 stars in the spectroscopic sam ple (Table 3); three of the stars observed with IRS have not yet been observed with M IPS due to the as yet incom plete scheduling of the GTO program. Of the 9 new stars observed with M IPS, none has a 24 or 70 m excess.

3. Observations and D ata R eduction

3.1. IR S Spectra

We observed each starw ith the three longest wavelength m odules of the IRS: ShortLo-1 (SL1, 7.5-14.0 m), LongLo-2 (LL2, 14.0-20.5 m) and LongLo-1 (LL1, 20-34 m). The basic observing sequence and associated data reduction have been described in Beichm an et al. (2005b) and are reviewed in the Appendix. In sum m ary, we have used the fact that the m a prity of the sample shows no excess at M IPS wavelengths (24 or 70 m) or in an initial exam ination of the IRS data to derive a "super- at" to improve the relative and absolute

calibration of all the IRS spectra. A fler calibration with respect to photospheric models tted to shorter wavelength (< 5 m) photom etry, the agreem ent between the Spitzer/IRS data with IRAS and Spitzer/M IPS photom etry is excellent: IRS/IRAS(12 m) = 0.96 0.01; IRS/IRAS(25 m) = 0.93 0.01; and IRS/M IPS (24 m) = 0.99 0.03.

To bok for IR excesses we rst exam ined di erences between the attened, calibrated spectra and photospheric models appropriate to each star (see Appendix-1 and Bryden et al. (2006)). For convenience, we de ne two "photom etric bands" useful for isolating either the silicate features (8.5-13 m) or a long wavelength excess (30-34 m). For all 41 stars in the sample, the average level of the deviation from an extrapolation of the photospheric emission, (F (Observed) F (Photosphere))=F (Photosphere), has values of 0.007 0.067 in the 8.5-13 m band and 0.006 0.066 in the 30-34 m band. Only HD 69830 (Beichm an et al. 2005b) stands out as having a signi cant excess from this rst look at the data.

However, a closer exam ination of the individual spectra showed that in most cases the data for an individual IRS module di ered from the extrapolated photospheric em ission in a system atic way that could be attributed to a simple scale or o set error. W ithout worrying about the source of the scale error (due to either the photospheric extrapolation or residual calibration errors in the IRS spectra), we derived a new calibration factor for each star and for each IRS module using the rst 10 points of each module, e ectively pinning the IRS spectrum to the photosphere at the short wavelength end of each of the three IRS modules: SL1 from 7.5 to 8.0 m; LL2 from 14.0 to 19.8 m; and LL1 from 19.5 to 21 m. Values of this calibration factor deviated from unity on a star-by-star basis by less than 10%. This technique produced much smaller residuals showing no signi cant deviations from zero over the entire IRS wavelength range (Figures-1a and b) for the vast majority of the sample. The dispersion in the deviation from a sm ooth photosphere was reduced from 7% to 1.3% (8.5-13 m) and 1.7% (30-34 m).¹ A longer wavelength excess beyond the rst ten data points was not a ected by the choice of the number of points used to set the norm alization. U sing this technique, we found no deviation from a stellar photosphere for the majority of stars. However, as described below, we did nd clear evidence of an excess rising up at wavelengths longward of 25 m in ve stars and a silicate feature appearing longward of 8 m in two stars.

Unlike the other stars in the survey, HD 101501 showed anom alous structure at the few

 $^{^{1}}$ W e also exam ined a star-by-star norm alization factor derived using the entire module, not just the star 10 points. This choice resulted in a similar reduction in dispersion, but had deleterious e ects on the few sources identied with excesses rising to longer wavelengths.

percent level in its spectrum which could not be rem oved using the norm alizations described above. The module-wide deviations from atness are suggestive of uncorrected at-eld errors, not astrophysical e ects. W e put an upper limit of 5% of the photospheric emission on any excess for this star. One or two stars, e.g. HD 76151 (Figure 4), showed sm all residual o sets of a few m Jy between the spectrum and the photosphere in the 8-14 m band where the star is brightest. A s these "features" are at a level less than the 1.5% dispersion in the excesses averaged over the entire sample, we do not regard them to be signi cant.

After attening and normalizing the IRS spectra as described above, we estimated the fractional excess (F (O bserved) F (P hotosphere))=F (P hotosphere). Figure 1 gives histograms of the fractional excess estimated in 8.5-13 and 30-34 m "pass bands". In assessing the signi cance of an excess we boked at the internal uncertainty in the ux density m easurem ent of a given star and the fractional excess relative to the 1:5% dispersion of the entire sample (Table 2). Typically, the uncertainty in the fractional excess relative to the population as a whole is more important in assessing the reality of a spectral feature. There are a number of stars which appear to have a signi cant excess when looking only at the internal uncertainties in ux density, but which are not so in pressive when com pared to the dispersion in the overall population. If we require any excess to be more signi cant than 3 times the population averaged dispersion (1.3% 8-13 m and 1.7% 30-34 m, Figure 1), then based on the data presented in Table 2 we can claim statistically signi cant 30-34 m excesses for ve stars (HD 7570, HD 69830, HD 72905, HD 76151, HD 206860). One star, HD 69830, shows a highly signi cant silicate feature starting around 8 m. Four of these sources, but not HD 69830, also show a 70 m excess. In the case of HD 72905 which also shows a 70 m excess, its 8-13 m ux density excess is highly signi cant relative to internal uncertainties (12), its fractional excess (2.8%) is modestly signi cant (2.2) relative to the dispersion in the population, and the spectrum (discussed below) closely matches that expected for silicate emission. Thus, we regard the 8-13 m excess of HD 72905 as real.

Figure 2a-fshows the IRS spectra for six sources (HD 128311, HD 216437, HD 7570, HD 72905, HD 76151, HD 206860) plotted in three di erent ways: a) their calibrated spectrum; b) their excess relative to photosphere after norm alization with respect to the rst 10 points of each m odule, and c) the fractional am ount of the excess relative to the photosphere after norm alization. The dashed lines in the bottom panel show an estimate of the 2 dispersion in the deviations from the photospheric models. Fractional deviations between these lines should be regarded with skepticism. The rst two stars (HD 128311, HD 216437) show no apparent excess and are representative of the bulk of the sam ple. The last four stars (HD 7570, HD 72905, HD 76151, HD 206860, plus HD 69830 shown in Beichman et al. 2005b) have excesses based on statistical criteria de ned above.

3.2. New M IPS Data

M IPS observations for nine stars not previously reported (Beichm an et al. 2005a; Bryden et al. 2006) are sum marized in Table 3. The 24 and 70 m photom etry were derived using processing steps detailed in Bryden et al. (2006) and is based on the DAT software developed by the M IPS instrum ent team (G ordon et al. 2005). For consistency, we use the same analysis tools and calibration numbers as were adopted by Beichm an et al. (2005a) and Bryden et al (2006).

At 24 m, we carried out aperture photom etry on reduced in ages using an aperture of 6 cam era pixels (1 pixel= $2.5^{\circ\circ}$ at 24 m) in radius, a background annulus from 12 to 17 pixels, and an aperture correction of 1.15. The ux level is calibrated at 1.047 Jy/arcsec²/(DN/s), with a default color correction of 1.00 appropriate for sources warm er than 4000 K at a weighted-average wavelength of 23.68 m.

