Large-Scale Structure Form ation in the Quasi-linear Regime

F.Bemardeau Service de Physique Theorique, C.E.de Sachy, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

A bstract

The understanding of the large-scale structure form ation requires the resolution of coupled nonlinear equations describing the cosm ic density and velocity elds. This is a complicated problem that, for the last decade, has been essentially addressed with N-body simulations. There is how ever a regime, the so-called quasi-linear regime, for which the relative density uctuations are on average below unity. It is then possible to apply Perturbation Theory techniques where the perturbation expansions are made with respect to the initial uctuations. I review here the major results that have been obtained in this regime.

1 The Gravitational Instability Scenarios

Perturbation Theory (PT) has had important developments in the last few years simultaneously from theoretical, numerical and observational points of view. These techniques allow indeed to explore nonlinear features revealed in the statistical properties of the large-scale structures of the Universe, for which precise and robust data are now available. The general frame of these calculations is based on the dynamics of a self-gravitating pressure-less uid. The large-scale structures are then assumed to have gravitationally grown from small initial uctuations. It is important to note that in the following these initial uctuations will be assumed to follow a Gaussian statistics. That excludes a priori exotic m odels that make intervene topological defects as seeds of structure formation.

By linearizing the eld equations, i.e. when the quadratic coupling between the elds is neglected, one can compute the grow th rate of the uctuations. This allows to compare for instance the Cosm ic M icrow ave Background temperature anisotropies as measured by the COBE satellite with the local density uctuations observed in galaxy catalogues. The linear approximation cannot be used how ever to explore the statistical properties of the density eld: the local uctuations are just amplied, their shapes are not changed, and therefore the linear density eld remains Gaussian if it obeyed such statistics initially.

2 The Perturbation Theory

The principles of these calculations have been initially presented by Peebles [35], then explored in more details in a series of recent papers [18, 22, 12, 3, 27, 28, 33, 5, 37, 38]. The starting point of all these calculations is the system of eld equations, Continuity, Euler and Poisson equations, describing a single stream pressure-less uid.

The density and velocity elds which satisfy those equations are then expanded with respect to the initial uctuation eld,

$$(t;x) = \begin{cases} X & (i) \\ i & (t;x); \\ i & i \end{cases} u (t;x) = \begin{cases} X \\ u & (i) \\ i & (t;x): \end{cases} (1)$$

The elds $^{(1)}(t;x)$ and $u^{(1)}(t;x)$ are just the local linearized density and velocity elds. They are linear in the initial density eld. The higher order term s, $^{(2)}$, $^{(3)}$,... are respectively quadratic, cubic,... in the initial density eld, and, therefore, do not obey a Gaussian statistics.

2.1 The Density Field

The time and space dependences of the linearized density eld, $^{(1)}$, factorize so that it can be written,

⁽¹⁾
$$(t;x) = D(t) d^{3}k(k) \exp(ikx);$$
 (2)

where (k) are the Fourier transforms of the initial density eld. They are assumed to form a set of Gaussian variables. Their statistical properties are then entirely determined by the shape of the power spectrum, P (k), de ned by,

h (k)
$$(k^0)i = _{\text{D irac}}(k + k^0) P(k);$$
 (3)

where his denotes ensemble averages over the initial conditions. The function D (t) is determined by the cosm ological parameters. It is proportional to the expansion factor a (t) for an E instein-de Sitter universe. In general it has been found to depend on the cosm ological density in such a way that $(d \log D = d \log a)$ ^{0:6} [35] and to be very weakly dependent on the cosm ological constant [29].

The higher order terms can all be recursively obtained from the linear term. In general one can write the i^{th} order term as [22],

⁽ⁱ⁾ (t;x) = Dⁱ(t)
$$d^{3}k_{1} ::::d^{3}k_{i}$$
 (k₁) :::: (k_i) exp[ix (k + :::+ k_i)]F (k₁;:::;k_i); (4)

where F $(k_1; :::; k_i)$ is a hom ogeneous function of the angles between the dimension wave vectors. Note that the time dependence given here is only approximate for a non Einstein-de Sitter Universe. It is how ever a very good approximation [3, 12, 4, 5].

