R edshifts and Optical P roperties for a Statistically C om plete Sam ple of Poor G alaxy C lusters

M ichael J. Ledlow, Chris Loken, Jack O. Burns New Mexico State University, Dept. of A stronom y, Box 30001/Dept. 4500, Las Cruces, NM 88003-0001

John M . H ill

Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, Tuscon, AZ 85721

and

Richard A.W hite

NASA/Goddard Space Flight Ctr., Space Data and Computing Division, Code 932, Greenbelt, MD 20771

ABSTRACT

From the poor cluster catalog of W hite et al. (1996), we de ne a sam ple of 71 optically-selected poor galaxy clusters. The surface-density enhancement we require for our clusters falls between that of the loose associations of Turner and G ott (1976) and the Hickson compact groups (Hickson, 1982). We review the selection biases and determ ine the statistical completeness of the sam ple. For this sam ple, we report new velocity measurements made with the ARC 3.5-m Dual-Im aging spectrograph and the 2.3-m Steward Observatory MX ber spectrograph. Combining our own m easurements with those from the literature, we exam ine the velocity distributions, velocity dispersions, and 1-d velocity substructure for our poor cluster sam ple, and compare our results to other poor cluster sam ples. We nd that approximately half of the sam ple may have signi cant 1-d velocity substructure. The optical morphology, large-scale environment, and velocity eld of many of these clusters are indicative of young, dynam ically evolving systems. In future papers, we will use this sam ple to derive the poor cluster X-ray lum inosity function and gas mass function, and will exam ine the optical/X-ray properties of the clusters in more detail.

1. Introduction

G alaxy groups or poor clusters are interesting for m any reasons. Poor clusters are m uch m ore num erous than rich clusters like those in the Abell (1958) catalog. One estimate suggests that over 50% of all galaxies reside in a poor cluster environment (D ressler, 1984; Soneira & Peebles, 1978). Because of their high space density, poor clusters are very good tracers of large-scale structure, and hence, their properties m ay provide in portant constraints to cosm ological models of large-scale formation.

The de nition of a poor cluster is rather vaque. In most respects, poor simply means that the num ber of galaxies falls below some limiting (for instance A bell's richness class 0) criteria for a rich cluster. In practice, there are many existing catalogs of poor clusters which each di er greatly in their selection criteria, such as the degree of isolation, com pactness, and galaxy counts. Som e of the earlier poor cluster catalogs (Shakhbazyan, 1973; Rose, 1977; Hickson, 1982) concentrated on very compact systems, with surface-density enhancements as high as 100-1000 times that of the mean eld density (e.g. Hickson Compact Groups (HCG)). Many of these compact groups have surface densities equal to or greater than the centers of m any rich clusters. Conversely, Turner and G ott (1976) de ned a catalog of poor clusters, using a friends-of-friends approach, which located m any loose system s with only a 4.6 surface-density enhancem ent over the eld. O ther selection criteria, such as the presence of a dom inant cD or D-type rst-ranked galaxy (Albert, White, & Morgan, 1977; Morgan, Kayser, & White, 1975) have resulted in other poor cluster samples (the AW M and MKW clusters). Thus, poor clusters can span the entire range from isolated pairs of galaxies to just below the threshold of rich galaxy clusters. It is for this reason that poor clusters are so valuable for studies of galaxy form ation, dynam ics, and evolution, as well as hierarchical large-scale structure form ation and cosm ology.

The optical properties of various poor cluster sam ples have been studied recently by Beers et al. (1995) (MKW and AWM clusters), Diaferio, Geller, & Ramella (1994) (HCG), Dell'Antonio, Geller, & Fabricant (1994) (MKW, AWM, and White et al. 1996 clusters), and Ramella et al. (1994) (HCG's and CfA bose groups). The existence of very compact groups is particularly troublesom e because of their short crossing times (t_{cr} 0:02 H $_0$ ¹) (D iaferio, G eller, & R am ella 1994). Dynam ical friction should cause the galaxies to merge into a single system on a time-scale of order 5-10 crossing times (the merging instability, e.g. Barnes 1985). Thus, it is surprising that we see any very compact groups at all. If the merging scenario does occur in compact groups, the AW M and MKW clusters may be good candidates for the end-result, culm inating in the form ation of a very extended central-dom inant galaxy. Beers et al. used this idea to look for D/cD galaxies in MKW and AWM clusters with large peculiar velocities relative to the cluster mean in order to constrain dynam ical ages and merging time-scales. They found 4/29 clusters with possibly signi cant D/cD velocity o sets which may be in the process of forming the dominant galaxy via the merger of member galaxies. The lifetim e problem may be resolved, how ever, if com pact system s are continually form ing within collapsing, rich, loose clusters (R am ella et al. 1994). Beers et al. R am ella et al. and D iaferio et al. all show evidence that com pact groups are offen in bedded

within, and often gravitationally bound to much larger galaxy associations. There is substantial evidence to suggest that loose/com pact groups may be related by similar formation mechanisms or via an evolutionary sequence from loose to compact systems. Thus, dynamical studies of large samples of poor groups are very important to better our understanding of how clusters form and evolve.

W hat is the relationship between poor and rich clusters? X -ray studies have shown that most poor groups are in fact real galaxy associations with a detectable hot intragalactic medium (IGM) with T 1 keV (e.g. Doe et al. 1995). Relationships between the X-ray lum inosity, tem perature and optical measures, such as the velocity dispersion, suggest that poor clusters are simply lower m ass extensions of richer clusters (Price et al. 1991). However, there appears to be a turnover in the L_x vs. v relationship as the member galaxies contribute a larger and larger fraction of the total X-ray emission (Dell'Antonio, Geller, & Fabricant 1994). This relationship is also com plicated because the velocity dispersion is not necessarily a good estim ator of the mass of the system if the cluster is not relaxed (D iaferio, G eller, & R am ella 1995). Additionally, there is som e evidence to suggest that the distribution of dark matter, the baryon fraction, and mass-to-light ratios m ay be di erent from rich clusters (D ell'Antonio, G eller, & Fabricant 1995; D avid, Jones, & Form an 1995). These conclusions are fairly controversial, however, because data of su cient quality are only available for a few clusters. In order to address these questions, one needs large samples. It is with these goals in m ind that we have de ned a new sample of poor clusters for a detailed study of their optical, x-ray, and radio properties, and their connection to existing statistical samples of rich clusters.

We describe the selection of the poor cluster sample in section 2. In section 3, we present the observations and the new velocity measurements for this sample. In section 4, we exam ine the completeness of the sample, and select a volum e-limited subsample for further study. In section 5, we discuss the velocity distributions, dispersions, and the results of statistical 1-D normality tests. We discuss the properties of several clusters in detail in the appendix.

Throughout this paper we assume $H_0 = 75$ km sec¹ Mpc¹ and $q_0 = 0.5$.

2. Sam ple Selection

The galaxy clusters were selected from the electronic version of the Zwicky catalog of galaxies and clusters of galaxies (CGCG) (Zwicky et al. 1961–1968). White et al. (1996) used a friends-of-friends technique (Turner and Gott 1976) to identify galaxy groups based on surface-density enhancements relative to the north galactic polar cap and included all galaxies to the magnitude limit of the survey (15.7). The catalog was searched in 10 galactic latitude strips with a 1 overlap with successive scans in order to avoid missing groups near the edge of the strips. The base-level surface density enhancement of the entire catalog is 21.54 (or $100^{2=3}$, equal to a volum e-density enhancement of 100) with a minimum of 3 Zwicky galaxies per group. This search

produced > 600 potential groups, including allMKW, AWM, WP (W hite 1978) groups as well as some nearby A bell clusters (A bell 1958). Radio observations of a subsample of this catalog were reported in Burns et al. (1987). An X-ray/optical study of groups from the Burns et al. sample with E instein observations was reported in Price et al. (1991).

In this paper, and those to follow in this series, we have constructed a statistically complete subsample of the original W hite et al. catalog corresponding to those groups with a 46.4 surface-density enhancement (a $10^{1=3}$ higher volume density over the base-level of the catalog), which included 4 or more Zwicky galaxies, and with galactic latitude [bj] 30. A fter eliminating several A bell clusters (A 119, A 194, A 400, A 634, A 779, A 1185, A 1213, A 1367, A 1656, A 1983, A 2022, the H ercules and P isces superclusters, A 2052, A 2063, A 2199, A 2634, and A 2666) and 4 redundant groups (those found in more than one search strip) the sample contains 71 poor clusters. Statistically, approximately 39% of the poor clusters have only the minimum number of 4 galaxies down to the magnitude limit of the search. The distribution of galaxy number has a tail which extends to a maximum of 10, with a mean of 6 galaxies. The entire poor cluster sample is listed in Table 1.

3. Observations

A thorough search of the literature and the NED extragalactic database revealed that only about half of the sample had > 2 m easured velocities per cluster. We require a minimum of 2 concordant velocities in order to reliably determ ine the distance to the cluster. We therefore began a program to measure recessional velocities for the remainder of these clusters in order to use this sample to study the statistical properties of nearby poor clusters.

Spectroscopic observations of the cluster galaxies were obtained using the A strophysical Research Consortium's (ARC) 3.5-m eter telescope at Apache Point, NM. The instrum ent used was the Dual-Im aging Spectrograph (DIS) mounted at the Nasmyth focus. The DIS allows both in aging and spectroscopy modes by rotating either mirrors or gratings, mounted in a turret wheel, into the light path. Two grating sets are available; a low-resolution set (150/300 lines mm⁻¹) with 6-7A pixel⁻¹ spectral resolution, and a high-resolution set (831/1200 lines mm⁻¹) at 1-1.7A pixel⁻¹. All observations reported here were made in low-resolution mode.

