C ontact B inaries with A dditional C om ponents. I The Extant D ata

Theodor Pribulla

A stronom ical Institute of the Slovak Academ y of Sciences 059 60 Tatranska Lom nica, Slovak Republic

pribulla@ta3.sk

and

Slavek M. Rucinski

D avid D un lap O bærvatory, U niversity of Toronto P.O. Box 360, R ichm ond H ill, O ntario, C anada L4C 4Y 6

rucinski@astro.utoronto.ca

ABSTRACT

We have attempted to establish an observational evidence for presence of distant companions which may have acquired and/or absorbed the angularm on entum during evolution of multiple systems thus facilitating or enabling formation of contact binaries. In this preliminary investigation we use several techniques (some of them distance-independent) and mostly disregard detection biases of individual techniques in an attempt to establish a lower limit to the frequency of triple systems. While the whole sample of 151 contact binary stars brighter than $V_{max} = 10 \text{ mag.gives a } \text{ m lower limit of } 42\%$ 5%, the corresponding number for the much better observed N ortherm-sky sub-sample is 59\% 8\%. These estimates indicate that most contact binary stars exist in multiple systems.

Subject headings: stars: close binaries - stars: eclipsing binaries { stars: variable stars

1. IN TRODUCTION

Form ation of binary stars is a fascinating subject generating a very active research e ort. Separate conferences (Zinnecker & Mathieu 2001) are devoted to this subject while specialized review papers (Tholine 2002; Zinnecker 2002; Bate 2004) describe di culties in understanding of this complex process. Binaries with orbital periods of the order of a hundred of days are usually considered as close in this context. We have no idea how short-period binaries with periods much shorter than 3 to 5 days form. In fact, such binaries, particularly those with periods shorter than one day, should not exist: Indeed, even if some unknown process would form contact binaries at the T Tauri stage, relatively large sizes of component stars would imply the resulting orbital periods to be longer than about 3 { 5 days.

Form ation in triple (or multiple) systems may alleviate the close-binary form ation difculty by allocating most of the angular momentum in the most distant component of a triple/multiple system, leaving a low angular momentum remnant. Such a scenario would have in portant observational consequences because { by observing close binaries { we would preferentially select stars with distant companions. One particular mechanism involving the K ozai cycles (K ozai 1962) may produce close binaries by invoking a very strong tidal interaction during periastron passages when the inner, close (low angular momentum) orbit is perturbed by the third body into a very eccentric one. The close periastron interactions may then lead to a rapid angular momentum loss (K iseleva et al. 1998; Eggleton & K iseleva-Eggleton 2001).

A con mation of the triple body formation of very close binaries can only come through observations and careful statistical investigations. Unfortunately, proving or disapproving this hypothesis is dicult and { as for all statistical studies { is hindered by observational bias and selection e ects. In this paper, we lim it our scope to contact binaries, the most extrem e objects with the lowest angular momentum content among all M ain Sequence (M S) binary stars. Contact binaries (Rucinski 1993) are made of solar-type stars with spectral types ranging from early K, through G and F to middle-A; they have short orbital periods ranging between 0.22 day to about 0.7 day at which point the statistics becomes unreliable and properties of massive contact binaries less well de ned. Thus, in spite of the unquestioned existence of very massive contact binaries, it is not clear if a continuous sequence joins the solar-type contact binaries. In this paper, we lim it ourselves to binaries with periods shorter than 1 day which is the traditional period lim it for the solar-type (W UM a-type) contact binaries.

Rucinski & Kaluzny (1982) commented on a high incidence of visual binaries among contact binaries while discussing a visual binary consisting of two W UM a-type systems, BV D ra and BW D ra. Later on, Chambliss (1992) listed several cases of triple systems with contact binaries in his compilation and Henry & Mochnacki (1998) detected a few cases of triple systems. However, these were fragmentary, almost anecdotal observations and there clearly exists a need of quantifying the matter of the multiplicity of very close binaries.

This paper attempts to characterize all indications of triplicity and/or multiplicity by categorizing contact systems into those with \detected", \suspected" and \non-detected" companions, with an additional obvious category of \not observed" ones. The techniques actually used are described in Sections 3 { 5. N ot all techniques discussed by us are equally useful and not all imaginable techniques have been considered; there is still a bt of room for expansion. A lso, types of companions are not explicitly discussed in the paper as our goal was simply to summarize the extant information irrespectively of what accompanies a given contact binary. Thus, a huge subject of selection e ects and biases is only glanced over in this paper. Future studies, such as Paper II of this series on spectroscopic detection in spectra used for the DDO radial velocity program (D'Angelo et al. 2005), will more fully address speci c limitations of various detection techniques.

We describe our sample in Section 2. The main results of this paper are described in Sections 3 { 5 and summarized in Table 1 where we collect all partial results and attempt to combine \suspected" cases into detections. Results for individual cases are summarized in a condensed form in the column FLAGS by codes speci c for each technique. The codes are explained in Table 2 while individual binaries requiring comments are listed in Table 3. Section 6 summarizes the main results of this study while Section 7 gives a discussion of possible directions for the future work.

2. THE SAMPLE

M eaningful statistical results on stellar properties, including the matter of multiplicity, can only be properly studied utilizing volume limited samples. Unfortunately, a proper volume-limited sample of contact binaries does not exists. In fact, as we describe below, we are very far from having even a good magnitude-limited sample.

A susually for stellar statistics based on diversi ed data, strengths and biases of various techniques tend to depend on brightness. We decided to set a limit for our sample at the magnitude $V_{max} = 10$. This magnitude limit is a comprom ise between a su cient size of the sample and a reasonable completeness. At this point we feel con dent that the only complete sample of contact binaries with variability amplitudes > 0.05 m ag. is that of the H ipparcos C atalogue (Rucinski 2002b) which extends to $V_{max} = 7.5$. From now on, we will call it \the H ipparcos sample" or \the 7.5 m ag. sample". Beyond that limit, the H ipparcos catalog is incomplete.

The original 7.5 m ag. sam ple, as de ned in Rucinski (2002b), consists of 32 stars, in this 13 genuine contact binaries (EW), 14 ellipsoidal variables of sm all am plitude (EL) and 5 binaries with unequally deep m inim a (EB). Since sm all am plitude contact binaries are expected to dom inate in numbers (Rucinski 2001), it is reasonable to consider the EL and the EW systems together. It is not obvious if we should add to these the EB systems (Rucinski 2002b), but their number is relatively sm all. For the purpose of this paper, we decided to include in the 7.5 m ag. sam ple three triple systems (V 867 A ra, KR C om and HT V ir), where the contact binary is slightly, by less than 0.1 m ag., fainter than $V_{m ax} = 7.5$, but the total brightness beyond that lim it led previously to their elim ination from the sam ple. This is consistent with the \fuzzy" $V_{m ax} = 10$ lim it that we adopt in this paper, as described below.

A ssum ing a simple scaling law for the uniform, hom ogeneous stellar density, we can expect that the 10 m ag. sam ple should be ' 32 larger than the 7.5 m ag. sam ple. Thus, it should have about one thousand binaries. In fact, the 10 m ag. sam ple consists of 151 objects which directly shows that huge numbers of contact binaries still rem ain to be discovered in the interval 7.5 < $V_{m ax}$ < 10. A part of this discrepancy is probably the detection threshold for variability am plitudes which is about 0.05 m ag. for the H ipparcos sam ple, but is not uniform and possibly at a level of 0.1 { 0.2 m ag. for the 10 m ag. sam ple.

The number of 35 binaries in the H ipparcos sample is small for meaningful statistical inferences, but it can provide som e guidance on the triple-system incidence for the $V_{max} = 10$ sample. U tilizing techniques identical to those described in detail in this paper, we see 17 triple system s which corresponds to the lower lim it on the relative incidence of triple system s of 48 12%. As we will see in Sections 6 { 7, this is consistent with, but slightly lower than the results for the full $V_{max} = 10$ m ag. sam ple indicating this fraction to be 59% 8%. We note that a large fraction of the H ipparcos sam ple (about 1/3) are actually low photom etric am plitude binaries discovered by this satellite; these binaries have been studied only during the last 7 { 8 years and still have incom plete data.

We note that we encountered several di culties in observing the strict lim it of 10 m ag. In particular, our de nition of $V_{m ax}$ refers to the combined magnitude of all components in the system, irrespectively if this is just a binary or has a companion. Since we a priori do not know how many stars are in the system, such a \fuzzy" de nition explicitly depends on the number of components in the system. While we recognize this uncertainty, we note that a comparable uncertainty of a few 0.1 m ag. in the magnitude lim it is currently introduced by di erences between photom etric systems and a generally poor state of uniform, calibrated photom etric data for contact binaries. In the practical implem entation of the $V_{m ax} = 10 \lim it we have been forced to use several sources. We usually took <math>V_{m ax}$ from the GeneralC atalogue

of Variable stars (hereafter GCVS)¹. For stars without $V_{m ax}$ m agnitudes in the GCVS, we used 5th percentile of the H ipparcos brightness (H49 eld in the H ipparcos Catalog (ESA 1997)); these H_p m agnitudes were then transformed to Johnson photom etric systems by interpolating in the tables of H_p V_J (Tables 1.3.5. and 1.3.6 in Volum e 1 of the H ipparcos Catalogue). For a few stars not observed during the H ipparcos m ission and having only photographic or photo-visual maximum m agnitude in GCVS, we took approximate $V_{m ax}$ from original papers.

Finally, we want to note that we resisted very hard a tem ptation to use other sam ples in addition to the 10 m ag. sam ple. Some sources provided deeper sam ples. For exam ple, a sam ple based on the H ipparcos astrom etric data would consist of 177 system s and { for some binaries { would go well beyond the adopted m agnitude lim it. On the other hand, the H ipparcos sam ple is incom plete before the 10 m ag. lim it is reached and some bright system s are not included or have poor data. O ther techniques and m ethods that we used had di erent biases and lim itations so that we would have to analyze each sam ple separately. In the end, we decided to strictly observe the lim it of $V_{m ax} = 10$ which results in the nal sam ple of 151 binaries. W e list binaries fainter than 10 m ag. separately in the second half of Table 1, but we do not utilize them for the multiplicity frequency estim ates nor do we show them in frequency plots. They are included here partly for the record, with an expectation that the m agnitude lim it will soon be in proved, partly to show that di erent techniques and multiplicity indicators have di erent properties at faint brightness levels. W e note that Table 1 m ay be taken as a useful list for further work on candidates of multiplicity.

3. DIRECT IMAGING AND ASTROMETRY

3.1. The W DS Catalog and H ipparcos data (FLAG-1)

The most obvious of all detection techniques is the direct visual detection utilizing speckle interferom etry or high-resolution imaging (see e.g., Hershey (1975)). A large body of data for multiple stars has been collected by Tokovinin (1997, 2004); his comprehensive C atalogue of Physical Multiple Stars provides data on such quantities as the brightness, distance, position angle, astrom etric data and hierarchy in multiple systems. These data have been used in the present study together with the Hipparcos C atalogue (ESA 1997) data, augmented by the post-Hipparcos improved orbits of many wide system s by Soderhjelm (1999), with component separation and photom etric data revised by Fabricius & Makarov

¹We used the most recent electronic version 4.2 available at http://www.saim.su.su/groups/cluster/gcvs/

(2000).

A visual detection should involve con m ation of the physical link, which is usually relatively simple for contact binaries as { photom etrically { they occur within the width of the M ain Sequence so that a plausible combination of m agnitude and color di erences is usually su cient to establish a physical connection. The m ain problem here is the lack of standardized photom etric data for a large fraction of contact system s. Bright { hence nearby { contact binaries appear random ly on the sky, usually on an empty sky so that the presence of a close visual companion can be frequently taken as an indication of the triplicity, obviously requiring con m ation.

V isual detections and astrom etric data have been accumulated over a long time as a result of many investigations using di erent instruments and methods. The W ashington D ouble Star (W D S) C atalog (M ason et al. 2001b) appears to be the most complete and best updated compilation of these results; the major contributor to the W D S is the H ipparcos satellite mission (ESA 1997). One of great contributions of this satellite, in addition to the well-known astrometric results, was in the photometric discovery of many low amplitude, 0.05 < V < 0.2 mag., variables. Inclusion of these variables dramatically improved the available data on the statistics of close binaries (Rucinski 2002b) after appropriate allowance for binaries misclassi ed as pulsating variables, particularly after a major e ort to identify those unrecognized contact binaries by Duerbeck (1997).

Am ong the 137 systems listed in the H ipparcos Catalogue, 43 are listed in W D S to form visual binaries with a distant third body, although som e (e.g., AW UM a, see Section 6) are in propermotion pairs with very long, practically un-measurable orbital periods, yet at physical separations indicating a common origin. Multiplicity of a few systems (V 867 A ra, QW G em, CN H yi, V 1387 O ri, Y Sex, and TU UM i) was discovered by the H ipparcosm ission while multiplicity of CV C yg and EF D ra was discovered by the TYCHO project of that mission (see The Tycho D ouble Star Catalogue: Fabricius et al. (2002)).

In order to avoid a distance bias, instead of the angular sky separation () as a criterion of a physical link, we utilized distances to nd the projected separations in AU. For systems having H ipparcos parallaxes () with relative error less than 20%, the separations were determ ined as = . D istances to system s without reliable parallaxes were determ ined using the absolute m agnitude calibration of Rucinski & Duerbeck (1997) which is based on the orbital period and color index; for a few system s, the color index had to be estimated from the spectral type or even m ore crudely from the orbital period. For EM C ep and V 395 A nd, the (B V) index is too blue for the calibration to apply. For EM C ep a distance of d = 794 pc was adopted assuming its membership in NGC 7160 (K harchenko et al. 2005). The spectral type of B7{8 for V 395 A nd with the M ain Sequence components in plies a distance

of 450pc, but this is an upper limit as the components can be sdB stars (Rucinski et al. 2005).

The direct visual detections docum ented in the W D S are marked in Table 1 by the rst of the eight ags (FLAG-1), which can take the following designations: D for detected (separations less than 2,000 AU or 0.01 pc), P for possible cases (separations between 2,000 and 20,000 AU corresponding to 0.01 to 0.1 pc), and N for separations larger than 20,000 AU.

3.2. A daptive optics CFHT program (FLAG-2)

A program of direct detection of infrared companions using the adaptive optics system on the Canada France Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) was undertaken by one of the authors (SMR) on two nights in 1998. It consisted of one-color, one-epoch, high spatial resolution in aging to < 0.1 arcsec. The data have been analyzed in a cursory way because continuation of the program is expected so that the results should be considered as prelim inary.

The observations were obtained with the PUEO instrument and the KIR camera com bination (Rigaut et al. 1998) in the H and K infrared bands (1.65 and 2.2 m) on January 10/11 and July 23/24, 1998. Most observations were done in the K band and its narrow band version, the K_{CO} band, with a few binaries observed also in the H band. Fields of 36 arcsec were observed, centered on contact binaries visible from CFHT to approxi-36 m ately V < 10.5. The scale on the detector was 0.0348 arcsec/pixel. The m easured FW HM of corrected in ages was 0.143 arcsec in the K band, which is very close to the expected di raction limited perform ance of a 3.6 m eter telescope. W e used the PSF subtraction only for obvious, easily visible companions at separations below 1 arcsec; we did not apply this technique to search for new, faint com panions perhaps hiding in the di raction ring structure of the prim ary { this may be attempted in the future. In spite of the cursory treatment, we detected seven previously not identi ed com panions at separations sm aller than 5 arcsec to GZ And, AH Aur, CK Boo, SW Lac, V 508 Oph, U Peg and RZ Tau. In all those cases, judging by the values of magnitude di erences K and H, we suspect the companions to be late-type dwarfs. We estimate that our detection limit in the sense of the smallest separations is about 0.08 arcsec (for a system with identical components); this is based on the case of CK Boowhere a fainter companion was easily to detect at a separation of 0.12 arcæc.