2.2 The Velocity Field

For the velocity eld the situation is very similar. We have rst to notice that in the single ow approximation the vorticity is expected to be diluted by the expansion (eg. [35]) and thus to be negligible at any order of the perturbation expansion. Then it is more natural to present the properties of the velocity eld in terms of the local divergence (expressed in units of the Hubble constant),

$$(t;x) \quad \frac{r_{x} \quad u(t;x)}{H(t)}:$$
(5)

W e then have,

⁽¹⁾ (t;x) =
$$\frac{d \log D}{d \log a}$$
 ⁽¹⁾ (t;x) ^{0:6} (t) ⁽¹⁾ (t;x): (6)

The higher order term s can be written

⁽ⁱ⁾ (t;x) ^{0:6} (t)
$$D^{i}$$
(t) $d^{3}k_{1}$:::: $d^{3}k_{i}$ (k₁) :::: (k_i) exp [ix (k + :::+ k_i)]G (k₁;:::;k_i); (7)

where G is another hom ogeneous function, di erent from F. Note that in general the time dependence of $^{(i)}$ is not the power i of the time dependence of $^{(1)}$. We will see that it induces remarkable statistical properties for the local velocity eld.

2.3 Im plications for the Statistical Properties of the Cosm ic Fields

In general the consequences of the existence of higher order terms can be separated in two categories: these terms a ect the mean growth rate of the uctuations and introduce new statistical properties because of their non-G aussian nature. I will brie y review both aspects here.

3 The M ean G row th R ate of the F luctuations

The mean growth rate of the uctuation can be calculated from the shape and magnitude of the variance of the local density contrast [26, 32, 38], or equivalently of the evolved power spectrum [33, 25]. For instance the variance, h²i, can be calculated using the expansion in (1),

$$h^{2}i = h^{(1)} + {}^{(2)} + :::^{2}i:$$
 (8)

By re-expanding this expression with respect to the initial density eld one gets,

$$h^{2}i = h^{(1)}i + 2h^{(1)}i + h^{(2)}i + h^{(2)}i + 2h^{(1)}i + 2h^{(1)}i$$

The term h⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾ i is zero because it is cubic in the initial G aussian variables. The calculation of the corrective terms (the so-called \loop corrections", see [2, 3, 37, 38]), h^{(2)²} i + 2h⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ i, can be done from the recursive solution of the eld equations. In practice these calculations become rapidly very complicated but they can be simplied in case of a power law spectrum,

$$P(k) / k^{n}$$
: (10)

Thus for n = 2 one gets

$$h^{2}i = h^{2}_{lin}i + \frac{1375}{1568}h^{2}_{lin}i^{2} \quad h^{2}_{lin}i + 0.88h^{2}_{lin}i^{2}$$
(11)

where $_{lin}$ is the linearized density contrast, $_{lin} = ^{(1)}$. This prediction can be compared with numerical results obtained from N-body codes. An interesting way to compared the two is to use the phenom enological description of the nonlinear growth rate of the uctuations proposed by H am ilton et al. [23]. This description relates the mean linear density contrast at the scale R_{lin} to the full non-linear one at the scale R_{non} lin de ned by

$$R_{non lin}^{3}$$
 1+ h²i = R_{lin}^{3} : (12)

The universal transform proposed by Ham ilton et al. (given here in terms of the power spectrum) is presented in Fig. 1 (solid line) and compared to the prediction (11), (short dashed line). The latter gives remarkably well the position of the departure from the pure linear regime (straight line). On the other hand the position of this transition is quite poorly given by the Zel'dovich approximation (long dashed line).



Figure 1. Comparison of the PT predictions (11) with the Ham ilton et al. [23] prescription for the growth rate of the uctuation (solid line) in case of P (k) / k². The short dashed line is the prediction of the next-to-leading order Perturbation Theory result [38], and the straight line is the linear theory prediction (gure taken from [38]).

Surprisingly the corrective term s are nite only for n < 1. For n larger than 1 the loop term s contain a divergence so that the result explicitly depends on a cuto k_c introduced in the shape of the power spectrum at large k. Thus when (R k_c) is large we have,

$$h^{2}i = h^{2}_{lin}i + C_{n} (k_{c}R)^{n+1} h^{2}_{lin}i^{2}$$
 (13)

where R is the Itering radius. The existence of this divergence was not really expected since the num erical results do not indicate any signi cant change of behavior for n 1.0 ne could argue that in practice this is not a relevant problem since in realistic scenarios (like the CDM power spectrum) the index reaches n = 3 at small scale, so that the corrective terms are naturally regularized. However with such an interpretation it is plies that the growth of the very large scale uctuations is fed with smaller scale uctuations, which would be slightly surprising in view of the num erical results accum ulated over the last few years. A nother possible explanation is that the higher order corrections induce other divergences that cancel each other (a phenom enon quite common in statistical physics). Then the corrective term s to the linear growth rate would not be proportional to the square of h_{lin}^2 is but to a smaller power of it. Future analytic investigations may be able to throw light on this problem.

