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#### Abstract

$R$ adiation interacts $w$ ith $m$ atter via exchange ofenergy and $m$ om entum . $W$ hen $m$ atter is $m$ oving $w$ ith a relativistic velocity or when the background spacetim e is strongly curved, rigorous relativistic treatm ent of hydrodynam ics and radiative transfer is required. Here, we derive fully general relativistic radiation hydrodynam ic equations from a covariant tensor form alism. The equations can be applied to any threedim ensional problem s and are rather starightforw ard to understand com pared to the com oving fram e-based equations. Current approach is applicable to any spacetim e or coordinates, but in this work we speci cally choose the Schw arzschild spacetim e to show explicitly how the hydrodynam ic and the radiation $m$ om ent equations are derived. Som e im portant aspects of relativistic radiation hydrodynam ics and the difculty w th the radiation m om ent form alism are discussed as well.
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## 1 IN TRODUCTION

R adiation interacts w ith m atter. T he dynam ics and energy contents of $m$ atter are in uenced by surrounding radiation while radiation itself is concurrently determ ined by surrounding $m$ atter. So one needs to solve the hydrodynam ic and the radiative transfer equations sim ultaneously and self-


W limenm atter-is m oving withoarezativistic velocity, vari- ous relativistic e ects should be taken into account:D oppler shift, aberration, tim e dilation, relativistic beam ing, and so forth. These $m$ ake physical quantities observer-dependent: one needs to specify carefilly the observer from whose point of view physical quantities are $m$ easured. The sam e is true for $m$ atter and radiation in curved spacetim e. G ravitational redshift, tim e dilation, loss cone e ect, and so forth should be taken into account. To consistently deal w ith all these relativistic e ects, one needs to build the radiation hydrodynam ics w_ithin a fully relativistic fram ew ork.
=- $\bar{T}$ of radiative transfer to deal with radiative viscosity in a di erentially m oving m edia. indquist (1966) subsequently developed a covariant form of general relativistic photon transp ort equation: a partial di erential equation in tim e, space, angle, and frequency. A straightforw ard solution to such a fully angle-and frequency-dependent radiative transfer equation would be a direct integration of the multidim ensional, partial di erential equation. A lthough this

[^0]can be done in principle by a nite di erence m ethod (H auschildt \& W ehrse 1991';'Liebendorfer et al. 2004'), characteristic $m$ ethods which reduce the transfer equation to a single ordinary di erential equation along characteristic rays are $m$ ore practical and successfully applied to special relativistic (Minalas 1980 and general relativistic problem s Schm id Burgk 1978; Schinder 1988; Schinder \& B hudm an 1989; 亿ane et al. 1996). H ow ever, even these characteristic $m$ ethods have been lim ited so far to spherically sym $m$ etric and steady cases. A nd if one is interested in the dynam ics of the gas ow rather than in the details of the em ergent spectrum, sim pler description of the radiation eld $m$ ay su ce.

Lindquist (1966) also derived relativistic radiation mo$m$ ent equations from the transport equation. In the radiation $m$ om ent form alism, a nite num ber ofangularm om ents, generated from the speci cintensity, are used to describe the radiation eld.Thome (1981) generalized the $m$ om ent for$m$ alism to projected sym m etric trace-free (P STF) tensors to derive the relativistic version of $m$ om ent equations up to an arbitrary order. P STF form alism has been successfully applied to a variety of spherically sym m etric problem s elam mang 1982, 1984;'Turolla \& N obili 1988; Nobili, Turolla, \& Zampieri1991, 11993;

A m ost all aforem entioned form alism $s$ are based on the com oving fram e:m atter and radiation quantities and their directional derivatives are de ned and described within the com oving fram $e$. It is $m$ ost conven ient to de ne various $m$ atter and radiation quantities and describe their interactions in the com oving fram $e$, a fram $e$ that $m$ oves along $w$ th $m$ atter. But, the directional derivatives in the com oving fram e
are rather aw kw ard because the velocity ofm atter varies in space and in time.

