## Phase Random ization and Doppler Peaks in the CMB Angular Power Spectrum

Li-ZhiFang<sup>1</sup> , Zheng H uang<sup>1y</sup> and X iang-P ing W  $u^{1;2z}$ 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

<sup>2</sup> Beijing A stronom ical O bservatory, Beijing 100080, P.R. China

(January 19, 2022)

## Abstract

U sing the Boltzmann equation with a Langevin-like term describing the stochastic force in a baryon-photon plasma, we investigate the in uence of the incoherent electron-photon scattering on the subhorizon evolution of the cosm ic m icrowave radiation. The stochastic uctuation caused by each collision on average is found to be small. Nevertheless, it leads to a signi cant B rownian drifting of the phase in the acoustic oscillation, and the coherent oscillations cannot be maintained during their dynamical evolution. As a consequence, the proposed D oppler peaks probably do not exist. PACS numbers: 98.70 V c, 98.80 Es, 05.40.+ j

Typeset using REVT<sub>E</sub>X

The anisotropies of the cosm ic m icrow ave background (CMB) provide a key to the understanding of the origin of primordial uctuations and the thermal history of the early universe. The spectrum of the CMB anisotropy on large angular scales has been found to be consistent with the in ationary scenario of the early universe [1]. It is generally believed that m any cosm ological parameters can be determined from the ne structures in the power spectrum of CMB anisotropy. Am ong them, the possible existence of D oppler peaks { the peaks in the CMB anisotropy spectrum on an angular scale of about one degree or less has attracted much attention [2].

It has been shown theoretically that the amplitude and the position of these D oppler peaks are functions of the spatial curvatures, m ass density, reionization time, cosm ological constant etc. Thus, a precision measurement of the D oppler peaks may provide us an e ective tool to determ ine various cosm ic parameters. However, the observed amplitudes of the CM B anisotropy on degree-scale have not so far been very conclusive in determining the existence of the D oppler peaks. Indeed, some observations seems to exhibit high amplitudes as expected in a D oppler-peak scenario, while others show no peak amplitudes [2]. O nem ay assert at this stage that the expected peaks have not yet been clearly identified in current data, but would be determined by a new generations of the CM B anisotropy observations.

In this letter, we shall take a di erent approach to reexam ine the theoretical foundation of the prediction of the D oppler peaks. In particular, we shall argue based on a stochastic B oltzm ann equation that the coherence of acoustic oscillations in the baryon-photon plasm a will be largely disturbed, and m ay even be totally erased if the stochastic force of the incoherent scattering is included. In the standard theory of the CM B evolution [3], the acoustic oscillations in the baryon-photon plasm a on subhorizon scales are treated coherently, i.e. di erent m odes are frozen at di erent phases of their oscillation. The position of the D oppler peaks is then calculated by the phase at the recombination. However, the inclusion of correlation due to the stochastic term in the baryon-photon plasm a will lead to a phase random ization. The coherence of the oscillations could be m aintained if there is a m echanism for providing a negative entropy current to prevent the decoherence due to the

2

phase random ization (like a laser). Unfortunately, no such mechanism exists in the epoch of recombination, while the existence of a stochastic force term in the kinetic equation is inevitable in a system with dissipation. We shall show that the phase random izations introduced by the incoherent electron-photon scattering are, indeed, substantial, and as a result, the D oppler peaks will be erased. Instead, one expects a large dispersion of the amplitudes in the CM B power spectrum due to di erent realizations on subhorizon scales, re ecting the stochastic nature of the phases. We suggest that the dispersed observations of the CM B angular power spectrum on degree-scale, though very coarse at this stage, are in support of our view.

Let us use conventional notations in the theory of the CMB anisotropy [3]. Since the coherent oscillations of the D oppler peaks act only on subhorizon scales, the choice of gauge is irrelevant for our calculation. The evolution of the photon distribution function f(t;x;p) is calculated by the Boltzm ann equation

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{dx^{i}}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{dp_{0}}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{0}} + \frac{d^{i}}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = C [f];$$
(1)

where  $_{i}$  is the direction cosines of  $p_{i}$  with respect to the corresponding spatial coordinate. The left hand side of eq.(1) describes the free-stream ing, and the right hand side is the collision term given by

$$C [f] = \int_{-\infty}^{Z} dq dq^{0} dp^{0} W (p;q;p^{0};q^{0})$$

$$fg(t;x;q^{0}) f(t;x;p^{0}) [1 + f(t;x;p)]$$

$$g(t;x;q) f(t;x;p) [1 + f(t;x;p^{0})]g (2)$$

where g is the electron distribution function, and the collision rate W is determined by the C ompton scattering between electron and photon from state  $(q^0; p^0)$  to (q; p).