4 The Emergence of non-Gaussian Features

4.1 The Moments

The other major consequence of the existence of non-linear terms in (1) is the apparition of non-Gaussian properties. Although it is possible to characterize non-Gaussian features in many dierent ways, most of the e orts have been devoted to properties of the one-point probability distribution function (PDF) of the local density. More particularly, attention has been focussed on the moments if this distribution and how they are sensitive to non-linear corrections. In his treatise [35], Peebles already considered the implications of second order perturbation theory for the behavior of the third moment of the local density in case of initial G aussian uctuations. Indeed, using the expansion (1), the third moment reads,

$$h^{3}i = h^{(1)} + {}^{(2)} + :::^{3}i = h^{(1)^{3}}i + 3h^{(1)^{2}}i + :::;$$
 (14)

and what should be the dom inant term of this series, h $(1)^{3}$ i, is identically zero in case of G aussian initial conditions. As a consequence the third moment is actually given by

$$h^{3}i 3h^{(1)}{}^{2}(2)i;$$
 (15)

Peebles calculated this expression neglecting the e ects of sm oothing and found (for an Einstein-de Sitter Universe),

h³i
$$\frac{34}{7}$$
 h²_{lin}i²; (16)

so that the ratio, $h^{3}i=h^{2}i^{2}$, is expected to be nite at large scale. It is actually possible to extend this quantitative behavior to higher order m om ent and to show that the cum ulants (i.e., the connected parts of the m om ents) are related to the second m om ent so that the ratios,

$$S_{p} = h^{p} i_{c} = h^{2} i^{p-1}; \qquad (17)$$

are all nite at large scale. As m entioned before, the coe cient S $_3$ was computed by Peebles [35], Fry [18] derived S₄ and eventually Bernardeau [3] gave the whole series of these coe cients.

Unfortunately these early calculations did not take into account the litering e ects, that is that the ensemble averages should be done on the local smoothed elds. This problem was addressed numerically by G oro et al. [22] for a G aussian window function for the third and fourth m oments. M ore recently these two coe cients have been calculated analytically and sem i-analytically in [28, 31] for this window function. However, the results turn out to be simpler in case of a top-hat window, as it was noticed by Juszkiewicz et al. [27] for S_3 and power law spectra. The coe cients S_3 and S_4 were calculated for this window in [4] for any spectrum and any cosm ological models. Eventually B emardeau [5] proposed a method to derive the whole series of these coe cients from the spherical collapse dynamics.

I recall here the expression of the $\,$ rst two coe cients S $_3$ and S $_4$, as a function of the shape of the second m om ent,

$$S_3(R) = \frac{34}{7} + (R);$$
 (18)

$$S_4 (R) = \frac{60712}{1323} + \frac{62}{3} (R) + \frac{7}{3} (R)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{d(R)}{d\log R};$$
(19)

with

$$= \frac{d \log^{-2}(R)}{d \log R};$$
 (20)

O ne can notice that S_3 depends only on the local slope, and that S_4 also depends (but weakly) on the variations of that slope. These results are valid for an E instein-de Sitter Universe but are found to be weakly and dependent [12, 3, 4].



Figure 2. Comparison of PT predictions with results of numerical simulations with CDM initial conditions for moments of the local density contrast. The PT results (dashed lines) are compared to the measured S_p coe cients as a function of radius for three di erent time-steps (gure taken from [2]).

These coe cients have been tested against num erical results by di erent groups [12, 4, 2, 31] and have been found to be in extrem ely good agreem ent with the num erical results. In particular as show n in Fig 2, it has been found that the measured S_p coe cients are in good agreem ent with the PT results up to p = 7 as long as the variance h²i¹⁻² is lower than unity [2]. This constraint does not seem to become tighter for higher orders.

4.2 From Cumulants to PDF-s

The shape of the PDF and the values of the cum ulants are obviously related. When a limited number of cum ulants is known it is possible to reconstruct some aspect of the shape of the PDF using the so-called Edgeworth expansion (see [28, 7]). But actually as the whole series of the cum ulants is known for top-hat ltering it is possible to invert the problem (at least num erically) and build the PDF from the generating function of the cum ulants (see [1] for the generalm ethod and [3, 5] for the application to PT results). This method is justiled by the fact that the S_p coe cients converge to their asymptotic PT values at roughly the same rate, i.e. for the same values of h²i (Fig. 2).



