M icrolensing of the Lensed Quasar SD SS 0924+ 0219¹

Christopher W . Morgan²

Department of Physics, United States Naval A cademy, 572C Holloway Road, Annapolis, M D 21402

cmorgan@usna.edu

C.S.Kochanek and Nicholas D.Morgan

Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, 140 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43201-1173

ckochanek@astronomy.ohio-state.edu, nmorgan@astronomy.ohio-state.edu

and

Em ilio E.Falco

Harvard-Sm ithsonian Center for A strophysics, 60 G arden Street, C am bridge, M A, 02138

efalco@cfa.harvard.edu

ABSTRACT

We analyze V, I and H band HST images and two seasons of R-band monitoring data for the gravitationally lensed quasar SD SS0924+0219. We clearly see that in age D is a point-source in age of the quasar at the center of its host galaxy. We can easily track the host galaxy of the quasar close to in age D because microlensing has provided a natural coronograph that suppresses the ux of the quasar in age by roughly an order of magnitude. We observe low am plitude, uncorrelated variability between the four quasar in ages due to m icrolensing, but no correlated variations that could be used to measure a time delay. Monte Carlo m odels of the m icrolensing variability provide estim ates of the m ean stellar m ass in the lens galaxy (0:02M . hM i . 1:0M), the accretion disk size (the disk $10^{14}\mbox{ cm}$. $r_{\rm s}$. 1:4 10^4 K at 3:0 10^{15} cm), and the black tem perature is 5 hole mass (2:0 10^7 M . M _{BH} $_{0:1}^{1=2}$ (L=L_E)¹⁼² . 3:3 10^8 M), allat 68% condence. The black hole mass estimate based on microlensing is consistent with

²D epartm ent of A stronom y, The O hio State University

an estimate of $M_{BH} = (7.3 \ 2.4) \ 10^7 M$ from the M g II emission line width. If we extrapolate the best-tting light curve models into the future, we expect the the ux of images A and B to remain relatively stable and images C and D to brighten. In particular, we estimate that image D has a roughly 12% probability of brightening by a factor of two during the next year and a 45% probability of brightening by an order of magnitude over the next decade.

Subject headings: cosm ology: gravitational lensing -m icrolensing -stellarm asses -quasars: individual (SD SS0924+ 0219) - accretion disks - dark m atter

1. Introduction

In the standard C old D ark M atter (C D M) galaxy m odel, early-type galaxies are composite objects. Their mass is dominated by an extended dark matter halo that surrounds the lum inous stars of the visible galaxy; any remaining baryons are left as hot gas (W hite & Rees 1978). The halos grow by mergers with other halos, with a small fraction of the accreted smaller halos surviving as satellite halos (\sub-structure") orbiting in the larger halos, but the mass fraction in these satellites is uncertain (M oore et al. 1999, K lypin et al. 1999, G ao et al. 2004, Taylor & B abul 2005 and Zentner et al. 2005). There is increasing evidence from time delay measurements (e.g. K ochanek et al. 2005) and stellar dynamical observations (e.g. b-cally, R om anow sky et al. 2003, and in lenses Treu & K oopm ans 2002, Treu et al. 2006), that the density structure of som e early-type galaxies on scales of 1{2R_e is heterogeneous, but this needs to be changed from a qualitative assessment to som ething m ore quantitative. Thus the detailed balance between stars, dark matter and substructure (lum inous or dark) remains a matter of debate.

One approach to addressing these problems is to monitor variability in gravitational lenses. Variability in lenses arises from two sources. Correlated variability between the

¹Based on observations obtained with the Sm all and M oderate Aperture Research Telescope System (SM ARTS) 1.3m, which is operated by the SM ARTS Consortium, the Apache Point O bservatory 3.5-m eter telescope, which is owned and operated by the A strophysical Research Consortium, the W IY N O bservatory which is owned and operated by the University of W isconsin, Indiana University, Yale University and the N ational Optical A stronom y O bservatories (NOAO), the 6.5m M agellan B aade telescope, which is a collaboration between the observatories of the C amegie Institution of W ashington (O C IW), University of A rizona, H arvard University, University of M ichigan, and M assachusetts Institute of Technology, and observations m ade with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope for program HST-GO-9744 of the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the A ssociation of Universities for Research in A stronom y, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555.

in ages due to uctuations in the source ux allows the measurem ent of the time delays t between the quasar in ages, which constrain the combination $t/(1 h i)=H_0$ of the surface density near the lensed in ages h i = h i= $_{c}$ and the Hubble constant H $_{0}$ to lowest order (K ochanek 2002).² By m easuring the surface density near the lensed in ages, we can strongly constrain the radial mass pro le of the lens. Uncorrelated variability between the images is a signature of microlensing by stars in the lens galaxy. Microlensing can constrain the m ass distribution because the statistics of the variations depend on the fraction of the local density in stars (Schechter & W am beganses 2002). In addition to providing an estimate of the surface density in stars near the lensed in ages, m icrolensing can also be used to estim ate the mean stellar mass in the lens and to determ ine the structure of quasar accretion disks. Understanding m icrolensing is also required to improve estimates of the substructure mass fraction in radio (quiet lenses, because most other quasar source components are a ected by both substructure and microlensing. In order to use time variability in lenses to probe these astrophysical problem s, we have undertaken a program to monitor roughly 25 lenses in several optical and near-IR bands. The st results of the program and a general description of our procedures are presented in Kochanek et al. (2005).

In thispaperwe study the four-in age $z_s = 1.52$ quasar lens SD SS 0924+ 0219 (Inada et al. 2003) using V, I and H -band H ubble Space Telescope (HST) observations and the results from two seasons of monitoring the system in the R-band. The lens galaxy is a fairly isolated $z_1 = 0.393$ (O fek et al. 2005) early-type galaxy. The most remarkable feature of this lens is that it shows a spectacular ux ratio anomaly between the A and D images. These two images are merging at a fold caustic with a ux di erence of nearly 3 magnitudes when they should have approximately equal uxes by symmetry K ecton et al. 2005). Keeton et al. (2006) recently measured the ux ratios in the Ly line and the adjacent continuum, noting that the anomaly is weaker in the emission line but still present. This indicates that the anomaly is partly due to microlensing, since the expected size di erence between the broad line region and the optical continuum emission region should matter for microlensing but be irrelevant for substructure. Unfortunately, the continued existence of the anomaly in the emission line means either that the broad line region is not large enough to eliminate the exts of microlensing or that the ux ratio anomaly in SD SS0924+ 0219 is due the combined e exist of microlensing and substructures.

