Polarim etry with N ICM O S

Dean C. Hines¹, Glenn Schneider²

ABSTRACT

NICMOS cameras 1 and 2 (with 43 and 76 m as/pixel, respectively) each carry a set of three polarizing elements to provide high sensitivity observations of linearly polarized light. The polarizers are bandpass limited and provide di raction-limited imaging in camera 1 at 0.8 - 1.3 m, and in camera 2 at 1.9-2.1 m.

The NICMOS design specied the intra-camera primary axis angles of the polarizers to be dimentially on set by 120 deg, and with identical polarizing enciency and transmittance. While this ideal concept was not strictly achieved, accurate polarimetry in both cameras, over their full (11^{00} and 19.2^{00} square) elds of view was enabled through ground and on-orbit calibration of the as-built and HST-integrated system s.

The Cycle 7 & 7N calibration program enabled and demonstrated excellent in aging polarim etric perform ance with uncertainties in measured polarization fractions 1%. A fler the installation of the N ICM OS Cooling System (NCS), the polarim etric calibration was re-established in Cycle 11, resulting in system ic perform ance comparable to (or better than) Cycle 7 & 7N.

The NCS era NICMOS performance inspired the development of an earlier conceived, but non-implemented, observing mode combining high contrast coronagraphic imaging and polarimetry in camera 2. Thismode was functionally tested in the Cycle 7 GTO program, but without a detailed characterization of the instrumental polarization induced by the coronagraph, proper data calibration was not possible. To remedy this shortfall and to enable a new and powerful capability for NICMOS, we successfully executed a program to calibrate and commission the \C oronagraphic Polarimetry" mode in NICMOS in Cycle 13, and the mode was made available for GO use in Cycle 14.

W e discuss the data reduction and calibration of direct and coronagraphic N IC M O S polarim etry. Im portantly, N IC M O S coronagraphic polarim etry provides unique access to polarized light near bright targets over a range of spatial scales interm ediate between direct polarim etry and ground-based (coronagraphic) polarim etry using adaptive optics.

Subject headings: N ICM OS, polarim etry, coronagraphy

¹Space Science Institute, 4750 W alnut Street, Suite 205 Boulder, CO 80301

² Steward Observatory, The University of Arizona, 933 N. Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ 85721

1. Therm alVacuum Tests

The N ICM O S polarim etry system s were characterized on the ground during therm al vacuum tests using a light source that fully illum inated the eld of view with completely linearly polarized light (i.e., p(%) = 100%) and with position angles variable in 5deg increments. The polarizers in camera 1 and camera 2 are labeled by their nom inal position angle orientation: POLS* for camera 1 and POLL* for camera 2. C amera 3 has three grisms that potentially act as polarizing elements, and were characterized as well. However, because the grism s reside in the NIC 3 liter wheel, they cannot be used with either the NIC 1 or NIC 2 polarizers and are unsuitable for spectropolarim etry.

The prim ary results of these therm al vacuum tests include:

Each polarizer in each cam era has a unique polarizing e ciency³, with POL120S having the lowest at POL120S = 48%.

The angular o sets between the polarizers within each lter wheeldi er from their nom inal values of 120 deg.

The instrum ental polarization caused by relections of the m irrors and optical basis in the optical train is small (1%).

The grism s indeed act as partial linear polarizers, with the long wavelength grism (G 206) producing the largest variation in intensity (5%) for 100% linearly polarized light. If one m easures the equivalent width of an emission line against a polarized continuum, the m easurem ent will have di erent values depending on the orientation of the spacecraft w.r.t. the position angle of the polarized continuum. This e ect scales with the source polarization, so should not be important for objects of low polarization (p(%) 10%).

2. The Algorithm for Reducing NICMOS Polarim etry Observations

The therm alvacuum results showed that the standard reduction algorithm listed in basic optics text books would not work for NICMOS data. Instead, a more general approach was required (Hines, Schmidt & Lytle 1997; Hines 1998; Hines, Schmidt & Schneider 2000; Hines 2002).

