Isotherm s clustering in cosm ic m icrow ave background

A. Bershadskii

ICAR, P.O. Box 31155, Jerusalem 91000, Israel

Isotherm's clustering in cosm ic m icrow ave background (CMB) has been studied using the 3-year WMAP data on cosm ic m icrow ave background radiation. It is shown that the isotherm's clustering could be produced by the baryon-photon uid turbulence in the last scattering surface. The Taylor-m icroscale R eynolds number of the turbulence is estimated directly from the CMB data as $R = 10^2$.

PACS num bers: 98.70.V c, 98.80.-k, 98.65.D x

IN T R O D U C T IO N

Lum inous matter in the observable universe is strongly

clustered (see, for a review [1]). Main reasons for this apparent clustering are gravitational forces and an initial non-uniform ity of the baryon-photon matter just before the recombination time. An information about this non-uniform ity we can infer from the Cosm ic M icrowave Background (CMB) radiation maps. Although these m aps indicate nearly G aussian distribution of the CM B uctuations, certain clustering may be observed already in these m aps even after they have m onopole and dipole set to zero. The clustering of the isotherms in the last scattering surface then can be used as an initial condition for the gravitational simulations. One of the purposes of this paper is to describe the clustering quantitatively. A nother related purpose is to nd out underlying primordial physical processes, which may cause the isotherm s clustering in the CMB maps. It is known [2], [3] that rotational velocity perturbations in the prim ordial baryon-photon uid can produce angular scale an isotropies in CMB radiation through the D oppler e ect. The conclusions are relevant to arom inute scales (for large scales see [4]). On the other hand, it is recently shown in [5] that clustering is an intrinsic property of the turbulent (rotational) velocity eld. Therefore, one should check whether the CMB isotherms clustering can be related to turbulent motion of the baryon-photon uid just before recombination time (an observational indication of turbulent motion in the baryon-photon uid just before recombination time is given in [6], see also [7]-[14]). Moreover, we will estimate the Taylorm icroscale Reynolds number (Re, see Appendix A) of 10° (let us recall that critical this motion, that is Re value is Re ' 40). As far as we know this is rst estim ate of the Reynolds num ber obtained directly from a CMB-m ap (we have used a cleaned 3-yearWMAP [15]).

To nd physical (dynam ical) origin of the isotherm s clustering in the CMB we will compare certain statistical properties of the CMB maps (and their Gaussian simulations) with corresponding properties of the uid turbulence. It is well known that energetically the CMB uctuations are dom inated by acoustic perturbations of the prim ordial velocity eld. The velocity eld can be represented by following way

$$u = u_a + u_r$$

where the acoustic component is a potential one $u_a = r'$ and u_r is rotational component of the primordial velocity eld. To exclude the acoustic component one can take operation curl $u = curl u_r$, that is vorticity. A verage energy dissipation in turbulent velocity eld is determ ined just by its rotational component [16]

where h::: m eans statistical average and is kinem atic viscosity. Since it is expected that high frequency events in the velocity eld should provide the most signi cant contribution to the turbulent dissipation and, especially, to its high order m om ents one can also expect that clustering in turbulent velocity eld should be intim ately related to uctuations of the energy dissipation (so-called intermittency phenomenon [16], [17]). Taking into account that turbulent velocity is nearly G aussian [16] (see also Appendix B) the clustering phenom enon in the turbulent velocity eld should be also a Gaussian one. On the other hand, the intermittency phenomenon in uid turbulence, usually associated with ne non-Gaussian properties of the velocity eld [16], [17]. Thus, sim ultaneous consideration of the cluster and interm ittency characteristics can provide an additional valuable inform ation about physical origin of the isotherm's clustering in the CMB maps and about the ne non-Gaussianity of the m aps. Therefore, the paper starts with an introduction to this subject.