At 70 m we used in ages processed beyond the standard DAT software in order to correct for time-dependent transients, corrections which can significantly improve the sensitivity of the measurements (G ordon et al. 2005). Because the accuracy of the 70 m data is limited by background noise, we used a relatively small aperture of just 1.5 pixels (1.5 9^{0}) in radius and a 4 to 8 pixel radius sky annulus. This aperture size requires a relatively high aperture correction of 1.79. The ux level is calibrated at 15,800 Jy/arcsec²/M IPS_70_unit, again with a default color correction of 1.00.

The 24 and 70 m data are presented in Table 3 which also includes a comparison with the predicted level of photospheric emission based on models tted to 2M ASS and shorter wavelength data (Bryden et al. 2006). None of the new objects shows an excess at 24 m. However, since accurate 2M ASS data are not available for most of these bright (K_s < 6 m ag) stars due to saturation e ects, a signi cant part of the dispersion for the stars studied herein is probably due to the uncertainties in the photospheric extrapolation. We de ne the parameter 70 = (F (O bserved) F (P hotosphere)) = at 70 m where is a combination of internal and calibration uncertainties as discussed in detail in Bryden et al. (2006). U sing 70 as a criterion, none of the new objects show a signi cant 70 m excess.

The 30-34 m excess toward the ve stars described above (HD 7570, HD 69830, HD 72905, HD 76151, HD 206860) have been carefully compared with M \mathbb{P} S observations at 24 m (Bryden et al. 2006). A sample of 87 stars in the FGK survey selected for having no 70 m excess (M \mathbb{P} S-70/Photosphere<1.5) has a 2- clipped average of the M \mathbb{P} S-24/Photosphere ratio=0.978 0.001 with a dispersion of 0.056 (Bryden et al. 2006). HD 69830 is clearly detected as a strong M \mathbb{P} S-24 excess with a M \mathbb{P} S-24/Photosphere 1.5. HD 76151 and HD 206860 have M \mathbb{P} S-24/Photosphere ratios of 1.00 and 0.96 and show no

evidence for 24 m excess. HD 72905 and HD 7570 represent interm ediate cases, however, with M IPS-24/Photosphere ratios of 1.064 and 1.053. W hile the nom inal dispersion for the 24 m data would suggest that these M IPS-24 excesses are not signi cant (< 2), further analysis of the M IPS data (K ate Su, 2005, private communication) suggests that the com - bined photospheric and M IPS uncertainties may be closer to 2% in which case these m odest excesses may turn out to be real. In a similar vein, Bryden et al. (2006) have identi ed a weak general trend (1:5 2) for stars with 70 m excesses to have slightly higher M IPS-24/P hotosphere ratios than for stars with out a longer wavelength excesses. If the weak M IPS excesses hinted at here can be m ade m ore signi cant with careful calibration and validation using IRS, then it may be possible to look for weak, warm excesses in a much larger sam ple of objects than would be possible with IRS alone.

4. Results

4.1. Statistics of detections

We detected signi cant IRS excess em ission in ve sources including HD 69830 (Beichm an et al. 2005b). Of these ve stars, all except HD 69830 also have a M IPS 70 m excess. Two stars, HD 128311 and HD 206860, were included explicitly for IRS follow-up observations because they had M IPS excess em ission. HD 128311 shows no IRS excess² while HD 206860 does show an excess at 30-34 m. Out of the 39 stars in the initial survey, we have identi ed 30 m IRS excesses around four stars (HD 69830, HD 72905, HD 7570, HD 76151), or 11 5%, which is consistent with the fraction of stars with excesses found at 70 m (Bryden et al. 2006). Including the two follow-up stars (HD 206860 and HD 128311) brings the detection rate to 5 of 41 stars or 12 5% at 30 m. None of the eight planet-bearing stars have 30 m excesses, a sm aller fraction than seen with M IPS 70 m excesses, < 12% vs. 24 10% (B eichm an et al. 2005a). The result is suggestive of an absence of warm dust at levels detectable by IRS, but is not inconsistent with the expected fraction given the sm all num ber statistics.

If we apply a similar analysis to the 8-14 m portion of the spectrum, the corresponding statistics give detections of just two objects (HD 69830 and HD 72905) out of the 39 stars (with and without planets) in the initial survey, or 5.1 3.6%, and zero of eight planet-bearing stars. Removing HD 72905 from the overall statistics because it is quite young, 300 M yr

 $^{^{2}}$ The 70 m detection of HD 128311 (Beichman et al. 2005a) is marginal and awaits con mation in a pending MIPS observation.

(Spangler et al. 2001) based on its membership in the U rsa M a jor moving group, reduces the statistics to just 1 short wavelength excess out of 38 m ature stars (> 1 G yr as discussed in B ryden et al 2006) or < 2.5% in the initial survey. These data con rm the rarity of short wavelength excesses compared with ones at longer wavelengths. This result was rst noted on the basis of IRAS and ISO data (Aum ann & Probst 1991; M annings & Barlow 1998; Fa jardo-A costa, Beichm an & Cutri 2000; Laureijs et al. 2002) and recon rm ed with M IPS data (Beichm an et al. 2005a; B ryden et al. 2006), but is extended here to lower levels of em ission, i.e. 2% relative excess for IRS vs. 6–10% for IRAS and ISO. In the next section we convert these observational lim its into a lim it on the fractional lum inosity of dust in these system s, $L_{dust}=L_2$.

4.2. Lim its on the Fractional D isk Lum inosity, L_{dust}=L_?

A usefulm etric for the lim its on dust surrounding these stars is $L_d=L$, which is related to the fractional ux limit of an excess relative to the Rayleigh Jeans tail of the star's photosphere (Bryden et al. 2006):

$$\frac{F_{dust}}{F_{?}} = \frac{L_{dust}}{L_{?}} \frac{h T_{?}^{3}}{kT_{dust}^{4} (e^{h = kT_{dust}} - 1)}$$
(1)

From which it is easy to show that

$$\frac{L_d}{L} = \frac{F_{dust}}{F} \frac{e^{x_d}}{x_d} \frac{1}{T} \frac{T_d}{T}$$
(2)

where $F_{dust} = F$ (Observed) F (photosphere). At the peak of the black body curve $x_d = kT_d$ has a constant value of 3.91, corresponding to $T_d = 367$ K at 10 m. At this wavelength $L_d = L = 0.00345 \frac{5600K}{T}^3 F_d = F$. In Table 2 and Figure 3, we evaluate $L_{dust} = L_2$ for each star using the appropriate e ective temperature and luminosity (from SIM BAD, Table 1) and its measured fractional excess, $F_{dust} = F$, or in the case of an upper limit, 3 pop where pop is the dispersion in fractional excess averaged over the whole sam ple. We calculate these dust limits in the 8.5–13 m and the 30–34 m portions of the IRS spectrum assuming $T_d = 367$ K and $T_d = 115$ K at 10 and 32 m, respectively. This de nition $L_{dust} = L_2$ assumes that the emitting material is all located at the location where the peak of the T_d blackbody m atches the wavelength of observation. M ore emitting dust, and higher values of $L_{dust} = L_2$, would be required for material located substantially interior or exterior to this point.