The incoming light-beam is split by a dichroic liter with a wavelength cuto near 570A. The blue and red beams are each directed along separate light-paths to two CCD detectors. The blue-chip is a TEK 512x512 CCD, and the red-chip a TI 800x800 array. Plate-scales are 1.086^{00} -pixel and 0.61^{00} -pixel on the blue and red chips, respectively. The eld-of-view on both chips is approximately 6^{0} 4^{0} . A liter wheel is mounted behind the dichroic with a Gunn g,r set for imaging mode. The spectra are also obtained separately from the blue and red chips. In low-resolution mode, the spectral coverage extends from 3800 10000A, divided into two parts blueward and redward of 5700A. A separate liter wheel is located at the focal-plane at the

entrance to the spectrograph to hold the slit for spectroscopy.

We report observations m ade on the nights of 27-28 N ovem ber 1994, 24-25 January, 9 M arch, and 22 M ay 1995. On the earlier observing runs, we used a single 2^{00} slit, and rotated the detector to align two or m ore galaxies along the slit. In later runs, we used custom -designed slit m asks in order to observe 4-12 galaxies sim ultaneously. C andidate galaxies were identi ed from in ages extracted from the CD-ROM D igitial POSS I Survey. Positions were measured with < 1^{00} accuracy, and pointing centers were determ ined by optimizing the maximum number of galaxies which could be t into the D IS eld-of-view. From these coordinate les, an aperture slit-m ask was designed m atching the imaging scale of the D IS spectrograph using a custom -written ID L program. By default, we used 18^{00} 2^{00} slits oriented East-W est. In some cases, slits were located o -center from the galaxy position in order to observe galaxies closer than 18^{00} separation in right-ascension. The nal versions of the slit-m asks are saved to a Postscript le and printed on K odak infrared lm on a 2500 dpi typesetter as a negative transparency. Tests have shown the negative transparency lm to be very opaque (no scattered light problem s), and the clear slits to have approxim ately equal transm ission as com pared to the default Q uartz-slits used in the D IS. These slit-m asks are cut out and placed in the liter/slit-w heel at the entrance to the spectrograph.

The observing procedure consisted of 1) in aging the poor cluster eld through the G unn g,r lters in in aging mode, 2) in aging the slit-m ask to locate the positions of the slits on the detector, 3) using an on-line Fortran program to calculate the necessary right-ascension and declination o sets and rotation angle in order to align the candidate galaxies in the appropriate slits, 4) o setting the telescope and rotating the instrum ent as determ ined in (3), and 5) moving the gratings into the light-path and beginning the exposure. Typical exposure times were 10 m inutes. W avelength calibration was obtained by taking spectra of H elium -N eon calibration lamps follow ing each object observation.

We used standard spectroscopic reduction procedures in IRAF to analyze the spectra. The bias-level was determ ined from a 30 column overscan region, and a zero-frame was subtracted to remove large-scale bias uctuations. For the single-slit observations, a spectral at eld was used to correct for the illumination pattern of the slit and cosm etic defects on the CCD chips. For the multislit data, spectral ats were complicated by overlapping dispersion patterns from adjacent slits (using the continuum lamp), so this correction was not used. For single-slit observations, the wavelength solution was determ ined for both axes of the 2-d im age. For the multislit data, the wavelength solution was applied to the background-subtracted and extracted 1-d spectra. This was necessary as each spectrum had a unique wavelength range dependent on the north-south position of the slit on the chip. Heliocentric radial velocities were measured using the cross-correlation program FXCOR.We observed several nearby galaxies and G and K-type radial velocity standard stars as tem plate spectra for the cross-correlations. For the R-spectra, the atm ospheric A-band was rem oved by restricting the wavelength range for the cross-correlation for both the object and tem plate.

In Figure 1, we show a sample ARC 3.5-m DIS spectrum for IC 4508 in the poor group N 67-310 at a redshift of z = 0.045. IC 4508 is one of 7 galaxies observed for this cluster using the slit masks discussed above. In Figure 1(a) we show the blue portion of the spectrum from 3800 5700 A. The red portion of the spectrum in Figure 1(b) extends from 5700 10500 A. The shape of the spectrum redward of 5250A on the blue spectra, and blueward of 6100A on the red spectra is due to the wavelength cuto of the dichroic.

In addition to the ARC 3.5-m observations, we also report multi-ber spectroscopic observations of 3 poor clusters from this statistical sample and 3 other poor clusters from the larger sample of Burns et al. (1987) with RO SAT PSPC observations reported in D oe et al. (1995) plus one other cluster (N 03-183) which meets the statistical sample de nition, but is located at low galactic latitude (23). These data were obtained in January and July 1994 using the University of Arizona's Steward O bservatory 2.3-m telescope and the M X multi-ber spectrograph. A detailed description of the design and construction of the M X spectrom eter is given by H ill and Lesser (1986). The detector was a UV - ooded Loral 1200 800 pixel CCD with a read-noise of 8 electrons pixel ¹ nm s. A 400 line m m⁻¹ grating provided wavelength coverage from 3700 to 6950A at 8A resolution (2.8A pixel ¹).

In Table 2, we list the new ARC heliocentric velocity measurements for our poor cluster sample. We have identified the individual galaxies from positions measured from the Digital-Sky Survey and cross-correlated with NED and the Zwicky catalog. Some identifications from these catalogs corresponded to galaxy pairs with a single name. In these cases, we have used the catalogued designation and appended a number to distinguish the objects. Hubble types for the galaxies were determined from examination of the Gunn g,r images from DIS.Table 3 lists heliocentric velocities measured using MX for the clusters S49-128, S34-111, S49-140, S49-132, N 56-394, M K W 2, and N 03-183.

4. Sam ple Properties

In order to use this sam ple of galaxy clusters for statistical studies and, in particular, for determ ination of the X-ray lum inosity function (Burns et al. 1996), it is necessary to exam ine the completeness in terms of a volum e-lim ited sam ple. In Figure 2, we plot the volum e density of clusters as a function of redshift. We see that for z > 0.03, the volum e density begins to fall o rapidly. This is a well-known consequence of the magnitude lim it of the Zwicky catalog. As one goes to higher redshift, the number of group galaxies with magnitudes brighter than 15.7 decreases because of the shape of the optical lum inosity function. Thus at higher redshift, we are only able to pick out clusters with higher richness values and more bright galaxies. These clusters may therefore not be representative of the sam ple below z = 0.03. Below redshifts of z = 0.01, there are only 4 clusters in the catalog, and these are most likely members of the Local G roup. We elim inated these clusters from the statistical sam ple because the measured velocities may be strongly a ected by peculiar motions. Within the Poisson counting errors of our

sam ple (49 clusters with 0:01 z 0:03), there is no statistical di erence between the observed volum e-density and a at distribution with a mean of 2:9 0:3 10^{5} M pc ³. We therefore judge our sam ple volum e-lim ited within observational uncertainties. In Table 1, we have marked those clusters which fall outside our volum e-lim ited sam ple with an asterisk. Our statistical sam ple includes 49 poor clusters. We calculate that our survey covers 10973 square degrees (3.34 steradians) or 26.6% of the sky.

The base-level catalog from W hite et al. includes clusters selected from all galactic latitude strips, limited only by the 3 declination cuto of the Zwicky catalog. In the selection of this sample, we have limited the galactic latitude range to jbj 30. We investigated whether som e incom pleteness in the sample could be attributed to galactic absorption at the lower latitudes. W ithin the error bars, the surface density of clusters is constant as a function of galactic latitude.

W hat is the relationship between our poor clusters and Zwicky clusters? Zwicky did not use a strict de nition (as did Abell) for de ning clusters. In fact, his catalog contains clusters with a wide range in properties. H is open clusters are very large structures (1) which m ay contain m any smaller subclum ps of galaxy groups. Surface-density enhancements were also cataloged as either medium compact or compact. We performed a cross-correlation between our 67 (excluding the 4 clusters with z < 0.01) poor clusters and the nearby Zwicky clusters. We in d that 53/67 are found within catalogued clusters. Another 6 are not within the Zwicky cluster boundary, but are near a cluster, or in a group of clusters. Eight do not appear to be associated with any listed Zwicky cluster. Of the 53 which were found in Zwicky clusters are located within the C om a supercluster, and 1 as compact. Four of the poor clusters are located within the C om a supercluster, and one within the H ercules supercluster. A lso, some of Zwicky's clusters contain m ore than one of our poor clusters (e.g. N 56-359, N 56-371, N 56-392 all in Zw 1141.7{0158, N 34-169 and N 45-388 in Zw 0909.7+ 1814). The location of our poor clusters within Zwicky's contours is variable, ranging from centrally located to the edge of the galaxy distribution (see D oe et al. 1995).

We compared the 2626:1 compactness ratio for our sample to the nearby Zwicky clusters in general. From Zwicky's catalog, 504 clusters are classified as nearby from apparent magnitudes or velocity information. The ratio of Open M edium -Compact Compact is 27420525. From a 2 contingency table test there is no statistically significant difference in the ratios between our sample and the nearby Zwicky catalog. Thus our sample appears to be representative of Zwicky clusters in general. It is interesting that nearly half of our sample is located within Zwicky's open clusters. The statistics described above also suggest that a large fraction of our clusters are correlated with or are embedded within larger-scale structures. We will exam ine this question with regard to the velocity eld and velocity dispersions in the next section.

5. Velocity D istributions and D ispersions

Of the 71 clusters listed in Table 1, 40 have 5 velocity measurements within a 0.5 Mpc projected radius from the optical center of the cluster. We report velocity dispersions for all clusters with 5 measurements in column 6. We have calculated the Biweight estimators of location and scale (C_{BI} and S_{BI}) (Beers, Flynn, & Gebhardt 1990) rather than the average and standard deviation (Danese, DeZotti, & diTullio 1980). These quantities are less sensitive to small number statistics and outliers in the velocity distribution. In addition, we list the 1 con dence invervals determined from the Jackknife method (M osteller & Tukey 1977). For those clusters which had at least a 50% increase in the number of velocities out to 1 Mpc, we also list the velocity dispersion separately for R 1 Mpc in column 8.