Some of the observed elds contain close companions at moderate angular separations (5 25 arcsec) which may be physically associated with the central contact binaries because such angular separations for nearby stars still in ply physical distances between stars well below the typical average in the solar neighborhood. These cases must be supported by other evidence which we currently do not have. Results of the CFHT observations are listed in Table 4. We give the separation in arcsec, the position angle in degrees and the di erence in magnitudes relative to the primary (contact binary) component in the K or H bands. The nalassessment of the physical connection is based { sim ilarly as for the directly observable visual binaries (Section 3.1) { on the projected separation of the components. In Table 1, the results are coded by FLAG -2, sim ilarly as for objects discussed in the previous Section 3.1, except for the symbol \{" which signi es that the star was not observed.

3.3. Detection through astrom etric solutions (FLAG-3)

The most important astrom etric results and the reason for the H ipparcos mission were trigonom etric parallaxes of nearby stars. Parallax errors and quality estimates of astrom etric and orbital solutions are cataloged in the H ipparcos D ouble and M ultiple A nnex for all known or discovered visual pairs. We present here an argument that the parallax error data carry useful information on multiplicity.

The H ipparcos parallax error depends prim arily on the brightness and less so on the ecliptical latitude of a system, but it increases if an unseen component causes a transverse motion of the star on the sky. The astrometric solution can be also deteriorated by a variability-induced motion of the photocenter in a close visual or unresolved system with a variable component; such a motion can be particularly pronounced for large amplitude variables like M ira pulsating stars (Pourbaix (2003)), but m ay also a ect all other variables, including contact binaries. In what follows we analyze the parallax errors as indicators of multiplicity of contact binaries². For an assessment of the multiplicity, the most important H ipparcos catalog data are:

The astrom etric solution type (the Catalog eld code H 59); the rst character in the colum n H IP in Table 1^3 . It may take values of \C " for a linear motion of components, \X " for a stochastic solution, \G " for an acceleration term being necessary. W hile

 $^{^{2}}$ O ne of notable multiple system s without a parallax is GZ And. Together with a few similar stars close by in the sky it appears to form a genuine multiple-star trapezium con guration (W alker 1973).

 $^{^{3}}$ Two other H ipparcos H 59 ags do not occur within our sample: \O " astrom etric orbital solution and \V " variability induced motion; also a \D " type of visual solution has never occurred.

X and G apply for apparently single stars⁴ (6 cases in our sample), C refers to known visual system s.

The quality of the double or multiple star solution (the eld code H 61). This is indicated by the second symbol in the colum n H IP in Table 1. The ag S indicates that a possible, although unconvincing, non-single solution was found for an apparently single star; 17 such system s appear in our sam ple. For known visual binaries, the solutions are coded by A to D, in progression from best to worst. A very poor quality of the solution (i.e., the ags C or D) indicates a possible additional perturbation in the visual system.

The Hipparcos parallax error (eld H16); column in Table 1. 35 systems have parallax errors more than 3{ larger than respective median values at given brightness levels.

An inspection of the catalog shows that three contact systems, V 353 Peg, ER Ori, and V 2388 0 ph, required an acceleration term in the astrometric solution, hence they are m ost probably astrom etric double stars with orbital periods longer than about 10 years (see Section 2.3.3 of the H ipparcos C ataloque). The acceleration term s in astrom etric solution of ER Oriare relatively large: $q = 1926 \ 6.57 \ \text{mas yr}^2$ and $q = 17.34 \ 4.71 \ \text{mas yr}^2$ (the unit m as" to be used hereinafter is equal 0.001 of arcsec, for a m illi-arcsec). Several systems, which are very probably unresolved visual binaries, show \stochastic", i.e., an excessive random scatter in deviations from their astrometric solutions (CL Cet, BE Scl, FIBoo, AK Her, V401 Cyq, and CW Sqe⁵). The so-called \cosm ic error" given in the Hipparcos catalog describes the scatter in the astrometric solution. It is of considerable astrophysical interest as it gives the most probable size of the astrom etric orbit and points at relatively tight orbits with periods shorter than one year. The cosm ic error is the largest for V401 Cyg with = 26.82 2:24 m as and BE Sclwhere = 13:36 1:35 m as. The system s are prime candidates for speckle interferom etric observations. In fact, M ason et al. (1999, 2001a) perform ed speckle interferom etry of H ipparcos doubles and H ipparcos problem stars (i.e. stars having V", O", G", X" in H 59 or S" in H 61) and detected 15 new visual doubles with a mean separation about 0.2 arcsec. Among these stars was V 353 Peg (\G "

⁴By a \single" star in this context, we mean a contact binary without additional components directly resolved on the sky. V isual binaries with one component being a contact binary are therefore { strictly speaking { triple system s.

⁵N ote that V 401 C yg, CW Sge and BE Sclas well as UX Eri and V 1363 O ri (the next paragraph) are slightly fainter than 10 m ag and thus appear in the second half of Table 1

ag in H 59) but no com panion of this star at an angular separation larger than 0.035 arcsec was detected (M ason et al. 2001a).

The parallax error vs. H ipparcos magnitude H_P is plotted in Figure 1. The gure clearly shows that known visual binaries (marked by lled circles) show large parallax errors, a condition which can be used as a criterion indicating multiplicity. The large parallax errors correspond also to stochastic solutions or to cases where necessity of acceleration term occurred (symbols in the gure framed by a square). Of note is the case of ER O riwith a form ally negative parallax = 6:68 m as. In addition to system s already indicated in the H ipparcos catalogue, large parallax errors of DY C et, UX E ri and V1363 O ri strongly suggest multiplicity.

A summary of all multiplicity indications derived from the astrom etric parallax data appears in Table 1 under FLAG -3. D etections are marked by \D " for \X " or \G " type solutions, while the suspected cases \S" correspond to those already suspected in the H ipparcos catalog (\S" in H 61), system s with \C " type quality of orbital solutions⁶ and system s with parallax errors more than 3{ from the median error (where is the rm s uncertainty in the median error value). Three system s not recognized before as the \S" cases, but having parallax errors larger than 3{ relative to the error median, have been added here: UX Eri, V1363 Eri and DY Cet. \N" signi es no detection. If a system was not included in the H ipparcos m issions, then FLAG -3 is \{".

In terms of its precision, the H ipparcos sam ple is biased mainly by two factors, the apparent brightness and the distance, as astrom etric e ects are smaller at larger distances. As a partial result on the true frequency of triple systems, and to visualize potential biases, we attempted to estimate the fraction of visual and astrom etric triples solely from the astrom etric data for the nearest, best observed contact binaries. For this, we ranked the binaries according to the parallax, with the system of rank \1" being the closest contact binaries according to the parallax, with the system of rank \1" being the closest contact binaries according to the parallax, with the system of rank \1" being the closest contact binaries according to the parallax, with the system of rank \1" being the closest contact binary 44 Boo. This sam ple contains 133 contact binaries brighter than $V_{max} = 10$ that appear in the H ipparcos catalogue and have positive astrom etric parallaxes. In F igure 2 the left vertical axis gives the fraction of systems below and including a given rank, listed as visual binaries in W D S and suspected in H ipparcos (ags \X ", \G " and \S"). The right vertical axis gives the total number of such systems for the given rank. Since one of the visual components is a contact binary, these systems are at least triple. For the 50 closest contact systems, the fraction of system s being at least triple shows a plateau and appears to be 40% although the Poissonian noise at that point is about 9%. Such an estimate of the triple-system frequency, based only on the astrom etric data, is incomplete as we have a

⁶This code may indicate an additional disturbance in the orbital solution and a higher multiplicity.

direct evidence that the true frequency is higher: Additional 8 multiple systems with contact binaries were detected spectroscopically (Section 4) without any astrom etric indications of multiplicity (not even the S" ag) in the H ipparcos astrom etric data while two more very close systems (CK Boo and U Peg) were discovered during the CFHT adaptive-optics observations (Section 3.2).

3.4. Proper-motion errors (FLAG-4)

The H ipparcosm ission provided an excellent new epoch datum for properm otion studies of nearby stars. P roperm otion data in prove over time as the time-base grows. Use of the old data led to the main improvement in the propermotion results from the TYCHO-2 project (H g et al. 2000) when compared with the original H ipparcos results based on 3 years of the satellite operation. We can utilize this material the same way as described above for the parallax errors by analyzing individual errors of the propermotion determinations.

W e note that the proper m otion errors depend on m any factors such as (i) the tim e interval covered by observations, (ii) the num ber and quality of astrom etric data, and (iii) the brightness of the system . Figure 3 presents evidence that known visual systems am ong contact binaries have larger properm otion errors, so that the situation is indeed analogous to that with the parallax errors. The di erence is in the tim e scale of the external orbit as this indicator is sensitive to companions orbiting on large orbits, with the TYCHO-2 data sensitive to periods spanning perhaps as long as a fraction of a century; this should be contrasted with the H ipparcos parallax errors which were estimated from internal uncertainties of the m ission lasting 3 years.

In Figure 3, note the known members of visual binaries such as EE Cet or V 752 M on. O ther system s, like V 376 A nd or V 2377 O ph m ay be members of triple system s with relatively long-period orbits. For the statistics in Table 1, we take as suspicious cases all system s with propermotion errors 3{ above the TYCHO-2 error median, as before assuming to be the rm s uncertainty of the median. We included FU D ra, V 829 Her and V 839 O ph which are close to this limit. We note that in the error estimation, one must be careful with system s having only 3 astrometric observations, as one erroneous observation would result in a large error of the derived proper motion.

4. SPECTROSCOPY (FLAG-5)

4.1. Line pro les and broadening functions

Spectroscopy o ers one of the most direct m ethods of discovery of com panions to contact binaries. W hen a companion is present, extraction techniques utilizing deconvolution of spectra, such as the broadening functions (BF, see Rucinski (2002a)) or their poor-quality substitute, the Cross-Correlation Function (CCF), often show one sharp peak superim posed on a background of a broad and rapidly changing projection of the contact binary brightness onto the radial-velocity space.

The radial velocity program at the D avid D unlap O bservatory (currently at Paper X and 90-th orbit, Rucinski et al. (2005)) is speci cally designed to provide radial velocity data for close binary system s with periods shorter than one day and brighter than about 10 m agnitude. It was noticed alm ost from the beginning of the program in 1999 that our sam ple contained m any triple system s with third components frequently dom inating in light. This turned out not an accidental occurrence, but an interesting, ifm ild, bias in our sam ple: O ur candidates have been predom inantly from am ong recent photom etric discoveries { frequently by the H ipparcos project { with sm all photom etric am plitudes. W hile for som e of these cases the low am plitudes happened to be due to a low orbital inclination, form any binaries, when a companion is present, the reason is the \dilution" of the photom etric signal in the com bined light. These low photom etric am plitude binaries were not known until the system atic (to V ' 7.5) H ipparcos survey took place. Spectroscopically, these stars had been frequently overboked as their spectra { even when the com panion is relatively fainter { typically show only one sharp-line component, som etim es only with som e ne and hard to detect continuum peculiarities (Rucinski 2002a).

At this time, out of 90 stars with new, reliable elements determined during the DDO spectroscopic binary program, 76 are contact binaries and/or probably contact binaries. Am ong those, 12 are members of visual binaries, som e already identied by other techniques. We discovered spectroscopic companions in V 899 Her and VZ Lib (Lu et al. 2001), V 401 Cyg (Rucinski et al. 2002), as well as VW LM i, TV UM i and AG Vir (to be published). But not all of our detections were new: Because of the moderately poor seeing at the DDO site with a median FW HM of about 1.8 arcsec, som e already known astrom etric companions were not visible directly during the observations and appeared rst time in the spectroscopically derived broadening functions. This way, we re-discovered several multiple systems (e.g., EF D ra, V 410 A ur). Examples of the broadening functions for the spectroscopically triple system s can be found in the series of DDO publications, particularly in Paper IV (Lu et al. 2001), Paper VI (Rucinski et al. 2002) and Paper VIII Rucinski et al. (2003). Here we show

in Figure 4 the broadening functions for an even m ore complex quadruple system VW LM i whose complicated nature has been discovered during the DDO program (to be published).

The detection limit for spectroscopic companions, given by the ratio of the third component light to the total light of the eclipsing pair $= \frac{1}{2} = (\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2})$, at our spectral window at 5184 A, is about 0.03 { 0.04. This estimate is based on the cases of V 401 C yg (= 0.03) and HX UM a (= 0.05) where a weak light contribution was easily detectable. This corresponds to m ' 3.8 in the most favorable case; norm ally, the detection limit depends on the shape of the combined BF as the sharp peak m ay sometimes hide within the two lobes of the binary. An opposite extreme case of a bright companion entirely masking any traces of the contact binary in the broadening function is V 752 M on (new, unpublished D D O observations), where at m ' 0.5, the companion is a rapidly rotating A -type star with V sini 220 km s ¹. Generally, it is di cult to m ap the dom ain of detection of third components as it depends not only on , but also on the (K₁ + K₂) sin i of the binary, its mass ratio, V sin i of the third component, etc.

4.2. Com posite spectra

W hile our individual broadening functions which are used for radial velocity measurements have a limitation for the smallest detectable at a given S/N, averaging of the same spectra may show fainter companions to ' 0.01 or even below. W hile the binary signatures are smeared out through averaging, any constant component gains in de nition. This is exactly the approach taken in Paper II (D'Angelo et al. 2005) where spectra previously utilized in the DDO radial velocity have been used to detect weak T iO features of M -type dwarfs in e.g., SW Lac, CK Boo and BB Peg. A particularly good example is SW Lac which was not seen in individual BF's (Rucinski et al. 2005), but could be convincingly detected in the average spectrum. The faint companions are also detectable through the presence of the molecular bands of T iD, VO, C aH. In the visual and near infrared regions, the T iD bands are the dom inant source of molecular absorption in stars later than M 3 (see K irkpatrick et al. (1991)). Particularly prom inent T iD features occur around 5500 A and around 7600A.

We refer to Paper II (D'Angeb et al. 2005) for details of the spectrum averaging technique which is discussed there with a particular stress on its biases and limitations. The spectral region utilized at DDO for the radial velocity program, of about 200 { 300 A (depending on the CCD detector) centered at 5184 A, is a very convenient one for radial velocity measurem ents because the magnesium triplet \b" feature is strong over a wide range of spectral types from middle A to early K types, but is not optim al for detection of faint, low temperature companions. For that reason, we plan to conduct a search based on good quality, low-dispersion, red spectra of contact binaries with a speci c goal of detection of m olecular features characteristic for late-type com panions.

We note that the disentangling technique (Hadrava 2004)) has a potential of determ in nation of the individual spectra from multi-component spectra undergoing radial-velocity shifts. The method produces orbital parameters of the spectroscopic binary as well as inform ation on any additional spectrum in the system; thus telluric lines as well as lines of a third component are naturally determined.