CLUSTERING AND INTERM ITTENCY

For turbulent ows the so-called Taylor hypothesis is generally used to interpret the turbulent data [16],[17],[18]. This hypothesis states that the intrinsic time dependence of the wave elds can be ignored when the turbulence is convected past the probes at nearly



FIG.1: Standard deviation of the running density uctuations against for for the velocity uctuations measured in the wind-tunnel (Fig. 1a) and for their Gaussian spectral simulation (Fig. 1b).



FIG. 2: As in Fig. 1 but for atm ospheric surface layer at Re = 19000 (Fig. 2a) and for its Gaussian spectral simulation (Fig. 2b).

constant speed. W ith this hypothesis, the tem poral dynam ics should re ect the spatial one, i.e. the uctuating velocity eld measured by a given probe as a function of time, u (t) is the same as the velocity u (x=hui) where hui is the mean velocity and x is the distance to a position "upstream" where the velocity is measured at t = 0.

Let us count the number of 'zero'-crossing points of the signal in a time interval and consider their running density n . Let us denote uctuations of the running density as n = n hn i, where the brackets mean the average over long times. We are interested in scaling variation of the standard deviation of the running density uctuations h $n^2 i^{1=2}$ with

h
$$n^2 i^{1=2}$$
 n (1)

For white noise it can be derived analytically [19],[20] that $_{n} = 1=2$ (see also [5]).

In Figure 1a and 2a we show calculations of the standard deviation for a turbulent velocity signal obtained in the wind-tunnel experiment [21] and for a velocity signal obtained in an atm ospheric experiment [22]) respectively. The straight lines are drawn in the gures to indicate scaling (1). One can see two scaling intervals in Fig. 1a. The left scaling interval covers both dissipative and inertial ranges, while the right scaling interval covers scales larger then the integral scale of the ow. W hile the right scaling interval is rather trivial (with n = 1=2, i.e. without clustering), the scaling in the left interval (with $_n < 1=2$) indicates clustering of the high frequency uctuations. The cluster-exponent $_{\rm n}$ decreases with increase of Re , that means increasing of the clustering (as it was expected from qualitative observations).

To describe intermittency the standard deviation of uctuations of the energy dissipation is used [23] (see also a discussion in [24])

h
$$l^{2} i^{1=2}$$
 i (2)

where

$$" = \frac{\int_{0}^{R} "(t) dt}{(t)^{2}};$$
 (3a)

or in the term s of the discrete time series [17]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ & & u_{j}^{2} = & (3b) \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{array}$$

where $u_j = u_{j+1} \quad u_j$.

Figure 3 shows an example of the scaling (2) providing the interm ittency exponent $_{i}$ for the wind-tunnel data (see [23] for more details). Events with high concentration of the zero-crossing points in the interm ittent turbulent velocity signal provide main contribution to the h "² i due to high concentration of the statistically signi cant localm axim um s in these events [25]. Therefore, one can make use of statistical version of the theorem 'about m ean' in order to estim ate the standard deviation

h "
$$i^{1=2}$$
 h fm ax : $i^{2}g^{2}i^{1=2}h n^{2}i^{1=2}$ (4)

where fm ax : u^2g is maximum of $u(t)^2$ in interval of length . Value of h fm ax : $\hat{u}g^2 i^{1=2}$ should grow with Re (due to increasing of hu^2i) and, statistically, with



FIG. 3: Interm ittency exponent as slope of the straight line for the longitudinal velocity signal obtained in the wind tunnel experiment [21] at R e = 1029.



FIG. 4: Statistical ('tail') exponent $_{\rm m}$ ' 0.1 as slope of the straight line for the velocity signal obtained in the wind tunnel experiment [21] at Re = 1029.

the length of interval (the later grow th is expected to be self-sim ilar), i.e.

h fm ax
$$:u^2g^2i^{1=2} = C (Re)$$
 ^m (5)

where constant C (Re) is a monotonically increasing function of Re , and the statistical ('tail') exponent $_{\rm m}$ 0 is independent on Re . Fig. 4 shows an example of scaling (5) providing the statistical ('tail') exponent $_{\rm m}$ ' 0:10 0:01 for the wind-tunnel data. Substituting (5) into (4) and taking into account (1) and (2) we can infer a relation between the scaling exponents

$$i = n m$$
 (6)



FIG.5: The cluster-exponent $_n$ (circles) and interm ittency exponent $_i$ (squares) against $1=\ln Re$ for velocity signals at di erent values of R eynolds num ber (200 < Re < 20000).

