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## ABSTRACT


#### Abstract

W e analyze full-polarization VLBA data of ground-state, m ain-line OH m asers in 18 m assive star-form ing regions previously presented in a com panion paper. From the aggregate properties of our sources, we con m results previously seen in the few individual sources studied at m illiarcsecond angular resolution. The OH m asers often arise in the shocked neutral gas surrounding ultracom pact H II regions. M agnetic elds as deduced from OH maser Zeem an splitting are highly ordered, both on the scale of a source as well as the m aser clustering scale of $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$. Results from our large sam ple show that this clustering scale appears to be universal to these m asers. O H m asers around ultracom pact H II regions live $10^{4}$ years and then tum O abruptly, rather than w eakening gradually w th tim e. T hese $m$ asers have a $w$ ide range of polarization properties. At one extrem e (e.g., W 75 N ), -com ponents are detected and the polarization position angles of $m$ aser spots show som e organization. At the other extrem e (e.g., W 51 el/e2), alm ost no linear polarization is detected and total polarization fractions can be substantially less than unity. A typical source has properties interm ediate to these two extrem es. In contrast to the well ordered $m$ agnetic eld inferred from Zeem an splitting, there is generally no clear pattem in the distribution of polarization position angles. This can be explained if Faraday rotation in a typicalO H m aser source is large on a m aser am pli cation length but sm allon a single (e-folding) gain length. Increasing or decreasing Faraday rotation by a factor of 5 am ong di erent souroes can explain the observed variation in polarization properties. Pure -com ponents (in theory 100\% linearly polarized) have long been sought, but seldom found. W e suggest that alm ost all -com ponents acquire a sign cant am ount of circular polarization from low-gain stim ulated em ission of a com ponent from OH appropriately shifted in velocity and lying along the propagation path.


[^0]Subject headings: $m$ asers $\mid$ polarization $\mid m$ agnetic elds $\mid$ stars: form ation | ISM :molecules radio lines: ISM

## 1. Introduction

H ydroxyl ( OH ) m asers are com $m$ on in $m$ assive star-form ing regions (SFRs). Their sm all size and large Zeem an splitting coe cient allow them to serve as probes of the velocity and $m$ agnetic elds on a very sm all scale. B ecause OH m asers often chister together in large num bers on subarcsecond scales (e.g., R eid et al 1980), very long baseline interferom etric (VLBI) techniques are required to identify individualm aser features. D ue both to this close clustering of $m$ aser spots and to the tendency for the two com ponents of a ground-state Zeem an pair to have highly unequal uxes (C ook 1966), the resolution a orded by VLBI is necessary in order to identify $m$ ost Zeem an pairs (see, for instance, $F$ ish et al. 2003). Likew ise, identifying individualm aser spots in a cluster is a prerequisite to understanding the linear polarization of O H m asers, since blending of the linear polarization from adjacent $m$ asers $w$ ith di erent polarization properties can cormupt the interpretation of the polarization.

O ver a quarter century has passed since the rst OH m aser source was observed w ith V LB I resolution: W 3 ( OH ) by Reid et al. (1980). Since then, only a few m ore interstellar ground-state OH m aser sources have been observed at V LB I resolution (H aschick et al. 1981; Zheng 1997; Slysh et al 2001, 2002). In order to understand the range of environm ents probed by OH m asers in m assive SFRs, we have undertaken a survey of the OH m asers in 18 m assive SFRsw ith the N ationalR adio A stronom $\mathrm{y} O$ bservatory's ${ }^{1}$ Very Long B aseline A rray (VLBA). The data have already been published as Fish et al. (2005, hereafter P aper I).

In this paper we analyze the results both in term $s$ of relevance to individual sources and as a large collection of OH m asers that can shed additional light on the physical processes of OH m asers and the interpretation of their polarization. A brief overview of linear polarization theory is provided in x 2 . In x 3 , we consider the set of OH m asers as a whole to derive statistical properties. In x 4 , we present plausibility argum ents to attem pt to identify the physicalprocesses responsible for the observed properties of H m asers. $F$ inally, we sum $m$ arize our results in $x 5$. C om $m$ ents on individual sources are included in A ppendix A.

## 2. Linear Polarization $T$ heory

In the presence of a $m$ agnetic eld, each of the $m$ ain-line ${ }^{2} \quad 3=2 ; J=3=20 H$ transitions split into three lines: tw o elliptically-polarized -com ponents shifted in frequency by the Zeem an e ect, and one linearlypolarized -com ponent at the natural frequency of oscillation for the velocity of the em itting $m$ aterial (see, e.g., D avies 1974). D ue in part to unequalam pli cation, this clear pattem of three lines has only been seen once (H utaw arakom, C ohen, \& B rebner 2002). To this point, there have been few, if any, unam biguous detections of -com ponents. O ften, a single -com ponent is seen by tiself, without an accom panying com ponent polarized in the opposite circular handedness strong enough to be detected. Signi cant linear polarizations, which could be produced by -com ponents, have been seen (Slysh et al 2002). H ow ever, -com ponents associated $w$ th $m$ agnetic elds $w$ th a nonzero penpendicular (line-of-sight) com ponent are in general elliptically polarized, and elliptical polarization is the sum of circular and linear polarizations.

[^1]Thus, signi cant linear polarization fractions can be produced by both - and -com ponents, the latter theoretically being 100\% linearly polarized in all instances.

The existence of $m$ aser spots w th large (but not unity) fractional linear polarization suggests that com ponents $m$ ay in practice be partially circularly polarized as well. It is di cult to select an observational threshold of the linear polarization fraction that divides com ponents from com ponents. Theoretical m odelling by G oldreich, K eeley, \& K wan (1973b) and G ray \& F ield (1995) suggests that am pli cation of -com ponents is stronger than that of -components for \& 55 , where is the angle of the magnetic eld to the line of sight. This corresponds to a linear polarization fraction $0: 50$. B ut since linear and circular polarization fractions add in quadrature, a com pletely polarized spot is not $m$ ore linear than circular untilthe linear polarization fraction exceeds 0.71 . Even then it is unclear how -com ponents, which are theoretically totally linearly polarized, can acquire a circular com ponent of polarization. T his issue is discussed in further detail in x4.4.

It is im portant to distinguish betw een -and -com ponents, because the interpretation of the orientation of the $m$ agnetic eld based on the linearpolarization position angle is di erent for the two cases. T he electric vector for a -com ponent is perpendicular to the two-dim ensionalm agnetic eld direction (i.e., on the plane of the sky), while the electric vector for a -com ponent is parallel to the two-dim ensionalm agnetic eld direction. Thus, $w$ thout in form ation asto which $m$ aser spots are -com ponents and which are -com ponents, there is a 90 ambiguity in the direction of the $m$ agnetic eld on the plane of the sky.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. A re Zeem an Triplets E ver Seen?

H utaw arakom, C ohen, \& B rebner (2002) identi ed a com plete $Z$ eem an triplet in the northemm ost group of OH m asers in $W 75 \mathrm{~N}$, the rst and only OH Zeem an triplet identi ed. Figure 1 show a spectrum of a subregion of the northemm ost group of H m asers. Threem aser lines can be seen at 4.1,5.7, and $7.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. $T$ he splitting of the 4.1 and $5.7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~m}$ aser lines is consistent w ith a magnetic eld of $+5: 5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{G}$ and a velocity of $5.7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. We con m the existence of this triplet, although the three com ponents are not perfectly aligned spatially. The angular separation betw een the -and RCP -com ponent is 55 m as, which corresponds to a tw o-dim ensionallinear separation of $: 610^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$ (110 AU ). This is roughly the cluster scale of $O H \mathrm{~m}$ asers (see x 32 ), though larger than the typical scale of separation betw een the two -com ponents in a Zeem an pair. It is possible that the -and both -com ponents all are located in the sam e cloudlet but from am pli cation paths that are not coincident.

The linear polarization fractions of the -com ponents are $16 \%$ and $20 \%$, while the corresponding fraction of the com ponent is $86 \%$. The polarization position angles of the com ponents at 4.1 and $7.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ are 45 and 67 , respectively, while the position angle of the -com ponent at $5.7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ is 54 . This roughly ts the theory that com ponents are linearly polarized w ith a position angle perpendicular to that of the -com ponents.

The angle of the $m$ agnetic eld to the line of sight, , can be derived from the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\frac{\sin ^{2}}{1+\cos ^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the linear polarization fraction of a com ponent ( $G$ oldreich, $K$ eeley, \& $K$ wan 1973a). This angle is 32 and 35 for the two -components of the Zem an triplet. Equal ampli cation rates of the -and


Fig. 1. Zeem an triplet in $W 75 \mathrm{~N}$. Top: C ontour m aps of the three Zeem an com ponents $w$ ith polarization vectors included. N ote that the polarization vectors of the com ponents are roughly penpendicular to that of the -com ponent. LSR velocities in $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ are show n in the upper right ofeach panel. B ottom : Spectrum of the dotted box region in the upper plots. T he feature labelled \artifact" is due to the sidelobe of a very strong $m$ aser spot outside the region show $n$. The velocities of the three $m$ arked com ponents are consistent with a $+5: 5 \mathrm{mG}$ magnetic eld.
-com ponents occur when $\sin ^{2}=2=3$, or for $55, \mathrm{w}$ ith -com ponents favored when the magnetic eld is more highly inclined to the line of sight (G oldreich, $K$ eeley, \& $K$ wan 1973b). M odelling of O H hyper ne populations for conditions typically found at $m$ aser sites show $s$ that the am pli cation of -com ponents falls - rapidly for < 55 (G ray \& F ield 1995). Forsm aller , beam ing and com petitive gain favor -com ponents, suppressing am pli cation of -com ponents. Yet the inclination of the $m$ agnetic eld to the line of sight is

35 , not 55 , in the only con m ed Zeem an triplet.
Figure 2 show $s$ a plot of the fractional linear polarization of $m$ aser spots in the northemm ost cluster of OH spots in $W 75 \mathrm{~N}$ versus the position angle of polarization. The masers seem to be grouped into two populations. The rst group consists of $m$ asers whose position angle is less than 90 . These masers have a high linear polarization fraction. The second group, with position angles $>90$, are mostly circularly polarized. If we interpret these groups as -and -com ponents respectively, the $m$ agnetic eld im plied from linear polarization lies at position angle 48 in the region, with a scatter of 24 . This would indicate that the direction of the $m$ agnetic eld on the plane of the sky is roughly aligned along the $\mathrm{NE}-\mathrm{SW}$ distribution ofm aser spots and the elongation of the continuum source VLA 1.

### 3.2. C lustering Scale

In W $3(\mathrm{OH})$, Reid et al. (1980) found that the clustering scale of $\mathrm{H} m$ asers $w$ as approxim ately $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$. They calculated a two-point spatial correlation function and found that the probability per unit solid angle of nding another $m$ aser spot within angular distance $l$ of a spot decreased shanply for 1 \& $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$. W e perform ed a sim ilar analysis on each ofour sources, and the results for the ten having a large num ber ofm aser spots are shown in $F$ igure 3. For all ten sources, the probability $P$ (1) drops sharply betw een a separation of 0 and $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 67 AU ). The other sources in this study show sim ilar behavior, although the plots are \noisier" ow ing to the sm aller num ber of $m$ aser spots in the sources. This evidence argues in favor of a com $m$ on clustering scale for OH m asers in allm assive SFR s . T he m aser spots w th in these clusters represent paths in the sam e condensation where the physical conditions are favorable to exponential am pli cation. If so, the overallextent of these condensations is perhaps a few tim es as large ( 150 AU ), since paths through the periphery of the condensation are much less likely to produce com parable am pli cation lengths. In $x 4.6$ we suggest that instabilities in the shocked neutralgas may lead to the form ation of such condensations.

### 3.3. Zeem an P airs and C om ponent Inten sities

In total, we nd 184 Zeem an pairs in the entire sam ple set. These Zeem an pairs are listed in Table 21 of $P$ aper $I$. A histogram of the distribution of im plied $m$ agnetic eld strengths is given in Figure 4. The distribution rises $w$ ith increasing $m$ agnetic eld strength to about 4 mG , then falls.

Few Zeem an pairs are found that im ply a splitting greater than about 8 mG , and the largest $m$ agnetic eld strength found is 21 mG in W 51 e 2 . There is theoretical support for the existence of an upper lim it to the strength of the $m$ agnetic eld in a Zeem an pair for OH m asers. The collapse of m aterial increases both the density and the $m$ agnetic eld; $M$ ouschovias (1976) suggests that the relation could be as steep as $n / B^{2}$, and Zeem an $m$ easurem ents of the $m$ agnetic eld in $m$ olecular clouds are consistent $w$ th th is relation (e.g., C rutcher 1999). At som e density, the rate of collisional deexcitation w ill be higher than the pum p rate (presum ably from radiative excitation), and the population inversion betw een the lower and upper states $w$ illbe destroyed. The rate of collisions of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ w ith OH is $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}} \mathrm{~h}$ vi, where h vi=10 ${ }^{9} 1010 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$, and


Fig. 2.| Linear polarization fractions as a fiunction of polarization position angle (PPA) in the northem cluster of 75 N . The elongation angle of LIA 1 (43) is m arked along w ith the angle perpendicular to it. The PPA s of highly linearly-polarized spots (left of dotted line) tend to be aligned parallel to the axis of the continuum source, while the PPA s of highly circularly-polarized spots (right of dotted line) are roughly oriented perpendicular. If these groups are interpreted as - and -com ponents respectively, the im plied projected $m$ agnetic eld direction is at a position angle near 43 .


Fig. 3. Two-point spatial correlation function of the $m$ asers in ten m assive SFRs. P (l) is the probability per unit solid angle that a $m$ aser spot can be found at angular distance 1 from any given $m$ aser spot. The angular distances have been $m$ ultiplied by the distance to each source to give the linear separation, plotted as the abscissa. The probabilities $P$ (1) have been norm alized to the greatest value. $N$ ote that $P$ (1) drops to $e^{1}$ at a separation of about $10^{15} \mathrm{am}$ for allten sources.
the pum p rate likely is $0: 03 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$, as w ould be expected for a far-infrared rotationaltransition (G oldreich 1975). T hus the population inversion willbe destroyed by therm alcollisions when the density is a few tim es $10^{8} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. W e note that the range of detected m agnetic elds in our Zeem an pairs is a factor of 35 ( 0.6 to 21 mG ), or roughly $\overline{10^{3}}$. If the $\mathrm{B}^{2} / \mathrm{n}$ scaling law applies throughout this entire range, this im plies that the range of densities sam pled by those $m$ asers in Zeem an pairs is a factor of $10^{3}$, or about $n_{H_{2}}=10^{5} \quad 10^{8}$, assum ing that the 21 m G m agnetic eld in W 51 e2 is near the upper end ofm agnetic eld strengths allow able before collisional depopulation of the upper state is signi cant.

The lack of Zeem an pairs below 0.5 m G m ay be an observationale ect. For such sm allm agnetic elds, the splitting is less than a typical linew idth, and we m ake no attem pt to identify Zeem an pairs less than 0.5 m G due to the di culty ofdistinguishing sm all Zeem an shifts from other e ects. For exam ple, consider a right-elliptically polarized -com ponent in a region where the $m$ agnetic eld orientation varies along the am pli cation path, as in $F$ igure 5. T he linear com ponent of the polarization $w$ ill be seen as weak em ission in LCP. If there is also a velocity gradient along the am pli cation path, the linear polarization com ponent $m$ ay be shifted in velocity w ith respect to the circular polarization com ponent. This would manifest itself in our observations as a velocity di erence betw een the lines seen in the two circularly-polarized feeds: the RCP feed would detect nearly all the em ission, while the LCP feed would detect only the weaker, velocityshifted linear com ponent. For the param eters shown in Figure 5, the shift between LCP and RCP velocity corresponds to an apparent magnetic eld strength of 0.1 mG at 1667 M Hz in the Zeem an interpretation, although broader lines and $m$ ore extrem e variations of the linear polarization fraction across a linew idth can produce larger apparent shift betw een the com ponents.
$F$ igure 6 show s a histogram of the separation betw een the com ponents in each Zeem an pair for which the -com ponent separation is less than $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$. Zeem an pairs w ith larger separations are identi ed when unam biguous, but they have been excluded from the present consideration in order not to introduce bias. $T$ he rapid fall of the num ber of pairs identi ed w ith increasing com ponent separation suggests that the dearth of identi able Zeem an pairs at larger separations is real. $N$ ote that $F$ igure 6 has not been norm alized by area; were it to be so norm alized, it would fall even faster. If the distribution of Zeem an com ponent separations were uniform ly random, a plot of the unnorm alized num ber of identi able Zeem an com ponents versus com ponent separation w ould be an increasing function of separation (at least up to a distance beyond which pairing is no longer unam biguous). This is certainly not observed, providing further evidence that the $10^{15} \mathrm{dm}$ clustering scale is a physically signi cant scale over which physical param eters are su ciently coherent to provide an environm ent conducive to $m$ aser activity.