FLAG-5 in Table 1 gives information on triplicity as determined from spectroscopy, both in the radial velocity program and in the averaged spectral data. The codes used are: D " used for detection, S" for suspicion, N " for not detected and $\{"$ not yet observed or not analyzed.

4.3. System ic velocity changes

A scatter or trends in the center-ofm ass velocity, V_0 , m ay indicate presence of com panions. Because radial velocities usually come from dierent sources with dierent system atic errors and because contact binaries show large broadening of spectral lines, this is a dieultto-apply and generally poor indicator of multiplicity. As we will see below, the required accuracy for V_0 (i.e. precision combined with system atic uncertainties) for the well known close visual multiple systems of 44 Boo and VW Cep is at a level of 1 km s¹, which is very dieult to obtain for contact binaries. To complicate things, very few contact binaries have been observed for radial velocities more than at one epoch so that a comparison of published system is velocities can be done for only a few objects:

AB And has three sets of spectroscopic elements (Struve et al. 1950; Hrivnak 1988; Pych et al. 2004). While the second and the third source give similar V_0 , the rst gives a velocity shifted by 16 km s¹.

44 Boo has several RV studies. The results of early studies are discussed by Hill et al. (1989), with the most reliable data subsequently published by Lu et al. (2001). System is velocity changes were observed to range between + 3:9 and 32 km s¹, which is much more than the expected full amplitude for the well studied visual system of only 0.7 km s¹. We suspect that the scatter in V_0 is purely instrumental in this case.

VW C ep was observed 5 times; see P ribulla et al. (2000) for the discussion and references. The system ic velocity shows a much larger spread (35 to +10 km s¹) than

can be expected from the known third body, expected to cause a full amplitude of $5.8 \text{ km} \text{ s}^1$. Again, the most likely reason for the scatter is instrum ental.

Spectral lines of a third component were detected in SW Lac by Henry & Mochnacki (1998). Three available system ic velocities (Struve 1949; Zhai & Lu 1989; Rucinski et al. 2005) range between 15 and 10 km s¹, which can be considered as a case of an exceptionally good agreem ent and thus constancy of the mean velocity. This is som ewhat paradoxical as we know that the system contains at least one additional component.

V 502 O ph has four studies (Struve & Gratton 1948; Struve & Zebergs 1959; King & Hilditch 1984; Pych et al. 2004). Struve & Zebergs (1959) noted a 13 km s¹ shift in radial velocities between the two of their runs. Lines of a third component were detected by Henry & Mochnacki (1998).

There exist seven published radial velocity datasets for W UM a (Rucinski et al. 1993). System is velocities show large variability in the range from $43 \text{ to } 0 \text{ km s}^1$. Part of the scatter can be instrum ental, but presence of a third body in the system is possible.

Possible multiplicity of AW UM a has been discussed in Pribulla et al. (1999b). The observed system ic velocity changes would require a relatively massive body which was not detected in BF's of the system (Rucinski 1992). The system is a prime candidate for a new spectroscopic study.

On the basis of the observed large system ic velocity changes, two system s, W UM a and AW UM a, are coded as S" (suspected) by the FLAG -5 in Table 1.

5. IND IRECT MULTIPLICITY IND ICATORS

5.1. The light-time e ect \LITE " (FLAG-6)

A coelerated or delayed times of eclipses in close binaries are normally interpreted as a result of apparent period changes. These changes may result from the binary revolution on a wide orbit around a center of mass with a companion; this is then frequently called the \LITE" for the Light-T im e E ect (Borkovits & Hegedus 1996). Because intrinsic period changes in close binaries are quite common due to their strong physical interaction, the presence of the LITE is usually not conclusive, but can prompt other observations. Such was the case of VW Cep where periodic variation of the eclipse times was interpreted by the LITE

very early by Payne-G aposhkin (1941). In 1974, the third component had been indicated by the transverse motion of the contact pair and then was directly observed (Hershey 1975).

The period of an eclipsing binary is the most precisely determ ined orbital element due to accumulation of period deviations over many orbits. Traditionally, timing of eclipses has been a separate activity concentrated on the light minim a only (K reiner et al. 2001), but new techniques utilize whole light curves (G enet & Smith 2004) while new light-curve tting codes even include the LITE to enable the analysis of short-period triple system s (van H amme 2005).

The LITE technique has several advantages over the other approaches because (i) it is distance independent, (ii) light m in in a can be observed with sm all telescopes, (iii) the time delay/advance e ect, in contrast to its spectroscopic-amplitude counterpart (the variation in V_0), increases with the period of the third body, and (iv) detection is independent of the relative brightness of the third component. The technique has also pitfalls: (i) The behavior of (O {C) residuals (observed m inus calculated time of eclipse) very often indicates periods being similar to about 2/3 of the used time interval; this may be a signal of a failure in the main LITE assumptions leading to over-interpretation of the data; (ii) Detection of shortperiod orbits m ay be negatively in uenced by the enhanced surface activity (Pribulla et al. 2005) and migrating spots can sometimes cause wavelike behavior of the (O {C) diagrams (see e.g., Kalimeris et al. (2002)); fortunately, the spot-induced shifts of the eclipse m inima usually only increase the scatter of observed m inim a times (Pribula et al. 2001); (iii) A nother possible interpretation of the cyclic period changes is a periodic transfer of the orbital angular momentum to magnetic momentum in active systems (Applegate 1992); while this may be the case, the actual con mation of this mechanism still remains to be done and is still controversial (Pribulla et al. 2001; A fsar et al. 2004).

The form use for the theoretical LITE computation can be found in Irw in (1959). An observed time of the minimum light can be predicted as follows:

JD (M in I) = JD₀ + PE + QE² +
$$\frac{a_{12} \sin i}{c} \frac{1 e^2}{1 + e^{\cos i}} \sin (+ !) + e^{\sin !}$$
; (1)

where c is speed of light, $JD_0 + PE + QE^2$ is the quadratic ephem eris of eclipsing pair (a secular change of the period m easured by Q is the most likely type of change for the binary itself), a_{12} , i, e, ! are the orbital parameters and is the true anom aly of the binary on the orbit around the common center of gravity with the third body. Since the LITE operates in the radial direction through a mechanism similar to the D opplere ect, the LITE parameters are similar to spectroscopic elements. The problem of cyclic variations in the period is one of a simple model tting: G iven pairs JD (M inI) and E, one must optimize parameters $P_3; a_{12} \sin i; !; e; T_0$ and ephemeris of the eclipsing pair JD $_0; P; Q$.

For the present analysis, the contact binaries were selected from the updated version of the C atalogue of C ontact B inary Stars (hereafter CCBS, P ribulla et al. (2003)) currently containing 391 systems. Among these, 129 have more than 15 CCD or permining in the C racow database (the M ay 2004 version, K reiner et al. (2001)). Because standard errors are available for only a small part of the minim a times and cannot be trusted, we applied an arbitrary weighting scheme with the weights assigned as w = 10 to pe, w = 5 to CCD, w = 3 to visual and photographic and w = 1 to \patrol" and single-epoch plate minima. The weight w 3 minima were used only in cases when no other minima were available. Only 18 out of 129 systems provided stable LITE solutions. In our sample of contact binaries brighter than $V_{max} = 10$ there are 57 systems with a su cient number of minima; among them 11 have feasible LITE solutions.

An analysis of all available minim a and new LITE solutions are presented here for TY Boo, TZ Boo, CK Boo, GW Cep, UX Eri, V566 Oph and BB Peg. The resulting parameters are given in Table 5 while Figure 5 illustrates two rather typical cases of TZ Boo and BB Peg. For SW Lac and CK Boo, the orbital period P_3 is very uncertain and its inclusion as an unknown in fact destabilized the solutions. In case of UX Eri, inclusion of the orbital eccentricity destabilizes the solution.

In addition to the approximate orbital elements, Table 5 gives minimum mass of the third body determined from the mass function and total masses of the eclipsing pair. For the latter, we used the CCBS data, in available cases modiled by values determined in the DDO program of spectroscopic orbit determinations (see Rucinski et al. (2005)). We also give the expected angular separation of the third (ormultiple components) in arcsec for cases of available trigonom etric parallaxes, evaluated as $= a_{12} \sin i_3 (m_{12} + m_3) = m_3$.

Inspection of Table 5 shows that minimum masses for some systems (AH Vir, ER Ori, CK Boo and the outer body in SW Lac) appear to be unrealistically high which indicates that cyclic variations may have been caused by other elects, perhaps intrinsic to the binary. Predicted mean separations for several systems (e.g., AB And, CK Boo, V 566 Oph) are accessible to speckle or direct detection. In fact, CK Boo was independently detected as a visual double during CFHT observations (Section 3.2) while an UV excess may indicate existence of a white dwarf in the system (Krzesinski et al. 1991).

SW Lac requires a special attention as this may be a quadruple system. The rst consistent LITE solution (Pribulla et al. 1999a) was not stable and could not fully explain the behavior of older m in in a. Multiplicity of the system was clearly seen in the spectra of Henry & Mochnacki (1998). We have found it to be well separated in the CFHT K and H -band A daptive O ptics observations (Section 3.2) with the third component too far on the sky to cause the observed LITE.

Detection of the LITE obviously depends on the number of available m inim a, although other factors, such as their tem poral distribution, are also important. Thus, system s can be ranked according to this number which rejects our chances to detect a cyclic period change. In Fig. 6 the fraction of LITE-detected multiple systems is plotted with respect to the rank of system, sorted according to the number of the available m inim a times. It is obvious that detection of multiple components among the studied systems is strongly dependent on the availability of data. For the inst best observed 20 systems, the multiplicity rate appears to be as high as about 60% 17%. It should be noted that most of those systems are the N orthern hem isphere ones as too few eclipses have been observed for Southern contact binaries to permit LITE studies. We will return to the point of the disparity between the hem ispheres in the conclusions of the paper (Section 7).

The chance of detecting a third body by the LITE is restricted to periods from a few years (where the (O {C) am plitudes are sm all) to several tens of years by the available span of the data; indeed, most systems in Table 5 have periods about 30 years. If the third component is the dom inant source of light, it can reduce the photom etric am plitude of the eclipsing pair (e.g., the case of TU UM i, Pych & Rucinski (2004)) making determ ination of the eclipse moments very imprecise or even entirely impossible. In view of this observational bias, the 60% incidence of triple systems may be considered as possibly a low limit to an even higher value.

In Table 1 the resulting LITE status (FLAG-6) is coded as follows: D for a stable solution, S for not fully stable solution or/and less than 1.5 cycles of P₃ covered, N for no detection, and $\{$ for too few m inim a or less than 10 years of CCD or pe observations. In our view, the LITE is almost certainly present in AB And, VW Cep, AK Her, SW Lac, XY Leo, and AH Vir.

5.2. The period {color relation and the color index peculiarity (FLAG-7)

The period { color relation for contact binary stars was discovered observationally by Eggen (1961, 1967) and was utilized later for various theoretical investigations of these systems. The relation is a consequence of contact binaries being M ain Sequence objects, with all implied correlations between the mass, elective temperature and radius, hence the size and thus the period. Observationally, the relation is a very useful tool in recognizing contact binaries in deep variability surveys and, together with the lum inosity calibration $M_V = M_V (\log P; B_V)$ (Rucinski 1994, 1998, 2002c), it forms a powerful discriminator for identifying contact binaries among short-period variable stars. The color index B_V can be replaced by V_I or any other index; an unpublished V_K calibration also exists

(Rucinski, in preparation).

A spointed before (Rucinski 1997, 1998, 2002b) the period { color relation must have a short-period, blue envelope (SPBE). Its bcus corresponds to the Zero Age M ain Sequence of least evolved stars, when it is applied to stars in contact and expressed in term s of the period and a color index. Evolution and expansion of components can lead to lengthening of the period and to reddening of the color index; reddening m ay be also caused by the interstellar extinction. Thus, one does not expect contact binaries to appear blue-ward of the SPBE. Yet, som e close binaries do show up there.

Rucinski (1998) suggested a simple approximation to the SPBE, (B V) = 0:04 P^{2:25}, and an explanation for the blue outliers as non-contact stars, possibly A lgols or Lyrbinaries with a blue component dominating in the combined color index; a lowered metallicity may also explain some of the blue deviations (Rucinski 2002c). However, some of the blue outliers may be multiple systems with the color index peculiarity caused by a blue third star.

The period-color relation for 151 contact binaries brighter than $V_{m ax} = 10$ with the B V data from TYCHO-2 is shown in Figure 7. One can immediately see that several systems are substantially bluer than the SPBE. Some of them are indeed known triple systems (e.g., V 867 A ra, K P Peg). The most deviating systems, and the strongest cases to suspect presence of an early-type component, are V 445 C ep and V 758 C en.

We are very much aware of a possibility of over-interpreting the blue deviations in Fig.7 so we do not claim any detections here and point only some systems as suspected cases of multiplicity. This is marked by the ag S in Table 1 for cases when the color index deviates by more than 1{ from the SPBE line. Systems not included in the TYCHO-2 are given the $\{$ " ag. N " signiles not detection.

5.3. X-ray em ission (FLAG-8)

Contact binary stars consist of solar-type stars spun into very rapid rotation by the tidal forces. As a consequence, they are very active and show elevated chrom ospheric and coronal activity. An extensive body of data exists on their X-ray emission (Stepien et al. 2001) and, in particular, on the correlation of the X-ray coronal emission with the two most relevant parameters, the elective tem perature, T_{eff} , and the orbital period, P; the form er controls the depth of the convective zone while the latter controls the am ount of vorticity available for generation of magnetic elds. A convenient distance independent quantity is the ratio of the apparent X-ray and bolom etric uxes, $f_X = f_{bol}$. It expresses the X-ray $\langle e - F_{bol} \rangle$ of how much of the total available energy is channeled into the X-rays. f_{bol}

can be estimated from the visual magnitude of the star utilizing the bolom etric correction BC (T_{eff}). Unfortunately, many fainter binaries still do not have any standardized (say UBV) photom etric data to estimate even an approximate value of T_{eff} . Therefore, to use a hom ogeneous and simple treatment, we used the shifted SPBE relation (by subtracting 0.2 mag) to estimate an approximate value of the B V color index from the orbital period (see Figure 7); because the bolom etric correction changes very little between A0 and G5 spectral types, and we are looking for an order-offm agnitude e ects in $f_X = f_{bol}$, the resulting approximation is adequate. The X-ray uxes were estimated using the procedure described in Stepien et al. (2001) from the observed RASS X-ray count rate and the hardness ratio (between the bands 0.1 { 0.4 keV and 0.5 { 2.0 keV}. The measured X-ray uxes were corrected for the background count rate. In the computation of bolom etric uxes we took 2.5 10^5 erg cm² s¹ as a ux for M _{bol} = 0. The bolom etric corrections were interpolated in the table given by Popper (1980).

