Equations of G eneral R elativistic R adiation H ydrodynam ics from Tensor Form alism

M yeong-G u Park¹?

¹K yungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea

A coepted 2004 D ecem ber 15. R eceived 2005 February 28

ABSTRACT

R adiation interacts with m atter via exchange of energy and m om entum .W hen m atter is m oving with a relativistic velocity or when the background spacetime is strongly curved, rigorous relativistic treatm ent of hydrodynam ics and radiative transfer is required. Here, we derive fully general relativistic radiation hydrodynam ic equations from a covariant tensor form alism. The equations can be applied to any threedim ensional problem s and are rather starightforward to understand com pared to the com oving fram e-based equations. Current approach is applicable to any spacetime or coordinates, but in this work we speci cally choose the Schwarzschild spacetime to show explicitly how the hydrodynam ic and the radiation m om ent equations are derived. Som e im portant aspects of relativistic radiation hydrodynam ics and the difculty with the radiation m om ent form alism are discussed as well.

Keywords: accretion { hydrodynamics { radiative transfer { relativity

1 IN TRODUCTION

R adiation interacts with m atter. The dynam ics and energy contents of m atter are in uenced by surrounding radiation while radiation itself is concurrently determ ined by surrounding m atter. So one needs to solve the hydrodynam ic and the radiative transfer equations simultaneously and selfconsistently.

W hen m atter is m oving with a relativistic velocity, various relativistic e ects should be taken into account:D oppler shift, aberration, tim e dilation, relativistic beam ing, and so forth. These m ake physical quantities observer-dependent: one needs to specify carefully the observer from whose point of view physical quantities are m easured. The same is true for m atter and radiation in curved spacetim e.G ravitational redshift, tim e dilation, loss cone e ect, and so forth should be taken into account. To consistently deal with all these relativistic e ects, one needs to build the radiation hydrodynam ics within a fully relativistic fram ew ork.

Thom as (1930) formulated special relativistic theory of radiative transfer to deal with radiative viscosity in a di erentially moving media. Lindquist (1966) subsequently developed a covariant form of general relativistic photon transport equation: a partial di erential equation in time, space, angle, and frequency. A straightforward solution to such a fully angle-and frequency-dependent radiative transfer equation would be a direct integration of the multidimensional, partial di erential equation. A though this can be done in principle by a nite di erence method (Hauschildt & Wehrse 1991; Liebendorfer et al. 2004), characteristic methods which reduce the transfer equation to a single ordinary di erential equation along characteristic rays are more practical and successfully applied to special relativistic (M ihalas 1980) and general relativistic problem s (Schmid-Burgk 1978; Schinder 1988; Schinder & Bludm an 1989; Zane et al. 1996). How ever, even these characteristic methods have been limited so far to spherically symmetric and steady cases. And if one is interested in the dynamics of the gas ow rather than in the details of the emergent spectrum, sim pler description of the radiation eld may su ce.

Lindquist (1966) also derived relativistic radiation mom ent equations from the transport equation. In the radiation m om ent form alism, a nite num ber of angularm om ents, generated from the speci c intensity, are used to describe the radiation eld. Thome (1981) generalized the m om ent form alism to projected sym metric trace-free (PSTF) tensors to derive the relativistic version of m om ent equations up to an arbitrary order. PSTF form alism has been successfully applied to a variety of spherically sym metric problem s (F lam m ang 1982, 1984; Turolla & Nobili 1988; Nobili, Turolla, & Zam pieri 1991, 1993; Zam pieri, Turolla, & Treves 1993).

A lm ost all aforem entioned form alism s are based on the com oving fram e: m atter and radiation quantities and their directional derivatives are de ned and described w ithin the com oving fram e. It is m ost convenient to de ne various m atter and radiation quantities and describe their interactions in the com oving fram e, a fram e that m oves along with m atter. But, the directional derivatives in the com oving fram e

2 Myeong-GuPark

are rather aw kw and because the velocity of m atter varies in space and in time.

