P reheating and sym m etry restoration in collisions of vacuum bubbles

Edward W .Kolb NASA/Ferm ilab A strophysics Center Ferm iNational A coelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, and Department of A stronom y and A strophysics, Enrico Ferm i Institute The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

A ntonio R iotto^y NASA /Fem ilab A strophysics Center Fem i National A celerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

In rst-order in ation a phase transition is completed by the collisions of expanding true-vacuum bubbles. If bubble collisions produce large num – bers of soft scalar particles carrying quantum numbers associated with a spontaneously broken symmetry, then symmetry restoration may occur in a \pre-heating" phase in a manner similar to symmetry restoration in the pre-heating phase of slow-roll in ation. Since bubble collisions lead to inhom ogeneities, there is the possibility of inhom ogeneous symmetry restoration where restoration occurs only in the regions of wall collisions.

PACS number(s): 98.80.Cq, 11.27.+d

Electronic address: rocky@rigoletto.fnal.gov

^yE lectronic address: riotto@fnas01.fnal.gov

To a very good approximation the universe was in local therm odynamic equilibrium (lte) for nearly all of its early development. However, there should have been brief, but in portant, departures from lte. These excursions from equilibrium left an imprint on the universe. Examples of non-lte phenomena include baryogenesis, nucleosynthesis, freeze-out of a massive particle species, decoupling of matter and radiation, production of topological or non-topological defects in cosm ological phase transitions, in ation, and reheating after in ation. In fact, it may be argued that nearly all of early-universe cosm ology is the study of departures from lte. It is commonly believed that many of the current issues in cosm ology require an understanding of the nontrivial dynamics in the approach to equilibrium in the early universe. Nevertheless, despite its immense relevance, only very recently has substantial e ort been devoted to a detailed understanding of nonequilibrium phenomena in the early universe.

The non-equilibrium process of interest in this study is the phenom enon of reheating after in ation. There are many varieties of in ation models, but all have an early period of rapid expansion of the universe where the R obertson {W alker scale factor `accelerates' (i.e., a > 0). At the end of the accelerated-expansion phase the radiation density of the universe is electively zero, and the universe must be `reheated'.¹

In Slow-roll' (som etim es referred to as 'chaotic') in ation models [1], the universe after in ation was dominated by the energy density contained in the coherent motion of a scalar eld known as the in aton, whose potential energy density was responsible for the accelerated expansion. R cheating in slow-roll in ation involves conversion of this coherent scalar- eld energy density into into a therm all distribution of radiation. In a simple scenario of reheating, the in aton eld coherently oscillated about the minimum of its potential until the age of the universe was equal to the lifetime of the in aton, then

¹O f course 're-heated' m ay be som ew hat of a m isnom er since there is no guarantee that the universe was hot before in ation.

the in aton decayed, and the decay products therm alized.

Recent investigations into the non-linear quantum dynamics of scalar elds have in plications for reheating after slow-roll in ation [1]. These studies reveal that the scenario by which the energy density in coherent oscillations of the in atom eld is converted to radiation may dier signi cantly from the above picture, which considered only the linear evolution in time of the in ation eld [2]. Quantum nonlinear elds and lead to an extrem ely elective dissipational dynamics and explosive particle production in even the simplest self-interacting theory where single particle decay is kinemically forbidden. It is possible that almost all of the energy stored in the form of coherent in atom oscillations at the end of in ation is released after only a few oscillation periods. The energy is released in the form of in atom decay products, whose occupation number is extrem ely large, and have energies much smaller than the temperature that would have been obtained by an instantaneous conversion of the in atom energy density into radiation.

Since it requires several scattering times for the low-energy decay products to form a thermal distribution, it is rather reasonable to consider the period in which most of the energy density of the universe was in the form of the non-thermal quanta produced by in aton decay as a separate cosmological era. This is generally referred to as the preheating' epoch.

The phenom enon of symmetry restoration during the preheating era has been investigated recently by Tkachev [3] and by K ofm an, Linde, and Starobinski [4] in the fram ework of typical chaotic in ationary models. It was shown that symmetry restoration processes during the nonequilibrium stage of preheating may be very e cient with important implications for G rand U ni ed Theories (guts) and axions. Indeed, if a gut symmetry is restored during the preheating epoch, the subsequent symmetry breaking phase transition will reintroduce the problem s of monopoles [5] or dom ain walls [6].