In Figure 3, we plot a histogram of the velocity dispersions measured within 0.5 M pc. The median dispersion is 295 31 km sec¹ (using the error on the median). Approximately 17% of the clusters fall in the tail of the distribution, with $_{\rm V}$ > 500 km sec¹. Zabludo , Huchra, & Geller (1990) reported an average $_{\rm V}$ = 744 km sec¹ for a sample of nearby rich clusters. Thus, there is a small am ount of overlap in the long-tail of our distribution with richer clusters. Ram ella et al. (1994) compared the velocity dispersions of 29 HCG 's to 36 loose system s (RGH) selected from the C fA redshift survey. They calculated median values of 329 135 and 403 96 for the HCG and RGH clusters, respectively. For 21 MKW and AW M clusters, Beers et al. (1995) found a median dispersion of 336 40 km sec¹. These values are consistent with our sample, although it should be noted that both R am ella et al. and Beers et al. included galaxies out to a larger radius (1.125 M pc for H₀ = 75).

D iaferio et al. (1994) com puted velocity dispersions for a number of simulated com pact groups using an N-body Treecode (Hemquist, 1987; Barnes & Hut 1986). They found a median $_{\rm v} = 257$ km sec ¹ for their simulated clusters. W hile this is lower than our value of 295 km sec ¹, given the large spread in our distribution, the results may be consistent. Our observed distribution has 17% more clusters with S_{BI} 400 km sec ¹ than predicted by the simulations. However, given the errors on the velocity dispersions, it is unlikely that this result is statistically signi cant. W e perform ed a K S-test and T-test to com pare the S_{BI} distribution in Figure 3 to the simulated data from D iaferio et al. (Figure 8(a) in their paper). W e can reject the hypothesis that the two distributions are drawn from the same parent population at no better than the 20% level.

We have compared the velocity dispersions for 1 M pc, r = 1 M pc, and 0.5 M pc r = 1 M pc. Because of the offen low number of velocities, the errors on the dispersions are quite large. We indicate that a histogram of the dimension of the second state of the dimension of the dimension of the dimension of the second state of the dimension dimension of the dimension of t but large num bers of velocities are needed.

In Figure 4, we show velocity histogram s for the 23 clusters with 10 m easurem ents from Table 1, as well as the four additional clusters listed in Table 3 (S49-132, N 03-183, S49-128, & MKW 2). With the exception of MKW 2, all plots are scaled to C_{BI} 2000 km sec ¹ to allow easy com parison of the dispersions between di erent clusters. We have used the ROSTAT package (Beers, Flynn, & Gebhardt 1990) to estimate measures of the normality of the velocity histogram s with respect to a Gaussian distribution. In dissipationless systems, dynamical evolution will be dom inated by gravity. N -body m odels show that over a relaxation time, galaxy-galaxy interactions will distribute the velocities in a Gaussian distribution. Thus, we might expect that signi cant departures from a Gaussian may be indicative of a non-equilibrium or non-virial dynamical state. Ideally, however, one needs large numbers of velocities, and powerful 2-D and 3-D tests to understand the true dynam ical state of a cluster (see P inkney et al. 1996). Velocity inform ation is also limited to line-of-sight measurements, so the results are not unambiguous depending on the three dimensional spatial distribution of the galaxies (i.e. our viewing angle). The results, however, may be illum inating with regards to the amount of velocity substructure present in our sam ple.

These statistical tests are very robust with respect to Poisson noise and low number statistics, how ever, erroneous results can be found due to outliers in the velocity distribution. We have used the 3 -clipping criteria as prescribed by Yahil & Vidal (1977) to elim inate possible interlopers. The inclusion of non-cluster members is particularly troublesome for poor clusters because of the typically low number of member galaxies. Even a few outliers can severely bias the velocity dispersion and other scaling parameters. In addition to the standard tests performed by RO STAT (U-test, W-test, B₁-test, B₂-test, B₁ B₂ om nitest, I-test, KS-stat, V-stat, W²-stat, U²-stat, U-stat, and A²-stat), we also evaluate the Tail-index (I I) and A symmetry-Index (A I) described in B ird & Beers (1993) (see Beers et al. 1990, and P inkney et al. 1996 and references therein for a complete description of these tests). A ll of these statistics are sensitive to either skew ness or kurtosis (the third and fourth moments of the velocity distribution), and as such are useful m easures of departures from a true G aussian distribution. P inkney et al. (1996) has shown that the B₁ and A I-stat are the most powerful discrim inators of skew ness. The W-test and T I-stat are the most sensitive to kurtosis. M any of the other tests do not separate these two e ects, but are useful for comparing the importance of one or the other e ect as the cause of the non-norm ality.

Even after 3 clipping, 55% (15/27) of the clusters show signi cant non-normality (90% signi cance) in at least one of the statistics, which we regard as a conservative lower limit of the fraction of poor clusters in our sample with complex velocity distributions. For these clusters we have plotted velocity-coded spatial (RA vs. DEC) diagram s in Figure 5. These plots are useful for locating 3-D substructure and galaxy clumps in bedded within the galaxy distribution. In most cases, how ever, the velocity structure in the clusters is quite complicated. It is clear that in all 15 cases there is no strong velocity/spatial segregation with respect to the center or outskirts of the cluster. This result is not speci c to these 15 clusters, but appears to be true in general for

our sample. To quantify the 3-D nature of these clusters, we have also used a modi ed version of the D ressler-Shectm an statistic (DS) (D ressler & Shectm an, 1988) to look for 3-d substructure. Because of the small number of total galaxies in these clusters, we have used a modi ed version of the DS-test to use a window including the $\frac{P}{N}$ nearest neighbors rather than 10 as suggested in the original test (P inkney et al. 1996). We describe the results of the 1-D and DS statistics individually for all 15 clusters in the appendix.

As discussed in the previous section, 88% of our sample is located within or near a catalogued Zwicky cluster. We have compared the velocity dispersions for the clusters divided by the open/m edium -com pact classi cation, and those not found within Zwicky's contours (isolated). We used the S_{BI} (0.5 M pc) values listed in Table 1. The median S_{BI} are: isolated, 205 66 km sec¹; located within open clusters, 290 47; and medium -compact clusters, 329 51 (quoted errors are the error on the median). Within the errors these values are probably consistent, however, it is interesting that the median values steadily increase from isolated clusters to large, loose associations to more compact structures. As described in the appendix, this situation is additionally complicated because of the location of what we de ne as a poor cluster within a more extended galaxy distribution. Because of the surface-density requirement in selecting our poor clusters, it seems very likely that we are simply picking out local density maxim a or clumps in a much larger gravitationally bound system. Beers et al. (1995) exam ined these trends with several AW M and MKW clusters and found that many galaxy groups separated by as much as 3 Mpc in projection may be consistent with being gravitationally bound to one another (one very good example is the poor cluster pair MKW 7/MKW 8). Only about 12/63 of our clusters are found at the center of Zwicky's clusters. The remainder are located throughout the galaxy association, often en bedded in a larger cluster with several other high surface-density clum ps.

6. Conclusions

It is clear that the dynam ics of poor clusters can be very complicated. Even within the inner 1 M pc, which we have investigated, over half of the clusters show evidence for non-equilibrium or non-virial conditions. This suggests that m any of these clusters are in fact young, dynam ically evolving systems, which, in m any cases, are simply a part of a much larger conglomerate of galaxies.

Our poor clusters have very similar properties to other samples of poor clusters with a variety of selection criteria. The presence of dominant cluster galaxies or di erences in the richness or compactness of the cluster do not signi cantly change the observed velocity dispersions or other optical properties.

As poor cluster samples and galaxy velocity databases grow larger, we may gain a better appreciation for the complexity of hierarchical cluster formation. We plan to use the statistical sample presented in this paper for future studies, including an exam ination of the galaxy m orphologies, X -ray and radio properties, and the relationship to the richness and the local dynam ics.

W e acknow ledge J.P inkney for his help with the statistical analysis. W e thank the Stew and O bservatory of the University of A rizona for generous allocation of observing time. W e also thank T.Beers, the referee, for providing the ROSTAT analysis package, and for a careful reading of the text. The A pache Point O bservatory is maintained and operated by the A strophysical Research C onsortium (ARC). This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic D atabase (NED) which is operated by the Jet P ropulsion Laboratory and the C alifornia Institute of Technology, under contract with the N ational A eronautics & Space A dm inistration. This research was supported by NSF G rant A ST -9317596 to JO B. and C L.

{ 12 {

Appendix: Comments on Individual Clusters

S49-147 - The X -ray properties of this cluster are discussed in Price et al. (1991) and D ell'A ntonio et al. (1994). Burns et al. (1987) report no radio identic cations from their VLA survey. The B_1 , B_2 , B_1 B_2 , and T I-tests all reported signic cant substructure. The implied skewness, however, is caused by the one galaxy at 6359 km sec¹. This galaxy survived the 3 clipping, but is responsible for the positive result. S49-147 is located within the open cluster Zw 0014.5+ 2315.

AW M 1 – A lso called N 45–388, this cluster is discussed in detail by B eers et al. (1995), who extend the search radius beyond 3 M pc. They found 3 separate groups at distances between 1.9–2.7 M pc which are consistent with being gravitationally bound to the prim ary cluster. W ithin our 1 M pc search radius, we nd m ildy signi cant kurtosis to the right of the m ean (B_2 -test). The D S-test found signi cant clumping to the south and north-east of the dom inant central galaxy. B ums et al. (1987) found no radio galaxies in this cluster. AW M 1 is located near the edge of the open cluster Zw 0909.7+ 1814, which also includes N 34–169 at the center.