At any pixel in an image, the observed signal from a polarized source of total intensity I and linear Stokes parameters Q and U measured through the $k^{\rm th}$ polarizer oriented at position angle ' $_k$ is

$$S_k = A_k I + {}_k (B_k Q + C_k U) :$$
(1)

³Polarizer e ciency is de ned as = $(S_{par} S_{perp}) = (S_{par} + S_{perp})$, where S_{par} and S_{perp} are the respective measured signals for a polarizer oriented parallel and perpendicular to the position angle of a fully polarized beam.

Here,

$$A_{k} = \frac{t_{k}}{2} (1 + 1_{k}); \quad B_{k} = A_{k} \cos 2'_{k}; \quad C_{k} = A_{k} \sin 2'_{k}; \quad (2)$$

 $_{k}$ is the polarizing e ciency, t_{k} is the fraction of light transmitted through the polarizer for a 100% linearly polarized input aligned with the polarizer axis, and l_{k} is the \leak" { the fraction of light transmitted through the polarizer (exclusive of that involved in t_{k}) when the incident beam is polarized perpendicular to the axis of the polarizer. These quantities are related under the above de nitions, $_{k} = (1 \quad l_{k}) = (1 + l_{k})$.

This treatment can be shown to be equivalent to other approaches, once appropriate transformations are made (Mazzuca, Sparks, & Axon 1998; see also Sparks & Axon 1999).

The values of t_k were determ ined initially by the liter manufacturer from witness samples, and were not accurately remeasured during them al vacuum tests. However, on-orbit observations of the unpolarized and polarized standard stars enables remement of these numbers. A dopted characteristics of the individual polarizers and algorithm coeccients derived during and applicable to Cycle 7 & 7N are listed in Table 1 of H ines, Schm idt & Schneider (2000), the Cycle 11 coe cients are listed in H ines (2002), and are also available in the N ICM O S instrum ent m anual and the H ST D ata H andbook. The prim ary coe cients are also listed in Table 1 in this contribution.

A fler solving the system of equations (eq. 1) for the Stokes parameters at each pixel (I;Q;U), the percentage polarization (p) and position angle () are calculated in the standard way:

$$p = 100\% \quad \frac{p}{\frac{Q^2 + U^2}{I}}; \quad = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \frac{U}{O} \quad :$$
 (3)

Note that a 360deg arctangent function is assumed.

This algorithm has been tested by the NICMOS Instrument Denition Team (DT) and by the Space Telescope Science Institute (STSCI) on several data sets. An implementation has been developed by the DT, and integrated into a software package written in DL. The package is available through the STSCI website⁴ and is described by Mazzuca & Hines (1999). An update with improved graphical user interface will be available for Cycle 15.

3. The Cycles 7, 7N & 11 Polarim etry Characterization Program s

Observations of a polarized star (CHA-DC-F7: W hittet et al. 1992) and an unpolarized (null) standard (BD + 32 3739: Schmidt, Elston & Lupie 1992) were obtained with NIC1 and NIC2 in Cycle 7 & 7N (CAL 7692, 7958: Axon PI) and in Cycle 11 (CAL 9644: Hines PI). Data were obtained at two epochs such that the di erential telescope rollbetween observations was 135 deg. This removes the degeneracy in position angle caused by the pseudo-vector nature of polarization.

⁴ http://www.stsci.edu/instruments/nicmos/ISREPORTS/isr_99_004.pdf

The second epoch observations in Cycle 7N and all of the observations in Cycle 11 used a four position, spiral-dither pattern with 20.5 pixel (NIC1) and 30.5 pixel (NIC2) o sets to improve sampling and alleviate the e ects of bad pixels, som e persistence, and other image artifacts. This is also the recommended observing strategy for all NICMOS, direct imaging polarimetry programs. W hile no dither pattern was used during the rst epoch of Cycle 7, the data do not appear to su er signi cantly from persistence. Observations of the highly polarized, proto-planetary nebula CRL 2688 (Egg Nebula) were also obtained to test the calibration over a large fraction of the eld of view.