2

In rst-order in ation models (generally, any model in which in ation is completed by a strongly rst-order phase transition, e.g., the extended in ationary scenario proposed by La and Steinhardt [7]; for a review of rst-order in ation models, see [8]) the universe was dominated by scalar- eld vacuum energy as in slow-roll in ation, but in ation was term inated by the nucleation of true vacuum bubbles. At the end of rst-order in ation most of the energy density of the universe was contained in the bubble walls. R eheating was instigated by the collisions of bubble walls, which converted the bubble-wall tension into individual quanta of the scalar eld, which then decayed into norm al particles, which eventually scattered and form ed a therm al distribution.

The aim of the present paper is to suggest another situation in which symmetry restoration can occur e ciently out-of-equilibrium, namely during the preheating era subsequent to rst-order in ation.

As discussed above, the basic idea of reheating in rst-order in ation is essentially the same as in chaotic in ation: energy initially stored in a coherent scalar eld must be converted into radiation. However, in rst-order in ation this releasing of energy takes place through a number of steps involving both classical and quantum processes, and a rich phenom enology associated with these scenarios can arise. For example, it has been suggested that gravitational waves [9,10], black holes [11,12] and the baryon asymmetry [13] may have been produced during the phase transition. Whether or not such phenom ena actually occur depends in part on the details of reheating. For instance, in the baryogenesis scenario of R ef. [13] it is in portant to know if the only source of heavy gut bosons is from primary particles produced in the bubble wall collisions which, in turn, depends crucially whether the gut symmetry is restored after bubble collisions, i.e., on the value of the re-heat tem perature, T_{RH} .²

 $^{^{2}}$ The reheating tem perature, T_{RH}, is usually de ned as the tem perature of the universe when the thermal spectrum of radiation was rst obtained after in ation.

W e shall show, however, that sim ilar to what occurs in the chaotic in ationary scenarios, the details of symmetry restoration m ay turn out to be rather independent of T_{RH} , and m ay in fact be quite complicated, with the symmetry restored in some regions of the universe, but not others.

In order to keep the discussion as general as possible, we will not specify any particular rst-order in aton model, but describe the salient features of the in aton potential in terms of three parameters (, , , and). We denote the in aton eld by , which has a potential of the general form suitable to provide for a rst-order phase transition. (Table 1 lists the elds and their interactions.) The potential will be described in terms of a dimensionless coupling constant , a dimensionless constant that determines the splitting between false-vacuum and true-vacuum potential energy densities, and a mass scale , which also plays the role of the vacuum expectation value when the symmetry is broken. The mass of the eld will be 1=2 , and the difference in energy density between the false and true vacuum states will be denoted as $V = \frac{4}{0}$. The parameter must be less than unity for su cient in ation to occur. This also in plies that the bubbles of true vacuum form ed in the transition will be \thin-wall" bubbles, with wall thickness much smaller than the radius.

From the few parameters , , and $_{0}$, one can ind all the information required about the bubbles formed in the phase transition. For instance, in the thin-wall approximation, the size of a nucleated bubble is given by R_{c} $^{1=2}_{0}$ 1 . Bubbles with a radius smaller than this critical size will not grow, whereas bubbles larger than the critical size are exponentially disfavored. Another crucial parameter is the thickness of the wall separating the true-vacuum region inside from the false-vacuum region outside the bubble: $^{1=2}_{0}$ 1 . The ratio of the bubble-wall thickness to its size is $=R_{c}$, which is much less than unity if the thin-wall approximation is adopted. Finally, the energy per unit area of the bubble wall is $^{1=2}_{0}$

4

Table 1: Three elds are involved in our consideration: the in atom eld ; the eld into which the dom ain walls disperse; and , a eld whose spontaneously broken symmetry may be restored by the background. In some models and may be the same eld.

