IR G A C 2006

Dark Energy and the False Vacuum

P Q H ung

Dept. of Physics, University of Virginia, 382 M cC orm ick Road, P.O.Box 400714, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904-4714, USA

E-m ail: pqh@virginia.edu

A bstract. In this talk, I will present highlights of a recent model of dark energy and dark matter in which the present universe is \trapped" in a false vacuum described by the potential of an axion-like scalar eld (the acceleron) which is related to a new strong interaction gauge sector, SU (2)_z, characterized by a scale $_z$ 3 10 3 eV. This false vacuum model m in icks the CDM scenario. In addition, there are several additional implications such as a new mechanism for leptogenesis coming from the decay of a \messenger" scalar eld, as well as a new model of \low-scale" in ation whose in aton is the \radial" partner of the acceleron.

Subm itted to: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.

1. Dark Energy and the False Vacuum

It is by now customary to present the \energy budget" to illustrate the relative in portance of the various components which comprise the present universe. With 4% for baryons (visible and dark), DM $x = x = c_{I}$ one has baryons 2.3% for non-baryonic dark matter, and DE73% for the mysterious dark energy which is responsible for the present acceleration of the universe. In terms of energy density, the latter (dom inant) fraction is usually expressed as $_{\rm V}$ (3 10^3 eV)⁴. Trem endous e orts have been and will be made to probe the nature of this dark energy. The latest constraint given in terms of the equation of state of the dark energy p = wgives 1, which is quite consistent with the CDM scenario with a value [1] for w, w 1. Hopefully, the important question concerning the nature of the dark energy w = will be resolved by future projects which could in principle go to high redshifts and determ ine whether or not the equation of state is varying with z.

If the present universe appears to be one which is dominated by a cosm ological constant, we are faced with a very uncom fortable question: W hy is it so sm all, i.e. 10^{123} M $_{pl}^{4}$? This is the \new " cosm ological constant problem as compared why is v with the \old" cosm ological constant problem which is one in which one searches for a reason why it should be exactly equal to zero. If indeed there is such a reason then the present value of the cosm ological constant (or som ething that m in icks it) should be considered to be just a \transient" phenom enon with the universe being stuck in some kind of false vacuum which will eventually decay into the true vacuum with a vanishing cosm ological constant. In this case, the problem boils down to the search for a dynam ical $(3 \quad 10^3 \text{ eV})^4$. Furtherm ore, such model in which the false vacuum energy density is a reason would prevent the existence of any remnant of vacuum energies associated with various spontaneous symmetry breakdowns (SSB) (Electroweak, QCD, and possibly others). For example, it would prevent a partial cancellation of the electroweak vacuum energy down to the present value since that would constitute a fundam ental cosm ological constant in contradiction with that premise. The true electroweak vacuum would then have = 0. And similarly for other (completed) phase transitions. Anything that m in icks a non-zero cosm ological constant would be associated with a false vacuum. W hat could then be this sought-after deep reason for the cosm ological constant to vanish in a true vacuum ? Needless to say, this is a fundam ental and very di cult question and there are m any interesting approaches for tackling it. It is beyond the scope of this talk to discuss all of them. One recent interesting proposal [2] dealt with the consequences of the existence of a fundam ental cosm obgical constant. It was argued in [2] that, within the fram ework of general relativity, catastrophic gravitational instabilities which are developed during the DeSitter Epoch (for a fundam ental) would reverse the arrow of time disagreeing with observations and leading the author to conclude that either one forbids a fundam ental cosm obgical constant or one m odi es general relativity during the epoch dom inated by that constant. We will adopt the form er point of view, namely a vanishing cosm ological constant for the true vacuum .

In what follows, I will describe a model [3], [4] based on the assumption that the true vacuum has a vanishing cosm ological constant and that we are presently trapped in a false vacuum with an energy density v (3 10^3 eV)⁴. I will argue that the 10³ eV represents a new dynamical scale associated with a new gauge group value SU $(2)_{Z}$ [5] which grows strong at that scale. In this model, the present acceleration of the universe is driven by an axion-like particle denoted by a_z whose potential is induced by SU (2)_z instanton e ects and which exhibits two minima: the false vacuum in which $(3 \quad 10^3 \text{ eV})^4$, and the true vacuum in which $a_z = 0$ and v = 0.0 ne $a_{\rm Z} \neq 0$ and v of the in portant features of this model is that it can be testable in future collider (such as the LHC) experiments. This is because the model contains a scalar eld-the socalled messenger eld-with a m as less than 1 TeV and which carries both SU (2)_z and electroweak quantum numbers. This and other consequences will be discussed below.