The 3 limits on $L_{dust}=L_{?}$ at 8.5–13 m and 30–34 m have 2 clipped average values of $L_{dust}=L_{?} = 14$ 6 10 ⁵ and 0:74 0:31 10 ⁵ respectively (Table 2). In comparison with our solar system, $L_{dust}=L_{?}$ 10 ⁷ (Backman & Paresce 1993; Dermott et al. 2002), the IRS results set limits (3) on warm (360 K) dust peaking at 10 m of 1,400 times the level of emission in our solar system. For cooler dust (120 K) peaking at 30–34 m, the 3 limit corresponds to 74 times the nom inal $L_{dust}=L_{?}$ of our zodiacal cloud.

For objects with detections in the IRS bands, we can determ ine $L_{dust}=L_{?}$ explicitly by integrating over the data between 10-34 and extrapolating using the models discussed below out to 70 m. These values are given in Table 4 and are compared with the 70 m-only estimates obtained using equation (1). Including the IRS spectra increases the estimated $L_{dust}=L_{?}$ by about a factor of two relative to the 70 m-only estimate. For the nine stars with new upper limits to an excess at 70 m (Table 3), we also report limits on $L_{dust}=L_{?}$.

5. D iscussion

5.1. M odels of D isks around Solar Type Stars

We tted the IRS spectra and MIPS 70 m photom etry using a simple model of optically thin dust within one or two at dust annuli centered around the star. As a guide to subsequent modeling, we rst modeled the emission using blackbody grains at a single tem – perature in equilibrium with the parent star. From the ratio between the 30-34 m and the 70 m ux densities for each star we found (Table 5) grain tem peratures in the range 75-100 K with equilibrium distances of 8-14 AU for the four stars. Even though the uncertainties in the ux density ratio are quite large (25%), the tem perature and location of the grains are reasonably well de ned, 1 AU and 15 K, given the assumption of blackbody grains.

We calculated the power-law tem perature pro les, T (r) = T₀ (L=L) (r=r₀), for these grains as a function of stellar luminosity and distance from the central star by solving for the grain temperature at which the integrals over the absorbed stellar radiation and emitted thermal radiation were equal. The equilibrium temperature and power-law variation of temperature with distance and stellar luminosity depend on the assumed grain size and composition (Backman & Paresce 1993). As described below, we use 10 and 0.25 m silicate grains (D raine & Lee 1984; W eingartner & D raine 2001) for which the we obtained the follow ing numerical relationships for large and small grains, respectively: T (r) = 255 K (L=L)^{0.26} (r=AU)^{0.49} and T (r) = 362 K (L=L)^{0.29} (r=AU)^{0.41}. These calculated co-e cients and power-law constants closely follow analytical results (Backman & Paresce 1993).

We then calculated the dust excess by integrating over the surface brightness of a

disk between R₁ and R₂, with F () = $\frac{2}{D^2}$ ^R ₀()(r=r₀) ^pB (T (r))rdr. In calculating the wavelength-dependent dust optical depth, (), we adopted grain emissivities Q_{abs} appropriate to small (0.25 m) or large (10 m) grains (D raine & Lee 1984; W eingartner & D raine 2001). The integrated surface density in the wedge-shaped disk expected for grains dom inated by Poynting-R obertson drag is roughly uniform with radius, p = 0 (Burns et al. 1979; Buitrago & M ediavilla 1985; Backman 2004). We exam ined a num – ber of other cases with 0 < p < 1 that would re ect di erent dust dynam ics, but did not nd results that were substantially di erent from those for p = 0. Results of the model tting are shown in Figure 4 and Table 5 and are discussed below.

Two other Spitzer studies have recently been published with similar results to those found here. Hines et al (2006) identi ed a much younger (30 M yr) star, HD 120839, with an IRS spectrum similar to that of HD 69830. Reporting on early results from the FEPS survey (M eyer et al. 2004), K in et al (2005) found a number of stars with 70 m excesses with, in some cases, an accompanying excess in the IRS bands. The model results concerning the am ount of dust and its orbital location are similar to those presented here.

5.1.1. Single Ring M odels for HD 76151, HD 7570, HD 206860, and HD 128311

For HD 7570, HD 206860 and HD 76151, which show no statistically signi cant, short wavelength excess, we used only a single population of large, 10 m, am orphous, silicate grains (D raine & Lee 1984; W eingartner & D raine 2001), tting emission from a single annulus to 89 data points longward of 21 m (just longward of the last point used for the ux norm alization of the LL-1 IRS module) and including 70 m. By varying $_0$, R_1 and R_2 we were able to m inim ize the reduced 2 to values between 0.5-0.8 with 77 3 = 74 degrees of freedom.

The models for HD 76151 and HD 7570 require grains with a narrow spread of tem peratures around 100 K and located in the range of 7–10 AU. A ssum ing from 10 m grains, the predicted 850 m ux density from the HD 7570 disk is well below the 1 m Jy lim it from SCUBA (J.G reaves, private communication, 2005). The predicted 850 m ux density from a disk containing larger (100 m) grains this system would be approximately 1 m Jy, just at the SCUBA lim it. We cannot distinguish between the two grain sizes using only Spitzer data. HD 206860 has cooler grains (75 K) than the other stars with material located around 14 AU.

M assestimates are notoriously tricky to derive given uncertainties in grain sizes, but these three systems suggest dust masses around 1-2.6 $\,10^{-6}M$ (Table 5) for a silicate

grain density of 3.3 gm cm³. Extrapolating this estimate using the 3.5 index power law appropriate for a distribution of sizes from a collisional cascade (D ohnanyi 1969) up to a maximum size, R_{max} , of 10 km yields total mass estimates of 0.024-0.080 R_{max} =10km M. Submillimeter observations of all these sources would further constrain the dust size and distribution and thus the total mass of the emitting material.

Since the IRS data place only a limit on the emission shortward of 34 m for the planetbearing star HD 128311, the single grain model can be used to show that the inner edge of the disk seen at 70 m must start beyond 15 AU corresponding to material cooler than 50K.

5.1.2. Two Ring M odel for HD 72905

Since HD 72905 shows evidence for emission from small silicate grains starting around 8 m, we separately examined three models: 1) small grains over a broad range of distances; 2) small grains in two annuli, one close to the star and one further away; 3) small grains in a close-in annulus and large grains in a more distant annulus. For the small grains we used 0.25 m grains composed of either am orphous silicates or crystalline forsterite (M alfait et al. 1998; Jager et al. 1998). Unlike the dram atic case of HD 69830, small crystalline silicate grains provide a poor t to the 8-14 m data for this star (Figure 4). Am orphous silicate grains have a broad emission pro le particularly on the short-wavelength side which appears in the HD 72905 spectrum but not in HD 69830's. A model providing a good t to the data incorporates am orphous silicate grains located in an annulus extending from the grain sublimation distance (0.03 AU corresponding to 1500 K) out to 0.43 AU where the characteristic tem perature is 500 K. The spectrum suggests an upper limit of no more than 10% of crystalline forsterite grains (by number).