Unlike the exponent $_{\rm m}$ the exponent $_{\rm n}$ depends on Re . Therefore, we can learn from (6) that just the clustering of high frequency velocity uctuations ($_{\rm n}$ in (6)) is responsible for dependence of the interm ittency exponent $_{\rm i}$ on the Re .

It is naturally consider the exponent functions through $\ln R := (\ln R e) [5], [26], [27]$ (see also Appendix A). Following to the general idea of R ef. [27] (see also [5]) let us expend this function for large R e in a power series:

$$(\ln Re) = (1) + \frac{a_1}{\ln Re} + \frac{a_2}{(\ln Re)^2} + \dots$$
 (7)

In Figure 5 we show the calculated values of $_{\rm n}$ (circles) and $_{\rm i}$ (squares) for velocity signals against 1= lnRe for 200 < Re < 20000 (for $_{\rm i}$ we also added four data points taken from the paper [23], where the data were obtained in a m ixing layer and in an atm ospheric surface layer). The straight lines (the best t) indicate approximation with the two rst terms of the power series expansion

$$_{n} (\ln \text{Re}) ' 0.1 + \frac{3=2}{\ln \text{Re}}; \quad i(\ln \text{Re}) ' \frac{3=2}{\ln \text{Re}} (8)$$

Thismeans, in particular, that

$$\lim_{Re ! 1} n' 0:1; \lim_{Re ! 1} i' 0$$
(9)

The closeness of the constants a_1 ' 3=2 (the slopes of the straight lines in Fig. 5) in the approximations (8) of the cluster-exponent $_n$ and of the intermittency exponent $_i$ con rm s the relationship (6) with $_m$ ' 0:1 (cf. Figs. 4 and 5).

Thus one can see from Eq. (6) that entire dependence of the interm ittency exponent $_i$ on R e is determined by dependence of the cluster-exponent $_n$ on R e . On the

other hand, the cluster-exponent itself is uniquely determ ined by energy spectrum of the velocity signal at suggestion that the velocity eld is G aussian. How ever, different spectra can produce the same value of the clusterexponent (in particular, the cluster-exponent is invariant to variation of the potential component of the velocity eld, that is signi cant for next section).

We use spectral simulation to illustrate that energy spectrum uniquely determ ines cluster-exponent for Gaussian-like turbulent signals. In these simulations we generate Gaussian stochastic signal with energy spectrum given as data (the spectral data are taken from the original velocity signals). Figures 1b and 2b show, as examples, results of such simulation for the wind-tunnel velocity data (Fig. 1b) and for the atm ospheric surface layer (Fig. 2b). Namely, Figures 1a and 2a show results of calculations for the original velocity signals and Figs. 1b, and 2b show corresponding results obtained for the spectral simulations of the signals. This means, in particular, that in respect to the cluster-exponent the turbulent velocity signals exhibit Gaussian properties. Of course, it is not the case for the interm ittency exponent i. Namely, i ' 0:5 for the Gaussian spectral simulations, independently on the spectral shape.

CMB CLUSTERING AND INTERM ITTENCY

The motion of the scatterers in the last scattering surface imprints a temperature uctuation, T, on the CMB through the D oppler e ect [2], [3]

$$\frac{T(n)}{T} \qquad g(L)n \quad y(x) dL$$

where n is the direction (the unit vector) on the sky, v_b is the velocity eld of the baryons evaluated along the line of sight, x = Ln, and g is the so-called visibility. It should be noted that, in a potential ow, uctuations perpendicular to the line of sight lack a velocity component parallel to the line of sight; consequently, generally there is no Doppler e ect for the potential ows. The same is not true for rotational ows, since the waves that nun perpendicular to the line of sight have velocities parallel to the line of sight parallel to the line of sight have velocities parallel to the line of sight parallel to the line parallel to the line