Figure 3. C om parison of PT predictions with results of num erical simulations with CDM initial conditions for the shape of the one{point PDF of the density contrast. The density PDF has been m easured at three di erent radius, R = 5 h ¹M pc (circles), R = 10 h ¹M pc (squares), R = 15 h ¹M pc (triangles) and com pared to the PT predictions calculated from the m easured values of the variance and the local index (gure taken from [5]).

In Fig. 3, I present a comparison of the PT results obtained in such a way to the numerical measurements of PDF-s. Three dierent smoothing radii have been chosen. For each radius one can get the value of the initial index, and the value of the variance. The predicted PDF-s are entirely determined by those two numbers. As it can be seen the agreement is extremely good.

5 Comparison with data

These successes have given condence in the validity of the PT results and have boosted investigations in the available galaxy catalogues. Using the IRAS galaxy catalogue Bouchet et al. [13] m easured the 3^{rd} and 4^{th} m om ent of the one-point PDF of the local galaxy density. The observed relation between the third m om ent and the second is the following,

$$h_{RAS}^{3}i = 1.5 h_{RAS}^{2}i^{2}$$
: (21)

It is a strong indication in favor of G aussian initial conditions since the exponent is indeed the one expected from PT. The coe cient however is lower from what is expected, but the comparison with quantitative predictions is complicated for two reasons. The galaxy positions are known only in redshift space (that is that their distance is assumed to be proportional to their line-of-sight velocity), and IRAS galaxies might be strongly biased with respect to the mass distribution. The rst problem has been addressed in [24] where it is shown with adapted PT calculations that the e ects of redshift space distortion on S_3 are small at large scale. It has been also investigated numerically in [30]. The fact that the measured coe cient, 1.5, is smaller than what is expected (by a factor of about 1.7) is then probably due to biases in the galaxy distribution. In case of the IRAS galaxies this is not too surprising since those galaxies are known to be under-populated (com pared to bright galaxies) in very dense areas.

For these reasons a lot of interest has been devoted recently to the APM angular galaxy catalogue. The fact that it has more than 1,300,000 objects makes it the largest galaxy catalogue now available and a perfect domain of investigation. Measurements of the S_p coeccients have been made by Gaztanaga [19] for p 7. Comparison with PT predictions are however not straightforward because of the projections elects with which the relations (18,19) for S_3 and S_4 are not valid anym ore.

A dapted calculations that take into account this new geom etry and using the sm all angle approxim ation have been made in [6] for any order of cum ulants but assuming a power law spectrum. These results have been recently extended in [36] for any shape of power spectrum. In g 4. I present the comparison of the measured S_3 and S_4 coe cients as a function of the sm oothing angle (triangles) compared to the predicted ones from PT (solid lines). The latter have been calculated with either the sm all angle approximation or with a direct M onte-C arb integration for which no such approximation is required (for S_3 only).



Figure 4. The s3() and s4() coe cients in the APM angular catalogue (triangles, see [19]) compared to the PT predictions, with the small angle approximation (solid lines), or with a num erical integration of the projection e ects (squares).

There is still a signi cant discrepancy between the PT results and the observations. As the theoretical predictions are very robust with respect to uncertainties in the selection function or with respect to values of and (see [6]), it does not seem possible to reconcile the PT predictions with the observations, unless galaxies are biased. This bias is how ever less in portant than the one expected for the IRAS galaxies. These observations are anyway a strong indication in favor of G aussian initial conditions. The implications of m odels with topological defects like string theories or textures have been investigated in particular by G aztanaga [20]. He found that the current data, unless the galactic distribution is unrelated to the m ass distribution (!), exclude such theories.

6 The Velocity Field Statistics

A though data on the peculiar velocities are more di cult to obtain, it is a very interesting dom ain of investigation since cosm ic velocities are in principle directly related to the mass uctuations. In a recent review, D ekel [15] has presented the observational and theoretical status of this rapidly evolving eld.

The line-of-sight peculiar velocities are estimated from the Tully-Fisher (or similar) relation between the absolute lum inosity of the galaxies and their internal velocity dispersion for elliptical, or their circular velocity for spirals. From these informations, and taking advantage of the expected absence of vorticity, it is possible to build the whole 3D sm oothed velocity eld. It has been done in particular in [11,17], using the so-called POTENT reconstruction method. A straightforward application of the reconstructed velocity eld is the use of the velocity-density relationship obtained in the linear regime (6) that would indeed provide a way to measure . This is possible how ever only if galaxies are not biased. O therwise, assuming that at large scale, $\binom{11}{\text{galaxies}}(x) = b^{-(1)}(x)$, the observations constrain a combination of and b, = $\binom{0.6}{=}$ b. Various results for have been given in the literature (see [15]). A rough compilation of them leads to 0.3 < < 12.