We utilized the RASS Catalog (Voges et al. 1999, 2000) to nd f_X in the band 0.1 { 2.4 keV for all contact binaries of our sample. A lthough the catalogue contains its own cross-identi cations, after realizing m any om issions, we cross-correlated the RASS catalogue data with the IRSC2 coordinates taken from SIM BAD for all CCBS systems. The angular separation of 36 arcsec was taken as a limit for detection. Six additional distant sources identi ed in SIM BAD have been also included. A lthough not all detections are secure due to the relatively low pointing precision (typically 10 { 20 arcsec), m ost identi cations are unam biguous as m ost of the targets do not have any other objects within 0.5 degree. Som e binaries of our sample were not detected providing an useful upper limit on $f_X = f_{bol}$, derived from the assumed detection threshold of 0.01 counts/sec. To better de ne the lower envelope of the upper limits on $f_X = f_{bol}$, we added also system s fainter than $V_{max} = 10m$ ag.from CCBS. The results are shown in Figure 8.

Following the previous investigations (C nuddace & D upree 1984; Stepien et al. 2001), we expected the ratio $f_x = f_{bol}$ to show a weak dependence on the binary orbital period close to a saturation limit at $f_x = f_{bol}'$ 10³. However, the scatter in Figure 8 is large with some deviations reaching factors of 10 or 100 times from the average. We argue that companions to contact binaries m ay be the cause of these large deviations and that the deviations m ay go both ways: (i) When an early-type contact binary has an M-dwarf companion or { particularly { a binary M-dwarf companion (as in the case of XY Leo) then $f_x = f_{bol}$ can be strongly elevated, but (ii) when the contact binary is of solar or later spectral type, its m agnetically inactive early-type companion m ay reduce the value of $f_x = f_{bol}$ (the cases of 44 Boo or V 752 M on). Indeed, this is exactly what we do see in Figure 8 for the known triple system s: (i) In the left lower corner, a few system with the relatively low ux ratio are in m a prity triple system s where the X-ray ux is diluted by the dom inant early-type, single

star (e.g., V 345 G em); (ii) M a jority of short-period system s with high relative X -ray uxes are triple system s; in some cases a late-type, binary, BY D ra-type com panion provides m ost of the X -ray ux (e.g., XY Leo), and (iii) Several early-type, long-period contact system s, expected to be practically inactive, show enhanced X -ray ux (V 335 Peg, D O C ha or R S C ol) which m ay indicate that they host a late-type, active com panion.

The $f_X = f_{bol}$ ratio should be used as an indicator of multiplicity with a considerable caution, particularly in view of the large number of upper limits to the X-ray ux values. However, it may be highly indicative in individual cases already signaled by other techniques. In Figure 8 we marked a line delineating the low X-ray luminosity envelope (LXLE) of the observed $f_X = f_{bol}$ ratios. The line was selected rather arbitrarily to encompass most of the system s. System s with $f_X = f_{bol}$ hundred times above the LXLE are marked in Table 1 by L^{*} , for a Late-type companion". Similarly late-type contact system s with an abnorm ally low emission, those below the LXLE, are marked by E^{*} , for an Early-type companion". For periods longer than 0.5 days, the LXLE is not de ned because such contact binaries of spectral types early-F or earlier are not expected to show any detectable X-ray radiation.

5.4. Lunar occultations

The high speed photom etry of lunar occultations can lead to detection of multiple components and the technique is widely used (Richichi et al. 2002; Kazantseva & O sipov 2002). An angular detection limit for bright binaries, given by the Moon-limb diraction angular scale is about 2 m as (Warner 1988). For faint stars, this limit is modified by the diameter of the telescope through the photon noise in very short integrations utilized in the high-speed photom etry. Due to the apsidal motion of its orbit, the Moon can occult about 9% of the sky. From among 391 contact binary stars in the electronic version of CCBS (Pribulla et al. 2003), 26 lie within the path of the Moon (b = 59^0 around the ecliptic).

Am ong systems in Table 1, the best candidates for occultations are bright systems AQ Psc (V = 8:68, b = 0:867), V 4408 Sgr (V = 8:29, b = +2:907), V 781 Tau (V = 8:90, b = +3:541). Som ew hat fainter, but interesting systems are: CX V ir, V 1123 Tau, AP Leo, AM Leo, UZ Leo, XY Leo, RZ Tau, TX Cnc, VZ Lib, AH Aur and CT Tau. The binaries which can be studied using the M oon occultation technique are marked by \M " in the colum n \M oon" in Table 1). So far only the quadruple system XY Leo was observed (Evans et al. 1986), but with a negative result.

5.5. The third light in light curve solutions

The light curve analysis som etim es indicates a need of a \third light" as an additive term in the overall brightness budget. A third component to a binary system can be such third light, but light curves are described by so m any parameters, that this is frequently an unreliable indicator of multiplicity. Certainly, for light curves of partially eclipsing spherical stars in only one band, the third light is just an \easy escape" to obtain a solution, but for contact binaries its physical reality becom es even m ore dubious because a m ore com plex geom etry.

Light-curve synthesis solutions indicating a need of a third light usually show a tight correlation of the third light with the inclination angle and the mass ratio. Even for best constrained solutions of contact binaries show ing total eclipses, where inner eclipse contacts can be wellde ned and them ass ratio can be determ ined solely from light curves (M ochandki & D oughty 1972), a correlation between mass ratio and third light remains. An example is the case of EF D ra, where the light curve indicated $q_{\text{hot}} = 0.10$ (R obb & Scarfe 1989), while spectroscopic mass ratio is $q_{\text{spec}} = 0.16$ (Lu & Rucinski 1999). U sually without spectroscopic determ ination of the mass ratio it is hardly possible to detect third light from light curves with the usual photom etric precision of 0.01 m ag. The situation im proves when the third com ponent has a markedly di erent spectral type than the binary and when photom etry is available in several bandpasses; then { instead of uncorrelated values of L_3 in each band { the proper approach is is to use the radius and tem perature of the third star. At this time, we feel that we have no clear case of a third-light in any of contact binaries of our sample.

5.6. Precession of the orbital plane

A close and massive third body orbiting a binary system can cause precession of the orbital plane and an apsidal motion of the close pair. The largest dynamical e ects are expected for contact binaries which contain least angular momentum among all binaries. P recession of the orbital plane in an eclipsing binary will result in changes of its orbital inclination and thus changes of the photom etric am plitude of the eclipses. The practical use of the method consists of a comparison of high-quality light curves obtained over long time intervals in search of am plitude variations.

The precession period depends on the period ratio $P_3^2 = P_{12}$ and (weakly) on the ratio of the outer orbit angular momentum to the total invariable angular momentum and on the ratio of the total triple system mass and to that of the third body mass. The nodal period

is, to the rst order (see Eq. (27) in Soderhjelm (1975)), given by:

$$P_{node} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{m_{123}}{m_3} \frac{P_3^2}{P_{12}} (1 - e_3^2)^{3=2} \frac{L_3}{L} \sec j;$$
(2)

where m_{123} is the total mass, L is the total invariable angular momentum of the triple system, L_3 is the angular momentum of the outer orbit and j is the relative inclination of the orbits.

System atic changes in the eclipse depth indicating orbital precession have been observed in several detached binaries (M ayer et al. 2004). However, none of contact binaries has shown this e ect, although m any are observed on a routine basis. C hances to see the e ect are high due to (i) a very low angular m om entum of a typical contact binary, (ii) its short orbital period, and { usually { (iii) a low total m ass of the eclipsing pair. A ny adverse e ects of the photom etric system m ism atch are also m inim ized thanks to the sam e tem perature of both com ponents so that the light-curve am plitude is alm ost independent of the w avelength used, perm itting com parison of curves obtained in di erent photom etric system s.

6. STAT IST IC S AND RESULTS

We have carefully inspected our list of contact binaries brighter than $V_{m ax} = 10$ with periods shorter than one day to establish all cases which appear to indicate multiplicity. The last column of Table 1 contains the letter code \Y " for cases of su cient information to claim that a contact binary is indeed part of a multiple system. Our decision was based on a combined \weight", as determined from individual detection techniques, equal or exceeding the value of 1.0. In adding the weights we used a weight of 1.0 for individual unquestionable detections and a weight of 0.5 for suspected cases. A lthough this approach produces nonsubjective and repeatable results, we feel that not all cases for accepted detections are equally valid. We comment on individual cases requiring explanation in Table 3.

We see 64 triple systems among 151 objects of our sample, giving the nom inal lower limit to the frequency of triple systems of 42% 5%. This result is for both hem ispheres, which have not been equally well surveyed to apply all available methods, with the Southern hem isphere contributing very few binaries to the nal statistics. Indeed, if not for the H ipparcos mission which discovered a few visual binaries containing contact binaries (e.g., V 867 A ra, C N H yi), there would be alm ost no known visual binary detections in the Southern sky. W hile on the N orthern sky (> 0), using all available techniques, we see 52 triple systems among 88 objects, giving the frequency of 59% 8%, the Southern sky yields only 12 systems out of 63, corresponding to 19% 6%. The reason is the low number of contact binaries discovered so far in the South, a meager volume of photom etric data even for the known ones (som e binaries were observed the last tim e half a century ago!), a lack of any spectroscopic support and a short tim e base for techniques requiring accumulation over tim e (such as visual orbits, proper motions and LITE).

In principle, our result on the low limit to the frequency of triple systems containing contact binaries of 59% 8% should be compared with control samples for single stars and for wider binaries. Such samples are only now being created with the particularly strong contributions of Tokovinin (1997, 2004). However, the data are fragmentary and { even for bright, nearby, single stars { heavily biased by the distance and brightness selection e ects. Tokovinin (2004) found that among 18 closest dwarfs to 8 pc, 5 exist in multiple systems, giving the frequency of 28%. However, a deeper search based on 3383 dwarfs to 50 pc Tokovinin (2004) yielded only 76 multiple system s, 2.2%, with the heavy bias against discovery setting in for systems beyond 10 pc. While our nal conclusion is that triple system s are very frequent for the contact binaries, we note that several of our techniques are di erent from those of Tokovinin and are distance independent; this may explain our high result when compared with that of Tokovinin (2004), in spite of the fact that our targets are spread in distance from 13 pc to hundreds of parsecs⁷. The situation appears to be di erent for binaries. Tokovinin (1997) found that the frequency of triplicity and multiplicity among spectroscopic binary stars with orbital periods shorter than 10 days appears to be high: A s 8% (26 out of 61) among nearby (within 100 pc), low -m ass (0.5 to 1.5 M), m any as 43% spectroscopic binaries cataloged in Batten et. al (1989) have known tertiary components. Our estimate for the Northern hem isphere contact binaries of 59% 8% is consistent with Tokovinin's results within the statistical errors, but is slightly higher. This may indicate that the frequency keeps on increasing for shorter periods, but that the underlying physical causes for periods P < 10 days m ay be sim ilar.

We note that the main route for detection of companions is the small angular separation on the sky. Among the 64 positive detections, 46 systems are known visual, previously cataloged W D S and H ipparcos binaries or new discoveries of the new CFHT, adaptive-optics IR observations; the latter nicely complemented the extant data for M -dwarf companions at angular separations of about 0.1 arcsec to a few arcsec. In turn, most of the spectroscopic detections have been of systems already known as visual binaries; spectroscopy can, how ever, help in determining the physical characteristics of the companion and mup individual cases.

The visual technique, combined with the parallax data permits to evaluate projected physical separations between the companions. The projected separations are moderate and

⁷The distance to closest contact binary 44 Boo is 12.77 pc.

are distributed in the range between 6 and 10^4 AU, or 2.9 10^5 pc and 0.049 pc (Table 1)⁸. Figure 9 shows a histogram of the projected separations. The distribution m ay partly relect observational biases, but it does show that the separations are much smaller than typical distances between stars in the solar neighborhood of about one parsec. Thus, even the widest pairs with angular separations of several tens of arcsec can be regarded as gravitationally bound; an example is AW UM a where for the angular separation of 67 arcsec, the projected separation is 4,400 AU. But { physically { can these multiples be evolutionary connected at such disparate separations ranging between stellar sizes and thousands of AU's? C an the K ozai cycle work at such large distances or do we see now only the results of it acting well in the past? A nswers to these exciting questions are beyond the scope of this paper.

7. FUTURE W ORK AND CONCLUSIONS

The approach that used is this paper was a straightforward one: W e simply counted contact binaries which appeared to have companions. W e totally disregarded the important m atter of what are the companions and what would be the limitations in their detection. Some classes of stars, such as white dwarfs or neutron stars, would require utilization of special techniques; some classes m ay be entirely undetectable. W e leave these issues open for future investigations of this series.

The use of several di erent observational techniques was certainly useful as none gives an unquestionable proof of multiplicity and each has its own biases and limitations. We used too many techniques to analyze selection e ects of each; such in-depth studies of biases for each technique would in ate the study and would probably not be warranted at this prelim inary stage. But we know that biases are important: Taking the astrom etric data for the 50 best observed system s in the whole sky brighter than $V_{max} = 10$ (Section 3, Figure 2), we see a frequency of 40% 9%, but this num ber would rise to 46% 10% if we disregarded the magnitude limit and argued that objects best observed astrometrically would provide an even m ore reliable sample (indeed, there are m any bright system s with poor data). The sky boation is also in portant: for the N orthern sub-sam ple of astrom etrically well observed stars to $V_{max} = 10$, the frequency increases from 40% 9% to 50% 10%. Sim ilarly, entirely independent indications for the 20 best observed system s for the LITE suggest 60% 178; among those LITE solutions, among these only two are for nom inally Southern systems, although both were observed from the North (ER Oriwith = 8:5 and UX Eriwith

⁸W e exclude EM C ep and AH C nc which are m em bers of open cluster and whose projected separations are 49,700 AU and 24,000 AU.W e do not consider these systems as multiple ones.

= 6:9).

The most obvious observational bias caused by the brightness of the system is demonstrated in Fig. 10, where the fraction of detections is plotted for all systems brighter than the given magnitude rank. W hile for systems brighter than $V_{max} = 9 m ag we see an almost constant fraction of multiples, there is a small but de nite decrease of detections for fainter systems.$

In addition to in-depth analyzes of selection e ects and biases of individual techniques, we issue the usual plea to the observers: M ore data are needed! W hat is particularly needed are photom etric data in standard systems. If not for the H ipparcos m ission, which led to m any discoveries of contact binaries and if not for the photom etric part of the TYCHO project, many contact binaries would be unknown or without most elementary data on color indices. All contact systems require also radial velocity spectroscopic support. The situation with the Southern sky is particularly grave. There exists no spectroscopic survey similar to that being conducted now for short-period Northern binaries at DDO so that even relatively bright southern contact binaries (TY Men, DE Oct, MW Pav, CN Hyi, WY Hor, V 386 Pav, etc.) still await spectroscopy or even conventional precision photom etry. Very few Southern binaries are followed over time to provide LITE information. For many binaries, the last datum on the moment of minimum is the H ipparcos T_0^9 . Interesting Southern system s om itted by H ipparcos (e.g., BR M us, TV M us or ST Ind) frequently have the last m inim a obtained a quarter or half a century ago. We point also that the technique of lunar occultations still remains to be fully utilized as it can provide angular resolution of about 2 m as and thus can be a powerful tool for the detection of close visual companions.