Thus it appears that the spatial separation of the -com ponents in a Zeem an pair is generally a factor of several sm aller than the size of the cluster containing the pair. N evertheless, there are som e pairs of m aser spots polarized predom inantly in opposite circular senses w hose separation is com parable to or exceeds $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$. It is possible that these are not true Zeem an pairs of -com ponents from the sam em asing subregion but rather tw o oppositely-polarized -com ponents from di erent Zeem an pairs, ofw hich only one com ponent is seen in each. Such a situation could arise if ampli cation at each $m$ aser site favors only one sense of circular polarization, as described below. The magnetic elds im plied by these Zeem an \cousins" would be less accurate due to two e ects. First, the system ic velocity at each $m$ aser site $m$ ay be di erent due to turbulence. Reid et al. (1980) calculate that a typical intracluster turbulent velocity is $0.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. This corresponds to an e ective Zeem an splitting of 1.0 m G in the 1665 M Hz transition and 1.7 m G in the 1667 M H z transition. Second, the $m$ agnetic eld strength $m$ ay be di erent at the $m$ aser sites. This di erence is generally less than 1 m G (see x3.5). Them agnetic eld strengths im plied by Zeem an cousins should therefore be accurate to better than 2 mG .


Fig. 4. H istogram ofm agnetic eld strength im plied by Zeem an splitting. The solid and dotted lines plot the sam e data but w ith the bins shifted by 0.5 mG . The dropo near zero is due to observationallim its. T he im plied total density is show n at the top, assum ing $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=2 \quad 10^{6} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ at 4 m G as suggested for W 3 ( OH ) (Reid, M yers, \& B ieging 1987) and an $n / B^{2}$ scaling law. The 19.8 and $21.0 \mathrm{~m} G$ elds in $W$ 51, not included in this plot, would correspond to a density of $510^{7} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ under these assum ptions.


Fig. 5.| Velocity shift due for a right elliptically-polarized line with FW HM $0.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ whose linear polarization fraction varies across the linew idth as shown in the top panel. The linear fraction could vary in this $m$ anner (for instance) due to a bend in the $m$ agnetic eld along the am pli cation path. The bottom panel show sthe line as would be detected by RCP and LCP feeds. The LCP line is shifted $0.03 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ w th respect to the RCP line.

Figure 7 shows the ratio of the LCP and RCP uxes for the -com ponents in each Zeem an pair. We observe that this ratio occasionally reaches values near 100. This is probably not a hard upper lim it but a result of observational constraints. M aser spots weaker than 50 to 100 m Jy are too weak to be detected in our survey; m aser spots stronger than 10 Jy are rare.

A simple test to determ ine whether our data have unknown system atics is to com pare the num ber of Zeem an pairs w ith greater $u x$ in the LCP and RCP com ponents. There are 119 zeem an pairs in the 1665 M Hztransition and 65 in the 1667 M Hz transition. The LCP com ponent is stronger than the RCP in 69 of the 1665 M Hz pairs and 44 of the 1667 M H z pairs. If Zeem an pairs w ith stronger LC $P$ and RCP com ponents are equally com $m$ on, we would expect the num ber of Zeem an pairs in each polarization to be 59:5 5:5 at 1665 M Hz and $32: 5 \quad 4: 0$ at $1667 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{z}^{2}$. The deviations from these values are not statistically signi cant. $T$ he ratio of intensities of the -com ponents in a Zeem an pair does not appear to be substantially di erent for the 1665 and 1667 M Hz transtions.
$F$ igure 8 show s a histogram of the ux ratios of Zeem an com ponents. There is not an appreciable di erence betw een the 1665 and 1667 M Hz transitions for ux ratios less than 10 . T he larger num ber ofhigh ux ratios ( $>$ 10) for the 1665 M Hz transition appears real, although it m ay partially be due to a selection e ect. A sF igure 9 show s, the brighter com ponent in a typicall 1665 M H z Zeem an pair is brighter than that of a 1667 M Hz pair. The detection lim it in our survey varies som ew hat by source but is approxim ately 0.1 Jy . $T$ hus, the identi cation of a Zeem an pair $w$ ith $u x$ ratio $x$ requires that the stronger com ponent have a ux density higher than $0: 1 \mathrm{x}$ Jy. The relative scarcity of 1667 M Hz Zeem an pairs with a ux ratio greater than 10 can be explaned by the paucity of Zeem an com ponents w ith a ux density greater than 1 Jy. Only in one-third of cases does a 1667 M H z Zeem an pair include a com ponent stronger than 1 Jy, while over half of 1665 M H z pairs include a com ponent above this threshold. At higher ux density thresholds, the di erence becom es m ore extrem e.

The relative absence of 1667 M Hz Zeem an pairs with large ux ratios, noted previously in W 3 ( OH ) (W right, G ray, \& D iam ond 2004), is consistent w th the picture that m aser transitions w ith sm aller Zeem an splitting coe cients tend to have Zeem an pairs in which the com ponents arem ore equal in intensity (e.g., M oran et al. 1978; C asw ell \& Vaile 1995). C ook (1966) theorized that correlated velocity and magnetic eld gradients could be the cause of unequal spot intensities in Zeem an pairs. Deguchi \& $W$ atson (1986) argued that even absent a magnetic eld gradient, a velocity gradient alone is su cient to produce unequal intensities. Velocity gradients (either alone or in combination with magnetic eld gradients) are less likely to disnupt am pli cation of only one of the -com ponents of a Zeem an pair for the 1667 M Hz transition than for the $1665 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{z} \mathrm{transition}$.M easured line w idths form aser spots at 1667 and $1665 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} z$ are sim ilar, but the Zeem an splitting coe cient is sm aller for the 1667 M Hz transition than for the 1665 M Hz transition. Themagnetic eld strength required to produce an e ective velocity shift greater than the linew idth of a com ponent is therefore greater for 1667 M H z m asers than for 1665 M H z m asers.

### 3.4. M aser Spot Statistics

We nd a total of 342 spots stronger in RCP and 351 in LCP at 1665 M Hz , as well as 178 in RCP and 185 in LCP at 1667 M Hz . A s expected, there does not appear to be a preference for $m$ asers to appear

[^2]preferentially in one circular polarization than the other, though occasionally an individual source $m$ ay have a preponderance ofm aser spots in one polarization, as is the case in $W 75 \mathrm{~S}$, in which we nd 35 RCP and 19 LCP spots in the 1665 M Hz transition. For a source with 54 spots, we would expect 274 spots in each polarization, so the deviation seen in W 75 S is not signi cant.

T here are nearly tw ice as many spots detected at 1665 M Hz than at 1667 M Hz , although one source (G 40.6220 .137 ) has one m ore spot in 1667 M Hz than at 1665 M Hz . This ts with theoreticalm odelling, which show s that while 1665 M H z and $1667 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{z} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{asing} \mathrm{often} \mathrm{occur} \mathrm{under} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{sam} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{physicalconditions}$, area of physical param eter space conducive to am pli cation is larger for 1665 M H zm asers than for 1667 M Hz $m$ asers (C ragg, Sobolev, \& G odfrey 2002).

### 3.5. M agnetic Field Structure

M agnetic elds as determ ined from Zeem an splitting of H m asers are predom inantly ordered in m assive SFRs (e.g., B aart \& C ohen 1985; G arc a-B arreto et al. 1988). In all souroes w th the possible exception of G 43.7960 .127 , the line-of-sight direction of the $m$ agnetic eld (i.e., either tow ard or aw ay from the Sun) is either constant for all Zeem an pairs or show s only one organized reversal in which there exists a line that can be drawn that separates the side of the SFR where the $m$ agnetic eld is positive from the side where it is negative.

The relative consistency of $m$ agnetic eld strengths in clusters of OH m asers argues in favor of an organized eld structure. W hen multiple Zeem an pairs are seen in the same chister, the range of eld strengths is rarely greater than 2 mG (i.e., 1 mG ) and often signi cantly less. In no case does the sign of the $m$ agnetic eld change betw een tw o Zeem an pairs in the sam e cluster of spots w th in $\quad 3 \quad 10^{15} \mathrm{dm}$. $F$ igure 10 show $s$ the fractional variation of $m$ agnetic eld strength $m$ easurem ents in chusters com pared with the source as a whole. T he intracluster variation in the $m$ agnetic eld strength is generally sm aller than the intrasource variation. If variations in $m$ agnetic eld strength are due to variations in density, this suggests that density uctuations $w$ ithin a cluster $m$ ay also be sm aller than uctuations on the scale of the $m$ asing region of a $m$ assive $S F R$.

G iven the uniform ity ofm agnetic eld direction in the line-of-sight dim ension, it is som ew hat surprising that linear polarization vectors in the sam e cluster, when converted to magnetic eld directions, are often quite disordered. Table 1 show s the relative variation of polarization position angle (PPA) as a function of $m$ aser spot separation. This statistic has a range of $[0,90]$, since a PPA of angle $x$ is equivalent to one of angle $x+180$ and the di erence cannot exceed 90 . For pairw ise separations show $n$ in the rst colum $n$ of Table 1, the m s of the di erence in PPA betw een the tw o m aser spots was calculated. T he statistic w as applied only to spots w ith a linear polarization fraction less than 0.707 (equal parts linear and circular for a totally polarized $m$ aser). This is designed to choose only -com ponents. A ny statistic com paring the $m$ agnetic eld direction at both -and -com ponents would have to account for the natural 90 di erence arising from the PPAs of -and -components in the sam em agnetic eld. A sam ple ofm aser spots w ith a uniform random distribution of PPAswould have an ms of 52 . The ms value of the PPA di erence betw een $m$ aser spots is roughly constant to $w$ ithin the errors for all $m$ aser spot separations. E ven at the $s m$ allest scale ( $10^{14} \mathrm{~cm}$ ) the m s in PPA di erences is consistent $w$ ith a random distribution. G iven the regularity ofm agnetic eld direction both on source and chuster scales, the PPA di erences cannot be due to m agnetic eld variations w ithin a cluster. P robably Faraday rotation is large enough in $m$ ost sources, even on AU scales, to scramble the linear polarization directions. See x4 2 for further discussion of the possible
e ects ofFaraday rotation.

### 3.6. Relation of OH M asers to the H II Region

The association betw een OH m asers and UCH II regions has been noted by many authors, inchuding D ieter, W eaver, \& W illiam s (1966) and M ezger \& H oglund (1967). O ur larger sam ple of H m asers mapped $w$ ith $m$ illiarcsecond resolution allows us to con $m$ this nding. For each continuum source with neanby $m$ asers, we determ ined an ellipse whose $m$ a jor and $m$ inor axes best $m$ atched the overallextent of continuum em ission (filllwidth at zero pow er for a 4 detection). The center of the $H$ II region was taken to be the center of the ellipse, and the radius of the H II region was taken to be the geom etric m ean of the sem i-m a jor and sem i-m inor axes. The distance of each OH m aser from the center of the H II region, in units of H II region radii, was com puted. Two possible sources of error are the uncertainty in $m$ ap registration betw een the $O H \mathrm{~m}$ asers and continuum im ages and the uncertainty in the assignm ent of the center of continuum em ission in each source. The form er is estim ated to be $093(1)$ by $A$ rgon, $R$ eid, \& $M$ enten (2000). The latter m ay vary depending on source structure. For a circular H II region, we estim ate a 10\% error, which would correspond to a 1 error of 0 ? 1 for a typical1 $1^{\infty}$ UCH II region, resulting in a totalerror of 0 ? 3 for the com bination of the two e ects. For large (e.g., G $5.886 \quad 0.393$ ) or irregularly-shaped (e.g., G 35.577 0.029) H II regions, the error in the estim ate of the center of continuum em ission $m$ ay be slightly higher.

The distribution of the distance of OH m asers, nom alized by area, from the center of the H II region is shown in $F$ igure 11. M asers in $\mathrm{G} 9.622+0.195$, G $34257+0.154$, and Cep A were treated as containing three, tw $O$, and four continuum sources respectively, and $m$ asers distances were calculated from the nearest source. Several sources w ere exchuded from this analysis because no nearby continuum source was detected: the northem grouping of $9.622+0.195, G 40.6220 .137, S 269, M$ on R 2, and G 351.7750 .538 . A dditionally, W 75 N and the two southeastem continuum sources of Cep A were excluded since it is not always clear which of several continuum sources to associate a m aser spot $w$ th.

Including ON 2 N and G 40.6220 .137 , $50 \%$ of m aser spots are located w thin 1.5 radii of a UCH II region; this num ber grow $s$ to $58 \%$ when these two sources are excluded. The distribution ofm aser spots in these tw o sources is clearly o set from the $H$ II region, suggesting that the $m$ asers $m$ ay be associated $w$ ith a second, undetected continuum source nearby. The large peak near 0.5 H II region radii in F igure 11 is due m ainly to the westem cluster of $m$ aser spots in G5.886 0.393 . O verall, it appears that the distribution of O H m asers peaks near the center of the H II region, consistent w ith G aray, R eid, \& M oran (1985). The tail of the distribution of $m$ aser spots at several radii from the UCH II region $m$ ay represent spots associated w ith another star, not w ith the nearest detectable continuum source, as in ON 2 N and W 51 (e1 and e2).
$T$ hese results are not consistent w ith a random distribution of $O H$ maser spots $w$ ithin a shell around the H II region. A uniform random distribution would peak at a projected radius $r_{p r o j}>1$ (in H II region radii). For density distributions falling $\circ$ as $r^{1}$ or $r^{2}$, the peak of the distribution $w$ illbe at $r_{\text {proj }}=1$. In all cases, the distribution of H m asers w ould be expected to double across $r_{\text {pro }}=1$ because $m$ asers located behind the H II region would be obscured by the UCH II region, which is optically thick at $=18 \mathrm{~cm}$. We see no evidence for this discontinuity at 1 H II region radius in our data.
$F$ igure 12 shows the distribution of projected linear distances of OH m asers from the center of the associated UCH II region. 82\% ofm aser spots are located w ithin 13000 AU of the center of the H II region. A lso show $n$ is the assum ed dynam icalage of them asers for an expansion speed of $3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. This is consistent w ith the speed m easured in W $3(\mathrm{OH}$ ) from both proper motion of OH m asers (B loem hof, Reid, \& M oran


Fig. 6.| H istogram of identi ed Zeem an com ponent separations. N ote the rapid fall of the distribution of -com ponent separations in a Zeem an pair. This suggests that the com ponents of a Zeem an pair are generally separated by a distance less than the $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 67 AU ) clustering scale (see x32). Ten Zeem an pairs w ith com ponent separations exceeding 80 AU are not show. The plot is not norm alized by area; the fallo would be m uch faster if it were.

Table 1. Variation of PPA w ith P airw ise M aser Separation

| Separation <br> $(\mathrm{am})$ | Num ber of <br> P airs $^{a}$ | PPA <br> m s | Standard <br> E rrorb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \mid$ | $10^{14}$ | 25 | $45: 7$ |
| $10^{14}$ | $10^{15}$ | 189 | $46: 6$ |
| $10^{15}$ | $10^{16}$ | 566 | $49: 6$ |
| $10^{16}$ | $10^{17}$ | 1707 | $51: 8$ |

N ote. $\mid$ Table of $m s$ variations in the PPA betw een pairs of $m$ aser spots for all sources. O nly pairs of spots whose linear polarization fraction is less than 0.707 are considered.
${ }^{a} \mathrm{~N}$ um ber of pairs of m aser spots w ith linear polarization fractions less than that show n in the colum $n$ headings such that the separation between the $m$ aser spots is less than that show $n$ in the rst colum n.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Standard error of the m ean: $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{N}}$.