The observations were processed through the CALNICA pipeline at ST ScI using the currently available reference les. A perture photom etry was used to measure the total ux density from the stars in instrum ent units (DN/s) for each polarizer. The Stokes parameters were then constructed using equation (1). Since the thermal vacuum tests showed that the imaging optics them selves have little e ect on the observed polarization, any measured polarization in the null standard was attributed the t_k term in the algorithm. The algorithm was applied to the polarized standard stars to check both the percentage polarization and the position angles.

The higher, yet m ore stable, operating tem perature provided by the NCS and the three year down ancy of NICMOS may contribute to changes in the metrology of the optical system. Therefore, a program to re-characterize the polarim etry optics in Cycle 11 has been developed (NIC/CAL 9644: Hines).

The basic design of the Cycle 11 program follows the strategy undertaken in Cycle 7 & 7N, relying on observations of polarized and unpolarized standard stars as well as the proto-planetary nebula CRL 2688 (Egg Nebula). The Egg Nebula was only observed at a single epoch as a direct comparison with observations from Cycle 7 & 7N (ERO 7115: Hines; Sahai et al. 1998; Hines, Schmidt & Schneider 2000), and to evaluate any gross discrepancies across the eld of view. Observations of the unpolarized standard star (p(%)_{intrinsic} = 0%, by de nition) processed with the Cycle 7 & 7N algorithm coe cients yield $p_{NIC1} = 0.7\%$ 0.2%; $_{NIC1} = 74 \text{ deg}$ and $p_{NIC2} = 0.7\%$ 0.3%; $_{NIC2} = 73 \text{ deg}$. This suggests that the system changed. The observations of the polarized standard star is also larger (p 2%) in NIC1 com pared with the measurem ents of Cycle 7 & 7N, which them selves were in excellent agreem ent with ground-based measurem ents (Hines, Schmidt & Schneider 2000).

O bservations of the Egg N ebula were also analyzed with the Cycle 7 & 7N coe cients. As for the polarized standard star, the results for the Egg N ebula suggest that the polarim etry system has changed slightly⁵, again by about 2% in p%.

We rederived the coe cients assuming that any measured polarization in the unpolarized (Q = U = 0) standard was attributed to the t_k term in the algorithm (i.e. the variable term in the

 $^{{}^{5}}$ T he polarization structure of the Egg is not expected to change over the 5 year period between observations even though the object is known to show photom etric variations.

 A_k coe cient). The new coe cients are listed in Table 1.

We note that we are embarking on a new study of all of the NICM OS direct in aging polarim etry calibration data in an attempt to improve the calibration to better than 0.3% (Batcheldor et al. 2006). This study was prompted by reports of a 1.5% system atic residual polarization signal in some objects (e.g., U eta et al. 2005). Indeed, Batcheldor et al. nd a residual excess polarization for the ensemble of (un)polarized standard stars of p(%) = 1.2%, which behaves as a constant \instrum ental" polarization that can be subtracted (in Stokes param eters) from the observations. While insigni cant for highly polarized objects, this residual will a ect observations of low polarization objects such as active galactic nuclei.

D ue to the non-ideal polarim etry optical system, estimation of the uncertainties in the percentage polarization require a slightly more sophisticated analysis, especially for NIC 1. M onte Carlo simulations of the uncertainties based on the NICM OS performance are given in Hines, Schmidt & Schneider (2000), and an analytical approach is given in Sparks & Axon (1999). In particular, the usual assumption that $_{q} = _{u}$ is not valid for NIC 1.

4. Coronagraphic Polarim etry with N IC M O S

C oronagraphic polarim etric observations of the sam e unpolarized and polarized standard stars that were used in Cycle 7, 7N (CAL 7692, 7958: A xon PI) and Cycle 11 (CAL 9644: H ines PI), and observations of the face-on circum stellar disk TW Hya were each obtained at two epochs su ciently spaced in time to permit large di erential rolls of the spacecraft (i.e., eld orientations w.r.t. the HST optics and NICM OS polarizers). At each epoch, coronagraphic polarim etric in aging was carried out at two eld orientations di ering by 29:9 deg. Follow ing standard NICM OS M ode-2 target acquisitions, the acquired targets were observed through each of the three \Long" wavelength polarizers in C am era 2. The intra-visit repeats for the standard stars were designed to check both for repeatability (im age stability) and possible im age persistence (none of consequence were found). TW Hya was exposed in only two repeats for each polarizer.