O ne can also present the radiation hydrodynam ic equations in E ulerian fram ew ork: derivatives appear in $m u c h$ sim pler form in the Eulerian fram $e$. The most straightforw ard Eulerian frame is a frame xed $w$ ith respect to the central ob ject, which we call the xed fram e. The m ethodology of using com oving radiation quantities within xed-fram e coordinates was rst em ployed by M ihalas (1980), which we w ill call them ixed-fram e form alism .Park (1993) also started from covariant tensor equations for energy-m om entum to derive the $m$ ixed-fram e radiation hydrodynam ic equations for spherically sym $m$ etric system $s: m$ atter and radiation quantities and their derivatives were in covariant form $s$ under xed-fram e coordinates while the interactions betw een radiation and $m$ atter w ere described by the com oving quantities. $T h$ is approach $m$ akes the equations easier to interpret and apply to astrophysical problem s. R ecently, special relativistic radiation hydrodynam ic equations for three-dim ensional problem s w ere derived by the sam e approach (Park 20041). In this work, we extend this m ixed-fram e form alism to arbitrary spacetim e or coordinates. W e show speci cally how the three-dim ensional, general relativistic radiation hydrodynam ic equations are derived in the Schw arzschild geom etry. W e also discuss som e aspects of relativistic radiation hydrodynam ics as well as the di culty in closing the radiation m om ent equations. W e put $\mathrm{c}=1$ in m ost equations except in a few cases where $c$ is explicitly w ritten for clarity.

## 2 TENSOREQUATIONS

### 2.1 M atter

The energy-m om entum tensor of an ideal gas is
T $\quad{ }_{g} U \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{G}$;
$w$ here $U$ is the four velocity of the gas and $!_{g} \quad "_{g}+$ $P_{g}$ the gas enthalpy per unit proper volum ewhich is the sum of the gas energy density " $g$ and the gas pressure $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$. The functional dependence of the gas enthalpy $!g$ on the gas tem perature $T$ can becom e complex, especially in the transrelativistic regim e (Service 1986).

### 2.2 R adiation

The energy and $m$ om entum of the radiation eld are represented by the radiation stress tensor that consists of zeroth, rst, and second $m$ om ents in angle of the radiation eld, Z Z
$R=I(n ;) n n d d$;
where $I(x ; n ;)$ is the speci cintensity of photons moving in direction $n$ on a unit sphere of projected tangent space $w$ th the frequency $m$ easured by a ducialobserver, $p$ the fourm om entum of photons, and $n \quad p=h$. Since $I={ }^{3}$ which is proportional to the photon distribution function and $d$ d are fram e-independent scalars, $R$ is a contravariant tensor.

### 2.3 R adiation $H$ ydrodynam ic Equations in C ovariant Form

Since $m$ ass and energy are equivalent in relativity, it is the particle num ber density, rather than the $m$ ass density, that is conserved in relativistic hydrodynam ics. T he continuity equation, therefore, should represent the conservation of the num ber density,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n U))_{;}=0: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the absence of any extemal force other than the radiative interaction, the totalenergy-m om entum tensor ofgas plus radiation is conserved,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{R} \quad ;=0: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can de ne the radiation four-force density to specifically describe the energy and mom entum transferred from radiation to gas $\mathbb{M}$ ihalas \& M inalas 1984),
G $\quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \quad \mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{n} ;) \quad \mathrm{l}$; ;
where is the opacity per unit proper length and the em issivity per proper unit volum e. The combinations $={ }^{2}$ and are again fram e-independent scalars.

This radiation four-force density is equal to the divergence of the energy-m om entum tensor for $m$ atter,
$\mathrm{T} ;=\mathrm{G}$;
and to the $m$ inus the divergence of the radiation stress tensor,
$\mathrm{R} ;=\mathrm{G}$ :
W hen $m$ icro-physical processes for the interactions betw een radiation and $m$ atter are know $n$, equation (4) can be put into two separate equations (G) and (IT) and solved.

## 3 SCHWARZSCHILD SPACETIME

The above tensor equations ( $\overline{3}_{1}$ ) $\left\{\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)\right.$ can be explicitly w ritten out in any spacetim e or coordinates. In this work, we speci cally choose the Schwarzschild spacetim e to show explicitly how to derive $m$ ore fam iliar hydrodynam ic and radiation $m$ om ent equations and various transform ation relations am ong radiation m om ents. M uch simpler, spherically sym $m$ etric case has been show $n$ in 'Park (1993).

### 3.1 S chw arzsch ild geom etry

$T$ he $m$ ost fam iliar form of the Schw arzschild $m$ etric is

$$
\begin{align*}
d^{2} & =g d x d x \\
& ={ }^{2} d t^{2} \quad \frac{d r^{2}}{2} \quad r^{2}\left(d^{2}+\sin ^{2} \quad d^{2}\right) ; \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M$ is the $m$ ass of the centralob ject, $m \quad G M=c^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \frac{2 m}{r}^{1=2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the lapse function.
T he four-velocity of the gas, de ned as
U $\quad \frac{d x}{d}$;
satis es the norm alization condition
$\mathrm{U} \mathrm{U}=1$;
from which one can de ne the energy param eter
$\begin{array}{ll} & U_{t} \\ = & 2^{2}+\left(U^{r}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}(r U)^{2}+{ }^{2}(r \sin U)^{2}\end{array}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad 2+\left(U^{r}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}(r U)^{2}+{ }^{2}(r \sin U)^{2} 1=2: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Tetrads