It is well known that the Boltzm ann equation in (1) is derived under the assumption of a molecular chaos and is applicable if the uctuations caused by the incoherent collisions are negligible. These uctuations give rise to a stochastic force term in hydrodynam ics [4], governed by the uctuation-dissipation theorem. Sim ilarly, these uctuations can be taken into account by an additional Langevin-like force term in the Boltzm ann equation [5]. Eq.(1) should then be replaced by

$$\frac{df}{dt} = C [f] + r(t;x;p)$$
(3)

where the stochastic force, r, is characterized by its correlations:

$$hr(t;x;p)i=0; (4)$$

$$hr(t_{1};x_{1};p_{1})r(t_{2};x_{2};p_{2})i = \frac{1}{2}N(t_{1},t_{2$$

where h::: is an average over the stochastic e ect (i.e. over di erent realizations), N is the total number of photons, and the function (P) is de ned by

$$(P) = (P q) + (P p)$$

$$(P q) + (P q) = (P q) + (P q) = (P q) + (P q) = (P q) + (P q) + (P q) = (P q) + (P q) + (P q) = (P q) + (P q) + (P q) = (P q) + (P q) + (P q) + (P q) = (P q) + (P q) + (P q) + (P q) = (P q) + (P q) + (P q) + (P q) = (P q) + (P q)$$

It is in portant to note that eqs.(3)-(6) are applicable not only in a linear approximation but also in the nonlinear region [5,6].

In principle, to study the uctuations in the baryon-photon plasma, we should also consider the stochastic terms in the equation of baryonic matter. However, since the linear uctuations given by independent Gaussian random \forces" are additive, the stochastic terms in the baryonic equation will increase the elects of uctuations considered in this paper. To illustrate the main elect of electron-photon scattering in the presence of the D oppler peaks, we shall only consider the contribution from stochastic term r in photon's equation. In this case, the electron distribution g(t;x;q) can be treated as an external source. For a Thom son scattering, photons do not exchange energies with electrons. The q-distribution of electrons n<sub>e</sub> =  $\frac{R}{dqg(t;x;q)}$ . In this case, the distribution of the

photon energy p is also unchanged, the perturbation of the CMB can be described by the anisotropy of the brightness tem perature

$$(t;x;) = \frac{1}{4^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z} fp^{3} dp - \frac{1}{4};$$
(7)

where =  $(^{2}=15)T^{4}$  is the mean energy density of photons. In terms of , the photon distribution function can be approximately expressed as

$$f(t;x;p) = f_{T}(p)[1 + 4(t;x;)]$$
(8)

where  $f_T = 1 = [exp(p=T) ]$ .

From eqs.(1) and (8), one nds that should satisfy

$$\frac{@}{@}(+) + \frac{i}{@x^{i}}(+) + \frac{i}{@}\frac{@}{@i} = (_{0} + iV_{b}^{i} + \frac{1}{10}Q) + R$$
(9)

where and are the New tonian potential and the space curvature perturbation, respectively. =  ${}^{R}(1 + z)dt$  is the conform altime, z the redshift,  $v_{b}$  the baryonic (uid) velocity, and =  $n_{e}$  the optical depth, T being the Thom son cross section. Q is the projection operator of quadrupole, de ned as Q (;  ${}^{0}$ ) =  ${}^{P} {}^{2}_{m=2} Y_{2m}$  () (1=4)  ${}^{R} d {}^{0}Y_{2m}$  ( ${}^{0}$ ). Obviously Q Q = Q. The isotropic component  ${}_{0}$  (;x) is given by  ${}_{0}$  = 0, where 0 (1=4)  ${}^{R} d$  is the monopole projection operator, and 0 0 = 0. The term  ${}_{0}$  appearing on the right-hand side of eq.(9) indicates that without an external driving force (such as  $v_{b}$ ) the isotropic state is the \equilibrium " state in the kinetic evolution of the Thom son scattering.