First I will brie y describe the model with its particle content as well as its results. Next I will describe in a little more detail what these results mean.

The model in 3], [4] is based on an unbroken vector-like gauge group SU (2)_z. This group contains ferm ions, $_{i}^{(Z)}$ with i = 1;2, which transform as a triplets under SU (2)_z and as singlets under the SM, as well as \m essenger" scalar elds, $\sim_{1;2}^{(Z)}$, which carry both quantum numbers: a triplet under SU (2)_z and a doublet under SU (2)_L. In addition, there is a complex singlet (under both sectors) scalar eld $_{z} = (_{z} + v_{z}) \exp(ia_{z} = v_{z})$ which couples only to $_{i}^{(Z)}$ because of a global U (1)_A^(Z) symmetry.

 $h_z i = v_z$ spontaneously breaks the U $(1)_A^{(Z)}$ sym m etry with a_z becoming a pseudo-N am bu-G oldstone boson (PNGB) because of the explicit breaking due to SU $(2)_z$ instanton e ects. Notice that a_z is very similar to the Peccei-Quinn axion [6] in QCD except that we are dealing with another gauge group at another scale. It is a_z which plays the role of the acceleron in our model [4]. And it is also z that plays the role of the in atom in a \low scale" in ationary scenario [7].

The potential V (a) which plays a crucial role in the dark energy aspect of the m odel is induced by SU $(2)_{Z}$ instanton e ects which become more relevant as the gauge coupling grows larger. In order for the SU (2)_z coupling $z = g_z^2 = 4$ 1 at $10^3\ {\rm eV}$, it was found that a number of constraints had to be satis ed 3 7. (all of which have further implications): (1) the initial coupling at high energies has a value of the order of the SM couplings at comparable energies; (2) the m asses of the SU (2)_Z ferm ions ${\rm (Z)\atop i}$ are in the range of 100 200 G eV and that of the lightest of the messenger eld $\sim_1^{(Z)}$ being in the range 300 $1000 \, \text{GeV}$. One may ask at this point why $_z$ would be of the order of the SM couplings at high energies. It turns out that SU $(2)_{\rm Z}$ can be \grand uni ed" with the SM into the gauge group E_6 [8] which how ever breaks down quite di erently from the SU (6) ! SU (2) usual approach: E_6 ! SU (2)_Z U(1)! SU (3) SU (3) SU (2) $U(1)_{r} ! SU(2)_{Z}$ SU (3) SU (2)_Z SU (3) U (1)_{em} .

3

W ith the value of the SU (2) gauge coupling at a tem perature of $(200 \,\text{GeV})$ (the

favored mass range for the ferm ions $\binom{(Z)}{i}$) being of the order of the electroweak coupling, its annihilation cross section was found to be typically of the order of a weak cross section and thus providing ideal (W IM P) cold dark matter candidates in the form of $\binom{(Z)}{i}$ [4].

The lighter of the two messenger elds, $\ell_1^{(Z)}$, which carries both SU (2)_Z and electroweak quantum numbers, can couple only to $i^{(Z)}$ and a SM lepton. Its decay in the early universe can generate a SM lepton number asymmetry which transmogi es into a baryon number asymmetry through electroweak sphaleron processes [9].

Basically, the SU (2)_z instanton-induced potentialV (a_z) has two degenerate vacuua due to the remaining Z (2) symmetry (2 \ avors" of $_i^{(Z)}$), and is expressed as V (a_z ; T) = $\frac{4}{z}$ [L (T) $\cos \frac{a_z}{v_z}$], where (T) = 1 at T = 0. This is lifted by a soft-breaking term (T) $\frac{4}{z} \frac{a_z}{2 v_z v_z}$ which is linked to SU (2)_z ferm ion condensates [10]. This is shown in the following due for V (a_z ; T) = $\frac{4}{z}$ as a function of $a_z = v_z$ and for T $_z$:



From the above gure, one notices that the metastable (false) vacuum is at $a_z = 2 v_z$ while the true vacuum is at $a_z = 0$. For T $_z$, V (a_z ; T) is relatively at because SU (2)_z isntanton e ects are negligible there. One also expects a_z to hover around O (v_z). It is assumed that, as T < $_z$, the universe got trapped in the false vacuum with an energy density $v = \frac{4}{z}$ (3 10^3 eV)⁴.