However, this small dust population cannot extend out continuously much beyond 0.4 AU. Even with a density fallo proportional to $r^{0.4}$ to r^{-1} , the presence of any dust between 1 and 10 AU produces far more radiation in the 20-70 m region than is observed in the combined IRS+M IPS data. Thus we reject model # 1 above. Rather, the data longward of 14 m suggest a second population of cooler material located beyond 10 AU. We exam ined models with either small grains (0.25 m, model # 2) or large grains (10 m, model # 3) em itting at temperatures less than 90 K. Both models # 2 and # 3 give reasonable to to the observations with reduced ²'s 0.8. As Table 5 indicates, a much greater quantity of sm all grains em itting at cooler temperatures is required to the data compared to a model using larger grains. This difference is due to the greatly reduced em issivity of sm all 0.25 m vs. large 10 m grains, e.g. at 70 m, $Q_{abs}= 0.008$ vs. 1.84 (Draine & Lee 1984;

Weingartner & Draine 2001).

A testable di erence between the large and sm allgrain m odels is the size of the em itting region. For sm allgrains, the em itting annulus extends between 109 and 310 AU, corresponding to a diam eter of 40^{00} at the 14.1 pc distance to the star. By contrast, large grains cool m ore e ciently and are located closer to the star, < 16 AU, for a predicted diam eter of only 2^{00} . Since there is no evidence that the 70 m im age of HD 72905 is extended, we favor the large grain m odel. The total am ount of m aterial in the HD 72905 disk is about a factor of two greater than in the other disks studied here.

5.2. Nature of Disks

5.2.1. Rarity of Emission from Warm Dust

The vast majority of the stars studied here, planet-bearing or not, have no emission interior to 10 AU at the levels to which IRS is sensitive, 75–1,400 times that of our zodiacal cloud. Since this result applies equally to stars with and without planets, there must be some general explanation for the absence of warm material around mature stars (> 1 G yr). A long term decline in the amount of debris expected on theoretical grounds (D om inik & D ecin 2003) and demonstrated with the envelope of the distribution of 24 m excesses seen in A stars, in plying an excess / $\frac{150M \text{ yr}}{t_{M \text{ yr}}}$ (Rieke et al. 2005), is consistent with the results of this survey. Modifying Eqns. (35)–(40) of D om inik and D ecin (2003) for param eters appropriate to an asteroid belt located between 1–5 AU leads to the conclusion that after one billion years, $L_{dust}=L_2$ in the IRS wavelength range should be 10⁶ and thus undetectable with IRS over a broad range of initial conditions.

Three of the disks (HD 7570, HD 206860, HD 76151) have an inner edge around 10 AU and may be only a few AU wide (Table 5). The cuto at wavelengths shorter than 25 m corresponds to temperatures < 75-100 K and location 7 AU. O ther stars observed in this or other Spitzer program s with 70 m excess that do NOT show an inner emission cuto at 25 m seem to have some extenuating circum stance: Vega with evidence for a recent collision (Su et al. 2005); Pic which is extremely young; Fom alhaut (Stapelfeldt et al. 2004), two young stars HD 72905 and HD 12039 (Hines et al. 2006) and HD 69830 (Beichman et al. 2005b) with a sharply bounded, interior dust belt originating, perhaps, in an active asteroid belt. If the observational trend for a general lack of m aterial interior to 10 AU continues as more Spitzer data accumulates, then it may be that this inner boundary to the "Kuiper Belt" is related to the ice-sublim ation distance $3L_{2}^{0:5} AU$ where signi cant amounts of material could have accumulated in the protoplanetary disk

(Thommes, Duncan & Levison 2003).

The amount of warm material around HD 72905 with $L_{dust}=L_2$ 18 10 ⁵ is well above values consistent with the simple models (Dom inik & Decin 2003) even given the relative youth of this star (0.3 G yr). The excess m ay be attributable to a recent collisional event between planetesim als as has been suggested by Kenyon and Brom ley (2004) and Rieke et al. (2005) for A stars observed at 24 m. As discussed in Beichman et al. (2005b), the disk ofhot, sm all grains around a m ature star, HD 69830, stands out as exceptional in this survey and may similarly be the result of a rare transient event in an unusually massive asteroid belt. In the case of steady-state emission (rather than transient events), the brightness of an excess will be proportional to the rst or second power of the number of colliding planetesim als, depending on whether the ultim ate loss mechanism for the sm allest grains is blow out by radiation pressure or PR-drag, respectively (Dom inik & Decin 2003). Since PRdrag is likely to be more e ective than blow out for the low lum inosity stars considered here (Sheret, Dent & W yatt 2004), a 74-1,400-fold brighter_zodiacal cloud than our own would in ply the existence of (or a lim it to) an asteroid belt 75 1400 35 tim es m ore 8 m assive than our own, depending on its location. The results of this IRS survey suggest that asteroid belts of such a large m ass are rare around m ature, solar type stars.

As pointed out by an anonymous referee, there are alternatives to the transient, collision hypothesis for the origin of the debris disks around mature stars. Stirring up a quiescent asteroid or K uiper belt by planetary migration caused by either orbital interactions (G om es et al. 2005; Strom et al. 2005) or to the e ects of a passing star (K enyon & B rom - ley 2004b) has been suggested as possible causes for analogues of the period of Late H eavy B om bardment in our solar system. A strong infrared excess could be a signpost of a similarly exciting period in other planetary systems. For the young stars HD 72905 (this survey) and HD 120839 (H ines et al. 2006) this analogy is quite plausible. On the other hand, for the older stars in this survey, including the > 2 G yr-old HD 69830, it is not clear that planetary migration is likely so long after the form ation of these planetary systems. C on rm ation of this hypothesis will require a more com plete census of planetary systems than is at present available.

5.2.2. Lack of W arm Dust and the Presence of P lanets

None of the planet-bearing stars show an IRS excess and only one, HD 128311, shows a M IPS excess. On the assumption that the gravitational in uence of a planet at orbital sem im a jor axis, a_p , and eccentricity, $_p$ is given by r_{grav} $^2_p a_p^2 + 12R^2_{H\ iII}$ where the H ill Radius is de ned as $R_{H\ iII} = a_p (M_p = (3M\))^{1=3}$ (Bryden et al. 2000) with M_p and M the

m assess of planet and star. As shown in Figure 5, the region between $R_{M in} = a r_{grav}$ and $R_{M ax} = a + r_{grav}$ could be swept clean of dust due to sweeping up action by the planet³. In addition to more global e ects leading to a general decline in dust content as discussed in the preceding section, gravitational e ects of planets in relatively distant orbits (HD 39091, 55 Cnc, 47 U M a, HD 216437, and And) might clear out the material interior to 10 AU in the absence of a secondary source such as a massive asteroid belt. Stars with close-in planets (Boo and 51 Peg) may require another explanation (or an as yet undetected, more distant planet) for the lack of material in the 1-10 AU region.

