Large-scale magnetic elds from hydrom agnetic turbulence in the very early universe

A xelB randenburg Nordita, B legdam svej 17, D K -2100 C openhagen , D enm ark

K ari E nqvist^y

Department of Physics, P.O. Box 9, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

Poulo lesen^z

The Niels Bohr Institute, Blegdam svej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

W e investigate hydrom agnetic turbulence of prim ordial magnetic elds using magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) in an expanding universe. W e present the basic, covariant M H D equations, nd solutions for MHD waves in the early universe, and investigate the equations num erically for random magnetic elds in two spatial dimensions. We nd the form ation of magnetic structures at larger and larger scales as time goes on. In three dimensions we use a cascade (shell) model, that has been rather successful in the study of certain aspects of hydrodynam ic turbulence. U sing such a model we nd that after 0 (10⁹) tim es the initial tim e the scale of the m agnetic eld uctuation (in the com oving fram e) has increased by 4-5 orders of magnitude as a consequence of an inverse cascade e ect (i.e. transfer of energy from sm aller to larger scales). Thus at large scales prim ordial magnetic elds are considerably stronger than expected from considerations which do not take into account the e ects of M HD turbulence.

I. IN TRODUCTION

It has been suggested that prim ordial magnetic elds m ight arise during the early cosm ic phase transitions [1], and recently it has been shown that magnetic elds are indeed a stable feature of the electroweak phase transition [2]. In a rst order phase transition magnetic elds could also be generated when bubbles of the new vacuum collide, whence a ring of magnetic eld may arise in the intersecting region [3]. It has also been suggested that at very high tem peratures the ground state of a non-abelian particle theory is a \ferrom agnetic" vacuum with a perm anent non-zero magnetic eld [4]. The plasm a of the early universe has a high conductivity so that a prim ordial magnetic eld would be imprinted on the com oving plasm a and would dissipate very slow by [5]. Such a eld could then contribute to the seed eld needed to understand the presently observed galactic magnetic elds [6], which have been measured both in the M ilky W ay and in other spiral galaxies, including their halos. Typically the observed present day magnetic eld is of the order of O (10 6) G.

Locally the primordial eld could be very large; it is lim ited only by the magnetic energy density contribution to prim ordial nucleosynthesis, which constraints the eld at the time of nucleosynthesis by $_{B} = B_{ms}^{2} = 8$ 0:3 , where is the energy density of 3 species of massless neutrinos [7]. Because ux conservation implies that R^2 , where R is the scale factor, the eld could Bms have been much stronger at earlier times. On dimensional grounds, a typical value of the magnetic eld uc- T^2 , so that at the time of tuation should be B_{ms} the electroweak phase transition one could locally obtain elds as high as 10^{24} G.D epending on how such a strong, random magnetic eld scales at large distances, it could [8] be the seed eld needed to explain the magnetic elds observed on the scale of galaxies and larger.

However, even assuming that a primordial magnetic eld is created at som e very early epoch, a num ber of issues rem ain to be worked out before one can say anything de nite about the role of prim ordial elds in generating galactic magnetic elds. At earliest times magnetic elds are generated by particle physics processes with length scales typical to particle physics. If the in ation hypothesis proves correct, then after in ation rather long correlation lengths are possible [9]. The question is if it is at all possible for the sm all scale uctuations to grow to large scales, and what exactly is the scaling behaviour of B_{ms} or the correlator hB (r + x)B (x)i. Even in an in ationary scenario it would be of interest to see if the relatively large scale can grow even further. To study these problem s one needs to consider the detailed evolution of the magnetic eld to account for such issues as what happens when uncorrelated eld regions com e into contact with each other during the course of the expansion of the universe. In general, turbulence is an essential feature of such phenom ena. These questions can only be answered by considering magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) in an expanding universe. [10] It is the main purpose of this paper to investigate the subsequent developm ent of the prim ordial eld. Expressed in a general way, our con-

Perm anent address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New castle upon Tyne, NE17RU, UK. Electronic address: A xelB randenburg@ New castle ac.uk

^yE lectronic address: enquist@ pcu.helsinki.

^zE lectronic address: polesen@ nbivax.nbi.dk

clusion turns out to be that M HD turbulence is operative, and hence the scale of magnetic elds is considerably larger than one would expect if M HD turbulence was ignored. This means that the previous estimates of the strength of the prim ordial magnetic eld \today" need to be reconsidered.

W e begin by posing the basic equations and consider certain simplied models. A full 1+3-dimensional num erical simulation would be desirable, but is beyond the scope of the present paper. In Sec. II we derive the relativistic M HD equations for relativistic plasm a, which is appropriate for the very early universe. In Sec. III we discuss the appearance of waves in relativistic M HD. To illucidate the various M H D e ects pertaining the early universe, we also present num erical solutions to the MHD equations for a two-dimensional slice. In Sec. IV we study a cascade model, that re ects important properties of fully three-dimensional turbulence. The cascade model has been rather successful in ordinary hydrodynam ics. We nd that in the early universe magnetic energy is transferred from small scales to large scales. We also compute the correlation function hB (r + x)B(x)i in the cascade model. In Sec. V we o er an interpretation of our results.