It is not trivial to de ne physical quantities for $m$ atter or radiation in curved spacetim e. H ow ever, one can alw ays set up som e tetrad, and since a tetrad fram e is a locally inertial fram e, physical quantities can be straightforw ardly de ned as in at spacetime. Am ong various tetrads, xed and com oving tetrads are the m ost relevant ones. A xed tetrad is an orthonorm altetrad xed w ith respect to the coordinates and has base $e_{\hat{i}}=@=@ x^{\hat{1}}$ that can be expressed in term $s$ of coordinate base $@=@ x^{i}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho}{\varrho \hat{t}}=\frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho t} \\
& \frac{@}{@ \hat{r}}=\frac{@}{@ r}  \tag{13}\\
& \frac{@}{@^{\wedge}}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{@}{@} \\
& \frac{@}{@^{\wedge}}=\frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@}:
\end{align*}
$$

Physicalquantities de ned in the xed tetrad are thosem easured by a ducial observer who is xed with respect to the coordinates. $T h$ is observer sees $m$ atter $m$ oving $w$ ith the proper velocity $v$, which is related to the four-velocity as
$v^{i}=\frac{U^{\hat{i}}}{U^{\hat{t}}}=\frac{U e_{\hat{i}}}{U e_{t}} ;$
where $U^{\hat{i}}$ and $U^{\hat{t}}$ are the spatial and tim e parts of the $x e d$ tetrad components of the four-velocity and $e_{i}$ is the th com ponent of the tetrad base $e_{\hat{i}}$. Speci cally,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{r}} & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{r}} ; \\
\mathrm{v} & =\frac{-}{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{r} \mathrm{U} ;  \tag{15}\\
\mathrm{v} & =\frac{-}{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{r} \sin \mathrm{U}:
\end{align*}
$$

Since $v$ is a three vector de ned in the tetrad, $v_{i}=v^{i} . W e$ also de ne the Lorentz factor for $v$ as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & v^{2} \tag{16}
\end{array}\right)^{1=2}=\underline{y}
$$

where $v^{2}=v \quad v=\mathrm{I}^{i}=v_{r}^{2}+v^{2}+v^{2}$. The value of $v^{2}$ at the horizon is alw ays 1 regardless of $U^{i}$ : a ducial observer xed at the horizon alw ays sees $m$ atter radially falling in w ith velocity c.

A com oving tetrad $m$ oves $w$ ith velocity $v^{i}$ relative to the xed tetrad and therefore is related to the xed tetrad by the Lorentz tranform ation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ \hat{x_{\mathrm{co}}}}=\hat{\wedge}(\mathrm{v}) \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{x}^{\wedge}} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\wedge$ and ${ }^{\wedge}$ denote tetrad's bases. The com ponents of Lorentz transform ation ${ }^{\wedge}$ ^(v) are