We present the HST data in x2 as well as a series of mass models for the system consisting of the observed stellar distribution embedded in a standard dark matter halo. In

²The dimensionless surface density is the surface density divided by the critical surface density $_{c}$ $c^{2}D_{OS}=4$ GD_{OL}D_{LS}, where D_{OL}, D_{OS} and D_{LS} are the angular diameter distances between the Observer, Lens and Source.

x3 we present the light curves and m odel them using the M onte C arlo m ethods of K ochanek (2004). The analysis allows us to estim ate the mean stellar mass in the lens galaxy, the size of the quasar accretion disk and the mass of the black hole powering the quasar. In x3.4 we present our predictions for the expected variability of this source over the next decade. Finally, we sum marize our indings in x4. All calculations in this paper assume a at CDM cosm ology with h = 0.7, M = 0.3 and = 0.7.

2. HST Observations and M ass M odels

In this section we discuss the HST observations and our mass model to the astrometric and photometric measurements.

2.1. HST Observations

We observed the lens in the V - (F555W), I- (F814W) and H-bands (F160W) using HST. The 4380 sec V-band and the 4600 sec I-band in ages were obtained as eight dithered sub-in ages with the W ide Field Channel (W FC) of the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) on 2003 N ovember 18. The 5120 sec H-band in age was obtained as eight dithered sub-in ages on 2003 N ovember 23 using the Near-Infrared Camera and Multi-O bject Spectrograph (N ICM OS). The ACS data were reduced using the pyrafmultidrizzle package, and the N ICM OS data were reduced using nicred (see Leh ar et al.2000). We focus on the results from the new H-band in age, shown in Fig. 1. The four quasar in ages, the central lens galaxy and an Einstein ring in age of the quasar host galaxy can easily be seen.

We t the H -band in age using a photom etric m odel consisting of four point sources and a de Vaucouleurs m odel for the lens galaxy. We m odeled the lensed host galaxy as an exponential disk, using a singular isotherm al ellipsoid (SE) m ass m odel for the lens galaxy. We also tried a de Vaucouleurs lens galaxy m ass m odel, but doing so caused no signi cant change in the quality of the lensed host galaxy photom etric t (see Peng et al. 2006 for a discussion of system atic issues in m odeling lensed host galaxies). The ts were done with im t ts (see Leh ar et al.2000) using a range of bright PSF m odels, with the PSF producing the best overall t being adopted for the nal results. We then use the H -band t as our reference, and we hold the astrom etry and m odel structure xed for the V - and I-band photom etric ts. In Table 1, we present astrom etric and photom etric m easurem ents for the system. Our ts to the lens astrom etry and photom etry are consistent with those of K eeton et al. (2006) and E igenbrod et al. (2005).

The lens in SD SS 0924+0219 is an early (type galaxy with e ective radius $R_{e} = 0.31$ $0^{\circ}_{.02}$, axis ratio q = 0:92 0:02, major axis position angle $_{e}$ = 27 8 (East of North) $I = 1:44 \quad 0:07 \text{ and } V$ 0:05. Following the technique of and colors V H = 3**:**60 Rusin & Kochanek (2005), we performed a Fundamental Plane (FP) analysis of the lens to determ ine if its observed properties fall on the track of the expected M ass{to{Light $(M/L)}$ evolution with redshift for elliptical galaxies (Rusin et al. 2003). A ssum ing that a nom inal early (type galaxy undergoes a starburst phase at high redshift and then evolves passively thereafter, we expect elliptical galaxies to show a steady increase in M /L with decreasing redshift. If the SD SS0924+ 0219 lens galaxy is to lie on the present-day FP, then it requires $\log (M = L)$ evolution of 0:42 0:03 from z = 0:393 to z = 0. This is steeper than the а mean value for lens galaxies around that redshift, $\log (M = L) = 0.22$ 0:04, implying that the lens has a sm aller than average M /L.Note, however, that Treu et al. (2006) nd a faster evolution than Rusin et al. (2003), which would be consistent with the value we nd for SD SS0924+ 0219.

O ne rem arkable feature in this lens is how the stars (or a sm all satellite) in the lens galaxy have provided a natural coronograph at the location of in age D. The quasar ux is suppressed by roughly an order of m agnitude, m aking it very easy to see into the central regions of the host galaxy. We obtain a good t with a host having a scale length of 0° 11 0° 01, axis ratio of 0.74 0.05 and m agnitude of H = 20.56 0.14 m ag. For comparison, we estimate an unmagni ed m agnitude of H = 20.40 0.20 m ag for the quasar. We also attempted a de Vaucouleurs model t to the host galaxy, but we found that doing this resulted in a negligible change in the overall quality of t. We are not able to discrim inate between host galaxy models. We extracted the E instein ring curve of the lens (K ochanek et al. 2001) to use as one of the constraints on the m ass models.

In ages A, B and C have similar I H and V I colors, while in age D is signil cantly redder in I H but of similar color in V I. This is similar to the expected pattern for dust extinction, since an 0.5 m ag dimension in I H should correspond to a 0.15 m ag dimension in V I for an $R_V = 3.1$ extinction curve shifted to the redshift of the lens. On the other hand, the Einstein ring in age of the host galaxy does not show color trends near the D in age, which strongly argues against dust as the origin of the color dimension. M oreover the signil cant dimensions between the A/D must be a signil cant contributor to the anomalous must be a signil cant contributor to the anomalous must be a signil cant contributor.

2.2. M acro M odels and Substructure

We modeled the lens galaxy as the sum of a de Vaucouleurs model with scale length R_e = 0⁰,31 em bedded in an NFW (Navarro, Frenk & White 1996) model with a break radius $r_c = 10^{\circ}$. The de Vaucouleurs and NFW models were ellipsoids constrained by the axis ratio and orientation of the lens galaxy in the H -band in ages, and we included an external shear to model any additional perturbations from the lens environment or along the line of sight. We constrained the mass model with the astrometry of the quasar images and the Einstein ring curve derived from the H-band in ages (Kochanek et al. 2001) using the GRAVLENS (Keeton 2001) software package. We required the NFW and de Vaucouleurs com ponents to be perfectly concentric, but we permitted the com bined m odel to m ove within 0401 of the measured galaxy center in order to optimize the t. As described in detail by Kochanek (2005), it is not possible to determ ine the radial mass pro le of the lens using these constraints, although it can be done using other constraints such as a time delay or stellar velocity dispersion measurement. Given this degeneracy, we generated a sequence of models parameterized by $0 f_{M=L}$ 1, the fractionalm assofthe de Vaucouleurs com ponent com pared to a constant M /L m odel ($f_{M=L} = 1$). A sexpected, there is no signi cant di erence in how well models with $0 < f_{M=L}$ 1 the constraints with the exception of pure dark matter models ($f_{M=L}$. 0:1) that predict a detectable, find or odd quasar in age near the center of the E instein ring. The convergence, shear and = for the range of $f_{M=L}$ at each im age location is presented in Table 2.