II. R E LAT IV IST IC M H D $\mbox{ IN}$ T H E $\mbox{ E X PAND IN G}$ U N IV E R S E

We begin by presenting a derivation of the fully general relativistic MHD equations (see also ref. [11], where further references can be found), which we rewrite in a form suitable for our numerical work. We consider the early universe as consisting of ideal uid with an equation of state of the form $p = \frac{1}{3}$, where p is pressure, the energy density, and the speed of light is set to unity. We further assume that the uid supports a (random) m agnetic eld. The energy-m om entum tensor is then given by

$$\Gamma = (p +)U U + pg + \frac{1}{4} F F \frac{1}{4}g F F ; (1)$$

and U is the four-velocity of the plasm a, norm alized as U U = 1, and F = @A @A is the electrom agnetic eld tensor. Note that, as long as di usion can be neglected, the presence of the magnetic eld does not change the equation of state.

The magnetic energy is assumed to be much smaller than the radiation energy, so that it can be neglected as far as the expansion of the universe is concerned. We therefore assume a at, isotropic and hom ogeneous universe with a Robertson-Walker metric $ds^2 = dt^2 + R^2 (t)dx^2$. A lthough the magnetic eld generates local bulk motion, this may still be consistent with isotropy and hom ogeneity at su ciently large scales, e.g. if the magnetic eld is random, i.e. statistically hom ogeneous

and isotropic on scalesmuch larger than the intrinsic correlation scale of the eld. Even very largem agnetic elds, together with the ensuing very fast bulk motion, might not contradict isotropy and hom ogeneity. The equations of motion for the uid arise from energy-momentum conservation

T;
$$\frac{1}{p-g}(x) = 0$$
; $gT + T = 0$; (2)

The Maxwell equations read

$$F_{i} = 4 J_{i} F_{i} = 0:$$
 (3)

WedeneF in terms of the electric and magnetic elds

$$F_{i0} = RE^{i}; F_{ij} = _{ijk}R^{2}B^{k};$$
 (4)

where latin letters go from 1 to 3. W ith this de nition the expression for the total energy has no R -factors and takes therefore the fam iliar form

$$T^{00} = (p +)^{2} p + \frac{1}{8} (B^{2} + E^{2});$$
 (5)

where $= U^0$.

In order to solve (2) and (3) we rew rite the equations of motion explicitly in $3+1 \dim$ ensions. We start by writing (2) as

$$\frac{1}{p - \frac{1}{g}} \frac{e}{e_x} \left[p - \frac{1}{g} (p +)U U \right] + (p +)U U + g \frac{e_p}{e_x} = F g J ; (6)$$

where $p = R^3$, and the nonvanishing Christo elsymbols are $_{ij}^0 = RR_{ij}$ and $_{0j}^i = (R=R)_{j}^i = _{j0}^i$. It is useful to de ne Uⁱ = R¹vⁱ, because then the normalization U U = 1 gives the familiar form for the Lorentz factor = (1 v²)¹⁼².

For = iwe obtain

$$\frac{\partial R^4 S}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{R} [(r v) (R^3) (v r) (R^3) r (R^4 p) + (R^3 J) (R^2 B)]; (7)$$

where $S = (p +)^2 v$. It should be noticed that in this equation all quantities are scaled by the appropriate powers of R. Thus, e.g. R⁴S is expected to be independent of R, because p+ scales like $1/R^4$, and v is expected to be independent of R. A lso, r occurs always multiplied by 1/R, or, alternatively, the operator @=@t is replaced by itself multiplied by R, which means that time is replaced by conform altime t = dt/R. To emphasize this, it is convenient to introduce new scaled \tilde"-variables,

$$S = R^{4}S; p = R^{4}p; \sim = R^{4}; B = R^{2}B;$$

 $J = R^{3}J; and E = R^{2}E:$ (8)

It should be noticed that v is not scaled. Equation (7) can then be written

$$\frac{@S}{@t} = (r \quad S) \quad (v \quad rS) \quad r \not p + J \quad B:$$
(9)

For = 0 we obtain, using scaled quantities,

$$1 \quad \frac{1}{4^{2}} \quad \frac{\theta \ln \sim}{\theta r} + \frac{\theta \ln^{2}}{\theta r} + v \quad r \ln(\sim^{2}) + r \quad v = \frac{J E}{(p + \sim)^{2}}: \quad (10)$$

In order to solve this equation numerically with an explicit code we need to eliminate the time derivative $0 \ln^2 = 0 t$. To this end we rst solve the normalization condition for ²,

$${}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{S^{2}}{(p + \infty)^{2}}; \qquad (11)$$

where we have used $+ p = \frac{4}{3}$ for later convenience. We then di erentiate

$$\frac{@\ln^{2}}{@t} = \frac{1}{(2 + 2)^{2}} \frac{@s^{2} = @t}{(p + -1)^{2}} \frac{(2 + 1)^{2}}{(p + -1)^{2}} \frac{(2 + 1)^{2}}{(2 + 1)^{2}} \frac{(2 + 1)^{2}}{(2 + 1)^{2}} (12)$$

C om bining (10) and (12) we obtain a nalequation suitable for num erical work

$$\frac{2^{2}+1}{4^{2}(2^{2}-1)}\frac{(2 \ln n)}{(2 t)} = \frac{(2 S^{2}=0 t)}{\frac{4}{3}n^{2}(2^{2}-1)}$$

$$v r \ln(2^{2}) r v \frac{J E'}{\frac{4}{3}n^{2}}: (13)$$

In this equation only scale invariant quantities enter.

The M axwell equations can be written explicitly as

$$\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = r \vec{E}; r \vec{B} = 0; \qquad (14)$$

and

$$\Im = \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{r} \quad \mathcal{B} \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \tau}; \quad \mathbf{r} \quad \mathcal{E} = 4 \sim_{e}$$
(15)

where $_{\rm e}$ is the charge density and $\sim_{\rm e}$ = R 3 $_{\rm e}$. Further,

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{B}; \tag{16}$$

which is valid in the limit of high conductivity [11]. A gain, these equations have the natural scaling properties with respect to powers of R. We emphasize that in the relativistic regime the displacement current, @E=@t, cannot be neglected. How ever, in all cases considered we were able to solve for $E = \underline{v} \quad B + v \quad B$ iteratively by evaluating \underline{v} and B from the previous iteration.