Fig. 7.| Flux ratios of -com ponents in Zeem an pairs. D ata for 1665 M Hz pairs are represented by open triangles, while data for $1667 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{z} \mathrm{pairs} \mathrm{are} \mathrm{represented} \mathrm{by} \mathrm{led} \mathrm{squares}$.D otted lines are draw n at ratios of 3 and $1=3$. The 19.8 and 21.0 m G elds in W 51 are not included.


Fig. 8.| H istogram of ux ratios in Zeem an pairs. The ux ratio, determ ined by taking the ratio of the stronger ux to the weaker ux in a Zeem an pair, is binned by factors of 1.5. The dotted line show s data for the 1665 M H z transition, and the solid line show s data for the 1667 M Hz transition. T he data for the tw o transitions are scaled by the total num ber of Zeem an pairs identi ed in the transition. The histogram s are sim ilar for ux ratios less than 10, but 25 of the 28 pairs $w$ ith ux ratios greater than 10 are in the 1665 M H z transition.


Fig. 9.| H istogram of peak ux densities in Zeem an pairs (peak ux density in either LCP or RCP). Fhux densities are binned by increm ents of 1 Jy . For clarity, points stronger than 40 Jy are suppressed. These consist of three points at $1665 \mathrm{MHz}(72,163,239 \mathrm{Jy})$ and two at $1667 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Hz}(41,85 \mathrm{Jy})$. The stronger com ponent of a 1665 M Hz pair tends to be stronger than that of a 1667 M Hz pair.


Fig. 10.| P lot ofm agnetic eld strength variation in clusters ( sm aller than $310^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and sources. The fractionalvariation ( $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}$ ean) ofthem agnetic eld strength $\mathcal{\beta}$ jforeach chuster oftw o orm ore Zeem an pairs is plotted against the fractionalerror for the source as a whole. G $9.622+0.195$ and G $34257+0.154$ are each treated as tw o separate sources. O pen squares indicate data points for the middle source in $G 9.622+0.195$, G 35.5770 .029 , G 40.6220 .137 , and S269, in which all Zeem an pairs are found in a single cluster. The dotted line indicates equal fractional errors in cluster and whole-source magnetic eld strength. 39 of the 43 lled squares fall below this line, indicating that the $m$ agnetic eld variation in a cluster is less than the variation in the source as a whole.
1992) and direct expansion of the UCH II itself (K awam ura \& M asson 1998). The dynam ical age ofm ost $m$ asers is less than $2 \quad 10^{4} \mathrm{yr}$.

W hile the number of OH m aser spots cuts o at a dynam ical age of several $10^{4} \mathrm{yr}$, individual OH $m$ asers do not appear to fade appreciably during this tim e. $F$ igure 13 show $s$ the relation ofm aser pow er per bandw idth (i.e., ux density nom alized to a constant distance) to the separation betw een the U C H II region center and the $m$ asers. $F$ igure 14 show $s$ the $m$ ean pow er per bandw idth and standard error of the $m$ ean for the sam e data. Them aser pow er per bandw idth appears to be constant $w$ ith distance from the center of the H II region. If the distance of the $m$ asers from the H II region is indeed correlated w ith their age, OH m asers do not becom e system ically brighter (or fainter) w ith age, at least not in the $4 \quad 10^{4}$ yr tim escale our data span. Figure 15 show s the relation ofm aser pow er perbandw idth to the size of the associated U C H II region. Since H II regions undergo expansion, their size is a m easure of the age of the system. A gain, there does not appear to be a correlation betw een the $m$ aser pow er per bandw idth and the age of the system. H ow ever, we do not see any $m$ aser spots located $m$ ore than $30000 \mathrm{AU}(0.15 \mathrm{pc}$ ) from the center of the associated U C H II region (including G 351.7750 .538 , for which the nearest U C H II region is several arcseconds aw ay).

H abing \& Israel (1979) have observed that O H m asers are not seen around H II regions once they leave the ultracom pact phase ( $\mathrm{d}<0: 15 \mathrm{pc}$ ). Indeed, not only are OH m asers not seen around \com pact H II (C H II) regions" ( $0: 1<\mathrm{d}<1 \mathrm{pc}$ ), they are not seen at com parable radii around ultracom pact H II regions. $T$ he lack of $O H$ masers at large distances from the associated ultracom pact H II region was rst noted by Habing et al. (1974), who suggested that OH m aser phenom ena disappear at a radius of 15000 AU (0.07 pc). O ur larger sam ple size at m uch higher angular resolution indicates that there is a sharp cuto at about tw ice this radius. It is possible that the physical conditions (such as tem perature and density) responsible form aser activity do not exist at large radii. A ltematively, the ionization front catches up to the shock front as the $H$ II region expands into an environm ent whose density decreases with radius, thereby destroying the OH m asers, which are believed to exist in the region betw een the ionization and shock fronts (see x 4.6 ).

### 3.7. Relation of OH M asers to the Surrounding M aterial

In order to intenpret the bulk m otions of OH m asers, it is necessary to determ ine the velocity of the $m$ assive star or stars being form ed. Frequently this is determ ined through hydrogen recom bination line observations, which give inform ation on the velocity of the ionized $H$ II region surrounding the central star. But recom bination lines are not well suited to the task. Recom bination lines are sub ject to som etim es severe D oppler and im pact broadening. Even at high frequency, di erent recom bination lines can be biased by a few $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ (Berulis \& E rshov 1983; Sam s, M oran, \& R eid 1996) due to di ering opticaldepths in an expanding H II region.
$W$ e have observed the $(J ; K)=(1 ; 1)$ line of am $m$ onia in order to provide a context for the $O H$ aser observations. Reid, M yers, \& B ieging (1987) argue, based on the sim ilarity ofdistribution of $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ absonption and OH m aser em ission in $\mathrm{W} 3(\mathrm{OH})$, that the two species are found in the same clum ps of material. The physical conditions they deduce from $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(1 ; 1)$ and $(2 ; 2)$ observations are consistent $w$ th the physical conditions necessary for OH m aser activity. A lso, the velocity ofpeak absorption in $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ closely m atches the average velocity of the OH m asers. Unfortunately, in m any sources $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ absonption is not clearly detected, and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ em ission velocities m ust be used instead. $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{em}$ ission is a less reliable indicator of the velocity of the centralstar, since em ission usually tracesm otions on a larger scale (often > 1 ${ }^{0}$ ). In the region ofW 3 ( OH ), am $m$ onia em ission velocities di er from the average $O H$ m aser velocity by approxim ately $4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ ( W ilson,


Fig. 11.| Histogram of distances betw een OH m asers and UCH II regions shown in units of equal area. $M$ asers that cannot be identi ed as unam biguously associated with a particular H II region have been excluded; see x3.6 for details. We nd that $58 \%$ of $O H$ m asers appear w ithin 1.5 radii of the $H$ II regions, suggesting that OH m asers in m assive SFRs with H II regions are indeed spatially associated with them. $T$ he bump at 2.7 H II radii is due prim arily to the m asers in ON 2 N ; it is probable that these m asers are not actually associated w ith the H II region shown in Figure 23 of P aper I. A sm all tail of the distribution out to 6 H II radii has been suppressed for clarity.


Fig. 12. H istogram of absolute distances betw een OH m asers and UCH II regions. The data are as in Figure 11 but plotted in distance units and not nom alized by area. The expansion age, de ned as radius divided by expansion speed, shown at the top would be appropriate for expansion at $3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$, as m easured forw 3 ( OH ).


Fig. 13.| D istribution of $m$ aser power per bandw idth $w$ ith radius. A $l l$ ux densities are $m$ ultiplied by the square of the distance to the source in kiloparsecs. The horizontal axis show $s$ the distance of each $m$ aser spot from the center of the associated U C H II region. M ultiple H II regions in the sam e source (e.g., G 9.622+ 0.195) are considered independently. D ata are not plotted when no nearby UCH II region is seen (e.g., S269 and G 351.7750 .538 ) orwhen it is unclearwhich H II region to $m$ atch $m$ aser spots with (W 75 N ). $T$ here does not appear to be a correlation betw een the pow er per bandw idth and the distance ofm aser spots from the UCH II region. The slight dip near zero radius is due to the inclusion of Cep $A$, whose proxim ity allow ed detection of spots of w eaker norm alized ux density than for other sources.

$F$ ig. 14.| $D$ istribution of $m$ ean $m$ aser pow er per bandw idth $w$ ith radius. The plot show $s$ the $m$ ean of the $m$ aser ux norm alized to a distance of 1 kpc as well as the standard error of the $m$ ean ( $\mathrm{m} s=\bar{N}$ ). E rrorbars are not sym $m$ etric because a linear average is plotted on a logarithm ic scale. T he data are binned by units of 2000 AU . The m ean power per bandw idth and the distance of $m$ aser spots from the UCH II region is constant w ith distance, although large deviations are possible due to source-to-source di erences. See Figure 13 form ore details.


Fig. 15.| D istribution ofm aser pow er per bandw idth with H II region size. The horizontal axis show sthe geom etric $m$ ean of the sem i-m ajor and sem i-m inor axes of the UCH II region, converted to linear distance. See $F$ igure 13 for $m$ ore details. T here does not appear to be a correlation betw een the size of the $H$ II region and the pow er per bandw idth of the $m$ aser spots surrounding it. Since the size of an $H$ II region is a m easure of its age, this suggests that O H m asers do not becom e system ically fainter over the range of ages of the H II regions in our sam ple. A s in $F$ igure 13, the dip near zero radius is due to $C$ ep A.

G aum e, \& Johnston 1993). It is possible that system ic biases of a few $k m ~ s{ }^{1}$ are introduced using em ission velocities, but there is no way to obtain the radial velocity of the star to greater accuracy.

T he spectra in $F$ igures 40 to 42 of $P$ aper I are provided for regions of em ission or absorption located roughly coincident w ith the extent of OH m asers on the sky. It is im portant to rem em ber that while OH $m$ asers exist prim arily near a UCH II region, the neutral $N_{3} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ay exist at a wide range of radii. Thus the inferred $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocities could in principle be a ected by motion of m aterialquite distant from the H II region. The $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocity m ay be shifted from the rest velocity of the star by an am ount com parable to the velocity dispersion of the $m$ olecular cloud. From the virial theorem, the velocity dispersion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{\mathrm{GM}}{2 \mathrm{R}}} \text {; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the gravitational constant, $M$ is the totalm ass, and $R$ is the radius of the sphere. For a cloud w th $\mathrm{M}=100 \mathrm{M}$ and a radius of $0.1 \mathrm{pc}, \mathrm{v} 1: 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$.
$W$ hen the velocity of the nearby $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ is m easured, it generally falls tow ard the m iddle of the range of O H m aser velocities, as show $n$ in $F$ igures 40 to 42 of $P$ aper $I$. There are som em inor exceptions to this rule. In G 35.5770 .029 and $M$ on R2, the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocity is near an extrem um of $\mathrm{H} m$ aser velocities. (In ON 1 the O H m asers fall into two disjoint groups at $2: 56 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ and $13 \quad 17 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ em ission detected in a broad region located $5^{\infty}$ to $30^{\infty}$ north of ON 1 (not shown in the panel) falls near $11 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$.)

Figure 16 show s a histogram of the di erences betw een OH m aser velocities and the adopted $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocity for all sources having detected $\mathrm{NH}_{3} .0$ fthe 926 OH m aser spots, $51.7 \%$ are blueshifted with respect to the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocity, and $48.3 \%$ are redshifted. The median velocity di erence is $0: 30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ w ith an m s of $1: 89 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$, while half the di erences fallw th in the range $3: 52$ to $+3: 01 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. Since a zero di erence falls com fortably w th in th is range, we cannot con dently state that OH m asers are consistently blueshifted or redshifted $w$ ith respect to the surrounding $m$ aterial, as $m$ ight be expected if a single type ofm otion, such as expansion or contraction, dom inates OH m aser kinem atics. W hen only m aser spots located within one projected H II region radius are considered $(\mathbb{N}=261)$, the median velocity di erence is $+0.22 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ w ith an m s of $1: 90 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ (Figure 17), w ith half the di erences falling in the range $2: 58$ to $+3: 98 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$. In either case, there does not appear to be a detectable di erence betw een the OH and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocities to $w$ ithin our errors. If expansion dom inates the dynam ics of the $m$ asing regions, the $m$ asers pro jected atop the UCH II region (and therefore in front of it, since UCH II regions are in generaloptically thick at $=18$ om ) should be blueshifted w th respect to the large-scale am bient m aterial. H ow ever, the opposite appeared to be true of $W 3(\mathrm{OH})$ (Reid et al 1980), although later proper $m$ otion $m$ easurem ents of the OH m asers de nitively established that they are expanding (B loem hof, Reid, \& M oran 1992). It is w orth pointing out how ever that in G 43.7960 .127 , where nearly all of the $m$ asers are pro jected against the U CH II region, the OH m asers are preferentially blueshifted w ith respect to the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ em ission, m ildly suggestive of expansion.

Because of the aforem entioned possibility of system ic errors of a few $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ in determ ining the radial velocity of the central star from $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocity $m$ easurem ents, we cannot identify whether a single type of $m$ otion, such as gravitational infall or slow expansion, dom inates the kinem atics of $O H m$ asers in $m$ assive star-form ing regions. We can in general rule out kinem atic $m$ odes in which the OH m asers would be m oving at tens of $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{1}$ orm ore, such as a freely expanding H II region at \& $10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$.


Fig. 16. H istogram of di erences betw een OH and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocities for those sources in F igures 40 to 42 of $P$ aper I w th an $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocity indicated. The median velocity di erence is $0: 30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ w ith a standard deviation of $1.89 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. The mean is $0.29 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1} \mathrm{w}$ th a standard error of the m ean ( $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{N}$ ) of $0.06 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$, assum ing allm asers have independent velocities. Taking clum ping into account, the standard error of the $m$ ean could be higher by a factor of 3 .


Fig. 17.| Histogram of di erences betw een OH and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ velocities for those m aser spots located with in one radius of the associated U C H II region. Them edian velocity di erence is $+0.22 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ with a standard deviation of $1.90 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. Themean is $0: 79 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ w ith a standard error of the m ean of $0.12 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$.

## 4. D iscussion

### 4.1. Saturation

Interstellar OH m asers are m ost likely saturated (R eid et al. 1980). T he saturation tem perature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$, for OH m asers is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{2 \mathrm{k}} \overline{\mathrm{~A}} \frac{4}{} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Reid \& M oran 1988), where A is the E instein coe cient, is the decay rate, and is the solid angle of beam ing. For $=0.03 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ as typical for a far-infrared rotational transition likely pum ping the $m$ aser, the saturation tem perature is (2 $\left.10^{8}\right)^{1} \mathrm{~K}$. The $m$ ost com pact $m$ aser com ponent from the spaœ-V LB I observation of G $34.257+0.154$ by Slysh, Voronkov, \& Val'tts (2002) has a beam ing angle 0:01, which corresponds to a m axim um saturation tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad 2 \quad 10^{10} \mathrm{~K}$. For the m ost part, the brightness tem peratures ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) listed in T ables 2 through 20 of P aper I are below this value. B ut these are apparent brightness tem peratures calculated from the undeconvolved spot size, which are likely scatter broadened for $m$ ost sources.
$M$ any of our spots appear to be partially resolved, as shown in Table 2. H ow ever, several caveats apply to the deconvolved spot param eters. $F$ irst, the $m$ easured spot size $m$ ay be larger than the physical spot size due to interstellar scattering. Second, large deconvolved spot sizes $m$ ay be the result of $m$ isidentifying spatially blended $m$ aser spots as a single spot. Third, determ ining the deconvolved spot size of a sm all $m$ aser spot is less accurate than for a large $m$ aser spot, because the deconvolved spot size is obtained from di erencing two larger num bers (the squares of the undeconvolved spot size and the beam size). The net of all three e ects is that $m$ aser spot sizes are probably sm aller than that calculated from deconvolution, and that the overestim ation $m$ ay be greater for heavily scatter-broadened souroes. E ven a deconvolved spot size would underestim ate the actual $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$ by the square of the ratio of the apparent spot size to the unbroadened spot size.