A though much of the anom alous A/D ux ratio must be due to microlensing based on the smaller anomaly observed in the emission lines, we explored the extent to which the anomaly could be created by small satellites of the lens galaxy rather than by stars. For these tests we modeled the main lens as a singular isotherm al ellipsoid (SE) and then added a low -m ass pseudo-Ja e m odel as a perturber. We assigned the perturber E instein radii of either 0.01 or 0.003 and tidal truncation radii of 0.1 and 0.06, respectively. Fig. 2 shows the goodness of t, $^{2}=N_{DOF}$, as a function of the perturber's position, where we t both the astrometric constraints from the quasar in age positions and the ux ratios from the H-band HST data. W hile the more massive satellite with an Einstein radius of 04:01 has di culty adjusting the ux ratio without violating the astrom etric constraints, the lower mass satellite can do so if properly positioned. Such a satellite would have a mass 10^{5} that of the primary lens, roughly corresponding to the mass scale of globular of clusters. While the emission line ux ratios largely rule this out as a complete explanation for SD SS 0924+0219, substructure could explain the continued existence of an anomaly in the em ission line ux ratios. We include this calculation as an illustration that substructure can lead to anom alies as extrem e as are observed here.

3. M icrolensing

3.1. M onitoring D ata and M icrolensing

We have obtained som ew hat more than two seasons of R-band monitoring data for SD SS 0924+0219. Our analysis procedures are described in detail in K ochanek et al. (2005), so we provide only a brief sum mary here. We measure the ux of each quasar image relative to a sample of reference stars in each frame. We keep the relative positions of the components xed, using the HST astrom etry for the lensed components, and derive the PSF model and quasar ux for each epoch by simultaneously tting the lens and the reference stars. The PSF is modeled by 3 nested, elliptical G aussian components. The galaxy is included in the model at a constant ux which we determ ine by tting all the data as a function of the galaxy ux and then adopting the galaxy ux that produces the best t to the complete data set. We common that the lens galaxy ux is approximately constant at each epoch by examination of the residual galaxy ux after subtraction of the best{ tm odels, and we nd no evidence for variability during our three seasons of monitoring.

M ost of our observations were obtained at the queue-scheduled SM ARTS 1.3m using the AND ICAM optical/infrared cam era (D ePoy et al. 2003).³ Additional observations were obtained at the W isconsin-Yale-Indiana (W IYN) observatory using the W IYN T ip{T iltM odule (W TTM)⁴, the 2.4m telescope at the M DM O bservatory using the M DM E ight-K ⁵, E chelle and RETROCAM ⁶ (M organ et al. 2005) in agers, the 3.5m APO telescope using Spicam and the 6.5m M agellan B aade telescope using IM ACS (B igelow et al. 1999). Im ages taken under seeing conditions worse than 1⁰/₅ were discarded. W e also added the photom etry from Inada et al. (2003) to extend our baseline to nearly four years for the m icrolensing calculations. The R-band light curves are displayed in F ig. 3, and the data are presented in Table 3.

In Fig. 3, we also show the HST V-band photom etry scaled to the best{ t R-band monitoring magnitude of Image A on the observation date. In the HST data, image D is

1 m ag fainter relative to image A than our estimate on nearly the same date. A fier considerable experimentation, we concluded that our ux for image D may be contaminated by image A, although we found no correlation between the A/D ux ratio and the seeing.

³http://www.astronomy.ohio-state.edu/ANDICAM/

⁴http://www.wiyn.org/wttm/WTTM_manual.html

⁵ http://www.astro.columbia.edu/arlin/MDM8K/

⁶ http://www.astronomyohio-state.edu/MDM/RETROCAM

Nonetheless, we include estimates of seeing at each observation epoch in Table 3. In the calculations that follow we will use both our image D light curve as observed and an image D light curve shifted 1 m ag fainter to agree with the HST ux ratio. The shift had little e ect on our results in $x32{3.4}$.

In our mass models, the longest expected delay for the system is 10 days for H₀ = 72 km s¹ Mpc¹. We see little evidence for correlated variability between the images on these time scales, so we cannot measure the time delays. For the present study, it seems safe to simply ignore the time delays.

3.2. M icrolensing M odels

For each of our m acro m odels, param eterized by $f_{M=L}$, we generated 8 random realizations of the expected m icrolensing m agni cation patterns for each im age. W e used patterns with an outer dimension of $20R_e$ where $R_e = 5.7 \quad 10^{16} \text{ hM} = M \quad i^{1=2} \text{ cm}$ is the E instein radius for the average mass star. The map dimensions were 8192^2 , so we can model source sizes 10^{3} R_e. The stars used to create the patterns were drawn from a Salpeter down to 3 IMF with a dynamic range in mass of a factor of one hundred. We modeled the accretion disk of the quasar as a standard, face-on thin disk model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) with a scale length of $r_s = \hat{r}_s hM = M$ i¹⁼² where the microlensing behavior is determined by the source size scaled by the mean mass of the microlenses, \hat{r}_s . We have chosen to use a thin disk model because it provides a context for interpreting the results, but M ortonson et al. (2005) have shown that $r_{\rm s}$ can simply be interpreted as the typical half-light radius for any choice of emission pro le. We do, how ever, neglect the central hole in the disk emissivity to avoid the introduction of an additional parameter. We the light curves using the M onte Carlo method of Kochanek (2004). In this method, large numbers of trial light curves are random ly generated and tted to the observed light curves. Bayesian statistical methods are used to combine the resulting distributions of ² values for the ts to the light curves to obtain probability distributions for the model parameters.

We are interested in models where microlensing is responsible for any deviations of the image ux ratios from the lens model, so we assumed that the ux ratios of the macromodel were correct up to a systematic uncertainty of 0.05 mag for images A {C and 0.1 mag for image D.W ealso allowed for an 0.02 mag systematic uncertainty in the photom etry of image A {C and an 0.1 mag systematic uncertainty in the photom etry of image D.These errors were added because the point{to{point scatter in the light curves is somewhat broader than the form aluncertainties in the photom etry. With these assumptions, we have no diculty inding light curves that the data well, with 2 =N_{DOF} 1.0.We generated 10⁵ trial light curves

for each source size, m agni cation pattern and m ass m odel. Several example light curves which provide good ts to the data are shown in Fig.4. For each reasonable t to the light curves, de ned by 2 =N_{DOF} 2:3, we also generated an extrapolated light curve extending for an additional ten years beyond the last data point of the third season (2005 D ecem ber 14; H JD 2453719). We also repeated all the calculations shifting the im age D light curve 1 m ag fainter to m atch the H ST observations, noting few changes in the results.

