O scillation e ects on high-energy neutrino uxes from astrophysical hidden sources

O lga M ena¹, Irina M ocioiu² and Soebur R azzaque^{3;2}

¹ INFN Sez. di Rom a, D ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Rom a/La Sapienza", P. le A. Moro, 5, I-00185 Rom a, Italy

²Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA and

³D epartm ent of A stronom y & A strophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

(Dated: March 21, 2024)

H igh-energy neutrinos are expected to be produced in a vareity of astrophysical sources as well as in optically thick hidden sources. We explore the matter-induced oscillation elects on emitted neutrino uxes of three dierent avors from the latter class. We use the ratio of electron and tau induced showers to muon tracks, in upcoming neutrino telescopes, as the principal observable in our analysis. This ratio depends on the neutrino energy, density prole of the sources and on the oscillation parameters. The largely unknown ux norm alization drops out of our calculation and only a ects the statistics. For the current knowledge of the oscillation parameters we indicate the matter-induced elects are non-negligible and the enhancement of the ratio from its vacuum value takes place in an energy range where the neutrino telescopes are the most sensitive. Quantifying the elect would be useful to learn about the astrophysics of the sources as well as the oscillation parameters. If the neutrino telescopes mostly detect di use neutrinos without identifying their sources, then any deviation of the measured ux ratios from the vacuum expectation values would be most naturally explained by a large population of hidden sources for which matter-induced neutrino oscillation elects are in portant.

PACS num bers: 96.40.Tv, 14.60 Pq, 98.70 Rz, 98.70 Sa

I. IN TRODUCTION

High-energy (& 100 GeV) neutrino em ission has been predicted from several types of astrophysical sources such as active galactic nuclei (AGNs), gam m a-ray bursts (GRBs), core-collapse supernovae (SNe), supernova rem nants (SNRs), m icroquasars, etc. [1]. All these sources have been observed in electrom agnetic wave bands ranging from radio to high-energy -rays. Low energy (10 MeV) therm al neutrinos have been detected from a nearby core-collapse SN 1987A [2]. High-energy neutrinosm ay be detected from a much longer distance because of an increasing interaction cross-section with energy [3, 4, 5]. U p com ing kilom eter scale ice/water C herenkov detectors such as IceC ube [6] in Antarctica and its counterpart in the Mediterranean, called KM 3NeT [7], will thus open up a new observation window in high-energy neutrinos.

N eutrinos, unlike their electrom agnetic counterparts, m ay carry inform ation on tem perature, density, etc. from deep inside the astrophysical sources. Their detection m ay also be used to probe neutrino avor oscillation param eters in m atter and in vacuum as they propagate inside the sources and over astrophysical distances to reach E arth. The results will be com plem entary to accelerator and reactor based experim ents. Particular exam ples have been carried out in detail for 10 M eV therm al neutrinos from core-collapse SN e [8].

O scillations of high energy neutrinos have also been extensively discussed in Refs. [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. The sensitivity of high energy neutrinos to oscillation parameters has been explored in Refs. [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] M atter e ects in the context of neutrinos from dark matter annihilation have been considered in R ef. [15]. O scillations of solar atm osphere neutrinos have been discussed in R ef. [16]. M atter e ects for high energy neutrinos are generally sm all. In order to get signi cant e ects it is necessary to encounter a resonant density, as well as to go through a m inim um m atter width, as shown in R ef. [17]. T hese conditions are usually not satis ed for optically thin sources.

In this paper we explore avor oscillation e ects on high-energy neutrinos from optically thick sources where matter e ects can be larger. These neutrinos are produced deep inside the astrophysical sources (so-called \hidden sources") and as such their emission from the source is not accompanied by any high-energy electrom agnetic component. Examples of hidden sources may be highly relativistic GRB jets as they are form ed inside collapsed stars and start burrowing their way through the stellar envelope [18, 19]; sem i-relativistic jets inside core-collapse SN e which m ay im pact and disrupt the envelope but choke inside [20]; and core dom inated AGNs [21, 22]. The rst two cases are motivated by the observation of a number of GRBs associated with supernovae [47] which supports the collapsarm odel of GRBs [23]. The collapsarm odel ties the GRBs and supernovae in a common thread, both originating from core-collapse of massive stars. W hile observed GRBs are endowed with highly relativistic jets, m any m ore such collapses are expected to produce mildly relativistic jets. In both cases the jet is launched from inside the star [23, 24, 25] and burrows through the stellar interior while the envelope is still intact. High energy neutrinos, produced by collisions of plasm a materials (so called \internal shocks") in the jet, are em itted follow ing a density gradient while the jet itselfm ay orm ay not break through the envelope. Highenergy neutrinos are produced via decays of pions and kaons created by hadronic (pp) and/or photo-hadronic

(p) interactions of shock-accelerated protons in these examples. We concentrate on matter enhancement of the electron (anti)neutrino ux due to the small mixing angle 13 as these neutrinos propagate to the stellar surface from their production site. Matter e ects have not been previously discussed for these sources and they provide an opportunity for identifying and studying such \hidden"sources through the neutrinos they emit. We show in particular the energy range where this e ect may be detectable by neutrino telescopes, and we also comment on possible extraction of neutrino properties such as mass hierarchy and the CP violation phase.

The organization of the paper is as follows: We outline the astrophysical source model and neutrino ux param etrization in Sec. II. We discuss neutrino oscillations, our num erical approach to calculate the e ects and analytic expectations in Sec. III. D etection and our results are given in Sec. IV and in Sec. V respectively. C onclusions and outbooks are given in Sec. V I.