We conclude with a summary of our results: Our best estimate of the lower limit to the triple star incidence among contact binaries appears to be 59 8%, as based on the Northern sky to $V_{m ax} = 10$. We know that this limit may raise as suspected cases are analyzed more thoroughly. If, in addition to unquestionable detection of 64 multiple systems a among 151 targets over the whole sky, all suspected 24 cases in Table 1 were included as detections, then the frequency for the whole sky would increase from 42% 5% to 56% 6%, while that for the Northern hem isphere alone it would reach 72% 9%. Our results are consistent with those for the magnitude-complete H ipparcos sample to $V_{m ax} = 7.5$ (Rucinski 2002b), with 17 multiples among 35 systems (48 12%), although for that sample a large fraction of all systems were new ly discovered eclipsing binaries with currently incomplete data. Remembering that we could evaluate only a lower limit to the frequency of triple system s, and that some multiple system s are beyond reach of any current technique of

⁹T his rem ark unfortunately applies also to som e N orthern binaries which do not happen to be popular...

detection, this number is not far from one indicating a possibility that all contact binaries originated in multiple systems.

Thanks are expressed to the anonymous reviewer who very carefully read the rst version of the paper and suggested very useful changes to it.

Support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada to SM R is acknow ledged with gratitude. The travel of TP to Canada has been supported by a IAU Commission 46 travel grant and a Slovak Academy of Sciences VEGA grant 4014. TP appreciates the hospitality and support of the local sta during his stay at DDO.

The research m ade use of the SIM BAD database, operated at the CDS, Strasbourg, France and accessible through the Canadian A stronom y D ata Centre, which is operated by the Herzberg Institute of A strophysics, N ational Research Council of Canada. This research m ade also use of the W ashington D ouble Star (W D S) Catalog m aintained at the U S.N aval O bservatory.

REFERENCES

- A fsar, M ., Heckert, P A ., Ibanoglu, C . 2004, A & A , 420, 595
- Applegate, J.H. 1992, ApJ, 385, 621
- Barden, S.C. 1987, ApJ, 317, 333
- Bate, M. R. 2004, in The Environment and Evolution of Double and Multiple Stars, eds. Ch. Allen & C. Scarfe, Rev. Mex. A. Ap. Conf. Ser., 21, 175
- Batten, A.H., Fletcher, JM., MacCarthy, D.G. 1989, Publ. DAO, 17
- Binnendijk, L. 1966, Publ. DAO, 13, 27
- Borkovits, T., Hegedus, T. 1996, A&AS, 120, 63
- Cham bliss, C.R. 1992, PASP, 104, 663
- Chochol, D., van Houten, C.J., Kwee, K.K., Pribulla, T., Grygar, J. 2003, Contr. Astr. Obs. Skalnate Pleso, 33, 107

Cruddace, R.G., Dupree, A.K. 1984, ApJ, 277, 263

D'Angelo, C., van Kerkwijk, M. & Rucinski, S. M. 2005, in preparation

- Dem ircan, O., Akalin, A., Derm an, E. 1993, A&AS, 98, 583
- Duerbeck, H W . 1997, Inf. Bull. Variable Stars, 4513
- Eggen, O.J. 1961, Roy. Obs. Bull, No.31
- Eggen, O.J. 1967, Mem. Roy. Astr. Soc., 70, 111
- Eggleton, P.P. & Kiseleva (Eggleton, L. 2001, ApJ, 562, 1012
- Erdem, A., Ozkardes, B. 2004, Inf. Bull. Var. Stars, No. 5496
- European Space Agency. 1997. The Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogues (ESA SP-1200) (Noordwik: ESA) (HIP)
- Evans, D.S., M dW illiam, A., Sandmann, W.H., Frueh, M. 1986, AJ, 92, 1210
- Fabricius, C., H. g, E., M. akarov, V.V., M. ason, B.D., W. yco, G.L., Urban, S.E. 2002, A.& A., 384, 180
- Fabricius, C., Makarov, V.V. 2000, A&A, 356, 141
- Fleming, T.A., Gioia, IM., Maccacaro, T. 1987, AJ, 98, 692
- Fors, O., Richichi, A., Nunez, J., Prades, A. 2004, A&A, 419, 285
- Genet, RM., Smith, T.C. 2004, American Astronomical Soc. Meeting 2005, 1802
- Goecking, K D., Duerbeck, H W.; Plewa, T., Kaluzny, J., Schertl, D., Weigelt, G., Flin, P. 1994, A & A, 289, 827
- Hadrava, P. 2004, in Spectroscopically and Spatially Resolving the Components of Close Binary Stars, R.W. Hilditch et al. (eds.), ASP Conf. Series 318, 86
- Hendry, P.D. & Mochnacki, S.W. 1998, ApJ, 504, 978
- Hershey, J.L. 1975, AJ, 80, 662
- Hill, G., Fisher, W. A., Holm gren, D. 1989, A&A, 211, 81
- Hobart, M A., Pena, J.H., Dela Cruz, C. 1999, Ap& SS, 260, 375
- H g, E., Fabricius, C., Makarov, V.V., Urban, S., Corbin, T., Wyco, G., Bastian, U., Schwekendiek, P., & Wicenec, A. 2000, A&A, 355L, 27

Hrivnak, B.J. 1988, ApJ, 335, 319

- Irw in, J.B. 1959, AJ, 64, 149
- Kalimeris, A., Rovithis-Livaniou, H., Rovithis, P. 2002, A&A, 387, 969
- Kazantseva, L.V., O sipov, E.O. 2002, KFNT, 18, 179
- Kharchenko, N.V., Piskunov, A.E., Roser, S., Schilbach, E., Scholz, R.D. 2005, A&A, 438, 1163
- Kim, CH., Lee, JW., Kim, H.I., Kyung, JM., Koch, RH. 2003, AJ, 126, 1555
- King, D.J., Hilditch, R.W. . 1984, MNRAS, 209, 645
- Kirkpatrick, J.D., Henry, T.J., McCarthy, D.W. 1991, ApJS, 77, 417
- Kiseleva, L.G., Eggleton, P.P., Mikkola, S. 1998, MNRAS, 300, 292
- Kozai, Y., AJ, 67, 591
- K reiner, JM., K im, C.H., Nha, IS. 2001, "An atlas of (O-C) diagram s of eclipsing binary stars", W ydawnictvo Naukowe A kadem ii Pedagogicznej, K rakow
- Krzesinski, J., Pajdosz, G., Mikolajewski, M., Zola, S. 1991, Ap& SS, 184, 37
- Lacy, S.C. H., Helt, B.E., Vaz, L.P.R. 1999, AJ, 117, 541
- Lu, W. 1993, Inf. Bull. Variable Stars, 3947
- Lu, W ., Rucinski, S.M. 1999, AJ, 118, 515
- Lu, W ., Rucinski, S.M ., Oqloza, W . 2001, AJ, 122, 402
- Mayer, P. 1990, Bull. A stron. Soc. C zechosl., 41, 231
- Mayer, P., Pribulla, T., Chochol, D. 2004, Inf. Bull. Var. Stars, No. 5563
- Mochnacki, S.W., Doughty, N.A. 1972, MNRAS, 156, 51
- Mason, BD., Hartkopf, WJ., Barry, DJ., Germain, ME., Douglass, GG., Worley, CE., Wyco, GL., Brummelaar, T., Franz, OG. 1999, AJ, 117, 1890
- Mason, BD., Hartkopf, W.J., Holdenreid, ER., Ra erty, T. 2001, AJ, 121, 3224
- Mason, BD., W yco, GL., Hartkopf, W.J., Douglass, G.G., & Worley, CE. 2001, AJ, 122, 3466 (WDS)

- Parsons, S.B. 2004, AJ, 127, 2915
- Payne-Gaposhkin, C., 1941, Publ. American. Astron. Soc., 10, 127
- Popper, D M . 1948, ApJ, 108, 490
- Popper, D M . 1980, ARA & A , 18, 115
- Pourbaix, D., Platais, I., Detournay, S., Jorissen, A., Knapp, G., Makarov, V.V. 2003, A & A, 399, 1167
- Pribulla, T. 2004, in Spectroscopically and Spatially Resolving the Components of Close Binary Stars, R.W. Hilditch et al. (eds.), ASP Conf. Series 318, 117
- Pribulla, T., Vanko, M. 2002, Contrib. A stron. Obs. Skalnate Pleso 32, 79
- Pribulla, T., Chochol, D., Parimucha, S. 1999, Contrib. A stron. Obs. Skalhate Pleso 29, 111
- Pribulla, T., Chochol, D., Rovithis-Livaniou, E., Rovithis, P. 1999, A&A, 345, 137
- Pribulla, T., Chochol, D., Tremko, J., Parimucha, S., Vanko, M., Kreiner, J.M. 2000, Contrib. A stron. Obs. Skalnate Pleso, 30, 117
- Pribulla, T., Chochol, D., Heckert, P.A., Errico, L., Vittone, A.A. Parimucha, S., Teodorani, M., 2001, A&A, 371, 997
- Pribulla, T., Kreiner, J.M., Tremko, J. 2003, Contrib. Astron. Obs. Skalnate Pleso 30, 117
- Pribulla, T., Chochol, D., Tremko, J., Kreiner, J.M. 2005, in "The light-time e ect in astrophysics", C. Sterken (ed.), A SP Conf. Series 335, 103
- Pych, W., Rucinski, S.M. 2004, Inf. Bull. Variable Stars, 5524
- Pych, W., Rucinski, S.M., DeBond, H., Thomson, J.R., Capobianco, C.C., Blake, R.M., Ogloza, W., Stachowski, G., Rogoziecki, P., Ligeza, P., Gazeas, K. 2004, AJ127, 1712
- Q ian, S., He, J., X iang, F., D ing, X., Boonrucksar, S. 2005, AJ, 129, 1686
- Ribas, I. 2005, in "The ligh-time e ect in Astrophysics", C. Sterken (ed.), ASP Conf. Series, 335, 55
- Richichi, A., Calamai, G., Stecklum, B. 2002, A&A, 382, 178
- Rigaut, F., Salmon, D., Arsenault, R., Thomas, J., Lai, O., Rouan, D., Voran, J.P., Gigan, P., Crampton, D., Fletcher, J.M., Stilburn, J., Boyer, C., Jagourel, P. 1998, PASP, 110,152

- Robb, R.M., Scarfe, C.D. 1989, Inf. Bull. Variable Stars, 3370
- Rucinski, S.M. 1992, AJ, 104, 1968
- Rucinski, SM. 1993, in "The Realm of Interacting Binary Stars", J. Sahade et al. (eds.), p.111
- Rucinski, S.M. 1992, PASP, 106, 462
- Rucinski, S.M. 1997, AJ, 113, 407
- Rucinski, S.M. 1998, AJ, 116, 2998
- Rucinski, S.M. 2001, AJ, 122, 1007
- Rucinski, S.M. 2002a, A.J., 124, 1746
- Rucinski, S.M. 2002b, PASP, 114, 1124
- Rucinski, S.M. 2002c, AJ, 120, 319
- Rucinski, S.M., Duerbeck, H.W. 1997, PASP, 109, 1340
- Rucinski, S.M. & Kaluzny, J. 1982, Ap& SS, 88, 433
- Rucinski, S.M., Lu, W.X., & Shi, J. 1993, AJ, 106, 1174
- Rucinski, SM., Lu, W., Capobianco, C.C., Mochnacki, S.W., Blake, R.M., Thomson, J.R. Ogloza, W., Stachowski, G. 2002, AJ, 124, 1738
- Rucinski, S.M., Capobianco, C.C., Lu, W., DeBond, H., Thomson, J.R., Mochnacki, S.W. Blake, R.M., Ogloza, W., Stachowski, G., Rogoziecki, P. 2003, AJ, 125, 3258
- Rucinski, S.M., Pych, W., Ogloza, W., DeBond, H., Thomson, J.R., Mochnacki, S.W., Capobianco, C.C., Conidis, G., Rogoziecki, P.2005, AJ, 130, 767 (Paper X)
- Sam ec, R G ., Faulkner, D R ., W illiam s, D B . 2004, A J, 128, 2997
- Soderhjelm, S. 1975, A & A, 42, 229
- Soderhjelm, S. 1999, A & A, 341, 121
- Stepien, K., Schmitt, J.H. M. M. & Voges, W. 2001, A&A, 370, 157
- Struve, 0.1949, ApJ, 109, 436

- Struve, O., Gratton, L. 1948, ApJ, 108, 497
- Struve, O., Horak, H.G., Canavaggia, R., Kourgano, V., Colacevich, A. 1950, ApJ, 111,658
- Struve, O., Zebergs, V. 1959, ApJ, 130, 789
- Tholine, J.E. 2002, Ann. Rev. A. Ap., 40, 349
- Torres, G. 2004, Spectroscopically and Spatially Resolving the Components of C lose B inary Stars, R W. Hilditch et al. (eds.), A SP C onf. Series 318, 123
- Tokovinin, A A. 1997, A & A S, 124, 75
- Tokovinin, A.A. 2004, Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astro s., 21, 7
- van Hamme, W. private communication
- Voges W., Aschenbach B., Boller T., Braeuninger H., Briel U., Burkert W., Dennerl K., Englhauser J., Gruber R., Haberl F., Hartner G., Hasinger G., Kuerster M., Pfe erm ann E., Pietsch W., Predehl P., Rosso C., Schmitt J.H. M. M., Truem per J., Zimmerm ann H.J. 1999, A&A, 349, 389
- Voges W., Aschenbach B., Boller Th., Brauninger H., Briel U., Burkert W., Dennerl K., Englhauser J., Gruber R., Haberl F., Hartner G., Hasinger G., Pfe erm ann E., Pietsch W., Predehl P., Schmitt J., Trum per J., Zimmerm ann U. 2000, IAU Circ. 7432
- Walker, R.L. 1973, Inf. Bull. Variable Stars, 855.
- Warner, B. 1988, "High speed astronom ical photom etry", Cam bridge University Press
- Yakut, K., Ibanoglu, C., Kalomeni, B., Demirgenci, O.L. 2003, A&A, 401, 1095
- Zhai, D., Lu, W. 1989, Acta Astrophys. Sinica, 9, 208
- Zinnecker, H. 2002, in M odes of Star Form ation and the O rigins of Field Populations, eds. E.K.Grebel & W.Brandner, ASP Conf. 285, p.131
- Zinnecker, H. Mathieu, R.D. (eds), 2001, The Formation of Binary Stars, IAU Symp. 200 (ASP Publ.)

This preprint was prepared with the AAS $\mathbb{P}T_E X$ m acros v52.

Captions to gures:

Fig. 1. The parallax error versus the median H_p m agnitude for contact binaries (circles). The same dependence for single stars in the H ipparcos catalog is shown by a continuous line (labelled \median") in the lower part of the gure with the corresponding statistical uncertainty 1- limits (labelled \0.35 and 0.65 quantiles") given by the broken lines and with the positive 3{ range given by the dotted line. The known visual multiple systems among contact binaries are marked by lled circles, with their sizes indicating the quality of the astrometric solutions, as given in Catalog H 61 eld (A - \good", B - \fair", C - \poor"). Binaries with \G " or \X " type astrometric solutions (see the text) are additionally in squares. We argue that binaries above the curve are strongly suspected to belong to multiple system s.

Fig. 2. The fraction of visual double systems among the Hipparcos contact binaries, ranked with the increased distance for the sample to $V_{m ax} = 10$. The fraction for systems suspected of being double stars (\S" ag in the eld H 61) or showing an acceleration term or a stochastic solution (\G", \X" ags in H 59) are also given. The number of systems to a given rank is shown by sm all circles connected by a rising line and by the right axis. The star sym bols m ark ranks of triple system s detected spectroscopically, but without any indication of multiplicity in the astrom etric data; this visualizes the need for independent application of spectroscopy for a complete picture. Error bars represent estim ated Poissonian errors. They were computed as square root of number of systems, below and including the given rank.