M KW 10 – A ko called N 67–312, this cluster is discussed by Beers et al. In a more extended region around the cluster, they found 2 clumps at distances of 2.22 and 2.6 M pc which m ay be bound to this cluster. W ithin the inner 1 M pc region, the velocity dispersion is quite sm all, but exhibits signi cant non-norm ality (B_1 , K S, W², U², A², and A I-test) which is attributed to skew ness leftward of the mean velocity. There is one compact radio source associated with an S0 galaxy. M K W 10 is located within the medium -compact cluster Zw1138.3+1024.

N 79-298B -0 nly the B₂ and A I-tests were signi cant for this cluster. This is a result of the very sharp truncation of the velocity distribution at 4300 and 4900 km sec¹ (tails are too short). The D S-test found signi cant structure, which may be partly due to the extrem e elongation in the galaxy distribution. This cluster is also called W P 19 (W hite 1978), and is located in a region near A bell 1367 (within the C om a supercluster) in the medium -com pact cluster Zw 1153.0+ 2522.

N 79-299B – The 1-D non-norm ality is dom inated by the two galaxies between 6200-6450 km sec ¹ which causes some degree of both skewness and kurtosis (W, B_1 , K S, W^2 , U^2 , and A^2 tests). The 3-D distribution is very clumpy. However, the velocity mean and dispersion is very similar in both clumps which resulted in a negative result for the DS-test. There are two compact radio sources associated with this cluster. This cluster is also named W P 21, and is located within Zw1202.0+2028, a large open cluster which also includes W P 20 (N 79-299A, see below).

N 79-299A - 0 nly very m oderate kurtosis is present in the velocity distribution because of the sharp truncation to the right of the mean, and skewness from the slight asymmetry to the left. The X-ray properties of this cluster were discussed by D oe et al. (1995). The spatial distribution is very elongated and clum py, however the D S-test reported a negative result. This cluster contains three compact radio sources and a wide-angle tailed radio galaxy located at the mean cluster velocity. N 79-299A (or W P 20) is found within Zw 1202.0+ 2028, in the same large open cluster as N 79-299B (W P 21).

N 67-330 - This is a very nearby, spiral-rich cluster. The velocity distribution is strongly skewed, and several tests were signi cant (W, B_1 , B_2 , B_1 , B_2 , W^2 , U^2 , A^2 , and U). The average signi cance of 6 kurtosis tests was 97% (90% for skewness).

N 79-292 - The velocity distribution is strongly skewed to the right of the mean (W, B_1 , and AI tests had > 95% signi cance). The spatial distribution is very clum py, however, the two primary groups have similar velocity elds. The DS-test found a positive result (92%) for the two galaxies to the south-west of the cluster. N 79-292 is not located within a catalogued Zwicky cluster, but is near Zw 1224.1+0914 (a medium-com pact cluster).

M KW 11 - Beers et al. found two clumps 2.25 Mpc to the north with very similar velocities which are consistent with being bound to the primary cluster. W ithin 1 Mpc, there is signi cant kurtosis to the left of the mean at the 90% level (B_2 and U-tests). There are two compact radio sources in this cluster. A loc called N 79-296, M KW 11 is located within the open cluster Zw 1327.3 + 1145. There is another nearby Zw icky cluster in the immediate area as well.

N 79-286 – The X-ray properties of this cluster are discussed in Price et al. (1991). All four velocities outside the prim ary peak in the histogram were clipped by the 3 –lim it. The velocity dispersion is quite low (146 km sec ¹). The B_2 and U-tests found signi cant kurtosis (tails too short) with a slight skewness to the left of the mean. The 3-D distribution is very disperse with only one tight grouping near the cluster center and no apparent substructure. This cluster is also identi ed with HCG 68.

M KW 12 - Beers et al. note that this cluster is trim odal, with no signi cant large-scale structure outside the several groupings within the inner M pc. All but the B_2 and B_1 B_2 tests reported signi cant non-norm ality. This result is clearly due to the multim odal nature of the distribution. Within the inner 1 M pc, the DS-test did not nd signi cant 3-D clumping. M KW 12 is also called N 67-336, and is located at the center of Zw 1400.4+ 0949, a medium -com pact cluster.

S49-132 - The X -ray and optical properties of this cluster are discussed in Doe et al. (1995). There are three radio galaxies within the central part of the cluster, each associated with a Zwicky galaxy (two compact sources and one tailed source). The velocity distribution is very broad, with each of the radio/Zwicky galaxies separated by greater than 1000 km sec¹. The very strong X -ray emission and the presence of the tailed radio source argue against simple projection e ects, how ever, the velocity distribution is very unusual for a poor cluster. The B₂ U, and A I tests were signi cant at the 97% level (tails too short for the large velocity dispersion). The D S-test found signi cant 3-D structure at the 94% level. The elongation in the galaxy distribution follows the distribution of the X-ray gas. O ne possible interpretation is that we are viewing a cluster which is accreting galaxies along a large-scale lam ent. S49-132 is located within a larger galaxy isodensity contour in the middle of a compact Zwicky cluster.

N 03-183 - This cluster is not part of the statistical sample in Burns et al. (1987) due to its low galactic latitude (25). It does, however, meet the surface-density enhancement requirement of the sample presented in this paper. The cluster was observed as part of a backup program with

the M X. The cluster is unusual in that we detected 7 em ission-line galaxies from the M X spectra. M ost of the other clusters contained one or possibly two such galaxies. Positive signals were found from the W , B_1 , U, and A I-tests, indicating signi cant asymmetries and skewness to the left of the mean, and moderate kurtosis (tails too short). The DS-test did not ind a positive signal for 3-D substructure.

S49-128 - The X -ray properties of this cluster were discussed by Doe et al. (1995). The cluster contains a large double or W ide-angle tailed radio source with a velocity of 6481 km sec¹, near the center of the primary velocity concentration. The velocity distribution, however, is very boxy, and strongly skewed to the left with slight kurtosis to the right (short velocity tail) (B₁, B₂, B₁ B₂, I, A², and A I-tests). The single spatial outlier to the northwest falls within the 3 -lim it, so was not clipped. The distribution, however, is non-G aussian even when this object is excluded. S49-128 is found near the north-edge of a large open Zwicky cluster.

M KW 2 - This optical properties of this cluster are discussed by Beers et al. (1995), the X-ray and radio by D oe et al. (1995). The cluster contains a wide-angle tailed radio source associated with a cD galaxy with velocity 11260 km sec ¹ (within the largest peak in the velocity distribution). W hile the velocity distribution appears bim odal (as also noted by Beers et al.) between 10000 12000 km sec ¹, the statistical tests found only a weak non-G aussian signature. The 3 galaxies near 9000 and one galaxy near 13000 km sec ¹ were 3 -clipped. MKW 2 is located near the edge of a medium -com pact Zwicky cluster.

REFERENCES

Abell, G O. 1958, ApJS, 3, 211

- Albert, C E., W hite, R A., & Morgan, W W. 1977, ApJ, 211, 309
- Bames, JE. 1985, MNRAS, 215, 517
- Barnes, JE. & Hut, P. 1986, Nature, 338, 123
- Beers, T.C., Flynn, K., & Gebhardt, K. 1990, AJ, 100, 32
- Beers, T.C., Kriessler, J.R., Bird, C.M., & Huchra, J.P. 1995, AJ, 109, 874
- Bird, C M . & Beers, T .C . 1993, A J, 105, 1596
- Bryan, G. et al. 1996, in preparation
- Burns, J.O. Hanisch, R.J., White, R.A., Nelson, E.R., Morrisette, K.A., & Moody, J.W. 1987, AJ 94, 587
- Burns, J.O., Ledlow, M.J., Loken, C., Klypin, A., Voges, W., Bryan, G., & Norman, M. 1996, in preparation.

Danese, L, DeZotti, G., & diTullo, G. 180, A & A, 82, 322

David, L.P., Jones, C., & Form an, W. 1995, ApJ, 445, 578

- Dell'Antonio, IP., Geller, M.J., & Fabricant, D.G. 1994, AJ, 107, 427
- Dell'Antonio, IP., Geller, M.J., & Fabricant, D.G. 1995, AJ, 110, 502
- Diaferio, A., Geller, M.J., & Ramella, M. 1994, AJ, 107, 868
- Diaferio, A., Geller, M.J., & Ramella, M. 1995, AJ, 109, 2293
- Doe, SM ., Ledlow, M J., Bums, J.O., & W hite, R A. 1995, AJ, 110, 46
- D ressler, A. 1984, ARA & A, 22, 185
- D ressler, A. & Schectm an, S.A. 1988, AJ, 95, 985
- Hemquist, L. 1987, ApJS, 64, 715
- Hickson, P. 1982, ApJ, 255, 382
- Hill, JM. & Lesser, M.P. 1986, in Proceedings of SPIE Conference on Instrumentation in Astronom y VI, SPIE, 627, 303
- Morgan, W W ., Kayser, S., & W hite, R A. 1975, ApJ, 199, 545
- Mosteller, F. & Tukey, J.W. 1977, in Data Analysis and Regression (Addison Wesley, Reading, MA), p. 133
- Pinkney, J., Roettiger, K., Bums, J.O., & Bird, C.M. 1996, ApJS, in press
- Price, R., Burns, J.O., Duric, N., & Newberry, M.V. 1991, AJ, 102, 14
- Ramella, M., Diaferio, A., Geller, M.J., & Huchra, JP. 1994, AJ, 107, 1623
- Rose, JA. 1977, ApJ, 211, 311
- Shakhbazyan, R.K. 1973, Astro z, 9, 495
- Soneira, R.M. & Peebles, P.J.E. 1978, ApJ, 211, 1
- Tumer, E L. & Gott, JR. 1976, AJ, 91, 204
- W hite, R A . 1978, ApJ, 226, 591
- White, RA, Bhavsar, S., Bommann, P., & Burns, JO. 1996, in preparation
- Yahil, A.& Vidal, N.V. 1977, ApJ, 214, 347
- Zabludo , A.J., Huchra, J.P., & Geller, M.J. 1990, ApJS, 74, 1
- Zwicky, F., Herzog, E., Karpowicz, M., Kowal, C.T., & Wild, P. 1961–1968, Catalogue of Galaxies & Clusters of Galaxies (Caltech, Pasadena)

This preprint was prepared with the AAS ${\rm I\!A} T_E X$ m acros v4.0.

