Abstract. The high-frequency peaked ΒL Lac PG 1553+113 was observed in 2005 with the HESS. stereoscopic array of imaging atmospheric-Cherenkov telescopes in Namibia. Using the HESS. standard analysis, an excess was measured at the 4.0 level in these observations (7.6 hours live time). Three alternative, lower-threshold analyses yield > 5excesses. The observed integral ux above 200 GeV is (4.8 1:3_{stat} 1:0_{syst}) 10 $^{12}\,$ cm 2 s 1 , and shows no evidence for variability. The measured energy spectrum is characterized by a very soft power law (photon index of = 4.0 0.6). Although the redshift of PG 1553+113 is unknown, there are strong indications that it is greater than z=0.25 and possibly larger than z=0.78. The observed spectrum is interpreted in the context of VHE -ray absorption by the Extragalactic Background Light, and is used to place an upper limit on the redshift of z<0.74.

K ey words: Galaxies: active - BL Lacertae objects: Individual: PG 1553+113 - Gamma rays: observations Your thesaurus codes are: m issing; you have not inserted them

Evidence for VHE -ray Emission from the Distant BL Lac PG 1553+113

F. A haronian¹, A. G. A khperjanian², A. R. Bazer-Bachi³, M. Beilicke⁴, W. Benbow¹, D. Berge¹,
K. Bernlohr^{1,5}, C. Boisson⁶, O. Bolz¹, V. Borrel³, I. Braun¹, F. Breitling⁵, A. M. Brown⁷, R. Buhler¹,
S. Carrigan¹, P. M. Chadwick⁷, L.-M. Chounet⁸, R. Cornils⁴, L. Costam ante^{1,20}, B. Degrange⁸,
H. J. Dickinson⁷, A. D jannati-A tai⁹, L.O.'C. Drury¹⁰, G. Dubus⁸, K. Egberts¹, D. Em m anoulopoulos¹¹,
P. Espigat⁹, F. Feinstein¹², G. Fontaine⁸, S. Funk¹, Y. A. Gallant¹², B. Giebels⁸, J.F. Glicenstein¹⁴,
P. Goret¹⁴, C. Hadjichristidis⁷, D. Hauser¹, M. Hauser¹¹, G. Heinzelm ann⁴, G. Henri¹³, G. Herm ann¹,
J.A. Hinton^{1;11}, W. Hofm ann¹, M. Holleran¹⁵, D. Horns¹, A. Jacholkow ska¹², O. C. de Jager¹⁵,
B. Kheli^{8;1}, Nu. Kom in⁵, A. Konopelko⁵, I.J. Latham⁷, R. Le Gallou⁷, A. Lem iere⁹, M. Lem oine-Goum ard⁸, T. Lohse⁵, J.M. Martin⁶, O. Martineau-Huynh¹⁶, A. Marcow ith³, C. Masterson^{1;20},
T. J.L. M. cC om b⁷, M. de Naurois¹⁶, D. Nedbal¹⁷, S.J. Nolan⁷, A. Noutsos⁷, K.J.O. rford⁷, J.L.O. sborne⁷,
M. Raue⁴, S.M. Rayner⁷, A. Reim er¹⁸, O. Reim er¹⁸, J. Ripken⁴, L. Rob¹⁷, L. Rolland¹⁶, G. Rowell¹,
V. Sahakian², L. Sauge¹³, S. Schlenker⁵, R. Schlickeiser¹⁸, C. Schuster¹⁸, U. Schwanke⁵, M. Siew ert¹⁸,
H. Sol⁶, D. Spangler⁷, R. Steenkam p¹⁹, C. Stegm ann⁵, G. Superina⁸, J.-P. Tavernet¹⁶, R. Terrier⁹,
C. G. Theoret⁹, M. T. Luczykont^{8;20}, C. van Eldik¹, G. Vasileiadis¹², C. Venter¹⁵, P. V incent¹⁶, H. J. Volk¹,