6. Conclusion

We have used the IRS spectrom eter on the Spitzer Space Telescope to bok for weak excesses around main sequence, solar type stars. A fler careful calibration, the IRS spectra allow us to achieve relatively low values of $L_{dust}=L_2$ compared to previous studies. At 8-13 m the IRS data provide an average 3 limit of $L_{dust}=L_2 = 14$ 6 10 ⁵ or roughly 1,400 times the level of our solar system zodiacal cloud form aterial in the 1 AU region of the target stars. Two stars, HD 72905 and HD 69830, have detectable emission at these wavelengths and in both cases there is evidence for emission by small dust grains. At 30-34 m, we reach a more sensitive, limit on $L_{dust}=L_2$ of 0:74 0:31 10 ⁵, or roughly 74 times the level of our solar system zodiacal cloud form aterial in the 5 10 AU region which may represent the inner edge of the Kuiper Belt. Four stars (HD 7570, HD 72905, HD 76151, HD 206860) showed evidence for long wavelength IRS emission that appears to link smoothly to a 70 m M IPS excess. HD 69830 shows no evidence for 70 m excess and thus for colder, m ore distant m aterial.

The lack of IRS excess emission toward planet-bearing stars may be due to the small size of the sample observed to date, to the elects of planets removing dust from the orbital locations probed at IRS wavelengths, or to more general processes that remove dust from regions interior to a few AU (D om inik & D ecin 2003). We suggest that asteroid belts located between 1–10 AU and as massive as 8–35 times our own asteroid belt are rare around mature, solar type stars.

³P lanet properties from P lanet Encyclopedia at http://cfa-www harvard.edu/planets

{ 15 {

7. A cknow ledgm ents

Sergio Fajardo-Acosta provided program s we used for accessing the Kunucz models. This research made use of the IRAS, 2MASS, and Hipparcos Catalogs (1997), as well as the SIMBAD database and the VizieR tooloperated by CDS, Strasbourg, France. We gratefully adknow ledge the comments of an anonymous referee whose careful reading of the manuscript led to a number of useful points of discussion and clarication.

The Spitzer Space Telescope is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under NASA contract 1407. Development of MIPS was funded by NASA through the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, subcontract 960785. Some of the research described in this publication was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Adm inistration.

Finally, we remember with great sadness the e orts of NRC postdoctoral fellow E lizabeth Holmes who worked intensively on this project before her untimely death in M arch 2004.

8. A ppendix-1. D etails of IR S D at a reduction

Standard IRS Staring mode observations were made with the Short Low Order 1 (SL1; 7-14 m), Long Low Order 2 (LL2; 14-20 m), and Long Low Order 1 (LL1; 20-35 m) modules. Each star was observed at two positions along the slit, called Nod₁ and Nod₂. Data were processed by the Spitzer Science Center (SSC) to produce calibrated images of the spectrom eter focal plane. The data presented here were processed with Version 11.0 of SSC pipeline (February 2005). The SSC SPICE software was used to extract spectra from the images. Because of the need for careful subtraction of the stellar continuum to detect a faint excess, additional steps were taken in producing the nal spectra. These are now described brie y.

The rst few points at the beginning and end of the spectrum from each module were typically unreliable, as were a few bad pixels agged in the SSC processing. These data were rejected. This e ect was particularly noticeable at the long wavelength end of SL1 (> 14 m). However, since the short wavelength end of LL2 overlaps SL1, there is no gap in the nal spectrum.

Longward of 7 m, the photospheres of solar type stars are sm ooth and do not dier greatly from a Rayleigh-Jeans blackbody curve (Kunucz 1992; Castelli & Kunucz 2003). We

used this fact to improve the pixel-to-pixel calibration in the extracted SSC/SPICE spectra. Of the 41 stars listed in Table 1, 28 stars showed no clear evidence for an excess at either 24 or 70 m with MIPS or with the initial pass of IRS processing but did show consistent pixel-to-pixel deviations from a smooth photospheric model.

For these stars we formed ratios of the extracted IRS spectra to the Kurucz model appropriate for the e ective temperature and metallicity of each star. From the average of these ratios at each wavelength, we created a \super- at" response curve for the SL1, LL2 and LL1 modules. Only those stars which did not show signs of an excess during the initial pass of the data were included in the super-at. Shortward of 14 m, the SL1 super- at typically has values in the range 0.97-1.03, or, equivalently, deviations from unity response of 3%; a few pixels have values deviating from unity by 10%. The values at each pixel of the SL1 super- at have a dispersion around the pixel response (averaged over 28 stars showing no signs of excess in IRS or MIPS data) of pop 2% and mean 0:4%. In the LL1 and LL2 m odules, the typical super- at values are in the range 0.98-1.02 with dispersions of pop 1 2% and m_{ean} 0.2 0.3%. We divided the extracted spectra by the appropriate \super- at" to rem ove any residual calibration variations. The dispersion in the super-at (pop) is an indication of the limiting systematic noise in removing the photospheric contribution to the signal from these stars.

A lthough the spectrum of HD 101501 shows no excess at the 10% level in either the M IPS or IRS data, its spectrum did not behave in a consistent manner when the various normalization steps were applied to look for fainter excesses. Dierential pointing issues or other time variable e ects could have produced a spectrum deviating from the mean for non-astrophysical reasons. The module-wide deviations from atness are suggestive of uncorrected at-eld errors, not astrophysical e ects. As a result, this star was excluded from the generation of the super- at.

The comparison between the SSC spectra and the photospheric models tted to shorter wavelength photom etry (Bryden et al. 2006) shows absolute calibration gain factors of F (SSC)=F (Photospheric Model)= 0.84 0.01 (5% dispersion from star to star) in the LL-1 and LL-2 modules and 1:12 0.014 (7% dispersion from star to star) in the SL-1 module. As discussed in x3:1 the agreement between IRS data and IRAS or M IPS observations is excellent with deviations from unity of 4 1% at 12 m, 7 1% at 24 m (consistent with a known calibration issue with IRAS data at this wavelength, e.g. Cohen et al 1992), and 1 1% at M IPS-24 m.

