I. Introduction

Dilute and Collapsed Phases of the Self-Gravitating Gas

 $C.Destri^{(a)}$ and $H.J.de Vega^{(b)y}$

(a) Dipartim ento di Fisica G. Occhialini, Universita Milano-Bicocca Piazza della Scienza 3, 20126 Milano and INFN, sezione di Milano, via Celoria 16, Milano Italia
(b) LPTHE, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris VI et Denis Diderot, Paris VII, Laboratoire Associe au CNRS UMR 7589, Tour 24, 5em e. etage, 4, Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, Cedex 05, France and Observatoire de Paris, LERMA. Laboratoire Associe au CNRS UMR 8112.
61, Avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France.
(Dated: April 11, 2024)

The self-gravitating gas in them alequilibrium is studied using a Newtonian potential regularized at short distances. This short distance cuto perm its us to obtain a complete description of the gas including its collapsed phase. We give a eld theory description of the N-body regularized self-gravitating gas in the canonical ensemble. The corresponding functional integral is dominated in the N! 1 limit by saddle points which provide a mean eld description. The well-known dilute solutions (isothermal spheres) are recovered. We not new solutions which are regular in the regularized theory but become a singular in the zero cuto limit. They describe collapsed con gurations where the particles are densely concentrated in a region of the size of the cuto. These collapsed solutions provide the absolute minimum of the free energy. We not further new solutions which interpolate between the collapsed and the dilute con gurations and describe tunneling processes where the gas collapses. The transition probability for such collapse processes turns out to be extremely small for large N. That is, the dilute solutions are in practice stable in the regime where they are locally stable.

C ontents

	_
II. M ean Field Approach to the Self-G ravitating G as	3
III. Spherically sym m etric solutions	6
IV . Solutions R egular as A ! 0	7
V. Solutions Singular as A ! 0. A. Collapsed phase B. Interpolating solution: m etastability	10 13 15
R eferences	17

I. INTRODUCTION

Selfgravitating system are ubiquous in the universe. Selfgravity governs the formation and evolution of the large scale structure in the universe as well as the dynam ics of galaxy formation, stars and interstellar medium [1, 2]. It is therefore of great interest to study self-gravitating uids in them all equilibrium although most astrophysical and cosmological systems are not in exact them all equilibrium [1]-[8].

The self-gravitating gas has peculiar properties from the point of view of equilibrium statistical mechanics: the most important con gurations are inhomogeneous and the thermodynamic functions exist in the dilute $\lim it [5, 6, 7]$

N ! 1 ; V ! 1 ;
$$\frac{N}{V^{1=3}}$$
 xed; (1.1)

1

E lectronic address: C laudio D estri@ m ib .in fn .it

 $^{{}^{}y}$ E lectronic address: devega@ lpthe.jussieu.fr

where N is the number of particles and V stands for the volume of the box containing them. In such a lim it, the internal energy, the free energy and the entropy turns to be extensive. That is, they take the form of N times a function of intensive dimensionless variables. In the case of a spherical box of radius R (so that $V = 4 R^3 = 3$) there is only one such variable, that is the ratio of the characteristic gravitational energy $G m^2 N = R$ (m is the particle m ass and G is New ton's constant) and the kinetic energy of the order of the temperature T of a particle in the gas [5, 6, 7]:

$$= \frac{G m^2 N}{R T} :$$

For m ore complex geometries there will be, besides (in such cases R is to be identied with the linear scale of the box), other dimensionless shape parameters.

In this paper we restrict the analysis to the sim plest case of a spherical box and follow the approach of refs. [5, 6, 7] where the starting point is the partition function for non-relativistic particles interacting through their gravitational attraction in thermal equilibrium. The con gurational part of this partition function is expressed as a functional integral over a scalar eld (x) [proportional to the the gravitational potential] with an Euclidean action (that is minus the logarithm of statistical weight) proportional to N. Therefore, the saddle point technique (or mean eld method) permits to compute the conguration partition function in the large N limit.

As investigated in refs.[3, 5, 6, 7] the self-gravitating gas in the canonical ensemble is in a gaseous phase for 0 < T where T = 2.43450:::. (Further studies on self-gravitating gases are reported in ref. [4, 8, 9, 11]). At this point the isotherm aloom pressibility diverges and the speed of sound inside the sphere becomes in aginary. This clearly shows that the gas phase collapses at this point in the canonical ensemble as a consequence of the Jeans instability. Monte Carlo simulations explicitly show that the gas collapses for T into a very dense and compact phase[5].

It must be recalled that the small uctuations around the mean eld solution in the canonical ensemble are stable for < c = 2:517551:::[6]. Namely, uctuations in the partition function do not feel the divergence of the isothermal compressibility neither the fact that the speed of sound becomes in aginary.

Even in the regime where the gaseous phase is locally stable there are always collapsed con gurations which have much lower energy than the gas. In fact, the energy has its absolute minimum in the collapsed phase where all particles fall to one point and this con guration completely dominates the free energy, causing its divergence to minus in nity. Of course, interactions other than gravitational always dominate for short distances. Therefore, the properties the collapsed phase depend on the short distance physics. This physics is dierent according to the nature of the particles' considered. They can be from galaxies till molecules or atoms. Still, we can study the regime when collapse happens just introducing a short distance cuto (ultraviolet regulator) to the gravitational interaction.

We present a complete description of the self-gravitating gas, including the collapsed phase, using a Newtonian potential regularized at short distances. We choose the Newton potential to be $G m^2 = A$ for interparticle distances A in stead of Newton's law $G m^2 = A$. The cuto A is chosen very small compared with the size of the box.

We give an exact mapping of the N-body regularized problem into a eld theory functional integral. This functional integral is dominated by its saddle points in the N $\,!\,$ 1 , namely by the mean eld equations. The mean eld equations coincide for zero cuto with the Lane-Em denequation obtained from hydrostatics and assuming an ideal gas equation of state.

In this way we reproduce the well-known isotherm alsphere solutions describing a self-gravitating gas in local therm al equilibrium. These diluted solutions get small corrections for small cuto.

We nd a host of new solutions (saddle points) from our regularized mean eld equations. These new solutions are singular in the limit of zero cuto and cannot be nd from the standard (no cuto) Lane-Em den equation. In these new solutions, which are perfectly regular for non-zero cuto , a large number of particles concentrate near the origin. There are two new types of solutions.