The stochastic term in eq.(9) is R =  $(1=4^{2} r)^{R} rp^{3} dp$ , and its correlation function is given by

$$hR (_{1};x_{1};_{1})R (_{2};x_{2};_{2})i = \frac{3}{8} \frac{N}{16^{4} \frac{2}{r}} (_{1} _{2}) (x_{1} _{x_{2}}) \frac{4}{5} 4 (_{1} _{2}) (\frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos^{2})] \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos^{2})] p^{4}dp[f(_{1};x_{1};p;_{1})] + 2f(_{1};x_{1};p;_{1})f(_{2};x_{2};p;_{2}) + f(_{2};x_{2};p;_{2})]$$
(10)

where is the angle between  $_1$  and  $_2$ . Because eq.(9) is linear in , it reduces to eq.(1) by taking an average over the stochastic e ect. Thus, the calculation based on eq.(1) is actually only for h i but not for , i.e. the uctuations due to the stochastic terms are entirely overbooked in eq.(1). In the incoherent processes, the linear uctuations, = h i, caused by stochastic force R m ay not in general play a very in portant role. How ever, these

uctuations lead to \forgetting history". Thus, the existence and m aintenance of coherence should be seriously reconsidered.

Let us calculate the linear uctuations, (;x;), at a given time around a solution to eq.(1). It is governed by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{0}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{0}{2} + \frac{-i}{2} \frac{0}{2} \\ + \left[1 + \frac{1}{10} Q\right] \quad ( 0) = R^{0}$$
(11)

where  $R^0 = (1 \ 0)R$ . The projection operator arises from the isotropic term  $_0$  in eq.(9). The solution to eq.(12) can be generally expressed as

Obviously, both sides of eq.(13) become zero upon an integration  $(1=4)^{R}$  d because 0 (1 0) = 0.

The correlation functions of uctuations, such as h  $(_1;x_1;_1)$   $(_2;x_2;_2)i$ , can be calculated from eqs.(10) and (12). For a tuniverse, the light path is a straight line in comoving coordinates x, and thus  $^{-1} = 0$ . The uctuation for the mode (l;k) with l > 0 is then

$$h_{1}(;k)_{1}(;k)i = \frac{1}{V^{2}}^{Z} dx_{1} dx_{2} \exp f ik_{1}(x x_{2})g$$

$$\frac{(2l+1)^{2}}{64^{2}}^{Z} d_{1} d_{2}P_{1}(k_{0} t_{1})P_{1}(k_{0} t_{2})$$

$$d_{1} d_{2} \exp f_{1}[l+Q_{1}] t_{2}[l+Q_{2}]g$$

$$hR^{0}(_{1};x_{1}_{1};_{1})R^{0}(_{2};x_{2}_{2};_{2})i$$

where  $k_0 = k = j_k j$  and V the volum e being considered. Since (=k) 1, the above equation can be simplified by using eqs.(8) and (10) as

$$h_{1}(;k)_{1}(;k)i = \frac{0.531}{14} \frac{675}{14}$$

$$\frac{(21+1)^{2}}{64^{2}} \int_{Z}^{Z} d_{1}d_{2}P_{1}(k_{0})_{1}P_{1}(k_{0})_{2}$$

$$de^{2}(1 O_{1})(1 O_{2})$$

$$[1+(e^{-10} 1)Q_{1}][1+(e^{-10} 1)Q_{2}]$$

$$\frac{4}{5}4(1 2) \frac{1}{2}(1+\cos^{2})]$$

$$\frac{1}{V} dxh((;x;1)((;x;2))i$$
(13)

where we used N =  $(2 \ (3) = {}^{2})VT^{3}$ ,  ${}^{R}p^{4}dpf_{T}^{2} = 2[(2) \ (3)]f = 0.844T^{5}$ , and  $e^{aQ} = [1 + (e^{a} \ 1)Q]$ . The monopole projection factors  $(1 \ Q_{1})$  and  $(1 \ Q_{2})$  can be replaced by a unity operator when 1 > 0. The two quadrupole projection terms  $Q_{1}$  and  $Q_{2}$  can be neglected because the term  $e^{2}$  picks up the main contribution in the integral from the region < 1. U sing the following mode decomposition

$$\frac{1}{V} \int_{2}^{Z} dxh (;x;_{1}) (;x;_{2})i = \frac{V}{2^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z} k^{2} dx \int_{1}^{X} \frac{1}{2l^{0}+1} hj_{1}(;k) jiP_{1}(_{1}) \int_{2}^{Z} (14)$$