The equation of energy conservation is T^0 ; = 0, or

$$\frac{1}{R^{3}}\frac{\theta}{\theta t} R^{3}T^{00} + \frac{\theta}{\theta x^{j}}T^{0j} + RRT^{jj} = 0; \qquad (17)$$

but since T = 0, we have T jj = T $^{j}{}_{j}$ =R² = T $^{0}{}_{0}$ =R² = T 00 =R² and therefore the energy equation is

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} R^{4} T^{00} = \frac{\theta}{\theta x^{j}} R^{4} T^{0j}; \qquad (18)$$

or integrated over the whole space

7.

$$\frac{dR^{4}E_{tot}}{dt} = 0; \qquad (19)$$

where

$$E_{tot} = T^{00} d^3 x T^{00}$$
 : (20)

Hence R^4E_{tot} is conserved.

The conclusion from the above expressions is thus that the MHD equations in an expanding universe with zero curvature are the same as the relativistic MHD equations in a non-expanding universe, provided the dynam ical quantities are replaced by the scaled \tilde" variables, and provided conform altimet is used. The e ect of this is, as usual, that the expansion slows down the rate of dynam ical evolution.

It should be noted that the velocity v is the bulk velocity. Thus, in general we expect that v is nonrelativistic. This is physically reasonable since, although the gas particles m ove with velocity near unity, we expect no strong collective e ects which could give rise to a relativistic bulk velocity. The equations for nonrelativistic bulk motions of a relativistic gas are given in Appendix A.

In the early universe conductivity is large, and hence the di usion length is also large. The conductivity of the isotropic relativistic electron gas, which interacts with heavy (non-relativistic) ions, is related to the C oulom b scattering cross section and reads [12]

$$= \frac{!_{\rm p}^2}{4_{\rm coll}n_{\rm e}} \, \prime \, \frac{{\rm T}}{3} \, ; \qquad (21)$$

where $!_{\rm p}$ is the plasm a frequency, $_{\rm coll}$ is the collision cross section and is the ne structure constant. This result is valid for elds smaller than the critical eld B_c = m_e²=e = 4:41 $10^{13}\,\rm G$, above which the electrons cannot be treated as free, and the conductivity (21) should be multiplied by a factor B =B_c. On dimensional grounds, conductivity of the fully relativistic Standard M odel gas will also scale as T. The expansion rate of the radiation dom inated universe is given by

H
$$\frac{R}{R} = \frac{1}{2t} = \frac{1}{\frac{8^{3}g}{90}} \frac{T^{2}}{M_{P}}$$
; (22)

where g is the number of the elective degrees of freedom, and $M_P = 1.2 \quad 10^{19}$ GeV is the Planck mass. Equation (22) also provides the time-temperature relationship [13], and the inverse the length scale of the universe. A measure of the importance of the di usion is the magnetic R eynolds number, which may be defined as Re = Lv, where L and v are respectively the typical length scale and velocity in the system under consideration. A Reynolds number less than one means that diffusion dom inates. In the early universe, say at the electroweak phase transition $T_{\rm EW}$ ' O (100) GeV where in the Standard M odel g = 106:75, the Reynolds number is huge; typically

$$Re_{U} v H^{-1} \frac{M_{P}}{T} 10^{17};$$
 (23)

where v has been arbitrarily chosen to be 10 2 . In this sense the very early universe is almost a perfect conductor. A lso, the extrem ely large value of the Reynold's number indicates a turbulent situation, which we shall nd by other m ethods later.

III. A SPECTS OF RELATIV ISTIC MHD

A.M agnetohydrodynam ics waves

Let us begin by rst presenting som e general considerations. In the fram ework of relativistic M HD in an expanding universe, we can still discuss waves. A lthough the equations exhibited in the previous section are considerably more complicated than their non-relativistic counterparts, the M HD -waves are linear perturbations of the standard cosm ological background. Thus, the bulk velocity v m ust necessarily be small relative to the velocity of light. It therefore follows that the displacement current can be ignored. The background is hom ogeneous, and we assume the relativistic relation p = /3 for the background as well as for the uctuations. The continuity equation, i.e. (10), gives to the lowest order the well known result = const/R⁴. To the next order we get

$$\frac{\Theta R^{4}}{\Theta t} + \frac{4}{3}R^{4} - \frac{1}{R}r = 0; \qquad (24)$$

where is the uctuation in . Also, from (7) we get to low est order in the uctuations $\$

$$\frac{@R^{4} S}{@t} = \frac{1}{R} r R^{4} (\frac{1}{3} + B B) + \frac{1}{R} (R^{2}B) r (R^{2} B):$$
(25)

Here $S = \frac{4}{3}v$, and B is the uctuation of the background eld B, which is assumed to behave like $1=R^2$. Of course, B is expected to have a similar scaling behavior as a function of time, but it also has a spatial dependence. Finally, we have the uctuation equation

$$\frac{\Re R^2 B}{\Re t} = \frac{1}{R} r \quad (v \quad R^2 B); \qquad (26)$$

which follows from (14), since the displacement current can be ignored for sm all bulk velocities.