The rst type are the collapsed saddle point solutions: all the particles are densely concentrated in a region of size of the order of the cuto . These solutions are studied analytically and provide the absolute m in im a of the free energy, which reads, at dominant order for small cuto A R:

F
$$F_0$$
, $\frac{G m^2 N (N - 1)}{2A} + N T log \frac{R^3}{(A=2)^3}$:

Here, T is the temperature and F_0 is the free energy of an ideal gas. The set term is just the potential energy of N particles clustered in a small sphere of radius A=2, where the regularized gravitational interaction is the same for all $\frac{1}{2}N$ (N 1) particle pairs. The second term is T times the entropy loss in the collapse. This free energy is large and negative, unbounded from below as A! 0. In particular, this free energy is well below the free energy of the dilute solution.

The second type of saddle point solutions interpolate between the gas phase and the collapsed phase, in a sort of pre{collapse. This solution has a nite action in the zero cuto lim it and describes the tunneling transition where a

small fraction of particles coalesce into a region of the size of the short{distance regulator so that the density near the origin is very large. The tunneling probability to collapse for a dilute solution turns out to be extremely small for large N and small A. This means in practice that dilute solutions which are locally stable can be considered stable. As mentioned above this happens in the canonical ensemble for 0 < T where T = 2.43450 :::

The parameter for the collapsed solutions can be arbitrarily large. That is, the particle density does not need to be dilute N $V^{\frac{1}{3}}$ [see eq.(1.1)] but we can have N V.

We obtain in sec. VB an estimate for the lifetime for the dilute phase of the self-gravitating gas:

$$\frac{1}{a} \log \frac{1}{a} e^{\frac{9N}{2} a (\log a)^2} \quad \frac{R}{A} \log \frac{R}{A} \exp \frac{h gAT}{2Gm^2} \log \frac{R}{A}^{2i}:$$

One can see that the lifetime becomes in nitely long in the zero cuto $\lim_{n\to\infty} x = 1$ at xed cuto [recall that R N in the dilute $\lim_{n\to\infty} x = 1$].

In sum mary, we provide through mean eld theory a complete statistical description of the self-gravitating gas including the absolute minimum of the free energy.

II. MEAN FIELD APPROACH TO THE SELF-GRAVITATING GAS

At short distances, the particle interaction for the self-gravitating gas in physical situations is not gravitational. Its exact nature depends on the problem under consideration (opacity limit, Van der Waals forces for molecules etc.). We shall just assume a null short (distance pair force; that is, we consider the Hamiltonian

$$H_{N} = \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{p_{j}^{2}}{2m}} = \frac{X}{\sum_{j \leq k} \frac{G m^{2}}{jq_{j}} - q_{k} j_{k}} ;$$

w here

$$\dot{y}\dot{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y}\dot{y} & \dot{y} & \dot{y} & \dot{y} & \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{x} & \dot{y} & \dot{y} & \dot{y} & \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{x} & \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} & \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{y}$$

and A R is the short { distance cut-o .

It must be stressed that the results presented in this work using the soft-core cut-o eq.(2.1) will be qualitatively the same for other types of cut-o since R A.

The partition function in the canonical ensemble reads

$$Z_{N} = \frac{1}{N!}^{3N} \frac{d^{3N}p}{(2)^{3N}} \frac{Z}{Q^{3N}qe^{H_{N}}}; \qquad (2.2)$$

where T $\,^{1}$ is the tem perature. C om puting the integrals over the m om enta p $_{\rm j}$; (1 $\,$ j $\,$ N),

yields

$$Z_{N} = Z_{N}^{(0)} Z_{N}^{conf}$$
; $Z_{N}^{conf} = \frac{1}{V^{N}} \sum_{v=1}^{Z} d^{3N} q \sum_{\substack{1 \ j < k \ N}}^{Y} exp \frac{G m^{2}}{jq_{j}}$; (2.3)

w here

$$Z_{N}^{(0)} = \frac{2 m}{2} \frac{3N}{2} = \frac{V^{N}}{N!} \exp^{-\frac{1}{N}} F^{(0)}$$

is the partition function of the ideal gas. Thus,

$$F = F^{(0)}$$
 $T \log Z_N^{conf}$

is the full canonical free energy.

We can now replace the integration over the particle coordinates with a functional integration over the congurations of the gravitational eld' produced by the particles. We start from the fundamental property of Gaussian functional integrals, namely

where S ['] is the quadratic action functional

$$S['] = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{3}x d^{3}x^{0} (x) G^{1} (x; x^{0}) (x^{0})$$

and the integration m easure is assumed to be normalized such that $^{\rm R}$ D $^{\prime}$ expf S $[^{\prime}$]g = 1. Then we set

$$G(x;x^{0}) = \frac{G^{-1}}{\dot{x}^{2} x^{0}\dot{x}}$$
 (2.5)

and we identify the source eld J(x) as m times the microscopic particle density

$$J(x) = m$$
 (3)
 $f(x) = m$
 $f(x$

Inserting eqs. (2.5) and (2.6) into eq. (2.4) yields,

Z () "
$$X^{N}$$
) " $(q_{j}) = \exp \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{N}}{j_{jk=1}} \frac{G m^{2}}{j_{jk=1}} = e^{\frac{G m^{2} N}{2h}} = e^{\frac{G m^{2} N}{2h}} \frac{Y}{j_{j< k} N} \exp \frac{G m^{2}}{j_{1j} q_{k} j_{k}} : (2.7)$

This provides a functional integral representation of the product over particle pairs in the integrand of eq. (2.3). Inserting the identity eq. (2.7) in eq. (2.3) and exchanging the functional integration with the integration over the coordinates q_i we obtain

$$Z_{N}^{conf} = e^{\frac{G m^{2} N}{2 h}}$$
 D' expf S['] $g \frac{1}{V^{N}}$ $Z_{V^{N}}^{N}$ $Z_{$

w here

$$S['] = \frac{Z}{8 \ G} d^3x' r_A^2'$$

and $r_A^2 = (4 G =) G^1$ satis es

$$r_A^2 \frac{1}{\dot{x} - x^0 \dot{x}} = 4$$
 (3) (x x^0):

Since the q_j are dum my variables, we have

$$Z$$
 $d^{3N} q exp$
 m
 $(q_1) = d^3 q e^{m'(q)}$
 $d^3 q e^{m'(q)}$

and the con guration partition function becomes

$$Z_{N}^{conf} = e^{\frac{G m^{2} N}{2 A}} Z_{N}^{conf} = e^{\frac{G m^{2} N}{2 A}} D' e^{S_{eff}[']};$$
 (2.9)

w here

$$S_{e} ['] = S['] + S_{int}['] ; S_{int}['] N log \frac{1}{V} d^{3}x e^{m'(x)}$$
 (2.10)

We want to stress that this eld (theoretic functional form ulation of the self-gravitating gas is fully equivalent, for any N, to the original one in terms of particles. The functional integral representation of the partition function presented in ref.[5, 6] for the canonical ensemble is slightly dierent but equivalent to eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) for large N. Let us also observe that the nonlocal operator r_A^2 reduces to the standard Laplacian operator in the limit A! 0. However, this limit is not so straightforward in the functional integral above, since the interaction term $S_{\rm int}$ in the action may (and indeed does) become unbounded from below in such limit.