Fig. 3. The dependence of the combined proper motion error, , on the median V_T magnitude for all contact binaries in the TYCHO-2 catalog. This gure is analogous to Figure 1 and shares its other symbols. All contact binaries are marked by circles with those in known visual binaries marked by led circles. Numbers written in parentheses are given for systems currently not identied as multiple systems, but with a small number of astrometric observations available for proper motion determination; these cases should be treated with a considerable caution. The star symbols mark spectroscopic binaries; it should be noted that none would be detected by the proper-motion error technique.

Fig. 4. Broadening functions of the quadruple system VW LM i extracted from spectra taken on M ay 24, 2005 (left) and June 3, 2005 (right). The contact binary signature is in the strongly broadened pro les, while the pro les for the slow ly rotating components of the second, long-period, detached binary are narrow. Splitting of the lines of the detached pair occurs only on a few days around the periastron passage.

Fig. 5. Two illustrative examples of the LITE solutions: A relatively reliably solved case of TZ Boo and a marginal detection for BB Peg. The lower horizontal axis gives Julian date, the upper horizontal axis gives the year. The vertical axis gives (O(C) residuals from an arbitrarily selected linear ephemeris. The values of (O(C)'s are plotted with di erent symbols according to the observation type from which the instant of minimum was derived (CCD " { CCD observation, pe" { photoelectric photom etry, pg" { several photographic plates, visual" { visual estimates and plate" { the time of the minimum was crudely evaluated from the epoch of a photographic plate when the system appeared to be faint).

Fig. 6. The fraction of binaries having LITE solutions with respect to the rank of the system evaluated as the (decreasing) number of the available eclipse timings. The error bars give the 1 Poissonian uncertainty. The vertical markers with numbers 100, 75, 50, and 25 indicate limits for the available numbers of CCD and peminima (derived from CCD and photoelectric photom etry, respectively) for the LITE solutions. The connected circles and the right axis show the number of system s with LITE solutions for given rank; this number increases slow ly beyond the rank of 20, which means that the detection is heavily biased by the number of available data. The gure suggests a relatively high incidence of multiplicity am ong the 20 best studied system s.

Fig. 7. The period { color relation is shown for contact binaries brighter than $V_{max} = 10$ appearing in TYCHO-2 catalogue (circles). The led circles show the known visual systems while known spectroscopic triples are marked by the star symbols. The continuous line gives a simple approximation to the Short Period B lue Envelope (SPBE) as discussed in the text; for contact binaries, the SPBE has a meaning similar to that of the Zero Age M ain Sequence. System s above and to the left of the SPBE may have blue companions.

Fig. 8. The ratio of the apparent X -ray and bolom etric uxes, $f_X = f_{bol}$, is expected to scale with the orbital period with the short period system s being on the average m ore X -ray active. The continuous thick line gives the approximate locus (selected to encompass most of the system s) of the low X -ray lum inosity envelope (LXLE) in X -rays while the broken lines give the same envelope shifted up by 10 and 100. The $f_X = f_{bol}$ points in this gure are based on detections (circles) and the upper limits (small triangles) for all contact binaries in the RASS survey. P resence of companions is expected to modify the ux ratio by enhancing it when companions are active M -dwarfs and diminishing it when companions are early A /F - type stars. The lled circles denote members of known visual binaries and asterisks give spectroscopic detections (here we added two spectroscopic detections, XY Leo and ET Leo, with astrom etric indication).

Fig. 9. The projected separations in astronom ical units are shown for all systems with

 $V_{m ax} < 10$ with available astrom etric data, separately for both hem ispheres (relative to the celestial equator). The large disparity in numbers is directly visible. EM Cep (the only system in the bin with 4.5< logd < 5), som etim es considered to be in a visual binary with a very large separation, is probably not a physically bound system (see the text).

Fig. 10. The fraction of contact binaries having an additional component. The systems have been ranked according to the maximum brightness. The fraction is a cumulative one in the sense that it corresponds to a given rank and to ranks below it. The corresponding magnitude limits are marked by the vertical markers. Note that systems fainter than V_{max} '9 appear to show a bias of a decreasing multiplicity fraction.

{ 36 {





{ 37 {







{ 40 {



{ 41 {



1E-3 ⊽ ⊽ ⊽⊽ single visual spectroscopic detection limit 0 CŊ And DO Cha Q ້ 2 <mark>00 Aq</mark>l ☆ ď V lower envelope 10, 100x larger f_x/f_{bol} 1E-4 °**∩**RS Col CT Cet **⊽**ਊV335 Peg BX And f_x/f_{bol} /2082 Cyg FP Eri OQ Vel ļ V345 Gem P Peg 1E-5 TU UMi ▼ ້ດັ**້ √972 He**r AW UMa ູູ √V752 Mon . V445 Cep ○ eps Cra 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.8 0.9 1.0 Period [days]

{ 43 {





N am e	N ote	V _{m ax}	WDS	[deg]	["]	d [AU]	V	HI₽				RV	Р ₃ [у]	asini [AU]	ΒV	.log X	M oon	FLAGS 1234 5678	W eight	Triple
AB And	*	9.50	CFHT98	306	18.90	2266	7.3	-S	1.48	2.69			59	3.8	0.45	-3.58	_	NPSN NDNN	2	Y
BX And		8.90	02091+ 4048	60	19.70	4195	1.37	CC	2.93	1.41			62	2.2	0.27	-4.24	-	P-SN -SNN	1.5	Y
CN And		9.70								1.56					0.27	-3.52	-	NN NNNN	0	
GZ And	*	9.92	02122+ 4440	33	2.13	353	2.4	-S		2.76	0.02				0.19		-	DDSN D-NN	3.5	Y
V 376 A nd		7.63							0.89	2.76					0.17		-	N-NS N-NN	0.5	
V 395 A nd		7.55	23445+ 4623	103	5.64	2541	2.50	CA	1.12	1.77					-0.12		-	P-NNSN	1	Y
S Ant		6.40							0.71	2.06					0.19		-	N-NNNN	0	
HV Aqr		9.71								2.05	0.02				0.11	-3.63	-	NN DNNN	1	Y
00 Aql		9.20								2.19					0.56	-3.49	-	NN -NNL	0.5	
V 1464 A ql		8.60							1.26	1.84					0.15		-	N-NNNN	0	
V 535 A ra		7.17							0.90	2.05					0.19		-	N-NNNN	0	
V 851 A ra		6.86							0.82	1.41					0.12	-4.93	-	N-NNNN	0	
V 867 A ra		7.39	17424{4618	316	0.36	55	1.14	CA	2.62	1.84					-0.10		-	D-NNSN	1.5	Y
V 870 A ra		8.80							1.34	2.05					0.34		-	N-NNNN	0	
V402 Aur		8.84							1.31	1.35					0.27		-	N-NN N-NN	0	
V 410 A ur	*	9.94	05012+ 3430	229	1.70	345	1.04	CA	5.39	8.41	0.26	9			0.18	-3.79	-	D-NS D-NN	2.5	Y
44 B oo	*	4.71	15038+ 4739	49	1.70	22	0.78	CB	1.03	1.70	1.74	-17			-0.23	-4.25	-	D-NN DNSN	2.5	Y
CK Boo	*	8.99	CFHT 98	196	0.12	15	2.8		1.34	2.12	0.01		21	5.0	0.08	-3.94	-	NDNN DSNN	2.5	Y
DW Boo		7.38							1.11	2.26					-0.04		-	N-NNSN	0.5	
EF Boo		9.27							1.06	1.63					0.20	-3.90	-	N-NN N-NN	0	
FIBoo		9.43						X-	2.10	1.77	0.01				0.30	-3.76	-	N-DN D-NN	2	Y
AO Cam	*	9.50								3.18	0.01				0.19	-3.98	-	NN DNNN	1	Y
DN Cam		8.15						-S	0.89	1.98					0.11	-4.58	-	N-SN N-NL	1	Y
FN Cam		8.50							0.95	1.70					0.25		-	N-NN N-NN	0	
BO CVn		9.48								1.56					0.12		-	NN -NNN	0	
IS CM a		6.84							0.71	1.27					0.19		-	N-NNNN	0	
ВН СМі		9.30								1.70					0.07		-	NN -NNN	0	
V 776 C as		8.82	01534+ 7003	137	5.38	885	2.00	CA	1.56	2.12		-2			0.14		-	D-NN N-NN	1	Y
RR Cen		7.27							0.85	1.91					0.21		-	N-NNNN	0	
V 752 C en		9.10						-S	1.47	1.98					0.17	-3.79	-	N-SNNN	0.5	
V 757 C en		8.30							1.10	1.98					0.15	-3.83	-	N-NNNN	0	
V 758 C en		9.48							1.55	2.12					-0.10		-	N-NNSN	0.5	
V 759 C en		7.40							0.93	1.35					0.21	-3.74	-	N-NN -NNN	0	

Table 1. Multiplicity of contact binary stars: Summary data

{ 46 {

N am e	N ote	V _{m ax}	WDS	[deg]	["]	d [AU]	V	H₽				RV	Р ₃ [У]	asini [AU]	ВV	log X	M oon	FLAGS 1234 5678	W eight	T rip le
V 839 C en		9.51							1.66	1.84					0.16	-3.47	_	N-NNNN	0	
VW Cep	*	7.23	20374+ 7536	291	0.50	14	2.80	CC	0.97	1.84	0.06		28	2.3	0.11	-3.56	-	D-SN -DNN	2.5	Y
EM Cep	*	7.02	21538+ 6237	145	62.60	49722	0.84	-S	0.55	2.05					0.10		-	N-SN -NNN	0.5	
V 445 C ep		6.81							0.50	1.98	0.06				-0.12		-	N-NN D-SE	2	Y
AA Cet		6.56	01590{2255	303	8.56	740	0.36	CB	2.36	2.62	0.59				0.22		-	D-NSNN	1.5	Y
CLCet		9.77						X-	3.63	2.05					0.20		-	N-DNNN	1	Y
CT Cet		9.41	01098{6237	30	3.58	308	0.79	CA	4.01	3.70					-0.05	-4.24	-	D-NSNN	1.5	Y
DY Cet		9.42						-S	2.17	1.84					0.14	-3.94	-	N-SNNN	0.5	
EE Cet	*	8.64	02499+ 0856	194	5.66	902	0.07	CA	4.89	4.74					0.12	-3.90	-	D-NS N-NN	1.5	Y
DO Cha		7.61							0.57	1.63					0.36	-3.38	-	N-NNNL	0.5	
RSCol		9.54							1.28	2.48					0.46	-4.01	-	N-NNNL	0.5	
KR Com		7.13	13203+ 1746	8	0.10	8	0.6		0.87	1.56	0.56	4			0.22	-3.98	-	D-NN D-NN	2	Y
eps C rA		4.74							0.92	1.06					0.21	-5.68	-	N-NNNN	0	
YYСrВ		8.48							0.85	2.26					0.20	-3.64	-	N-NN NNNN	0	
SX Crv		8.99							1,21	1.98					-0.09	-3.57	-	NNNN NNSN	0.5	
DTCru		9.91						-S	1.70	4.17					0.27		-	N-SSNN	1	Y
V 1073 C yg		8.23							0.95	2.05					0.31		-	NNNN NNNN	0	
V 2082 C yg		6.63							0.56	1.84	0.03				0.23	-4.74	-	N-NN D-NL	1.5	Y
V 2150 C yg		8.00	21182+ 3035	210	3.68	667	3.35	CA	1.59	1.77					80.0		-	D-NN N-NN	1	Y
LS D el		8.61								1.27					0.10	-4.09	-	NN NNNN	0	
AP Dor		9.27							0.92	2.12					0.14	-4.11	-	N-NNNN	0	
BV Dra	*	7.88	15118+ 6151	353	16.10	1083	0.69	CA	2.56	1.70					0.07	-3.53	-	D-NN -NNN	1	Y
BW Dra	*	8.61	15118+ 6151	353	16.10	1089	0.69	CA	5.18	4.46					0.01	-3.29	-	D-NS -NNN	1.5	Y
GM Dra		8.66							0.88	1.98					0.03	-3.95	-	N-NN N-NN	0	
YY Eri		8.10							1.20	1.91					0.13	-3.79	-	NNNNNNN	0	
BV Eri		8.12							1.11	1.77					0.21		-	NNNNNN	0	
FP Eri		9.59							1,28	1.70					0.01		-	N-NNNE	0.5	
FX Eri		9.56						CA	1.06	1.56					0.10	-3.60	_	N-NNNN	0	
V 345 G em	*	7.70	07385+ 3343	352	3.19	233	1.40	CA	1.77	2.62					-0.39	-4.42	_	D-NNSN	1.5	Y
AK Her	*	8.29	17140+ 1621	323	4.20	425	4	XS	2.77	1.77			58	1.7	0.21	-4.21	_	DDDN NDNN	4	Y
V 842 H er		9.85								2.83					0.21		_	NN N-NN	0	
V 899 H er		7.82							0.77	1.49	1.50	var			0.13	-4.41	-	N-NN D-NN	1	Y
V 918 H er		7.31							0.93	1.41					0.11		-	N-NN N-NN	0	

Table 1 | Continued

{ 47 {

N am e	N ote	V _{m ax}	WDS	Hogi	נייח	d	V	H₽				RV	P 3	asini	вv	log X	M oon	FLAGS	W eight	Triple
				[Leg]	LJ	[AO]							LΣ]	[A O]				1234 3070		
V 921 H er		9.33							0.97	1.84					0.22		_	N-NN N-NN	0	
V 972 H er		6.62							0.61	1.70					0.14	-5.14	-	N-NN N-NN	0	
V1003 Her		9.69							1.57	1.49					0.18		-	N-NNNN	0	
TU Hor		5.90							0.56	0.99					0.06		-	N-NNNN	0	
W Y Hor		9.39							1.00	1.56					0.36	-3.75	-	N-NNNN	0	
FG Hya		9.90	CFHT 98	145	16.50	2602	4		1.90	1.84					0.05	-3.82	-	NPNN NNNN	0.5	
РР Нуа		6.78							0.93	1.84					0.08		-	N-NNNN	0	
СЕ Нуі		8.36	01389{5835	9	2.00	420	0.37	CA	2.01	5.10					0.08		-	D-NSNN	1.5	Y
СМ Нуі		6.56	02456{7114	132	0.51	30	3.76	CA	0.65	1.70					0.18	-4.88	-	D-NNNN	1	Y
СР Нуі		7.80							0.60	1.49					0.12		-	N-NNNN	0	
SW Lac	*	8.51	CFHT 98	85	1.70	138	2.54	-S	1.26	1.84	0.01	sim	28	2.6	0.20	-3.40	-	NDSN DDNN	3.5	Y
V407 Lac		8.29							0.93	1.49					-0.05		-	N-NNSN	0.5	
UZ Leo		9.58						-S	1.59	1.56					0.23		М	NNSN NNNN	0.5	
XY Leo	*	9.45						-S	1.80	1.56	0.10	var	20	4.1	0.29	-3.23	М	NNSN DDNN	2.5	Y
AM Leo	*	9.25	11022+ 0954	270	11.50	883	1.68	CA	3.64	1.91					0.10	-4.00	М	D-NN -NNN	1	Y
AP Leo		9.32						-S	1.70	2.40					0.20	-3.89	М	NNSN NNNN	0.5	
ET Leo		9.44						-S	1.44	1.41	0.02				0.18	-3.72	-	N-SN D-NN	1.5	Y
EX Leo		8.13							1.11	1.27					0.15	-3.89	-	N-NN N-NN	0	
VW LMi	*	7.93							0.90	1.63	0.42	var			0.13		-	N-NN D-NN	1	Y
ESLib		7.11	15168-1302	174	0.20	25	?	-S	0.91	1.13					0.15	-4.64	М	D-SNNN	1.5	Y
FT Lup		9.70								2.69					0.19		-	NN -NNN	0	
UV Lyn		9.41							1.62	2.55					0.34	-3.99	-	NNNN NNNN	0	
TY Men		8.08							0.63	1.63					0.01		-	N-NN -NNN	0	
AN Men		9.24							0.86	1.98					0.21		-	N-NNNN	0	
V 752 M on	*	6.90	07079{0441	26	1.71	213	0.51	CA	1.40	4.17					0.06		-	D-NSNN	1.5	Y
V 753 M on		8,21							1.04	1.35					0.24		-	N-NN N-NN	0	
V2M on		9.90								2.48					0.21	-3.98	-	NNNN	0	
V3M on		8.90								1.63					0.09		-	NNNN	0	
DE Oct		9.09	20194{7608	128	22.90	5423	2.85		1.03	1.98					0.17		-	P-NNNN	0.5	
V 502 O ph	*	8.34							1.17	1.56	0.01	sim			0.38	-3.86	-	NNNN DNNN	1	Y
V 566 O ph		7.46							1.11	1.70			23	1.2	0.11	-4.40	-	NNNN NDNN	1	Y
V 839 O ph		8.80	CFHT 98	8	8.20	1014	6.1		1.44	2.62					0.26	-3.70	-	NDNS NNNN	1.5	Y
V1010 Oph		6.04							0.83	1.13					0.10	4.66	-	N-NN N-NN	0	