In order to convert the results from E instein radius units, where all physical scales depend on the mean mass of the microlenses $M = M_{i}$, we must assume either a probability distribution for the actual velocities or a prior for the mean stellar mass. Our velocity model includes the 176 km s¹ projected velocity of the CMB dipole onto the plane of the lens, a probability distribution for the one{dimensional peculiar velocity dispersion of galaxies at z_1 with m s value of 164 km s¹ and a one{dimensional stellar velocity dispersion in the lens galaxy of 219 km s¹ based on the E instein radius of the lens and an isotherm al lens model. A s discussed in detail by K ochanek (2004), the lens galaxy peculiar velocity dispersion and stellar velocity dispersion estimates are dependent upon the selected cosm ology. We have chosen the standard at concordance cosm ology ($_{M} = 0.3$ and = 0.7) for our estimates. We also consider the consequences of using a limited range for the mean stellar mass of 0.1 hM = M i < 1.0.

Figures 5 and 6 show the results for the system motions and the lens galaxy stellarm ass estimate. The large ux ratio anomalies combined with the limited amount of observed variability means that the elective velocity in the system must be relatively low. We ind that 280 km s¹. ϑ_e . 749 km s¹ (68% condence), and this changes little if we adjust the D in age light curve to be 1 m ag fainter. If we compare the elective velocity distribution to our model for the possible distribution of physical velocities (Fig. 5), we can estimate the mean microlens mass since the two velocities are related by $\vartheta_e = v_e = M = M i^{1-2}$. The broad range permitted for ϑ_e translates into a broad range for the stellar mass estimates, with 0:02M . hM i . 1:0M (68% condence). The low mass solutions correspond to large sources with high elective velocities.

We were som ewhat surprised to nd that the present data do not distinguish between the lens models at all because we had based our expectations on the (Schechter & W am bsganss 2002) picture in which models with low = dom inate the probability of nding a faint saddle point in age like D.W e found instead that the probability distribution for $f_{M=L}$ is basically at. This result is little a ected by imposing the prior on the permitted mass range of the microlenses or by adjusting the image D light curve to be 1 m ag fainter. In comparison, the microlensing models for SD SS 0924+ 0219 by K eeton et al. (2006) strongly favored models

with low = . We believe the di erences between the results are due to our use of nite{ sized sources, which signi cantly enhance the probability of large dem agni cations relative to large m agni cations because the high m agni cation regions (caustics) are m ore a ected by nite source sizes. Another source of di erences is that we are analyzing a m ore strongly constrained problem by requiring that the m odels t the observed light curves rather than simply t the instantaneous ux ratios.

3.3. Quasar Structure

O ne objective of our monitoring program is to study the structure of quasar accretion disks. We start by estimating the black hole mass using the M_{BH}, Mg II line width, lum inosity relations of M cLure & Jarvis (2002) and K ollmeier et al. (2005). We measured the Mg II(2800A) line width in spectra obtained by O fek et al. (2005) following the procedures detailed in K ollmeier et al. (2005), and we estimated the magnication {corrected continuum lum inosity at 3000A, L (3000A), using a power law to our HST data. For the M cLure & Jarvis (2002) calibration we nd a black hole mass of M_{BH} = (6:3 1:5) 10^7 M, and for the (K ollmeier et al. 2005) calibration we nd M_{BH} = (7:3 2:4) 10^7 M. We eadopt the estimate based on the K ollmeier et al. (2005) calibration. Sim ilarly, we estimate that the magnication {corrected bolometric lum inosity of the quasar is I_{bol} = (2:7 1:3) 10^{45} erg s¹ where we follow K aspiet al. (2000) in assuming that L_{bol} '9 L (5100A). This bolometric lum inosity corresponds to an accretion rate M-= (0:48 0:24) $_{0:1}^{1}$ M yr¹, where = 0:1 $_{0:1}$ is the radiative e ciency of the accretion. Fig. 7 sum marizes these \classical" constraints on the quasar.

The new constraint we obtain from the microlensing observations is on the size r_s of the quasar, which we can also estimate using our accretion disk model and the observed ux. A standard thin disk model (chakura & Sunyaev 1973) radiates as a black body with a temperature profile of $T = T_s (R = r_s)^{3=4}$, and the scale length we measure should correspond to the point in the disk where the temperature corresponds to the rest-frame wavelength of the liter band pass. For our R-band data (2770A in the quasar rest frame), our scale length corresponds to the point where $T_s (r_s)' = 52 = 10^4 \text{ K}$. If the viscous energy release is radiated locally and we are well removed from the Schwarzschild radius, then the disk temperature and scale length are related to the black hole mass M_{BH} and accretion rate M- by

$$T_{s}^{4} = 3G M_{BH} M_{=} = 8 r_{s}^{3}$$
 (1)

(Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), so a measurement of r_s constrains the product M $_{B\,H}$ M-. One means of estimating r_s is to simply compute what it must be to produce the observed R-

band ux. Again assuming a standard, face (on thin disk, the emission pro le is

$$I(R) / exp (R=r_s)^{3=4} 1^{-1}$$
 (2)

(Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). A ssum ing that the disk radiates locally as a blackbody, we integrate this emission prole over the physical extent of the disk to estimate its specic clum inosity L $_{\text{rem}}$ in the rest frame. Incorporating the system's geometry and correcting for redshift elects, we convert L $_{\text{rem}}$ to F $_{\text{robs}}$, the specic clum in the observed frame. We then solve F $_{\text{robs}}$ for r_{s} to yield

$$r_{s_{jobs}} = 2.83 \quad 10^{15} \frac{1}{p_{cosi}} \frac{D_{OS}}{r_{H}} = \frac{obs}{m} = 10^{0.2} M_{obs} \frac{19}{h^{1}} h^{1} cm;$$
 (3)

where M $_{\rm pobs}$ is the observed magnitude, D $_{\rm OS}$ = $r_{\rm H}$ is the angular diam eter distance to the quasar in units of the Hubble radius and i is the disk inclination angle, assumed to have an average value hii = 60 . For SD SS 0924+0219, we nd an unmagni ed HST I-band magnitude I = 2124 0.25 m ag, yielding the scale radius at the redshifted center of the HST I-band, $r_{s_{\rm I,obs}} = 63$ 1.6 10^{14} cm . A ssum ing the T $^{4=3}$ scaling of thin disk theory, we estimate an R-band disk size of $r_{s_{\rm R,obs}} = 5.0$ 1.3 10^{14} cm . We show the resulting constraint on M $_{\rm BH}$ M— in Fig. 7.

M icrolensing tests this theoretical calculation because the am plitude of the m icrolensing variability is controlled by the projected area of the source that sm ooths the m agni cation patterns. Fig. 8 shows our estim ate of the scaled R-band source size \hat{r}_s , which is related to the physical source size by $r_s = \hat{r}_s M = M$ i¹⁼². The source m ust be quite com pact relative to the E instein radius of the typical m icrolens, with an exact bound that is presently di cult to determ ine because of the limited level of observed variability. We face two technical problems in extending Fig. 8 to sm aller source sizes. The nst problem is that our analysis code is presently limited to 8192^2 m agni cation patterns, so when using an outer dimension large enough to produce a reasonable statistical representation of the m agni cation patterns it is di cult to resolve such sm all scales. The second problem is that even if we could resolve the sm allest scales, we would not that the probability distribution attens and become sconstant at sm all scales only during caustic crossings { if our light curves do not extend to w ithin a source size of a caustic, there is little e set from using a still sm aller source size. Com plete convergence at sm all scales will require a light curve with caustic crossings.