We now pass to dimensionless variables by setting r = x = R and

$$m'(x) = (r)$$
:

The action for (x) now reads

$$S_{e} [] = N S[] ; S[] = \frac{1}{8} d^{3}r r_{a}^{2} log \frac{d^{3}re + log \frac{4}{3}}{16};$$
 (2.11)

w here

$$\frac{G m^2 N}{R}$$
; a $\frac{A}{R}$; $r_a^2 \frac{1}{\dot{r} r^0 \dot{h}} = 4^{(3)} (r r^0)$: (2.12)

We recall that the variable is the ratio of the characteristic gravitational energy $\frac{G \text{ m}^2 \text{ N}}{R}$ and the kinetic energy T = 0 of a particle in the gas. For T = 0 the ideal gas is recovered.

Having factored out of the action the number of particles N , it is natural to use the saddle points method to evaluate the functional integral over in the lim it N ! 1 at xed , that is in the dilute lim it. Strictly speaking, however, the action per particle s[] still depends on N through a = A=R / A=N . Thus we should better regard the saddle point method as a mean eld type approximation yielding the free energy F to leading order in N , as we shall now show. The stationarity condition

$$\frac{s[]}{(r)} = 0$$
:

leads to the regularized self(consistent Boltzmann(Poisson equation

$$r_a^2 + \frac{4}{Q}e = 0$$
; Q $d^3re^{(r)}$; for jrj 1; and $r_a^2 = 0$; for jrj 1: (2.13)

In the $\lim it a!$ 0 this becomes the standard self(consistent Boltzmann {Poisson (or Lane{Em den) equation derivable from hydrostatic plus the assumption of a local, ideal gas equation of state [3].

We may rewrite eq. (2.13) in integral form as

$$(r) = \frac{Z}{d^3 r^0 \frac{(r^0)}{\dot{r} r^0 \dot{r}}}; \qquad (2.14)$$

w here

$$(r) = \frac{e^{-(r)}}{Q}$$
 for $\dot{r}\dot{r}\dot{j}$ 1; $(r) = 0$ for $\dot{r}\dot{r}\dot{j} > 1$; d^3r $(r) = 1$; (2.15)

is the normalized particle density associated to (r).

We may also use the identity involving the standard Laplacian r^2 ,

$$r^2 \frac{1}{\dot{r}} = \frac{1}{a^2}$$
 (\dot{r} \dot{r} a);

to cast the eld equation in the integro{di erential form

$$r^{2}$$
 (r) = $\frac{Z}{Q a^{2}} \int_{jr^{0}j} d^{3}R^{0}$ (jr $r^{0}j$ a) $e^{(r^{0})}$: (2.16)

Notice that the laplacian in the origin is always regular for nonzero cuto

$$r^{2}$$
 (0) = $\frac{Z}{Q a^{2}} \int_{\dot{x}^{0} \downarrow 1} d^{3}x^{0}$ ($\dot{x}^{0}\dot{y}^{0}\dot{y}^{0}$ a) $e^{(r^{0})}$; (2.17)

implying, together with the niteness of (0), that r = 0 in the origin.

We can recast the action of the saddle point eq.(2.11) using the regularized equation of motion (2.13) as follows,

$$s[] = \frac{1}{2Q} \int_{jrj}^{Z} d^{3}r \quad (r) e^{(r)} \log \frac{3Q}{4} : \qquad (2.18)$$

The free energy for large N can be written in terms of the stationary action as[5]

$$F = F_0 + N \frac{G m^2}{2A} + N T s(;a) + O(1)$$
 (at xed a); (2.19)

where s(;a) s[s], s is a solution of eq. (2.13), and F0 is the free energy for the ideal gas. Notice that, since a vanishes as N 1 as N 1 as N 1 at xed A and , we can regard N T s(;a) as extensive in the particle number, that is linear in N, only if it is regular as a 1 0. This holds true for stationary point which are regular at the a 1 0 limit. We show below that there are saddle points which become singular in the a 1 0 limit and consequently the free energy is not proportional to N. Similarly, the subleading terms in eq. (2.19) are order one only for saddle points which are regular for a 1 0. What is always true is that they are indeed subleading for any given saddle point.

III. SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS

In the case of spherically sym metry the integration over angles in eq. (2.16) can be performed explicitly, yielding the one (dimensional non (linear integro (dimensional non)).

$$\frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta r^{2}} [r (r)] = \frac{2}{Q a} \int_{r = a}^{Z r+a} dr^{0} r^{0} e^{(r^{0})};$$
 (3.1)

where now

$$Q = 4$$
 $dr r^2 e^{(r)}$: (3.2)

Eq.31 is to be supplemented with the boundary conditions of smooth joining (continuity of (r) and 0 (r) at r = 1 + a) with the external monopole solution (r) = -r.

Integration over the angles in eq.(2.14) yields the non{linear integral equation

$$(r) = \frac{4}{Q} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\max(r;a)} & \frac{Z_{jr}}{aj} & \frac{z}{c} & \frac{Z_{1}}{aj} & \frac{Z_{1$$

which by itself determines (r) for all values of r. In particular eq. (3.3) in plies that any solution is nite in the origin, since

$$(0) = \frac{4}{Q} \frac{h_1}{a} \int_{0}^{Z} dr \, r^2 \, e^{(r)} + \int_{a}^{Z} dr \, r \, e^{(r)} \, .$$
 (3.4)

Moreover, eq. (3.1) or eq. (3.3) imply

$$^{0}(0) = 0$$
 and $^{0}(r) < 0$ for $r > 0$; (3.5)

so that the density is monotonically decreasing away from the origin. The simplest way to prove that $^{0}(0)$ 0 is to multiply both sides of eq. (3.1) by r and integrate from 0 to an arbitrary value. This yields

$${}^{0}(r) = \frac{2}{Q a r^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z} dr^{0} r^{0} dr^{0} r^{0} dr^{0} r^{0} e^{(r^{00})};$$

which has a negative de nite rhs. which vanishes when r! 0 as long as a > 0.