O ne can also present the radiation hydrodynam ic equations in Eulerian fram ework: derivatives appear in much sim pler form in the Eulerian frame. The most straightforward Eulerian frame is a frame xed with respect to the central object, which we call the xed frame. The methodology of using comoving radiation quantities within xed-frame coordinates was rst employed by M ihalas (1980), which we will call them ixed-fram e form alism . Park (1993) also started from covariant tensor equations for energy-m om entum to derive the m ixed-fram e radiation hydrodynam ic equations for spherically sym m etric system s: m atter and radiation quantities and their derivatives were in covariant form s under xed-fram e coordinates while the interactions between radiation and m atter were described by the com oving quantities. This approach makes the equations easier to interpret and apply to astrophysical problem s. Recently, special relativistic radiation hydrodynam ic equations for three-dim ensional problem s were derived by the same approach (Park 2004). In this work, we extend this mixed-frame form alism to arbitrary spacetime or coordinates. We show speci cally how the three-dimensional, general relativistic radiation hydrodynam ic equations are derived in the Schwarzschild geom etry.W e also discuss som e aspects of relativistic radiation hydrodynam ics as well as the di culty in closing the radiation m om ent equations. W = put c = 1 in m ost equations except in a few cases where c is explicitly written for clarity.

2 TENSOR EQUATIONS

2.1 M atter

The energy-momentum tensor of an ideal gas is

$$T = !_{g}U U + P_{g}g ; \qquad (1)$$

where U is the four velocity of the gas and $!_g$ " $_g$ + P_g the gas enthalpy per unit proper volume which is the sum of the gas energy density " $_g$ and the gas pressure P_g . The functional dependence of the gas enthalpy $!_g$ on the gas tem perature T can become com plex, especially in the transrelativistic regime (Service 1986).

2.2 Radiation

The energy and momentum of the radiation eld are represented by the radiation stress tensor that consists of zeroth, rst, and second moments in angle of the radiation eld, 7.7

$$R = I(n;)nndd; \qquad (2)$$

where I(x;n;) is the speci c intensity of photons moving in direction n on a unit sphere of projected tangent space with the frequency measured by a ducial observer, p the four-momentum of photons, and n p =h . Since I = ³ which is proportional to the photon distribution function and d are fram e-independent scalars, R is a contravariant tensor.

2.3 Radiation Hydrodynam ic Equations in Covariant Form

Since m ass and energy are equivalent in relativity, it is the particle number density, rather than the m ass density, that is conserved in relativistic hydrodynam ics. The continuity equation, therefore, should represent the conservation of the number density,

$$(nU)_{,} = 0:$$
 (3)

In the absence of any external force other than the radiative interaction, the total energy-m om entum tensor of gas plus radiation is conserved,

$$T + R = 0: (4)$$

One can de ne the radiation four-force density to specifically describe the energy and momentum transferred from radiation to gas (M ihalas & M ihalas 1984),

$$G = \frac{1}{c} d d [I(n;)] n;$$
 (5)

where is the opacity per unit proper length and the emissivity per proper unit volume. The combinations =² and are again fram e-independent scalars.

This radiation four-force density is equal to the divergence of the energy-m om entum tensor for m atter,

$$T_{i} = G_{i}$$
; (6)

and to the m inus the divergence of the radiation stress tensor,

$$R_{,} = G_{,}$$
(7)

W hen m icro-physical processes for the interactions between radiation and m atter are known, equation (4) can be put into two separate equations (6) and (7) and solved.

3 SCHWARZSCHILD SPACETIME

The above tensor equations (3) { (7) can be explicitly written out in any spacetime or coordinates. In this work, we speci cally choose the Schwarzschild spacetime to show explicitly how to derive more familiar hydrodynamic and radiation moment equations and various transformation relations among radiation moments. Much simpler, spherically symmetric case has been shown in Park (1993).

3.1 Schwarzschild geometry

The most fam iliar form of the Schwarzschild metric is

$$d^{2} = g dx dx$$

= ${}^{2}dt^{2} \frac{dr^{2}}{2} r^{2}(d^{2} + \sin^{2} d^{2});$ (8)

where M is the mass of the central object, m $GM = c^2$, and

$$1 \frac{2m}{r}$$
 (9)

is the lapse function .