For a typicalFW HM spot size of 3 m as in W 75 N (which has very little scatter broadening), $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ $510^{9} \mathrm{~K}$. This corresponds to a ux density of $=2 \mathrm{kT}_{\text {s spot }}{ }^{2}$, where spot is the solid angle subtended by them aser spot. Taking spot $1: 710^{16} \mathrm{sr}$, the saturation vahes $710^{25}$ erg om ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{Hzz}^{1} \mathrm{sr}^{1}$, or 0.07 Jy . This is near our detection lim it, so nearly all spots that we detect are partially saturated if the spot sizes for W 75 N are typical.

### 4.2. Faraday R otation

Faraday rotation can com plicate the interpretation of linear polarization in two ways. First, extemal Faraday rotation betw een a m aser and the observerw ill cause the polarization position angle (P PA ) of linear polarization to rotate, $m$ aking interpretation of the $m$ agnetic eld direction on the plane of the sky m ore di cult. Second, intemalFaraday rotation along the am pli cation path $m$ ay decrease the linear polarization fraction of the radiation, com pletely circularizing it if the Faraday rotation is strong enough (G oldreich, K eeley, \& K wan 1973a). Since this also reduces the e ective gain length for linear polarization, Faraday rotation $m$ ay also prevent otherw ise highly linearly-polarized $m$ aser com ponents from being am pli ed to the $\lim$ its of detectability. Thus, spots $w$ ith a large linear polarization fraction (e.g., -com ponents and
-com ponents where the $m$ agnetic eld is near the plane of the sky) $m$ ay be suppressed relative to spots w ith a sm all linear polarization fraction (e.g., -com ponents where the $m$ agnetic eld is directed along the line of sight).

Table 2. D econvolved Spot Sizes

| Source | D istance (kpc) | N um ber of Spots | M edian D econvolved Spot Size (m as) | 90\% R ange <br> M in ( m as) | of Spot Sizes M ax (mas) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G 5.8860 .393 | 3.8 | 98 | 19.66 | 12.44 | 30.33 |
| G 9.622+0.195 | 5.7 | 38 | 16.44 | 10.86 | 21.05 |
| G $10.624 \quad 0.385$ | 4.8 | 14 | 23.55 | 19.48 | 28.96 |
| G $34.257+0.154$ | 3.8 | 88 | 5.46 | 0.00 | 13.50 |
| G $35.577 \quad 0.029$ | 10.5 | 15 | 16.22 | 12.39 | 19.55 |
| G 40.6220 .137 | 22 | 39 | 19.68 | 15.57 | 24.22 |
| G 43.7960 .127 | 9.0 | 60 | 7.96 | 0.00 | 13.11 |
| W 51 e1 | 7.0 | 97 | 10.51 | 6.62 | 20.07 |
| W 51 e2 | 7.0 | 94 | 9.53 | 5.19 | 15.23 |
| ON 1 | 3.0 | 49 | 2.68 | 0.00 | 7.30 |
| K 350 | 8.7 | 17 | 31.46 | 23.45 | 34.82 |
| ON 2 N | 5.6 | 73 | 2529 | 13.69 | 37.11 |
| W 75 S | 2.0 | 65 | 4.17 | 1.57 | 7.75 |
| W 75 N | 2.0 | 120 | 3.14 | 0.00 | 8.90 |
| C ep A | 0.7 | 62 | 6.77 | 1.95 | 12.96 |
| NGC 7538 | 2.8 | 30 | 6.83 | 3.19 | 1120 |
| S269 | 3.8 | 19 | 6.08 | 1.23 | 9.47 |
| M on R 2 | 0.9 | 27 | 7.86 | 2.20 | 13.49 |
| G $351.775 \quad 0.538$ | 22 | 50 | 67.40 | 45.35 | 76.07 |

N ote. Spot sizes m ay be overestim ates. See x4.1 for details.

ExtemalFaraday rotation in the interstellar m edium betw een a maser and the observer would cause a rotation of the PPA of the linear polarization of each spot, given by

$$
\mathrm{RM}=8: 1 \quad 10^{5} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{dl} ;
$$

where $R M$ is the rotation $m$ easure in rad $m^{2}, n_{e}$ in $\mathrm{cm}^{3}, B_{k}$ is the com ponent of the $m$ agnetic eld parallel to the direction of propagation in $G$, and $d l$ in is the di erential path length along the line of sight in $p c$ (Thom pson, M oran, \& Swenson 2001). In som e regions, such as the northem cluster in $W 75 \mathrm{~N}$, the linear polarization vectors are predom inantly aligned along the line ofm aser spots (see x3.1). An RM of about 10 rad $m{ }^{2}$ would produce a rotation of the PPAs in a source of 20 . A rotation of the polarization vectors by an am ount greater than th is w ould cause the vectors to no longer appear to be aligned w ith larger structures, unless the rotation was near a m ultiple of180. A ccording to the ATNF P ulsar C atalogue (M anchester et al. 2005) ${ }^{3}$, the only pulsarw ith know $n$ rotation $m$ easure located w thin 10 ofW 75 N at com parable heliocentric distance is B2021+51, for which the RM is $6: 5 \mathrm{rad} \mathrm{m}{ }^{2}$ (M anchester 1972).

Intemal Faraday rotation over the region of am pli cation $m$ ay destroy linear polarization in both and -com ponents, possibly suppressing -com ponents altogether. The Faraday rotation over a region w ith average electron density $n_{e}$ and parallelm agnetic eld strength $B_{k}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0: 05 \frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}}{1 \mathrm{am}^{3}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}}}{1 \mathrm{mG}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{10^{14} \mathrm{am}} \quad \overline{18 \mathrm{~cm}}^{2} \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the wavelength ofthe transition. For a typicalground-state ( $=18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) OH maser, B 5 mG .The e ective am pli cation length $L$ is likely to be less than the clustering scale due to velocity coherence. A crude estim ate is that $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{D} V=\mathrm{V}$, where $\mathrm{D}=10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$ is the diam eter of the m asing cloud, $\mathrm{v}=0.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ is a typicalm aser line width, and $V \quad 2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ is a reasonable velocity shiff across the cloud based on observations of W 3 ( OH ) (Reid et al 1980) and theoreticalm odelling (Pavlakis \& Kyla s 1996). Thus, for an e ective ampli cation length $L=10^{14} \mathrm{~cm}$, an electron density of about $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ would be su cient to produce a rotation of 90 along the path of am pli cation. For $H_{2}$ densities of $10^{5}$ to $10^{8}$ as is typical in O H m asing regions (C ragg, Sobolev, \& G odfrey 2002), this would require a fractional ionization ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$ ) of $3 \quad 10^{6}$ to $310^{3}$. This is higher than the ionization rate that would be expected from cosm ic-ray ionization alone (Shu 1992), but consistent w th the $10{ }^{4}$ that occurs in the C II regions around H II regions where OH m asers m ay exist (Stemberg \& D algamo 1995; G arc a B arreto et al 1988). Ionized carbon and to a lesser extent sulfur $m$ ay play an im portant role in producing free electrons, due to their abundance and ease of photoionization. W hile the hydrogen in the H II region absorbs all the ultraviolet photons w ith energies greater than 13.6 eV , m any softer photons pass through undisturbed. Stemberg \& D algamo (1995) calculate that the ionization fraction $m$ ay be slightly greater than $10^{4}$ in the C II region, located around the H II region, and about $10{ }^{5}$ in the S II region, in tum located around the C II region. B ased on their m odels of photon-dom inated regions as well as the locations of H m aser spots just outside H II regions, it is likely that they exist near or em bedded in the C II regions.

W e can form a consistent picture of linear polarization in OH m asers if the am ount of Faraday rotation in a typicalm aser source is near a criticalpoint, i.e., such that the product $n_{e} B_{k} L$ several $10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{mG}$. $B$ ased on $m$ aser line $w$ idths and brightness tem peratures, the am pli cation length is typically at least 20 unsaturated gain lengths and probably greater for highly saturated masers (Reid \& M oran 1988). A typical

[^3]$m$ aser spot has a signi cant am ount ( $>1 \mathrm{rad}$ ) of Faraday rotation over the am pli cation length but a sm all am ount (< 1 rad) over a single gain length. In this case, som e linear polarization w ill survive am pli cation, but Faraday rotation scram bles the PPA of the linear polarization, so it will not be sim ply interpretable as a $m$ agnetic eld direction. If the Faraday rotation is a factor of 5 sm aller, the Faraday rotation over the am pli cation length will be sm all, so high linear polarization fractions $m$ ay be observed, and the P PA m ay still be correlated $w$ ith the $m$ agnetic eld direction. On the other hand, if the Faraday rotation is a factor of 5 larger, the Faraday rotation over a gain length can be large, and linear polarization fractions w ill approach zero (G oldreich, K eeley, \& K wan 1973a). In cases where the Faraday rotation per gain length is signi cant, intenpretation of the PPA will be di cult because m aser am pli cation w ill stim ulate em ission in the orthogonal linear m ode as well (M elrose \& Judge 2004). Signi cant generalized Faraday rotation $m$ ay also circularize -com ponents.

An example of a source $w$ ith $s m$ all intemal Faraday rotation over the entire ampli cation length is W 75 N , in which com ponents are detected in abundance, especially in the northemm ost group ofm aser spots (see x3.1). A s Figure 2 show s , - and -com ponents are easily identi able in this group based on the PPA of the linear polarization. It is interesting to note that modelling of the OH m asers in W 75 N by G ray, H utaw arakom, \& C ohen (2003) indicates that the $m$ aser ampli cation length is several orders of m agnitude sm aller than that typically assum ed in other sources, although the density is also higher. For the range of ionization fractions given above, the resulting Faraday rotation would be less than 1 radian over the am pli cation length.

At the other extreme is W 51 el and e2, in which practically no linear polarization whatsoever is detected. This is consistent with signi cant Faraday rotation along a gain length, which would suppress the am pli cation of -com ponents and circularize the otherw ise elliptically-polarized -com ponents. Such Faraday depolarization $m$ ay also explain certain $m$ aser features that are seen $w$ ith sim ilar ux densities in RCP and LCP but w thout any detected linear polarization, such as spots 18, 24, and 36 in Table 9 of Paper I. It is highly unlikely that the lack of linear polarization in W 51 can be due to chance alignm ents of the $m$ agnetic eld in an extrem ely narrow cone oriented tow ard or aw ay from us at each $m$ aser site, producing
-com ponents that are purely circularly polarized, because the $m$ agnetic eld is seen to reverse line-of-sight direction across the source. Thus, the inclination of the $m$ agnetic eld to the line of sight $m$ ust take on values interm ediate to the 0 and 180 required for pure-circularm asers in the absence of Faraday rotation.

A medium range of Faraday rotation would be enough to partially (but not totally) circularize com ponents. The observed circular polarization fraction $w i l l$ in general be a com plicated function of the electron density, $m$ aser gain length, and angle of propagation $w$ ith respect to the $m$ agnetic eld direction, but the presence of Faraday rotation $w$ ill strictly increase the circular polarization fraction com pared to the case in which no Faraday rotation is present ( $F$ ield \& G ray 1994). Straightforw ard application of equation (50) ofG oldreich, K eeley, \& K w an (1973a) w thout accounting for Faraday rotation w ill cause the inclination of the $m$ agnetic eld to the line of sight to be underestim ated.

Faraday rotation $m$ ay also explain why linear polarization vectors appear to be disorganized in som e sources. If a large electron density is required for Faraday rotation along the ampli cation path in the interior of a $m$ asing cloud, it is likely that the electron density is high exterior to the $m$ asing cloud as well. $T$ his would rotate the apparent PPA of the $m$ aser em ission. F luctuations in the electron density, possibly caused by density inhom ogeneities or anisotropy of the ionizing radiation eld, could cause em ission from adjacent $m$ aser spots to be Faraday rotated by di erent am ounts. If this is indeed the case, reconstructing the $m$ agnetic eld orientation in the plane of the sky is a di cult task, and reconstructing the full threedim ensional orientation of the $m$ agnetic eld $m$ ay be nearly im possible.

Scatter broadening of som e sources im plies strong density uctuations and a high colum $n$ density of electrons along the radiation propagation path. Since the scatter broadening is proportional to the distance betw een the source and the scattering screen (e.g., B oyd \& W emer 1972), a screen ofelectrons near the source is unlikely to increase the angular size as $m$ uch as a cloud ofelectrons severalkiloparsecs aw ay in the G alactic plane. The lack of correlation betw een scattered size and Faraday depolarization provides further evidence that the scattering is extemal to the m asing regions. W 51 is not a particularly scatter-broadened source, yet essentially no linear polarization is detected. G 351.7780 .538 is heavily scatter-broadened, but several spots w ith a high degree of linear polarization are seen. F igure 18 show s a plot of the linear polarization fraction as a function of spot size for all sources com bined. T here does not appear to be a correlation of the linear fraction $w$ th the size of the observed $m$ aser spots. $T$ his suggests that the electron screen responsible for scattering is G alactic in origin.

### 4.3. TotalPolarization

Of the $m$ aser spots in our sam ple, $97 \%$ are at least 75\% polarized. A though Tables 2 to 20 of $P$ aper I do not list the total polarization fraction explicitly, it can be approxim ated by noting that the total polarization fraction is $\overline{Q^{2}+U^{2}+V^{2}}=I$, where $Q ; U ; V$; and $I$ are the Stokes param eters. Stokes $I$ and $V$ can be obtained from the sum and diperence, respectively, of the listed RCP and LCP ux densities of spots, and the linear ux density gives $\overline{Q^{2}+U^{2}}$. In $86 \%$ of the maser spots, LCP and RCP uxes are not both detected, im plying that the spot is circularly polarized to the lim its of detectability. For other spots, frequently $Q^{2}+U^{2}+V^{2} \quad I^{2}$, indicating that $m$ any spots are nearly $100 \%$ polarized, as show $n$ in $F$ igure 19. A portion of the discrepancy from equality in the above equation can be explained by a variety of factors. A s can be seen in Tables 2 to 20 of P aper $I$, when the sam e m aser spot is seen in both RCP and LCP em ission, the position and velocity of the peak em ission $m$ ay be slightly di erent in both. B lending of strong adjaœent $m$ aser spots can also $m$ ake determ ination of $t$ param eters di cult. Furtherm ore, the linear polarization fraction of a $m$ aser spot $m$ ay vary across a spot size.
$N$ evertheless, there are certainly spots that appear to be only partially polarized (Figure 19). U npolarized em ission ( $Q=U=V=0$ ) would appear as equal ux densities in the LCP and RCP feeds with no detectable linear polarization. In W 51 el, for exam ple, there are a num ber ofm aser spots where the LCP and RCP ux densities are nearly equal and centered at roughly the sam e position and velocity. Since there is nearly no detected linear polarization in the OH m asers in this source, it is possible that these $m$ aser spots are only partially polarized. These $m$ aser spots could also be produced by $m$ asing in sites where the $m$ agnetic eld splyting is sm all com pared to the line width (G oldreich, K eeley, \& K wan 1973a). O ur data do not provide su cient resolution to tell whether the spots w th roughly equalRCP and LCP uxes are due to two $100 \%$ polarized spots that are at slightly di erent positions and velocities or whether they are due to a single spot that is not $100 \%$ polarized.

### 4.4. O verlap of $M$ asing $C$ lum ps

T heoretically, com ponents are favored form agnetic elds inclined 55 to the line ofsight (G oldreich, K eeley, \& K wan 1973b; G ray \& F ield 1995) and com ponents are favored for sm aller angles. C onsider an ensem ble of $m$ aser sites, each threaded by an independent, random ly-oriented $m$ agnetic eld. The fraction


Fig. 18.| $P$ lot of linear polarization fraction as a function of spot size. The spot size is taken to be the geom etric $m$ ean of the undeconvolved spot $t m$ ajor and $m$ inor axes. The relative lack of spots $w$ ith a high linear polarization fraction at large spot sizes $m$ ay be due to blending of adjacent spots $w$ ithin the beam . $T$ he linear polarization fraction does not appear to be otherw ise correlated w ith the observed spot size.