Table 1. Poor Cluster Sample

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	C luster	RA (2000)	RA (2000) DEC (2	2000) z	N 0:5 M pc	S _{B I} 0:5 M pc	N 1 M pc	S _{в I} 1 М рс	Ref	O ther N am e
S49(147) 00 21 30.1 22 26 39 0.0191 B 209* $\frac{11}{212}$ 12 233* $\frac{143}{143}$ NED S34(111) 0.01 56 22.9 05 37 37 0.0179 10 205* $\frac{13}{39}$ 466* $\frac{11}{41}$ 47 486* $\frac{13}{37}$ MX S49(141 0.0 15 62.2.9 05 37 37 0.0179 10 205* $\frac{13}{39}$ 7 NED S49(145 0.2 07 34.9 0.2 08 14 0.0227 6 519* $\frac{41}{94}$ 7 NED N45(1342 0.9 16 11.8 17 39 26 0.0221 7 S44* $\frac{128}{143}$ 7 NED N45(1348 0.9 16 11.8 17 39 26 0.0292 3 APO /NED APO /NED N45(348 0.9 27 51.8 2.9 59 56 0.0266 7 2.38* $\frac{14}{14}$ 11 185* $\frac{4}{40}$ APO N34(170 0.9 42 24.6 0.4 16 16 0.0292 2 2 APO N34(170 0.9 42 24.6 0.4 16 16 0.0292 2 2 APO N34(170 0.9 42 24.6 0.4 16 16 0.0291 6 327* $\frac{13}{13}$ 9 <td< td=""><td>\$34(115</td><td>00 18 18.7</td><td>00 18 18 7 30 0</td><td>2 41 0.0225</td><td>10</td><td>474 168</td><td>12</td><td></td><td>NED</td><td></td></td<>	\$34(115	00 18 18.7	00 18 18 7 30 0	2 41 0.0225	10	474 168	12		NED	
S34(111 01 07 277 32 35 50 0.0173 29 466^{4} 47 486^{4} 37 MX S49(140 01 56 22.9 05 37 37 0.0179 10 205^{4} 13 47 486^{4} APO S49(140 02 01 47.8 88 28 25 0.0264 4 4 APO S49(142 03 20 44.7 (01 02 15 0.0211 6 69^{4} 3 NED N45(342 09 10 10.9 50 24 47 0.0165 3 NED NED N45(386 09 17 31.6 19 51 24 0.0291 7 544^{4}.233 20 606^{4} APO/NED N45(386 09 17 31.6 19 51 24 0.0292 2 2 APO APO N45(384 09 17 31.6 0.0292 2 2 APO APO APO N34(170 00 42 24.6 04 16 16 0.0292 2 APO APO APO N34(172 10 00 32.1 (02 57 27) 0.0207 8 337^{+139}_{-13} 9 $BKBH$ MKW	S49{147	00 21 30.1	00 21 30.1 22 2	6 3 9 0.0191	8	289^{+172}	12	233 ^{+ 141}	NED	
S491140 0.15 62.2 $100 + \frac{5}{21}$ $100 + \frac{5}{21}$ 13 MX S491141 0.02 67.3 0.0179 10 $205 + \frac{5}{21}$ 13 MX S491141 0.02 07 34.0 0.20 81 4.0 0.0227 6 $519^4 + \frac{1}{41}$ 7 NED S491142 03 20 44.7 (01 02 15 0.0211 6 65^{+2} 7 NED N 451342 09 16 11.8 17 39 26 0.0229 3 APO /NED NED N 451348 09 12 51.8 29 59 56 0.0226 7 238^{+1.29} 11 $185^{+0.4} - \frac{81}{40}$ NED N 451344 09 27 51.8 29 59 56 0.0226 7 238^{+1.29} 11 $185^{+0.4} - \frac{81}{40}$ NED N 34(170 09 42 24.6 0.416 16 0.0292 2 APO APO N 45(334 10 251.82 17 06 44 0.025.3 3 NED NED N 45(371 10 20 52.1 10 440 0.031 3 APO NED N 56(387 10 21 25.4 24 04 11 13 0 25 3 <td>\$34(111</td> <td>01 07 27 7</td> <td>01 07 27 7 32 2</td> <td>3 59 0 0173</td> <td>29</td> <td>466^{+ 55}</td> <td>47</td> <td>486^{+ 53}</td> <td>MX</td> <td></td>	\$34(111	01 07 27 7	01 07 27 7 32 2	3 59 0 0173	29	466 ^{+ 55}	47	486 ^{+ 53}	MX	
	549/140	01 56 22 9	01 56 22 9 05 3	7 37 0 0179	10	205 ^{+ 59}	13	400 37	MX	
	S49(140	02 01 47 8	02 01 47 8 08 2	8 25 0.0264	10	205 21	13			
	549(141	02 01 47.0	02 01 47.0 00 2	8 1 4 0 0227	-	519+ 41	7		NED	
	S49(143	03 20 44 7		0.0227	6	69 ^{+ 2}	, 7		NED	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 45 (342	09 10 10 9		12 15 0.0211 1 17 0.0165	3	05 2	, , ,		NED	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 34(160	09 10 10.9	091010.9 302	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3		3			סי
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 45(200	09 10 11.0	091011.0 175	1 24 0 0292	7	501+238	20	609+ 94	AFU/NE DVDU	גע זאז אז 1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 45 (366	09 17 31.0	091751.0 195	1 07 0 0216	6	000 ⁺ 193	20	008 53	ADO (NED	AWMI
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	~N 45{566	09 23 39.3		0.0316	0	000 ₆₅	0	105+84	APO/NED	
N 34(17) 0 94 24 40 0 416 16 0 0.0292 2 2 APO N 34(17) 10 0 32.1 (02 57 27 0.0207 8 337 ⁺¹³⁵ 9 BKBH M KW 1 N 56(393 10 13 52.0 38 40 07 0.0221 6 422 ⁴ 24 6 NED *N 56(387 10 25 18.2 17 08 44 0.0025 3 3 NED *N 56(367 10 27 05.5 16 04 40 0.0331 2 2 APO APO *N 56(388 10 41 24.4 66 1017 0.0310 3 3 NED APO *N 56(396 11 21 28.3 0.25 2 33 0.0496 3 APO NED APO *N 56(359 11 36 21.3 (02 50 37 0.0454 2 APO APO *N 6(3559 11 36 21.3 (02 50 37 0.0454 2 APO /NED BKBH M KW 1 *N 79(278 11 37 54.4 21 58 23 0.0306 8 344 ⁺¹⁵⁷ 8 RDGH HCG 57 N 67(312 11 42 04.6 10 18 20 0.0226 3 <td< td=""><td>N 45{384</td><td>09 27 51.8</td><td>092751.8 295</td><td>9 56 0.0266</td><td>7</td><td>238 14</td><td>11</td><td>185 40</td><td>NED</td><td></td></td<>	N 45{384	09 27 51.8	092751.8 295	9 56 0.0266	7	238 14	11	185 40	NED	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 34{170	09 42 24.6	09 42 24.6 04 I	6 16 U.U292	2	227+135	2		APO	M 12 1-1 1
N 50 (33) 10 10 13 52.0 38 40 07 0.0221 6 422 24 6 NED *N 56 (387) 10 25 18.2 17 08 44 0.0025 3 3 NED *N 56 (367) 10 27 05.5 16 04 40 0.0331 2 2 APO *N 56 (388) 10 41 24.4 06 10 17 0.0310 3 3 NED *N 56 (388) 10 41 22.8 72 50 35 0.0373 2 2 APO (NED *N 56 (396) 11 21 28.3 0.252 33 0.0496 3 3 APO *N 56 (359) 11 36 21.3 0.02 50 37 0.0454 2 2 APO *N 56 (359) 11 36 21.3 (02 50 37) 0.0454 2 APO RD GH HC G 57 N 67 (312) 11 42 04.6 10 18 20 0.0206 9 177 * 45 11 EK B M K M 1 *N 79 (280) 11 44 12.9 33 40 20 0.0325 4 NED NED *N 79 (280) 11 44 12.9 33 40 20 0.0325 4 APO /NED NED N 79 (280)	N 34{1/2	10 00 32.1	10 00 32.1 {02 5	0.0207	8	337_{45}	9		вквн	MKWI
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 56{393	10 13 52.0	10 13 52.0 38 4	0.0221	6	422 24	6		NED	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*N 56{387	10 25 18,2	10 25 18,2 17 0	8 44 0.0025	3		3		NED	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*N 56{367	10 27 05.5	10 27 05.5 16 0	4 40 0.0331	2		2		APO	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*N 56{388	10 41 24.4	10 41 24.4 06 1	0.0310	3		3		NED	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*N 45{3/1	11 20 12.8	11 20 12.8 /2 5	0.03/3	2		2		APO/NE	: D
N 67 (311 11 22 24 17 33 0.0224 6 149 53 6 RDGH HCG 51 N 67 (322 11 28 35.1 09 0529 0.0210 6 45^{+18}_{-16} 6 NED *N 56 (359 11 36 2 APO 2 APO *N 79 (278 11 37 54.4 21 58 23 0.0306 8 344^{+57}_{-16} 8 RDGH HCG 57 N 67 (312 11 42 0.46 10 18.20 0.0206 9 177^{+85}_{-46} 11 BKBH MKW 1 *N 79 (290 11 44 12.9 33<40.20	*N 56{396	11 21 28.3	11 21 28.3 02 5	2 33 0.0496	3	1 40+ 186	3		APO	W G G _ 51
N $67(322)$ 11 $28 35.1$ 09 05 29 0.0210 6 45^{+16}_{-16} 6 N ED *N $56(359)$ 11 $36 21.3$ (02 50 $37)$ 0.0454 2 A PO *N $79(278)$ 11 $37 54.4$ 21 $58 23$ 0.0306 8 344^{+57}_{-14} 8 RDGH HCG 57 N $67(312)$ 11 $42 04.6$ 10 18 20 0.0206 9 177^{+85}_{-46} 11 BKBH M KW 1 *N $79(290)$ 11 $44 12.9$ 33 40 20 0.0325 4 5 $2\frac{2}{86}$ APO /NED N $56(371)$ 11 $46 18.5$ 33 09 19 0.0325 4 4 NED N $67(300)$ 11 $48 22.5$ 12 $43 19$ 0.0129 4 5 $\frac{426}{296}$ RDGH HCG 59 N $56(392)$ 11 $49 38.9$ (03 31 35) 0.0272 8 106^{+167}_{58} 8 APO /BKBH M KW 3 N $79(298)$ 11 $57 52.3$ 25 10 18 0.0153 12 185^{+30}_{32} 13 NED N $79(299B)$ 12 04 09.5 20 13 18 0.0235 5 232^{+159}_{72}	N 6/{311	11 22 26.8	11 22 26.8 24 1	7 33 0.0264	6	149 53	6		RDGH	HCG 51
*N 56 (359 11 36 21.3 (02 50 37 0.0454 2 2 APO *N 79 (278 11 37 54.4 21 58 23 0.0306 8 344^{+57}_{145} 8 RD G H H CG 57 N 67 (312 11 42 04.6 10 18 20 0.0206 9 177^{+85}_{46} 11 BKBH M KW 1 *N 79 (290 11 44 12.9 33 40 20 0.0325 4 5 $2\frac{5}{86}$ APO /NED N 56 (371 11 45 03.5 (01 39 38 0.0276 3 APO /NED *N 79 (280 11 46 18.5 33 09 19 0.0325 4 A PO /NED N 67 (300 11 48 22.5 12 43 19 0.0129 4 5 $4\frac{2}{266}$ RD G H H CG 59 N 56 (392 11 49 38.9 (03 31 35 0.0272 8 106^{+167}_{58} 8 APO /BKBH M KW 3 N 79 (298 11 57 52.3 25 10 18 0.0153 12 185^{+30}_{32} 13 NED N 79 (299 B 12 04 09.5 20 13 18 0.0235 5 232^{+3}_{159} 14 384^{+151}_{110} NED N 67 (335 12 04 21.7 01 50 19 0.0204 22 607^{+135}_{52} 31 568^{+85}_{70} BKBH M KW 4 N 79 (299A 12 05 51.2 20 32 19 0.0235 9 495^{+130}_{72} 15 419^{+84}_{49} NED N 79 (283 12 19 54.8 28 521 0.0259 2 2 2 APO N 79 (283 12 19 54.8 28 521 0.0259 2 2 2 APO *N 67 (330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{40} 55 485^{+63}_{41} NED N 79 (292 24 14.7 09 20 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{40} 55 485^{+63}_{41} NED N 79 (283 12 19 54.8 28 521 0.0259 2 APO *N 67 (330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{40} 55 485^{+63}_{41} NED N 79 (292 24 24 7.7 0.050 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{40} 55 485^{+63}_{41} NED	N 67{322	11 28 35.1	11 28 35.1 09 0	5 29 0.0210	6	45 16	6		NED	
*N 79(278 11 37 54.4 21 58 23 0.0306 8 344 $^{+1.4}_{-1.4}$ 8 RDGH HCG 57 N 67(312 11 42 04.6 10 18 20 0.0206 9 177 $^{+85}_{-85}$ 11 BKBH MKW 1 *N 79(290 11 44 12.9 33 40 20 0.0325 4 5 $\frac{2}{6}$ APO/NED N 56(371 11 45 03.5 (01 39 38 0.0276 3 3 APO/NED *N 79(280 11 46 18.5 33 09 19 0.0325 4 4 NED N 67(300 11 48 22.5 12 43 19 0.0129 4 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ 8 APO/BKBH MKW 3 N 56(392 11 49 38.9 (03 31 35 0.0272 8 106^{+167}_{-58} 8 APO/BKBH MKW 3 N 79(298 11 57 52.3 25 10 18 0.0153 12 $188^{+3.0}_{-3.2}$ 13 NED N 79(299B 12 04 09.5 20 13 18 0.0235 5 232^{+159}_{-159} 14 384^{+151}_{-110} NED N 67(335 12 04 21.7 01 50 19 0.0204 22 $607^{+1.35}_{-52}$ 31 568^{+70}_{-70} BKBH MKW 4 N 79(299A 12 05 51.2 20 32 19 0.0235 9 $495^{+7.2}_{-7.2}$ 15 419^{+84}_{-49} NED N 79(2929A 12 05 51.2 20 32 19 0.0235 9 $495^{+7.2}_{-7.2}$ 15 419^{+84}_{-49} NED N 79(283 12 19 42.9 28 47 22 0.0253 4 4 NED N 79(283 12 19 54.8 28 25 21 0.0259 2 2 2 APO N 79(283 12 19 54.8 28 25 21 0.0259 2 2 2 APO *N 67(330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{-49} 55 485^{+63}_{-41} NED	*N 56{359	11 36 21.3	11 36 21.3 {02 5	0 37 0.0454	2	+ 57	2		APO	