The four-velocity of the gas, de ned as

$$U = \frac{dx}{d}; \qquad (10)$$

General Relativistic Radiation Hydrodynamics 3

satis es the norm alization condition

$$U U = 1;$$
 (11)

from which one can de ne the energy parameter

$$y \qquad U_t = {}^2 + (U^r)^2 + {}^2 (rU)^2 + {}^2 (r\sin U)^2 {}^{1=2} : (12)$$

3.2 Tetrads

It is not trivial to de ne physical quantities for m atter or radiation in curved spacetime. However, one can always set up some tetrad, and since a tetrad frame is a locally inertial frame, physical quantities can be straightforwardly de ned as in at spacetime. A mong various tetrads, xed and commoving tetrads are the most relevant ones. A xed tetrad is an orthonormal tetrad xed with respect to the coordinates and has base $e_1^2 = @=@x^1$ that can be expressed in terms of coordinate base $@=@x^1$ as

$$\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\theta}\dot{t}} = \frac{1}{\dot{\theta}}\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\theta}t}$$

$$\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\theta}\dot{t}} = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\theta}r}$$

$$\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\theta}\dot{r}} = \frac{1}{r}\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\theta}}$$

$$\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\theta}\dot{r}} = \frac{1}{r}\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\theta}} = \frac{1}$$

Physical quantities de ned in the xed tetrad are those m easured by a ducial observer who is xed with respect to the coordinates. This observer sees m atter m oving with the proper velocity v, which is related to the four-velocity as

$$\mathbf{v}^{i} = \frac{\mathbf{U}^{2}}{\mathbf{U}^{\ell}} = \frac{\mathbf{U} \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\mathbf{U} \mathbf{e}_{\ell}}; \tag{14}$$

where $U^{\hat{1}}$ and $U^{\hat{t}}$ are the spatial and time parts of the xed tetrad components of the four-velocity and $e_{\hat{1}}$ is the -th component of the tetrad base $e_{\hat{1}}$. Speci cally,

$$v^{r} = \frac{1}{y} U^{r};$$

$$v = \frac{1}{y} U;$$
(15)

$$v = -rsin U :$$

Y

Since v is a three vector de ned in the tetrad, $v_i = v^i$. We also de ne the Lorentz factor for v as

$$(1 \quad v^2)^{1=2} = \frac{Y}{2};$$
 (16)

where $v^2 = v$ $v = yv^i = v_r^2 + v^2 + v^2$. The value of v^2 at the horizon is always 1 regardless of Uⁱ: a ducial observer xed at the horizon always sees matter radially falling in with velocity c.

A com oving tetrad m oves with velocity v^i relative to the xed tetrad and therefore is related to the xed tetrad by the Lorentz transform ation,

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta x_{co}^{2}} = \hat{(v)} \frac{\theta}{\theta x^{2}}; \qquad (17)$$

where ^ and ^ denote tetrad's bases. The components of Lorentz transform ation $\hat{}_{}^{}(v)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \stackrel{e}{e} &= & \\ \stackrel{1}{e} &= & v^{i} \end{aligned} (18) \\ \stackrel{e}{j} &= & v_{j} \\ \stackrel{1}{j} &= & \stackrel{i}{j} + v^{i}v_{j} \frac{1}{v^{2}} : \end{aligned}$$

W e can also express the com oving tetrad in terms of coordinate base, which is useful when converting the tetrad components to and from covariant ones:

$$\frac{d}{\theta \hat{t}_{co}} = -\frac{d}{\theta t} + v_r \frac{d}{\theta r} + v \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{\theta} + v \frac{1}{r \sin \theta}, \frac{d}{\theta};$$

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta \hat{t}_{co}} = -v_r \frac{\theta}{\theta t} + 1 + (1) \frac{v_r^2}{v^2}, \frac{\theta}{\theta r},$$

$$+ (1) \frac{v_r v}{v^2}, \frac{1}{r} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + (1) \frac{v_r v}{v^2}, \frac{1}{r \sin \theta}, \frac{\theta}{\theta};$$

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta \hat{t}_{co}} = -v \frac{\theta}{\theta t} + (1) \frac{v_r v}{v^2}, \frac{\theta}{\theta r},$$

$$+ 1 + (1) \frac{v^2}{v^2}, \frac{1}{r} \frac{\theta}{\theta};$$

$$+ (1) \frac{v v}{v^2}, \frac{1}{r \sin \theta}, \frac{\theta}{\theta};$$

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta \hat{t}_{co}} = -v \frac{\theta}{\theta t} + (1) \frac{v_r v}{v^2}, \frac{\theta}{\theta r};$$

$$+ (1) \frac{v v}{v^2}, \frac{1}{r \sin \theta}, \frac{\theta}{\theta};$$

Sim ilarly, applying the inverse Lorentz transform ation $\hat{}$ (v) yields the inverse transform ation from the com oving tetrad to the coordinate base:

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta t} = \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}} \quad v_r \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}} \quad v \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}} \quad v \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}};$$

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta r} = v_r \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}} + 1 + (1) \frac{v_r^2}{v^2} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}};$$

$$+ (1) \frac{v_r v}{v^2} \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}} + (1) \frac{v_r v}{v^2} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}};$$

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\theta}{\theta} = v \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}} + (1) \frac{v v_r}{v^2} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}};$$

$$+ 1 + (1) \frac{v^2}{v^2} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}};$$

$$+ (1) \frac{v v}{v^2} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}};$$

$$\frac{1}{sin} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta} = v \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}} + (1) \frac{v v_r}{v^2} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}};$$

$$+ (1) \frac{v v}{v^2} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta t_{co}};$$

r

4 Myeong-GuPark

3.3 Radiation M om ents

Radiation m om ents can be de ned from the specic intensity, I (x;n), either in a xed tetrad or in a comoving tetrad as done in at spacetime.

The radiation energy density is equal to the zeroth ${\tt m}$ o- ${\tt m}$ ent

$$Z Z \qquad Z Z$$

$$E = I d d; E_{co} = I_{co} d_{co} d_{co}; \qquad (21)$$

where d and d $_{co}$ are the solid angle elements in the xed and com oving tetrad, respectively. The radiation ux consists of three rst m om ents 7.7

$$F^{i} = I n^{i} d d; F^{i}_{co} = I_{co} n^{i}_{co} d_{co} d_{co};$$
 (22)

where i = r; . The radiation pressure tensor is symmetric and consists of six second m om ents 7.7

$$P^{ij} = I n^{i} n^{j} d d ; P^{ij}_{co} = I_{co} n^{i}_{co} n^{j}_{co} d_{co} d_{co}$$
(23)

From the de nition of the radiation stress tensor (Eq. 2), the tetrad components of the radiation stress tensor for the xed tetrad are simply

For the spherically symmetric radiation eld, $F = F = P^{r} = P^{r} = 0$ and $P = P = (E P^{rr})=2$. The com oving tetrad components are similarly

The contravariant components of the radiation stress tensor R are related to the xed tetrad components by the transform ation

$$R = \frac{\theta x}{\theta x^{\hat{}}} \frac{\theta x}{\theta x^{\hat{}}} R^{\hat{}}; \qquad (26)$$

and contain all the curvature and coordinate speci cs,

Since tetrad components R $\hat{}$ and R $_{co}\hat{}$ are Lorentz tensors, they are related by the Lorentz boost $\hat{}$ (v),

Substituting equations (24) and (25) yields the standard transformation law between the xed-frame radiation mom ents and the com oving-frame radiation moments (M ihalas & M ihalas 1984; M unier & W eaver 1986), which is reproduced here for com pleteness:

$$E_{co} = {}^{2} E 2v_{i}F^{i} + v_{i}v_{j}P^{ij}$$

$$F_{co}^{i} = {}^{2}v^{i}E + {}^{j} + (\frac{1}{v^{2}} +)v^{i}v_{j}F^{j}$$
(29)

$$P_{co}^{ij} = {}^{2}v^{i}v^{j}E \qquad v^{i}_{k} + \frac{1}{v^{2}}v^{i}v_{k} P^{jk} \qquad (30)$$

$$P_{co}^{ij} = {}^{2}v^{i}v^{j}E \qquad v^{i}_{k} + v^{j}_{k} + 2\frac{1}{v^{2}}v^{i}v^{j}v_{k} F^{k} + (\frac{i}{k} + \frac{1}{v^{2}}v^{i}v_{k})(\frac{j}{k} + \frac{1}{v^{2}}v^{j}v_{1})P^{k1}; \qquad (31)$$

Since this transform ation is between tetrad quantities, it is valid in curved spacetime as well, as long as the proper velocity v is used. Replacing v with v yields the inverse transform ation. As discussed in detail in M ihalas & M ihalas (1984), the com oving-fram e radiation ux can be significantly di erent from the xed-fram e ux due to the advection of radiation energy density and pressure, $v^{i}E + v_{j}P^{ij}$, and one should carefully determ ine which ux is the appropriate one, especially in a lower-order approximation.