II. A STROPHYSICS OF THE SOURCE

The particular hidden source model we employ for calculation purpose is a jetted core collapse supernova model in Ref. [20]. Our treatment may however be applied to any generic case of a neutrino ux and matter density pro le. The presupernova star is a blue supergiant (BSG) with a radiative hydrogen envelope which is capable to produce a Type II or Ib SN. The hydrogen envelope sits on a helium core of radius $r_{\rm H\,e} = 10^{11}$ cm. In case of signi cant stellar mass loss, the presupernova stars may have no hydrogen envelope left at all and produce Type Ic SNe, a fraction of which are now strongly believed to produce GRBs as well. However, we do not investigate this case as the relativistic jet front ($r_{\rm jet} = 10^{0.8}$ cm) is too close to the stellar surface to have any signi cant am ount of material in front [20].

An analytic form of the density distribution near the edge of each layer of a star with polytropic structure is given by = $_1(R_2=r 1)^n$ [26]. Here R_2 is the star's radius and the polytropic index n = 3 for a radiative envelope with constant Thom son opacity. For a helium 10^{11} cm , $_1$ $2 \text{ g cm}^{3} \text{ and } n = 3 \text{ were}$ star of R _? found by tting data from SN 1998bw [28]. The presupernova star of SN 1987A is a BSG with R_2 3 10 cm, $_{1}$ 3 10 g cm 3 and n = 3 [29, 30]. Below we write 3 models of the density pro le that we use for a BSG of 1^{10} cm . A llm odels are normalized to give the $R_2 = 3$ same density = $2(10^{11}=10^{10.8} \ 1)^3 = 0.4 \text{ g cm}^3$, as in the case of a helium star, at $r = r_{iet} = 10^{10.8}$ cm.

M odel [A] corresponds to a polytropic hydrogen envelope with (r) / r ³, scaling valid in the range r_{jet} . r. R_?. M odel [B] is a power-law t with an e ective polytropic index $n_e = 17=7$ as done for SN 1987A in Ref. [27]. M odel [C] includes a sharp drop in density at the edge of the helium core. Note that our choice of different n_e below and above the helium core, in this case, is motivated by Ref. [26]. The parameter A corresponds to the drop in density and its value is set by hand.

[A] (r) = 4:0
$$10^6 \frac{R_2}{r}$$
 1 g cm ³ (1)

$$[B] (r) = 3:4 \quad 10^5 \qquad (R_2 = r)^{17=7} ; 10^{10:8} \text{ cm} < r < r_b = 10^{12} \text{ cm} (R_2 = r_b)^{17=7} (r R_2)^5 = (r_b R_2)^5 ; r > r_b \qquad g \text{ cm}^3$$
(2)

[C] (r) = 6:3
$$10^{6}A = \frac{R_{?}}{r} = 1^{n_{eff}} g \text{ cm}^{3}$$
; (n_e; A) = $\begin{pmatrix} (2:1;20); 10^{10:8} \text{ cm} < r < 10^{11} \text{ cm} \\ (2:5;1); r > 10^{11} \text{ cm} \end{pmatrix}$ (3)

We have plotted the density procles in Eqs. (1), (2) and (3) in Fig. 1. The number density of electrons is given by N_e(r) = N_A (r)Y_e, where Y_e 1 in our cases, is the number of electrons per nucleon or the electron fraction. As for reference, N_e = 2:4 1^{20} cm 3 at r = $r_{jet} = 10^{10.8}$ cm for all models described above.

We use the pion and kaon decay neutrino ux models from hadronic (pp) interactions by shock accelerated protons in the sem i-relativistic hidden jets [20, 31]. The uxes ($= 2_{e} = 2_{e}$), from an isolated source, at the production site $r = r_{jet}$ may be

param etrized as [32]

$${}^{s}; = 4 \quad 1^{45} \quad \frac{d_{L}}{cm} \quad {}^{2} \quad \frac{E}{300 \text{ GeV}} \quad {}^{4}$$

$$G \text{ eV} \quad {}^{1}\text{ cm} \quad {}^{2}\text{ s} \quad {}^{1};$$

$$100 \cdot E = G \text{ eV} \cdot 3 \quad 1^{5}\text{ Q}; \qquad (4)$$

$${}^{s}_{K}; = 8 \quad 1^{36} \quad \frac{d_{L}}{cm} \quad {}^{2} \quad \frac{E}{5 \quad 1^{4}\text{ OG eV}}$$

$$G \text{ eV} \quad {}^{1}\text{ cm} \quad {}^{2}\text{ s} \quad {}^{1};$$

$$= \quad {}^{3}; 100 \cdot E = G \text{ eV} \cdot 5 \quad 1^{4}\text{ 0}$$

$$4; 5 \quad 1^{4}\text{ 0} \cdot E = G \text{ eV} \cdot 3 \quad 1^{5}\text{ Q}; \qquad (5)$$



FIG.1: (C obr online) M odels of outer density pro le of a blue supergiant star of radius $R_2 = 10^{12.5}$ cm. The outerm ost hydrogen envelope sits on a helium envelope extending up to a radius of 10^{11} cm. H igh-energy neutrinos are produced at a radius $r_{jet} = 10^{10.8}$ cm by a relativistic jet. The m odels [A], [B] and [C] are described in Eqs. (1), (2) and (3) respectively.

respectively from pion and kaon decays. Here d_L is the lum inosity distance of the source. Next we discuss oscillation e ects on these neutrinos as they propagate from inside the stellar interior to the surface in dense media, from the source to Earth and through the Earth. Note that high energy neutrinos are produced in shocked material in the jet which has a density lower than the surrounding stellar material. However, the width of the shock is too small (jet radius divided by the Lorentz boost factor of the jet) to have any signi cant oscillation e ect before the neutrinos start moving through the jet head (which is roughly in equilibrium with surrounding material) and the envelope. A loo, the jet is well outside the stellar core which may be turbulent and the envelope is not disrupted yet.