D expite these problem s, we can estim ate the physical source size of the accretion disk reasonably well because there is a fairly strong degeneracy between the scaled source size \hat{r}_s , the scaled velocity v_e and the microlensmass scale hM =M i in the sense that more compact sources require smaller scaled velocities which implies a larger microlensmass scale for the

conversion to the physical source size (see K ochanek 2004). Fig. 9 shows the estimates of the physical size r_s both with and without the prior on the microlens masses. Reassuringly, the results depend only weakly on the prior. Nonetheless, will adopt the results with the mass prior: 3:0 10^{14} cm . r_s . 1:4 10^{15} cm at 68% condence. This is consistent with our earlier estimate from the continuum ux but is a weaker constraint on M_{BH} M—, as shown in Fig. 7.

3.4. Expectations for the Future Behavior of SD SS0924+ 0219

For each light curve which passed a threshold of ²=N_{DOF} 2.3, we generated a light curve for a period often years beyond ourm ost recent observation. For each in age, we then tracked the maximum change in the brightness in both the positive and negative directions on 1, 3 and 10 year time scales. The norm alized, cumulative distributions of these maximum changes are shown in Fig. 10. One of the more obvious predictions of Fig. 10 is that in ages A and B are likely to remain constant while in ages C and D are likely to become brighter. One of the original motivations of this study was to estimate the time scale on which the ux ratio anomaly would vanish as D moved out of a low {magni cation region and became brighter. Here we nd an approximately 12% chance that it will brighten by at least a factor of 2 in the course of the next year and a roughly 45% chance that it will brighten by more

than an order of magnitude over the next decade. For the separate calculation in which we lowered the ux of all points on the image D light curve by +1 magnitude, we predict a 9% probability of image D brightening by a factor of two during the next year, and a 53% chance that image D will brighten by a factor of ten during the next ten years.

O ur expectation that D brightening would be the means of solving the anomaly was based on the preconception that D was a demagnied saddle point in a model with a small ratio between the stellar and total surface densities = . Schechter & W am beganss (2002) demonstrated that in this regime there is an appreciable probability of strongly demagnifying saddle point im ages like D.O ur results con m this noting.

4. Conclusions

During the course of our monitoring cam paign we have observed uncorrelated variability in the four images of SD SS 0924+ 0219, evidence that microlensing is occurring in this system. Furthermore, our models demonstrate that microlensing is a viable explanation for the system's anomalous ux ratios. This study does not rule out the alternative hypothesis that dark m atter substructure contributes to the anom aly, but it does m ly establish the presence of m icrolensing variability and the ability of m icrolensing to explain the anom aly. A s we continue to m onitor SD SS 0924+ 0219, we expect to eventually measure the time delay, thereby restricting the range of perm issible halo models, and to steadily reduce the uncertainties in the estimated mean stellar mass, accretion disk structure and black hole mass. At some point over the next few years, we should also see dramatic changes in the uxes of the merging images.

We can also begin to comparem icrolensing estimates of the structure of quasar accretion disks. In our original study (K ochanek 2004), we modeled the signi cantly more lum inous, but very similar redshift, quasar Q 2237+0305 (M $_{\rm V}$ = 25:8 0.5 versus M $_{\rm V}$ = 21:7 0:7 after correcting for magnication). As we would expect from accretion disk theory, the microlensing analyses indicate that the more lum inous quasar has a signi cantly larger scale ($r_{\rm s}$ ' 4:1 10¹⁵ cm versus $r_{\rm s}$ ' 6:9 10¹⁴ cm) and black hole mass (M $_{\rm BH}$ ' 1:1 10⁹ M versus 1:3 10⁸ M). The next step is to combine the microlensing analyses of many lenses to explore these correlations in detail and to use the wavelength dependence of the microlensing variability to study the structure of individual disks. This next step should be possible very shortly.

We thank E. Turner for providing data from APO and P. Schechter and W. Barkhouse for the data from Magellan. We thank E. Agol for discovering an error in the original manuscript. We thank C. Onken, B. Peterson and R. Pogge for discussions about quasar structure and black hole mass estimation from emission line widths. This research made extensive use of a Beowulf computer cluster obtained through the Cluster Ohio program of the Ohio Supercomputer Center. Support for program HST-GO-9744 was provided by NASA through a grant from the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS-5-26666.

Facilities: HST (NICMOS, ACS), Hiltner (EIGHTK, Echelle, RETROCAM), ARC (Spicam), WIYN (WTTM), CTIO 2MASS (AND ICAM)

REFERENCES

Bigelow, B.C., Dressler, A.M., Schechtman, S.A. & Epps, H.W. 1999, SPE, 3355, 12

Dalal, N. & Kochanek, C. S. 2002, ApJ, 572, 25

DePoy, DL., Atwood, B., Belville, SR., Brewer, DF., Byard, PL., Gould, A., Mason, JA.,

O'Brien, T.P., Pappalardo, D.P., Pogge, R.W., Steinbrecher, D.P., & Tiega, E.J., 2003, SPIE, 4841, 827

- Eigenbrod, A., Courbin, F., Dye, S., Meylan, G., Sluse, D., Saha, P., Vuissoz, C. & Magain, P. 2006, A & A, 451, 747
- Gao, L., White, S.D. M., Jenkins, A., Stoehr, F. & Springel, V. 2004, MNRAS, 355, 819
- Inada, N., et al., 2003, AJ, 126, 666
- Kaspi, S. Smith, P.S., Netzer, H., Maoz, D., Jannuzi, B.T. & Giveon, U. 2000, ApJ, 553, 631
- Keeton, C.R. 2001, preprint, [astro-ph/0102340]
- Keeton, C.R., Gaudi, B.S., & Petters, A.O. 2005, ApJ, 635, 35
- Keeton, C.R., Burles, S., Schechter, P.L. & W am beganss, J. 2006, ApJ, 639, 1
- K lypin, A., Kravtsov, A.V., Valenzuela, O. & Prada, F. 1999, ApJ, 522, 8
- Kochanek, C.S., 2002, ApJ, 578, 25
- Kochanek, C.S. 2004, ApJ, 605, 58
- K ochanek, C. S., 2005, Strong G ravitationalLensing, Part 2 of G ravitationalLensing: Strong,
 W eak & M icro, Proceedings of the 33rd Saas-Fe A dvanced Course, G. M eylan, P.
 Jetzer & P.North, eds. (Springer Verlag: Berlin) [astro-ph/0407232]
- Kochanek, C.S., Keeton, C.R. & McLeod, B.A. 2001 ApJ, 547, 50
- Kochanek, C.S., Morgan, N.D., Falco, E.E. McLeod, B.A., Winn, J.D. emibicky, J.& Ketzeback, B. 2006, ApJ, 640, 47
- Kollm eier, JA. et al. 2006, ApJ, 648, 128
- Lehar, J., Falco, E.E., Kochanek, C.S., McLeod, B.A., Impey, C.D., Rix, H.-W., Keeton, C.R.& Peng, C.Y. 2000, ApJ, 536, 584
- M cLure, R J. & Jarvis, M J. 2002, M NRAS, 337, 109
- Moore, B., Ghigna, S., Governato, F., Lake, G., Quinn, T., Stadel, J. & Tozzi, P. 1999, ApJ, 524, L19