3.4 Radiation Four-Force Density

The energy and momentum transfer rates, measured by a com oving observer, are given by the com oving tetrad com - ponents of the radiation four-force density,

In terms of the usual heating function $_{co}$, the cooling function $_{co}$ (both per unit proper volum e) and them ean opacity $_{co}$ (per unit proper length), all in the com oving fram e,

$$G_{co}^{t} = co co$$
(33)

$$G_{co}^{i} = {}_{co}F_{co}^{i}; \qquad (34)$$

where

7

7

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ c \\ c \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ z \\ z \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ z \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ z \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c_{\text{c}} & \frac{1}{c} & d_{c_{\text{c}}} & d_{c_{\text{c}} c_{\text{c}}}; \\ z & z \end{array}$$
(36)

$$_{co}F_{co}^{i}$$
 $\frac{1}{c}$ d_{co} d_{co} $c_{co}I_{co}n_{co}^{i}$: (37)

Expression in the form of equation (34) is possible only if the therm ally em itted (and/or scattered) photons are isotropic in the com oving fram e so that the net mom entum they remove from the gas is zero.

The components $G_{\rm con}$ are related to the comoving tetrad components $G_{\rm co}$ by the transform ation

$$G = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{co}} G_{co}^{*} :$$
(38)

Substituting $0x = 0x_{co}$ from equation (19) yields

$$G^{t} = - \overset{h}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{e} + v_{i} \overset{i}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{1}$$

$$G^{r} = \overset{h}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{e} + v_{r} \overset{i}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{e} + \frac{1}{v^{2}} v_{r} v_{i} \overset{i}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{1}$$

$$G = \frac{1}{r} \overset{h}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{e} + v \overset{i}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{e} + \frac{1}{v^{2}} v v_{i} \overset{i}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{1}$$

$$G = \frac{1}{r \sin} \overset{h}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{e} + v \overset{i}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{e} + \frac{1}{v^{2}} v v_{i} \overset{i}{G} \overset{i}{}_{co}^{1}$$

$$(39)$$

4 RADIATION HYDRODYNAM IC EOUATIONS

4.1 Continuity Equation

The continuity equation in the Schwarzschild cordinates (8) is

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta t} (yn) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\theta}{\theta r} (r^2 n U^r) + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} (\sin n U) + \frac{\theta}{\theta} (n U) = 0; \quad (40)$$

which is the conservation equation of the particle number, rather than the mass density, because the mass density in relativity necessarily contains the internal energy that is not always conserved.

The continuity equation for spherically symmetric, steady-state ow becomes

$$4 r^2 n U^r = N = constant:$$
(41)

O ne should note that the velocity U^r in equation (41) is the radial component of the four-velocity U which is di erent from the proper velocity v^r. It is the proper velocity v^r = $y^{-1}U^{-r}$ that is always equal to c at the black hole horizon (r = 2m) regardless of the value of U^r while U^r is not necessarily equal to c at the horizon. This applies to non-spherical cases as well.

4.2 Hydrodynam ic Equations

The projection tensor P $\;$ projects a tensor on a direction perpendicular to U $\;$,

$$P = g + U U = + U U :$$
 (42)

Relativistic Euler equation is obtained by projecting equation (6) with P to get P T ; = P G , which becomes

$$!_{q}U$$
; U + g P_{q} ; + U U P_{q} ; = G + U U G : (43)

Radial part of Euler equation is obtained by xing = $r_{r_{i}}$

$$!_{g}U^{t}\frac{@U^{r}}{@t} + !_{g}U^{i}\frac{@U^{r}}{@x^{i}}$$

$$+ !_{g}\frac{m}{r^{2}} = {}^{2}(U^{t})^{2} = {}^{2}(U^{r})^{2}$$

$$!_{g}\frac{}{r} (rU^{r})^{2} + (r\sin U^{r})^{2}$$

$$+ U^{r}U^{t}\frac{@P_{g}}{@t} + {}^{2}\frac{@P_{g}}{@r} + U^{r}U^{i}\frac{@P_{g}}{@x^{i}}$$

$$= yU^{r}G^{t} + [1 + {}^{2}(U^{r})^{2}]G^{r} + r^{2}U^{r}U^{r}U^{r}$$

$$+ r^{2}\sin^{2} U^{r}U^{r}U^{r}G^{r} :$$

$$(44)$$

The term m r² on the left-hand side represents the gravitational acceleration and ²r¹[(rU)² + (rsin U)²] the centrifugal acceleration. The right-hand side of the equation consists of all four components of G , not just G^r. In a spherically symmetric case, the equation becomes (Park 1993)