Table 1 | Continued

{ 48 {

N am e	N ote	V _{m ax}	WDS	[deg]	["]	d [AU]	V	H₽				RV	Р ₃ [у]	asini [AU]	ВV	log X	M oon	FLAGS 1234 5678	W eight	Triple
V 2377 O ph		8.45							1.22	2.62					0.29	-3.74	-	N-NS N-NN	0.5	
V 2388 O ph	*	6.14	17542+1108		0.09	6	0.2	G-	0.81	1.63	0.20	-5			0.34		-	D-DN D-NN	3	Y
ER Ori		9.28	05112{0833	354	0.19	31	1.99	G-	2.16	1.84			52	5.7	0.24	-4.27	-	DDDN -SNN	3.5	Y
V 1387 O ri		8.71	06094+1711	12	3.19	1003	3.48	CC	2.23	1.41					0.10		-	D-SNNN	1.5	Y
MW Pav		8.63							1.08	1.56					0.26		-	N-NNNN	0	
V 386 P av		8.28							1.01	1.98					0.12		-	N-NNNN	0	
U Peg		9.23	CFHT 98	275	4.05	564	5.2		1.43	1.56					0.23	-3.94	-	NDNN -NNN	1	Y
KP Peg		7.05	21267+1341	217	3.46	637	1.77	CA	1.67	2.55	0.22				-0.02	-4.76	-	D-NS D-SN	3	Y
KSP eg		5.45	23379+ 1824	246	27.70	2029	5.20		0.75	1.27					-0.19		-	P-NN N-SN	1	Y
V 335 Peg		7.23							0.86	1.91					0.38	-4.16	-	N-NN N-NL	0.5	
V 351 Peg		7.92							0.92	1.35					0.14	-4.60	-	N-NN N-NN	0	
V 353 Peg		7.41						G-	0.89	1.77					0.08		-	N-DNNN	1	Y
V 357 Peg		8.91							1.21	1.27					0.19		-	N-NNNN	0	
V2Peg		9.50								1.41					0.20		-	NNNN	0	
IW Per		5.76							0.81	1.70					0.08		-	N-NN N-NN	0	
V 579 Per		7.78							0.89	1.77					0.01		-	N-NNNN	0	
V 592 Per		8.19	04445+ 3953	194	0,21	39	0.85	CA	1.55	1.49	0.60	1			0.39		-	D-NN D-NN	2	Y
AE Phe		7.56							0.81	1.35					0.21	-3.80	-	N-NN -NNN	0	
BM Phe		9.16							1.17	1.78					0.09		-	N-NNNN	0	
TV Pic		7.37							0.63	1.49					80.0		-	N-NNNN	0	
AQ Psc		8.60	01211+ 0736	64	26.20	3263	2.8	-S	1.29	2.12					0.26	-3.85	М	PPSN N-NN	1.5	Y
TY Pup		8.40	CFHT 98	2	8.40	4264			1.01	1.98					0.30		-	NPNNNN	0.5	
RZ Pyx		8.94							1.06	2.05					-0.17		-	N-NNSN	0.5	
V 701 Sco		8.63							1.31	1.84					-0.02		-	N-NNNN	0	
V1084 Sco		8.92							1.32	2.13					0.14	-4.34	-	N-NNNN	0	
OU Ser		8.10							0.95	1.56					-0.04	-3.69	-	N-NN N-SN	0.5	
Y Sex		9.83	07385+ 3343	154	0.49	121	2.62	CB	3.38	1.98					0.10		-	D-NN -NNN	1	Y
VY Sex		9.01								1.49					0.31	-3.92	_	NN N-NN	0	
V 781 Tau		8.56	C F H T 98	163	8.00	650	8		1.35	1.49					0.13	-3.47	М	NDNNNNN	1	Y
V 1123 Tau		9.70	03350+ 1743	135	4,27	571	1.73	CA	5.37	4.53					0.47	-3.55	М	D-NSNN	1.5	Y
V 1128 Tau		9.46	03495+ 1255	196	12.10	1310	1.08	CA	4.77	1.77					0.05	-3.95	_	D-NNNN	1	Y
AQ Tuc		9.91							1,24	2.27					0.21		_	N-NNNN	0	
DX Tuc		9.47							1.12	2.26					0.13		_	N-NNNN	0	

Table 1 | Continued

{ 49 {

N am e	N ote	V _{m ax}	WDS	[deg]	["]	d [AU]	V	H₽				RV	P 3 [Y]	asini [AU]	ВV	log X	M oon	FLAGS 1234 5678	W eight	Triple
W UMa		7.75	09438+ 5557	43	6.40	317	4.45	-S	1.05	1.70					0.10	-3.79	-	D-SN SNNN	1.5	Y
AW UMa	*	6.83	11301+ 2958	33	67.20	4442	2.5		0.90	1.27			17	0.4	0.08		-	P-NN SDNN	1.5	Y
НИ ИМа		9.75							1.39	2.62					0.11	-3.80	-	N–NN N–NN	0	
НУ ИМа		8.52							1.23	1.84					0.17		-	N-NNNN	0	
НХ ИМа		8.75	12021+ 4304	86	0.63	78	3.31	CB	3.01	1.56	0.05	-4			0.09	-4.45	-	D-NN D-NN	2	Y
IIUM a		8.04	12329+ 5448	9	0.87	178	1.64	CA	1.81	1.98	0.17	-8			0.34		-	D-NN D-NN	2	Y
TU UM i		8.73	14557+ 7618	141	0.22	46	0.47	CA	0.97	1.70	1.25				0.02		-	D-NN D-NN	2	Y
TV UM i	*	8.62							0.78	1.70	0.90	var			0.27	-4.31	-	N-NN D-NN	1	Y
0Q Vel		7.67	08464{5251	334	0.10		0.50		0.62	2.13					0.18	-4.57	-	N-NNNN	0	
LL Vel		6.72			⊲.03	19		-S	0.60	1.41					0.04	-5.40	-	D-SNNN	1.5	Y
V 353 Vel		6.79							0.83	1.63					-0.01		-	N-NNNN	0	
AG Vir		8.35							1.18	1.49	0.05	sim			0.15		-	NNNN DNNN	1	Y
AH Vir	*	8.89	12143+ 1149	16	1.73	174	3.00	CC	3.11	1.84	0.11		41	4.2	0.39	-3.59	-	DDSN DDNN	4.5	Y
CX Vir		9.80								2.12					0.40		М	NNNN	0	
FO Vir		6.50	13298+ 0106	336	22.80	1926	6.4	-S	0.85	1.49					0.19	-5.08	-	D-SN N-NN	1.5	Y
GR Vir		7.80							1.18	1.63					0.07	-3.93	-	NNNN NNNN	0	
HT Vir	*	7.06	13461+ 0507	163	0.56	36	0.63	CB	2.72	2.26	1.06	var			0.21	-4.03	-	D-NN D-NN	2	Y
MW Vir		6.93							0.91	1.27					0.03	-4.33	-	N-NNNN	0	
NN Vir		7.60							1.14	2.05					0.14		-	N–NN N–NN	0	
AH Aur		10.20	CFHT 98	63	3.20	518	4.20	-S	2.05	2.40					0.37	-3.71	М	NDSN NNNN	1.5	Y
ΤΥ Βοο		10.81								6.15			56	4.1	0.07		-	NS -SNN	1	Y
ΤΖ Βοο		10.41	CFHT 98	120	10.60	1885	6.90		1.49	1.84			34	6.3	-0.05		-	NDNN -DSE	3	Y
TX Cnc		10.00	08400+1900	25	25.10	3765	2.4			1.77					0.36	-3.89	М	PN NNNN	0.5	
AH Cnc	*	13.31	08517+ 1151	273	26.80	23970	0.24									-3.63	-	N NN	0	
V 523 C as		10.62								3.61			86	4.8	-0.28	-3.51	-	NN NSSN	1	Y
GW Cep		11.40								2.90			14	0.7	-0.05		-	NN -DSN	1.5	Y
TW Cet		10.43	01489{2053	296	8.79	1588	1.99	CB	3.50	3.47					0.07	-3.52	-	D-NNNN	1	Y
RW Com		11.00						-S	2.45	3.54					0.06	-3.82	-	NNSN -NNN	0.5	
CV Cyg		10.80	19543+ 3803	140	0.70	787	0.02			2.40					0.34		-	DNNN	1	Y
DK Cyg		10.37						-S	1.78	2.19					0.17		-	NNSN NNNN	0.5	
V 401 C yg		10.64	CFHT 98	359	18.00	9262	1.2	X-	8.04	2.62	0.03	sim			0.17		-	NPDN D-NN	2.5	Y
V 700 C yg		11.90	20311+ 3847	74	21.60	6317	1.5										М	PNN	0.5	
EF Dra		10.48	18055+ 6945	240	2.40	807	1.19			3.68	0.20	4			0.18	-3.82	-	DN DNNN	2	Y

Table 1 | Continued

{ 50 {

N am e	N ote	V _{m ax}	WDS	[deg]	["]	d [AU]	V	H₽				RV	P ₃ [y]	asini [AU]	ΒV	log X	M oon	FLAGS 1234 5678	W eight	Triple
		10 50						C	2.04	2 1 0			12	2.0	0.26	2 20		NRICHI NICARI	1	V
ON Com		10.00	0000000000	26	6 20	1507	1 1 0	-5	Z.04	2.10			43	5.9	0.00	-5.50	-	ININGIN INGININ	1	I
Qw Gem		10.18	06508+ 2927	26	6.38	1201	1.10	CA	5.42	2.69					0.07	-4.04	_	D-NN N-NN	1	1
V 829 H er		10.10								4.03			11	0.9	0.11	-3./6	-	NS NSNN	T	Y
XZ Leo		10.18	С F H T 98	112	18.30	5801	7	-S	1.71	1.70					0.19		М	NPSN NNNN	1	Y
VZLib		10.13							1.96	2.55	0.20	var			0.33		М	NNNN D-NN	1	Y
V 532 M on		12.20	07020{0018	179	10.90	10035	0.1			2.48							-	PNNN	0.5	
V 508 O ph		10.06	C F H T 98	19	2.40	419	3.9	-S	2.14	2.19					0.13	-3.92	-	NDSN -NNN	1.5	Y
V 1363 O ri		10.22						-S	2.36	1.41					0.30		-	N-SN N-NN	0.5	
BB Peg	*	10.80							2.26	2.69	0.01		21	0.8	0.09			NNNN DSNN	1.5	Y
KN Per		11.52							3.49	2.48					0.29		-	N-NNNN	0	
BQ Phe		10.32						-S	2.08	2.33					0.27		-	N-SNNN	0.5	
CW Sge		11.13						XS	4.14	2.26					0.28		-	N-DNNN	1	Y
BE Scl		10.24						X-	5.11	2.48					0.29		-	N-DNNN	1	Y
RS Ser		10.80								2.33					0.39	-3.52	-	NNNL	0.5	
RZ Tau		10.08	CFHT 98	43	0.80	139	3.7	-S	1.85	1.84					0.11		-	NDSN -NNN	1.5	Y
EQ Tau		10.50								2.05			50	3.9	0.38		М	NN NSNN	0.5	

Table 1 Continued

N ote. The second part of the table below the horizontal line contains binaries fainter than V = 10.

Explanation of colum ns: N am e { as in the contact binary in the G eneral C atalog of Variable Stars; N ote { an asterisk m arks a com m ent in Table 3; $V_{m ax}$ { Johnson or transform ed H ipparcos H_p m axim um m agnitude; W D S { designation of the system (if it is visual binary or com m on-properm otion pair) in the m ost recent on-line version of the catalogue (http://ad.usno.navym il/w ds/w ds.htm l) or CFHT indicating a new detection, as given in Table 4; { position angle of the secondary (= fainter) com ponent in degrees; { angular separation of the com ponents [arcsec]; d { projected separation in astronom ical units com puted from angular separation and H ipparcos parallax, if relative error of parallax larger than 0.2 or negative parallax distance (separation typed in italics) estim ated using absolute m agnitude calibration of R ucinski & D uerbeek (1997) or estim ated otherw ise (see text); V - visual brightness di erence of the com ponents; H IP { H ipparcos ags H 59 and H 61 (H 59, type of astrom etric binary, X { stochastic solution, i.e. very probably an astrom etric binary with P < 3 years; H 61, quality of the orbital solution: grades ABCD (A = best) or suspected non-single ag S); { H ipparcos parallax error [m as]; = $\frac{1}{(() \cos p^2 + 2)}$ () { properm otion error in the TYCHO 2 catalogue; { the ratio of apparent lum inosities $l_3 = (l_1 + l_2)$ determ ined from broadening functions (see the text); R V { the di erence of the from Donent velocity and system is velocity of the orbital period of the third com ponent as determ ined from LIIE; a sin { the sem im aj raxis of the colipsing pair around the com m on center of gravity in astronom ical units determ ined using the LITE; $l_0 V$ (the di erence between observed TYCHO-2B V color index and the SPBE (see the text); $l_0 X = l_0 f_x = f_{bo1}$ { the oxi the colipsing pair around the com m on center of gravity in astronom ical units determ ined using the LITE; $l_0 V$ (the di erence between observed TYCHO-2B V color index and the SPBE (s

{ 51 (any detection counts with weight 1.0, any possibility or suspicion with 0.5); Triple { is our nalevaluation of triplicity with \Y " = Yes, if sum of weights is equal or larger than 1.0.