- Morgan, CW, Byard, PL., DePoy, DL., Derwent, M., Kochanek, CS., Marshall, JL., O'Brien, T.P. & Pogge, R.P. 2005, AJ, 129, 2504
- Mortonson, M.J., Schechter, P.L. & W am bsganss, J. 2005, ApJ, 628, 594
- Navarro, JF. Frenk, CS. & White SDM. 1996, ApJ, 462, 563
- Ofek, E.O., Maoz, D., Rix, H.-W., Kochanek, C.S., & Falco, E.E. 2005, ApJ, submitted, [astro-ph/0510465]
- Peng, C.Y., Impey, C.D., Rix, H.-W., Kochanek, C.S., Keeton, C.R., Falco, E.E., Lehar, J. & McLeod, B.A. 2006, ApJ, 649, 616
- Peterson, B M ., et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 682
- Romanowski, A.J., Douglas, N.G., Arnaboldi, W.Kuijken, K., Merrield, M.R., Napolitano, N.R., Capaccioli, M. & Freeman, K.C. 2003, Science, 301, 1696
- Rusin, D., Kochanek, C.S., Falco, E.E., Keeton, C.R., McLeod, B.A., Impey, C.D., Lehar, J., Munoz, J.A., Peng, C.Y., Rix, H.-W. 2003, ApJ, 587, 143
- Rusin, D. & Kochanek, C. S. 2005, ApJ, 623, 666
- Schechter, P.L., & W am bsganss, J. 2002, ApJ, 580, 685
- Shakura, N.J. & Sunyaev, R.A., A&A, 24, 337
- Taylor, JE. & Babul, A. 2005, MNRAS, in press
- Treu, T. & Koopmans, L.V. E. 2002, MNRAS, 337, 6
- Treu, T., Koopmans, L.V. E., Bolton, A.S., Burles, S., & Moustakas, L.A. 2006, ApJ, 640, 662
- White, SDM & Rees M J. 1978, MNRAS, 183, 341
- Zentner, A.R., Berlind, A.A., Bullock, J.S., Kravtsov, A.V. & Wechsler, R.S. 2005, ApJ, 625, 505

This preprint was prepared with the AAS $\mathbb{P}T_EX$ m acros v52.

C om ponent	RZ	A stror A	n etry D e	œ	H = F1	V = F 5	55W			
A B C D G	+ 0 ⁰ 061 0 ⁰ 968 + 0 ⁰ 536 0 ⁰ 183	0 0 ⁰ 003 0 ⁰ 003 0 ⁰ 003 0 ⁰ 004	1º805 0º676 0º427 0º858	0 0 ⁰ 003 0 ⁰ 005 0 ⁰ 003 0 ⁰ 004	17:96 18:87 19:22 20:64 17:23	0:02 0:03 0:02 0:06 0:04	18:77 19:64 20:22 22:00 19:39	0:05 0:07 0:09 0:22 0:06	19:61 20:36 20:74 22:94 20:83	0:01 0:05 0:09 0:13 0:03

Table 1. HST A strom etry and Photom etry of SD SS0924+ 0219 $\,$

$f_{M = L}$		C onver	gence			She	ar		=				
	A	В	С	D	A	В	С	D	A	В	С	D	
0:1	0 : 74	0 : 71	0 : 77	0 : 75	0:24	0:21	0:29	0:28	0:020	0:018	0:024	0:021	
0:2	0 : 67	0 : 65	0:70	0 : 67	0:30	0:26	0 : 37	0:36	0:042	0:038	0:050	0:044	
0:3	0:61	0:59	0:64	0:61	0:35	0:30	0:44	0:43	0:061	0:055	0:072	0:062	
0:4	0 : 53	0:51	0:56	0 : 53	0:42	0:36	0 : 53	0 : 52	0:11	0:10	0:13	0:11	
0:5	0 : 47	0:45	0:49	0:46	0:48	0:41	0:61	0:60	0:15	0:14	0:17	0:15	
0 : 6	0:40	0 : 38	0:44	0:41	0:54	0:45	0:69	0 : 67	0:19	0:18	0:23	0:20	
0:7	0:31	0:29	0:35	0:31	0 : 62	0:51	0:80	0 : 77	0:34	0:32	0:38	0:34	
0:8	0:25	0:23	0:29	0:26	0 : 67	0 : 55	0:88	0:84	0:45	0:42	0:50	0:46	
0:9	0 : 17	0:16	0:22	0:18	0 : 73	0:61	0 : 96	0 : 92	0:72	0:70	0 : 77	0 : 73	
1:0	0:13	0:12	0:17	0:13	0:77	0:64	1:02	0 : 98	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00	

Table 2. Macroscopic Lens Mass Models

Note. Convergence , shear and the fraction of the total surface density composed of stars = at each im age location for the series of m acroscopic m ass m odels.