$$\frac{\underline{y}}{\underline{\theta}\underline{U}^{r}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}\underline{r}} \left(\underline{U}^{r}\right)^{2} + \frac{\underline{m}}{r^{2}} + \frac{\underline{y}}{\underline{U}^{r}}\frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}\underline{r}} + \frac{\underline{y}^{2}}{\underline{\theta}\underline{\theta}\underline{r}} + \frac{\underline{y}^{2}}{\underline{\theta}\underline{\theta}\underline{r}} = \frac{\underline{y}}{\underline{\theta}}_{g}G_{co}^{r} = \frac{\underline{y}}{\underline{\theta}}_{g}C_{co}^{r}F_{co}^{r}; \qquad (45)$$

and the com oving-frame ux F_{co}^{r} , rather than the xedframe ux F^{r} , is directly responsible for the radial acceleration. Therefore, even when the net ux F^{r} m easured by an observer at rest with respect to the coordinate is sm all, gas particles can still experience signi cant radiative force in the presence of signi cant radiation energy density E and pressure P^{ij} in the optically thick m edium, and slow down e ciently (Park & M iller 1991).

The -part of the Euler equation is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

and the -part

$$!_{g}U^{t}\frac{@U}{@t} + !_{g}U^{i}\frac{@U}{@x^{i}}$$

$$+ 2!_{g}\frac{1}{r}U^{r}U + 2!_{g}\cot U U$$

$$+ U U^{t}\frac{@P_{g}}{@t} + \frac{1}{r^{2}\sin^{2}}\frac{@P_{g}}{@} + U U^{i}\frac{@P_{g}}{@x^{i}}$$

$$= YU G^{t} + {}^{2}U^{r}U G^{r} + r^{2}U U G$$

$$+ [I + r^{2}\sin^{2} (U)^{2}]G :$$

$$(47)$$

Energy equation is m ore readily obtained by projecting equation (6) along the four-velocity U T $\ ;\ =\ U$ G ,

$$nU^{t}\frac{\theta}{\theta t} \frac{!g}{n} \quad nU^{i}\frac{\theta}{\theta x^{i}} \frac{!g}{n} + U^{t}\frac{\theta P_{g}}{\theta t} + U^{i}\frac{\theta P_{g}}{\theta x^{i}}$$

$$= \qquad yG^{t} + \qquad ^{2}U^{r}G^{r} + r^{2}U^{r}G + r^{2}\sin^{2}U^{r}G^{r}$$
(48)
$$= \qquad G^{\ell}_{roo} = \qquad co:$$

A gain, the exchange rate of energy between m atter and radiation is expressed by heating and cooling functions m easured in a com oving fram e.

4.3 Radiation M om ent Equations

The zeroth m om ent equation, i.e., the radiation energy equation, is obtained by taking the time component (=t) in equation (7) and expressing G with the corresponding radiation m om ents,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{@E}{@t} + \frac{1}{2r^{2}}\frac{@}{@r}(r^{2} F^{r}) + \frac{1}{r\sin\frac{0}{2}}\frac{@}{(\sin F)} + \frac{1}{r\sin\frac{0}{2}}\frac{@}{(F)} (F)$$
(49)
= $G^{t} = \frac{Y}{2} \cos \cos + \cos v_{i}F_{co}^{i}$:

In equation (49), both the tem poral and spatial derivatives are applied to the xed-fram e radiation m om ents while the interaction between m atter and radiation is described by the com oving fram e quantities. This h ixed-fram e' representation sim pli es the equation and m akes each term easier to understand. For exam ple, $_{\rm co}$ $_{\rm co}$ is the energy input from the m atter to the radiation eld through the usual radiative heating and cooling processes and $_{\rm co} v_{\rm i} F_{\rm co}^{\rm i}$ is the work

6 Myeong-GuPark

done by the photons to the matter via transfer of m om entum through scattering or absorption (Park & M iller 1991).