It is interesting to estim ate the various ages of the universe in this scenario: (1) A ge of the universe when the SU (2)_z coupling grows strong ($_z = 1$) at $T_z = 3 = 10^3 \text{ eV}$ 35^0K corresponding to the background radiation temperature T = 70^9K : z = 25, $t_z = 125 = 14\text{M}$ yr; (2) Age of the universe when the deceleration \stopped" and the acceleration \started" (a = 0): $z_a = 0.67$, $t_z = 7.2 = 0.8 \text{ Gyr}$; (3) Age of the universe when M = $v: z_{eq} = 0.33$, $t_q = 9.5 = 1.1 \text{ Gyr}$.

Notice that the equation of state is $w = \frac{p}{2} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}a_z^2 V(a_z)}{\frac{1}{2}a_z^2 + V(a_z)} < 0$ for $\frac{1}{2}a_z^2 V(a_z)$. With the present universe being trapped in a false vacuum, $\frac{1}{2}a_z^2 0$ leading to w 1. Our model electively min ics the CDM scenario.

How long will it take for the false vacuum $a_z = 2 v_z$ to make a transition to the true vacuum $a_z = 0$? A rough estimate using the thin wall approximation gives a bound on the Euclidean action $S_E = 5 - 1\delta \left(\frac{v_z}{r}\right)^4 - 10^9$ for $v_z = 10^9$ GeV (as deduced from

the bw-scale in ation model [7]). W ith the bubble nucleation rate = A expf $S_E g$ (A 0 (1)) and the transition time = $\frac{3H}{4}$ (10¹⁰⁶ s) exp (10⁸⁹), one can see that indeed it would take a very long time for this to occur. A santicipated by many people, the universe willenter an in ationary stage and, in this scenario, the late" in ation will last an lastronom ical" time. A line it is entirely academ ic, it is interesting to note that the levelating", after this late in ation stops, occurs through the decay of a_z into two SU (2)_Z lgluons" which, in turns, produce the messenger eld $'_{1}^{(Z)}$ and eventually SM leptons followed by SM quarks. (A somewhat analogous reheating mechanism for the low-scale (early) in ation [7] was also proposed.)

2. Im plications of the dark energy m odel

I) The rst in plication of this scenario is the existence of possible candidates for W \mathbb{M} P-like Cold D ark M atter in the form of $\binom{(Z)}{i}$. Notice that $\binom{(Z)}{1;2} = (3;1)$ under SU (2)_Z SM and have a mass $O(100 \ 200 \text{ GeV})$. The condition for $\binom{(Z)}{i}$ to be CDM candidates is $\binom{(Z)}{c} = \frac{\binom{m}{(Z)^n}\binom{(Z)}{c}}{\binom{10}{2^7} \binom{m^3 \sec^2}{10^3 \sec^2}}$, with the annihilation cross section $h_{A; (Z)}i$ being typically of the order of a weak cross section, i.e. $h_{A; (Z)}i = 10^{36} \text{ cm}^2 \frac{3 10^{-9}}{\text{ GeV}^2}$ in order for $\binom{(Z)}{i} O(1)$. This is the so-called W \mathbb{M} P. It was noticed in β and [4] that $\binom{(Z)}{m^2}$ with a mass $O(100 \ 200 \text{ GeV})$ would do just that since one expects $h_{A; (Z)}i = \frac{\binom{Z}{m^2}\binom{T}{\binom{2}{2}}$ and $\binom{Z}{Z} \binom{T}{2} = 6 \ 10^4$ over a large range of energy down to 100 GeV.

How do we detect those CDM candidates? The most obvious way would be an indirect method: $\sim_1^{(Z)}$! $\stackrel{(Z)}{_{1,2}}$ + 1, where 1 stands for a SM lepton. A pair of $\sim_1^{(Z)}$ could be produced at the LHC through electroweak gauge boson fusion processes. The decays would have unusual geometries (e.g. the SM leptons need not be back-to-back) and (Z) would \appear" as missing energies.