Fig. 19.| Totalpplarization ofm aser spots. Only spots with nonzero observed uxes in all three of RCP, LCP, and Linear ( $\overline{Q^{2}+U^{2}}$ ) are shown, which excludes 942 spots. P ositive circular polarization fraction corresponds to positive Stokes V (i.e., RCP ux greater than LCP ux). Curves show ing 25\%, 50\%, 75\%, and $100 \%$ total polarization are draw $n . M$ ost $m$ aser spots are $>75 \%$ polarized.
ofm aser sites for which am pli cation of -com ponents is favored is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{1}{4}{ }_{=0}^{Z_{2} Z_{55}} \sin \quad d d=0: 426 ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factor of tw o accounts for the possibilities of the $m$ agnetic eld being oriented tow ard or aw ay from the observer. A ccounting for the fact that two -com ponents are produced for each -com ponent by Zeem an splitting, -com ponents would com prise $20: 426=(1+0: 426)=60 \%$ of totalm aser spots.

A s discussed in x3.5, the $m$ agnetic eld in any individual source is highly ordered, and the distribution ofm agnetic eld orientations at $m$ aser sites is not oriented in a uniform ly random direction. But for a large sam ple of sources distributed throughout the G alactic plane, it is plausible that the range ofm agnetic eld directions sam pled will approach a uniform random sample. H ow ever, in our sam ple a large majority of m aser spots have a sm all or zero linear polarization fraction. T wo-thirds ofm aser spots have no detectable linear polarization at all. A s discussed in x 4.2 , am pli cation of com ponents $m$ ay be reduced by Faraday rotation along the am pli cation path. Two additional e ects pointed out by Elitzur (1996) may explain the larger fraction of -com ponents we detect. First, although an inclination of 55 divides angular phase space into two distinct regions in which - and -com ponents dom inate, the relative am pli cation of -and -com ponents is larger for < 55 , where -com ponents dom inate, than for $>55$, where -com ponents dom inate. In the unsaturated regim e, the ratio of the absonption coe cients for -and -com ponents,
$=$, reaches a m inim um of 0.5 at $=90$. For comparison, $=$ reaches a value of 2 at 39 and grow in an unbounded $m$ anner as ! 0 (see x3 ofE litzur). U nsaturated -com ponents should on average be w eaker than unsaturated com ponents, so the percentage of com ponents above a reasonable detection threshold would be higher than the 60\% expected based on an analysis of the sizes of angular phase space alone. Second, as $m$ asers saturate, com petitive gain $w i l l$ favor the stronger com ponent. Saturated -com ponents w ill reduce the absorption coe cient by a factor of 3, but saturated -com ponents only reduce by a factor of 2 ( E litzur 1996). Thus, -com ponents should be even $m$ ore num erous than -com ponents even if the $m$ asers are saturated.

H ow ever, it is clear that some -com ponents are seen in the ${ }^{2}{ }_{3=2} ; J=3=2 \mathrm{~m}$ aser transitions. Som e $m$ aser spots exhibit a high degree of linear polarization as would be expected for -com ponents, and the distribution ofPPA in som e sources strongly suggests that com ponents are seen (see xA.13). N evertheless, $m$ aser spots that we believe are com ponents are not 100\% linearly polarized. Since $m f=0$ radiation is inherently linearly polarized, circular polarization $m$ ust be generated extemally. $W$ e speculate that these -com ponents w th nonzero circular polarization arise from the superposition of two m asing clum ps along the line of sight. If the em ission from a -com ponent spot intersects a region of H H appropriately shifted in velocity, it $m$ ay stim ulate em ission in a mode. Since the incident radiation from the rst cloud (i.e., the
-com ponent) is highly am pli ed and therefore bright, it can strongly stim ulate the second cloud, since the incident linear polarization $w$ ill be seen by the second cloud as a superposition of the tw o opposite-handed circular m odes. E ven if the am pli cation in the second cloud is very weak, a signi cant am ount of circular polarization can be added, and the radiation will no longer be com pletely linearly polarized, as show $n$ in A ppendix B. The distinction betw een a -and a com ponent may be blurred if there is \& 1 gain length of $m$ aterial in the second cloud.
$T$ his circularization of a bright -com ponent due to an extrem ely w eak -com ponent requires that the w eak cloudlet be in front of the brightm aser from the observer'sperspective. Ifthe com ponent is behind the -com ponent, the propagation path of radiation passes rst through the weak ( ) cloudlet and then through the strong -com ponent. The radiation eld that the cloudlet am pli es, whether background continuum or
its ow $n$ spontaneous em ission, is $m$ uch weaker than in the case where the radiation from the -com ponent stim ulates em ission from the -com ponent, so the supenposition of spots would be indistinguishable from an isolated com ponent with no surrounding $m$ aterial.

In principle the reverse scenario could occur as well: a -com ponent stim ulates em ission in the m ode from a sm aller cloud of OH gas at the appropriate velocity for ampli cation. This would have the e ect of adding extra linear polarization to a com ponent. Since com ponents are in generalelliptically polarized (i.e., have a nonzero linearpolarization fraction), itm ay not be possible to distinguish observationally betw een a -com ponent that has stim ulated weak em ission in the $m$ ode from a second $m$ aser clum $p$ and one that has not. The linear polarization fraction of a -com ponent is a function of the inclination of the $m$ agnetic eld to the line of sight (G oldreich, K eeley, \& K wan 1973a), so this e ect could lead to overestim ation of the m agnetic eld inclination at OH m aser sites.

It is probable that the overlap ofm aser com ponents along the line of sight w ould add circularpolarization to -com ponentsm ore system ically than it would add linear polarization to -com ponents. Form ost com $m$ on bulk $m$ aterialm otions (e.g., infall, out ow, rotation), the radial com ponent of the velocity eld will change m onotonically along a ray from a m aser spot to the observer. If the change in radial velocity along the line of sight exceeds the Zeem an splitting between a - and -component ( $12 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ at 1665 M Hz and $0.7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ at 1667 MHz for a 4 mG m agnetic eld), the radiation from the -com ponent m ay stim ulate weak ampli cation from OH in a mode. But a -component could only stim ulate em ission from the m ode of a cloud of H along the line of sight if the change in radial velocity were in the sam e sense as the Zeem an splitting of the -com ponent.

G iven that only a sm all colum $n$ density of H along the line of sight betw een a $m$ aser and the observer is required to add signi cant circular polarization to the observed $m$ aser, it is likely that a large fraction of -com ponents $w$ ill be $m$ isidenti ed as -com ponents. Unless there is an abrupt outer edge to the radial distribution of OH in a m assive star-form ing region, the radiation from m any -com ponents w ill stim ulate weak em ission in a mode of the surrounding OH .

### 4.5. E longated A rrangem ents of $M$ aser Spots

In several sources, OH m asers are found in elongated lam entary arrangem ents. For instance, there is a line ofm aser spots near the origin in the W 75 S m ap show n in F igure 25 of P aper I , and the m asers in W 75 N ( $F$ igure 27 of P aper I) appear to be oriented prim arily along two perpendicular axes. In the lam entary arrangem ent of $m$ aser spots seen in the northem grouping of $W 75 \mathrm{~N}$, the sky projection of the $m$ agnetic eld as deduced from the PPA of the linear portion of the polarization im plies that the $m$ agnetic eld $m$ ay be aligned predom inantly along the line of elongation.

O ften there is a velocity gradient along the elongation, such as in W 75 S or the m asers in a NE/SW line in ON 2 N . These lines ofm asers with velocity gradients are common in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. Norris et al. (1993) observed 10 sources for which the $m$ aser spots were distributed $m$ ostly in a line $w$ th the $m$ jor axis of the distribution several tim es greater than the $m$ inor axis. $P$ lots of the velocity of the $m$ aser spots versus the $m$ ajor axis o set are generally distributed into tw o quadrants rather than tightly along a straight line $(\mathbb{N}$ orris et al 1998). The authors speculated that the $m$ asers are tracing circum stellar disks and that the deviation from a straight line in the velocity-m a jor axis plots is due to $m$ aser ampli cation at di erent radii in the sam e circum stellar disk.
$M$ ore recent observations do not seem to favor the interpretation of these $m$ aser arrangem ents as circum stellar disks, how ever. First, De Buizer (2003) looked for $\mathrm{H}_{2}=1 \quad 0 \mathrm{~S}(1) \mathrm{em}$ ission in m assive SFRs for which circum stellar disks were suspected on the basis of collinear ${ }^{4}$ distributions of $m$ ethanol m asers. Since $m$ olecular hydrogen is a diagnostic of shocked out ow s , it w as expected that the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ em ission would be oriented prim arily penpendicular to the putative disks. Instead, the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ em ission in alm ost all of the souroes for which it was detected was preferentially oriented parallel to the line of $m$ ethanol masers. De Buizer suggested that the $m$ asers were instead tracing an out ow. Second, the proper $m$ otions of $m$ aser spots in the two linear structures in G 9.62+ 0.20 are directed prim arily penpendicular to the structures M inier et al. (2001). T his suggests that in at least som e casesm ethanolm asersm ay trace shocks rather than circum stellar disks. W hether this applies to sim ilar arrangem ents of OH m asers is not yet well established. It is believed that the lifetim es ofm ethanol and hydroxylm asers overlap but are not identical (R eid 2002). It is therefore possible that structures delineated by OH m asers trace a di erent evolutionary phase of form ing high-m ass stars than do $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \mathrm{m}$ asers.

A $n$ altemate possibility to the disk hypothesis is that these elongated arrangem ents ofm aser spots $m$ ay sim ply be a result of the $m$ otion ofm aterialthreaded by $m$ agnetic elds. This could be a result of1) collapse in the early stages of star form ation or 2) shock-driven out ow $s$ in later stages. In case 1), as clouds of O and other $m$ aterial fall inw ard, they $w$ ill draw the $m$ agnetic eld inw ard with them. This will tend to align eld lines $w$ th the $m$ aterial elongations, provided there is enough angular $m$ om entum to avoid spherical collapse. In case 2), a shock propagating outw ard from the boundary of the UCH II region com presses m aterial ahead of it, leading to elongations along the shock front.

The drift speed for am bipolar di usion of a $m$ agnetic eld out of a $m$ aser cloud is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{d}}=0: 6 \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{50^{3} \mathrm{G}}{ }^{2} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{10^{6} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{ }^{2} \frac{\mathrm{r}}{10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}}{ }^{1} \frac{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{e}}}{2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{9} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{1}}{ }^{10^{5}} \mathrm{~km}^{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r$ is the radius of a $m$ aser spot, is the ion-neutral collision rate coe cient, and $x e$ is the ionization fraction ( $B$ lack \& $H$ artquist 1979). The drift speed is less than a typical shock speed ( $>5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ ), especially if carbon is ionized in any substantial fraction. Thus, the magnetic eld will be dragged along and com pressed by the shock, resulting in a eld oriented parallel to the shock front and therefore along the $m$ aterialelongation. U nless the shock is totally planar, there $m$ ay be velocity gradients along the elongations. $T$ he slight curvature to som e of these elongationsm ay also be explained by the expansion of a sphericalshock front or a planar shock into an inhom ogeneous m edium. This is in contrast to models explaining elongated arrangem ents ofm aser spots as disks, w here little curvature w ould be expected if preferentially seen edge-on. $N$ evertheless, som e curvature along the elongations $m$ ay be produced if a disk is inclined.

### 4.6. Is $T$ here a C onnection $B$ etw een $M$ aser $C$ lusters and Shocks?

A s m entioned in x3 2, there is a characteristic m aser clum ping scale of $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$. A dditionally, m aser clusters tend to be concentrated on or near the periphery of $H$ II regions. This is not alw ays the case in com plicated sources such as W 75 N , but m ore often than not these m aser clusters appear near the boundary of an H II region, especially given that we observe three-dim ensional distributions of $m$ asers in pro jection.

[^4]O ccasionally even individual clusters are elongated in a lam entary manner, as in W 3 (OH) (Reid et al. 1980).

It is possible that these clusters of OH m asers form in shocked neutral gas outside the ionization boundary. The initial grow th phase of a UCH II region involves an R-type ionization front (see, e.g., K ahn 1954). W hen the speed of the ionization front slow $s$ to tw ice the sound speed of the ionized $m$ aterial, a transition occurs and the ionization front changes to a weak D -type (Shu 1992). This is characterized by the existence of two separate fronts: an ionization (I) front and a shock (S) front that precedes it. K aw am ura \& $M$ asson (1998) directly $m$ easured the expansion speed of the U C H II region, i.e., the I front, in W 3 (O H) to be $35 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. Since the speed of the I front is less than the sound speed in the ionized material ( $10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ ), the ionization front m ust be of type in $\mathrm{W} 3(\mathrm{OH}$ ).

O thers have theorized that m asers near a U CH II region appear in the shocked neutralm aterialbetw een the I and S fronts (e.g., Baldw in, H arris, \& Ryle 1973; E litzur \& de Jong 1978). Theoretical calculations suggest that I-S fronts are inherently prone to instabilities (Vandervoort 1962). Less clear is the exact $m$ echanism of instability grow th, although there is no shortage of candidates (D yson et al. 2002). Giuliani (1979) found that the slab of $m$ aterial between the $I$ and $S$ fronts $w$ as unstable to oscillatory transverse perturbations. The wavelength of fastest perturbation grow th $w$ as found to increase $w$ ith tim $e$. V ishniac (1983) obtained sim ilar results and additionally suggested that unstable sm all-scale fragm entation would eventually allow neutral gas to be sw ept up behind the fragm ents and lead to $R$ ayleigh-T aylor instabilities, although it is unclear whether this would occur at the tim e and size scales of ultracom pact H II regions. Pottasch (1958) argued based on the evolutionary sequence of perturbation shapes of the bright rim $s$ in di use nebulae that the Rayleigh-Taylor instability alone cannot be the cause of ngering, although his analysis was based on older, lower-density H II regions. V ishniac also speculated that magnetic elds $m$ ight produce elongated, lam entary structures. Two-dim ensional sim ulations by G arc a-Segura \& Franco (1996) dem onstrate the appearance of this hydrodynam ical instability regardless of the density structure of the neutral gas and details of the radiative cooling law included. The wavelength of the fastest-grow ing perturbations increases w th tim e, w ith interfragm ent spacings on the order of several tim es 100 AU near the base of the ngers and approxim ately 100 AU near the tips for UCH II ages near $10^{4}$ years, as shown graphically in their $F$ igure 6 . N ote that this is consistent $w$ ith both the clustering scale and the dynam ical age of $O H$ m asers, as discussed in $x \times 3.2$ and 3.6.

A ssum ing that som e chusters near U CH II regions form in the shocked neutralm edium between the I and $S$ fronts, two projection-related factors would im ply that clusters should be $m$ ore frequently found at the periphery of $H$ II regions rather than atop them, an e ect not seen (see x3.6). First, velocity gradients are likely to be higher along the ngers of shocked neutralm aterial than across them. Ampli cation lengths should therefore be longer on average for ngers pointing in the plane of the sky, where the velocity gradient is tangential in projection, than for ngers pointing tow ard us (i.e., projected near the center of the $H$ II region). Still, potential path lengths are longer along ngers rather than across them, and it is unclear whether velocity gradients are large enough to favor am pli cation across rather than along the ngers. Second, the magnetic eld threading the neutralm aterial will be dragged along with it. F ield lines w ill be folded such that a eld line entering the nger from the neutralm aterialw ill exit the nger back into the neutralm aterial after bending through 180 . This would im ply an e ective reversal of the line-of-sight direction for lines of sight along ngers. H ow ever, intra-cluster magnetic eld line reversals are never seen. Indeed, the magnetic elds deduced from OH maser in clusters superposed atop H II regions in ON 1 and W $3(\mathrm{OH})$ suggest that there is a consistent line-of-sight eld direction in those sources even atop the H II region. It is possible that OH m asing clum ps occur near the $\backslash$ palm s " of the ngers or that the ngers of
neutralm aterial containing the OH m asers are not very long. In either case, the bend in the $m$ agnetic eld lines could be much less than 180 , consistent with our lack of detection of line-of-sight eld reversals in these clusters.