We now seek a wave solution which, because of the structure of (24)-(26), must contain the scale factor R to the power 2,

 $B = \frac{b_0}{D^2} \exp i(k - x - t)$

and

$$v = v_0 \exp(k \times t); \tag{28}$$

(27)

$$= \frac{\text{const:}}{R^4} \exp i(k \quad x \quad t); \tag{29}$$

where b_0 and v_0 are constants. These expressions satisfy the basic uctuation equations (24)-(26) with

$$\tau = \frac{Z_{t}}{t_0} \frac{dt^0}{R(t^0)}; \qquad (30)$$

where t_0 is the initial time, in accordance with the results obtained in the previous section.

We therefore see that with the scaling properties mentioned above the equations are similar to the non-relativistic case (provided we use the time t in (30). Thus we nd the group velocity 0!/0! = B/p + . Because the scaling properties of B and p + w ith respect to the expansion of the universe are the same, it follows that the group velocity is independent of R. As far as the phase velocities are concerned, the same is true. A ssuming the background eld to be in the x-direction, then B and v are in the z-direction, as in the case of non-relativistic waves [14]. One then nds that the velocities are given by

$$\frac{s}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3B^2}{16} + \frac{B_x}{p} = \frac{s}{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{3B^2}{16}} = \frac{B_x}{p} : (31)$$

O f course, these velocities are given in terms of the conformal time t. If we express the result in the standard time t, then (31) should be multiplied by 1/R. It should be noted that the assumption of small bulk velocities can only be maintained if β j p^- . If this condition is not satis ed, we cannot expect the nonlinear e ects to be small.

B.Two-dim ensional slice

Ideally, we would like to solve the M H D equations in three (plus one) dimensions. However, as indicated in Sect. II, this is a major computational task. We restrict ourselves therefore to a two-dimensional slice only. The main conclusion will be that much of the qualitative behavior of nonrelativistic M H D carries over to the case of relativistic M H D.

W e solve (9) and (13) { (16) num erically using 6th order centered di erences to com pute the spatial derivatives and a 3rd order Runge-Kutta scheme for the timestep. We adopt random initial conditions for B. In order to guarantee that r B = 0 for all times, we advance the z-component of the vector potential by means of the equation $@A_z = @t = e_z$ (v B), where B = r $A_z = e_z$. The initial A_z is computed by solving $r^2A_z = 4$ Jz. Initially (t = t₀, i.e. t = 0) we put to unity. Periodic boundary conditions are adopted in the x- and y-directions.

Our new equations (9) + (13){ (16) are scale-invariant, so it is su cient to solve them on a computational domain with size L = 1. The results for a di erent domain size L⁰ are the same, but taken at a di erent tim e t⁰ = (L⁰=L)t.

Like in all turbulence calculations there has to be some di usion to prevent the accumulation of energy at the smallest scale. In order to restrict the e ects of dissipation only to the largest possible wave numbers we use hyperdi usion, i.e. instead of the usual di usion operator r², we use an operator of the form r⁴ for the evolution of all variables. This technique is well known in turbulence research (see e.g. [15]). A lso, since this procedure is merely of computational relevance, we did not use the relativistic expressions.

The minimum diusion coe cient we can a ord is given by the empirical constraint that the \mesh Reynolds num ber" $Re_{mesh} = U x = must not exceed the$ 10. Here, x is the mesh size and U is a typivalue 5 cal velocity that includes the velocity of waves and bulk motions. As was pointed out before, in the early universe the Reynolds number is very large, which means that the magnetic diusivity = 4 = should be much sm aller than the adopted value of \cdot . In other words, in order to have realistic values of , x has to be extrem ely small. However, the maximum number of meshpoints, N = L = x, is limited by computer memory and time. Our present, rather exploratory calculations were carried out on a workstation, and so we restricted ourselves to N_{max} = 128. Even on larger computers we would never reach realistic values. This demonstrates the di culty of a realistic simulation. It is obvious that num erical simulations with a low Reynolds number cannot provide a realistic picture of the early universe MHD. However, we believe they are useful in illustrating the qualitative features of the problem .

The evolution of the magnetic eld is compared in Fig. 1 for low er and higher resolution. As time goes on, the coalescence of magnetic structures leads to the gradual form ation of larger and larger scales. In the higher resolution case there are more small scale structures, but also here the development of large scale elds is evident. In turbulence research this phenomenon is known as an inverse cascade. Such a cascade processes are linked to certain conservation properties that the basic equations obey. For further details see ref. [16]. We mention here only a few important aspects. An inverse cascade exists both in two-dimensional and in three-dimensional M HD turbulence. The only di erence is that in the two-

dimensional case it is an inverse cascade of the magnetic potential, whereas in the three-dimensional case it is an inverse cascade of the magnetic helicity density A B. In fact, the conserved quantities in the two cases are d^2xA^2 and d^3xA B, respectively. For comparison we also mention that the dimensional hydrodynamic (non-magnetic) turbulence is more drastic. In two-dimensional hydrodynamics there is an inverse energy cascade associated with the conservation of enstrophy (mean squared vorticity), which has no counterpart in three-dimensional hydrodynamics.







FIG.1. Left column: magnetic eld lines at di erent tim es at low resolution (64 64 m eshpoints). Right column: magnetic eld lines at di erent tim es at higher resolution (128 128 m eshpoints).

The signi cance of an inverse cascade is that it leads to a transfer of m agnetic energy to larger and larger scales. This process is due to the nonlinear term s giving rise to a m ode interactions. E nergy spreads over di erent scales until som e balance is achieved where the kinetic and m agnetic energy spectra have a certain slope. In the ordinary M HD turbulence a relevant energy spectrum could be the Iroshnikov-K raichnan spectrum [17], where the spectral energy goes like k $^{3=2}$, or a K olm ogorov type spectrum like k $^{5=3}$. These di erent spectra describe equilibrium situations, but in any case it is clear that the spectrum will be very di erent from white noise, which has a k $^{+2}$ power spectrum (see Sec. IV B below). The possibility of energy transfer from small to large scales via an inverse cascade could be of m a jor im portance in cosm ology. It could provide a seed eld at the parsec or kiloparsec scale, albeit at small am plitude.