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{t}_{\hat{t}} & =  \tag{18}\\
\hat{i}_{\hat{e}} & =v^{i} \\
\hat{t} & =v_{j} \\
\hat{j} & { }^{\hat{i}}{ }_{j}+v^{i} v_{j} \frac{1}{v^{2}}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e can also express the com oving tetrad in term $s$ of coordinate base, which is usefiulwhen converting the tetrad com ponents to and from covariant ones:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@}{@ \hat{t}_{c o}}=-\frac{\varrho}{@ t}+\quad v_{r} \frac{@}{@ r}+v \frac{1}{r} \frac{\varrho}{@}+v \frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@} ; \\
& \frac{@}{@ \hat{E}_{C O}}=-v_{r} \frac{@}{@ t}+1+(1) \frac{v_{r}^{2}}{v^{2}} \frac{@}{@ r} \\
& +\quad(\quad 1) \frac{V_{r} V}{v^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{@}{@}+(1) \frac{V_{r} v}{v^{2}} \frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@} ; \\
& \frac{@}{\varrho^{\wedge}{ }_{c o}}=-v \frac{@}{@ t}+(1) \frac{V_{r} V}{V^{2}} \frac{@}{@ r}  \tag{19}\\
& +\quad 1+(\quad 1) \frac{v^{2}}{v^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{@}{@} ; \\
& +\quad(1) \frac{v v}{v^{2}} \frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@} \\
& \frac{@}{@^{\wedge}{ }_{c o}}=-V \frac{@}{@ t}+(1) \frac{V_{r} V}{V^{2}} \frac{@}{@ r} \\
& +\quad(1) \frac{V v}{v^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{@}{@} \\
& +\quad 1+(1) \frac{v^{2}}{v^{2}} \frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@}:
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, applying the inverse Lorentz transform ation ${ }^{\wedge}$. ( $v$ ) yields the inverse transform ation from the com oving tetrad to the coordinate base:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@}{@ r}=\quad v_{r} \frac{@}{@ \hat{\epsilon}_{c o}}+1+(\quad 1) \frac{v_{r}^{2}}{v^{2}} \frac{@}{@ \hat{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{co}}} \\
& +\quad(1) \frac{V_{r} V}{V^{2}} \frac{@}{@_{c o}^{\wedge}}+(1) \frac{V_{r} V}{V^{2}} \frac{@}{@_{c o}^{\wedge}} \text {; } \\
& \frac{1}{r} \frac{@}{@}=\quad v \frac{@}{@ \hat{t}_{\mathrm{CO}}}+(1) \frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{v}^{2}} \frac{@}{@ \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{CO}}}  \tag{20}\\
& +1+(1) \frac{v^{2}}{v^{2}} \frac{@}{@ \hat{c o}} \\
& +\quad(1) \frac{V v}{v^{2}} \frac{@}{@^{\wedge}{ }_{c o}} \text {; } \\
& \frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@}=\quad v \frac{@}{@ \hat{t}_{C O}}+(1) \frac{v v_{r}}{v^{2}} \frac{\varrho}{@ \hat{I}_{C O}} \\
& +\quad(1) \frac{V v}{v^{2}} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho_{c o}} \\
& +1+(1) \frac{\mathrm{v}^{2}}{\mathrm{v}^{2}} \frac{@}{@^{\wedge}{ }_{\mathrm{co}}} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.3 R adiation M om ents

$R$ adiation $m$ om ents can be de ned from the speci $c$ intensity, I ( $x ; n$ ), either in a xed tetrad or in a com oving tetrad as done in at spacetim e.
$T$ he radiation energy density is equal to the zeroth mo $m$ ent
Z Z
Z Z
$E=I d D_{c o}=\quad I_{\text {co }} d_{\text {co }} d_{c o}$;
where d- and d. -co are the-solid angle elem ents in the xed and com oving tetrad respectively. I he radiation _ ux consists of three rst $m$ om ents
Z Z
$\mathrm{F}^{i}=\quad \mathrm{In} \mathrm{n}^{i} \mathrm{~d} d ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{i}}=\quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{co}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{co}} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{co}$;
where $i=r$; ; . The radiation pressure tensor is sym $m$ etric and consists of six second $m$ om ents $Z$
$P^{i j}=\quad I n^{i} n^{j} d d ; \quad P_{c o}^{i j}=\quad I_{c o} n_{c o}^{i} n_{c o}^{j} d \operatorname{cod} c o:(23)$

From the de nition of the radiation stress tensor (Eq. ${ }_{12} \mathbf{1 2}_{1}$ ), the tetrad com ponents of the radiation stress tensor for the xed tetrad are sim ply

$R^{\wedge}=$| 0 | $E$ | $F^{r}$ | $F^{r}$ | $F$ | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $B$ | $F^{r}$ | $P^{r r}$ | $P^{r}$ | $P^{r}$ | $C$ |
| C | $P^{r}$ | $P^{r}$ | $P^{2}$ | $A$ |  |
|  | $F$ | $P^{r}$ | $P^{2}$ | $P$ |  |

For the spherically sym $m$ etric radiation eld, $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}=$ $P^{r}=P^{r}=0$ and $P=P \quad=\left(E \quad P^{r r}\right)=2$. The com oving tetrad com ponents are sim ilarly

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\hat{\wedge}}=\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{co}} & \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{r}} & \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{co}} & \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{co}} & 1  \tag{25}\\
\mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{co}}^{r} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{rr}} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}^{r} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{r}} & \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{co}} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{r}} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}^{r} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}} & \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{co}} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}^{r} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{co}}
\end{array}
$$

The contravariant com ponents of the radiation stress tensor $R$ are related to the xed tetrad components by the transform ation
$R=\frac{\varrho x}{@ x^{\wedge}} \frac{\varrho x}{\varrho x^{\wedge}} R^{\wedge}$;
and contain all the curvature and coordinate speci cs,