{ 17 {

REFERENCES

- Aum ann, H.H., Beichm an, C.A., Gillett, F.C., et al. 1984, ApJ, 278, L23.
- Aum ann, H.H., Probst, R.G. 1991, ApJ, 368, 264.
- Backman, D.E. & Paresce, F. 1993, in Protostars & Planets III, 1253.
- Backman, D.E., Dasgupta, A. & Stencel, R.E. 1995, ApJ, 450, L35.
- Backman, D E 2004 in Debris Disks And The Formation OfPlanets: A Symposium In Memory OfFred Gillett, ASP Conference, Eds. L. Caro, L.J. Moon, D. Backman and E. Praton, 324, 9.
- Beichm an, C. et al. 2005a, ApJ, 622, 1160.
- Beichm an, C. et al. 2005b, ApJ, 626, 1061.
- Bryden, G., Lin, D.N.C., and Ida, S. 2000, ApJ, 544, 481.
- Bryden, G. et al 2006, ApJ, 636, 1098.
- Buitrago, J. & Mediavilla, E. 1985, A & A, 148, L8.
- Bums, J., Lamo, P.L., Soter, S. 1979, Icarus, 40, 1.
- Butler, R.P., Marcy, G.W., Fischer, D.A., Brown, T.M., Contos, A.R., Korzennik, S.G., Nisenson, P., & Noyes, R.W. 1999, ApJ, 526, 916
- Castelli, F. and Kurucz, R.L. 2003, IAU Symposium 210, Modelling of Stellar Atmospheres, (eds. N.E. Piskunov, W.W. Weiss. & D.F. Gray).
- Cohen, M., Walker, R.G., Barlow, M.J., Deacon, J.R. 1992, AJ, 104, 1650.
- Dermott, S.F., Kehoe, T.J.J., Durda, D.D., Grogan, K., & Nesvorny, D. 2002, ESA SP-500: A steroids, Com ets, and M eteors: ACM 2002, 319
- Dohnanyi, J.S., 1969 J. Geophys. Res., 74, 2431.
- Dom inik, C. and Decin, G. 2003, ApJ, 598, 626.
- Draine, B.T. & Lee, H.M. 1984, ApJ, 285, 89.
- Fajardo-Acosta, S., Beichman, C., Cutri, R. 2000, ApJ, 538, L155.
- G om es,R., Levison, H.F., T siganis,K., M orbidelli,A. Nature, 435, 466,

- Gordon, K.D. et al., 2005, PASP, 117, 503.
- Hines, D. et al. 2006, ApJ, in press.
- Hipparcos Catalog, ESA, 1997, VizieR Online Data Catalog, # 1239.
- Houck, J.R. et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 18.
- Jager, C., Molster, F.J., Dorschner, J., Henning, Th., Mutschke, H., Waters, L.B.F.M, 1998, A&A, 339, 904.
- Kenyon, S.J. & Brom ley, B.C. 2004, ApJ, 602, 133.
- Kenyon, ScottJ.; Brom ley, Benjam inC. 2005, Nature, 432, 598
- Kim, J.S. et al, 2005, ApJ, 632, 659.
- Kurucz, R.L. 1992, in IAU Symp. 149: The Stellar Populations of Galaxies, 225.
- Laureijs, R. J., Jourdain de Muizon, M., Leech, K., et al. 2002, A & A, 387, 285.
- Malfait, K., Waelkens, C., Waters, L.B.F.M., Vandenbussche, B., Huygen, E.& de Graauw, M.S. 1998, A&A, 332, L25.
- Mannings, V. & Barlow, M. J. 1998, ApJ, 497, 330.
- Marcy, G.W., Butler, R.P., Fischer, D.A., Laughlin, G., Vogt, S.S., Henry, G.W., & Pourbaix, D. 2002, ApJ, 581, 1375
- M eyer, M .et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 422
- Rieke, G.H. et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 25.
- Rieke, G.H. et al. 2005, ApJ, 620, 1010.
- Spangler, C., Sargent, A. J., Silverstone, M. D., Becklin, E. E., Zuckerm an, B. 2001, ApJ, 555, 932.
- Sheret, I., Dent, W. R. F., and W. yatt, M. C. 2004, MNRAS, 348, 1282.
- Stapelfeldt, K. et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 458.
- Stem, A.S. 1996, A&A, 310, 999.
- Strom, R.G., Malhotra, R., Ito, T., Yoshida, F., Kring, D.A. Science, 309, 1847
- Su, K. et al. 2005, ApJ, 628, 483.

Thommes, EW., Duncan, MJ.& Levison, HF. 2003, Icarus, 161, 431.

W eingartner, J.C. & D raine, B.T. 2001, ApJ, 548, 296.

This preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\rm I\!AT}_E X$ m acros v5.2.

Star ¹	N am e	Sp.Туре	V (m ag) ²	D ist (pc)	AOR	M IPSExcess ³	IRSExcess ⁴
HD 693	G J10	F 5V	3.51	18.9	4008448	No.New	
HD 3795	G J799	G 3V	3.86	28.6	4009216	N/A	
HD 4628		K 2V	6.41	7.4	4009984	Νo	
HD 7570	G J55	F 8V	4.07	15.1	4010240	70 m	Long
HD 9826 ⁵	And	F 8V	4.09	1.35	4010496	No.New	
HD 10800	GJ67.1	G 2V	3.71	27.1	4010752	No	
HD 39091 ⁵	G J9189	G 1V	5.67	18.2	4015616	No	
HD 43834	G J231	G 6V	5.05	10.2	4015872	No	
HD 55575	G J1095	G 0V	4.42	16.9	4016128	No	
HD 58855	G J9234	F 6V	3.87	19.9	4016384	No	
HD 69830	G J302	K OV	5.45	12.6	4016640	24 m	Short, Long
HD 72905	G J311	G1.5V	4.93	13.8	4016896	70 m	Short, Long
HD 75732 ⁵	(55) CncA	G 8V	5.95	12.5	4017152	Νo	
HD 76151		G 3V	5.74	11.3	4017408	70 m	Long
HD 84737	G J368	G0.5Va	3.77	18.4	4017664	Νo	
HD 86728	G J376	G 3V a	4.53	14.9	4017920	No.New	
HD 95128 ⁵	47 UM a	G 1V	5.1	14.1	4018432	Νo	
HD 101501	G J434	G8Ve	5.43	9.5	4018944	No	
HD 120136 ⁵	Воо	F 6IV	4.5	15.6	4021760	No	
HD 133002	G J3876	F 9V	2.46	43.3	4022272	Νo	
HD 136064	G J580.2	F 8V	3.11	25.3	4022784	Νo	
HD 142373	G J602	F 9V	3.61	15.8	4023040	Νo	
HD 146233		G 1V	4.56	15.4	4081920	Νo	
HD 154088	G J652	G 8IV – V	5.3	18.1	4029952	No.New	
HD 166620		K 2V	6.14	11.1	4023808	Νo	
HD 168151		F 5V	3.18	23.5	4024064	Νo	
HD 173667	G J9635	F 6V	2.79	19.1	4024320	No	
HD 181321	G J755	G 5V	4.89	20.9	4030208	No	
HD 185144		K OV	5.93	5.6	4024576	No	
HD 188376		G 5V	2.82	23.8	4025088	No	
HD 191408	G J783A	K 3V	6.41	6	4025600	No.New	
HD 196378	G J794 2	F 8V	3.2	24.2	4025856	No.New	
HD 203608	P av	F 8V	4.4	9.2	4026368	No	
HD 212330	G J857	G 3IV	3.76	20.5	4027136	No.New	
HD 216437 ⁵	Ind	G2.5IV	6.06	26.5	4084224	No	
HD 217014 ⁵	51 Peg	G 2.5IV	5.49	15.4	4027648	No.New	
HD 217813		G 5	4.72	24.3	4030464	No.New	
HD 222368		f 7v	3.43	13.8	4028416	No.New	
HD 225239	G J3002	G 2V	3.28	36.8	4028672	N/A	
Follow-up	0 bservations						
HD 128311 ⁵	GJ 3860	К 0	7.51	16.5	4083712	70 m	
HD 206860	G J836.7	G OV	4.62	18.4	12719872	70 m	Long

Table 1. An IRS Survey of F, G, and K Stars

 1 Two other stars, HD 190248 (AOR 4025344) and HD 209100 (AOR 4026625), were observed as part of this program using the IRS H igh Resolution m ode. These stars will be discussed elsewhere. HD 33262 was not observed properly due to a pointing error and is not considered further.