Large-scale ( $>1000 \mathrm{AU}$ ) collinear maser structures, such as the NE/SW line in ON 2 N , probably cannot be explained by shock instabilities around the UCH II region because they are larger in scale than the H II region itself. It is still possible that these structures occur in neutral gas that has been shocked by another source in the star-form ing com plex. T here is often a velocity gradient along these structures, which could be explained by a curved or decelerating shock.

### 4.7. Relation of $O H M$ asers to $G$ alactic $M$ agnetic $F$ ields

N oting that line-of-sight directions obtained from Zeem an splitting in eight Galactic OH m aser sources were consistent w ith a clockw ise G alactic eld, D avies (1974) postulated that OH m asers in massive starform ing regions traced the $G$ alactic $m$ agnetic eld. Follow -up studies by R eid \& Silverstein (1990) and Reid \& $M$ enten (1993) supported this claim but were suggestive of a m ore com plicated Galactic eld structure. Subsequent analyses em ploying this technique on ever-larger sam ple sizes have indicated that correlations $w$ th the $G$ alactic $m$ agnetic eld $m$ ay exist (B audry et al. 1997; $F$ ish et al. 2003), but detailed probing of the $G$ alactic eld with this m ethod rem ains elusive. O bservationallim itations of this m ethod consist of unknow $n$ distances to $m$ any of the sources as well as possibly incorrect $m$ agnetic eld data resulting from inadequate spatial resolution to unam biguously identify Zeem an pairs of $m$ aser features, since few sources have been observed at V LB I resolution. A dditionally, m agnetic eld inform ation has thus far been lim ited to the sign of the line-of-sight eld orientation (ie, whether the $m$ agnetic eld points in the hem isphere tow ard or aw ay from the Sun). This $m$ ay be insu cient to accurately probe a predom inantly toroidal $G$ alactic $m$ agnetic
eld at low er G alactic longitudes, in the direction of the $m$ a jority ofm assive star-form ing regions (as well as $m$ ost of the spiral structure of the G alaxy).

If the $m$ agnetic eld orientation in $m$ assive star-form ing regions is correlated $w$ th the $G$ alactic eld, the processes of high $m$ ass star form ation $m$ ust not tightly $w$ rap the $m$ agnetic eld con guration despite the rotation and collapse necessary to produce the central condensation, a proposition for which there is theoretical support (e.g., Li \& Shu 1996; Allen, Li, \& Shu 2003). As discussed in x3.5, an ordered $m$ agnetic eld can be inferred from the regularity of the line-of-sight direction of the $m$ agnetic eld over large portions of the source and the eld strengths inferred from Zeem an pairs w thin the sam e clusters of $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$. Furtherm ore, the magnetic elds deduced from OH Zeem an splitting in massive SFRs separated by distances on the order of a kiloparsec show a preference to be co-aligned ( $F$ ish et al 2002). A num erical investigation of the collapse of rotating, $m$ agnetized, isotherm alcloud cores suggests that collapse can occur w thout introducing a signi cant tw ist to the magnetic eld (Allen, Li, \& Shu 2003). These authors nd that the $m$ axim um pitch angle of the $m$ agnetic eld is approxim ately 20 along a ridge of accreting $m$ aterial (see their $F$ igure 4). Inw ard from this ridge the $m$ agnetic eld resists $w$ rapping, while outw ard from the ridge the w rap from di erentialrotation is sm all. Sim ulations by M atsum oto \& Tom isaka (2004) con mat the $m$ agnetic eld of a collapsing core $m$ aintains alignm ent $w$ ith the $m$ agnetic eld of the parent cloud. They nd that a young star'sm agnetic eld is inclined no m ore than 30 from that of the parent cloud for a weak initial eld strength ( 20 G at a density of 2: $610^{4} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), w ith much better alignm ent when the initial eld strength is greater.

If these $m$ odels are correct, the $m$ agnetic eld orientation before collapse m ight be partially preserved in
the $m$ aterial surrounding the core. Since the elds around new ly-form ed $m$ assive stars are ordered (see $x 3.5$ ), this suggests that $m$ agnetic eld orientations deduced from $O H$ m aser Zeem an splitting $m$ ay be indicative of the Galactic $m$ agnetic eld. A VLBI survey of $O H$ masers in $m$ assive star-form ing regions would elim inate Zeem an pairing am biguity and possibly allow for three-dim ensionalm odelling of the am bient magnetic eld in the few souroes in which the Faraday rotation is $s m$ allenough that the fillm agnetic eld orientation can be in ferred from the observed linear polarization fraction and PPA ofm aser spots. If accurate distances can be obtained as well, as through trigonom etric parallaxes of higher frequency m aser transitions, O H m asers $m$ ay prove to be a usefultool for probing the $G$ alactic $m$ agnetic eld.

## 5. Sum m ary of Interstellar O H M aser P roperties

G round-state OH m asers typically cluster on a scale of $1 \theta^{5} \mathrm{~cm}$, providing evidence that their distribution is linked to a process w ith an inherent scale, as opposed to turbulence (which is generally scale-free). The $m$ agnetic eld strengths im plied by Zeem an splilting suggest that O H m asers occur in regions of density $10^{5}$ to several $10^{7} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. OH m asers are found preferentially near the UCH II region in m assive SFRs. Their distribution around UCH II regions suggest an expansion age of $10^{4}$ years for typical expansion velocities. OH m asers do not appear to be system ically shifted from the velocity of the associated star by m ore than a few $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}{ }^{1}$, although possible exceptions exist, as in G 5.8860 .393 and W 75 N VLA 2. Taken together, these pieces of evidence support the theory that m ost OH m asers occur in the shocked neutral gas betw een the ionization and shock fronts of UCH II regions. The distribution ofm aser uxes with distance from the central UCH II region suggests that OH m asers tum O abruptly rather than weakening gradually after $10^{4}$ years.

Som e OH m asers are seen far from or without any associated H II region. It is unclear whether these $m$ asers are pum ped by a starw ith an associated weak, undetected hypercom pact H II region orw hether they are shock-excited w thout an ionization front. In som e sources (e.g., W 75 S ), OH m asers appear to trace a collinear structure w ith a velocity gradient. These form ations probably trace shock fronts rather than protostellar disks.
$M$ agnetic elds are ordered in $m$ assive SFR $s$, lending observational support to theories that indicate that the am bient $m$ agnetic eld direction $m$ ay be preserved during $m$ assive star form ation. N early all sources show either a consistent line-of-sight $m$ agnetic eld direction or a single reversal of the line-ofsight direction across the source. W ith in a $m$ aser cluster of size $10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$, line-of-sight magnetic eld direction reversals are never seen, and the eld strengths deduced from Zeem an splltting are alm ost alw ays consistent w thin 1 m G.

W e do see both -and -com ponents, including a $\backslash$ Zeem an triplet" in $W 75 \mathrm{~N}$ (see x3.1). ButO H m aser spots that are $100 \%$ linearly polarized, as theoretically expected of -com ponents, are extrem ely rare. $T$ here is a range of sources w ith qualitatively di erent linear polarization properties. At one extrem e (as in W 75 N ) high linear polarization fractions are seen, and the PPAs show som e correlation $w$ ith observed structures and probable $m$ agnetic eld directions. In m ost sources the linear polarization fractions are much less than 1 and PPAs cannot be easily interpreted as magnetic eld directions. At the other extrem e are sources such as W 51 el and e2, in which little or no linear polarization is detected and the total polarization fraction of som em aser spots is $m$ uch less than unity.

Thew ide range of polarization properties observed in OH m asersm ay be explained by a com bination of Faraday rotation and overlap ofm aser com ponents. If H m asers are indeed near or em bedded in C II
regions, the electron density $m$ ay be high enough that the $m$ asers are near a criticalpoint of Faraday rotation. A typicalm aser spot likely has large (> 1 rad) Faraday rotation over the entire am pli cation length, but not over a single gain length of the $m$ aser. If Faraday rotation is a factor of 5 lower, the totalFaraday rotation along the am pli cation path $m$ ay be $s m$ all enough such that the PPA s are still roughly aligned w ith the $m$ agnetic eld lines. On the other hand, if Faraday rotation is a factor of 5 larger, the Faraday rotation per gain length could exceed 1 rad, destroying linear polarization and depolarizing the $m$ aser. Even if the Faraday rotation is sm all enough to allow am pli cation of a 100\% linearly polarized -com ponent, its polarization $m$ ay be partially circularized by one of the m odes of a weakly-inverted clum $p$ of $O H$ betw een the $m$ aser site and the observer. This is likely a very im portant e ect, as only a m odest inversion and a small colum $n$ density of OH are required to add signi cant circular polarization to a -com ponent.

Theoretically the linear polarization fractions and directions of $m$ aser com ponents can be used to determ ine the full, three-dim ensional orientation of the $m$ agnetic eld at $m$ asing sites. But the interpretation of PPAsm ay be very di cult in sources for which the am ount of Faraday rotation along the propagation path betw een the source and the observer is unknow. Inferring a magnetic eld orientation in the plane of the sky also requires unam biguous identi cation of -and -com ponents, due to the 90 di erence in PPA response to a $m$ agnetic eld. Zeem an pairs provide the surest $m$ ethod of identifying -com ponents, but their polarization properties $m$ ay be too contam inated by intemal Faraday rotation and $m$ aser overlap to perm it interpretation of the inclination of the $m$ agnetic eld to the line of sight.

W e thank M .D.G ray, M.Elitzur, and J.P.M acquart for helpful com m ents in preparation.
Facility: V LBA
A. N otes on Individual Sources

$$
\text { A.1. G } 5.8860 .393
$$

$T$ here is a reversalof the line-of-sight direction of the magnetic eld across the source. A 11 Zeem an pairs in the south of the source indicate a negative m agnetic eld (i.e., oriented in the hem isphere pointing tow ard the Sun), while all pairs in the north of the source indicate a positive m agnetic eld.
$N$ early all of the $m$ aser spots identi ed in the northeastem cluster constitute a com ponent of a Zeem an pair. In total, there are eight Zeem an pairs in the cluster \{ four each in the 1665 and $1667 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} z$ transitions. $T$ he $m$ agnetic eld strengths are consistent, ranging from 12 to 2.0 m G in the cluster. $T$ he center ( m aterial) velocities of the Zeem an pairs range from 8.6 to $10.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. This is in excellent agreem ent w ith C asw ell (2001), who nd a $6035 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{z} \mathrm{Zeem} \mathrm{an} \mathrm{pair} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{this} \mathrm{region} \mathrm{centered} \mathrm{at} 9.96 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{w}$ ith a splitting of 1.49 m G .

W e can de ne the Zeem an pairing e ciency as tw ice the num ber of Zeem an pairs divided by the total num ber of $m$ aser spots (in both polarizations and transitions) in a region. In the lim iting case where every $m$ aser spot is a -com ponent in a detectable Zeem an pair, the Zeem an pairing e ciency would be 100\%. For the northeastem cluster ( $F$ igure 3 of $P$ aper $I$ ), the Zeem an pairing e ciency is $84 \%$. The pairing e ciency in the westem half of the source is only $16 \%$. W e note that the velocities ofm aser spots range from 2.6 to $15.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ in the westem half of the source Th is is a much larger range than for the northeastem cluster,
which has a larger Zeem an pairing e ciency. Since velocity coherence is necessary for the am pli cation of both -com ponents of a Zeem an pair, it is reassuring to note that the region $w$ th the $m$ ore coherent velocity eld also produces Zeem an pairs m ore e ciently.

Zijstra et al. (1990) observe OH em ission from $45 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ to $17 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ and interpret this em ission as tracing a bipolar out ow. O ur observations span only the upper end of this velocity range. W e see redshifted em ission extending to the southw est of the westem group of $m$ asers, in general agreem ent with Zijstra et al. They do not see em ission at 8.6 to $10.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ in the eastem half of the souroe, probably due to the large channelwidth ( $22 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ velocity equivalent) and beam size of their observations. They also do not see em ission at the appropriate velocities corresponding to the isolated $2: 4$ and $+1: 2 \mathrm{~m}$ G Zeem an pairs we detect. At 6035 M H z, C asw ell (2001) nds em ission in the velocity range corresponding to our observations and absonption from 25 to $+2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$, supporting the m odel of Z ijlstra et al.

Feldt et al. (2003) detect a candidate 0 type ionizing star at $=2::_{6}^{0} 00_{2}$; $=0!0 \quad 00_{2}$ in F igure 1 of $P$ aper $I$. The star's location is coincident $w$ ith the isolated $1: 2 \mathrm{mG}$ Zeem an pair to $w$ ithin positional errors. The brighter RCP com ponent of this Zeem an pair has a ux density of 2.37 Jy . Since the H II region is optically thick at $=18 \mathrm{am}$ (A erbach et al 1996), it is unlikely that direct ampli cation of stellar radiation is im portant. Is the spatial coincidence of this $m$ aser spot $w$ th the pro jected location of the star due to chance, or is am pli cation favored due to the stellar radiation? $N$ ine other $m$ ain-line $m$ aser features in G 5.8860 .393 are brighter than this feature, indicating that the observed ux density is not strongly a ected by whether the $m$ aser is projected atop the star. H ow ever, the observed ux density of strong (> 1 Jy ) m aser spots m ay be relatively insensitive to initial conditions if they are at least partially saturated. D ue to interstellar scattering, it is possible to get only a lower lim it form aser brightness tem peratures, but it is probable that the brightest $m$ aser spots in this source are saturated (see x4.1).

## A.2. G 9.622+ 0.195

The G 9.62+ 0.19 com plex contains several UCH II regions, as well as a hot molecular core (C esaroni et al 1994). W e detect $O H$ m asers around sources $D, E$, and $G$ in the nom enclature system of $G$ aray et al. (1993) and Testi et al (2000). At source E, we nd two Zeem an pairs which both indicate a positive $m$ agnetic eld. At source $G$, we nd two Zeem an pairs which both indicate a negative magnetic eld. Few OH m aser spots and no Zeem an pairs are identi ed at source D, while no maser activity at all is seen near the hot core (source F), located between sources D and G.

W e do not see the isolated 1665 M HzRCP m aser detected betw een souroes E and G in A rgon, Reid, \& $M$ enten (2000). This $m$ aser spot has likely w eakened below our detectability threshold in the 10 years since their observations. W ater $m$ aser em ission is seen at this site and associated $w$ ith sources D, E, and G (H ofner \& C hurchw ell 1996).
A.3. G 10.6240 .385

O verall evidence indicates that G $10.624 \quad 0.385$ is undergoing collapse. O bservations of $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ show that the m olecular $m$ aterial is rotating and falling inward, with rapid spiralm otions inw ard of about 0.05 pc ( $\mathrm{H} \circ \& \mathrm{H}$ aschick 1986; K eto, H o, \& H aschick 1987). The plane of rotation cuts through the center of the H II region and is oriented approxim ately 20 west of north ( K eto, $\mathrm{H} \circ$, \& H aschick 1988) . C oherent inw ard
$m$ otions are also seen $w$ thin the ionized gas in the H II region ( $K$ eto 2002) .
W e detect relatively few m aser spots in G 10.6240 .385 . Em ission falls into three regions: a clum p to the east, a clump $2^{\infty}$ to the west of the previous clum $p$, and an isolated $m$ aser spot to the northwest. We nd only one Zeem an pair, indicating a magnetic eld of 6 mG in the eastemm ost chum p . The west chum $p$ appears arclike, w ith a length of about 150 m as. T his is the only clum p to show any linear polarization, $m$ ost of which is oriented roughly perpendicular to the arc. $N$ one of the $m$ aser spots $w e$ detect lies along the plane of rotation.