Since tetrad com ponents $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{co}}{ }^{\wedge}$ are Lorentz tensors, they are related by the Lorentz boost ${ }^{\wedge} \wedge(v)$,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\wedge}=\hat{\wedge}(\mathrm{v}) \hat{\wedge}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{R}^{\wedge}$ :
Substituting equations $\binom{-1}{21}$ and $\left.{ }^{-1} \overline{2}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ yields the standard transform ation law between the xed-fram e radiation mo$m$ ents and the com oving-fram e radiation $m$ om ents $M$ inalas \& $M$ inalas 1984; M unier \& $W$ eaver 198G), which is reproduced here for com pleteness:
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{co}}={ }^{2} \mathrm{E} \quad 2 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{V}_{j} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{ij}}$
h $\quad i$

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{j}^{h}{ }_{k}^{i}+\frac{1}{v^{2}} v^{i} v_{k}^{i} P^{j k}  \tag{30}\\
P_{c \circ}^{i j}={ }^{2} v^{i} v^{j} E \quad v^{i}{ }_{k}^{j}+v^{j}{ }_{k}^{i}+2 \frac{1}{v^{2}} v^{i} v^{j} v_{k} F^{k} \\
 \tag{31}\\
+\left(\begin{array}{l}
i \\
k
\end{array}+\frac{1}{v^{2}} v^{i} v_{k}\right)\left({ }_{k}^{j}+\frac{1}{v^{2}} v^{j} v_{l}\right) P^{k l}:
\end{gather*}
$$

Since this transform ation is between tetrad quantities, it is valid in curved spacetim e as well, as long as the proper velocity $v$ is used. Replacing $v$ with $v$ yields the inverse transform ation. A s discussed in detail in M ihalas \& M ina las (1984 ${ }^{1}$ ), the com oving-fram e radiation ux can be significantly di erent from the xed-fram e ux due to the advection of radiation energy density and pressure, $v^{i} E+v_{j} P^{i j}$, and one should carefiully determ ine which ux is the appropriate one, especially in a lower-order approxim ation.

### 3.4 R adiation FourForce D en sity

The energy and $m$ om entum transfer rates, $m$ easured by a com oving observer, are given by the com oving tetrad com ponents of the radiation four-force density,
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{co}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{co}}[\mathrm{coI} \mathrm{co} \quad \mathrm{co}] \hat{n_{\mathrm{co}}}$ :
In term s of the usualheating function co, the cooling function co (both perunit propervolum e) and them ean opacity
co (per unit proper length), all in the com oving fram e,
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\hat{\ell}}=\mathrm{co} \mathrm{co}$
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\hat{\mathrm{i}}}=\quad \mathrm{co} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{i}}$;
where

Expression in the form ofequation ( $344_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is possible only if the therm ally em itted (and/or scattered) photons are isotropic in the com oving fram e so that the net $m$ om entum they re$m$ ove from the gas is zero.

The com ponents $G$ are related to the com oving tetrad com ponents $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{co}}$ by the transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}=\frac{@ \mathrm{x}}{@ \hat{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{co}}}} \hat{\mathrm{G}} \hat{\mathrm{co}} \text { : } \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $@ \mathrm{x}=@ \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{co}}$ from equation (19) (19) y ields

$$
G^{t}=-G_{c o}^{\hat{t}}+v_{i} G_{c o}^{\hat{i}}{ }^{i}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad=\frac{1}{r} \hat{h} \hat{c o}_{\hat{h}}+v G_{c \circ}^{t}+\frac{v^{2}}{v^{2}} v v_{i} G_{c \circ}^{\hat{i}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
G \quad=\frac{1}{r \sin } G_{c \circ}+v G_{c \circ}^{\hat{t}}+\frac{1}{v^{2}} v v_{i} G_{c \circ}^{\hat{i}}:
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { co } \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{~d} \text { co } \quad \circ \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c} \circ} \text {; }  \tag{35}\\
& \text { co } \quad \frac{1}{C_{Z}} \quad d \text { } \quad \mathrm{Z} \text { co co; }  \tag{36}\\
& { }_{c o} F_{c o}^{i} \quad \frac{1}{c} \quad d_{c \circ} \quad d_{c o}{ }_{c o} I_{c o} n_{c o}^{i} \text { : } \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

$F_{c o}^{i}=\quad{ }^{2} v^{i} E+{ }_{j}^{i}+\left(\frac{1}{v^{2}}+\right) v^{i} V_{j} F^{j}$

4 RADIATION HYDRODYNAM IC
EQUATIONS

### 4.1 C ontinuity Equation

T he continuity equation in the Schw arzschild cordinates (8) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{@}{@ t}(y n) & +\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{@}{@ r}\left(r^{2} n U^{r}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\sin } \frac{@}{@}(\sin n U)+\frac{@}{@}(n U \quad)=0 ; \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the conservation equation of the particle num ber, rather than the $m$ ass density, because the $m$ ass density in relativity necessarily contains the intemalenergy that is not alw ays conserved.