IV.A CASCADE MODEL

A.Description

The ultim ate goal is to solve the basic M HD equations in three dimensions at high resolution, using random initial conditions. A lthough we would be unable to cover a realistically large range of length scales, it is important to know whether dynam o action could be possible in a relativistic ow. This is a major task, which would go beyond the scope of this paper. To see the di culties involved in such a program, the reader should recall the dif-

culties in making long term weather predictions based on the Navier-Stokes equations. Therefore, in order to dem onstrate som e of the anticipated behavior of the full 1+3 MHD universe, we now study a cascade model of hydrom agnetic turbulence.

In ordinary hydrodynam ics and hydrom agnetics m any properties of turbulence, in particular those related to energy transfer and to the spectral properties, including sm all interm ittency corrections, have been studied successfully using a simple cascade m odel [18]. This is true not only qualitatively, but also quantitatively, which is the reason why the cascade m odel is now m uch used in studies of nonlinear physics (see e.g. [19] and references therein).

The basic idea is that the interactions due to the non-linear term s in the M HD equations are local in wavenum – ber space. In k-space the quadratic nonlinear term s, such as r (v B), v r v, and J B, become a convolution and have the general form [20]

7

$$N_{k}(v;B) = C v(p)B(p k)d^{3}p:$$
 (32)

(There are sim ilar terms also for the other two nonlinearities.) where C = C (k) is a tensor which is linear in k. Interactions in k-space involving triangles with sim ilar side lengths have the largest contribution, as discussed in [20]. This has led to the shell model (see e.g. [19] and references therein), which is form ulated in the space of the modulus of the wave numbers. This space is approxim ated by N shells, where each shell consists of wave num bers with 2^n k 2^{+1} (in the appropriate units). The Fourier transform of the velocity over a length scale k_n^{-1} ($k_n = 2^n$) is given by the complex quantity v_n , and B_n denotes a similar quantity for the B - eld. Furthermore, the convolution is approximated by a sum over the nearest and the next nearest neighbors,

$$N_{n} (v; B) = \begin{array}{c} X^{2} \\ C_{ij}v_{n+i}B_{n+j}: \\ i; j= 2 \end{array}$$
(33)

Here v and B have lost their vectorial character, which re ects the fact that this model is not supposed to be an approximation of the original equations, but it should be considered as a toy model that has similar conservation properties as the original equations. Thus e.g. the energy ow should be represented by these equations. It is quite remarkable that such models show several realistic features, including intermittency corrections to the structure function exponents, and are therefore rather popular both in the absence [19] and in the presence [21] of magnetic elds. Therefore we propose to apply such a model also to the early universe.

Velocity and magnetic elds are thus represented by a scalar at the discrete wave numbers $k_n = 2^n \ (n = 1; :::; N)$, i.e. k_n increases exponentially. Therefore such a model can cover a large range of length scales (typically up to ten orders ofm agnitude). Therefore more than the order of length scales (typically up to ten orders of agnitude). Therefore more drawn is the total energy. U sing that the bulk velocity is nonrelativistic, we have $! \ 1$, so we can expand $^2 \ 1 + v^2$. Hence

$$E_{tot} + \frac{4}{3} v^{2} + \frac{1}{2}B^{2} d^{3}x:$$
 (34)

Since we are here mostly interested in the evolution of the magnetic eld we ignore the detailed evolution of and assume ${}_0R {}^4$. Thus, we require that

7.

$$\frac{4}{3} {}_{0}v^{2} + \frac{1}{2}B^{2}R^{4} d^{3}x = \text{const:}$$
(35)

W e use b = B R 2 and construct equations for v_n and b_n such that

$$\frac{8}{3} \, {}_{0} \, \sum_{n=1}^{N^{1}} \, v_{n} \frac{dv_{n}}{dt} + \sum_{n=1}^{N^{1}} \, b_{n} \frac{db_{n}}{dt} = 0:$$
(36)

In computing the conservation of the energy, the complex conjugate of this equation should be added. How ever, it turns out that the \complex energy" (exhibited in the above equation) is conserved by the following construction.

As pointed out, the main idea of the cascade model is to construct a set of equations that share the same basic conservation properties of the nonlinear (quadratic) term s as the original equations. Thus we write equations which m in ic equations (9) and (14),

$$\frac{4}{3} \quad _{0} \frac{dv_{n}}{dt} = N_{n} (v;b);$$
(37)

$$\frac{db_n}{dt} = M_n (v;b); \qquad (38)$$

where

$$2N_{n} (v; b) = ik_{n} (A + C) (v_{n+1}v_{n+2} - b_{n+1}b_{n+2}) + ik_{n} (B - \frac{1}{2}C) (v_{n-1}v_{n+1} - b_{n-1}b_{n+1}) (39) ik_{n} (\frac{1}{2}B + \frac{1}{4}A) (v_{n-2}v_{n-1} - b_{n-2}b_{n-1});$$

$$M_{n} (v;b) = ik_{n} (A C) (v_{h+1}b_{h+2} b_{h+1}v_{n+2}) + ik_{n} (B + \frac{1}{2}C) (v_{n-1}b_{h+1} b_{h-1}v_{n+1}) (40) ik_{n} (\frac{1}{2}B \frac{1}{4}A) (v_{n-2}b_{n-1} b_{n-2}v_{n-1});$$