III. NEUTRINO OSCILLATION IN VACUUM AND IN MATTER

Solar, atm ospheric, accelerator and reactor neutrinos have provided ample evidence for neutrino oscillations. Neutrinos from astrophysical sources like those described above are a ected by oscillations, which change the a-vor composition of the uxes between production and detection.

The neutrino avor eigenstates , where = e;; in the case of 3 avorm ixing, are related to the mass eigenstates j, where j = 1;2;3 corresponding to the m asses m_j, by the M aki-N akagawa-Sakata (M N S) unitary m ixing matrix U as $= U_{j j}$. The sum over repeated indices is im plied. We use the standard expression of U from Ref. [33].

W e use standard oscillation param eters obtained from global ts to neutrino oscillations data:

$$m_{so1}^{2} = m_{21}^{2} 8 10^{5} eV^{2}$$

so1 = 12 33:83

$$jm_{atm}^{2} j = jm_{32}^{2} j 2:4 10^{3} eV^{2}$$

$$atm = 23 45$$

$$sin^{2} (2_{13}) < 0:15 (6)$$

The sign of the atm ospheric mass di erence has not been determ ined. Positive (negative) m $^2_{\rm atm}$ correspond to the norm al (inverted) hierarchies.

U noertainties in the oscillation param eters are still relatively large and sm all variations due to these uncertainties on the generic results we present here are to be expected. W e will explore the impact of the expected errors of the oscillation param eters on our observable and we will discuss how these errors could a ect an eventual m easurem ent of the neutrino m ass hierarchy (i.e norm al vs inverted) via m atter e ects.

W ewillalso consider the e ects of a CP violating phase which is at present unconstrained. A precise approxim ation (for constant density and energies high enough such that $_{21}=V_e$ 1 and $_{21}L$ 1) for the $_e$! transition probability is given by [34]:

$$P_{e}(e) = \frac{P_{e}(e)}{\nabla e} = \frac{1}{23} \sin^{2} 2_{13} \frac{1}{\nabla e} \sin^{2} \frac{\nabla L}{2} + \cos^{2} 2_{3} \sin^{2} 2_{12} \frac{1}{\nabla e} \sin^{2} \frac{\nabla L}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sin^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sin^{2}$$

where $ij = m_{ij}^2 = 2E$, ∇ J_e 31j the matter potential $V_e = V_e(r)$, J is the Jarslog invariant and the sign m inus (plus) refers to neutrinos (antineutrinos).

For the above values of m 2 , even for the high energies of interest here, the distances relevant for the sources described above are so large that, after propagation through vacuum, only the averaged oscillation is observable for the simple two avor case with the probability given by

P (!) =
$$\sin^2 (2) \sin^2 \frac{m^2 L}{4E}$$
 ! $\frac{1}{2} \sin^2 (2)$:
(8)

In the three avor case, given uxes at the surface of the source 0 , 0 and 0 , the uxes at Earth are given by:

$$_{e} = {}^{0}_{e} {}^{1}_{4} \sin^{2} 2 {}_{12} (2 {}^{0}_{e} {}^{0} {}^{0})$$
(9)

$$= = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ + \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \sin^2 2 \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 12 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ - \end{array} \right)$$

if we assume that $_{23}$ is maxim aland $_{13}$ is very small (as indicated by neutrino oscillation data). If neutrinos are produced in pion and/or kaon decays, the initial avor ratio is given by $_{\rm e}$: = 1:2:0. A fler propagation over very long distances in vacuum, neutrino oscillations change this ratio to 1:1:1 because of the maxim al

\$ mixing. For the sources we are considering, in the low energy range, even the distance traveled inside the source is large enough that neutrinos oscillate m any times and the phase inform ation is lost. When the initial ratio $_{\rm e}$: : is dimension of 1:2:0, the avorratio at Earth is a ected by the full three avorm ixing and is dimension from 1:1:1.

In the case of interest here, even though neutrinos are produced by pion and kaon decays, the uxes at Earth can have a avor composition quite di erent from the standard 1 : 1 : 1 ratio. This is because the neutrino avor composition is a ected by the propagation through m atter inside the astrophysical object.

$${}^{0}_{e} = {}^{s}_{e} P(e! e) + {}^{s} P(! e)$$

$$= {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

$${}^{0} = {}^{s} \frac{1}{2} P(e! e) + P(! e)$$

The same type of relations apply to anti-neutrinos.

The $\$ oscillation probabilities P on the stellar surface $r = R_{?}$ can be obtained by solving, with the appropriate initial conditions, the evolution equation:

Here $V_e(r) = \frac{p}{2G_F}N_e(r)$ is the matter-induced charged current potential for $_e$ in a medium of electron number density $N_e(r)$ found from Eqs. (1), (2) and (3). For $_e$ the potential changes sign.

M atter e ects are expected to be large when neutrinos go through a resonant density. For constant electron density, there would be two such resonances, corresponding to the two m ass squared di erences:

$$N_{e}^{L} = \frac{m_{go1}^{2} \cos 2_{12}}{2^{F} 2 G_{F} E} \cdot \frac{3 1 \theta^{3}}{E [G eV]} \text{ cm}^{3}$$
(12)

$$N_{e}^{H} = \frac{j m_{atm}^{2} j \cos 2_{13}}{2^{F} 2 G_{F} E} \prime \frac{9 10^{24}}{E [G eV]} \text{ cm}^{3} \quad (13)$$

As can be seen from the previous section, these densities can be encountered in the sources of interest by neutrinos with the relevant energies.