H JD	² =N _{dof}	Seeing	QSO A	Q	SO B	Q	SO C	Q	SO D	ł	Starsi	Source
2957 : 814	0 : 70	1 ⁰⁰ 22	2:812 0:028	3 : 515	0 : 033	3 : 883	0:054	5 : 041	0:217	0:032	0:003	SMARTS
2964 : 789	0 : 65	1 ⁰⁰ 42	2:864 0:024	3:516	0:024	3 : 895	0:042	4:840	0:144	0:004	0:003	SM ARTS
2976 : 775	0:64	1 ⁰⁰ 31	2:800 0:020	3:464	0:022	3 : 892	0 : 039	5 : 006	0:149	0:001	0:003	SM ARTS
2984:721	0:56	1 ⁰⁰ 20	2:811 0:036	3 : 453	0:040	3 : 852	0 : 068	4:632	0 : 189	0 : 037	0:003	SM ARTS
2991 : 791	0:79	1008	2:766 0:010	3 : 531	0:019	3 : 991	0 : 033	5 : 138	0:128	0:012	0:003	SM ARTS
2995 : 932	1:82	1.20	2:785 0:012	3 : 507	0:013	3 : 928	0:022	5 : 147	0:105	0:084	0:002	M D M {E IG H T K
2998 : 787	1:31	0 ⁰⁰ 94	2:804 0:014	3:541	0:017	4:047	0:029	5:258	0:120	0 : 027	0:003	SMARTS
3022 : 797	1:82	1 ⁰⁰ 09	2:882 0:019) 3 : 417	0:018	4:161	0 : 037	4 : 937	0:116	0 : 015	0:003	SM ARTS
3029 : 751	2:13	0 ⁰⁰ 90	2:865 0:014	3:386	0:015	4:187	0:029	5 : 092	0 : 092	0:021	0:003	SM ARTS
3036 : 673	0:70	0 ⁰ 99	2:780 0:010	3 : 441	0:019	4:216	0:042	5:209	0:144	0:004	0:003	SM ARTS
3047 : 794	0:94	1 ⁰⁰ 31	2:749 0:024	3:408	0:024	4:331	0:063	5 : 033	0:193	0:013	0:003	SM ARTS
3055 : 689	0 : 68	1013	2:819 0:009	3 : 555	0:012	4:206	0:020	5 : 353	0:071	0:025	0:002	MAGELLAN
3056 : 744	0:80	1011	2:757 0:010	3 : 428	0:017	4:201	0 : 036	5 : 152	0:133	0:016	0:003	SM ARTS
3064 : 680	1:30	1008	2:836 0:018	3:381	0:018	4:187	0:039	4:948	0:119	0:010	0:003	SM ARTS
3065 : 805	0 : 61	1028	2:832 0:018	3:389	0:017	4:179	0:039	4 : 560	0:091	0:080	0:002	MDM {ECHELLE
3071 : 649	0:53	1008	2:800 0:033	3:423	0:039	4:269	0:092	4 : 782	0:206	0:044	0:003	SMARTS
3075 : 774	4:24	1007	2:828 0:012	3:491	0:013	4 : 005	0:022	5 : 046	0:091	0 : 053	0:002	M D M {E IG H T K
3078 : 586	0:57	1.14	2:791 0:019	3:446	0:020	4:234	0:045	4:888	0:128	0:007	0:003	SMARTS
3080:834	0:56	1 . 31	2:797 0:01	3:419	0:016	4:268	0:041	4:742	0 : 098	0:002	0:003	APO
3087 : 648	1:49	0 	2:770 0:00	3:408	0 : 008	4:294	0:012	5 : 384	0:043	0:188	0:003	W IYN {W TTM
3089:599	1:11	1004	2:781 0:010	3 : 409	0:017	4:198	0 : 036	5 : 150	0:126	0:011	0:003	SMARTS
3090 : 666	0:79	1.50	2:833 0:018	3:336	0:013	4 : 450	0:041	4 : 372	0 : 066	0:168	0:003	W IYN {W TTM
3101 : 623	0 : 60	1 . 20	2:732 0:033	3 3 : 417	0 : 037	4:420	0:103	4 : 567	0:179	0:049	0:003	SMARTS
3108:511	2:18	1 . 02	2:710 0:010	3:361	0:018	4:213	0 : 039	5 : 063	0:129	0:009	0:003	SMARTS
3115:562	1:11	1.19	2:697 0:019	3:398	0:020	4:273	0:049	4:889	0:140	0:003	0:003	SM ARTS
3127 : 473	0:88	0 ⁰ 92	2:702 0:020	3:364	0 : 025	4:249	0 : 058	4 : 735	0:133	0:022	0:003	SMARTS
3134 : 453	0 : 79	0991	2:631 0:021	. 3 : 467	0:031	4:442	0:080	4:861	0:167	0 : 035	0:003	SMARTS
3141:510	0:82	1 . 10	2:653 0:022	3:467	0:025	4:348	0:060	4 : 638	0:131	0:018	0:003	SMARTS
3159:468	0:69	1407	2:596 0:024	3:484	0:035	4:382	0 : 087	5:053	0:238	0:044	0:003	SMARTS
3169 : 462	0:79	1.28	2:635 0:020	3:582	0:025	4:269	0 : 057	5:135	0:200	0:012	0:003	SM ARTS
3324:813	0:42	1944	2:675 0:030	3:882	0:047	4:450	0:099	5 : 528	0:404	0:032	0:003	SM ARTS
3327 : 800	0:74	1446	2:740 0:02	3:960	0:042	4:429	0:083	5:217	0:265	0:016	0:003	SMARTS
3330:766	0:74	1445	2:776 0:03	4:050	0:067	4:466	0:118	5:129	0:317	0:038	0:003	SMARTS
3344:723	0:89	143	2:/67 0:020	3:923	0:039	4:628	0:096	5:/54	0:399	0:018	0:003	SMARTS
3349:758	0:56	1.49	2:703 0:032	3:953	0:048	4:971	0:157	5:441	0:384	0:020	0:003	SMARTS
3357:714	1:08	1*26	2:/65 0:02	3:869	0:029	4:586	0:069	5:674	0:297	0:003	0:003	SMARTS
3360:767	1:57	1.14	2:799 0:020) 3:841	0:026	4:544	0:056	5:306	0:192	0:007	0:003	SMARTS
3376:734	1:05	1915	2:/80 0:019	3:926	0:029	4:533	0:058	5:379	0:202	0:006	0:003	SMARTS
3382:701	0:61	1.41.7	2://2 0:022	4:012	0:039	4:674	0:082	5:468	0:255	0:017	0:003	SMARTS
3394:741	0:61	0.498	2://9 0:030	3:919	0:064	4:908	0:168	5:616	0:426	0:044	0:003	SMARTS
3403:751	1:00	0.498	2:801 0:018	3:934	0:028	4:733	0:062	5:107	0:141	0:003	0:003	SMARTS
3410:781	0:99	1413	2:/6/ 0:019	3:905	0:028	4:681	0:066	5:257	0:183	0:001	0:003	SMARTS
3427:737	0:55	1432	2:/05 0:041	4:025	0:085	5:074	0:247	5:181	0:399	0:031	0:003	SMARTS
3435:698	1:29	0	2:793 0:019	3:872	0:029	4:666	0:063	5:155	0:158	0:013	0:003	SMARTS
3443 : 698	1:45	1₩29	2:783 0:024	3:900	0:033	4 : 692	0:080	4:858	0:161	0:006	0:003	SMARTS