The action for spherically sym metric solution becomes from eq.(2.18),

$$s[] = \frac{2}{Q} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} r^{2} dr \quad (r) e^{(r)} \log \frac{3Q}{4} :$$
 (3.6)

IV. SOLUTIONS REGULAR AS A! 0

The saddle point equation (2.13) adm its solutions which are regular as a ! 0 and reproduce in this lim it the solutions of the standard Lane (Em den equation. We call them dilute solutions. These are known since longtime in the spherically symmetric case [3]. To study such solutions we can set the cuto A to zero from the start. Equivalently, one can take the lim it a ! 0 in eq. (3.1) with the assumption that (r) stays nite in the lim it for any r, including the origin r = 0, and that (0) = 0.

Assuming spherical symmetry we get (r) = =r forr 1, while forr 1 we have

$$^{\circ}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{2}{\mathbf{r}} ^{\circ}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{4}{0} e^{-(\mathbf{r})} = 0$$
; $^{\circ}(0) = 0$; (4.1)

with the boundary conditions

$$(1) = ; 0(1) = : (4.2)$$

C learly there exists one and only one solution for a given Q, so the classication of all solutions is equivalent to the determination of all the allowed values of Q.

W e set as usual[5]

$$(r) = (0) + (r); (0) = log \frac{Q^{2}}{4}; (0) = 0:$$
 (4.3)

where (z) must satisfy, upon inserting eq. (4.3) in eq. (4.1),

$$^{\circ 0}(z) + \frac{2}{z} ^{\circ 0}(z) + e^{(z)} = 0$$
; $^{\circ 0}(0) = 0$ (4.4)

and, from the boundary conditions eq. (4.2) at r = 1,

$$\log \frac{Q^{-2}}{A} + () = ; (4.5)$$

These two relations x the two parameters Q and as functions of . In particular, we can rewrite (r) as

$$(r;) = (()) + (()r) : (4.6)$$

The density pro le of eq. (2.15) reads in terms of (r),

$$(r) = \frac{2}{4} e^{(r)};$$
 (4.7)

so that the normalization factor can also be written Q = e = (1).

Due to scale invariant behaviour of the gravitational interaction, eq. (4.4) enjoys the following scale covariance property: if (z) is a solution, then also (z) (ze) + 2 is a solution. Using (z) rather than (z) is compensated by the shift! e. Notice that (r) is indeed dened as a scale transformation of (z), with the scale parameter (not uniquely) xed by 0 () = . As a consequence of this scale invariance all physical quantities must be invariant under the simultaneous replacements! e and ()! ()+2.

Let us observe that, if the solutions of eq.(4.1) or (4.4) did not ful $11^{0}(0) = 0$ or $0^{0}(0) = 0$, a delta function at the origin would appear in the right hand side and (z) ' A = z, with A > 0, when z ! 0. This is the only possible singular behaviour and corresponds to a point particle with negative mass A in the origin. We shall not consider such unphysical solutions, which are ruled out when eq.(4.1) is considered as the a! 0 lim it of eq. (3.1), and stick to $0^{0}(0) = 0$.

M oreover, scale invariance allows to set also (0) = 0. This choice completely xes the scale and we have no more scale invariance left. One has from the second eq. (4.5) that $^0(z) < 0$ for all positive z, so that the density prole is monotonically decreasing with the distance.

Since (z) is monotonically decreasing, the allowed values of Q [that is of (1), see eqs.(2.15) and (4.2)] are in one{to{one correspondence with to the roots of the relation = () which inverts the second of eqs. (4.5). Thus there is only one dilute solution for each value of . However, = () is a multiple valued function for a certain range of . We plot vs. log in Fig.1. One sees that there is a unique for a given only for < 3:6358865::; that is < 2 1:84273139:::. For $_2$ < < $_c$ 2:517551:::($_c$ is the absolute maximum, located at $_c$ = 8:99311::; of $_c$ of over 0 < < 1) the relation = () is indeed multivalued and as approaches the value 2 there are increasingly more solutions which accumulate near the purely logarithm ic solution




FIG.1: as a function of log according to eq.(4.5) for the dilute solutions. Notice the maximum of at $_{\text{C}}$ = 2.51755:::, where $_{\text{C}_{\text{F}}}$ diverges. The region beyond the maximum location, at log $_{\text{C}}$ = 2.196459:::, is locally unstable as discussed in ref.[6]. $_{\text{C}_{\text{F}}}$ and $_{\text{T}}$ diverge before the maximum, at the point $_{\text{T}}$ = 2.43450:::.

which correspond to the singular density pro le $_1$ (r) = $(4 \text{ r}^2)^{-1}$. In other words, when is exactly 2 there exist an in nite set of solutions corresponding to the increasing sequence of values of which satisfy 0 () = 2. In Fig. 2 we plot in $\log\{\log \text{ scale} \text{ the density pro le} \ (r) \text{ [see eq. (4.7)] vs. r for few terms of this sequence.}$

We recall that, for any given < _ C , only the unique dilute solution with < _ C is locally stable. That is, any small uctuation increases the action. At = _ C a zero{mode appears in the linear uctuation spectrum and c_V , the speci c heat at constant volume, diverges. For larger values of negative modes show up, signalling local instability. A ctually the locally stable dilute solutions describe a (locally) stable dilute phase, in the thermodnam ic and mechanical terms, only for < _ T = 2.43450:.. At = _ T = 6.45071::: the isothermal compressibility diverges and the speed of sound inside the sphere becomes in aginary. The gas phase collapses at this point in the canonical ensemble as a consequence of the Jeans instability. This is con med by Monte Carlo simulations[5]. Hence, in the case = 2 depicted in Fig. 2, only the solution with the smallest density contrast, corresponding to the smallest value = 4.071496::; is stable. The unstable solutions that exist for _ 2 < < _ T should imply that the dilute phase is metastable for this range of , However, their lifetime is so huge [see eq. [5.20]] that these dilute solutions are in practice stable.