C lustering in the turbulent velocity eld in the last scattering surface, therefore, can produce clustering of the observed CMB isotherms. Thus (see previous section), the areas of strong clustering of the CMB isotherms can indicate the areas of the strong vorticity activity in last scattering surface. Moreover, using results of previous section we can, calculating corresponding clusterand interm ittency exponents for the CMB maps, check whether the turbulent relationship (6) is also valid for the CMB data, with the same value of $_{\rm m}$ ' 0:1 as for the uid turbulence. In the case of positive answer we

W e used the 3-year W MAP data cleaned from foreground contam ination by Tegm ark's group [15] (the originaltem perature is given in K units). Let us introduce a gradient m easure for the cosm ic m icrow ave radiation tem perature T uctuations that is an analogy of the turbulent energy dissipation m easure " $_r$ [17],[18]

$$r = \frac{\frac{R}{v_{r}} (5 T)^{2} dv}{v_{r}}$$
(10);

where v_r is a subvolume with space-scale r (this is a space analogy of Eqs. (3a,b), see also Introduction: h"i h(curl v)²i).

Then, scaling law

$$h_{r}^{2}i r^{i}$$
(11)

corresponds to the scaling law (2) and the exponent $_{\rm i}$ is the CM B interm ittency exponent. Technically, using the cosm ic m icrow ave pixel data m ap, we will calculate the cosm ic m icrow ave radiation tem perature gradient m easure using sum mation over pixel sets (by random walks m ethod) instead of integration over subvolum es v_r. The scaling of type (11) (if exists) will be then written as

h
$${}^{2}_{s}i^{1=2}_{s}$$
 s i (12)

where the metric scale r is replaced by number of the pixels, s, characterizing the size of the summation set (the random walk trajectory length). The $_{\rm s}$ is a surrogate of the real 3D gradient measure $_{\rm r}$. It is believed that such surrogates can reproduce quantitative scaling properties of the 3D prototypes [17]. A nalogously to the previous section the cluster analysis can be performed

$$h n_s^2 i^{1=2} s^n$$
 (13)

Figure 6a shows the cluster-scaling (13) for the CMB calculated for the 3-year W MAP map cleaned by Tegmark's group [15]. The straight line (the best t) is drawn to indicate the scaling in log-log scales. Figure 6b shows the results of analogous calculations produced for G aussian spectral simulation of the 3-year W MAP map. As it was expected the G aussian spectral simulation produces map with the same cluster properties as the original map (cf. previous section).

The interm ittency exponent $_{i}$, on the contrary, takes signi cantly di erent values for the original CMB m ap and for its G aussian spectral simulation (Figs. (7a,b)). One can see, that for the G aussian m ap-simulation $_{i}$ ' 0:5 0:02 (as it is expected, see previous section), while for the original CMB m ap $_{i}$ ' 0:36 0:02 in agreement with the uid turbulence case (Eq. (6) and Fig. 5). Then, using Fig. 5 we can estimate the Taylormicroscale R eynolds num ber of the prim ordial turbulence



FIG. 6: As in Figs. 1,2 but for the CMB uctuations in the 3 year W MAP (Fig. 6a) and for the corresponding G aussian spectral simulation m ap (Fig. 6b).



FIG.7: As in Fig. 3 but for the CMB uctuations in the 3 year W MAP (Fig. 7a) and for the corresponding Gaussian spectral simulation map (Fig.7b).

in the last scattering surface (with logarithm ic accuracy) Re $1 \hat{G}$.

It should be noted, that critical value (for transition from laminar to turbulent motion) is Re ' 40 [5]. Therefore, one can conclude that motion of the baryon-photon uid at the recombination time is rather close to the critical state. This can be considered as an indication that the recombination process itself might be a source of the turbulence, which modulates the CMB. Indeed, the strong photon viscosity is known as the main reason for presumably laminar motion of the baryon-photon uid just before recombination time. Therefore, if the recombination starts from appearance of the small localized transparent spots, then activity related to these spots can result in turbulent motion of the entire bulk of the baryon-photon uid at the recombination time.