II) The second in plication concerns a new mechanism for Leptogenesis via the decay of a \messenger" scalar eld $\sim_1^{(Z)} = (3;1;2;Y=2 = 1=2)$ under SU (2). SU (3). SU (2)_L U (1)_k. As discussed in [9], the asymmetry between $\sim_1^{(Z)} ! \frac{(Z)}{1;2} + 1$ and $\sim_1^{(Z)} ! \frac{(Z)}{1;2} + 1$ could provide a net SM lepton number. This becomes a net baryon number through EW sphaleron processes. It is by now a familiar phenomenon that the asymmetry comes from the interference between tree-level and one-loop contributions to the decays. Also, for the asymmetry $\leftarrow 0$, we need two messenger elds: $\sim_{1,2}^{(Z)}$, with $m_{c_2^{(Z)}} - m_{c_1^{(Z)}}$. The asymmetry which is dened as $\sim_1^{-1} = (c_{1,1} - c_{1,1}) = (c_{1,1} + c_{1,1})$ is roughly 10^7 . This estimate comes from the SM lepton number asymmetry (n_{LSM}) per unit entropy $n_{\rm B} = s$ $0.35 \, p_{\rm SM} = s$ $10^3 \, \frac{c_1}{1} - 10^{10}$, where the coe cient 0.35 is for the SM with three families and one Higgs doublet. In §], it is shown that this puts an upper bound on the mass of the messenger eld: $m_{c_1} - 11 \, {\rm eV}$. This makes a search for this \progenitor of SM lepton number", \sim_1 , fairly feasible at the LHC if its

mass is low enough.

III) The third implication comes from the interesting possibility that $_{\rm Z}$ ($_{\rm Z}$ =

 $(_{z} + v_{z}) \exp(ia_{z} = v_{z}))$ can play the role of the in atom in a \low-scale" in ationary scenario [7]. It was proposed that a Coleman-Weinberg potential for $_{z}$ is consistent with recent W MAP3 data on the spectral index n_{s} for v_{z} 10° GeV. The in atom mass m $_{z}$ ' 450 GeV is low enough so that it might be indirectly \observed" at colliders such as the LHC through its coupling with $_{1,2}^{(Z)}$ which, in turns, couple to $'\sim_{1}^{(Z)}$.

IV) The fourth in plication is the possibility of unifying SU $(2)_Z$ with the SM into E₆ as mentioned above [8]. This unication requires the existence of heavy mirror fermions which could be searched for at future colliders. An estimate for the proton lifetime gives, however, a mean value about an order of magnitude larger than the present lower bound ($2 \quad 10^2$ yrs) which makes it inaccessible experimentally for quite some time.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work is supported in parts by the USD epartm ent of Energy under grant No. DE - A 505-89ER 40518. I would like to thank Joan Sola and the organizing committee for a nice and comprehensive meeting.

References

- [1] See Spergelet al (W M A P 3), arX iv astro-ph/0603449, and references therein.
- [2] Laura Mersini, arX iv gr-qc/0609006.
- [3] P.Q.Hung, arX iv hep-ph/0504060.
- [4] P.Q. Hung, Nucl. Phys. B 747, 55 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0512282]. This paper contains a more extensive list of references.
- [5] I would like to thank Haim G oldberg for recently bringing my attention to his earlier paper, P hys. Lett. B 492, 153 (2000), which considered a som ewhat sim ilar project, with a supersymm etric SU (2) gauge group endowed with 4 m assless ferm ions in a fundam ental representation. In our m odel, SU (2)_z is non-supersymm etric and the particle content is entirely di erent from G oldberg's paper (2 ferm ions in the adjoint representation which acquire a mass by coupling to a singlet scalar $_z$, and 2 (one with electrow eak scale m ass and one with GUT scale m ass) scalars which carry both SU (2)_z and electrow eak quantum numbers, and hence the name \messenger elds"). A lso, the false vacuum here is di erent in origin, com ing from an SU (2)_z instantoninduced potential for an axion-like scalar a_z.
- [6] R. Peccei and H. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977); P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1156 (1982).
- [7] P. Q. Hung, Eduard Masso, and Gabriel Zsembinszki, JCAP 12, 004 (2006) [arXivastro-ph/0609777].
- [8] P.Q. Hung and Paola Mosconi, arX iv hep-ph/0611001.
- [9] P.Q.Hung, arX iv hep-ph/0604063.
- [10] P.Q. Hung, in preparation