W hen the spherically sym m etric radiation eld does not vary in time nor interacts with matter, equation (49) becom es the generalized lum inosity conservation' equation,

$$4 r^{2} r^{2} F^{r} = L_{1}; (50)$$

where the constant L_1 is the lum inosity measured by an observer at in nity. A static observer at a given radius r nds the lum inosity to be a function of radius,

$$L_r = 4 r^2 F^r = \frac{L_1}{1 2m = r}$$
: (51)

This is the well-known gravitational redshift e ect: locally m easured (within the gravitational potential) xed-fram e lum inosity can be larger than the lum inosity seen by an observer far away (outside the gravitational potential). For example, the xed-fram e lum inosity near the com pact ob ject can be super-E ddington even when the lum inosity m easured far away is sub-E ddington (Park 1992).

The r-part of equation (7) yields the radiation m om entum equation in r-direction,

$$\frac{\partial F^{r}}{\partial t} + \frac{2}{\partial r} \frac{\partial P^{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin P^{r} + \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial Q^{r}}{\partial r} \sin \frac{\partial Q^{r}$$

$$= {}_{\rm co}F_{\rm co}^{\rm r} \quad v_{\rm r} ({}_{\rm co} {}_{\rm co}) \quad -\frac{1}{{}_{\rm v}^2}v_{\rm r}v_{\rm i} {}_{\rm co}F_{\rm co}^{\rm i}:$$

In relativistic treatm ent, v^1 -order term v_r ($_{co}$ $_{co}$) and the redshift term (m =r) (E + P)=r should be included as well as the correction factors and y. These relativistic terms are negligible in slow ly moving, optically thin ow. However, when v & 1, they signi cantly a ect the radiation eld as well as the dynamics of the gas ow (Park 1990; Park & M iller 1991; Park 1992; Park & M iller 1995).

The radiation momentum equation in -direction from equation (7) is

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} rP^{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\sin} P$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r\sin} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \frac{2}{r}P^{r} \frac{1}{r\tan}P$$

$$= rG$$
(53)

$$= c_{o}F_{co} \quad v(c_{o} c_{o}) \quad \frac{1}{v^2}v v_{i} c_{o}F_{co}^{i};$$

and that in -direction is

$$\frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\theta F}{\theta t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\theta}{\theta r} rP^{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\theta P}{\theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\theta P}{\theta}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{r} P^{r} + \frac{2}{r \tan} P$$

$$= r \sin G$$

$$= coF_{co} v (co co) \frac{1}{v^{2}} v v_{i co}F_{co}^{i}:$$
(54)

In a static non-relativistic case, equations (52{54) reduce to the fam iliar di usion equation

$$\mathbf{F}^{i} = -\frac{1}{co} \mathbf{P}^{ij}; \mathbf{j}:$$
(55)

4.4 D iscussion

A lthough reducing the angle-dependent radiative transfer equation to the radiation m om ent equations lowers the dim ensionality of the problem from seven (space, time, angle, and frequency) to four (space and time), it comes with a price: while the number of m om ent equations (49) and (52-54) is only four, the number of all radiation m om ents to be determ ined, E, F^{i} , and P^{ij} , is ten. This is a generic problem with m om ent equations: equations at each order always contain successively higher order m om ents. A stronom ers routinely employ some kind of closure relation to close the equations, for example, the Eddington factors. In complex radiation hydrodynam ic calculations, of the optical depth is som etim estried (see, e.g., Tam azawa et al. 1975, Park 1990).

But it can't be stressed enough that the correct form of the Eddington factors or any other closure relations can only be obtained by solving the full angle-dependent radiative transfer equation (see e.g., Auer & M ihalas 1970, Hummer & Rybicki 1971, Y in & M iller 1995), which has been rarely tried for three-dimensional ows and much less for relativistic (Schinder & Bludman 1989) or time-dependent ows. Even in one-dimensional systems, the viable method, iterative variable Eddington m ethod, requires expensive com putations and, m oreover, when the ow m otion is not m onotonic various angle-frequency components couple along a ray and even posing boundary conditions become a problem (Mihalas 1980; Nobili, Turolla, & Zampieri 1993). Iteration method by Schmid-Burgk (1978) seems to be the only workable approach for the general relativistic system, but it works only for spherically sym metric cases. A lthough there had been a suggestion for the general shape of the Eddginton factors for arbitrary three-dimensional radiation hydrodynam ic system s (M inerbo 1978), its validity has yet to be veri ed by true angle-dependent radiative transfer calculations for a variety of cases (Schinder & Bludm an 1989). Needless to say, in special cases where the speci c intensity or the radiation m om ents can be directly calculated, the incom plete radiation m om ent equations can be eschewed altogether (e.g., Chattopadhyay 2005).

