NUCLEOSYNTHESIS CONSTRAINTS ON DEFECT-M ED IATED ELECTROW EAK BARYOGENESIS

Robert Brandenberger^{1;2}, Anne-Christine Davis^{1;3} and Martin J. Rees⁴

- Issac New ton Institute for M athem atical Sciences, University of C am bridge, C am bridge CB3 OEH, UK.
- ² Physics Department, Brown University, Providence RI 02912, USA.
- ³ Department of Applied Mathematics & Theoretical Physics and Kings' College, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB39EW, UK
- ⁴ Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3OHA, UK.

A bstract

In the defect-mediated electroweak baryogenesis scenario, baryons are produced in well separated regions of space. It is shown that between the electroweak phase transition at a temperature of T 100G eV and the end of nucleosynthesis at T 1K eV the baryon inhomogeneities dissipate, and that no constraints on defect-mediated electroweak baryogenesis can be derived from considerations of inhomogeneous nucleosynthesis.

1. Introduction

Recently there has been a lot of interest in the possibility that the observed baryon to entropy ratio was generated at the electroweak scale [1-3] (for recent reviews see e.g. Refs. 4 and 5). Most electroweak baryogenesis models assume that the electroweak phase transition was rst order and proceeded via the nucleation, expansion and subsequent percolation of critical bubbles. In this case, the baryon distribution after the bubble percolation is essentially homogeneous since the regions of net baryon production, the bubble walls, sweep out all of space.

The order of the electroweak phase transition, however, is not known. Even if the transition is rst order, its dynamics may be driven by thermal uctuations. Recent simulations in scalar eld theories [6] and evidence from condensed matter systems (see e.g. Ref. 7 and references therein) argue against nucleation of critical bubbles as the mechanism driving the phase transition.

In Refs, 8 and 9, a new mechanism of baryogenesis was proposed which is independent of the order and detailed dynam ics of the electroweak phase transition. In this theory, baryon production is mediated by topological defects produced at an energy scale equal or higher than the scale $_{\rm E\ W}$ of electroweak symmetry breaking. Provided that the electroweak symmetry is restored in the core of the defects, baryons are produced there during the out-of-equilibrium motion of the defects. In this scenario, baryons are produced inhomogeneously.

There are severe constraints on inhom ogeneous nucleosynthesis. The success of homogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis in explaining the observed abundances of light elements [10] (in particular 4 H e; d + 3 H e and 7 L i) m akes it hard to allow for any inhom ogeneities in the baryon distribution at the time when nucleosynthesis begins. This leads to possible constraints on scenarios with inhom ogeneous baryogenesis [11,12].

Consider this issue in more detail. The predictions of hom ogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis for the light elements 4 H e; d + 3 H e and 7 Li are compatible with the observed abundances only in a narrow interval of the baryon to entropy ratio $^-$ n_b=n (n_b and n

are the baryon and photon number densities, respectively):

$$3 10^{10} < ^< 10^9$$
 : (1)

In this letter we investigate whether the defect-mediated electroweak baryogenesis scenario is constrained by nucleosynthesis considerations. In order to answer this question one must study the dissipation of baryon inhom ogeneities between T $100 \, \text{GeV} = T_{\text{EW}}$ (the electroweak phase transition scale) and T $1 \, \text{KeV}$ (the end of nucleosynthesis). Our conclusion is that form ost parameter values of the defect-mediated baryogenesis scenarios studied, the combined electroned electrone in ation and baryon distribution are su ciently strong to homogenize the baryon distribution by the temperature T $100 \, \text{KeV}$. Hence, no constraints can be derived.

2. D isspation of Baryon Inhom ogeneities

The dissipation of baryon inhom ogeneities at temperatures between 100 GeV and 1 KeV has recently been studied in great detail in Refs. 16 & 17 (see also Ref. 12). The most important processes are baryon di usion and neutrino di usion.

At tem peratures above about 1 MeV, neutrinos are in therm al equilibrium with the plasm a. Since they are the particles with the longest mean free path, they are the most e cient ones at transporting energy.

In an inhom ogeneous electroweak baryogenesis model, entropy perturbations are produced during the electroweak phase transitions. By the equation of pressure equilibrium, regions with an overdensity of baryons must have a lower than average tem perature. Neutrino heating of such a cold baryon-rich region will cause it to expand in order to maintain pressure equilibrium [16,17]. This e ect is called \neutrino in ation".