A swe have no robust models for the large-scale bias of the galaxies, it is quite natural to explore the intrinsic properties of the velocity eld. In the following, no assumptions are made on the bias, galaxies are simply assumed to act as test particles for the large-scale ow s. W ithin this scheme D ekel & Rees [16] proposed to use the maximum expanding void to put constraints on ; Nusser & D ekel [34] tried to reconstruct the initial density eld using the Zel'dovich approximation, thus constraining

on the basis of G aussian initial conditions. Here I present a more system atic study of the expected properties of the local divergence using PT.

In a similar way than for the density eld it is indeed possible to compute the coe cients T $_{\rm p}$ that relate the high order m om ents of the local divergence to the second m om ent,

$$T_p = h^p i_r = h^2 i^{p-1}$$
: (22)

Unlike the Sp coe cients these ones are found to be strongly dependent (but weakly dependent),

$$T_{p}() / \stackrel{0.6(p-2)}{\longrightarrow};$$
 (23)

as is a direct consequence of the time dependence of $^{(i)}$ found in (7). The parameter T_3 () was calculated in [8] as a function of ,

$$T_3() = \frac{1}{0.6} \frac{26}{7} + (n+3)$$
; (24)

and proposed as a possible indicator to measure \cdot A prelim inary investigation using the POTENT data gave > 0.3 with a good condence level.



Figure 5. Comparison of the PT predictions for the shape of the PDF of the velocity divergence (solid lines) with results of num erical simulations for n = 1. In the left panel = 0.2 and in the right = 1. In both cases we have 0.4. The dashed lines are the PT predictions when the reverse assumption is made on .

But more generally the dependence of the T $_p$ coe cients obviously extends to the shape of the PDF of the local divergence. In particular we can show that for a power law spectrum with n 1,

it is approxim ately given by,

$$= 1 \frac{2}{3^{0.6}}; = 1 + \frac{2}{9^{-1.2}};$$
(25)

$$p() = \frac{[2 \quad 1] = \frac{1-2}{2} + [1] = \frac{1-2}{2}}{\frac{3-4}{2} (2)^{1-2}} \exp \frac{2^{\frac{\pi}{2}}}{2 - 2^{\frac{\pi}{2}}};$$
(26)

where is the m s of the uctuations of . This distribution exhibits a strong dependence as it can be seen on Fig. 5. As expected, when is low the distribution is strongly non-G aussian, with a sharp cut-o in the large divergence tails (it corresponds to rapidly expanding voids). This is this feature (discovered in an independent way) that was used in [16] to constrain \cdot

We have checked these theoretical predictions with num erical experim ents (see Fig. 5) and found once again that the num erical results are in excellent agreem ent with them. It opens ways to have reliable measure of from velocity data.

7 Conclusions

As this rapid overview have shown it, the study of the quasi-linear regime is in rapid development. A lot of e orts have been devoted to analytic calculations, and our understanding of the growth of structures in the intermediate regime has considerably improved. In particular comparisons with numerical simulations have shown that the PT results have a surprisingly large validity domain for the density as well as for the velocity elds. So far, most of the comparisons have been done for the cumulants at their leading order. However, the recent analytic results obtained for the next-to-leading order have open puzzling questions for their interpretation.

In any case, these PT results provide extrem ely discrim inatory tools to test the gravitational instability scenarios. C om parisons with data have already provided valuable insights into the properties of the galaxy distribution: they have indeed given strong indications in favor of G aussian initial conditions and have pointed out precious indications on the existence and nature of biases between the large-scale m atter distribution and the galaxy distribution. M oreover, assuming G aussian initial conditions, it seems possible to get reliable and bias independent constraints on from the statistics of the large-scale cosm ic ow s.

A cknow ledgem ents. I would like to thank R om an Scoccim arro and Enrique G aztamaga for perm ission to include some of their gures.