Interaction	Potentialterm
in aton self interaction:	$V_0() = (2 \ 2 \ 0)^2$
in aton false-vacuum energy density:	V = ⁴ ₀
interaction:	$V = g^{2}$
self interaction:	$V_0() = (2 \ 2 \ 0)^2$

W hen a bubble wall form s, false-vacuum energy is transform ed into bubble-wall energy, with the wall energy initially in the form of static surface energy. As the bubbles expand converting false vacuum to true vacuum, more and more of the wall energy becomes kinetic as the walls become highly relativistic. Numerical simulations [10,11] demonstrate that during collisions the walls oscillate through each other, dispersing the kinetic energy at a rate determined by the frequency of these oscillations. When the bubbles have slowed after a few oscillations, they then dissipate their surface energy into particles of typical energy determined by the wall thickness.

A lthough the particles produced in the initial collisions of the walls m ay play an interesting role in preheating and reheating, in the following we will concentrate on the implications of the particles produced by the potential energy density of the bubble walls. Bubble walls can be envisaged as coherent states of in aton particles, so that the typical energy of the products of their decays is simply the mass of the in aton. This energy scale is just equal to the inverse thickness of the wall.

Let's envision the collision of two plane-parallel dom ain walls. The potential energy per unit area of the bubble walls is given by $1^{-2} \frac{3}{0}$. Taking the mean energy of the particles produced in the bubble wall collisions to be of order of the inverse thickness of the wall, E 1, the mean number-per-area of particles produced from the potential energy in the collisions is N ' =E $1^{-2} \frac{3}{0}$.

Let's now assume that the particles are spread out a distance d from the region of the wall collision. If we approximate the particle density as uniform out to a distance d, then the particle number density within the region is simply

$$n = N = d$$
 $\stackrel{1=2}{}_{0}^{3} = d$ $\stackrel{2}{}_{0}^{2} = d$: (1)

In the lim it that the walls are spherical with radius R and the collision products instantly ll the bubble interior, then the factor of d in Eq. (1) should be replaced by R.

Eventually the products of bubble-wall collisions will be redistributed throughout the bubble interior and them alized. If we assume that them alization is instantaneous, the reheating tem perature is found by imposing $_{R} = (g^{2}=30)T_{RH}^{4} = V$, where g is the e ective number of degrees of freedom in all the species of particles formed in the therm alization processes. Using $V = \int_{0}^{4} results$ in a re-heat tem perature of T_{RH} g $^{1=4}$ $_{1=4}$ $_{1=4}$ $_{0}$. Let us now assume that the typical energy of the particles produced through bubble collisions is smaller than T_{RH} , i.e., $1 < T_{RH}$, which translates into the < 10 1 . If this condition is satis ed, then a period is condition (taking g 100) required for equilibration, namely for particles to scatter from energies approximately 1 to a therm ald istribution of tem perature T_{RH} . In addition, since the bubbles equalto were originally empty, hom ogenation is not instantaneous, and requires a time at least as long as the light travel time across a bubble. If either of these two time scales is su ciently long, we may consider the time interval during which particles do not have a hom ogeneous therm aldistribution function as a separate epoch: the preheating era.

As a rst approximation, during the preheating period the distribution function of the created particles can be chosen of the form [3]

$$f(!) = A(! E);$$
 (2)

where $E = {}^{1}$ and the constant A m ay be xed by computing the number density of particles, $n = (2) {}^{3} {}^{R} d^{3}p f(p)$, and setting it equal to the estimate given in Eq. (1). Of course, A has m ass dimension one.

Let us now in agine that particles are produced in the bubble wall collisions and are charged under som e sym m etry group, so that their m ass m depends upon som e scalar eld as m² () = $m_0^2 + g^{2.3}$ H ere, g represents a combination of num erical factors and a coupling constant. As a simple example we m ight assume that the -dependent m ass originates from a potential term of the form V = $g^{2.2}$.

As opposed to large-angle scattering processes, forward-scattering processes do not alter the distribution function of the particles traversing a gas of quanta, but simply modify the dispersion relation. This remains true also in the case of a nonequilibrium system. Forward scattering is manifest, for example, as ensemble and scalar background corrections to the particle masses. Since the forward scattering rate is usually larger than the large-angle scattering rate responsible for establishing a thermal distribution, the nonequilibrium ensemble and scalar background corrections are present even before the initial distribution function, Eq. (2), relaxes to its thermal value. These considerations allow us to impose $!^2 = p^2 + m^2$ () as the dispersion relation for the particles created by bubble collisions.