¹ M ax-P lanck-Institut fur K emphysik, H eidelberg, G em any

- ² Yerevan Physics Institute, Armenia
- ³ Centre d'Etude Spatiale des Rayonnem ents, CNRS/UPS, Toulouse, France
- ⁴ Universitat H am burg, Institut fur Experim entalphysik, G erm any
- $^5\,$ Institut fur Physik, H um boldt-U niversitat zu Berlin, G erm any
- ⁶ LUTH, UMR 8102 du CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, Section de Meudon, France
- $^7\,$ University of D urham , D epartm ent of P hysics, U K .
- ⁸ Laboratoire Leprince-R inguet, IN 2P 3/CNRS, E cole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France
- ⁹ APC, Paris, France[?]
- ¹⁰ Dublin Institute for A dvanced Studies, Ireland
- ¹¹ Landessternwarte, Konigstuhl, Heidelberg, Germany
- ¹² Laboratoire de Physique Theorique et A stroparticules, IN 2P 3/CNRS, Universite M ontpellier II, France
- $^{13}\,$ Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Grenoble, IN SU /CNRS, Universite Joseph Fourier, France
- $^{14}\,$ DAPNIA /DSM /CEA, CE Saclay, G if-sur-Y vette, France
- $^{\rm 15}\,$ Unit for Space Physics, N orth-W est University, Potchefstroom , South A frica
- ¹⁶ Laboratoire de Physique Nucleaire et de Hautes Energies, IN 2P 3/CNRS, Universites Paris VI & VII, France
- ¹⁷ Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
- ¹⁸ Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Lehrstuhl IV, Ruhr-Universitat Bochum, Germany
- ¹⁹ University of Namibia, W indhoek, Namibia
- $^{20}\,$ European A spociated Laboratory for G am m a-R ay A stronom y, jointly supported by CNRS and MPG

Received 14 December 2005 / Accepted 19 January 2006

1. Introduction

PG 1553+113 was discovered in the Palom ar-G reen survey of UV-excess stellar objects (G reen et al. 1986). It is classi ed as a BL Lac object based on its featureless spectrum (M iller & G reen 1983, Falom o & Treves 1990)

and its signi cant ($m_p = 13.2-15.0$) optical variability (M iller et al. 1988). PG 1553+113 is well studied from the radio to the X-ray regime, and has been the subject of several multi-wavelength observation cam – paigns. In X-rays it has been detected by multiple observatories, with energy spectra measured by both BeppoSAX (D onato et al. 2005) and XMM N ewton (Perlm an et al. 2005). Based on its broad-band spectral energy distribution (SED), PG 1553+113 is now classi ed as a high-frequency peaked BL Lac (G iom m iet al. 1995),

Send o print requests to:W ystan Benbow@mpihdmpg.de or santiago.pita@cdf.in2p3.fr

[?] UMR 7164 (CNRS, Universite Paris VII, CEA, Observatoire de Paris)

similar to essentially allof the AGN detected at VHE (very high energy; > 100 G eV) energies.

The redshift of PG 1553+113 was initially determ ined to be z= 0:36 (M iller & G reen 1983). How ever, it was later claimed that this value is a wed as it was based on a spurious em ission line, caused by a bright spot in the International U traviolet Explorer in age, that was m isidenti ed as Lyman- (Falom o & Treves 1990). To date no em ission or absorption lines have been measured despite more than ten observation campaigns with optical instruments, including two with the 8-meter VLT telescopes (W agner 2005). Estim ates of the redshift can also be made using photom etric observations. No host galaxy was resolved in Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images of PG 1553+113 taken during the HST survey of 110 BL Lac objects (Scarpa et al. 2000). Approximately 80% of these BL Lacs (88) have known redshifts, of which all 39 with z<0.25 and 21 of the 28 with 0.25< z<0.6 have their hosts resolved (Sbarufattiet al. 2005). The HST results were recently used to set a lower lim it of z > 0.78(Sbarufattiet al. 2005) for PG 1553+113. This redshift limit is based on the assumption of the nucleus being present in a typical host galaxy, and general correlation between photom etrically determ ined redshifts and those determ ined spectroscopically. However, a departure from this correlation, or the possibility of an atypical host galaxy is not unexpected. The possibility of such a large redshift is of critical in portance to VHE observations due to the absorption of VHE photons (Stecker et al. 1992, Hauser & Dwek 2001) by pair-production on the Extragalactic Background Light (EBL). This absorption, which is energy dependent and increases strongly with redshift, distorts the VHE energy spectra observed from distant objects, and may even render the VHE detection of very distant objects in possible.

Although the redshift of PG 1553+113 is essentially unknown, it is viewed as a promising candidate for detection as a VHE em itter (Costam ante & Ghisellini 2002) based on its SED. However, it has not been previously detected in the VHE regime. Only ux level upper lim its have been reported by the W hipple collaboration (de la Calle Perez et al. 2003) and the M ilagro group (William s 2004). Here, evidence for > 200 G eV -ray em ission from PG 1553+113 is presented, based on observations made with the H E S.S. (High Energy Stereoscopic System) experiment. The data are used to set an upper lim it on the source redshift.