with A, B, and C being free parage eters. It is straightforward to verify that 2 v_nN_n+ $b_n M_n = 0$, using that $k_n = 2^n$. The t di erentiations in Eqs. (37) and (38) are included to m in ic closely the nonrelativistic form of eqs. (9) and (14). In the actual computations we have restored m agnetic and kinem atic di usion term s, k¦ u_n $k_{k}^{2}b_{n}$, on the right hand sides of (37) and (38), reand spectively. We chose = and as time goes on, low ered $(k_n^2 j u_n j^2)^{1=2} = k_m^2 a_x$ gradually using the form ula where $k_{max} = 2^{N}$. This formula estimates the minimum amount of di usion necessary to prevent the builtup of energy at the smallest resolved scale. We use a 3rd order timestep, which is calculated via the formula $t = 0.25 \text{min} [(k_n^2 j u_n f)^{1-2}].$

B.Results

The numerical study of the cascade model requires of course that the parameters A; B; C are xed. This problem turns out to be quite interesting, since it allow some to associate the cascade model with a dimension. In hydrodynamics the parameters are xed by taking into account a conservation law which is non-trivial in the dimension considered. In two dimensions, for example, one uses the requirement that the enstrophy is conserved, whereas in three dimensions Jensen et al. [19] used the values A = 1, B = 1=2, and C = 0, which we have adopted also in several models presented here. We compare the results with another set of parameters for which the quantity

$$H_{M} = (1)^{n} k_{n}^{1} b_{n} b_{n}; \qquad (41)$$

is conserved, in addition to the total energy [21]. This requires that A = 7=5, B = 1=10, and C = 1. The quantity H_M resembles the magnetic helicity A = 1, which is in portant, because associated with it is the inverse cascade of magnetic helicity and energy [22]. In Fig. 2 we plot the spectral magnetic energy density $E_M (k_n) = \frac{1}{2}h_n \frac{1}{2} = (kR^4)$ computed for these values, with the initial eld taken to be random (i.e., $E_M (k) = k^2$). The reason we interpret this expression as the magnetic energy is that we knew that $b_n b_n \frac{1}{R}$ enters in the conserved energy. However, $dn = \frac{1}{2}k(k \log 2)$, so $E_M (k_n)$ is

the energy in k-space. We used N = 30, which covers a range of length scales of approximately ten orders of magnitude. As one can clearly see, magnetic energy is transferred from smallscales to large scales. This is called the inverse cascade e ect. Such an e ect is found in many nonlinear systems, for example in two dimensional turbulence, relevant e.g. for the atm osphere.



FIG.2. Spectra of the magnetic energy at di erent times. The straight dotted-dashed line gives the initial condition $(t_0 = 1)$, the solid line gives the naltime $(t = 3 \quad 10^4)$, and the dotted curves are for intermediate times (in uniform intervals of log(t t_0) = 0:6). A = 1, B = 1=2, and C = 0.

The quantity of param ount interest is the them agnetic eld correlation function

$$C_{B}$$
 (r) hB (r + x)B (x)i; (42)

which is related to the power spectrum via a Fourier transform, $C_B(r) = E_M(k) \cos(kr) dk$. It is dicult in general to compute this quantity, due to the uctuations

in the spectrum E_M (k). Therefore we have computed C_B (r) from the spectra of the cascade model by interpolating E_M (k) on a uniform by spaced mesh. This of course introduces some uncertainty. The result is shown in Fig. 3. Note the clear increase of the widths of the correlation functions.



FIG.3. Left column: The correlation function of B for three di erent times. Right column: The RMS magnetic eld as a function of distance for three di erent times.

Note also the anticorrelation at larger length scales. For a magnetic eld this is natural, because if one considers the eld in some region from a point far away from this region, the magnetic eld in the region appears to be approximately a dipole. A negative correlation then arises because the eld bop has to close. This would then basically be a consequence of div B = 0, which has a tendency to lead to negative correlations. However, the cascadem odel of course has the di culty that it does not really operate in ordinary space, but instead it is form ulated in the modulus of k-space. Hence we cannot really investigate to what extent the condition div B = 0 is satis ed, in contrast to the two dimensional case discussed in Sec. IIIB.

A nother quantity of interest is the average m agnetic eld as a function of distance,

B (r)
$$\frac{1}{r^{D}} d^{D} xB(x);$$
 (43)

where the integration is over a volume of size $r^{\rm D}$ in D – dimensions. From this de nition we have

hB (r)² i =
$$\frac{1}{r^{2D}} \begin{bmatrix} Z & Z \\ d^{D} x & d^{D} yhB (x)B (y)i; \end{bmatrix}$$
 (44)

where both integrations are over a volume of size r^{D} . Thus the root mean square magnetic eld

$$B_r = hB(r)^2 i^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (45)

can be computed directly from the correlation function $C_{B}\left(r\right)$ via

$$B_{r} = \frac{1}{r^{3}} \int_{0}^{Z_{r}} r^{t^{2}} dr^{0} C_{B} (r^{0})$$
(46)

For a random eld, B_r behaves like $r^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so the interesting question is whether this initial behavior changes as time passes. In Fig. 3 we show the results. There is a clear broadening of B_r towards larger distances as time passes, as we would expect from the inverse cascade behavior.