Even if $_{13}$ is very sm all, m attere ects can be expected from the solar m² oscillations [see Eq. (12)]. If $_{13}$ is non-negligible, largerm attere ects can be expected from the atm ospheric m² oscillations [see Eq. (13)]. These are non-negligible at a relatively higher energy.

Since the matter potential is di erent for antineutrinos, it is in portant to independently solve the evolution equations for neutrinos and anti-neutrinos and consider the averaging over the two uxes only when reaching the detector, which cannot distinguish between the two. Note that if the hierarchy is norm al (inverted) the resonant behavior occurs only in the neutrino (antineutrino) channel. The matter density in the sources we are considering is varying rather strongly, such that a resonance is not directly observable. A diabatic conditions are not usually satis ed, such that a full num erical treatment of the problem is necessary. The adiabatic approximation can still be used in some energy range. It is useful to understand some of the features introduced by matter e ects by analyzing limiting regions of the models described in the previous section. The adiabaticity parameter is dened as (see Ref. [8] and references therein):

$$\frac{m^{2}}{2E} \frac{\sin^{2} 2}{\cos 2} \frac{1}{(1=N_{e})(dN_{e}=dr)};$$
 (14)

i.e. the ratio of the resonance width and the neutrino oscillation length. In other words, the adiabaticity param eter represents the number of oscillations that occur in the resonance region. A diabatic transition (1) m eans that the neutrino will remain in its particular superposition of initial instantaneous mass eigenstates as it crosses the resonance. The $\ p$ " probability, that is, the probability that a neutrino in one matter eigenstate jumps to the other matter eigenstate is

$$P_{ip} = \exp \frac{1}{2} ; \qquad (15)$$

which means that, if the neutrino adiabaticity parameter is 1, the $\ ip$ " probability P $_{ip}$ ' 0, many oscillations will take place at the resonance region and strong avor transition will occur. On the other hand,

1, only a few oscilif the adiabaticity parameter is lations take place in the resonant region and the mixing between instantaneous matter eigenstates is important, and P ip gives the jumping probability from one instantaneous m ass eigenstate to another. For the solar transition, where the mixing angle is large, the appropriate expressions for the adiabaticity conditions and ip probabilities can be found in [35]. Since the main e ects that we are interested in correspond to the sm allm ixing angle, we lim it our analitical discussion to this case. We would like to emphasize that in order to obtain the correct neutrino oscillation probabilities a full num erical treatm ent of the problem is necessary and our discussion based on ip probability serves only as a qualitative quide to understanding the solution in some limited energy ranges where adiabaticity is satis ed.

Figure 2 shows the ip probability corresponding to the atm ospheric transition for the three density proles we consider. We explicitly delim it three diment regions:



FIG.2: (Color online) F lip probability corresponding to the atm ospheric transition for $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.15$, for the three density pro les in Fig. 1.

the adiabatic region corresponds to very small ip probabilities (P $_{\rm ip}$ < 0.1) and strong avor transitions; in the interm ediate region (0.1 < P $_{\rm ip}$ < 0.9) the transitions are not complete; the highly non-adiabatic region corresponds to very high ip probabilities (P $_{\rm ip}$ > 0.9).

At low energies transitions are adiabatic for the density proles in models [A] and [B], so strong conversion is expected for these two models. Model [C] has a sharp drop in density and at energies below 500 GeV the resonant density is reached only on the step, where it is highly non-adiabatic, so one does not expect a signi cant matter enhancement.

At higher energies the adiabatic regime applies only for M odel [B], for which oscillation e ects are expected to be large, while form odels [A] and [C] the avortransition is incomplete and a smaller e ect is expected. These expectations are con m ed by our exact results, that is, around energies 1 TeV, the e ect for the M odel [A] and the M odel [C] should be similar and sm aller than the one observed for the M odel [B]. The di erences in the adiabaticity behavior for the three models are induced by their di erent matter pro les, see Fig. 1: for M odel [B] (which has the least steep m atter density), the adiabaticity condition is satis ed for a larger neutrino energy range. The adiabaticity of the transitions depends on the matter density pro le. If this is very steep, the corresponding resonance width will be very small (it is inversely proportional to the derivative of the matter potential) and consequently the neutrino system can not adapt itself, only a few oscillations will take place and the avor transitions will not be complete. In order to have large m atter e ects it is also necessary to go through a minimum matterwidth, as shown in Ref. [17]. For the sources we consider here the density is high enough that this condition is satis ed.

In Fig. 3 we show the electron, muon and tau neutrino uxes at the surface of the source, norm alized to the initial electron neutrino uxes. We compare the case when only the vacuum oscillations are considered inside the source with the uxes of neutrinos when matter e ects are taken into account with a density pro leas in M odel [A] or M odel [B], for norm all hierarchy. The averaging due to fast vacuum oscillations can already be observed in the lower energy range. It can also clearly be seen that matter e ects modify the avor composition of the neutrino uxes, introducing energy-dependent features. As expected from the discussion above, the e ects are larger for M odel [B] where adiabatic-like transitions occur in a wider energy range. We also show the corresponding anti-neutrino uxes for M odel [A] for com parison. The results are very close to those in vacuum, as expected for the norm all hierarchy.

A fler propagating the neutrinos through m atter to the surface of the star, the uxes at Earth im m ediately follow by considering the averaged oscillations over the long travel distance in vacuum as obtained from Eq. (10).

Figure 4 m akes the sam e com parison presented in Fig. 3 after propagation all the way to the Earth. The avor com position of the neutrinos gets furtherm odi ed by the propagation from the source to the Earth.