I thank K R. Sreenivasan for inspiring cooperation. I also thank D. D onzis, C H.G ibson and participants of the workshop "N onlinear cosm ology: turbulence and elds" for discussions.

- F. Sylos Labini, M. Montuori and L. Pietronero, Phys. Rep., 293 61 (1998)
- [2] K. Subram anian and J.D. Barrow. Phys. Rev. D, 58, 083502 (1998).
- [3] R.Durrer, T.Kahniashvili, and A.Yates, Phys. Rev.D, 58, 123004 (1998).
- [4] T R.Ja e, A J.Banday, H K.Eriksen, K M.Gorski, F K. Hansen, ApJ, 629, L1 (2005).
- [5] K.R. Sreenivasan and A. Bershadskii, J.F. Luid Mech., 54 477 (2006).
- [6] A.Bershadskiiand K.R.Sreenivasan, Phys.Lett.A, 299, 149 (2002).
- [7] C.H.Gibson, Appl., Scien.Res., 72, 161, (2004).
- [8] A. Brandenburg, Astrophys. J., 550, 824 (2001).
- [9] E.Vishniac and J.Cho, Astrophys. J., 550, 752 (2001).
- [10] A. Dokgov and D. G rasso, Phys. Rev. Lett., 88, 011301 (2002).
- [11] N.K Leeorin, D.Moss, I.Rogachevskii, and D.Sokolo, A&A,400,9 (2003).
- [12] E. Vishniac, A. Lazarian, and J. Cho, Lect. Notes Phys. 614, 376 (2003).
- [13] K. Subram anian, A. Shukurov, and N E L Haugen, M N-RAS 366, 1437 (2005).
- [14] T.Kahniashvili, astro-ph/0605440 (2006).
- [15] M. Tegmark, A. de Oliveira-Costa, A. Ham ilton, Phys. Rev. D, 68, 123523 (2003) (http://space.m.it.edu/hom/e/tegmark/wm/ap.html).
- [16] Monin A. S. and Yaglom A M., 1975 Statistical Fluid Mechanics: Mechanics of Turbulence, V. 2 (The M IT Press, Cambridge).
- [17] K R. Sreenivasan and R A. Antonia, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech, 29, 435 (1997).
- [18] A.Bershadskii, Phys.Rev.Lett., 90, 041101 (2003).
- [19] G.Molchan, private communication.
- [20] M R.Leadbetter and JD.G ryer, Bull.Am er.M ath.Soc. 71, 561 (1965).
- [21] B R. Pearson, P.A. K rogstad and W. van de W ater, Phys. Fluids, 14, 1288 (2002).
- [22] K R. Sreenivasan and B. Dhruva, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl, 130, 103 (1998).
- [23] A. Praskovsky and S. Oncley, Fluid Dyn. Res. 21, 331 (1997).
- [24] V S. L'vov and I. Procaccia, Phys. Rev. Lett., 74, 2690 (1995).
- [25] A. Bershadskii and A. Tsinober, Phys. Lett. A, 165, 37 (1992).



FIG.8: The vortex ring and its velocity.

- [26] B. Castaing, Y. Gagne and E.J. Hop nger, Physica D, 46, 177 (1990).
- [27] G J. Barenblatt and N. Goldenfeld, Phys. Fluids 7, 3078 (1995).
- [28] J.P.O striker and E.T.V ishniac, A strophys. J, 306, L51 (1986).
- [29] G K. Batchelor, An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970).
- [30] D. Donzis, private communication.

Appendix A

Thin vortex tubes (or laments) are the most prominent hydrodynamical elements of turbulent ows [29]. The laments are unstable in 3-dimensional space. In particular, a straight line-vortex can readily develop a kink propagating along the lament with a constant speed. To estimate the velocity of propagation of such a kink let us rst recall the properties of a ring vortex [29]. Its speed v is related to its diameter and strength through

$$v = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2}$$
; (A:1)

where is the radius of the core of the ring and =2 1 (see gure 8).