The determ ination of the width of the correlation function above is not very accurate because of the t involved in computing the Fourier transform of the spectrum . We shall therefore now introduce another length scale that is easier to compute, but whose value is similar to the width of the correlation function. The relevant length scale in turbulence theory is the so-called integral scale, which is the characteristic length associated with the large energetic eddies of turbulence. R oughly speaking one could view it as a measure of the coherence of the magnetic eld, too. It is de ned by

$$Z Z Z l_0 = 2 k^{-1} E_M (k) dk E_M (k) dk;$$
(47)

which, in our_p cascade model, corresponds to $l_0 =$ $f = 2 k_n^{-1} b_n f = b_n f$. If the spectrum is random we get $l_0 = \frac{3}{2} 2 k_{max}^{-1}$, where $2 = k_{max}$ is the shortest length scale present in the model. This length scale in the initial random spectrum is determined by the mechanism generating the primordial eld. In Fig. 4 we show the evolution of lo (t) in two cases, namely for the hydrom agnetic A; B; C (circular points) and for the M HD A; B; C (diam ond-shaped points). A lthough the two sets of values for A ; B ; C do not yield identical results, we see that the curves are qualitatively similar. In both cases l_0 increases rapidly by 4-5 orders of m agnitude, and there is a plateau structure. The MHD result (diam ond-shaped points) has a plateau stretching to $t=t_0 = 10^8$, but for larger times l_0 keeps increasing. The increase of l_0 by alm ost 5 orders of magnitude is important, because it could lead to magnetic elds at the present time at length scales comparable to one parsec. If we take the electroweak phase transition as the initial state, the QCD phase transition occurs approximately for $t/t_0 = 10^6$. The maximum time $t/t_0 = 10^9$ reached in our simulation corresponds to a tem perature of 3 M eV, which is close to the nucleosynthesis. It should be noticed that from these results one cannot, of course, say anything about what happens at later times. Therefore it could be that l_0 (t) increases further, either by reaching new plateau(s), or otherwise.



FIG.4. Evolution of the integral scale. The plot symbols denote uniform intervals of log(t t₀) = 0.6. The circular points corresponds to the hydrodynam ic values A = 1, B = 1=2, and C = 0, the diam onds correspond to the M HD values A = 7=5, B = 1=10, and C = 1, and the triangles are the widths computed for the correlation function.

We also measured the integral scale of the magnetic eld in the two-dimensional model of Sec. IIIB and found a clear increase with time. For the three times plotted in Fig. 1 we found for the 64 64 case the values $\frac{1}{2} = 0.09$, 0.50, and 0.95, whereas in the 128 128 case values were $l_0 = 0.04$, 0.28, and 0.76. The initial di erence of a factor two is due to the di erent resolution. At later times the integral scales for low and high resolution are more similar.

The evolution of l_0 is not straight, but if we make a linear t through the values given by the diamonds in Fig. 4 we nd (ignoring the steep initial increase)

$$l_0$$
 (t) r_0 (t=t₀)¹⁼⁴: (48)

For further applications of the rough t form ula (48) it may be more sensible to express time in terms of tem – perature, T / t $^{1=2}$, so

$$l_0$$
 (T) l_0 (T=T₀) $l^{1=2}$; (49)

where $r_0 = 10^6$; see Fig. 4. If we were to extrapolate to the present time we rst have to x the scale r_0 by physical arguments. The various models presented in the literature [1,9] give characteristic scales for the primordial eld when it is generated. This scale should be identified with the lowest scale in our calculations which, in the case of the shell model, is about 10⁸. The scale r_0 is typically somewhat larger (10⁶ in the shellmodel). The reason for this is presumably that a purely random initial condition is not consistent with the M HD equations.

In order to clarify these point we take an example. If we assume that at the time of the electroweak phase transition (T = 100 GeV) r₀ was 10^{-3} cm (the horizon scale was 4 cm) then, using our extrapolation (49), we arrive at a scale of 2 parsec. If we assume that the initial magnetic eld was 10^{18} G, then the present day value would be 10^{11} G. Such values would lead to su ciently strong seed magnetic elds to explain the eld even in high redshift galaxies by dynam o action [6]. This extrapolation m ay be too naive, because the nature of turbulence will change as the universe cools dow n. Furtherm ore, at later tim es, when structure form ation begins, gravitationalenergy m ay lead to additional stirring and enhancem ent of turbulence in localized regions.

V.DISCUSSION

In the two-dimensional case we found that, starting from a small scale magnetic eld, magnetic structures develop at progressively larger scales. This process of selforganization corresponds to an inverse cascade of magnetic energy and helicity. U sing then a cascade (or shell) model to study three-dimensional M HD turbulence we were able to follow this inverse cascade over much longer times. Such a cascade model has been rather successful in the study of hydrodynam ic turbulence.

The possibility of an inverse cascade m eans that the scale of uctuations of the prim ordial magnetic eld increases much beyond its original scale given by particle physics. Taking the parameter l_0 as a measure of the coherence length of the magnetic eld, we see that there is an increase in the coherence of 4 to 5 orders of magnitude. This means that previous estimates of the eld strengths in various mechanisms for generating a prim ordial eld should be revised accordingly. For example, let us consider the estimate by Vachaspati in ref. [1]. Taking the area average one has the estimate

$$B_{r}$$
 gT²=4N; (50)

where N is the number of steps needed to reach a given scale in terms of the \fundam ental" scale at which the eld is generated. In this case the fundam ental scale is the electroweak scale [1]. Proceeding as in ref. [1] one has N 10^{24} today, if the relevant scale is of order 100 kpc. However, due to the M HD corrections N is, from a conservative point of view, reduced because of the e ect of turbulence, and one would instead have N 10^{29} , which reduces the stochastic decrease of B_r. It should be emphasized that from our calculations one can only say what happens up to a time of order 10^9 t_{EW}, so presumably N is considerably below 10^{19} today.