N 67{312 11 42 04.6 10 18 20 0.0206 9 177_{46}^{703} 11 BKBH MKW 1 *N 79{290 11 44 12.9 33 40 20 0.0325 4 5 236^{2} APO/NED N 56{371 11 45 03.5 {01 39 38 0.0276 3 3 APO/NED *N 79{280 11 46 18.5 33 09 19 0.0325 4 4 NED N 67{300 11 48 22.5 12 43 19 0.0129 4 5 426^{6}_{26} RDGH HCG 59 N 56{392 11 49 38.9 {03 31 35} 0.0272 8 106^{+167}_{58} 8 APO/BKBH MKW 3 N 79{298 11 57 52.3 25 10 18 0.0153 12 185^{+30}_{-32} 13 NED N 67{335 12 04 21.7 01 50 19 0.0204 22 607^{+135}_{-135} 31 568^{+85}_{-85} BKBH MKW 4 N 79{299A 12 05 51.2 20 32 19 0.0235 9 495^{+73}_{-73} 10 89^{+19}_{-11} NED N 79{282 12 12 25.9 29 08 20 0.0132 5	*N 79{278	11 37 54.4	11 37 54.4 21 5	8 23 0.0306	8	344 14	8		RDGH	HCG 57
*N 79 (290 11 44 12.9 33 40 20 0.0325 4 5 236 APO/NED N 56 (371 11 45 03.5 (01 39 38 0.0276 3 3 APO/NED *N 79 (280 11 46 18.5 33 09 19 0.0325 4 4 NED N 67 (300 11 48 22.5 12 43 19 0.0129 4 5 4266 RD G H H C G 59 N 56 (392 11 49 38.9 (03 31 35 0.0272 8 106^{+167}_{58} 8 APO/BKBH M KW 3 N 79 (298 11 57 52.3 25 10 18 0.0153 12 185^{+30}_{-32} 13 NED N 79 (298 11 57 52.3 25 10 18 0.0235 5 232^{+159}_{-13} 14 384^{+151}_{-110} NED N 67 (335 12 04 21.7 01 50 19 0.0204 22 607^{+135}_{-52} 31 568^{+85}_{-70} BK BH M KW 4 N 79 (299A 12 05 51.2 20 32 19 0.0235 9 495^{+72}_{-72} 15 419^{+84}_{-94} NED N 79 (282 12 12 25.9 29 08 20 0.0132 5 85^{+37}_{-4} 10 89^{+19}_{-11} NED H C G 61 N 79 (283 12 19 54.8 28 25 21 0.0259 2 2 APO *N 67 (330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{-40} 55 485^{+63}_{-41} NED N 67 (330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{-40} 55 485^{+63}_{-41} NED	N 67{312	11 42 04.6	11 42 04.6 10 1	B 20 0.0206	9	177'46	11	+ 67	ВКВН	MKW 10
N 56{371 11 45 03.5 $\{01 39 38$ 0.0276 3 3 APO/NED *N 79{280 11 46 18.5 33 09 19 0.0325 4 4 NED N 67{300 11 48 22.5 12 43 19 0.0129 4 5 $\frac{426}{56}$ RDGH HCG 59 N 56{392 11 49 38.9 $\{03 31 35$ 0.0272 8 106^{+167}_{58} 8 APO/BKBH MKW 3 N 79{298 11 57 52.3 25 10 18 0.0153 12 185^{+30}_{-32} 13 NED N 79{299B 12 04 09.5 20 13 18 0.0235 5 232^{+159}_{-13} 14 384^{+151}_{-110} NED N 67{335} 12 04 21.7 01 50 19 0.0204 22 607^{+135}_{-12} 31 568^{+85}_{-70} BKBH MKW 4 N 79{299A 12 05 51.2 20 32 19 0.0235 9 495^{+37}_{-72} 15 419^{+84}_{-99} NED N 79{282 12 12 25.9 29 08 20 0.0132 5 85^{+37}_{-4} 10 89^{+11}_{-11} NED N 79{283	*N 79{290	11 44 12.9	11 44 12.9 33 4	0.0325	4		5	236	APO /NED	
*N 79{280 11 46 18.5 33 09 19 0.0325 4 4 NED N 67{300 11 48 22.5 12 43 19 0.0129 4 5 $\frac{426}{58}$ RD G H HC G 59 N 56{392 11 49 38.9 {03 31 35 0.0272 8 106 ^{+ 167} 8 APO / BK BH MK W 3 N 79{298 11 57 52.3 25 10 18 0.0153 12 185 ^{+ 30} 13 NED N 79{299 12 04 09.5 20 13 18 0.0235 5 232 ^{+ 159} 14 384 ^{+ 151} NED N 67{335 12 04 21.7 01 50 19 0.0204 22 607 ^{+ 135} 31 568 ^{+ 85} BK BH MK W 4 N 79{299 A 12 05 51.2 20 32 19 0.0235 9 495 ^{+ 130} 15 419 ^{+ 84} NED N 79{282 12 12 25.9 29 08 20 0.0132 5 85 ^{+ 37} 10 89 ^{+ 19} NED HC G 61 N 79{283 12 19 54.8 28 25 21 0.0259 2 2 APO *N 67{330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 22 002.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 22 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 22 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 22 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 20 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 20 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 20 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 20 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 20 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 NED N 79{202 12 20 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 S 55 485 ^{+ 63} NED N 79{202 12 20 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 S 55 485 ^{+ 63} NED N 79{202 12 20 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 S 55 485 ^{+ 63} NED N 79{202 12 20 0.23 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ^{+ 76} 40 S 55 485 ^{+ 63} NED	N 56{371	11 45 03.5	11 45 03.5 {01 3	9 38 0.0276	3		3		APO/NE	D
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*N 79{280	11 46 18.5	11 46 18.5 33 0	9 1 9 0.0325	4		4	1 226	NED	
N 56{392 11 49 38.9 $\{03 31 35$ 0.0272 8 $106_{58}^{+16}^{+16}$ 8 APO/BKBH MKW 3 N 79{298 11 57 52.3 25 10 18 0.0153 12 185_{32}^{+30} 13 NED N 79{299 12 04 09.5 20 13 18 0.0235 5 232_{13}^{+159} 14 384_{110}^{+110} NED N 67{335 12 04 21.7 01 50 19 0.0204 22 607_{52}^{+135} 31 568_{70}^{+85} BKBH MKW 4 N 79{299A 12 05 51.2 20 32 19 0.0235 9 495_{72}^{+130} 15 419_{19}^{+84} NED N 79{282 12 12 25.9 29 08 20 0.0132 5 85_{4}^{+37} 10 89_{11}^{+19} NED HCG 61 N 79{283 12 19 42.9 28 47 22 0.0259 2 APO APO *N 67{330} 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413_{40}^{+76} 55 485_{41}^{+63} NED N 79{2922 12 32 41 14.7 09.20 24 0.00259 2 APO <td>N 67{300</td> <td>11 48 22.5</td> <td>11 48 22.5 12 4</td> <td>3 19 0.0129</td> <td>4</td> <td>. 167</td> <td>5</td> <td>446°</td> <td>RDGH</td> <td>HCG 59</td>	N 67{300	11 48 22.5	11 48 22.5 12 4	3 19 0.0129	4	. 167	5	446°	RDGH	HCG 59
N 79{298 11 57 52.3 25 10 18 0.0153 12 185^{+30}_{-32} 13 NED N 79{299B 12 04 09.5 20 13 18 0.0235 5 232^{+159}_{-3} 14 384^{+151}_{-110} NED N 67{335 12 04 21.7 01 50 19 0.0204 22 607^{+135}_{-52} 31 568^{+85}_{-70} BK BH M KW 4 N 79{299A 12 05 51.2 20 32 19 0.0235 9 495^{+130}_{-72} 15 419^{+84}_{-99} NED N 79{282 12 12 25.9 29 08 20 0.0132 5 85^{+37}_{-4} 10 89^{+19}_{-11} NED HCG 61 N 79{283 12 19 42.9 28 47 22 0.0259 2 2 APO *N 67{330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{-40} 55 $485^{+63}_{-63}_{-63}$ NED N 79/2022 12 20 414.7 0.20 24 0.00258 7 445^{+88}_{-48} 10 $E55^{+147}_{-147}$ NED	N 56{392	11 49 38.9	11 49 38.9 {03 3	1 35 0.0272	8	106 58	8		АРО /ВКВН	мкw З
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 79{298	11 57 52.3	11 57 52.3 25 1	0.0153	12	185 ⁺³⁰	13		NED	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 79{299B	12 04 09.5	12 04 09.5 20 1	3 18 0.0235	5	232^{+159}_{-3}	14	384^{+151}_{-110}	NED	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 67{335	12 04 21.7	12 04 21.7 01 5	0.0204	22	607^{+135}_{-52}	31	568 ⁺ 85	ВКВН	MKW 4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N 79{299A	12 05 51,2	12 05 51.2 20 3	2 1 9 0.0235	9	495^{+130}_{-72}	15	419^{+84}_{-49}	NED	
N 79{268 12 19 42.9 28 47 22 0.0253 4 4 NED N 79{283 12 19 54.8 28 25 21 0.0259 2 APO *N 67{330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413 ⁺⁷⁶ / ₄₀ 55 485 ⁺⁶³ / ₄₁ NED N 79(292 12 24 14.7 09 20 24 0.0235 7 445 ⁺⁸⁸ 10 Esc ⁺¹⁴⁷ NED	N 79{282	12 12 25 . 9	12 12 25.9 29 0	8 20 0.0132	5	85 ^{+ 37}	10	89 ^{+ 19}	NED	HCG 61
N 79{283 12 19 54.8 28 25 21 0.0259 2 APO *N 67{330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{-40} 55 485^{+63}_{-41} N ED N 79(282 12 24 14 7 09 20 24 0.0235 7 445^{+88}_{-48} 10 555^{+147}_{-417} N ED	N 79{268	12 19 42.9	12 19 42.9 28 4	7 22 0.0253	4		4		NED	
*N 67{330 12 20 02.3 05 20 24 0.0068 32 413^{+76}_{40} 55 485^{+63}_{41} NED	N 79{283	12 19 54.8	12 19 54.8 28 2	5 21 0.0259	2		2		APO	
$N 70 (202 12.24.14.7 0.0.20.24 0.0.0225 7 445^{+88} 10 550^{+147} NFD$	*N 67{330	12 20 02.3	12 20 02.3 05 2	0.0068	32	413 ^{+ 76}	55	485^{+63}_{41}	NED	
N 191292 12 24 14.7 U9 20 24 U.0233 / 443 13 18 558 95 NED	N 79{292	12 24 14.7	12 24 14.7 09 2	0.0235	7	445 ^{+ 88}	18	558 ^{+ 147}	NED	
$N79\{284 12 35 58.4 26 58 29 0.0246 4 7 533^7 NED$	N 79{284	12 35 58.4	12 35 58.4 26 5	8 29 0.0246	4		7	5gg7	NED	
*N 67{333 13 04 25.3 07 54 54 0.0449 2 2 APO	*N 67{333	13 04 25.3	13 04 25.3 07 5	4 54 0.0449	2		2		APO	
N 67{323 13 05 26.5 53 33 56 0.0289 4 4 APO	N 67{323	13 05 26.5	13 05 26.5 53 3	3 56 0.0289	4		4		APO	
N 67{317 13 13 49.0 06 57 09 0.0217 2 2 APO	N 67{317	13 13 49.0	13 13 49.0 06 5	7 09 0.0217	2		2		APO	
N 79{270 13 17 19.3 20 37 11 0.0226 4 4 NED	N 79{270	13 17 19.3	13 17 19.3 20 3	7 11 0.0226	4		4		NED	
N 79{296 13 29 22.3 11 47 31 0.0232 8 424 ⁺¹⁴⁶ 14 384 ⁺⁷⁰ BKBH MKW 11	N 79{296	13 29 22.3	13 29 22.3 11 4	7 31 0.0232	8	424 ⁺¹⁴⁶	14	384 ^{+ 70}	ВКВН	M K W 11
N 67 { 329 13 32 36.4 07 20 36 0.0231 5 155^{+54}_{51} 5 APO / NED	N 67{329	13 32 36.4	13 32 36.4 07 2	0.0231	5	155_{51}^{+54}	5		APO/NED	
N 67 { 318 13 52 19.0 02 20 19 0.0235 2 2 APO	N 67{318	13 52 19.0	13 52 19.0 02 2	0.0235	2	71	2		APO	
*N 79{286 13 53 31.0 40 16 17 0.0085 14 145 ^{+ 173} 27 183 ^{+ 77} NED HCG 68	*N 79{286	13 53 31.0	13 53 31.0 40 1	6 17 0.0085	14	145+173	27	183 ^{+ 77}	NED	HCG 68
N 79{297 13 55 24.7 25 03 20 0.0293 7 178 ⁺¹⁰³ 7 RDGH HCG 69	N 79{297	13 55 24.7	13 55 24.7 25 0	3 20 0.0293	7	178+103	7	96	RDGH	HCG 69
*N 79{276 13 56 22.3 28 31 23 0.0358 3 NED	*N 79{276	13 56 22.3	13 56 22.3 28 3	1 23 0.0358	3	42	3		NED	
N 67{336 14 03 04.0 09 26 35 0.0196 18 625 ⁺¹⁵³ 31 721 ⁺¹⁷⁶ ₁₆₂ BKBH MKW 12	N 67{336	14 03 04.0	14 03 04.0 09 2	6 35 0.0196	18	625+153	31	721+176	ВКВН	MKW 12
N 67 { 325 14 09 58.5 17 32 51 0.0171 5 320^{+66}_{54} 6 NED	N 67{325	14 09 58.5	14 09 58.5 17 3	2 51 0.0171	5	320 ^{+ 66} 54	6	102	NED	