If, for instance, a straight line-vortex develops a kink with a radius of curvature =2, then self-induction generates a velocity perpendicular to the plane of the kink. This velocity can be also calculated using (A.1). One can guess that in a turbulent environment, the most unstable mode of a vortex tube with a thin core of length L (integral scale) and radius (K olm ogorov or viscous scale), will be of the order : Taylor-microscale [16]. Then, the characteristic scale of velocity of the mode with the space scale can be estimated with help of equation (A.1). Noting that the Taylor-microscale Reynolds number is de ned as [16]

$$Re = \frac{V_0}{i};$$
 (A.2)

where v_0 is the root-m ean-square value of a component of velocity. It is clear that the velocity that is more relevant (at least for the processes related to the vortex instabilities) for the space scale is not v_0 but v given by (A.1). Therefore, corresponding e ective Reynolds number should be obtained by the renorm alization of the characteristic velocity in (A.2) [5], as

$$Re^{eff} = \frac{v}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2} : \quad (A:3)$$

It can be readily shown from the de nition that

$$- = \operatorname{const} \operatorname{Re}^{1=2}$$
 (A:4)

where $const = 15^{1-4}$ / 2. Hence

$$\operatorname{Re}^{\operatorname{eff}} \frac{1}{4} \ln(\operatorname{Re})$$
 (A:5)

The strength can be estimated as

where v = = is the velocity scale for the K olm ogroov (or viscous) space scale [16]. Substituting (A.6) into (A.5) we obtain

Thus, for turbulence processes determ ined by the vortex instabilities the relevant dimensionless characteristic is $\ln (\text{Re})$ rather than Re (cfEq. (7) and Fig. 5).

Appendix B

In this Appendix we will show that modulation of the CMB by the primordial turbulence at the last scattering surface can exhibit G aussian properties much more strong than those of the 'norm al' uid turbulence, while preserving the turbulence properties intact.

W e always observe the CM B photons em itted from the 'boundary' (the last scattering surface). Therefore, de nition of the 'boundary conditions' for the dynam ic universe is crucial in the case of the CM B radiation. Naturally this is a very non-trivial task to de ne boundary conditions for the universe (horizon). In the num erical sim ulations of the hom ogeneous turbulence dynam ics the periodic boundary conditions are often used. The periodic boundary conditions seem to be also a natural suggestion for the universe.

W hen one considers m odulation of the CMB radiation by the D oppler e ect only the relative di erence in velocity between the observer and the source, separated by a distance r along the line of sight: $u_r = u(x + r) = u(x)$, needs to be considered. Let us consider the moments of the velocity di erence:

$$S_{p}(r) = h[u(x) \quad u(x + r)]^{p}$$
i (B:1)



FIG. 9: Moments S_p versus $r=L_{box}$ obtained in a direct numerical simulation of uid turbulence on a 2 cube at 128^3 resolution Re 55 [30]. Upper curves correspond to p = 2;4;6;8;10;12, the curves with symbols correspond to p = 1;3;5;7;9;11. For $r=L_{box}$ 0.5 the picture is a mirror re ection of that shown in the gure.

As an example, Fig. 9 shows results of a direct num ericalsimulation [30] of uid turbulence with the periodical boundary conditions on a 2 $\,$ cube (size L_{box}) at 128^3 resolution R e 55. The curves in the upper part of the gure correspond to the even-orderm om ents (B .1), while the curves with symbols correspond to the odd-orderm om ents. For $r=L_{box} > 0$:5 the picture is a m irror re ection of that shown in the Fig. 9. One can see that the Gaussian conditions: $S_p = 0$, for the odd-order m om ents are satis ed at the observer point $r=L_{box} = 0.5$. A ctually, this is a direct consequence of the m irror sym m etry provided by the periodic boundary conditions. Using the dynamical equations of the baryon-photon uid it can be shown that the even-order moments are also consistent with the Gaussian conditions at the observer point $r=L_{box} = 0.5$.