Neutrino in ation has the e ect of low ering the am plitude of the inhom ogeneities, but not washing them out entirely. The key length scale in electroweak baryogenesis is the Hubble radius at $T_{\rm E\ W}$ which is

$$t_{EW}$$
 ' $\frac{1}{(10q)^{1=2}} m_{pl} \frac{2}{EW}$ ' 0:3cm : (2)

Here, g is the number of spin degrees of freedom of the thermal bath, and m $_{\rm pl}$ is the Planck mass. If is the diameter of a region with baryon excess, then neutrino in ation will between 100 GeV and 1MeV reduce the amplitude of such a baryon perturbation to a value A which depends on [16; 17]

A '
$$10^4$$
 for $[10^7; 10^1]$ cm

A' $\frac{1}{10^{15}}$ for $[10^{15}; 10^7]$ cm

(3)

A = 1 for < 10^{15} cm

In the above, is the physical size at T_{EW} . For defect-m ediated baryogenesis, only values of smaller than t_{EW} are of interest. We have implicitly assumed that the initial value of A exceeds the value given in (3).

A fler the neutrinos fall out of therm all equilibrium, neutrino in ation ceases to be an elective energy transport mechanism. It has been shown [17] that for $T < 1M \ eV$, baryon distribution is the dominant way of dissipating entropy suctuations. The baryon distribution length $l_{\rm diff}$ depends on the initial value of A (at $1M \ eV$). An approximate expression for $l_{\rm diff}$ is [17]

$$l_{diff} ' 0:1 \frac{A}{A_0} = cm; A A_0;$$
 (4)

with $A_0 = 10^2$ (note that $l_{\rm diff}$ is expressed in terms of physical size at 100 GeV of a given com oving scale). Baryon inhom ogeneities on scales smaller than $l_{\rm diff}$ get erased by di usion. As is evident from Figs. 14 – 16 of Ref. 17, the distribution of baryons has become essentially hom ogeneous already at T=0.1 MeV, the onset of nucleosynthesis.

To sum marize, the evolution of baryon inhom ogeneities produced during the electroweak phase transition proceeds in two stages. Between 100 GeV and 1 MeV, the amplitude of the perturbations decreases by neutrino di usion. Below 1 MeV, baryon di usion becomes dominant and spreads out the baryons.

In order to study possible constraints on defect-mediated baryogenesis from nucleosynthesis considerations, we must know the initial amplitude A of the entropy perturbations, and the mean separation dof the defects inducing baryogenesis. If

$$d < l_{diff}(A)$$
; (5)

then the baryons have hom ogenized by the time of the onset of nucleosynthesis, and the scenario is safe.

In this paper we will assume that independent of the scale at which the defects are produced and independent of the type of defects considered, the mean baryon to entropy ratio ^ lies in the interval (1).

3. Constraints on Defect-Mediated Electroweak Baryogenesis

In the defect-m ediated electroweak baryogenesis scenario of Refs. 8 and 9, baryons are produced inside of moving topological defects in which the electroweak sym metry is restored. Baryon number violating electroweak sphaleron processes are unsuppressed in the defect cores, CP violation is enhanced in the defect walls (in models with extra CP violation in the Higgs sector such as the two doublet model, a theory commonly used to study electroweak baryogenesis), and the defect dynamics is out of equilibrium. Thus, all of Sakharov's criteria [18] for baryogenesis are satis ed.

In the baryogenesis scenario of Refs. 8 and 9, baryons are thus only produced in regions swept out by the topological defects following the electroweak phase transition. The size of the baryon-rich regions depends on the spatial extent of the particular type

of defect which is catalyzing baryogenesis, and the mean separation of these regions is determined by the mean separation of the defects. Thus, we have a realization of the situation studied in Refs. 16 and 17 in which baryons are produced inhom ogeneously.

If $V_{B\,G}$ is the volum e participating in electroweak baryogenesis, and V is the total volum e, then the amplitude of the baryon perturbation is

$$A = \frac{V}{V_{BG}} : ag{6}$$

K now ing this amplitude and the mean separation of the regions where baryons are produced, it is possible to verify whether the condition (5) is satiseed, i.e. whether the baryon inhomogeneities can dissipate succiently or not to elect nucleosynthesis.

In the following we shall investigate domain wall and cosmic string mediated baryogenesis. Domain walls moving with velocity v_{D} in their normal direction will sweep out a fraction of the order v_{D} of space. Hence

$$A \quad \chi^1 \quad : \tag{7}$$

For domain walls forming at a scale close to $_{\rm E\,W}$, the mean separation dwill be microscopic

$$d(t_{EW}) \qquad ^{1} \qquad \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} \qquad ; \qquad (8)$$

where p is some power determined by the evolution of the domain wall network between $T = \text{and } T = E_{W}$. For domain walls, must be close to E_{W} , otherwise the Universe would be domain wall dominated at E_{W} . Hence, generically

$$d(t_{EW})$$
 $l_{diff}(A)$; (9)

and no additional constraints on the model result from the considerations of this work. Note that even if domain walls are produced at close to $_{\rm E\,W}$, they must disappear by the present time in order to ensure that the Universe is not domainated by walls today.