C luster	RA (2000)	DEC (2000)	Z	N 0:5 M pc	S _{B I} 0:5 M pc	N 1 M pc	S _{в I} 1 M рс	Ref	O ther N am e
*N 56{361	14 15 12.6	04 52 04	0.0559	2		2		APO	/N E D
N 67{326	14 28 14.1	25 50 38	0.0153	8	299+ 83	14	289 ^{+ 50}	ВКВН	AWM 3
N 67{309	14 28 31.6	11 22 38	0.0265	6	292+97	8	35	APO/N	ED
N 56{394	14 34 00.9	03 44 53	0.0289	8	663 ^{+ 387}	16	573^{+363}_{172}	ВКВН	мкw 7
N 56{395	14 40 43.2	03 27 12	0.0272	7	329+ 110	15	422+ 99	ВКВН	MKW 8
N 56{381	14 47 00.4	11 35 29	0.0295	5	265+22	6	55	NED	
*N 67{310	14 48 06,2	31 44 32	0.0453	5	111+228	5		APO/NI	ED
*N 56{365	14 58 26.7	48 27 59	0.0370	2	4	2		A	ΡO
*N 45{381	15 13 11.6	04 28 50	0.0360	4		4		APO	/NED
N 56{374	15 36 30.3	43 31 08	0.0189	5	55 ⁺ 2 ⁶	6		NED	
*N 45{361	15 49 36.8	42 01 56	0.0355	3	-	3		APO	/NED
*N 45{363	15 57 46 . 9	16 16 27	0.0354	3		3		Ν	ED
*N 45{389	16 17 39,2	35 05 45	0.0301	9	239 ^{+ 87}	11		NED	
N 34{171	16 41 35.4	57 50 21	0.0176	3		3		A	ΡO
N 34{175	17 15 21.4	57 22 43	0.0283	7	589 ^{+ 440}			APO	/NED
N 34{173	17 55 24.9	62 36 40	0.0266	4		4		N	ED
S49{146	22 50 17.7	11 35 53	0.0250	6	482^{+102}_{54}	10	617^{+132}_{-110}	NED	
*S49{143	23 01 48.9	15 58 09	0.0077	8	153^{+55}_{-16}	11	136 ^{+ 37}	NED	
S49{144	23 16 24 2	15 51 22	0.0146	2		2		Ν	ED