To facilitate commonality in data processing, all coronagraphic imaging was done with STEP16 multiaccum sampling, though the number of samples varied between 10 to 12 to best 11 the orbits for each of the targets. The data were instrumentally calibrated in an APL-based analog to the STSDAS CALNICA task using on-orbit derived calibration reference les suitable for these observations. Following the creation of count-rate images individual bad pixels were replaced by 2D weighted G aussian interpolation (r= 5 weighing radius) of good neighbors, and \horizontal striping" associated with heavily exposed targets was characterized and rem oved by median-collapse subtraction.

4.1. PSF-subtracted Im ages

The in age data for this program were obtained to investigate and demonstrate the further suppression of instrum entally scattered light via the camera 2 coronagraph (compared to non-coronagrahic polarim etry) and thus e ect a reduction in the detection oor for a polarized signal in the di use wings of the (supressed) scattering component of the occulted PSF core. For this purpose, the data obtained were not optim ized to enable coronagraphic PSF subtraction (see, e.g., Fraquelli et al. 2004). Im aging coronagraphy under the pass band C amera 2 1.9–1.2 m polarizers will be less e ective than under the 1.4–1.8 m F160W (H band) lter. Im aging coronagraphy of our calibration science target, the very-near face-on TW H ya circum stellar disk under the F160W lter was discussed in W einberger et al. (2002). The smaller inner working angle of the coronagraph with polarizers (caused by the longer wavelength pass-band), while fully enclosing the PSF core under the polarizers perm its light from the rst A iry ring to escape the coronagraphic image plane m ask.

Both the unpolarized standard BD + 32 -3739 and the polarized standard CHA-DC-F7 were selected to establish \truth" w.r.t. the instrum ental polarization (and ultim ately sensitivity) with polarim etric coronagraphy. They were not chosen to serve as coronagraphic reference PSF's for subtraction from TW Hya, and both calibration stars are signi cantly fainter than TW Hya. Additionally, the eld of the unpolarized standard has a signi cant number of fainter stars near the target, unknown prior to the coronagraphic in aging resulting from this program. This is not a problem for the coronagraphic polarim etric calibration/validation, but as such that additionally makes it a poor choice as a PSF subtraction tem plate star.

N onetheless, D IRECT in aging of circum stellar dust from the C am era 2 polarizer set derived total intensity in ages is dem onstrated (but not comprehensively in this sum m ary) using this \tem - plate" PSF. The depth of exposure of BD + 32 is insu cient to reveal the outer part of the TW H ya disk. The ux density rescaling of BD + 32 is suggested as 3.48 from the 2M ASS H and K m agnitudes and the passband of the C am era 2 polarizers. The disk persists varying the DB + 32 intensity over the full range of TW H ya BD + 32 intensities suggested in H and K, though very signi cant under and over subtraction residuals appear at the extrem e of that range in the di raction spikes, suggesting the a prior ratio was very close to \correct".

4.2. Polarim etry Comparison Between the Unpolarized Star and TW Hya

A fler reduction of the images in the three di erent polarizers, the images were processed through the NICMOS polarim etry reduction algorithm (Eq. 1" H ines, Schmidt & Schneider 2000) to produce images of the polarization position angle (), the percentage polarization, and the polarized intensity (= p I). To consider the detection of polarized ux to be \well determ ined" we dem and the polarized intensity in any pixel be at least 5-sigm a above the median of the background. For this data set we choose a background region far from the target, and away from the direction spikes,

where no contribution to the background from the target ux is seen. W ith this, the centosymm etric polarization eld for the near-face on TW Hya disk is obvious, and highly repeatable, in all four eld orientations of the TW Hya observations.