The ground-state $m$ asers we detect span a velocity range of $2: 4$ to $+3: 3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$. This is in agreem ent w ith the velocity span of excited states of H : 2:0 to $1: 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ in ${ }^{2}{ }_{1=2} ; \mathrm{J}=1=2 \mathrm{em}$ ission (G ardner \& $M$ art $n P$ intado 1983), $2: 5$ to $+1: 0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ in ${ }^{2}{ }_{3=2} ; J=7=2$ absonption ( $F$ ish, $R$ eid, \& $M$ enten 2005), and $1: 9$ to $0: 4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ in $^{2}{ }_{3=2} ; \mathbf{J}=9=2$ absonption (W alm sley et al 1986).
A.4. G $34.257+0.154$

The G $343+02$ complex contains several UCH II regions. M ost prom inent is the \oom etary" H II region, labelled C in the nom enclature of $G$ aum $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{Fey}$ \& C laussen (1994). The $m$ orphology of region C can be explained as a bow shock due to the supersonic relative $m$ otion of the exciting souroe w ith respect to the surrounding $m$ edium ( $R$ eid \& $\mathrm{H} \circ$ 1985; M ac Low et al 1991). R egion $\mathrm{C} m$ ay be com posed ofm ore than one continuum com ponent (Sew ilo et al. 2004). Two fainter com ponents, labelled A and B by Reid \& H o (1985), are located to the southeast and northeast of region $C$, respectively. $T$ he com plex has a kinem atic distance of 3.8 kpc ( G alactic center distance $r_{0}=10 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) (Reifenstein et al 1970).

A blueshifted out ow extending to the northw est is seen by H atchell, Fuller, \& M illar (2001). C om ponents $A$ and $C$ were detected in the $m$ id-infrared, but com ponent $B$ was not, suggesting that it is deeply em bedded and therefore very young ( $C$ am pbell et al 2000). B ased on this and the spectral index of com ponent B (0:9 0:4, from G aum e , Fey, \& C laussen 1994), H atchell et al. conclude that com ponent $B$ is the source of the out ow.

Unlike other sources, there is not a single line that can be drawn across the entirety of $G 34257+0.154$ which separates regions of positive and negative $m$ agnetic eld. How ever, such lines can be drawn for the $m$ asers associated w ith regions B and C separately. Region B contains many Zeem an pairs with positive $m$ agnetic eld near the continuum source and a single Zeem an pair with negative magnetic eld to the northeast of the source. All of the Zeem an pairs ahead of the bow shock in region C im ply a negative $m$ agnetic eld except for a sm all region near the $H$ II region to the south. There is good qualitative agreem ent betw een the $m$ agnetic eld $m$ easured here and sim ilar VLBA observations of the source in 1995 by Zheng, $R$ eid, \& $M$ oran (2000). In the northem halfof the source, the eld directions are identicalbetw een the two observations, and eld m agnitudes agree to better than 0.3 m G where they overlap. In the center and south, we nd larger eld $m$ agnitudes than reported by Zheng et al. Line-of-sight eld directions are again in agreem ent, although Zheng et al. nd Zeem an pairs im plying m agnetic elds of $+0: 5$ and $0: 5 \mathrm{~m}$ G near the origin of $F$ igure 9 ofP aper I. At this sam e location, $G$ asiprong, $C$ ohen, \& H utaw arakom (2002) nd only one Zeem an pair im plying a m agnetic eld of $5: 0 \mathrm{mG}$. In this region, we inferm agnetic elds of $0: 6$, 5:1, 5:7, and 6:0 m G from four Zeem an pairs. At $6035 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{z} ,\mathrm{C} \mathrm{asw} \mathrm{ell} \mathrm{\&} \mathrm{Vaile} \mathrm{(1995)} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{asw} \mathrm{ell} \mathrm{(2001)}$ nd three Zeem an pairs indicating a m agnetic eld of 4 mG . Their observations do not have the necessary angular resolution to determ ine which H II region the 6035 M Hz are associated with, but their results are largely consistent $w$ ith $m$ agnetic elds obtained from the com etary region $C$, especially in the north.

G asiprong, C ohen, \& H utaw arakom (2002) also observed linear polarization w the MERLIN array. It is di cult to com pare our results directly w th theirs, since the $0: 16$ resolution a orded by M ERLIN is insu cient to separate distinct $m$ aser com ponents $w$ ith very di erent linear polarization position angles. N evertheless, for the brighter spots it is possible to identify a m aser spot in our data that corresponds to a sim ilar spot in the G asiprong study. P olarization position angles generally agree to 10 or 20 .

A .5. G 35.5770 .029
This source contains tw O UCH II regions. All of the $m$ aser activity appears to be associated with the westem H II region. We detect $m$ aser spots only on the $w$ estem lim bof the westem $H$ II region w ith the exception of one isolated spot to the east. D ue to registration uncertainties, it is not clear whether th is spot is located directly atop the H II region or on the eastem limb. W e detect three Zeem an pairs in the westem clum $p$ of em ission, w ith all three im plying a $m$ agnetic eld in the range of 4 to $6: 3 \mathrm{~m}$ G .
A.6. G 40.6220 .137
$T$ here is a large ( $1^{\infty}$ ) cluster of $O H$ maser em ission centered approxim ately 195 aw ay from the only detected H II region. We detect two Zeem an pairs in the sam e region, consistent w ith a eld strength of approxim ately 6 mG . C aswell \& Vaile (1995) nd one Zeem an pair in 6035 M HzOH m aser em ission im plying a magnetic eld of $+1: 7 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{G}$, suggesting that there $m$ ay be a reversal of the line-of-sight direction of the $m$ agnetic eld in this source. M ethanol and water $m$ asers are also seen $w$ thin less than $1^{\infty}$ of the reference position (Forster \& C asw ell 1989; B euther et al. 2002).
A.7. G 43.7960 .127

The X -band continuum $m$ aps show tw o sources \{ a bright source to the northw est, and a w eak source to the southeast. A $1 l m$ aser em ission lies atop the northw est source. A total of seven Zeem an pairs were identi ed. Five of these indicate a positive $m$ agnetic eld, and two indicate a negative $m$ agnetic eld. Unlike in other sources in which a reversal is seen, it is not possible to draw a single straight line such that the $m$ agnetic eld on each side of the line has a uniform line-ofsight direction. A Zeem an m easurem ent of 6035 M Hz (excited-state) OH em ission implies a magnetic eld of $+3: 6 \mathrm{~m}$ G (C asw ell \& Vaile 1995). Three $m$ easurem ents of the $Z e e m$ an e ect in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{m}$ asers, which trace a higher range of densities, im ply a m agnetic eld of 13:3 to $46: 1 \mathrm{mG}$ (Sarm a et al. 2002).

W e have adopted an am m onia velocity of $452 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ for $G 43.796$ 0.127. The NH $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ spectrum itself (see Figure 41 of P aper I) is com plicated, and it is di cult to identify which line is the $m$ ain line and which are hyper ne lines. We identify the line at $452 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ as the $m$ ain line because its velocity most closely $m$ atches that of the CS J = 7! 6 velocity of $44.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ (Plume, Ja e, \& Evans 1992). Since the critical density of CS $J=7!6$ is $210^{7} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, we feel con dent that it is tracing the sam e high-density $m$ aterial as the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ em ission.
A.8. W 51
$W$ e nd a total of 46 Zeem an pairs near sources el and e2, $m$ aking $W 51$ the $m$ ost proli c $m$ assive SFR in term s of the num ber of Zeem an pairs in our survey. A s previously reported (A rgon, Reid, \& M enten 2002), source e2 contains tw o Zeem an pairs im plying the strongest magnetic elds ever seen in interstellar OH m asers: 19.8 m G and 21 mG .

Source el show sa reversalof the line-of-sight eld direction, which points tow ard the Sun in the northem half of the source and aw ay from the Sun in the southem half. Source e2 is the clearest exam ple yet that shows the extent to which magnetic elds are ordered in massive SFRs. All 22 Zeem an pairs indicate a positive $m$ agnetic eld. A though there is a huge variation in the strength of the $m$ agnetic eld across the source, m ultiple Zeem an pairs in each cluster have consistent eld strengths to w ithin about 1 m G.

W 51 is rem arkable am ong our source sam ple as having alm ost no detectable linear polarization. Three m aser spots near the origin in source el have linear polarization fractions of $1 \%$ to $2 \% . \mathrm{N}$ o linear polarization w as detected for any other spot in source el or any spot at all in source e2. T he plausibility of circularization due to high Faraday rotation along $m$ aser am pli cation paths is discussed in $x 42$.

## A.9. ON 1

The $m$ aser spots appeared to be grouped into three regions. The $m$ asers in the northem group have velocities near $4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. Them asers in the centralgroup are located at about $1314 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. The southem $m$ aser spots fall prim arily along an extended collinear feature. The velocities in this line range from 13 to $15 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. OH m asers are not seen at interm ediate velocities. M ethanolm asers show a sim ilar velocity structure (Szym czak, H rynek, \& K us 2000).

Zeem an splitting in ON 1 is everyw here consistent with a magnetic eld pointing in the hem isphere tow ard the Sun. W e do not nd any unambiguous Zeem an pairs in the northem group. At 6031 and 6035 M H z, D esm urs \& B audry (1998) nd four Zeem an pairs im plying magnetic elds from $3: 6$ to 6:3 m G . $T$ hese $m$ aser spots appear to fall slightly north and west of the northem group ofm aser spots detected in the ground-state transitions here and in A rgon, Reid, \& M enten (2000), but their center velocities fall betw een 13.7 and $15.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ as com pared w ith a velocity range of 32 to $62 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ in the northem ground-state group. It is unclear whether this $10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ di erence re ects a large velocity gradient in the northem part of the source or whether the 6031 and 6035 M Hzem ission com es from a di erent area, re ecting registration uncertainties betw een the various sets of observations. T wo 13441 M Hz Zeem an pairs at 14.1 and $0.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ indicate m agnetic elds of $3: 8$ and $8: 3 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{G}$, respectively ( $F$ ish, Reid, \& $M$ enten 2005).

Since registration uncertainties of a few tenths of an arcsecond $m$ ay exist betw een the continuum im age and our $m$ aser spot $m$ aps, one possible interpretation is that the northem and southem $m$ aser groups are located on the limb of the UCH II region, while the center group is pro jected onto the H II region. W hile the precise locations of these groups relative to the $H$ II region along the line of sight is unknow $n$, the center group $m$ ust be located in front of the H II region, since the $H$ II region is optically thick at 18 cm (Zheng et al. 1985). The authors also noted an arom inute-scale gradient of $112 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{pc}^{1}$ in $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ em ission with a velocity of $12 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ near the $H$ II region (com parable to that of the southem group of H m asers) and an H 76 recom bination velocity of $5: 1 \quad 2: 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$, blueshifted $w$ th respect to the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ em ission. They concluded that the m otions in ON 1 were consistent w ith infall and rotation.

T he velocities of the three groups ofm aser spots $w e$ observe $m$ ay be consistent $w$ ith infall and rotation
on a sm aller angular scale as well. If the north and south $m$ aser groups are at lim bs of the rotation, the im plied rotation speed would be $5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ centered at $9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ and roughly aligned w th the direction of rotation noted by Zheng et al. The center maser group $m$ ight then be infalling at $5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ as well. At this radius, $5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ corresponds to the freefall velocity for a 20 M star, so if net rotation is also sustained, rapidly spiralling infallm ust be occurring. N evertheless, this could explain why the RCP maser em ission in the northem group is seen farther from the center of the H II region than the LCP em ission. Because the $m$ agnetic eld splits the RCP em ission to a lower LSR velocity than the LCP em ission, the coherent path length is larger farther aw ay from the center of the H II region.

## A.10. K 350

This H II region has a diam eter of over 0.1 pc , which is large for an ultracom pact H II region. M asers are found only to the north and east of the H II region. The line-of-sight magnetic eld direction points tow ard the Sun at all $m$ aser groups. H ow ever, due to the lack of $m$ aser em ission to the south and west of the H II region, we cannot conclusively rule out a magnetic eld reversalacross K 3 50. U sing the E elsberg 100 m telescope, Baudry et al. (1997) nd two 6035 M Hz Zeem an pairs indicating eld strengths of 5:3 and 9:1 m G centered at 18:68 and 19:44 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}{ }^{1}$, respectively. These are redshifted com pared to the Zeem an pairs we identify, whose center velocities range from $22: 30$ to $19: 79 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. T wo com ponents at
$20: 1$ to $20: 2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ and $25: 0$ to $25: 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ are seen in absorption in the ${ }^{2}{ }_{3=2} ; J=7=2$ lines ( $F$ ish, Reid, \& $M$ enten 2005).

$$
\text { A. 11. ON } 2 \mathrm{~N}
$$

Eleven Zeem an pairs have been identi ed in ON 2 N , all indicating a positive magnetic eld. All of the OH m aser em ission is located to the south and west of the UCH II region, in the sam e area as the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $m$ aser em ission (H ofner \& C hurchw ell 1996).

There are three groups ofm aser spots arranged roughly in a line with a position angle 35 east of north beginning at the $H$ II region. This line of spots exhibits a velocity gradient with the $m$ ost redshifted em ission tow ard the southw est. A cluster of $m$ aser spots $w$ ith a large velocity dispersion is o set from this line and elongated perpendicular to it. A m ost all spots w ith any detectable linear polarization, as well as all spots $w$ th a high linear polarization fraction, are o set from the line ofm asers.

## A.12. W 75 S

W e have identi ed 13 Zeem an pairs around the UCH II region in W 75 S . There is a reversal of the line-of-sight $m$ agnetic eld direction across this SFR. Seven Zeem an pairs to the east indicate a magnetic eld pointing tow ard the Sun, while six to the west indicate a m agnetic eld in the opposite direction. A dditionally, eld strengths within $m$ aser clusters are rem arkably consistent. The six Zeem an pairs in the westem cluster im ply eld strengths of $5: 6$ to $6: 6 \mathrm{~m}$ G , and the six Zeem an pairs to the southeast of the H II region im ply eld strength of $3: 8$ to $5: 3 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{G}$.

A collinear arrangem ent of $m$ aser spots exists near the origin in $F$ igure 25 of $P$ aper $I$. A $n$ enlargem ent of this region is show $n$ in $F$ igure 20, along w ith a best- $t$ line. There is a velocity gradient along this line
$w$ th the velocity increasing to the north. If these $m$ asers are interpreted as tracing a circum stellar disk in $K$ eplerian rotation, the radius of the disk is at least 400 AU , and the centralm ass is at least 6 M . See x 4.5 for further discussion of the possible interpretations of this structure.

## A.13. W 75 N

The region of OH m asing in W 75 N coincides w ith three continuum souroes, identi ed from north to south as VLA 1, VLA 2, and VLA 3 by Torrelles et al. (1997). Based on an elongation ofV LA 1 (at position angle 43 ) and the spectral index of the source, the authors conclude that there is an ionized, biconical, partially optically thick jet em anating from the source. In addition to this ow, there is a larger, $3-\mathrm{pc}$ ow oriented at position angle 62:5 that does not appear to be driven by the out ow in VLA 1 (Shepherd, Testi, \& Stark 2003).

W 75 N contains OH m aser spots distributed prim arily along two axes. A long the north-south axis the $m$ agnetic eld is oriented aw ay from the Sun, while along the east-w est axis the eld is oriented tow ard the Sun. At the intersection of these two axes there are two Zeem an pairs, each indicating a di erent sign of the $m$ agnetic eld. It appears that $m$ ost $m$ asers are associated with VLA 1. H ow ever, the dynam ics of the cluster at the limb of VLA 2 (Figure 28 of Paper I) are unlike the rest of the $m$ asers, suggesting that these m asers are indeed associated with V LA 2.

O ther m aser species exist in $W 75 \mathrm{~N}$ as well. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ m asers are seen close to the H II regions, with spots distinctly on the lim bs ofV LA 2 and V LA 3 as well as along the position angle of the jet in V LA 1 (T orrelles et al 1997). $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \mathrm{m}$ asers are seen prim arily as an extension to the north of the north-south axis of OH $m$ asers, and they are distributed in a line w ith position angle 42 ( $M$ inier, Conway, \& Booth 2001). Thus, the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \mathrm{m}$ asers appear to be associated w ith VLA 1.