We can not use the imaginary-time form alism to determ ine the elective potential for the scalar eld during the nonequilibrium preheating period since in the nonequilibrium case there is no relation between the density matrix of the system and the time

 $^{^{3}}$ O fcourse by 2 and 2 we mean the appropriate sum over the members of the group representation.

evolution operator, which is of essential importance in the form alism. There is, however, the real-time form alism of Therm o Field D ynam ics, which suites our purposes [14]. The contribution of the particles created by bubble collisions to the one-bop e ective potential of the scalar eld can be written as

$$V() = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}p}{1} d! f(!):$$
(3)

The rst integration in ! must be done treating ! as a free parameter and setting !_p() = $\frac{q}{p^2 + m^2}$ (). By making use of Eq. (2), one obtains V() = A $\frac{Z}{(2)^3}$ [E] !_p()]' $\frac{n}{E}^{h}m^2$ () $E^{2^{i}}$: (4)

Since we are interested in the -dependent part of the potential, we can ignore the nE term and the factor of m_0^2 in m^2 (), and write the potential for the non-equilibrium con guration as V () = B_{NE}², where B_{NE} = gn=E. A similar expression was obtained by T kachev in Ref. [3], using the de nition of the elective potential as (the negative of) the pressure of the system, and assuming that the number of particles does not change on time scales of interest as the eld evolves.

We now use $n = {}_0^2 = d$ from Eq. (1), and E 1 , to obtain B_{NE} g ${}_0^2 = d$. Of course d will depend upon the details of the model and the complexities involved in the completion of the phase transition. But it is reasonable to expect, at least initially, that d is of order , so let us write d = .0 f course as the bosons di use into the bubble interior will change in time, so we expect to grow and eventually to become much greater than unity. But initially, at least, should not be too much larger than unity. In terms of , we may express B_{NE} as B_{NE} g ${}_0^2 = .$

Now there are two things left to do. First, we will determ ine the conditions under which the non-equilibrium contributions to the elective potential can restore the symmetry, and then determ ine the criterion for the non-equilibrium elects to be more important than the equilibrium elects obtained after re-heating. Let us take the tree-level self-interaction potential to be of the form $V_0() = ({}^2 {}^2_0)^2$. The symmetry will be restored (i.e., = 0 will be a stable minimum) if $d^2V=d^2$ evaluated at = 0 is positive, where now V includes the sum of the tree-level potential and the one-loop correction, $V = V_0 + V$. Symmetry restoration will occur due to non-equilibrium e ects if ${}^2_0 + B_{NE} > 0$. This translates into a bound on for symmetry restoration:

$$\frac{g}{2} = \frac{2}{0} > :$$
(5)

We can in agine three interesting limits depending upon the magnitude of the left-hand side (lhs) of this inequality. Since we expect always to be greater than one, if the lhs is less than unity we would expect non-equilibrium elects never to cause symmetry restoration. If the lhs is greater than one but not very large, then one might expect tem porary restoration of symmetry around the regions of bubble collisions. Then as starts to grow as the bubble interior is lled, the symmetry will be broken when the inequality is violated. Finally, the lhs may be so much greater than unity that the symmetry is restored even after the bubble interiors are lled.

Of course the symmetry may also be broken after re-heating by them all elects. This can be seen by calculating the -dependent term in the one-loop elective potential obtained by assuming that the system is in lite at temperature T_{RH} . Including V = g^{2} , in the high-temperature limit the one-loop them alcorrections lead to V (;T) gT^{2} , in the high-temperature V (;T $_{RH}$) = B_{EQ} , with B_{EQ} = $(g+)T_{RH}^{2}$, then B_{NE} plays a role in non-equilibrium transitions similar to that played by B_{EQ} for therm al transitions.⁴

⁴T hus, we see that so far as symmetry restoration is concerned, in the presence of the soft bosons left behind in the debris of wallcollisions, a scalar eld behaves as if it was in lte at an elective temperature $T_{EFF}^2 = B_{NE} = (g +) \frac{2}{0}g = [(g +)].$

Sym m etry will be restored after re-heating if $_{0}^{2} + B_{EQ} > 0$, or expressing this as a lim it to $T_{RH}: T_{RH}^{2} > _{0}^{2} = (g +)$. Now we know T_{RH} in terms of the parameters of the in aton potential, so we may express the criterion for sym m etry restoration after re-heating as

$$\frac{g+}{\frac{2}{0}} > \frac{s}{\frac{g}{1}} :$$
 (6)