²SpectralTypes from SIM BAD.V isualm agnitudes and distances as quoted in SIM BAD, typically from the H ipparcos satellite (1997).

{ 20 {

 3 Star either does or does not have 24 or 70 m excess as observed by M IPS. 'N ew' m eans M IPS data were obtained subsequently to data presented in B eichm an et al 2005a or B ryden et al 2006 and are described in Table 3. 'N /A' m eans star has not yet been observed with M IPS due to scheduling constraints.

 4 Star has excess as observed by IRS as described in this paper at either Short (8-13 m) or Long (30-34 m) wavelengths.

⁵Star has at least one radial velocity planet.

Star	Excess (m J (8.5-13 n	fy) Frac. m) Excess	E xœss/ D ispersion ²	L _{dust} =L _? (¹) (10 ⁵)	Excess (mJy) (30-34 m)	Frac. Excess	E xcess/ D ispersion ²	L _{dust} =L _? (¹) (10 ⁵)
	00.0.1	0.015	-				-	
HD 693	-20.8 1	1.3 -0.015	-1.2	< 8.2	0.3 0.8	0.002	0.1	< 0.4
HD 3795	-5.5 0	0.008	-0./	< 13	-1.9 0.6	-0.026	-1.3	< 0./
HD 4628	13.8 0	0.009	0./	< 26.8	-0.8 1.3	-0.004	-0.2	< 1.4
HD 7570	4.4 0	0.004	0.3	< 9./	10.7 0.9	0.082	4.3	0.8
HD 9826	-/.4 0		-0.3	< 9./	-1.3 1.5	-0.004	-0.2	< 0.5
HD 10800	8.8 0	0.015	1.2	< 13	-1.6 0.8	-0.024	-1.1	< 0.7
HD 39091	7.4 0	0.010	8.0	< 13	0.0 3.3	-0.006	1.0-	< 0.7
HD 43834	0.1 0	0.001	0.0	< 13.9	0.5 0.9	0.004	0.2	< 0.7
HD 55575	4.1 0	0.006	0.4	< 11.1	0.8 0.9	0.008	0.4	< 0.6
HD 58855	3.1 0	0.005	0.4	< 9.7	-0.4 0.9	-0.004	-0.2	< 0.5
HD 69830 ³	72.3 4	4.5 0.099	7.0	41.6	42 1	0.50	24.4	8.0
HD 72905	22.3 1	1.2 0.028	2.2	9.5	7.0 0.5	0.083	4.5	1.1
HD 75732	14.4 0	0.019	1.5	< 13.9	1.5 0.9	0.019	0.9	< 0.7
HD 76151	10.0 0	0.018	1.4	< 13	10.1 0.7	0.151	7.3	2
HD 84737	21.1 0	0.017	1.4	< 11.1	-0.3 1.1	-0.002	-0.1	< 0.6
HD 86728	-13.7 0	0.7 -0.012	-0.9	< 13	0.1 0.8	0.002	0.1	< 0.7
HD 95128	-28.1 0	0.9 -0.022	-1.7	< 11.1	0.6 1.3	0.006	0.3	< 0.6
HD 101501 ⁴	-98.4 1	1.8 -0.071	-5.6	< 13.9	0.6 1.1	0.005	0.3	< 0.7
HD 120136	15.8 0	0.6 0.011	8.0	< 9.7	-1.2 1.6	-0.007	-0.3	< 0.5
HD 128311	-0.9 0	0.4 -0.003	-0.2	< 16	1.4 0.6	0.037	1.6	< 0.8
HD 133002	1.8 0	0.002	0.1	< 11.1	2.9 1.0	0.025	1.3	< 0.6
HD 136064	-6.6 0	0.6 -0.007	-0.6	< 9.7	0.2 1.0	0.002	0.1	< 0.5
HD 142373	1.2 0	0.001	0.0	< 11.1	-3.5 2.0	-0.017	-0.8	< 0.6
HD 146233	-28.1 1	1.2 -0.032	-2.5	< 13	-1.6 0.9	-0.018	-0.9	< 0.7
HD 154088	-5.3 0	0.3 -0.013	-1.0	< 13.9	-0.6 1.1	-0.017	-0.6	< 0.7
HD 166620	-0.3 0	0.000	0.0	< 26.8	-0.2 0.6	-0.002	-0.1	< 1.4
HD 168151	15 . 7 C	0.016	1.3	< 8.2	-0.9 0.8	-0.009	-0.5	< 0.4
HD 173667	46.4 C	0.023	1.8	< 9.7	9.7 1.5	0.043	2.3	< 0.5
HD 181321	0.3 0	0.001	0.1	< 13	0.0 0.6	0.002	0.1	< 0.7
HD 185144	-5.5 2	2.1 -0.003	-0.2	< 16	3.2 2.0	0.011	0.6	< 0.8
HD 188376	12.8 1	1.1 0.005	0.4	< 13	-3.5 2.2	-0.013	-0.7	< 0.7
HD 191408	-8.7 1	1.0 -0.004	-0.3	< 26.8	4.4 1.8	0.020	1.0	< 1.4
HD 196378	-15.3 1	1.2 -0.012	-0.9	< 9.7	2.1 0.8	0.016	8.0	< 0.5
HD 203608	-38.2 0	0.8 -0.016	-1.3	< 9.7	-5.2 1.4	-0.019	-1.0	< 0.5
HD 206860	-0.6 0	0.4 -0.001	-0.1	< 11.1	5.3 0.7	0.085	4.0	0.9
HD 212330	16.7 C	0.014	1.1	< 13	-0.4 0.8	-0.004	-0.2	< 0.7
HD 216437	1.0 0	0.003	0.2	< 13	-1.0 0.3	-0.019	-1.0	< 0.7
HD 217014	2.0 0	0.002	0.2	< 13	-0.7 1.0	-0.008	-0.4	< 0.7
HD 217813	0.5 0	0.001	0.1	< 13	-1.8 1.2	-0.054	-1.4	< 0.7
HD 222368	-14.4 1	L.6 –0.007	-0.5	< 9.7	7.0 1.7	0.025	1.3	< 0.5
HD 225239	-8.6 0	0.5 -0.015	-1.2	< 13	0.4 0.6	0.005	0.3	< 0.7
A verage ⁵				14 6				0.74 0.31

Table 2. IRS Excess in 8.5-13 and 30-34 m Bands

¹ Lim its on $L_{dust}=L_{?}$ from using Eqn (2) and three times the dispersion in the fractional excess of the whole population.

 $^2\mathrm{E}\,\mathrm{xcess}$ divided by dispersion averaged over entire sample.

 $^{3}\mathrm{D}$ ata on excess taken from Beichm an et al.2005b.

 $^{\rm 4}\,{\rm Star}$ shows signi cant at eld errors after re-calibration and is not considered further.