IV. DETECTION

On their way to detectors, neutrinos also propagate through matter in the Earth. In this case matter e ects on neutrino oscillations are however extremely small, since the energies considered here are much higher than the resonant energy inside the Earth. For m $^2_{atm}$ = 2.4 10 3 eV² the corresponding resonant energy for



FIG.3: (Cobronline) Neutrino uxes at the surface of the source (for $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.15$), norm alized to the initial electron neutrino uxes. From upper to lower panels: vacuum, M odel [A], M odel [B] and M odel [A] antineutrinos. The solid, dashed and dotted curves are for $_{e}$, and respectively.

a neutrino going through the Earth's mantle (with the density 3 g/cm^3) is around 10 GeV, already lower than the energies we consider. The resonant energy is even lower for the solar mass di erence or for trajectories going through the higher density Earth core [36].

The charged current and neutral current neutrinonucleon interaction cross-section increases with energy and attenuation e ects start becoming important for the propagation through matter. The interaction length:

$$L = \frac{1}{N (E) N_{A}}$$
(16)

becom es com parable to the Earth diam eter around 40 TeV. The attenuation e ects are thus becom ing relevant only at the highest energies considered here, where the uxes are very sm all. C onsequently, propagation through the Earth will have negligible e ects on the neutrino uxes and avor com position previously discussed.

N eutrinos from the sources discussed here are expected to be detectable in IceC ube and other neutrino telescopes which have good sensitivity in the energy range of interest, between 100 G eV and 100 TeV.

It is possible in IceC ube to get inform ation about the avor composition of the neutrinos as well. The detector is mostly sensitive to observing muons identied by their very long tracks, thus counting the number of interactions. Electron neutrino interactions create electrom agnetic cascades which can be observed in IceC ube. Tau



FIG.4: (Color online) Same as Fig.3, but at the surface of the Earth.

neutrinos w illalso lead to cascades. At these energies the tau decay length is very small and the interaction of the neutrino and tau decay cannot be separated. It m ight be possible to separately infer the uxes of all three avors if hadronic showers can be well separated from electrom agnetic showers through their m uon track content. We will consider taus to be indistinguishable from electrons in our analysis and we will compare the num ber of tracks due to and num ber of showers due to $_{\rm e}$ and .

A nother in portant feature of interest for the detection of the e ects studied in this paper is the energy dependence of the signal: as discussed in the previous section, m atter e ects have a strong dependence on energy, which is correlated with the density pro le inside the source; changes in neutrino oscillation parameters also induce energy dependent e ects, as we discuss in m ore detail in the next section. The energy resolution in IceC ube is expected to be som ew hat better than 30% on a logarithm ic scale form uon neutrinos and about 20% on a linear scale for cascades.

W e explore the spectral shape of the shower-to-muon track ratio inferred at Icecube, de ned as:

$$R = \frac{N_{e} + N_{e} + N + N}{N + N} :$$
(17)

The absolute ux normalization thus does not a ect our results. The number of events, N $_{()}$ is proportional to:

N () (() +
$$K$$
 () (E) () (E) dE ;
E (18)

i.e.we have computed the (anti)neutrino uxes from pion and kaon decays at the detector after considering the propagation inside the source (a ected by matter e ects) as well as the propagation from the source to the detector. The (anti)neutrino uxes are then convoluted with the (anti)neutrino cross-sections [4] and integrated over the neutrino energy, assuming a conservative energy bin size of E = 0.3E. If the matter potential inside the astrophysical source is neglected, the neutrino avor ratio in Eq. (17) should be almost constant, R ' 2, over all the neutrino energy range. A dding the matter contribution to the neutrino propagation will change this constant value of R in an energy dependent fashion. In the following section we explore the spectral behavior of the shower-to-track ratio in the 300 GeV-300 TeV neutrino energy range, considering both norm aland inverted neutrino mass orderings and exploring the e ects of a non zero leptonic CP violating phase and of uncertainties in other oscillation param eters.

V. SPECTRALANALYSIS

W e present here the m ain results of our study. W e are m ainly interested in neutrino propagation through matter inside the source. This is followed by the propagation through vacuum between the source and Earth. M atter e ects and absorption inside the Earth are negligible for the energies considered here. As discussed in the previous section, a good observable for studying the e ects of neutrino propagation inside the source is the spectral shape de ned in Eq. (17). W hile R ' 2 for the optically thin sources, energy dependent deviations from this value are expected due to matter e ects in the astrophysical hidden sources considered here.

Figure 5 shows the shower-to-muon track ratio in Eq. (17) for the norm al and inverted neutrino mass hierarchies for the M odel [A] density pro le [see Eq. (1)]. D eviations from the standard scenario are non-negligible in the energy range around a few TeV. The impact of the matter potential is much larger if nature has chosen the norm alm ass ordering, since, if that is the case, only neutrinos can go through the resonance density N_{ρ}^{H} and the e ect will be larger due to the higher neutrino crosssection, when compared to the antineutrino one. For neutrino energies E < 6 TeV, where the resonant e ect in the (anti)neutrino propagation is expected to be bcated, the neutrino cross-section is roughly twice the antineutrino cross section. Therefore, the matter potential in pact in the inverted hierarchy situation (when only the antineutrino propagation gets distorted) is much sm aller than in the norm all ierarchy case. We have also studied the shower-to-muon track ratio spectral shape when the CP violating phase is not zero. The di erent curves in Fig. 5 correspond to di erent values of the CP violating phase . They were obtained by num erically computing the full oscillation probabilities, but the relative shape of these curves with respect to the = 0 curve shape can be



FIG. 5: (Color online) The upper (lower) panel depicts the shower-to-m uon track ratio de ned in Eq. (17) for $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.15$, for M odel [A], for the case of norm al (inverted) hierarchy and for di erent values of the CP violating phase .

easily explained by m eans of the oscillation probabilities in Eq. (7). This is because the expression applies for almost all the relevant energies and baselines explored in our study. It can be easily seen, for example, that for a xed distance of L = 10^5 km and a (constant) density corresponding to the electron num ber density for M odel A, $_{12}=V_e$ 1 and $_{12}L < 1$ for energies 10^3 G eV and the approxim ated probability form ula provides an accurate description of the oscillation probabilities versus the CP phase .