O ther related problem with the radiation moment formalism is that the exact nature of the radiation eld can be properly described only by the in nite number of moments. U sing a nite number of moments by term inating higher order moments or assuming some kind of closure relation can be a good enough approximation in certain conditions, but not necessarily so in any situation: it can lead to spurious pathological behaviours such as a radiation shock, especially when the velocity gradient is steep (Turolla & Nobili 1988; D ullem ond 1999).

5 SUMMARY

G eneral relativistic, radiation hydrodynam ic equations are derived using a covariant tensor formulation. M atter and radiation quantities are de ned in the xed and com oving tetrads and transform ed to corresponding covariant form s. The interactions between m atter and radiation are described by the radiation four-force density de ned in the com oving tetrad and transform ed to the covariant form. C onservation of energy and m om entum separately for m atter

and radiation yield the hydrodynam ic and radiation mom ent equations. Current approach is applicable to any threedim ensional system s in any spacetime or coordinates, but in this work, we show explicitly how the equations are derived for the Schwarzschild spacetime. Certain aspects of relativistic radiation hydrodynam ics and the fundam ental limitation of the radiation moment form alism have been discussed as well.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e thank the anonymous referee for many insightful and invaluable comments. This work is the result of research activities (A strophysical Research Center for the Structure and Evolution of the Cosmos) supported by Korea Science & Engineering Foundation.

REFERENCES

AuerL.H., Mihala D., 1970, MNRAS, 149, 65 Begelm an M . C ., 1978, M N R A S, 184, 53 Castor J. I., 1972, ApJ, 178, 779 Chattopadhyay I., 2005, MNRAS, 356, 145 Dullem ond C.P., 1999, A&A, 343, 1030 Flam m ang, R.A., 1982, M NRAS, 199, 833 Flammang, R.A., 1984, MNRAS, 206, 589 Hauschildt P.H., Wehrse R., 1991, JQ SRT, 46, 81 HummerD.G., RybickiG.B., 1971, MNRAS, 152, 1 Liebendorfer M., Messer O.E.B., Mezzacappa A., Bruenn S.W , CardallC.Y., Thielem ann F.-K., 2004, ApJS, 150, 263 Lindquist R.W., 1966, Ann. Phys., 37, 487 M ihalas D., 1980, ApJ, 237, 574 M ihalas D., M ihalas B.W., 1984, Foundations of Radiation Hydrodynam ics, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford Miller G.S., 1990, ApJ, 356, 572 M inerbo G .N., 1978, J.Quant. Spectosc. R adiat. Transfer, 20,541 MunierA., WeaverR., 1986, Comp. Phys. Rep., 3, 125 Nobili L., Turolla R., Zam pieri L., 1991, ApJ, 383, 250 Nobili L., Turolla R., Zam pieri L., 1993, ApJ, 404, 686 Park M.-G., 1990, ApJ, 354, 64 Park M .-G ., 1992, J. K or. Phys. Soc., 25, S294 Park M .-G ., 1993, A & A , 274, 642 Park M.-G., 2004, J. of K orean Phys. Soc., 45, 1531 Park M.-G., Miller, G.S., 1991, ApJ, 371, 708 Park M .-G ., M iller, G . S., 1995, J. Kor. Phys. Soc., 28, S555 Schinder P. J., 1988, PhR vD, 38, 1673 Schinder P. J., Bludm an S. A., 1989, ApJ, 346, 350 Schm id-Burgk J., 1978, Ap& SS, 56, 191 Service A.T., 1986, ApJ, 307, 60 Tam azawa S., Toyam a K., Kaneko N., Ono Y., 1975, Ap& SS, 32, 403 Thom as, L.H., 1930, Quart. J.M ath., 1, 239 Thome K.S., 1981, MNRAS, 194, 439 Turolla R., Nobili L., 1988, MNRAS, 235, 1273 Yin W.-W., Miller, G.S., 1995, ApJ, 449, 826 Zam pieri L., Turolla R., Treves A., 1993, ApJ, 419, 311

General Relativistic Radiation Hydrodynamics 7

Zane S., Turolla R., Nobili L., Ema M., 1996, ApJ, 466, 871

This paperhas been typeset from a $T_{\rm E}X$ / $\mathbbm{B}T_{\rm E}X$. leprepared by the author.