In the stable dilute phase the particles are m oderately clustered around the origin w ith a density that m onotonically decreases w ith r. One sees that the density contrast between the center and the boundary

$$\frac{(0)}{(1)} = e^{(1)}$$

grows with since () decreases with [see eq.(4.5)] and Fig. 2 [3, 5, 6].




FIG. 2: Log{log plot of the density pro le for som e dilute solutions at = 2.0 nly the sm allest one at = 4.071496::: is locally stable.

The action per particle of a dilute solution can be written entirely in terms of the density at the border[5]

$$s() = 3 + \log \frac{4}{3}$$
 (1) 4 (1) = 3 + $\log \frac{2}{3}$ + () $\frac{2}{3}$ e (4.8)

and is multivalued for $_2:::<$ < $_C:::$ The solution with the smallest density contrast has the smallest action, in accordance with the linear stability analysis. We plot s() as a function of \log and in Fig.3.

All physical quantities can be expressed for large N in terms of the function

$$f()$$
 $1 + \frac{ds}{3} = \frac{4}{3}$ (1): (4.9)

We have for the energy, the pressure, the isotherm alcom pressibility $_{\text{T}}$, the specic heats (c_{V} and c_{P}) and the speed of sound at the boundary [5]

$$\frac{E}{3N T} = f() \frac{1}{2} + O \frac{1}{N} ; \frac{pV}{N T} = f() + O \frac{1}{N} ;$$

$$\frac{S}{N} = 3[1 f()] s() + O \frac{1}{N} ; T = \frac{1}{f() + \frac{1}{3} f^{0}()} + O \frac{1}{N} ;$$

$$c_{V} = 3 f() \frac{1}{2} + O \frac{1}{N} ; c_{P} = c_{V} + \frac{[f() \frac{f()}{3} f^{0}()]}{f() + \frac{1}{3} f^{0}()} + O \frac{1}{N} ;$$

$$\frac{V_{S}^{2}}{T} = \frac{[f() \frac{f()}{3} f()]}{3 f() \frac{f()}{3} f()} + f() + \frac{1}{3} f^{0}() + O \frac{1}{N} ;$$

$$(4.10)$$

Here S_0 stands for the entropy of the ideal gas.

Notice that $_{\rm T}$ and $_{\rm C}$, the speci c heat at constant pressure, both diverge before $_{\rm C}$, at $_{\rm T}$ 2.43450:::5]. Moreover, the speed of sound squared, which stays regular at the boundary when $_{\rm T}$, has a simple pole there when evaluated inside the isotherm alsphere[5]. Thus, when $_{\rm T}$ the speed of sound is purely in aginary inside the sphere implying that small uctuations grow exponentially with time.

We have here discussed the dilute solutions in the zero cuto $\lim it (A = 0)$. Including the short distance cuto only adds small O(A) corrections to the dilute solutions.




FIG .3: The action per particle s vs. log (above) and vs. (below) for the dilute solution. s() is multivalued for > 2, with a peculiar self(sim ilar structure, as evident from the inset.

V. SOLUTIONS SINGULAR AS A! 0.

We present in this section new solutions for which the mean eld (r) develops singularities in the lim it A! 0. In case of spherical sym metry, when eq.(3.1) is the saddle point equation, the only possible singularity is localized at r = 0. It is convenient then to blow up' the region near r = 0 by setting [compare with eq. (4.3)]

$$(r) = (0) + {}^{2} \frac{r}{a}$$
; $(0) = \log \frac{Q^{2}}{4}$; $(0) = 0$; so that $(r) = \frac{2}{4} e^{2} (\frac{r}{a})$: (5.1)

where we introduce the variable:

a :

We thus obtain for (x); x = r=a, the following rewriting of the integral equation (3)

where,

m (x) m in
$$\frac{1}{a}$$
; x + 1 ; I_n (x) $\int_{0}^{Z_{x}} dy y^{n} \exp[^{2}(y)];$ (5.3)

and we have used eq. (3.4) to write (0) in term s of (x) as

$$(0) = {}^{2} I_{2}(1) + I_{1} \frac{1}{a} I_{1}(1) :$$
 (5.4)

Notice that all dependence on appears now through the variable = a.

By construction we have $^{0}(0) = 0$ [see eq. (3.5)], (0) = 0 and $^{0}(x) < 0$; (x) < 0 for all x > 0. Notice that does not enter the integral equation above, just as it did not enter the di erential equation (4.4) for in the setup without short{distance regulator. 0 wing to the normalization condition eq. (3.2), is computed once a solution is known, as a function of (and a), through

$$= {}^{2} a I_{2} \frac{1}{a} = {}^{2} a \int_{0}^{Z_{\frac{1}{a}}} dx x^{2} exp[^{2} (x)]; \qquad (5.5)$$

>From eq. (3.1) we may also derive the integro (di erential form of the equation satis ed by (x), that is

$$\frac{\theta^2}{\theta r^2} [x (x)] = \frac{1}{2} I_1 (x+1) \quad I_1 (\dot{y} 1\dot{y}) :$$
 (5.6)

Eq. (5.2) or (5.6) can be solved numerically to high accuracy for any given . We plot the corresponding as a function of log in Fig. 4, for better comparison with its behaviour in the dilute case, Fig. 1.




FIG .4: as a function of log according to eq.5.5). The black circle m ark the values of corresponding to the interpolating solutions depicted in g.5. Here, 2 2 a^2 = 0 (log $\frac{1}{a}$).

We nd four dierent regimes according to the value of for a 1.

- = 0 (a). That is, = 0 (1). In this regime we reproduce the curve = () (Fig1) characteristic of the diluted phase of sec. IV plus small corrections of order a.
- = 0 (1). Here = $0\frac{1}{a}$ () and the damped oscillation pattern around = 2, characteristic of the dilute solutions, gets disrupted: the oscillations regain larger and larger amplitude until $2 = 2a^2$ $\log \frac{1}{a}$.
- 2 = 0 (log $\frac{1}{a}$). Here, a sudden drop to very small = $_{m \text{ in}}$ (a) a takes place [see g.4]; then rises again as a, for a large enough, and keep growing inde nitely (see g.4 and below). This regime corresponds to saddles interpolating between the dilute phase and a collapsed phase (see below).

 2 = 0 ($\frac{1}{a}$). This regime describes collapsed con gurations where all particles are concentrated in a region of size a and provide the absolute minimum of the free energy.