System s without GCVS designations: V2 M on = TYC 4824-00153-1, V3 M on = TYC 4835-01947-1, V2 Peg = TYC 1720-00658-1.

Table 2. Explanation of FLAGS for di erent types of observations. Flags applicable to particular type of technique are given in parentheses

FLAG	De nition
	Generic names: D detection, S strong suspicion of detection, P possibility of a companion, N no detection, - not observed or insulcient data
(1)	Visual binary segment in the FLP C stales (DDN) ID I for sister such projected expertise less than 2000
(1)	V Island In any present in the wild's clatalog (prw). "D" for system s with projected separation less than 2000
	AU, "P" for system s w ith separation between 2000 and 20000 AU, "N" system s not present in W D S or w ith
	separation larger than 20000 AU.
(2)	CFHT AO observations (DPN-). The ags same as in W DS."-" here means that the system was not observed.
(3)	H59 (CGX-) and H61 (ABCS-) Hipparcos Catalog ags. \D " if X or G in H59, \S" if S or C in H61.
(4)	(SN-). \S" if proper-m otion error higher than 3- from the median.
(5)	Spectroscopic detection (DSN Detection in broadening functions or through spectral features (DN-). Large
	changes of system ic velocity were coded by $S"$.
(6)	LITE indication (DSN-).D:a stable orbit with m ore than 1.5 cycles covered, S:a som ew hat unstable solution
	or less than 1.5 cycles covered, -: less than 25 pe or CCD m inim a or the interval of observations < 10 years.
(7)	SPBE indication (SN-). S if the system is bluer than the corresponding blue envelope color.
(8)	RASS X-ray to visual ux ratio (LEN). L when a late-type companion is indicated by a large f _x =f _{bol} ratio
	in early-type contact binary, E when the ratio is below the lower envelope of detection lim it indicating an
	early-type third com ponent, otherwise N.

{ 54 {

N am e	C om m ents
AB And	The third component is clearly seen in the LITE, but has not been detected during the CFHT AO observa-
GZ And	tions. A multiple (trapezoidal) system, with colors and magnitudes indicating that all visual components may be
	physically associated. It does not have the H ipparcos parallax.
V 410 A ur	The visual component, identical with that of the spectroscopic detection, shows RV variability indicating that it is possibly SB1.
44 B oo	The closest contact binary. The 206 year period of the visual orbit is rejected in the LITE, but cannot be determined solely from this electron is considered as not detected by the LITE.
CK Boo	The third component, detected independently by the LITE and AO CFHT observations, is very probably the same body. K rzesinskiet al. (1991) detected a sm all, but de nite ultraviolet excess, so it is possible that the third component is a white dwarf
AO Cam	A very prelim inary LITE solution has been given by Q ian et al. (2005). Spectral signatures of a companion detected by D 'Angelo et al. (2005).
AH Cnc	A member of M 67. A physical link within W DS 08517+1151 is uncertain.
VW Cep	The third component has been detected by several techniques. The visual and LITE orbits still show an additional wobble.
ЕМ Сер	A mem ber of the open cluster NGC 7160. The projected separation of the components (=) is alm ost 50,000 AU, which is much larger than for all remaining wide systems of this sam ple. Hence, it is not considered as a genuine triple system.
EE Cet	W hile the southern component of WDS 02499+ 0856 is a contact binary, unpublished DDO spectroscopic observations show that the northern component is a double-lines (SB2) binary hence it is a quadruple system.
BV Dra & BW Dra	A well known quadruple system composed of two contact binaries. BW Dra shows additional astrom etric complications.
V 345 G em	The contact binary is the fainter component of a visual double.
AK Her	The fainter visual component cannot be identied with the component indicated by the LITE, so the system is possibly a quadruple one.
SW Lac	Lines of the third component were detected spectrally by (Henry & Mochnacki 1998); this is probably the same component as seen in the CFHT AO data. The LITE indicates two companions, but the visual companion does not seem to correspond to any of the possible bodies suggested by the LITE solution.
XY Leo	A member of a quadruple system detected by (Barden 1987). The companion binary is a BY Dra-type binary system. The LITE orbit is exceptionally well de ned.
AM Leo	A member of relatively wide visual binary. A marginally acceptable LITE solution for the contact binary was given by 0 ian et al. (2005).
VW LMi	A quadruple system according to DDO spectral observations (to be published). The companion binary has an orbital period of 7.93 days while both binaries appear to revolve about the common center of gravity in 356 days
V 752 M on	The contact binary is the fainter component of the visual double. The brighter primary is a rapidly rotating
	A -type star obliterating signatures of the contact pair in the spectra.
V 502 O ph	Lines of a third component were detected by (Henry & Mochnacki1998).
V 2388 O ph	A visual binary with the orbital period of only 8.9 years.
BB Peg	D'Angelo et al. (2005) detected M dwarf signatures in the spectrum . An LITE analysis indicates a com patible
	body with a m in im um m ass of 0.18 M $$.
AW UMa	A proper m otion pair with $BD + 30$ 2164. The projected separation of the components is $d = = = 4,400$ AU. The magnitudes and colors indicate a physical link. The LITE and system is velocities show additional
	perturbations which m ay be related to another, closer com panion.
TV UM i	A quadruple system according to the DDO spectral observations (to be published). The second binary is a
	detached system with a highly eccentric orbit and a period of a few weeks.
AG Vir	The faint companion has been detected in the DDO spectra (to be published).
AH Vir	The visual companion cannot be identied with the component indicated by the LITE.
HT Vir	C om ponents of W D S 13461+ 0507 revolving in 274 years orbit are both binaries. W hile the component B is contact binary, the component A is a single-lined (SB1) binary with $P = 32.45$ days (Lu et al. 2001).

Table 3. Notes on selected contact binaries

N am e	Comp.	[arcsec]	[deg]	K	Н	H JD {2450800	FLAG	C om m ents
101.1		10.0	200	7.0		010.04		
AB And	P	18.9	306	1.3	0.2	219.04	P	uncertain
GZ And"	в	8.6	36	-0.2	-0.3	219.10	D	poor seeing
GZANC	C	13.3	196	-0.3	0.0	219.10		poor seeing
<u>GZAND</u>	E	2.13	33	2.4	2.6	219.10		poor seeing
<u>GZAND</u>	F.	13.3	134	5.0	5.5	219.10	D	poor seeing
CK DOO		0.12	190	2.0		210.00	D	
SX CIV						218./3	IN N	
V 1073C yg						218.98	IN N	poor seeing
II EII DV Emi						24./9	IN N	
DV LII		4 E	224	2.0		219,12	N	
EC UND		4.0 1.6 E	324 145	2.0		210.00	ש	poor seeing
гы пуа СМ Тоа		1 60	145	4.0	26	24.90	P	
<u>SW Lac</u>		1.00	00	2.0	2.0	219.02	D N	nooroha
VV I co						25.05	IN	poor obs
AP Leo						25.01	N	
IIV I vn						23.07	N	
V 502 O ph						218.87	N	noor seeing
V 566 0 ph						218.89	N	poor seeing
V 839 0 ph		8.2	8	61		218.92	D	poor seeing
V 839 O ph		22.3	36	27		218 92	D	poor seeing
FR Ort ^b	C	0 17	353	2.7		24.85	П	poor seeing
EROri	B	14.4	10	2.6		24.00	D	
II Per	D	4 05	275	5.2		219.07	П	
AO Psc		21.5	273	4 2		24 71	P	
AO Psc		21.5	33	3.4		219.08	1	
AO Psc		25.8	65	35		24 72		
AO Psc		25.8	65	3.0		219.08		
TY Pup		8.4	2	3.8		24.93	P	
V 781 Tau		30.0	318	3.0		24.88	D	
V 781 Tau		14.6	163	8.0		24.88	_	uncertain
AG Vir						25.09	N	
AH Vir		1.71	17	1.6		25.11	D	
GRVir						218.82	Ν	
AH Aur		3.18	63	4.2	4.0	24.90	D	
AH Aur		17.2	80	7.1	7.1	24.90		uncertain
AH Aur		21.8	326	6.6	6.6	24.90		uncertain
ΤΖ Βοο		10.6	120	6.9		218.84	D	uncertain
RW Com						25.12	Ν	poor obs
DK Cyq						218.99	Ν	poor seeing
V 401 C yq		18.0	359	1.2		218.93	P	poor seeing
UXEri						24.76	Ν	poorobs
XZ Leo		18.3	112	7.0		25.03	Р	uncertain
VZLib						218.86	Ν	poor seeing
								- 2

Table 4. CFHT Adaptive Optics observations (1998).

N am e	C om p.	[arcsec]	[deg]	K	Н	H JD {2450800	FLAG	C om m ents
V 508 O ph		2.40	19	3.9	4.2	218.90	D	poor seeing
V 508 Opn BB Peg		13.9	192	4.6	4.8	218 . 90 219 . 01	. N	poor seeing
<u>RZ Tau</u> RZ Tau		0.80 0.80	43 44	3.7 3.9		24.81 219.13	D	

Table 4 | Continued

N ote. $\left| \right.$ The second part of the table below the horizontal line contains binaries fainter than V = 10.

New detections at separations < 5 arcsec are underlined.

Comments on poor observations:

Poor seeing: The adaptive optics system worked poorly when the natural seeing was worse than 1 arcsec;

Poor observation: The central star (contact binary) saturated in som e im ages; Uncertain: The detected companion was very faint, at the limit of detection.

K and H m agnitude di erences relative to the central (contact binary) starwere m easured with accuracy of about 0.1 { $0.2\mbox{ m}$ ag.

Column FLAG gives our rating of the target corresponding to that in Table 1. The ag is given only in the line of rst appearance of target.

 a G Z And: Components B and C, as identi ed in W alker (1973). The component D was outside the eld of view . Components E and F are new .

 ${}^{\rm b}$ ER O ri: Them ore distant companion known before is called B while the physical companion discovered by G oecking et al. (1994) and con rm ed here is called C .

1		· 11						gu			OT III C	د معيد		oncare	~•	
N	am e	P 3 [years]	e ₃	a ₁₂ sin i [AU]	f(m) [M]	C ycle	M ₁₂ sin ³ i [M]	i [deg]	M 12 №]	M 3 i= 90	[m as]	[m as]	V ₀ [km .s ¹]	A [days]	FLAG	R ef.
ΑB	And	59.1 (10)	0.12(3)	3.77 (6)	0.0153(9)	1.72	1.648	86.8	1.656	0.40	8.34	160	2.09	0.0433	D	(1)
ВΧ	And	62 (9)	0.3(3)	2.2(3)	0.0027(11)	1.68		74.5			6.60		2.20	0.0254	S	(2)
СK	Воо	21.3 ^f	0.96(7):	5(4)	0.3(6)	1.36	1.171	56.6	2.012	1.50	6.38	300:	40:	0.0244	S	
VW	C ep	27.8(2)	0.54(4)	2.28 (6)	0.0153(12)	2.80	1.280	63.6	1.781	0.42	36.16	390	5.82	0.0246	D	(4)
ΑK	Her	57.8(8)	0.16(6)	1.65(6)	0.00134(16)	1.81		80.8			10.47		1.72	0.0190	D	(1)
SW	Lac3	93.1f ³	0.71(1)	15,2(2)	0.405 (9)	1.27	2.101	80.2	2.196	1.89	12.30	400	13.82	0.1294	D	(6)
SW	Lac4	28,2(1)	0.51(5)	2.58 (9)	0.021(3)	4.20	2.101	80.2	2.196	0.54	12.30	160	6.33	0.0278	D	(6)
ХY	Leo	19.59(5)	0.09(3)	4.08(4)	0.1774 (58)	3.01	1.046	65.8	1.378	1.00	15.86	140	12.36	0.0470	D	(7)
V 56	66 O ph	23.1(5)	0.6(2)	1.2(2)	0.0031(17)	2.60	1.743	79.8	1.828	0.24	13.98	140	4.01	0.0111	D	
ΕR	0 ri	52 (3)	0.77(5)	5.7(5)	0.068 (18)	1.40	2.503	87.5	2.510	0.93	-6.68		12.69	0.0418	S	(8)
AW	UM a	16.8(5)	0.46(22)	0.44 (6)	0.00030(12)	2.33	1.815	78.3	1.933	0.11	15.13	120	1.77	0.0051	D	(10)
ΑH	V ir	41.1(15)	0.89(5)	4.2(8)	0.042 (24)	1.88	1.889	86.5	1.900	0.65	10.86	180	13,21	0.0219	D	(11)

(11)

(3)

(5)

(9)

Table 5 LITE orbits, estimated masses and angular distances of multiple components

Note. The second part of the table below the horizontal line contains binaries fainter than V = 10.

1.38

2.30

1.17

3.06

1.74

1.37

3.59

1,22

1.637

0.691

1.110

1.798

1.062

1.862

1.749

77.5 1.759

83.8 1.130

79.0 1.901

57.0 1.800

79.9 1.951

86.6 1.752

84.0

0.47

0.31

0.59

0.30

0.19

0.49

6.76

6.57 110

3.02 30:

4.48

13.50

3.35

3.72

6.80

5.16

2.59

5.21

0.0457

0.0708

0.0558

0.0079

0.0366

0.0102

0.0092

0.0390

S

D

S

D

S

S

S

S

System : GCVS name; P3: The orbital period of the 3rd body in years; e3: The orbital eccentricity; a12 sin i: The sem i-m ajor axis of the eclipsing binary orbit around the com m on center of gravity; f (m): The m ass function; C ycle: The num ber of cycles of the third body observed; M 12 sin³ i: The m inim um m ass of the contact pair; i: the orbital inclination of the contact pair; M 12: The m ass of the contact pair; M 3: The m inim um m ass of the third com ponent; : The parallax of the system; : The estim ated angular separation of the third component; V 0: The mean di erence in radial velocities, A: The full am plitude of the system ic velocity and of the (O {C) changes, respectively, as predicted by LITE. The superscript f'' on P₃ or e₃ m eans that the parameter was xed at an optimum value. For SW Lac we give the separate solution for third and fourth component.

References (given only for stars previously known or suspected to display LITE or cyclic period variations):

(1) { Borkovits & Hegedus (1996),

55.8(27) 0.26(7)

34.0 (5) 0.58 (4)

GW Cep 13.5(5) 0.58(28) 0.69(8) 0.0018(7)

V829 Her 10.9(6) 0.26(32) 0.9(2) 0.006(4)

20.4 (4) 0.32 (21) 0.83 (9) 0.0014 (5)

50.2(18) 0.37(12) 3.9(4) 0.024(7)

V 523 C as 86(5) 0.08(7)

UX Eri 42.8(13) 0.6f³

4.1(3)

0.0214 (47)

6.3(2) 0.217(23)

4.8(4) 0.015(4)

3.9(3) 0.033(7)

(2) { Dem ircan et al. (1993),

(3) { Sam ec et al. (2004),

(4) { Pribulla et al. (2000),

- (5) { Erdem & Ozkardes (2004),
- (6) { Pribulla et al. (1999a),
- (7) { Yakut (2003),

ΤΥ Βοο

ΤΖ Βοο

BB Peq

EQ Tau

- (8) { K im et al. (2003),
- (9) { Pribulla & Vanko, M . (2002),

(10) { Pribulla et al. (1999b),

(11) { Hobart et al. (1999)