There are three very di erent terms in Eq. (7): the rst one, which is the dom inant one, is responsible for the m attere ects; the second one, which is the solar term, is dom inant for very sm all values of 13 not explored here, and therefore, negligible for the present discussion; the third term, (named the interference term in the literature), is the only one which depends on the CP violating phase and it determ ines the shape of the curves in Fig. 5. In the case of neutrino transitions and norm alhierarchy, notice that if = 0, the three oscillatory factors of the third term can be written as \sin^2 (V L=2). If, for = , the three oscillatory functions can be instance, sin^2 (V L=2), which explains why when the written as = 0 curve has a maximum and the = curve has a m in in um. If = =2, the three oscillatory factors can be simplied as $\sin(V L=2)\cos(V L=2)$, which explains the relative shift in the phase of the = =2 curve with respect to the = 0; curves. Finally, if = 3 = 2, the oscillatory dependence goes as $\sin \nabla L=2 \cos \nabla L=2$, ie, it is the opposite to = =2. In summary, one can predict the shape dependence on the CP phase of the shower-to-muon track ratio just by observing the difference in the oscillation pattern of the four functions sin (x) cos(x).

In the case of the inverted hierarchy, see Fig.5 (low er panel), the situation is reversed: notice, [see Eq. (7)], that a change in the sign of m $^2_{31}$ can be traded in the vacuum limit (V_e ! 0) for the substitution ! M atter e ects, how ever, can break this degeneracy. By m aking use of the matter e ects, in principle, it could be possible to extract the neutrino m ass hierarchy, since the neutrino oscillation probability is enhanced (depleted) for positive (negative) m $^2_{31}$.

Figures 6 and 7 depict the shower-to-m uon track ratio for the density pro les given by the models B] and [C]in Eqs. (2) and (3) respectively; and the previous discuussions on M odel [A] in Fig. 5 apply to these cases as well.

A change in the dependence of the matter potential prolewilla ect the location of the energy at which the maximum matter e ect is located. Depending on the speci c form of the electron number density vs distance $N_e(r)$, a neutrino with a xed energy E will reach the resonant density at a di erent distance from the center of the astrophysical source, as can be seen from Fig. 8, where we have depicted the distance at which the resonant density is reached vs the neutrino energy E for the three models. Notice that, for M odel [C], there is a range of energies (between 100 and 500 G eV) for which the neutrino can pro le, where the transition is highly non-adiabatic. The propagation inside the source from the production point to its surface is thus di erent measured spectral shape.

It would be interesting to explore if it is possible to use neutrinos from astrophysical sources as those discussed here in order to explore neutrino properties like the neutrino m ass ordering or the CP violating phase .



FIG.6: (Color online) The upper (lower) panel depicts the shower-to-muon track ratio for $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.15$, for M odel B], for the case of norm al (inverted) hierarchy, for di erent values of the CP violating phase .

A deviation from the expected 1 : 1 : 1 ratio has been extensively explored in the literature (som etim es involving highly exotic scenarios), e.g., a di erent avor ratio at the source [38, 39, 40], decaying neutrino scenarios [41], P seudo-D irac schem es [43], additional sterile neutrinos [44], m agnetic m om ent transitions [45] and the possibility of m easuring deviations from m axim al atm ospheric m ixing [12]. An extensive discussion of possible deviations from the equal avours scenario, including os-



FIG. 7: (Cobr online) The upper (lower) panel depicts the shower-to-muon track ratio for $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.15$, for M odel [C], for the case of norm al (inverted) hierarchy, for di erent values of the CP violating phase .

cillations and decays beyond the idealized m ixing case, characterization of the source and CPT violation was presented in [42]. Som e of these studies predict energy dependent ratios, however, none discussed m atter e ects on high-energy neutrino ux ratios as we explore in this paper.

If a relatively large deviation (10%) of R from its standard value R ' 2 is observed for energies E < 2 TeV, one could infer a non zero value for the m ixing angle



FIG.8: (Color online) D istance from the center of the astrophysical source (in cm), at which the resonant density is crossed vs the neutrino energy, for M odel [A] (solid line), M odel [B] (dashed line) and M odel [C] (long-dashed line), for $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.15$.

¹³. The energy location of the m atter enhanced peaks in the show er-to-m uon track ratio depends on the precise value of ₁₃: for sm aller values of $\sin^2 2_{13} < 0.15$, the e ect is larger (sm aller) at low er (higher) energies with respect to the e ect illustrated here for $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.15$, as expected from Eq. (13). Figure 9 shows the change in R when varying ₁₃, for the M odel A density pro le, norm al hierarchy and = 0. It can be seen that the results strongly depend on the ₁₃ m ixing angle, whose e ects get enhanced by a matter resonance for values larger than about $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.01$, when the transitions are m ostly adiabatic.

M easuring the CP phase by observing the shower-tom uon track ratio is highly challenging, since for that purpose a precise know ledge of the matter density pro le (r) would be required (see Ref. [46] for the prospects in a decaying neutrino scenario, Ref. [10] for a com bined analysis of neutrinos from reactors and optically thin astrophysical sources, and Ref. [9, 13, 14, 16] for astrophysical neutrinos in di erent scenarios).