Form odelbuilding, a theory in which cosm ic strings mediate electroweak baryogenesis is less constrained. The evolution of a network of cosm ic strings has been studied in great detail. For

$$< (m_{pl EW})^{1=2} / 3 10^{10} \text{ GeV}$$
 (10)

the evolution of the string network is still friction-dom inated, for larger values of the strings are in their scaling regime.

For friction-dom inated strings, the mean separation is [19]

$$d = (t_{EW}) \qquad (G)^{1=2} m_{pl}^{1=4} t_{EW}^{5=4}$$
 (11)

where $^{\prime}$ is the mass per unit length of the string. The length $^{\prime}$ (t_{EW}) also determines the volume in which baryogenesis takes place. Thus the initial amplitude A_{in} of the baryon perturbation is

$$A_{in} = \frac{t_{EW}}{(t_{EW})^2} = \frac{t_{EW}}{R_s} = 10^4;$$
 (12)

where R $_{\rm S}$ is the radius about the string to which the electroweak symmetry is restored. Except for values of very close to $_{\rm E\,W}$

$$\frac{d}{t_{EW}} > 10^{-7}$$
: (13)

Hence, from (3) it follows that after neutrino di usion, the amplitude of the baryon perturbations will be

$$A' 10^4 ; (14)$$

the value we will use for all strings in the friction era. With the above value for A, one can easily evaluate the criterion (5). We note that for

equation (5) is satis ed.

We thus conclude that for $< 10^9$, the baryon distribution at the onset of nucleosynthesis in cosm ic string-mediated electroweak baryogenesis is homogeneous.

For > 3 10^{10} , strings are in their scaling regime. In the scaling regime, the string network consists of long strings with curvature radius and mean separation proportional to the Hubble radius, and of a distribution of loops with number density [20]

$$n(R;t) = \begin{cases} R^{2}t^{2} & G t < R < t \\ (G)^{2}t^{4} & R < G t = R_{C} \end{cases}$$
 (16)

where , and are constants which must be determined in numerical simulations. The constant is a measure of the scale t at which loops are produced at time t. Present simulations [21] indicate $< 10^{-2}$. The value of is at present also poorly determined, but is [21] of the order 1, and measures the strength of gravitational radiation (50 according to Ref. 22).

The separation d between baryogenesis volumes is given by the separation of small loops which is

$$d'(R_c(G)^2t^4)^{1=3} = ^{1=3}(G)^{1=3}t_{EW}$$
: (17)

From (3) it follows that

$$A \cdot 10^4$$

(the initial amplitude $A_{\rm in}$ for scaling strings is much larger than A because the strings occupy only a small volume of space). We can now investigate under which conditions the criterion (5) is satisfied. The result is

$$G < 1 \tag{19}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{m_{pl}} < (1)^{1=2}$$
 (20)

This implies that for all particle physics motivated cosm ic strings in the scaling regime, baryons have hom ogenized by the onset of nucleosynthesis.

At rst sight it seems that for a narrow range of values of

$$10^9 \text{G eV} < 3.10^{10} \text{ G eV}$$
 ; (21)

defect-m ediated electroweak baryogenesis is constrained by nucleosynthesis. However, for this range of values of there will already be many loops as part of the string distribution. Hence, the mean separation of baryogenesis sites will be smaller than that given in Eq. (11), and the criterion (5) will in fact be satised.

4. Conclusions

We have shown that the combined e ects of neutrino in ation and baryon di usion are e cient enough to hom ogenize the baryon distribution in the defect-mediated electroweak baryogenesis scenarios we have considered, in spite of the initial large amplitude of the baryon perturbations.

Neutrino in ation is crucial in order to obtain this result, since it leads to a decrease of the am plitude of entropy inhom ogeneities to a value A ' 10^4 between $100\,\mathrm{G}$ eV and $1\,\mathrm{M}$ eV . W ith this value of A the com oving baryon di usion length is comparable to the Hubble radius at $t_{E\,W}$ (when translated to physical length at $t_{E\,W}$). In cosm ic string-mediated models of electroweak baryogenesis the mean separation of the defects turns out to be much smaller than the baryon di usion length, unless is very close to the Planck scale. Note that without taking neutrino di usion into account, the elective baryon dissipation length would have been so small as to render most of the models in conict with nucleosynthesis.

We have shown that the only defect-mediated models of electroweak baryogenesis which are endangered by the inhomogeneous nucleosynthesis constraints are theories in which the mean separation of the defects at $t_{\rm E\,W}$ exceeds about 3% of the Hubble radius at $t_{\rm E\,W}$ (see Eqs. (4) & (5)).