The continuity equation for spherically sym metric, steady-state ow becom es
$4 r^{2} n U^{r}=N-$ constant:
O ne should note that the velocity $U^{r}$ in equation ( $\left.4-1 \overline{1}^{1}\right)$ is the radial com ponent of the four-velocity $U$ which is di erent from the proper velocity $\mathrm{v}^{r}$. It is the proper velocity $\mathrm{v}^{r}=$ $y{ }^{1} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{r}}$ that is alw ays equal to c at the black hole horizon ( $r=2 m$ ) regardless of the value of $U^{r}$ while $U^{r}$ is not necessarily equal to $c$ at the horizon. This applies to nonspherical cases as well.

### 4.2 Hydrodynam ic Equations

The projection tensor P projects a tensor on a direction penpendicular to $U$,
$\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{U} \mathrm{U}=+\mathrm{U} \mathrm{U}$ :
R elativistic Euler equation is obtained by projecting equation (G) $w$ ith $P$ to get $P \quad T \quad=P \quad G$, which becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{g} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g} ;}+\mathrm{U} \mathrm{U} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g} ;}=\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{U} \mathrm{U} \mathrm{G}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

R adial part of Euler equation is obtained by xing $=$ r,

$$
\begin{align*}
& !_{g} U^{t} \frac{@ U^{r}}{@ t}+!_{g} U^{i} \frac{@ U^{r}}{@ x^{i}} \\
+\quad & !_{g} \frac{m}{r^{2}}{ }^{2}\left(U^{t}\right)^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\left(U^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& !_{g} \frac{2}{r}(r U)^{2}+(r \sin U)^{2} \\
+\quad & U^{r} U^{t} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@ t}+2 \frac{\varrho P_{g}}{@ r}+U^{r} U^{i} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@ x^{i}} \\
= & y U^{r} G^{t}+\left[1+{ }^{2}\left(U^{r}\right)^{2}\right] G^{r}+r^{2} U^{r} U G \\
& +r^{2} \sin ^{2} U^{r} U G: \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

The term $\mathrm{mr}{ }^{2}$ on the left-hand side represents the gravitational acceleration and ${ }^{2} r{ }^{1}\left[(r U)^{2}+(r \sin U)^{2}\right]$ the centrifugal acceleration. The right-hand side of the equation consists of all four com ponents of $G$, not just $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{r}}$. In a spherically sym $m$ etric case, the equation becom es $\mathbb{P}$ ark 1993)

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{Y} \frac{@ U^{r}}{@ t} & +\frac{1}{2} \frac{@\left(U^{r}\right)^{2}}{@ r}+\frac{m}{r^{2}}+\frac{\underline{Y}}{U^{r}} \frac{@ P_{g}}{!_{g}}+\frac{Y^{2}}{!_{g}} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@ r} \\
& =\frac{Y}{!_{g}} G_{c \circ}^{\hat{r}}=\frac{Y}{!_{g}}{ }_{c o} F_{c \circ}^{r} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

and the com oving-fram e ux $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{r}}$, rather than the xedfram $e$ ux $F^{r}$, is directly responsible for the radial acceleration. Therefore, even when the net $u x F^{r} m$ easured by an observer at rest w ith respect to the coordinate is sm all, gas particles can still experience signi cant radiative force in the presence of signi cant radiation energy density E and pressure $\mathrm{P}^{\text {ij }}$ in the optically thick m edium, and slow dow $n$ e ciently (Rark \& M iller 1991).

The -part of the Euler equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& !_{g} U^{t} \frac{@ U}{@ t}+!_{g} U^{i} \frac{@ U}{@ x^{i}} \\
+ & 2!_{g} \frac{1}{r} U^{r} U \quad!_{g} \sin \cos (U)^{2} \\
+ & U U^{t} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@ t}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@}+U U^{i} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@ x^{i}} \\
= & y U G^{t}+{ }^{2} U^{r} U G^{r}+\left[1+r^{2}(U)^{2}\right] G \\
& +r^{2} \sin ^{2} U U G ; \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

and the -part

$$
\begin{align*}
& !_{g} U^{t} \frac{@ U}{@ t}+!_{g} U^{i} \frac{@ U}{@ x^{i}} \\
+ & 2!{ }_{g} \frac{1}{r} U^{r} U+2!_{g} \cot U U \\
+ & U U^{t} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@ t}+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin ^{2}} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@}+U U^{i} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@ x^{i}} \\
= & y U G^{t}+{ }^{2} U^{r} U G^{r}+r^{2} U U G \\
& +\left[1+r^{2} \sin ^{2}(U)^{2}\right] G: \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