we have nally

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{hj}_{1}(\textbf{;k})^{2} \textbf{i} = 3.91 \quad 10^{5} \\ (\frac{21+1}{3} \frac{V}{2^{2}}^{Z} \quad dkk^{2} \frac{X}{p} \frac{1}{21^{0}+1} \overline{\text{hj}}_{1^{0}}(\textbf{;k})^{2} \textbf{j} \textbf{i} \\ \frac{2}{3} \frac{X}{p} \left[ p + \textbf{j}(1+\textbf{;0};2;0)\textbf{j} + \textbf{;0})^{2} \right] \\ \frac{V}{2^{2}}^{Z} k^{2} dk \frac{1}{21+1} \overline{\text{hj}}_{1}(\textbf{;k})^{2} \textbf{j} \textbf{i} \end{array}$$

$$(15)$$

where the overline above hj  $_1(;k)$  j<sup>2</sup> denotes an average over the region from (1= ) to , and (1+ ;0;2;0;1+ ;0) are the Clebsch-Gordon coe cients. For large l, the second (negative) term in the bracket eq.(16) is completely negligible. The rst term is independent of l and k. This is expected since the correlation function of the corresponding stochastic force is isotropic in -space and uniform in k-space.

U sing the expression for a tem perature perturbation

$$\frac{T}{T}^{2} = \frac{V}{2^{2}}^{2} dkk^{2} \int_{1}^{X} \frac{1}{2l+1} hj_{1}(k)ji; \qquad (16)$$

one has

$$\frac{hj}{2l+1} \cdot 1:30 \quad 10^{5} j T=T j^{2}:$$
 (17)

The l summation in eq.(16) should run up to  $l_{max}$  where the electron-photon collision is frequent enough, i.e. to the scale about the photon mean free path 1= . Therefore, T=T in eqs.(16) and (17) should not be confused with  $(T=T)_{obs}$  given by observations with low resolutions, i.e. their window functions are on scales much larger than  $l_{max}$ . For the observation of CMR anisotropy with a resolution l, the uctuation is

$$\frac{T}{T} = \frac{X^{1}}{P_{0}} \frac{hj}{2P_{1}} \frac{p^{2}j}{2P_{1}} \frac{1}{1}$$

$$Y 3:61 \quad 10^{3} \frac{p}{1} \frac{T}{T} :$$
(18)

Therefore, the uctuations caused by the stochastic force R are generally small, except for the case of a very high resolution observation.

However, the average of the uctuations in eqs.(15) and (18) is essentially over one collision time 1= . In relation to coherent processes, we should study the cumulative e ect of the uctuations over the entire period during which the coherence is to be maintained [7]. For the D oppler peaks, the period is from the time when the perturbation enters horizon to the time of recombination, i.e. the duration of the subhorizon evolution before recombination. The cumulative e ect can be easily described by the phase uctuations of the oscillation. Use the expression  $_1(;k) = j_1 je^{i_1}$ , where  $j_1(;k) jand_1(;k)$  are the amplitude and phase, respectively. The equations of  $_1(;k)$  can then be derived from eq.(9) as

$$-_{0} = \frac{k}{3} + R_{0}$$
(19)

$$_{1} + R_{1} (1 > 2)$$
 (21)

where

$$R_{1} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{2l+1}{8}^{Z} d P_{1}(k_{0}) \quad ) dx e^{ik} \dot{R}$$
 (22)

If the hierarchy of (21)-(23) is cut o at 21-th order, they correspond to the equations for a system consisting of 1 coupled oscillators. W ithout the stochastic term s R<sub>1</sub>, the oscillations are coherent and the phases of the oscillations are completely xed by initial conditions. The term s R<sub>1</sub> lead to a phase random ization.

We shall is rst calculate the phase in uctuations raised by  $\mathbb{R}$ . As the coupling between the photon and the baryon is tight and the peculiar gravitational potential is weakly timedependent in matter-dominated regime [3], the evolution of  $_0$  is dominated by the phase evolution  $_0$  (), which is approximately described by a WKB-like equation as

$$\frac{d_{0}}{d} \prime kc_{s} \frac{1}{2h_{0}ikc_{s}} \frac{dR_{0}}{d}; \qquad (23)$$

where  $c_s$  denotes the sound speed of the baryon-photon plasma, which is  $1 = \frac{p}{3}$  before recombination. The stochasticly averaged solution of eq.(23) is  $_0() = \frac{R}{_{en}} kc_s d^{-0} + _{-0}(_{en})$ , where  $_{en}$  denotes the time when the mode k enters the horizon, and  $_{-0}(_{en})$  is the initial phase. The position of the Doppler peaks for adiabatic perturbations is approximately determined by a phase relation  $_{-0}(_{re}) = n$ , where n is integer. Eq.(23) shows also that the phase uctuation due to  $R_0$  in the period from  $_{en}$  to  $_{re}$  is

$${}_{0}' {}^{Z} {}_{en} {}^{re} d {}^{0} \frac{1}{2h_{0}ikc_{s}} \frac{1}{dk_{0}} \frac{dR_{0}({}^{0})}{d{}^{0}} :$$
 (24)