Notice that new maxima appear in addition to those present for a = 0 (compare with Fig. 1). Those new maxima turn to be degenerate with the old ones up to small corrections of order a. In addition, exhibits oscillations for = 0 (1) which are similar to those present at a = 0 and ! 2 but reversed and faster. We shall give below a qualitative explanation for this peculiar behavior.




FIG. 5: Pro less of the mean eld (r) for 2 = 0 (log $\frac{1}{a}$) (interpolating solutions), with comparison between the regularized and unregularized cases. The dashed black curve is the dilute solution (that is for a = 0) at the same value of , namely at the location of the magenta circle of Fig. 4. The other curves correspond to the black circles in g. 4.

In Fig. 5 we also compare the solutions of the integral equation (3.3) or (5.2) to those of the differential equation (4.1), with boundary conditions (4.2), in which Q takes the value obtained by the integralmethod, upon using eqs. (5.1) and (5.4). We see that the agreement is very good down to rofordera, when the short distance regularization becomes elective, while the solutions of the differential equation (4.1) eventually blow to (4.1) as (4.1) as (4.1) or (4.1) as (4.1) a

Hence, the qualitative behaviour of the singular solutions can be understood already from the di erential equation (4.4), provided one drops the initial conditions $(0) = {}^{0}(0) = 0$ characteristic of the dilute solutions. That is, using the relation $(x) = {}^{2}(x)$ that follows from eqs. (4.3) and (5.1), we can solve the integral equation (5.2) just in the interval $0 \times x$, with $x_1 \& 2$, to compute

$$(2) = {}^{2}(x_{1}) \text{ and } {}^{0}(2) = {}^{0}(x_{1});$$
 (5.7)

at the boundary $x=x_1$. These values for (2) and (2), both negative by construction, can then be used as initial conditions at z=2 to solve the di erential equation (4.4) in place of the conditions (0)=(0)=0, characteristic of the dilute solutions. The choice $x_1 \& 2$ is motivated by a direct numerical analysis but can be explained by the




FIG. 6: Pro less of the logarithm the density log (r) for $^2 = 0$ ($\frac{1}{a}$) (collapsed solution). For the value of given, we have = 6012149:6972::. This collapsed pro leshould be compared to the dilute ones (stable and unstable) depicted in Fig. 2.

fact that diverges when a ! 0 in the solutions which are singular as a ! 0. This implies, on one side, that the rhs. of eq. (5.6) is exponentially small in 2 when $x_0 \& 2$ [see also eq. (5.3)] and, on the other side, that the initial conditions at z=2 a ect the function (z) very strongly, causing the rapid drop for r>2 a observed in Fig. 5, as well as the growth followed by the fall to 1 as r! 0. It must be observed, in fact, that (x) has a nite limit as [1] (5.7),

$$(2) = 0 (^{2})$$
 and $^{0}(2) = 0 ()$:

It follows that the function (z) fulls approximately the free equation (z) $^{00} = 0$ in the rapid drop for z > 2 as well as in the following plateau (to the right in Fig. 5), that is

(z)
$$\frac{c_0}{z} + c_1$$
; $c_0 = 0$ (³); $c_1 = 0$ (²): (5.8)

Where we used that z=r=x. This behaviour is valid as long as ${}^{(0)}(z)$. $e^{(z)}$, that is z. 0 ($exp[^2=3]$) since =0 (2) and $c_0=0$ (3). For larger values of z the function (z) tends to the unique large distance x ed point given by the purely logarithm is solution $\log(2=z^2)$ and does this through the characteristic damped harm onic oscillations in $\log \beta$ responsible for the waving behaviour of in the dilute phase [see g. 1]. Since the crossover to the logarithm is behaviour takes place for larger and larger values of z the sm aller is a, because sm log sm grows sm with a as well as the crossover point z=0 ($exp[^2=3]$). When (z) is almost constant, the characteristic

grows with a as well as the crossover point z=0 (exp [2=3]). When (z) is almost constant, the characteristic oscillations tend to have the same amplitude they have in the regular solutions. Moreover, this almost constant value of (z), which is of order 2, decreases faster in than the end point = =a at which (z) is to be evaluated to give as in the second of eqs. (4.5). This explain why the oscillations of are reversed and faster right before the sudden drop in Fig. 4. In other words, the oscillations in the left part of g. 4 describe the approach to the limiting solution $\log (2=z^2)$ [= 2] while one is getting of this limiting solution in the right part of g. 4. This explains why the oscillations on the right get reversed compared with the oscillations on the left of g. 4.

In the next two subsections we will provide more details on all these matters and further analytical insights.

A. Collapsed phase

This is the case when = a as a ! 0 and corresponds to the growing branch on the right of Fig.4. Notice that we have collapsed solutions for arbitrarily large values of contrary to the dilute solutions which only exist for < c.

Since (x) is negative and monotonically decreasing for x > 0, the quantity $\exp[-(x)]$ is exponentially small except in the interval $0 - x < x_0$ where (x) would vanish in the limit. We use now this property to get an approximate but analytic singular solution. That is, the dominant contribution in the rhs. of eq. (5.2) is obtained when all integrations are restricted to the interval $0 - x - x_0$. One easily realizes that, by consistency, $x_0 = 1 = 2$. Then one nds

$$I_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} x^{n+1}; & x & 1=2\\ 2^{n-1}; & x & 1=2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and in particular using eqs.(5.4) and (5.5),

$$(0) = {}^{2} I_{2}(1) + I_{1} \frac{1}{a} I_{1}(1) = \frac{{}^{2}}{24} ; = {}^{2} a I_{2} \frac{1}{a} = \frac{{}^{2} a}{24} ; (0) = \frac{{}^{2}}{a} :$$

Finally, the leading form for (r) reads

$$(r) = (0) + \frac{24}{a} \quad \frac{r}{a} = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{a}; & r = a=2 \\ \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2r} = \frac{r}{a} = \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{2}; & a=2 \quad r = 3a=2 \\ \frac{1}{r}; & r = 3a=2 \end{cases}$$

$$(5.9)$$

Notice that (r) as well as its rst and second derivatives are continuous at r = a=2 and r = 3a=2. For r = 3a=2, the elective gravitational eld has the free form proper of a locally vanishing mass density.

Higher corrections can be obtained by iteration over eq. (5.2). That is, using the leading form of (x) that can be read out of in eq. (5.9) to evaluate to next{to{leading order, as ! 1, the integrals $I_n(x)$ in the rhs. of eq. (5.2). This procedure can then be repeated to obtain further corrections.