2.H.E.S.S.D etector & Observations

The HESS. experiment is a stereoscopic system of four in aging atm ospheric-C herenkov telescopes located in Namibia. HESS. is designed to search for astrophysical -ray emission above 100 GeV, with a sensitivity in 25 hours for a 1% Crab Nebula ux source at Table 2 shows the results from the analysis of the HESS. (5 20 zenith angle) that allows for the detection of VHE

em ission from objects such as PG 1553+113 at previously undetectable ux levels. M ore details on H E S.S. can be found in Hinton (2004).

The H E S.S. observations of PG 1553+113 were m ade in M ay and August 2005. A totalof 22 runs (28 m inutes each) were taken, yielding a total exposure of 9.6 hours. Seventeen of these runs pass selection criteria which rem ove data for which the weather conditions were poor or the hardware was not functioning properly. The total exposure of the quality selected data set is 7.6 hours live time, and the mean zenith angle of these observations is 40 . All these data were taken using W obble mode, i.e. the source direction is positioned 0.5 relative to the center of the eld of view (fo.v.) of the cam era during observations, which allows for both on-source observations and simultaneous estimation of the background induced by charged cosm ic rays.

3. A nalysis Technique

The data passing the run selection criteria are calibrated as detailed in A haronian et al. (2004), and the event reconstruction and background rejection (i.e. event selection criteria) are performed as described in Aharonian et al. (2005a), with some m inor improvements discussed in Aharonian et al. (2005b). On-source data are taken from a circular region of radius cut centered on the source. The background is estimated using events from a number of equal-size o -source regions o set by the same distance, relative to the center of the fo.v., in the sky as the on-source region (for more details see A haronian et al. 2005c). The signi cance of any excess is calculated following the method of Equation (17) in Li& Ma (1983).

Table 1 shows the cuts on mean reduced scaled (MRSW) and length (MRSL) parameters width (A haronian et al. 2005a), on 2 (the square of the angular di erence between the reconstructed shower direction and the source position), on individual in age size (in photoelectrons; PE), and on the distance of the im age center of gravity from the center of the fo.v., used in this analysis. The standard HESS. analysis cuts shown in Table 1 are optimized a priori using Monte Carlo -ray simulations and unrelated o -source data to yield the maximum expected signi cance per hour of observation for a weak (10% C rab ux source with photon index = 2.6) source. Generally, the signi cance expected (and observed) is not strongly dependent on the exact values of the cuts. However, the size cut increases the energy threshold of the analysis, therefore lower values are more appropriate for a steep spectrum source.

4.Results

observations of PG 1553+ 113.U sing the standard cuts, an

Table 1. The selection cuts applied to the data for the H E S.S. standard analysis and those applied for the spectrum determ ination in this article. Cuts keeping only events with M R SL and M R SW greater than 2:0 are used in both cases. Only in ages passing the distance and size cuts are used in the analysis, and a minimum of two such in ages are required.

Cut Type	M R SL m ax []	M R SW m ax []	² _{cut} m ax [deg ²]	Size min [PE]	D ist. m ax [m rad]
Standard	2.0	0.9	0.0125	80	35
Spectrum	1.3	0.9	0.02	40	35

Table 2. Results of the HESS. observations of PG 1553+113 using both the standard analysis cuts and the lower-threshold spectral analysis cuts. Shown are the post-cuts energy threshold at the m ean zenith angle of observations (40), the number of on-source and o -source events passing the cuts, the normalization for the o -source events, the observed excess () from PG 1553+113 and the signi cance (S) of the excess. The signi cance resulting from the spectral analysis cuts is subject to a sm all trials factor. Therefore only the signi cance resulting from the H E SS. standard analysis should be considered.

Cut Type	E _{th} [GeV]	0 n	0	N orm		s []
Standard	310	457	4126	0.0907	83	4.0
Spectrum	230	1807	12753	0.1236	230	5.3

excess of 83 events (4.0) is observed from the direction of PG 1553+113. Figure 1 shows the on-source and normalized o -source distributions of ² for the H E S S. observations. The background is approximately at in ² as expected, and there is an excess at small values of ² corresponding to the observed signal. The shape of this excess is consistent with that expected from a point source given the H E S S. point-spread function.

A ssuming a power-law spectrum (dN =dE E) with a photon index of = 4.0 (justi ed later), the observed integral ux above 200 GeV is I(>200 GeV)= (4.8 $1:3_{stat}$ $1:0_{syst}$) 10^{12} cm 2 s¹. This corresponds to 2% of I(>200 GeV) determined by H E S.S. for the C rab N ebula. The ux is well below all previously published upper limits for PG 1553+ 113. No evidence for variability is found as a t to the nightly integral ux versus time is consistent with being constant (2 = 3.7, 7 degrees of freedom). A dditionally, quick-look results provided by the RXTE/ASM team show no indication of aring behavior at X-ray energies (2 to 10 keV), suggesting that PG 1553+ 113 was not in a high-em ission state.