 ^5A verage value of L $_{\rm dust}$ =L $_{?}$ (3 $\,$ lim its or detections) after 2 $\,$ rejection of outliers.

Table 3. New M \mathbb{P} S Observations¹

Star	AOR	F (24 m) ² (mJy)	Phot. ³ (m Jy)	Obs./Phot.	F (70 m) (mJy)	Phot. (mJy)	Obs./Phot.	70 ⁴	L _d =L	(70 m)
HD 693	4030976	254	265	0.96	37.7 5.6	30.0	1,26	1.37	< 0:4	10 ⁵
HD 9826 ⁵	4033280	519	539	0.96	51.2 10.3	60.7	0.84	-0.92	< 0:4	10 ⁵
HD 154088	4061696	87	70	1.24	-0.1 6.6	7.8		-1.19	< 2:7	10 ⁵
HD 191408	4055040	443	435	1.02	46.7 12.2	50.1	0.93	-0.28	< 1:5	10 ⁵
HD 196378	4055808	227	254	0.90	30.5 5.6	28.9	1.06	0.29	< 0:4	10 ⁵
HD 212330	4058368	235	234	1.01	26.4 5.8	26.5	1.00	-0.01	< 0:7	10 ⁵
HD 217014	4058880	188	178	1.06	25.2 5.3	20.0	1.26	1.00	< 0:2	10 ⁵
HD 217813	4062464	59	70	0.85	3.7 4.8	7.9	0.47	-0.87	< 1:8	10 ⁵
HD 222368	4060160	514	534	0.96	54. 10.2	60.4	0.91	-0.56	< 0:4	10 ⁵
A verage				1.00 0.04			0.92 0.09			

 $^1\mathrm{M}$ IPS data obtained after data presented in Beichm an et al 2005a and Bryden 2006

²Statistical noise is negligible.

³Photospheric prediction based on extrapolation from 2MASS and shorter wavelength data as described in the text and in Bryden et al. 2006.

 $^4\,$ 70 de ned as (O bserved $\,$ P hotosphere)=N oise.

⁵UpsAnd

Table 4. M IPS Excesses

H D7570146 0.38 105 0.43 105H D69830 ² < 3< 0.510520105H D761511641.01051.2105H D72905 ³ 2441.21052.9105H D1283111842.610515105	Star	F	(70 m) Excess $(m Jy)^1$	$L_{dust}=L_{?}$ (70 m)	$L_{dust}=L_{?}$ (10-70 m)
HD COD LO LO <thlo< th=""> LO <thlo< th=""> LO LO <thl< td=""><td>HD 7570 HD 69830²</td><td></td><td>14 6 < 3</td><td>$0.38 \ 10^{-5}$</td><td>$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.43 & 10 \\ 20 & 10 \\ \end{array}^{5}$</td></thl<></thlo<></thlo<>	HD 7570 HD 69830 ²		14 6 < 3	$0.38 \ 10^{-5}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.43 & 10 \\ 20 & 10 \\ \end{array}^{5}$
HD 72905 ³ 24 12 10 5 HD 128311 18 4 2.6 10 5 HD 206060 15 4 10 5	HD 76151		16 4	1.0 10 5	$12 \ 10^{5}$
	HD 72905 ³ HD 128311		24 4 18 4	$1.2 10^{-5}$ 2.6 10^{-5}	2.9 10 5
HD 206860 15 4 0.92 10 ° 15 10 °	HD 206860		15 4	0.92 10 5	15 10 ⁵

¹Bryden et al 2006

²Beichm an et al 2005b

 3 The star HD 72905 has a 70 m ux density of 24 3 m Jy (Bryden et al. 2006), a factor of 2-3 lower than measured by ISO PHOT at 60 and 90 m (Spangler et al. 2001). We adopt the M IPS value.

Table 5. ModelFits to Spectra

Star	R _{BB} (AU)	Т _{ВВ} (К)	R ₁ -R ₂ (AU)	T ₁ -T ₂ (K)	OpticalDepth (10 m)	R educed	M _{grain} M	M _{total} M <10 km
HD 7570 HD 76151 HD 128311	7.8 0.8 8.1 0.6 > 15	98 20 90 14 < 55	11-12 7.6-8.7	83-86 93-86	1.4 10 5 21 10 5 21 10 5 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	0.68 ¹ 0.62 ¹	$1.3 10^{6}$ $1.6 10^{6}$	0.042
HD 206860	13.3 1.2	/3 13	13,2-14,3	/4-/1	21 10 0	0.5-	2./ 10 °	0.087
HD 72905 0.25 m silicate and			0.03-0.43	1500-540	10 10 ⁵		7.2 10 ¹⁰	1.4 10 ⁴
0.25 m silicate			109-315	55–36	33 10 ⁶	0 . 83 ²	110 10 ⁶	22
or								
10 m silicate	14 1	70 10	12.2-15.9	67–63	7 10 ⁵	0 . 83 ²	3.3 10 ⁶	0.10

 1 > 21 m , 74 degrees of freedom

² > 8 m, 256 d.o.f.



Fig. 1. | Histograms showing the distribution of fractional excess ((O bserved-Photosphere)/Photosphere) estimated within passbands at 8.5-13 (left) and 30-34 m (right). The point for HD 69830 is 0 -scale to the right at 30-34 m with a relative excess of 0.5



Fig. 2. These plots show the IRS spectrum (top), excess ux (middle), and fractional excess (bottom) as a function of wavelength for HD 128311, HD 216437, HD 206860, HD 7570, HD 72905 and HD 76151. The nst two stars have no measurable excess and are shown as representative of the majority of the sam ple. A loo plotted in the lower gure are the approximate 2 dispersion in the deviations from the photospheric models. Fractional deviations between these lines should be regarded with skepticism.



Fig. 2. continued.



Fig. 2. continued.



Fig. 2. continued.



Fig. 2. continued.



Fig. 2. continued.

Fractional Disk Luminosity



Fig. 3. Histogram s showing the detections or (mostly) 3 upper limits on $L_{dust}=L_2$ for dust emitting in 30-34 m band (dashed lines) and the 8.5-13 m band (solid lines) for the stars in this survey. These limits take into account the elective temperature, T_{eff} , and fractional excess for each star as described in the text and are given in units of the solar system's approximate level of zodiacal emission, $L_{dust}=L_2 = 10^{-7}$ (Backman & Paresce 1993). The positions of the positive detections are indicated along with the star's name. An 8 or 30 is appended to the name to resolve any ambiguity as to which band is being shown. The remainder of the values are upper limits.



Fig. 4. | IRS spectra of those stars with excesses (solid line) along with their best tting models (dashed line). The o set in SL-1 spectrum of HD 76151 is not statistically signi cant in term s of its fractional excess(1.4). The spectrum of HD 69830, with its prominent IRS excess, is presented in Beichman et al. 2005b.



Fig. 5. For each starw ith planets the m in im um and m axim um extents of the gravitational in uence of that star's planets are plotted. The lack of em ission in the IRS wavelength region is consistent with a dearth of m aterial interior to about $5 \,\text{AU}$. A partial explanation m ay be the in uence of planets on dust in disks.