References

- [1] Balian, R. & Schae er, R. 1989, Astr. & Astrophys. 220, 1
- [2] Baugh, C M ., Gaztaraga, E. & Efstathiou, G ., 1994, M on. Not. R. astr. Soc. in press
- [3] Bemardeau, F. 1992, Astrophys. J. 292, 1
- [4] Bemardeau, F. 1994, Astrophys. J. 433, 1
- [5] Bernardeau, F. 1994, Astr. & Astrophys. 291, 697
- [6] Bernardeau, F. 1995, Astr. & Astrophys. 301, 309
- [7] Bernardeau, F. & Kofm an, L., 1995, A strophys. J. 443, 479
- [8] Bernardeau, F., Juszkiewicz, R., Dekel, A. & Bouchet, F. 1995, Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. 274, 20
- [9] Bernardeau, F. & Van de Weygaert, R. 1996, Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. 279, 693
- [10] Bernardeau, F., Van de Weygaert, R., Hivon, E. & Bouchet, F. in preparation
- [11] Bertschinger, E., Dekel, A., Faber, S.M., Dressler, A. & Burstein, D. 1990, Astrophys. J. 364, 370

- [12] Bouchet, F., Juszkiewicz, R., Colombi, S. & Pellat, R., 1992, Astrophys. J. 394, L5
- [13] Bouchet, F., Strauss, M.A., Davis, M., Fisher, K.B., Yahil, A. & Huchra, J.P. 1993, Astrophys. J. 417, 36
- [14] Colom bi, S., Bouchet, F.R. & Schae er, R. 1995, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 96, 401
- [15] Dekel, A. 1994, Annual Review of Astr. & Astrophys. 32, 371
- [16] Dekel, A.& Rees, M J. 1994 Astrophys. J. 422, L1
- [17] Dekel, A., Bertschinger E. & Faber, SM. 1990, Astrophys. J. 364, 349
- [18] Fry, J., 1984, A strophys. J. 279, 499
- [19] Gaztanaga, E., 1994, Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. 268, 913
- [20] Gaztanaga, E., preprint, astro-ph/9512008
- [21] Gaztanaga, E. & Friem an J. 1994, A strophys. J. 437, L13
- [22] Goro, M.H., Grinstein, B., Rey, S.-J. & Wise, M.B. 1986, Astrophys. J. 311, 6
- [23] Ham ilton, A J.S., Kum ar, P., Lu, E. & Matthews, A. 1991 Astrophys. J. 374, L1
- [24] Hivon, E., Bouchet, F., Colombi, S., Juszkiewicz, R. 1995, Astr. & Astrophys. 298, 643
- [25] Jain, B. & Bertschinger, E. preprint, astro-ph/9503025
- [26] Juszkiewicz, R. 1981, Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. 197, 931
- [27] Juszkiewicz, R., Bouchet, F.R. & Colombi, S. 1993, Astrophys. J. 412, L9
- [28] Juszkiewicz, R., Weinberg, D. H., Amsterdamski, P., Chodorowski, M. & Bouchet, F., 1995, A strophys. J. 442, 39
- [29] Lahav, O. Itoh, M. Inagaki, S. & Suto, Y. 1994 Astrophys. J. 402, 387
- [30] Lahav, O., Lilie, P.B., Primack, J.R. & Rees, M. 1991 Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. 251, 128
- [31] Lokas, E., Juszkiewicz, R., Weinberg, D.H., Bouchet, F.R., preprint, astro-ph/9407095
- [32] Lokas, E., Juszkiewicz, R., Hivon, E., Bouchet, F.R., preprint, astro-ph/9508032
- [33] Makino, N, Sasaki, M., Suto, Y. 1992 Phys. Rev. D 46, 585
- [34] Nusser, A., Dekel, A. 1993 Astrophys. J., 405437
- [35] Peebles, PJE. 1980; The Large{Scale Structure of the Universe; Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ., USA;
- [36] Pollo, A. & Juszkiewicz, R. in preparation
- [37] Scoccim arro, R. & Friem an, J., preprint, astro-ph/9509047
- [38] Scoccim arro, R. & Friem an, J., preprint, astro-ph/9602085

R esum e

La comprehension de la formation des grandes structures requiert la resolution d'equations nonlineaires couplees decrivant l'evolution des champs de densite et de vitesse cosmologiques. C'est un problem e complique qui, ces dix dernières annees, a ete traite essentiellem ent avec des simulations num eriques a N corps. Il y a cependant un regim e, le regim e dit quasi-lineaire, pour lequel les uctuations relatives de densite sont inferieures a l'unite en moyenne. Il est alors possible d'utiliser des techniques de theorie des perturbations ou les developpem ents perturbatifs sont faits par rapport aux uctuations initiales. Je presente ici les resultats m a jeurs qui ont ete obtenus dans ce regim e.