G iven the low number of excess events found using the H E S.S. standard analysis, the determ ination of an



F ig.1. The distribution of 2 for on-source events (points) and norm alized o -source events (shaded) from observations of PG 1553+113. The dashed line represents the cut on 2 applied to the data.



F ig.2. The energy spectrum of PG 1553+113. The horizontal error bars indicate the energy bin size. The dashed line represents the best 2 t of a power law.

energy spectrum is not possible. Therefore, a set of low erthreshold cuts were generated to increase the selection e – ciency for low-energy –rays, allowing for a spectral determination. The cuts were generated using the exact same procedure as for the standard analysis cuts, di ering only in that they were optimized for a 1% C rab ux (> 100 G eV) source with photon index = 5.0. U sing these cuts (also shown in Table 1) an excess of 230 events (5:3) from the direction of PG 1553+113 is found. The signi cance of this excess is clearly subject to a small trials factor and is therefore reduced. To be conservative, only the signi – cance of the standard analysis should be considered. The energy spectrum determined from the data set, using the low-threshold analysis, is shown in Figure 2. The best ²

t of a power law to these data yields a photon index = 4.0 0.6_{stat} , and a 2 of 1.8 for 2 degrees of freedom .



F ig.3. The intrinsic photon index of PG 1553+113, with 1 statistical error contours, versus redshift for the cases of m in im al and m axim al EBL absorption. An intrinsic photon index below the horizontal line ($_{\rm int}$ =1.5) is not considered realistic. The upperm ost (dashed-dotted) curve is the sum of the intrinsic photon index and 2 statistical error for the case of m inim al EBL absorption.

The system atic error on the photon index is small com - pared to the statistical error.

It should be noted that the integral ux (again assum ing = 4.0) determ ined with the "spectrum" cuts, I(> 200 GeV)= (5.8 1:1_{stat} 1:2_{svst}) 10 12 cm 2 s 1 , is consistent with the ux obtained using the standard cuts. This indicates that the increased excess found with these cuts is indeed expected and not the result of over-optim ization or statistical uctuations. In addition, a cross check was performed on the same data as published by H E S S. on PKS2005 489 (A haronian et al. 2005b). Here an analysis using the spectral-determ ination cuts results in the same spectrum (=4.0) as previously published, as well as the increase of the detected excess by similar factor as for PG 1553+113. Finally, an alternative analysis using an independent calibration and two di erent event reconstruction m ethods (de N aurois et al. 2003, Lem oine-Goum and & Degrange 2004) veri es the observed signal (> 5 excess) and yields compatible spectra.

5.D iscussion

PG 1553+ 113 possibly represents the most distant BL Lac object detected at VHE energies. Therefore, the observed VHE spectrum is expected to be a ected by EBL absorption. Unfortunately the unknown redshift of this object does not allow its VHE spectrum to be used in constraining the poorly-measured EBL ux density (see e.g. A haronian et al. 2005d). How ever, assuming a redshift allows the determination of the spectrum intrinsic to the BL Lac (i.e. with the e ect of EBL absorption rem oved). The intrinsic ux is related to the observed ux by $F_{int} = e^{(z;E)} F_{obs}$, where (z;E) is the optical depth due and A stronom y R esearch Charles U niversity, the So and Technology and N at the U niversity of N am ibit of the technical support Heideberg, Palaiseau, Pa construction and operation intrinsic A di erent m odel an A haronian et al. (2005d).

to pair production ($_{\text{VHE}}$ $_{\text{EBL}}$! e⁺ e). A ssum ing that the main uncertainty arises from the overall normalization of the EBL density, this optical depth can be expressed as (z;E) = f₀(z;E), where $_0$ (z;E) is calculated using a reference EBL-density model, and f is a scaling factor for this density. At energies relevant to the H E S S. observations, (z;E) increases with both redshift and energy. Therefore, a larger redshift, or higher EBL ux density, causes a stronger absorption of higher-energy -rays, resulting in a harder intrinsic spectrum (F_{int} / E^{int}). The EBL density model of P rim ack et al. (2001) is chosen here since it includes the e ects of galaxy evolution which become important at redshifts greater than