E nergy equation is $m$ ore readily obtained by projecting equation (6, along the four-velocity U T ; $=\mathrm{U}$ G ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& n U^{t} \frac{@}{@ t} \frac{!g}{n} U^{i} \frac{@}{@ x^{i}} \frac{!g}{n}+U^{t} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@ t}+U^{i} \frac{@ P_{g}}{@ x^{i}} \\
= & y G^{t}+{ }^{2} U^{r} G^{r}+r^{2} U G+r^{2} \sin ^{2} U G  \tag{48}\\
= & G{ }_{c o}^{t}=c o \quad c o:
\end{align*}
$$

A gain, the exchange rate ofenergy betw een $m$ atter and radiation is expressed by heating and cooling functionsm easured in a com oving fram e.

### 4.3 R adiation $M$ om ent Equations

$T$ he zeroth $m$ om ent equation, i.e., the radiation energy equation, is obtained by taking the tim e com ponent $(=t)$ in equation ( $l_{1}$ ) and expressing $G$ w ith the corresponding radiation $m$ om ents,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{@ E}{@ t}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \\
& \varrho r  \tag{49}\\
&+ \frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@}\left(r^{2} F^{r}\right) \\
&= G^{t}=\frac{Y}{2} \quad \text { in } \quad\left(\quad \frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@}(F)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

In equation $\left(\mathbf{N}^{-1}\right)$, both the tem poral and spatial derivatives are applied to the xed-fram e radiation $m$ om ents while the interaction betw een $m$ atter and radiation is described by the com oving fram e quantities. T his m ixed-fram $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ representation simpli es the equation and $m$ akes each term easier to understand.For example, co co is the energy input from the $m$ atter to the radiation eld through the usual radiative heating and cooling processes and $c o V_{i} F_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ is the work
done by the photons to them attervia transfer ofm om entum through scattering or absorption (Pank \& M iller 1991).

W hen the spherically sym $m$ etric radiation eld does not vary in tim e nor interacts $w$ ith $m$ atter, equation $\overline{A N}^{-} 9_{1}^{\prime}$ becom es the generalized lum inosity conservation' equation,
$4 \mathrm{r}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{L}_{1}$;
where the constant $L_{1}$ is the lum inosity $m$ easured by an observer at in nity. A static observer at a given radius $r$ nds the lum inosity to be a function of radius,
$L_{r} \quad 4 \quad r^{2} F^{r}=\frac{L_{1}}{12 m=r}$ :
This is the well-known gravitational redshift e ect: locally $m$ easured (w ith in the gravitationalpotential) xed-fram elu$m$ inosity can be larger than the lum inosity seen by an observer far aw ay (outside the gravitational potential). For example, the xed-fram e hum inosity near the com pact ob ject can be super $£$ ddington even when the hum inosity $m$ easured far aw ay is sub $£$ ddington "Pank 1992").

The r-part of equation $(\underset{1}{1})$ y yelds the radiation $m$ om entum equation in $r$-direction,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ F^{r}}{@ t}+\frac{2 @ P^{r r}}{@ r}+\frac{@}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@} \sin P^{r}+\frac{@ P^{r}}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@} \\
+ & \frac{m}{r^{2}}\left(E+P^{r r}\right)+\frac{2}{r} 2 P^{r r} \quad P \quad P  \tag{52}\\
= & G^{r} \\
= & { }^{r} F_{c o}^{r} \quad V_{r}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
c o & c o) \\
V^{2} \\
V_{r} V_{i} & { }_{c o} F_{c o}^{i}:
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

In relativistic treatm ent, $\mathrm{v}^{1}$-order term $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{co} \mathrm{co})$ and the redsh ift term $(m=r)(E+P)=r$ should be included as well as the correction factors and $y$. These relativistic term $s$ are negligible in slow ly moving, optically thin ow . H ow ever, when $v \& 1$, they signi cantly a ect the radiation eld as well as the dynam_ics of the gas ow ark 1990'; ank M iller 1991'; 'Park 1992; 'iank