Eq. (5.9) provides the spherically sym metric, leading order singular solution of the mean eld equation (2.13) where the particles are densely concentrated around one point in a region of size of the order of the cuto A. In fact, to leading order in a the density reads

(r) =
$$\begin{cases} \frac{8}{4} & \frac{6}{4} \\ \frac{6}{4} & \frac{6}{4} \end{cases}$$
; r a=2;

while to next{to{leading order we have

$$(r) = \frac{6}{a^3} \exp^{h} (r) - \frac{i}{a};$$
 (5.10)

where (r) has the leading order form of eq. (5.9). This corresponds to the situation when the gravitational attraction completely overcomes the kinetic energy and all particles fall towards the origin. Of course, such singular solution only exist mathematically for non-zero values of the cuto A.

Let us now compute the action for the solution eq. (5.9) from eq. (2.11). The regularized laplacian can be computed from the equation of motion (2.13) with the leading order result for a (2.13).

Z
$$d^3r$$
 (r) r_a^2 (r) = $\frac{4}{Q}$ 4 r^2 dr (r) e (r) = 4 (0) = $\frac{4}{a}$:

The second term is just

$$\log Q = (0) \quad \log \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{a} \quad \log \frac{6}{a^3}$$
;

so that all together, to leading order we obtain for the free energy

$$F F_0 = \frac{G m^2 N}{2A} + N T s(;a) = \frac{G m^2 N}{2A} \frac{N T}{2a} + N T log \frac{8}{a^3}$$

$$= \frac{G m^2 N (N 1)}{2A} + N T log \frac{R^3}{(A=2)^3} : (5.11)$$

The rst term is just the potential energy of N particles clustered in a small sphere of radius A=2, where the regularized gravitational interaction is the same for all $\frac{1}{2}$ N (N 1) particle pairs. The second term is T times the entropy loss in the collapse. As one could expect, this free energy is large and negative, unbounded from below as A : 0. In particular, this free energy is well below the free energy of the dilute solution eq. (4.8) and Fig. 3 for all values > 0. That is, the singular solution eq. (5.9) provides the absolute m in in um for the free energy eq. (2.11) for any > 0. The dilute solution eqs. (4.4) and (4.2) is only a local m in in um. In the next subsection we shall address the issue of the m etastability of the dilute phase.

Let us now compute some physical quantities characterizing the collapsed phase. The equation of state has the mean eld form

$$\frac{PV}{NT} = \frac{4}{3} \quad (1); \tag{5.12}$$

in both the dilute and collapsed phase. In the former case the rhs. of eq. (5.12) coincides with the function f (). In the latter we obtain instead, from eqs. (5.10) and (5.9), to next $\{to\{leading order\}\}$

$$\frac{PV}{NT} = \frac{2}{a} \exp \frac{h}{a} :$$
 (5.13)

Thus, the pressure at the boundary is exponentially small in the collapsed phase. The complete plot of PV=NT vs. is given in Fig. 7. One can see how the well known [3] spiraling towards (= 2;f() = 1=3), characteristic of the dilute solutions, is now limited to the case when is of order a. When reaches values of order 1 the inward spiral comes to a halt and then winds back until . O $(\log \frac{1}{a})$. For larger values of , that is =a as in the collapsed phase, PV=NT drops to values exponentially small in $\frac{1}{a}$. A nalogous plots are obtained for other types of cuto in refs. [9].




FIG. 7: Complete plot of PV=NT vs. when $a=10^4$ (blue curve). When is of order a the blue curve cannot be distinguished from the corresponding curve of the case a=0, here depicted in red (dilute solutions). When is of order 1 the blue curve (a>0) and the red curve separate. The blue curve then traces the red spiral backwards at a distance of order a=0 (log a=0) as long as a=00 (log a=01 (interpolating solutions). Finally the blue curve drops to zero when a=02 is of order a=03 (collapsed solutions).

For other physically relevant quantities we sim ilarly nd

$$c_V = \frac{3}{2}$$
; $c_P = \frac{3}{2} + O(a^2)$; $\frac{v_s^2}{T} = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{8}{a^3} \exp^{\frac{h}{a}} = \frac{1}{a}$; $\frac{S - S_0}{N} = 3 \log a + O(a^0)$: (5.14)

B. Interpolating solution: m etastability

The dilute solutions studied in sec. IV are metastable because the collapsed solution provides the absolute m in im um for free energy [eq.(5.11)]. The collapse transition from the dilute phase to the collapsed phase can take place through an interpolating solution in an analogous way to the bubbles in liquid-gas rst order phase transitions [10].

Such interpolating solutions are the saddle points of eq. (2.13), which correspond to the sudden drop of as a function of log (see Fig. 4) located approximately at $^2a^2$ $log \frac{1}{a}$. In this narrow drop region we have 0 (a) . < $_{\text{C}}$, so

the interpolating solutions are allowed only in this interval of . Examples of the interpolating solutions are given in g.5.

A coording to the qualitative discussion below eq. (5.8), the location of the drop can be obtained approxim ately as the values of at which the function () has the crossover from the free solution () ' $q_0 = + c_1$ to the logarithm ic solution $\log(2=^2)$. That is for $2a^2 \log \frac{1}{a}$, since $c_0 = 0$ ($3a^3$) and $c_1 = 0$ ($2a^2$), see eq. (5.8). Then the scaling as $a \cdot 0$ of (0), the density in the origin, can be obtained from eq. (5.1) and the numerical analysis as

$$(0) = \frac{2}{4} = 0$$
 a $^2 \log \frac{1}{a}$:

because = 0 (1) in this regime.

On the other hand, from Fig. 5 one sees that the value of the density for r a and in particular the value at the border (1) dier by order a w.r.t. the dilute phase. The equation of state (5.12), which holds for any value of , then in plies that the pressure in the dilute and interpolating solution, for the same value of , dier only by order a, as evident also from Fig. 7.