0.2. This model's uxes are above recently published upper limits (A haronian et al. 2005d). However, scaling its values by f = 0.85 approximately traces these lim its¹ and is therefore considered a maximalEBL ux density. G iven that the EBL ux density is poorly-known, a m inim al EBL scenario is also considered. Here, the originalm odel is scaled by f = 0.6, approxim ately tracing the lower lim its on the EBL ux density provided by galaxy counts (M adau & Pozzetti 2000). U sing these param eterizations, the intrinsic spectrum of PG 1553+113 is calculated for di erent redshift values. Figure 3 shows the intrinsic photon index (int) versus redshift. A ssum ing the intrinsic photon index of the BL Lac is not harder than int=1.5 (see A haronian et al. 2005d for argum ents supporting this assumption), an upper lim it on the redshift of PG 1553+113 of z<0.74 is determ ined using the m inim al EBL. Above this redshift, where int = 0.3 0.6, the sum of int and twice its statistical error is harder than 1.5. C learly, the redshift upper lim it is smaller if a higher EBL

ux density is assumed. This is the rst time that a VHE observation is used to usefully constrain the redshift of an astrophysical object. Future observations of PG 1553+113 should allow for a more precise determination of the photon index, possibly resulting in a stronger redshift constraint.

A cknow ledgem ents. The support of the N am ibian authorities and of the U niversity of N am ibia in facilitating the construction and operation of H E S S. is gratefully acknow ledged, as is the support by the G erm an M inistry for E ducation and R esearch (BM BF), the M ax-P lanck-Society, the French M inistry for R esearch, the CNRS-IN 2P 3 and the A stroparticle Interdisciplinary P rogram m e of the CNRS, the U K.Particle Physics and A stronom y R esearch Council (PPARC), the IPNP of the Charles U niversity, the South A frican D epartm ent of Science and Technology and N ational R esearch Foundation, and by the U niversity of N am ibia. W e appreciate the excellent work of the technical support sta in Berlin, D urham, H am burg, H eidelberg, Palaiseau, Paris, Saclay, and in N am ibia in the construction and operation of the equipm ent.

¹ A dierent model and hence scaling factor is used in Aharonian et al. (2005d).

References

- A haronian, F., et al. (H E S.S.Collaboration), 2004, A stropart Phys, 22, 109
- A haronian, F., et al. (H E S.S. Collaboration), 2005a, A & A, 430, 865
- Aharonian, F., et al. (H E S.S. Collaboration), 2005b, A&A, 436, L17
- Aharonian, F., et al. (H E S.S. Collaboration), 2005c, A&A, 441, 465
- A haronian, F., et al. (H E S.S. Collaboration), 2005d, submitted for publication [arXivastro-ph/0508073]
- Costam ante, L.& Ghisellini G., 2002, A&A, 384, 56
- de la Calle Perez, I., Bond, IH., Boyle, P.J., et al., 2003, ApJ, 599, 909
- de Naurois, M , Guy, J, D jannati-A tai, A , et al., 2003, P roc. of the 28th ICRC (I sukuba), 2907
- Donato, D., Sam bruna, R.M., & Gliozzi, M., 2005, A&A, 433, 1163
- Falom o, R. & Treves, A., 1990, PASP, 102, 1120
- Giommi, P., Ansari, S.G., & Micol, A., 1995, A&AS, 109, 267
- Green, R.F., Schmidt, M., & Liebert, J., 1986, ApJS, 61, 305
- Hauser, M .G. & Dwek, E., 2001, ARA & A, 39, 249
- H inton, J., 2004, New A stron Rev, 48, 331
- Lem oine-Goum ard, M . & Degrange, B ., 2004, A IP Conference Proceedings, 745, 697
- Li, T. & Ma, Y., 1983, ApJ, 272, 317
- M iller, H R , Carini, M .T , G aston, B J., et al., 1988, Proc. of the IUE Symposium (G reenbelt), 303
- M iller, H R . & G reen, R F ., 1983, BAAS, 15, 957
- Perlman, E.S., Madejski, G., Georganopoulos, M., et al., 2005, ApJ, 625, 727
- Madau, P.& Pozzetti, L., 2000, MNRAS, 312, L9
- Primack, JR., Som erville, R.S., Bullock, JS., et al., 2001, A IP Conference Proceedings, 558, 463
- Sbarufatti, B., Treves, A., & Falom o, R., 2005, ApJ, 635, 173
- Scarpa, R., Urry, C.M., Falomo, R., et al., 2000, ApJ, 532, 740 Stecker, F.W., de Jager, O.C., & Salamon, M.H., 1992, ApJ,
- 390, 49
- W agner, S., 2005, P rivate C om m unication
- W illiam s, D ., 2004, A IP C onference Proceedings, 745, 499