The region around VLA 2 contains a large num ber of OH m aser spots at a wide range of velocities. $T$ he di erence in velocity betw een the $m$ ost blueshifted and $m$ ost redshifted spot in this region is $34 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ (E llder 1973; H utaw arakom, C ohen, \& B rebner 2002). O ur bandw idth only covered about $21 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ of this range. W e detect $m$ aser em ission in both the highest and low est usable velocity channel at which we observed. A large velocity dispersion in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{m}$ asers is also seen in this region (T orrelles et al. 2003). B ased on these large velocity dispersions, the location of VLA 2, and the steeply rising spectrum of continuum em ission, H utaw arakom, C ohen, \& B rebner (2002) conclude that V LA 2 is the source of the large-scale m olecular out ow. Slysh, Val'tts, \& M igenes (2001) intenpret the $m$ aser spots in the north-south axis as being a disk centered at VLA 1.

Since the observations detailed in P aper I, a 1665 M H z m aser in W 75 N has becom e the strongest ever detected, reaching a ux of approxim ately 1 kJy (A lakoz et al. 2005). They nd a 750 Jy RCP feature at $1.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$, as well as two other new features near 0 and $1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$. All three features are predom inantly linearly polarized and are therefore likely -com ponents or -com ponents where them agnetic eld is oriented close to the plane of the sky. These are o set by about $0: 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ compared to the bright features in $P$ aper I. It is possible that these features are new or that the m asers nearV LA 2 are accelerating. This latter possibility cannot be ruled out because of the nature of the $m$ asers near VLA 2. They are observed to span a velocity range $34 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ w ide and appear to be associated w ith an out ow (Ellder 1973; H utaw arakom, C ohen, \& B rebner 2002). If the out ow is decelerating, it is possible that masers entrained in the ow $m$ ay appear at slightly di erent velocities betw een epochs.

## A.14. C ep A

C ep A is a com plex m olecular cloud condensation. H ughes \& W outerloot (1984) detected no few er than 14 H II regions in the com plex, and subsequent observations have uncovered even $m$ ore radio continuum sources (H ughes 1988; C uriel et al 2002). HW 2, the brightest continuum source in Figure 30 of Paper I, appears to contain at least four com pact sources (H ughes, C ohen, \& G arrington 1995) and is believed to be the source of two them al jets (Rodr guez et al. 1994; H ughes 2001). The 6 cm radio jet is oriented at a position angle of 44 and is observed to have a pro jected velocity of $950 \quad 150 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ (R odr guez et al. 2001). W ater $m$ asers are seen associated $w$ ith this jet (T orrelles et al 1996), and their proper $m$ otions suggest the presence of at least three distinct sites of star form ation within a projected $200 \mathrm{~A} U$ radius (Torrelles et al. 2001). To the south in Figure 30 ofP aper I are three continuum sources: HW 3c, HW 3div, and HW 3dii in the nom enclature of $H$ ughes, C ohen, \& G arrington (1995) and Torrelles et al. (1998). Source HW 3c show S evidence ofm ultiple com ponents (H ughes, C ohen, \& G arrington 1995). W ater $m$ asers are seen around HW 3dii and the nearby source HW 3di, which is not detectable in our X boand im age (T orrelles et al. 1998). W e detect OH m asers around HW 2,HW 3c, and HW 3div, as wellas a chuster ofm asers betw een HW 3div and HW 3dii and a lone $m$ aser not near any continuum source.

B artkiew icz et al (2005) identify seven Zeem an pairs at 1665 M Hz and two at $1667 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{z} \mathrm{w} \mathrm{ith} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ERLIN}$. Four of these ( $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$, both $\mathrm{Z}_{7}$ 's, and $\mathrm{Z}_{8}$ ) agree w ith our ndings in term s of central velocity and magnetic eld strength to $w$ thin the errors expected from velocity resolution. P airs $\mathrm{Z}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{6}$ also agree w th the $m$ agnetic
eld strength we nd in the respective $m$ aser clusters, although severalm aser spots are blended together at these locations in the M ERLIN beam. W e do not nd counterparts for pairs $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{3}$, and we do not have the velocity coverage necessary to observe $Z_{4}$.

## A. 15. N G C 7538

NGC 7538 is a com plex star-form ing region. The continuum source in $F$ igure 32 of $P$ aper $I$, known as $\mathbb{R} S$ 1, contains a core of two com pact com ponents and a larger, spherical region to the south (Tumer \& M atthew s 1984; C am pbell 1984). Scoville et al. (1986) argued for the existence of an ionized stellar w ind out ow based on the spectral index ofm illm eter continuum em ission and for a possible disk oriented east-w est based on ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ em ission. G aum e et al. (1995) nd that the distribution of continuum em ission is clum py and suggest that photoionization from the central star is responsible for this em ission. There is a bipolar, high-velocity CO ow around $\mathbb{R} S 1$ (Fischer et al 1985), possibly collim ated by a denser ring of m aterial seen in CS (K aw abe et al 1992). This out ow, as well as other out ow $s$ and stellar $w$ inds in the $\mathbb{R} S$ 1-3 region, $m$ ay be driving the expansion of a $m$ olecular half-shell (X u, Zheng, \& Jiang 2003).

NGC 7538 is also rem arkable in the variety of $m$ aser species detected. Am ong these are rare $m$ aser species such as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ (Forster et al. 1980), ${ }^{14} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ( M adden et al. 1986), and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ( M auersberger, W ilson, \& H enkel 1986). $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{m}$ asers ( G enzel \& D ownes 1976; K am eya et al 1990) and $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \mathrm{m}$ asers from a variety of transitions (e.g., W ilson et al 1984, 1985; B atrla et al 1987) are seen in NGC 7538 as well OH m aser em ission has been seen in the 1665,1667 , and $1720 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{z}^{2}{ }_{3=2} ; \mathrm{J}=3=2$ lines (e.g., D ow nes 1970; D ickelet al. 1982; H utaw arakom \& C ohen 2003), the $6035 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Hz}^{2} \quad{ }_{3=2}$; $\mathrm{J}=5=2$ line ( G uilloteau et al 1984), and the $4765 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Hz}^{2} \quad{ }_{1=2} ; J=1=2$ line ( P alm er, $G$ ardner, \& $W$ hiteoak 1984). Hutaw arakom \& Cohen nd one Zeem an pair in each of the 1667 and 1720 M Hz transitions im plying magnetic elds of $1: 7$ and
$2: 0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{G}$, respectively. We nd no Zeem an pairs at 1667 M Hz , but we do detect a Zeem an pair of $+0: 7 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{G}$ at 1665 M H z , suggesting that there is a reversalof the line-of-sight direction of the $m$ agnetic eld across the
source.

$$
\text { A. 16. S } 269
$$

W e have found three Zeem an pairs in S269, consistent with a magnetic eld of $4: 0$ to $4: 5 \mathrm{~m}$ G . O therw ise, S269 is one of the sim plest sources in our study. It exhibits few maser spots. There is not $m$ uch linear polarization of $m$ aser em ission in this source. The VLA survey was unable to detect any continuum em ission, nor was am m onia em ission found. A nd the range of velocities of $m$ aser em ission is a m ere $3: 9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$.

Them agnetic eld appears to be oriented tow ard the Sun everyw here across the region ofm aserem ission. A llRCP em ission, excluding weak features associated w ith the linearly-polarized com ponent of two strong left-elliptically polarized $m$ asers, occurs at low er velocity than the LCP em ission. Figure 21 show $s$ the spectrum of the 1665 M Hzem ission. W hen the spectra are corrected for Zeem an splitting ( $\backslash$ dem agnetized") for a $4: 0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{G}$ magnetic eld, the totalvelocity range spanned by the RCP and LCP features decreases from $3.9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ to $1.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$.

S269 also exhibits a high degree of variability. C legg (1993) notes a 1665 M H z m aser of 16 Jy LCP ux at $17.9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ in 1991.5 , dim inishing to 1 Jy by 1992.1. In 1991.6, A rgon, Reid, \& M enten (2000) nd that this m aser has a ux of $7.5 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{LCP}$.The closest feature we nd to m atching this is a 1665 M Hz m aser of $0.11 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{LCP} \mathrm{ux} \mathrm{at} 17.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1} . \mathrm{W}$ e detect this feature in the 17.76 and $17.93 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ velocity channels, but the lack of detection in a third channelprevents us from being able to accurately determ ine the velocity and linew idth of this feature.

## A.17. $M$ on R 2

$T$ he $M$ on $R 2 m$ olecular cloud is one of the nearest high -m ass star-form ing regions, but it contains alm ost no stars of spectral type earlier than B1 (H ughes \& Baines 1985). An unusual property of M on R 2 is that $m$ aser em ission from the $\left.4765 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{( }{ }_{1=2} ; J=1=2 ; F=1!0\right)$ transition of $O H$ is stronger than from the ground-state ( ${ }^{2} \quad 3=2 ; J=3=2$ ) set of transitions, suggesting that the physical conditions are denser and hotter than norm ally seen for ground-state OH m asers, as noted by Sm its, C ohen, \& H utaw arakom (1998). $T$ hey detect tw 0 m asers at $10.65 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ w ith linear polarization position angles of 13 and 14 . This is in excellent agreem ent w ith the brightest $m$ aser feature we detect, coincident w ith the brightest 4765 M Hz $m$ aser to $w$ thin registration uncrtainties, which has linear polarization $w$ ith a position angle of 13 . The velocity of this 1665 M Hz m aser feature is $10.29 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ when corrected for the Zeem an splitting of the $2: 6 \mathrm{mG} \mathrm{m}$ agnetic eld at the site. The 4765 MHzm asers in M on R2 are highly variable, doubling in strength in less than 19 days and reaching a peak of nearly 80 Jy before \disappearing" (Sm its 2003). Sm its nds that 1665 and 1667 M Hz em ission is $m$ uch less variable, varying relatively sm oothly w ith changes in ux density not exceeding a factor of tw o over a tim escale ofm ore than four years.

$$
\text { A.18. G } 351.7750 .538
$$

G 351.7750 .538 contains what was the strongest know $n$ interstellar OH m aser spot ( 400 Jy in LCP) until the recent are in $W 75 \mathrm{~N}$ (A lakoz et al. 2005). C asw ell \& H aynes (1980) rst noted that the brightness
of this $m$ aser spot is highly variable, and it has been monitored frequently since then (see $M$ acLeod \& $G$ aylard 1996). G round-state $m$ asers have previously been seen at velocities as low as $27: 8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ (A rgon, Reid, \& M enten 2000) and as high as $7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ (M acLeod \& G aylard 1996). O urVLBA observations covered only the top half of this range.
$T$ here is a reversal of the line-of-sight $m$ agnetic eld direction across the source, as has been previously noted at 1665 and 1667 M H z by A rgon, Reid, \& M enten (2002) and Fish et al. (2002). This reversal is seen at 1720 M Hz as well, where C asw ell (2004) nds magnetic elds of +3 and 6 mG . C asw ell \& Vaile (1995) nd a $3: 3 \mathrm{mG}$ eld at 6035 MHz .

Because G 351.7750 .538 is a low Declination source, (u;v)-coverage is poor, especially along northsouth baselines. The synthesized beam and spot sizes as listed in Tabl 20 of P aper I are thus very large. $T$ his $m$ ay explain the separations of Zeem an components for $G 351.7750 .538$ (see $T a b l e 21$ of $P$ aper I), which are larger than for other souroes.

## B . M aser $O$ verlap P olarization $C$ alcu lation

In this appendix we consider the polarization properties expected of a -com ponent that stim ulates weak ampli cation from a mode of a clum $p$ of $O H$ betw een the rst $m$ aser and the observer, as described in $x 4.4$. It is helpful to analyze the radiation in term $s$ of the Stokes param eters, which are de ned in term $s$ of the electric elds in the radiation as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=\left\langle\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathrm{yy}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle \\
& \mathrm{Q}=\left\langle\mathrm{xx}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\rangle\left\langle\mathrm{yy}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle \\
& \mathrm{U}=\left\langle\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle+\langle\mathrm{xy}\rangle \\
& \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{i}\left(<_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{>}<\mathrm{xy}_{\mathrm{y}}>\right.\text { ) } \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

They can also be written in term s of the electric elds in the tw o senses of circular polarization:

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{r r}+I_{l 1}\right) \\
Q & =\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{r l}+I_{l r}\right) \\
U & =\frac{i}{2}\left(I_{r l} \quad I_{l r}\right) \\
V & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{r r} & I_{l l}
\end{array}\right) ; \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{r r}=<r_{r}>$, etc.
C onsider a sim ple case of weak m aser am pli cation in the absence of Faraday rotation. W e w ill start w th radiation that is $100 \%$ linearly polarized in the x -direction, as could be produced by a -com ponent. $T$ he Stokes param eters of the radiation are

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=I_{0} ; Q=I_{0} ; U=0 ; V=0 ; \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the polarization fractions ( $m_{L}$ linear, $m_{C}$ circular, and $m_{T}$ total) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{L}}=1 ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{C}}=0 ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{T}}=1: \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow suppose that this radiation is fed into a second, weak m aser spot shifted in velocity such that em ission is stim ulated in the RCP $m$ ode. The ampli cation factor is such that the ux density in the RCP m ode is $m$ ultiplied by a factor of 2 . Then

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
I_{r r} & ! & 2 I_{r r}=2 I_{0} \\
I_{l l} & ! & I_{l l}=I_{0} \\
I_{r l} & ! & p_{\overline{2}}^{2} I_{0}  \tag{B5}\\
I_{l r} & ! & p_{-}^{2} I_{0} ;
\end{array}
$$

where the factors of ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ are due to the fact that if the $u x$ density increasesby a factor of 2 , the electric eld am plitude increases by a factor of $\overline{2}$. Substituting these values into equation (B2) results in the follow ing:

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\frac{1}{2}\left(2 I_{0}+I_{0}\right)=\frac{3}{2} I_{0} \\
Q & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{p} I_{0}+\frac{p}{2} I_{0}\right)={ }^{p} \overline{2 I_{0}} \\
U & =\frac{i}{2}\left(\overline{2} I_{0} \quad P \overline{2} I_{0}\right)=0 \\
V & =\frac{1}{2}\left(2 I_{0} \quad I_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} I_{0}: \tag{B6}
\end{align*}
$$

C onverting equation (B6) to polarization fractions, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{L} & =\frac{P \overline{Q^{2}+U^{2}}}{I}=\frac{2^{p} \overline{2}}{3} 0: 943 \\
m_{C} & =\frac{V}{P^{I}} \frac{1}{3} \quad 0: 333  \tag{B7}\\
m_{T} & =\frac{Q^{2}+U^{2}+V^{2}}{I}=q \frac{m_{L}^{2}+m_{C}^{2}}{m^{2}}=1: 000:
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he net e ect is that the $m$ aser is still 100\% polarized, but the linear polarization fraction has dropped to less than unity and the circular polarization fraction is reasonably large. For am pli cation of a single circular $m$ ode by a factor of $n$, the linear polarization fraction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{L}=\frac{2^{p} \bar{n}}{1+n}: \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The circular and linearpolarization fractionsbecom e equalat n 6 , or approxim ately 1.8 e-fold am pli cation lengths for an unsaturated $m$ aser.
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Fig. 20.| Left: Enlargem ent of collinearm aser arrangem ent in $W 75 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{T}$ hem aser spots are tightly grouped along a line, as show $n$. $R$ ight: $P$ lot of radial velocity $V_{L S R}$ versus distance along $m$ a jor axis. $T$ he line ofbest $t$, representing a velocity gradient, is show $n$.


Fig. 21.| Top: O bserved spectra of 1665 MHzem ission from S269. RCP em ission is shown in bold, and LCP em ission is shown in norm alweight. The two weak RCP bum ps at the sam e velocities as the strong LCP features at 16.0 and $16.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{1}$ are due to the elliptical polarization of the LCP features. B ottom : $T$ he sam e spectra when corrected for a $4: 0 \mathrm{mG} \mathrm{m}$ agnetic eld. N ote that the velocity range is m ore than halved com pared to the observed spectra.
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