Generally, the term  $R_1$  leads to a phase uctuation at least of

$${}_{1}' \sum_{en}^{re} d \frac{0}{2h_{1}i} \frac{1}{kc_{s}} \frac{dR_{1}(0)}{d^{0}} :$$
 (25)

Considering that the derivative  $(1=kc_s)d=d$  in eq.(25) contributes a factor of order 1, and using eqs.(10), (15) and (17), one obtains the m ean phase uctuation as

h(1)<sup>2</sup>i<sup>7</sup> 
$$\stackrel{Z}{\stackrel{re}{=}} \frac{1}{4hj} \frac{1}{_{1}j^{2}i}$$
hj 1(;k)<sup>2</sup>ji d  
' 0:33 10<sup>5</sup>(21+1)  $\stackrel{Z}{\stackrel{re}{=}} \frac{1}{hj} \frac{T}{_{1}j^{2}i} \frac{T}{_{T}}^{2}$  d (26)

The factor  $(T=T)^2=hj_1(;k)^2ji$  is no less than 1, and therefore, the RMS of the phase uctuation can be estimated as

$$q \frac{1}{h(_{1})^{2}i}$$
 1.8  $10^{3} \frac{1}{(2l+1)N_{c}}$  (27)

where  $N_c$  (re en) is the mean number of collisions in the entire period of subhorizon evolutions of mode k before the recombination. Therefore, the behavior of the stochastic uctuation of the phase for the l-oscillation is just like a Brownian drifting: the number of collisions corresponds to the number of steps in the random walk, and the mean shift per step is about 1:8 10  ${}^{3^{\text{P}}}\overline{21+1}$ . For D oppler peaks, we have 1 100, and N (re en) 10<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, we conclude that the RMS of the Brownian phase drifting due to Thom son scattering is order 1, signing cantience to disturb the coherence.

In addition to the Thom son scattering, there are other stochastic forces in the baryonphoton plasm a, such as non-Thom son term softhe Compton scattering, the stochastic term s in the hydrodynam ical equation of baryons. Fluctuations from dient sources mostly are additive. Our estimation on the phases drifting is thus a conservative one. The proposed Doppler peaks in the CMB angular power spectrum probably do not exist. Dienent causal areas at the recombination can be considered as independent realizations of the stochastic force. The dispersion of the currently observed CMB anisotropies on angular scale of one degree is consistent with the scenario of the Brownian drift of the phases.

## REFERENCES

E lectronic address: fanglz@ tim e physics arizona edu

- <sup>y</sup> huang@physics.arizona.edu
- <sup>z</sup> wu@physics.arizona.edu
- [1] PJE. Peebles, Physical Cosm ology, Princeton, (1993).
- [2] For a review, see e.g. D. Scott, J. Silk and M. W hite, Science, 268, 829, 1995.
- [3] H. Kodam a and M. Sasaki, Int. J. Mod. Phys., A 1, 265, (1986); J.R. Bond and G. Efstathiou, Mon. Not. Roy. A stron. Soc., 226, 665, (1987); and W. Hu and N. Sugiyam a, Phys. Rev., D 51, 2599, (1995).
- [4] L.Onsager and S.M achlup, Phys. Rev., 91 1505, (1953); L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, Part 2 Chapter 9, Pergam on Press (1980).
- [5] R F. Fox and G E. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Fluids., 13, 1893, 2881, (1970); N.G. van K am pen, Phys. Lett., 50A, 237, (1974); H. Ueyam a, J. of Stat. Phys., 22, 1, (1980). Its expression in general relativity was given by W. Zim dahl, Class. Quantum. G rav., 6, 1879, (1989).
- [6] A Berera and L Z Fang, Phys. Rev. Lett., 72, 458, (1994).
- [7] W L. Louisell, Quantum Statistical Properties of Radiation, John W iley & Son, New York, (1990).