The radiation $m$ om entum equation in direction from equation $(\overline{-1})$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{\varrho}{@ t}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{@}{@ r} r P^{r}+\frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@}{@} \sin P \\
& +\frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@ P}{@}+\frac{2}{r} P^{r} \frac{1}{r \tan } P  \tag{53}\\
& =\quad r G \\
& =\quad{ }^{\circ} F_{\mathrm{co}} \quad \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{co} \quad \mathrm{co}) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{v}^{2}} \mathrm{v} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{co} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{i}} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

and that in -direction is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{@ F} \frac{@ t}{@ t}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{@ r}{@ r} P^{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{@ P}{@}+\frac{1}{r \sin } \frac{@ P}{@} \\
+ & \frac{2}{r} P^{r}+\frac{2}{r \tan } P  \tag{54}\\
= & r \sin G \\
= & c \circ F_{c o} \quad V\left(\begin{array}{ll}
c \circ & c o)
\end{array} \frac{1}{v^{2}} v v_{i} c \circ F_{c o}^{i}:\right.
\end{align*}
$$

 duce to the fam iliar di usion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{i}=\frac{1}{c o} P_{i j}^{i j}: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4 D iscu ssion

A though reducing the angle-dependent radiative transfer equation to the radiation $m$ om ent equations low ers the di$m$ ensionality of the problem from seven (space, tim e, angle, and frequency) to four (space and time), it com es with_a price: while the num ber of $m$ om ent equations ( 4919 ) and ( $52^{-1}$ ( $544^{\prime}$ ) is only four, the num ber of all radiation $m$ om $^{-1}$ ents to be determ ined, $E, F^{i}$, and $P^{i j}$, is ten. $T$ h is is a generic problem w th m om ent equations: equations at each order alv ays contain successively higher order $m$ om ents. A stronom ers routinely employ some kind of closure relation to close the equations, for exam ple, the Eddington factors. In com plex radiation hydrodynam ic calculations, an educated guess of the Edddington factors as functions of the optical depth is som etim es tried (see, e.g., iT am azaw a et al. 1979, 'P ank 1990 ).

But it can't be stressed enough that the correct form of the E ddington factors or any other closure relations can only be obtained by solving the full angle-dependent radiative transfer equation (see e.g., A uer \& M ihalas 197a, 'H ummer , R ybicki1971, Yin \& M iller 1995i), which has been rarely tried for threedin ensional ow sand $m$ uch less for relativistic (Schinder \& Bludm an 1989) or tim e-dependent ows. Even in one-dim ensional system $s$, the viable $m$ ethod, iterative variable Eddington $m$ ethod, requires expensive com putations and, $m$ oreover, when the ow $m$ otion is not $m$ onotonic various angle-frequency com ponents couple along a ray and even posing boundary conditions becom e a problem Mihalas 198; Nobili, Turola, \& Zam pieri 1993i). It eration m ethod by 'Schm id Burgk (1978') seem s to be the only w orkable approach for the general relativistic system, but it works only for spherically sym $m$ etric cases. A lthough there had been a suggestion for the general shape of the Eddginton factors for arbitrary three-dim ensional radiation hydrodynam ic system $s$ (Minerbo 1978), its validity has yet to be veri ed by true angle-dependent radiative transfer_calculations for a variety of cases [Schinder $\alpha$ B hudm an 1989). N eedless to say, in special cases where the speci c intensity or the radiation m om ents can be directly calculated, the incom plete radiation m_om ent equations can be eschew ed altogether (e.g., 'C hattopadhyay 2005') .

O ther related problem w ith the radiation m om ent for$m$ alism is that the exact nature of the radiation eld can be properly described only by the in nite num ber of $m$ om ents. U sing a nite num ber ofm om ents by tem inating higher order $m$ om ents or assum ing som $e k i n d$ of closure relation can be a good enough approxim ation in certain conditions, but not necessarily so in any situation: it can lead to spurious pathologicalbehaviours such as a radiation shock, especially When the velocity gradient is steep (Turola \& Nobili 198d; Sullem ond 199d).

## 5 SUMMARY

G eneral relativistic, radiation hydrodynam ic equations are derived using a covariant tensor form ulation. $M$ atter and radiation quantities are de ned in the xed and com oving tetrads and transform ed to corresponding covariant form s. $T$ he interactions betw een $m$ atter and radiation are described by the radiation four-force density de ned in the com oving tetrad and transform ed to the covariant form. C onservation of energy and $m$ om entum separately for $m$ atter
and radiation yield the hydrodynam ic and radiation mo $m$ ent equations. C urrent approach is applicable to any threedim ensional system $s$ in any spactim e or coordinates, but in this work, we show explicitly how the equations are derived for the Schw arzsch ild spacetim e. C ertain aspects of relativistic radiation hydrodynam ics and the fundam entallim itation of the radiation $m$ om ent form alism have been discussed as well.
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