Table 3. SD SS0924+ 0219 Light curves

Table 3 | Continued

h jd	² =N _{dof}	Seeing	Q SC	АC	QS	SO B	Q	SO C	Q	SO D	ł	Starsi	Source
3450 : 662	0 : 66	1 ⁰⁰ 20	2 : 701	0 : 038	3 : 763	0:066	5 : 006	0:224	6 : 019	0 : 807	0:031	0 : 003	SM A R T S
3461 : 643	0 : 62	10046	2:703	0:029	3 : 953	0:045	4 : 965	0:141	5 : 626	0:424	0:025	0:003	SMARTS
3468:550	0 : 95	1028	2 : 766	0:024	3 : 930	0:035	4 : 732	0:087	5 : 106	0:200	0:020	0:003	SMARTS
3477:514	0:49	1008	2 : 676	0:024	3:846	0:044	4:604	0:099	5 : 900	0:482	0:033	0:003	SMARTS
3490 : 481	0 : 77	1006	2 : 670	0:019	3:825	0:030	4 : 689	0:073	4:799	0:136	0:010	0:003	SMARTS
3502 : 497	1:13	1006	2 : 632	0:020	3 : 776	0:031	4 : 662	0 : 075	4 : 938	0:161	0:013	0:003	SMARTS
3520 : 515	0 : 85	1 ⁰⁰ 34	2:520	0:025	3 : 763	0 : 038	4 : 739	0:112	5:261	0:306	0:024	0:003	SMARTS
3528 : 450	0 : 77	1013	2 : 587	0:027	3:743	0:048	4:901	0:152	5:322	0:343	0:034	0:003	SMARTS
3676 : 836	0:50	10040	2:185	0:020	3:529	0:033	4:584	0:114	5:174	0:306	0:030	0:003	SMARTS
3686 : 836	0 : 77	1022	2:120	0:015	3:518	0:025	4:375	0:066	5:295	0:258	0:014	0:003	SMARTS
3696 : 825	0:54	1 ⁰⁰ 31	2:101	0:025	3:614	0:059	4:354	0:140	5 : 088	0:403	0:043	0:003	SMARTS
3704 : 817	0 : 76	1013	2:184	0:014	3:520	0:022	4:364	0:057	4 : 969	0:164	0:006	0:003	SMARTS
3711 : 988	1:35	0 ⁰⁰ 99	2:221	0:007	3 : 538	800 : 0	3 : 909	0:011	5:206	0:046	0 : 180	0:003	MDM {RETROCAM
3712 : 791	1:23	1 ⁰⁰ 03	2:213	0:013	3 : 505	0:020	4:220	0:044	5 : 099	0:162	0:001	0:003	SMARTS
3719:804	0:54	1 ⁰⁰ 13	2:173	0:019	3 : 586	0:041	4 : 506	0:109	5 : 092	0:279	0:041	0:003	SM ARTS

Note. | HJD is the Heliocentric Julian Day { 2450000 days. The goodness of t of the image, $^{2}=N_{dof}$, is used to rescale the form al uncertainties when greater than unity (see text). The QSO A-D columns give the magnitudes of the quasar images relative to the comparison stars. The hStarsi column gives the mean magnitude of the standard stars for that epoch relative to their mean for all epochs.



Fig. 1. | H -band in ages of SD SS0924+0219. The left panel shows the original in age. Note that in age D shows the A iny ring of a point source and is markedly fainter than in age A. The right panel shows H -band residual near each quasar in age after subtracting the four quasar in ages and the lens galaxy to make the E instein ring in age of the quasar host galaxy more visible. The noisy residuals near each in age are largely due to small errors in the PSF models.



Fig. 2. Substructure models for the SD SS0924+0219 ux ratio anomaly. The left (right) panels show the regions where a pseudo-Ja emodel with an Einstein radius of 0° D1 (0° 003) can remove the A/D ux ratio anomaly without signi cantly worsening the constraints on the quasar in age positions or the Einstein ring. The main lens is modeled as a singular isothermal ellipsoid (SE) and the pseudo-Ja emodels are tidally truncated at 0° 1 (0° 06). The position of image D is indicated with a white point.² contours are indicated in the gure legends.



Fig. 3. | SD SS0924+ 0219 R -band light curves for in ages A {D. The data points for in age D are o set to improve their visibility. The curves are derived from a joint, high-order polynom ial t for the source light curve combined with lower order polynom ials for the microlensing variability of each im age (see K ochanek 2005 for details). Sym bols: Im age A { solid squares, Im age B {open triangles, Im age C {open squares and Im age D {solid triangles. The large solid triangles at 2962 days are the HST V -band photom etry referenced to Im age A.



Fig. 4. The ve best tting R-band light curves extrapolated for an additional 10 years across their magnication patterns. In order to show the \goodness of t" of the theoretical microlensing lightcurves, we plot as points the observed image ux minus our model for the intrinsic variability of the source. In most of these light curves we see a brightening of images D and C.



Fig. 5. Normalized probability distribution for the elective source plane velocity (%, heavy solid line) as compared to our estimated probability distribution for the true source plane elective velocity v_e (light solid line). Since the average microlens mass hM i is related to the two velocities by v_e / v_e =hM i¹⁼², high (low) ratios of v_e =v_e correspond to low (high) mass microlenses.



Fig. 6. Probability distribution for the average stellar mass hM i in the lens galaxy. The uncertainty is relatively large because hM i / v_e^2 , but it is consistent with norm al stellar populations.



Fig. 7. Constraints on the mass and accretion rate of the SD SS0924+0219 quasar. The dashed horizontal lines show the estim ated black hole mass based on the M g II 2800A em ission line width. The vertical dash-dotted lines show the estim ated accretion rate assuming a radiative e ciency of 10% and the K aspiet al. (2000) m ethod for estim ating the bolom etric lum inosity. The dotted lines show the constraint on the product M $_{\rm BH}$ M— from the continuum

ux and thin accretion disk theory. The shaded regions show the constraint on the product $M_{BH}M_{-}$ from the microlensing data with a prior of 0:1M < hM i < 1:0M on the mean mass of the microlenses. The accretion is super-Eddington in the lower right corner, so we term instead the constraints on the line where $L = L_{Edd}$. All values plotted are scaled to h = 0.7.



Fig. 8. Probability distributions for the scaled source size \hat{r}_s . The heavy dashed line shows the estimate for \hat{r}_s including a prior of 0:1 M < hM i < 1:0 M on the mass of the stars. The vertical line shows the Einstein Radius h_E i of the average mass star.



Fig. 9. Probability distributions for the physical source size r_s . The dashed curve shows the estimate for r_s with a prior of 0.1 M < hM i < 1.0 M on the mean mass of the microlenses. The vertical line shows the Schwarzschild radius $R_{BH} = 2GM_{BH} = c^2$ of a 10^8 M black hole. The last stable orbit for a Schwarzschild black hole is at $3R_{BH}$.



Fig. 10. Fraction of light curves with 2 =N_{DOF} < 2.3 that undergo a change in magnication larger than m magnitudes towards either brighter (right) or fainter (left) uxes after one (dotted), three (dashed) or ten (solid) years. The vertical lines show the largest observed m for our present light curves.