U nder the assumption of a quite good know ledge of the density prole, it could be in principle possible to determ ine/verify the neutrino mass hierarchy since in all three m odels the e ect is much higher in the normal mass ordering than in the inverted one regardless the value of the CP violating phase . How ever, in practice, the errors on the remaining oscillation parameters might comprom ise the possibility of extracting the neutrino mass hierarchy, in particular the uncertainties on the atm ospheric mixing



FIG.9: (Color online) Variation of R with $_{13}$.

angle 23 (if a more precise know ledge of this mixing angle is not available at the time of the shower-to-muon track ratio m easurem ent). Figure 10 show sthee ects of changing $_{23}$ between maximalm ixing and $\sin^2 _{23} = 0.38$ (the current 2 sigm a allow ed region), for the M odel [A] density pro le, norm all hierarchy and = 0. M atter e ects are, in general, larger for larger values of \sin^2_{23} , except for very high energies when the ratios approach their values in vacuum. In this range, if $_{23}$ is larger than =4 the muon ux is enhanced and R < 2, while the opposite is true if $_{23}$ is smaller than =4. The uncertainty in the m ixing parameters would a ect the possible determ ination of the mass hierarchy since the inverted hierarchy pattern could be confused with a smaller value of $_{23}$. A separate m easurem ent of electron and tau neutrinos would greatly in prove this situation, allow ing to extract both the hierarchy and the value of $_{23}$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have discussed here high-energy neutrinos produced in optically thick (hidden) astrophysicalobjects for which largem atterdensity inside the source can a ect the oscillations of these neutrinos. The matter-induced transitions can lead to signi cant deviations from the 1:1:1 avor ratios expected in standard scenarios. These deviations are expected at speci c energies determined by the density pro les inside the sources. Los ube would be in an ideal position to measure such e ects. The main observable that would be sensitive to these e ects and that we have studied in detail is the shower-to-muon track ratio de ned in Eq. (17).



FIG.10: (Coloronline) Variation of R with $_{23}$.

A large number of events would be necessary in order to measure the e ects of neutrino oscillations inside the source. In order to establish a 3 sigm a e ect, more than 1000 events would be needed. This would require a relatively nearby source, within a few m egaparsecs. Given the supernova rate in nearby starburst galaxies such as M 82 (3 2 M pc) and NGC 253 (2.5 M pc) is about 0.1/yr, the possibility of such an event to take place is not rare assuming a signi cant fraction of SN e are endowed with jets [20, 31, 32]. Upcoming neutrino telescopes will be able to constrain this fraction. The combined SN rate from all galaxies within 20 M pc is more than 1/yr [37].

W ith such large num ber of events it would also be possible, in principle, to investigate neutrino properties like the mass ordering and CP violating phase, especially if future reactor and accelerator experiments could provide a better measurement of the mixing angles. If all neutrino properties would already be known from other experiments, the shower-to-muon track ratio measured in IceC ube could be used to determine source properties: matter elsects inside the source related in the ratio R are extremely sensitive to the source density prole.

Since high-energy -rays are not emitted from optically thick sources, it is hard to predict their occurrence rate. If a large population of hidden high-energy neutrino sources would exist in nature, then their combined e ect would be evident in the ratio R m easured from diffuse uxes. A m odulation of R with energy over a wide range is expected if the astrophysics varies from source to source and/or if the sources are distributed over a wide redshift. This is a distinct signal from the e ect, e.g., discussed in Refs. [38, 39]; which appear only at ultrahigh-energies. N eutrino telescopes are sensitive to the atm ospheric neutrinos as well in the 0.1-100 TeV energy range we are interested in. How ever, the atm ospheric neutrinos should not a ect measurement of the ratio R for a nearby transient point source that we are considering. For di use ux from all such point sources, the measured ratio will be a convolution of the atm ospheric ux ratios and the astrophysical ux ratios. The oscillation e ects for the atm ospheric neutrinos is rather small (< 10%) because of a small m $^2\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{E}$. The experiments should be able to de-convolute them even without precise know ledge of the oscillation parameters. A ssum ing neutrino oscillation e ects as