The condition for symmetry restoration in pre-heating, Eq. (5), and the condition for symmetry restoration in re-heating, Eq. (6), are most easily contrasted in the limit $g > \ldots$ In that limit

$$\frac{g}{2} = \frac{2}{0} > \frac{g}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (sym m etry restoration during pre-heating) (7)

Depending upon the parameters, it is possible to have restoration during both preheating and re-heating, during neither pre-heating or re-heating, or during one and not the other. Of particular interest m ight be the case where restoration occurs only during pre-heating when is not too large. Then the elects of inhom ogeneous symmetry restoration will not be erased during re-heating.

In conclusion, symmetry restoration may well occur in the preheating phase following rst-order in ation. Unlike symmetry restoration in the preheating phase of chaotic in ation, the restoration may be inhomogeneous after rst-order in ation. The basic point is that the phase-space density of bosons created in wall collisions is greatest in regions of wall interactions. One may in agine situations where restoration occurs among the debris of wall collisions, but not in the initially empty interior of the bubbles. In such a case, the subsequent symmetry breaking restoration might result in creation of topological defects if the region of wall interactions is large enough to contain these defects.

Cosm ological in plications of this possibility require further study.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

EW K and AR are supported by the DOE and NASA under G rant NAG 5{2788.

- [1] A.Linde, Phys. Lett. B 219, 177 (1983); A.A lbrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys.
 Rev. Lett. 48, 1220 (1982).
- [2] D. Boyanovsky, H.J. de Vega, Phys. Rev. D 47, 2343 (1993); D. Boyanovsky, D.-S. Lee and A. Singh, Phys. Rev. D 48, 800 (1993); D. Boyanovsky, H.J. de Vega and R. Holman, Phys. Rev. D 49, 2769 (1994); and Proceedings of the Second Paris Cosmology Colloquium, Observatoire de Paris, June 1994, p. 125–215, H.J. de Vega and N. Sanchez Editors, World Scienti c, 1995; L.Kofman, A.D. Linde and A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3195 (1994); Y. Shtanov, J. Traschen and R. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D 51, 5438 (1995); A. Dolgov and K. Freese, Phys. Rev. D 51, 2693 (1995); D. Boyanovsky, H.J. de Vega, R. Holman, D.-S. Lee and A. Singh, Phys. Rev. D 51, 4419 (1995); D. Boyanovsky, M. D'Attanasio, H.J. de Vega, R. Holman and D.-S. Lee and A. Singh, hep-ph/9505220; D. Boyanovsky, M. D'Attanasio, H.J. de Vega, R. Holman, A. Linde and A. Starobinsky, hep-th/9510119; I.Kaiser, astro-ph/9507108.
- [3] I. Tkachev, OSU-TA-21/95 preprint.
- [4] L.Kofm an, A.D. Linde and A.A. Starobinsky, hep-th/9510119.
- [5] YaB.Zel'dovich and M.Yu.Khlopov, Phys.Lett.B 79, 239 (1978).

- [6] YaB.Zel'dovich, I.Yu.Kobzarev and L.Okun', Sov.Phys.JETP 40,1 (1974).
- [7] D. La and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 376 (1989).
- [8] E.W. Kolb, in The birth and early evolution of our Universe, Nobel Symposium 79, J.S. Nilsson, B. Gustafsson, and B.-S. Skagerstam, eds. (World Scientic, Singapore, 1991), p. 199.
- [9] M S. Tumer and F. W ilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3080 (1990).
- [10] R.W atkins and L.W idrow, Nucl. Phys. B 374, 446 (1992).
- [11] S.W. Hawking, I.G. Moss and J.M. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D 26, 2681 (1982).
- [12] JD. Barrow, E.J. Copeland, E.W. Kolb and A.R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D 43, 984 (1991).
- [13] JD. Barrow, E.J. Copeland, E.W. Kolb and A.R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D 43, 977 (1991).
- [14] H. Um ezawa, H. M atsum oto and M. Tachiki, Therm o Field Dynamics and Condensed States, North Holland, 1982. See also, P. Elm fors, K. Enqvist and I. Vilja, Phys. Lett. B 326, 37 (1994).