The turbulent nature of the magnetic eld may have interesting e ects on the various phase transitions in the early universe. A lso, the inherent shift of energy from sm allto large scales may be of interest in connection with the density uctuations due to the magnetic energy.

Of course, the cascade model is a model of the real 1+3 dimensional MHD turbulence. Its successful application in many, widely dierent nonlinear physical problem s suggests, however, that it might also be applicable to the prim ordial m agnetic elds of the early universe. Therefore we believe that its indication of the strong increase in the coherence scale of the prim ordial eld should be taken seriously, and that further, m ore detailed studies are warranted.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e thank M ogens H gh Jensen for interesting discussions.

APPENDIX A:

W e give here the equations for the case where the bulk velocity is small. (The gas remains still relativistic, which is important for the scaling properties of \sim and p.)

$$\frac{\partial \ln \sim}{\partial \tau} = \frac{4}{3} (v \quad r \ln \sim + r \quad v) \frac{\Im E}{\sim}; \quad (A1)$$

$$\frac{D v}{D t} = v \frac{D \ln r}{D t} + r v \frac{1}{4} r \ln r + \frac{J B}{\frac{4}{3} r}; \quad (A 2)$$

where D = D t = 0 = 0t + v r is the total derivative, and

$$\frac{\mathfrak{GB}}{\mathfrak{Gt}} = \mathbf{r} \quad (\mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{B}); \quad \mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{B}: \qquad (A3)$$

- [1] T. Vachaspati, Phys. Lett. B 265, 258 (1991); B. Cheng and A. O linto, Phys. Rev. D 50, 2421 (1994); G. Baym, D. Bodeker, and L. M cLerran, hep-ph/9507429.
- [2] A. P. Martin and A. C. Davis, Phys. Lett. B 360, 71 (1995).
- [3] T.W.B.Kibble and A.Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 52, 679 (1995).
- [4] K. Enqvist and P.O lesen, Phys. Lett. B 329, 195 (1994)
- [5] B.Cheng, D.N.Schramm, and JW.Truran, Phys.Rev. D 49, 5006 (1994); K.Enqvist, A. I.Rez, and V.B. Sem ikoz, Nucl. Phys. B 436, 49 (1995);
- [6] Ya.B.Zeklovich, A.A.Ruzmaikin, and D.D.Sokolo, M agnetic Fields in A strophysics (G ordon & B reach, N ew York, 1980); E.N.Parker, C osmological M agnetic Fields (O xford University Press, O xford, 1979); A.A.Ruzmaikin, A.A.Shukurov, and D.D.Sokolo, M agnetic Fields of G alaxies (K huwer, D ordrecht, 1988). For a recent review, see R.Beck, A.B randenburg, D.Moss, A. Shukurov, and D.Sokolo, Ann.Rev.A stron.A strophys. 34 (1996).
- [7] P.K.Keman, G.Starkman and T.Vachaspati, preprint astro-ph/9509126.

- [8] K. Enqvist and P.O lesen, Phys. Lett. B 319, 178 (1993)
- [9] M. Gasperini, M. Giovannini, and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3796 (1995).
- [10] It is often said that since the magnetic eld is \frozen in" at large scales, it must decrease exactly like 1/R (t)² according to M HD. However, the charged plasma can have a bulk velocity (peculiar velocity) due to its interaction with the magnetic eld, and this may lead to shifts of the coherence scale of the eld, and hence the $1/R^2$ decrease does not necessarily give a full description of the situation.
- [11] R.M.Gailis, N.E.Frankel, and C.P.Dettmann, Phys. Rev.D 52, 6901 (1995).
- [12] A. I. Akhzier et al., Plasm a electrodynam ics (Pergam on Press, Oxford, 1975); K. Enqvist, A. I. Rez and V. B. Sem ikoz, Nucl. Phys. B 436, 49 (1995).
- [13] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Tumer, The Early Universe (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1994).
- [14] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Electrodynamics of Continuous M edia (Pergamon, Oxford, 1960).
- [15] T. Passot and A. Pouquet, J. Fluid Mech. 181, 441 (1987).
- [16] A.Pouquet, in A strophysical uid dynam ics, p.139, eds. J.P.Zahn & J.Zinn-Justin, North-Holland, Am sterdam, 1993.
- [17] R.H.K raichnan, Phys.Fluids 8, 1385 (1965).
- [18] A. M. O bukhov, Atm os. O ceanic Phys. 7, 41 (1971); ibid 10, 127 (1974); E. B. G ledzer, D okl. A kad. N auk SSSR 209, 1046 (1973) [Sov. Phys. D okl. 18 216 (1973).]; A. Brandenburg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 605 (1992).
- [19] M.H.Jensen, G.Paladin, and A.Vulpiani, Phys. Rev. A 43, 798 (1991).
- [20] C. G baguen, J. Leorat, A. Pouquet, and R. Grappin, Physica 17D, 154 (1985).
- [21] A sim ilar m odel, but w ithout the (1)ⁿ factor, was considered by D.Biskam p, Phys. Rev. E 50, 2702 (1994).
- [22] A. Pouquet, U. Frisch, and J. Leorat, J. Fluid Mech. 77, 321 (1976); D. S. De Young, A strophys. J. 241, 81 (1980);
 D. S. De Young, ibid 386, 464 (1992); A. Brandenburg,
 R. L. Jennings, A. Nordlund, M. Rieutord, R. F. Stein,
 I. Tuom inen, J. Fluid Mech. 306, 325 (1996).