A cknow ledgem ents

This work was supported in part by USD epartment of Energy under grant DE-FG029 1ER40688, Task A, by PPARC and the Royal Society in the UK and by an NSF-SERC collaborative research award NSF-INI-9022895. We thank the Isaac Newton Institute for hospitality.

R eferences

- 1. M. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 287, 757 (1987);
 - M . Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 299, 797 (1988);
 - L.McLerran, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1075 (1989).
- 2. N. Turok and J. Zadrozny, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2331 (1990);
 - N. Turok and J. Zadrozny, Nucl. Phys. B 358, 471 (1991);
 - L.M. CLerran, M. Shaposhnikov, N. Turok and M. Voloshin Phys. Lett. B 256, 451 (1991).
- 3. A. Cohen, D. Kaplan and A. Nelson Phys. Lett. B 245, 561 (1990);
 - A.Cohen, D.Kaplan aaand A.Nelson Nucl. Phys. B 349, 727 (1991);
 - A.Nelson, D.Kaplan and A.Cohen, Nucl. Phys. B 373, 453 (1992).
- 4. N. Turok in \Perspectives on Higgs Physics", ed. G. Kane (World Scientic, Singapore 1992).
- 5. A. Cohen, D. Kaplan and A. Nelson, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Pert. Sci. 43, 27 (1993).
- 6. J. Borrill and M. Gleiser, \ThermalPhase Mixing During First Order Phase Transitions", Dartmouth preprint DART-HEP-94/06 (1994).
- 7. N. Goldenfeld, \D ynam ics of Cosmological Phase Transitions: What can we learn from Condensed Matter Physics", Univ. of Illinois preprint (1994), to be publ. in \Formation and Interactions of Topological Defects", A.-C. Davis and R. Brandenberger (eds.), (Plenum Press, New York, 1995).
- 8. R. Brandenberger, A.-C. Davis and M. Trodden, Phys. Lett. B 335, 123 (1994).
- 9. R. Brandenberger, A.-C. Davis, T. Prokopec and M. Trodden, \Local and Nonlo-cal Defect-Mediated Electroweak Baryogenesis", Brown preprint BROWN-HET-962 (1994).
- 10. for a recent review see e.g. B. Pagel, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 647, 131 (1991).
- 11. G. Fuller, K. Jedam zik, G. Mathews and A. Olinto, Phys. Lett. B 333, 135 (1994).
- 12. A. Heckler, \The E ects of Electro-weak Phase-Transition Dynamics on Baryogenesis and Primordial Nuclosynthesis", Univ. of Washington thesis (1994), astro-ph/9407064.

- 13. K. Jedam zik, G. Fuller and G. Mathews, Ap. J. 423, 50 (1994).
- 14. R. Epstein and V. Petrosian, Ap. J. 197, 281 (1975);
 - J. Applegate, C. Hogan and R. Scherrer, Phys. Rev. D 35, 1151 (1987);
 - C.Alcock, G. Fuller and G.Mathews, Ap. J. 320, 439 (1987);
 - R.Malaney and W. Fowler, Ap. J. 333, 14 (1988);
 - H.Kurki-Suonio et al. Phys. Rev. D 38, 1091 (1988);
 - N. Teraswawa and K. Sato, Prog. Theor. Phys. 81, 254 (1989);
 - R.M alaney and G.M athews, Phys. Rep. 229, 145 (1993).
- 15. K. Jedam zik, G. Mathews and G. Fuller, \Absence of a lower limit on bin Inhomogeneous Primordial Nucleosynthesis", Astro-Ph. 9407035 (1994).
- 16. A. Heckler and C. Hogan, Phys. Rev. D 47, 4256 (1993).
- 17. K. Jedam zik and G. Fuller Ap. J. 423, 33 (1994).
- 18. A. Sakharov, Pism a Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz 5, 32 (1967).
- 19. T. W. B. Kibble, Acta Phys. Pol. B13, 723 (1982);
 - A. Everett, Phys. Rev. D 24, 858 (1981);
 - M. Hindmarsh, PhD thesis, University of London (unpublished, 1986).
- 20. N. Turok and R. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D 33, 2175 (1986);
 - A. Stebbins, Ap. J. (Lett.) 303, L21 (1986);
 - H. Sato, Prog. Theor. Phys. 75, 1342 (1986).
- 21. D. Bennett and F. Bouchet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 257 (1988);
 - B.Allen and E.P.S. Shellard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 119 (1990);
 - A.A brecht and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D 40, 973 (1989).
- 22. T. Vachaspati and A. Vilenkin Phys. Rev. D 31, 3052 (1985);
 - N. Turok Nucl. Phys. B 242, 520 (1984)
 - C.Burden Phys. Lett. 164B, 277 (1985).