W hile some residual instrum ental polarization seems apparent, the lack of obvious structure in the position angle image of the unpolarized standard star is dram atically diment than that of the TW Hya position angle image; the TW Hya image shows the classic \butter y" pattern for centrosymmetric polarization cased by scattering of a face-on disk. Furthermore, the median polarization is about 2% per resolution element for the \unpolarized" star, compared with 10 15% per resolution element for TW Hya. The results for TW Hya are shown in Figure 1. The measured polarization in the disk is consistent with measurements made from the ground with A daptive Optical systems (D.Potter, private comm., and Hales et al. 2005).

4.3. Continued Analysis

O ur analysis so far has shown that the mode is viable for future Cycles. We have su cient data in hand to evaluate:

The ability to remove the small instrum ental polarization signature in Stokes parameter space.

The spatial correlation of this instrum ental polarization as a function of radius and azm uth with respect to the coronagraphic hole.

The polarization sensitivity as a function of radius from the coronagraphic hole. This analysis is limited by the exposure depth of the unpolarized standard.

4.4. Recommendations

B ased upon our prelim inary analysis, we recommend that NICMOS coronagraphic polarimetry be released as an available mode for future Cycles. We note that the same rules that apply to direct coronagraphic imaging apply to this mode as well. In particular, the neguidance necessary to keep the target well centered in the hole is necessary. In addition, we recommend that observations be obtained at two-roll angles to interpolate over bad pixels and develop an in situ at eld correction near the coronagraphic hole. This may be di cult to achieve in with two-gyro mode.

We cannot yet tell if the current calibration data from Cycle 12 will be su cient for GOs. At present, we would urge that GOs observe their target plus an unpolarized standard star, each at su cient depth to obtain sim ilar S/N in each object in each polarizer thus a minimum of two orbits for a single target program. However, a single, well exposed unpolarized standard star should be su cient for a multi-target science program.

5. TwoGyroMode

B ased upon the m easured jitter in two-gyro m ode, there should be no degradation of the direct polarim etry m ode. Tests of coronographic imaging also suggest m in imal degradation (Schneider et al. 2005, ISR 2005-001). The prim ary impact will be the inability to obtain images at two spacecraft roll angles within a single orbit.

6. Sum m ary

A wide variety of astronom ical objects have been exam ined with NICMOS direct im aging polarim etry including Active Galaxies (Capetti et al. 2000; Tadhunter et al. 2000; Sim pson et al. 2002), evolved stars and proto-planetary nebulae (Sahai et al. 1998; W eintraub et al. 2000; Schm idt, H ines & Sw ift 2002; K ing et al. 2002; Su et al. 2003; U eta et al. 2005), and young stellar objects (Silber et al. 2000; M eakin, H ines & Thom pson 2005). NICMOS continues to provide high delity, high spatial resolution im aging polarim etry. Com bined with the polarim etry m ode of the Advanced C am era for Surveys (ACS), HST provides high resolution im aging polarim etry from

0:2 2:1 m.W ith the new ly commissioned coronagraphic polarimetry mode, NICMOS provides further unique access to polarized light near bright targets over a range of spatial scales intermediate between direct polarimetry and ground-based (coronagraphic) polarimetry using adaptive optics.

An electronic version of the presentation given by D \mathcal{L} . Hines at the workshop can be found at the following URL:

http://www.stsciedu/ts/webcasting/ppt/CaW orkshop2005/DeanHines102805ppt

REFERENCES

Capetti, A., et al. 2000, ApJ, 544, 269

Fraquelli, D.A., Schultz, A.B., Bushouse, H., Hart, H.M., & Vener, P. 2004, PASP, 116, 55

- Hales, A.S., Gledhill, T.M., Barlow, M.J., & Lowe, K.T.E. 2005, ArX iv A strophysics e-prints, arX iv astro-ph/0511793
- H ines, D.C. 2002, The 2002 H ST C alibration W orkshop : Hubble after the Installation of the ACS and the N ICM OS C ooling System, Proceedings of a W orkshop held at the Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltim ore, M aryland, O ctober 17 and 18, 2002. Edited by Santiago A rribas, A nton K oekem oer, and B rad W hitm ore. Baltim ore, M D : Space Telescope Science Institute, 2002., p 260, 260