In practice, one can regard an interpolating con guration as a special spherical uctuation of the dilute phase in which a fraction of particles of order a $\log \frac{1}{a}$ is almost uniformely removed from everywhere and concentrated in a region of size a around the origin. In fact, this result has an heuristic explanation by a simple energy (entropy argument: if we denote by the variation of the density in a region of size a around the origin, we then have

s
$$\frac{N}{2a} + 3 \frac{N}{N} \log \frac{1}{a}$$
; $\frac{N}{N} a^3$; (5.15)

since the rst term is the gain in potential energy per particle and the second the loss of entropy per particle due to the concentration. We may neglect the elect due to the rest of the particles if we assume that $\frac{N}{N}$, the fraction of particle moved around, would vanish as a ! 0. Minimizing eq. (5.15) w.r.t. yields

$$rac{3}{a^2} \log \frac{1}{a} \text{ and } s = \frac{9a}{2} \log \frac{1}{a}^2;$$
 (5.16)

which is indeed consistent with the requirement that a^3 vanishes as a ! 0 and rather accurately approximate our numerical results.

Notice that the minimized s is to be identied with the dierence, between interpolating and dilute solution, of the action per particle, so that

$$s = s_{interpolating}$$
 $s_{lilute}' \frac{9}{2} a (log a)^2$: (5.17)

This result is in very good agreement with the numerical values obtained by solving eqs. (3.3) and (3.6).

A coording to the interpretation of the interpolating solutions as saddle points through which the dilute phase m ay decay to the collapsed one, the lifetime of the dilute phase is given by

,
$$\frac{\text{1D etj}}{\text{D eto}}^{1=2} e^{\text{N s}}$$
; (5.18)

where D et stands for the determ inant of sm all uctuations around the interpolating solution and D et $_0$ for that around the dilute solution.

This ratio of determ inants can be estimated as follows: in the interpolating prole there should be only one negative mode necessarily localized in a region of size a around the origin, where the density is very large, since (r) plays the role of Schroedinger potential in the eigenvalue equation for the small uctuations. Eq. (5.16) then implies that $\frac{1}{a^2} \log \frac{1}{a}$ provides the scale of the potential felt by this negative mode. Hence the corresponding negative eigenvalue should be of the same order, while the rest of the spectrum should be almost una ected, since the two density proles dier only by order a for r & 2 a. Therefore

$$\frac{\text{Detj}}{\text{Deto}}, \frac{1}{\text{a}^2} \log \frac{1}{\text{a}}: \tag{5.19}$$

We obtain from eqs. (5.17)-(5.19) to leading order the following lifetime for the dilute phase of the self-gravitating gas:

$$\frac{1}{a} \log \frac{1}{a} e^{\frac{9N}{2} a (\log a)^2} = \frac{R}{a} \log \frac{R}{a} \exp \frac{9AT}{2Gm^2} \log \frac{R}{a} = \frac{2i}{2Gm^2}.$$
 (5.20)

One can see that the lifetime becomes in nitely long in the zero cuto $\lim it$ as well as when N ! 1 at xed cuto [recall that R N in the dilute $\lim it$ of eq. (1.1)].

We want to stress that the lifetime of the dilute solutions is extremely long for large N and small cuto A. This is due to the fact that although the collapsed solution has a much lower energy than the dilute solution, in the latter there is a huge entropy barrier against the gathering, in a small domain of size A, of a number particles large enough to start the collapse. A qualitatively similar conclusion is reached in ref. [11].

We shall come back for a more detailed study of this problem in a subsequent paper.

- [1] J. Binney, S. Trem aine, Galactic Dynamics', Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987. P. J. E. Peebles, Principles of Physical Cosmology', Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. W. C. Saslaw, Gravitational Physics of Stellar and Galactic Systems', Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1987.
- [2] R.B. Larson, MNRAS 194, 809 (1981). J.M. Scalo, in Interstellar Processes', D.J. Hollenbach and H.A. Thronson Eds., D. Reidel Pub. Co, p. 349 (1987).
- [3] R. Em den, Gaskugeln', Teubner, Leipzig und Berlin, 1907. S. Chandrasekhar, An Introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure', Chicago Univ. Press, 1939. R. Ebert, Z. Astrophys. 37, 217 (1955). W. B. Bonnor, Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. 116, 351 (1956). V. A. Antonov, Vest. Leningrad Univ. 7, 135 (1962). D. Lynden-Bell and R. Wood, Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. 138, 495 (1968).
- [4] E.B.Aronson, C.J.Hansen, Astrophys. J. 177, 145 (1972), B. Stahl, M.K.H.Kiessling, K. Schindler, Planet. Space Sci. 43, 271 (1995), P. Hertel, W. Thirring, Commun. Math. Phys. 24, 22 (1971).
- [5] H.J. de Vega, N. Sanchez, Nuclear Physics B 625, 409 (2002), astro-ph/0101568.
- [6] H.J. de Vega, N. Sanchez, Nuclear Physics B 625, 460 (2002), astro-ph/0101567.
- [7] H. J. de Vega, N. Sanchez, Statistical Mechanics of the self-gravitating gas: thermodynamic limit, phase diagrams and fractal structures, astro-ph/0505561, 9th Course of the International School of Astrophysics Daniel Chalonge, Palermo, Italy, 7-18 September 2002, NATO ASI, p. 291-324 in the Proceedings, N. Sanchez and Yu. Parijskij editors, Kluwer, 2002.
- [8] H.J.de Vega, J. Siebert, Phys. Rev. E 66, 016112 (2002), Nucl. Phys. B 707, 529 (2005) and B 726, 464 (2005). H.J.de Vega, N. Sanchez, Nucl. Phys. B 711, 604 (2005).
- [9] P.H. Chavanis, Phys. Rev. E 65, 056123 (2002), P.H. Chavanis, I. Ispolatov, Phys. Rev. E 66, 036109 (2002), P.H. Chavanis, J. Som meria, MNRAS 296, 569 (1998). E. Follana, V. Laliena, Phys. Rev. E 61, 6270 (2000).
- [10] Langer J S in Fluctuations, Instabilities and Phase Transitions, Riste T, Ed. page 19, Plenum N.Y. (1975). Langer J S in Solids Far From Equilibrium, Ed. Godreche C, Cambridge Univ. Press (1992), page 297, and Systems Far From Equilibrium, Garrido L, et. al. eds. Lect. Notes in Phys. 132 Springer (1975). Gunton JD, San Miguel M and Sahni P S in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Domb C and Lebowitz JJ, Eds. Vol 8, A cademic Press, (1983); Langer JS, Acta Metall. 21, 1649 (1973). D. Boyanovsky, H.J. de Vega, D.J. Schwarz, Phase transitions in the early and the present Universe', hep-ph/0602002, to appear in Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.
- [11] P.H. Chavanis, A & A 432, 117 (2005).