- See, e.g., C.D. Demer, in the proceedings of 2nd W orkshop on TeV Particle A strophysics, M adison, W isconsin, 28-31 Aug 2006. arX is astro-ph/0611191.
- [2] K. Hirata et al. KAM IOKANDE-II Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1490 (1987).
- [3] G.M.Frichter, D.W. McKay and J.P.Ralston, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1508 (1995) Erratum -ibid. 77, 4107 (1996)] [arX iv hep-ph/9409433].
- [4] R.Gandhi, C.Quigg, M.H.Reno and I.Sarcevic, Astropart. Phys. 5, 81 (1996) [arXiv hep-ph/9512364].
- [5] R.G andhi, C.Quigg, M.H.Reno and I.Sarœvic, Phys. Rev.D 58,093009 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9807264].
- [6] A. A chterberg et al. [IceC ube C ollaboration], A stropart. Phys. (in press) arX iv astro-ph/0604450.
- [7] U.F.Katz, in the proceedings of 2nd VLVNT W orkshop on Very Large Neutrino Telescope (VLVNT2), Catania, Italy, 8-11 Nov 2005. Nucl. Instrum. M eth. A 567, 457 (2006) [arX iv astro-ph/0606068].
- [8] A.S.D ighe and A.Y.Sm imov, Phys. Rev. D 62, 033007 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/9907423].
- [9] W. Rodejohann, arX iv hep-ph/0612047.
- [10] W. Winter, Phys. Rev. D 74, 033015 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0604191].
- [11] P.D. Serpico and M. Kachelniess, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 211102 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0502088].
- [12] P. D. Serpico, Phys. Rev. D 73, 047301 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0511313];
- [13] M. L. Costantini and F. Vissani, A stropart. Phys. 23, 477 (2005) [arXiv astro-ph/0411761].
- [14] Z.Z.X ing and S.Zhou, Phys. Rev.D 74, 013010 (2006) [arX iv astro-ph/0603781].
- [15] M. Cirelli, N. Fornengo, T. Montaruli, I. Sokalski, A. Strum ia and F.V issani, Nucl. Phys. B 727, 99 (2005) [arX iv hep-ph/0506298].
- [16] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Mirizzi, D. Montanino and P. D. Serpico, Phys. Rev. D 74, 093004 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0608321].
- [17] C.Lunardiniand A.Y.Sm imov, Nucl. Phys. B 583, 260 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0002152].
- [18] P.M eszaros and E.W axm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 171102 (2001) [arX iv:astro-ph/0103275].
- [19] S.Razzaque, P.M eszaros and E.W axm an, Phys. Rev.D 68, 083001 (2003) [arX iv astro-ph/0303505].
- [20] S. Razzaque, P. Meszaros and E. Waxman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 181101 (2004) Erratum - ibid. 94, 109903

we discussed here would be the most natural explanation of any deviation of R from its value in vacuum measured by upcom ing neutrino telescopes.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e thank PeterM eszaros for helpfuldiscussions. W ork supported by NSF grants PHY-0555368, AST 0307376 and in part by the European Program m e The Q uest for Unication." contract MRTN-CT-2004-503369.

(2005)] [arX iv astro-ph/0407064].

- [21] J. A lvarez-M uniz and P. M eszaros, Phys. Rev. D 70, 123001 (2004) [arX iv astro-ph/0409034].
- [22] F. W. Stecker, Phys. Rev. D 72, 107301 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0510537].
- [23] A J. M cFadyen, S E. W oosley and A. Heger, A strophys. J. bf 550, 410, 2001.
- [24] P. M eszaros and M J. Rees, A strophys. J. bf 556, L37, 2001.
- [25] E.W axm an and P.M eszaros A strophys. J. bf 584, 390, 2003.
- [26] C D . M atzner and C F . M cK ee, A strophys. J 510, 379 (1999)
- [27] R A. Chevalier and N. Soker, Astrophys. J 341, 867 (1989)
- [28] S.W oosley, N. Langer and T.W eaver, A strophys. J 411, 823 (1993)
- [29] T. Shigeyam a and K. Nom oto, A strophys. J 360, 242 (1990)
- [30] D. Amett, Astrophys. J 383, 295 (1991)
- [31] S. Ando and J. F. Beacom, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 061103 (2005) [arX iv astro-ph/0502521].
- [32] S.Razzaque, P.M eszaros and E.W axm an, M od. Phys. Lett.A 20, 2351 (2005)
- [33] S.Eidelm an et al., Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004).
- [34] A. Cervera, A. Donini, M. B. Gavela, J. J. Gom ez Cadenas, P. Hernandez, O. Mena and S. Rigolin, Nucl. Phys.B 579,17 (2000) Erratum -ibid.B 593,731 (2001)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0002108].
- [35] M.Kachelniess and R.Tom as, Phys.Rev.D 64, 073002 (2001); G.L.Fogli, E.Lisi, D.Montanino and A.Palazzo, Phys.Rev.D 65, 073008 (2002), Enatum -ibid.D 66, 039901 (2002)].
- [36] I. Mocioiu and R. Shrock, JHEP 0111, 050 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0106139].
- [37] S. Ando, J. F. Beacom and H. Yuksel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 171101 (2005) [arX iv astro-ph/0503321].
- [38] J.P.Rachen and P.M eszaros, Phys. Rev. D 58, 123005 (1998) [arX iv astro-ph/9802280].
- [39] T.Kashti and E.Waxman Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 181101 (2005) [arXiv astro-ph/0507599].
- [40] M.Kachelniess and R.Tom as, Phys.Rev.D 74, 063009 (2006) [arXiv astro-ph/0606406].
- [41] J. F. Beacom, N. F. Bell, D. Hooper, S. Pakvasa and T. J. W eiler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 181301 (2003)

[arX iv hep-ph/0211305].

- [42] G.Barenboim and C.Quigg, Phys. Rev. D 67, 073024 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0301220].
- [43] J. F. Beacom, N. F. Bell, D. Hooper, J. G. Learned, S.Pakvasa and T.J.W eiler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 011101 (2004) [arX iv hep-ph/0307151].
- [44] S.I.Dutta, M.H.Reno and I.Sarcevic, Phys.Rev.D 64, 113015 (2001) [arX iv hep-ph/0104275]; H. Nunokawa, O.L.G.Peres and R.Zukanovich Funchal, Phys.Lett. B 562, 279 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0302039].
- [45] K. Enqvist, P. Keranen and J. Maalam pi, Phys. Lett. B

438,295 (1998) [arX iv hep-ph/9806392].

- [46] J. F. Beacom, N. F. Bell, D. Hooper, S. Pakvasa and T. J. Weiler, Phys. Rev. D 69, 017303 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0309267].
- [47] con med detections are: GRB 980425/SN 1998bw, GRB 021211/SN 20021t GRB 030329/SN 2003dh, GRB 0131203/SN 20031w and GRB 060218/SN 2006aj. M any other GRBs show a SN bump in their afterglow light curve but no spectroscopic data.