Hines, D.C., Schm dit, G.D. & Schneider, G. 2000, PASP, 112, 983

Hines, D.C. 1998, NICMOS and the VLT: A New Era of High Resolution Near Infrared Imaging and Spectroscopy, Pula, Sardinia, Italy, June 26-27th 1998 ESO Conference and Workshop Proceedings 55, 1998, W olfram Freudling and Richard Hook eds.p. 63, 63

- Hines, D.C., Schmidt, G.D., & Lytle, D. 1997, The 1997 HST Calibration Workshop with a New Generation of Instruments, p. 217, 217
- King, N. L., Nota, A., Walsh, J. R., Panagia, N., Gull, T. R., Pasquali, A., Clampin, M., & Bergeron, L. E. 2002, ApJ, 581, 285
- Mazzuca, L., Sparks, B., & Axon, D. 1998, Instrument Science Report NICMOS-ISR-98-017, Space Telescope Science Institute, (Baltimore: STSCI)
- Mazzuca, L. & Hines, D. C. 1999, Instrument Science Report NICMOS-ISR-99-004, Space Telescope Science Institute, (Baltimore: STScI)
- Meakin, C.A., Hines, D.C., & Thompson, R.I. 2005, ApJ, 634, 1146
- Sahai, R., Hines, D. C., Kastner, J. H., Weintraub, D. A., Trauger, J. T., Rieke, M. J., Thompson, R. I., & Schneider, G. 1998, ApJ, 492, L163
- Schm idt, G.D., Elston, R., & Lupie, O.L. 1992, AJ, 104, 1563
- Schm idt, G.D., Hines, D.C., & Swift, S. 2002, ApJ, 576, 429
- Silber, J., Gledhill, T., Duchêne, G., & Menard, F. 2000, ApJ, 536, L89
- Simpson, J.P., Colgan, S.W. J., Erickson, E.F., Hines, D.C., Schultz, A.S.B., & Trammell, S.R. 2002, ApJ, 574, 95
- Sparks, W B., & Axon, D J. 1999, PASP, 111, 1298
- Su, K.Y.L., Hrivnak, B.J., Kwok, S., & Sahai, R. 2003, AJ, 126, 848
- Ueta, T., Murakawa, K., & Meixner, M. 2005, AJ, 129, 1625
- Tadhunter, C.N., et al. 2000, MNRAS, 313, L52
- Thom pson, R. I., Rieke, M., Schneider, G., Hines, D. C., & Corbin, M. R. 1998, ApJ, 492, L95
- Weinberger, A.J., Becklin, E.E., Schneider, G., Chaing, E.I., Low rance, P.J., Silverstone, M., Zuckerman, B., Hines, D.C. & Smith, B.A., 2002, ApJ, 566, 409
- Weintraub, D.A., Kastner, J.H., Hines, D.C., & Sahai, R. 2000, ApJ, 531, 401

This preprint was prepared with the AAS IAT_EX m acros v5.0.



Fig. 1. Coronagraphic polarim etry of TW Hya. The top panel shows the percentage polarization. The bottom panel shows the azm uthally averaged total intensity and the polarized intensity.

Table 1. Characteristics of the NICMOS Polarizers & Coe cients to Eq. (1)

Filter	′ _k	k	t _k (Pre−NCS) ^a	t _k (NCS)ª	C om m ents
POLOS	1.42	0.9717	0.7760	0.7760	G hosts
POL120S	116.30	0.4771	0.5946	0.5934	W eak ghosts
POL240S	258.72	0.7682	0.7169	0.7173	G hosts
POLOL	8.84	0.7313	0.8981	0.8779	•••
POL120L	131.42	0.6288	0.8551	0.8379	••••
POL240L	248.18	0.8738	0.9667	0.9667	•••

 $^{\rm a}{\rm D}$ erived from on-orbit observations of the unpolarized standard star BD + 32 deg3739 (Schm idt, Elston & Lupie 1992).