Table 1 | Continued

References. (BKBH) Beers, T.C. et al. 1995; (RDGH) Ram ella, M. et al. 1994; (APO) This paper; (MX) This paper; (NED) Found through search of the NED Extragalactic database.

C luster is not part of statistical sample for 0.01 < z < 0.03.

C luster	Galaxy	RA (2000)	DEC (2000)	$V_{\rm H}$	Error	Hubble Type
S49–141	NGC 0791	02 01 44.32	08 30 00.1	7905	75	E
	UGC 01513	02 01 47 23	08 28 35.9	7547	100	S/I
N 34 - 169	0915+176	09 15 56 26	17 38 33.8	9046	200	S
N 45-366	UGC 04991 # 1	09 23 27.17	22 19 33.6	9558	95	E
	UGC 04991 # 2	09 23 25 . 99	22 19 01 2	9293	95	E
	UGC 04991 # 3	09 23 24.18	22 18 50.4	10261	105	E
	0923+ 223a	09 23 31.32	22 18 30.6	9117	77	S
	*0923+223b	09 23 29 . 97	22 19 38.9	8219	100	E
N 34 - 170	UGC 05182	09 42 25.04	04 16 57 2	8631	48	E
	*CGCG 035-032	09 42 13.38	04 16 25 2	8469	50	S
N 56-367	CGCG 094-058	10 27 05.47	16 00 41.4	9653	89	S
	CGCG 094-062	10 27 14.74	16 02 56.8	10171	73	E
N 45-371	1121+ 728	11 21 28.77	72 48 29.6	11194	115	S
N 56–396	CGCG 039-135	11 21 32 56	02 53 13.8	14616	56	E
	NGC 3647	11 21 38,58	02 53 30.0	14714	80	E
	CGCG 039-140	11 21 35.30	02 53 37.5	15256	82	E
N 56-359	CGCG 012-037	11 36 19 29	-02 50 50.1	13812	150	S
	CGCG 012-036	11 36 14.01	-02 52 13.8	13418	160	S
N 79–290	*CGCG 186-029N1	11 44 03.35	33 32 06.2	9501	100	S
	CGCG 186-029N2	11 44 04.36	33 32 33.8	9230	100	S
N 56-371	CGCG 012-076	11 45 15.03	-01 42 11.0	8147	87	E
	CGCG 012-074	11 45 06.38	-01 40 09.3	8220	107	S
156-392	CGCG 012-098	11 49 39 . 72	-03 31 47,2	8210	120	S
	CGCG 012-095	11 49 35.44	-03 29 18.0	8215	78	E
	1149-034	11 49 32 28	-03 28 34.2	8141	80	I
N 79–283	CGCG 158-074	12 19 54.80	28 23 21 2	7594	126	S
	CGCG 158-078	12 20 10 21	28 23 19.9	7912	160	E
N 67-333	CGCG 043-128	13 04 14.44	07 54 13.4	13646	98	E
	CGCG 043-127	13 04 07 20	07 54 55.3	13326	140	E
N 67-323	NGC 4967	13 05 36.42	53 33 51 . 9	8812	145	E
	MRK 0239	13 05 25.82	53 35 30.5	11331	98	E
	1305+ 536	13 05 19 . 61	53 35 39.5	15636	112	I
	1305+ 535	13 05 14.38	53 34 12.7	9126	150	S
	1304+ 536	13 04 58.64	53 36 34.5	26880	140	S
	1304+ 535	13 04 56 . 87	53 35 29 . 9	9405	129	E
N 67-317	CGCG 044-036	13 13 55.17	06 57 07.7	6375	87	S
	CGCG 044-033	13 13 44.77	06 59 22.6	6620	140	S
N 67–329	CGCG 045-011	13 32 54 . 65	07 19 39.4	7099	78	S
	NGC 5209	13 32 42.55	07 19 37.7	7042	74	Е
	CGCG 045-008	13 32 32.31	07 17 38.9	6821	150	S
N 67–318	CGCG 045-124	13 52 22 76	02 20 43 0	6981	150	S/E

Table 2. ARC 3.5-m Velocity M easurements

C luster	G alaxy	ra (2000)	DEC (2000)	$V_{\rm H}$	Error	Hubble Type
	CGCG 045-122	13 52 14 53	02 21 33.2	11149	200	Е
N 56-361	1415+ 049	14 15 16.77	04 54 28.3	16819	98	S
	CGCG 046-076	14 15 12.07	04 52 19.6	13796	150	S
	CGCG 046-073	14 14 54 24	04 54 15.7	22396	160	S
N 67–309	CGCG 075-048	14 28 40.83	11 22 05.0	8184	130	S
	*CGCG 075-043	14 28 20.68	11 21 33 . 9	7766	100	S
	CGCG 075-044	14 28 22.43	11 25 05.0	7914	63	E
N 67-310	CGCG 164-027	14 48 08.35	31 42 36.7	9888	117	E
	CGCG 164-028	14 48 07.35	31 44 16.7	13567	128	E
	IC 4508 (1)	14 47 50.77	31 45 53 2	13513	98	E
	IC 4508 (2)	14 47 47.90	31 46 51.3	13700	107	E
	*1448+ 317	14 48 12.89	31 44 33 2	13639		I
N 56-365	CGCG 248-035	14 58 32.27	48 29 04.6	10993	75	E
	CGCG 248-034	14 58 27.76	48 29 32 . 6	11210	74	E
N 45-381	1513+ 044	15 13 28.14	04 27 56.4	15964	100	S
	CGCG 049-054	15 13 18.60	04 28 38.9	11484	102	E
	CGCG 049-049	15 13 10.43	04 28 55.5	10980	85	Е
	CGCG 049-045	15 13 08 24	04 29 49 3	9829	150	S
N 45-361	CGCG 222-052	15 49 38 28	42 02 03.5	10076	96	E
N 34 - 171	NGC 6211	16 41 27.83	57 47 01.4	5248	69	E
	NGC 6213	16 41 37 22	57 48 53 . 8	5377	46	S
	UGC 10516	16 41 44.87	57 50 55 . 9	5204	78	S
N 34 - 175	IC 1252	17 15 50.11	57 22 01.1	8996	108	S
	NPM 1G + 57.0229	17 15 47.08	57 18 08.0	7822	90	S
	1715+ 573a	17 15 29 . 18	57 21 00.1	8430	150	S/SO
	NGC 6346	17 15 24 26	57 19 22.5	8739	73	E
	1715+ 573b	17 15 16.58	57 19 47.5	7732	91	s/s0
	1715+ 573c	17 15 08.32	57 18 39 . 7	9280	63	S

Table 2 | Continued

Em ission-Line Redshift

C luster	Galaxy	RA (2000)	DEC (2000)	V _H	error
S49{132		23:10:31.81	+ 07:34:17.8		
	201	23:10:42.30	+ 07:34:04.1	13204	45
	203	23:10:40.60	+ 07:23:16.6	10979	51
	204	23:11:33.75	+ 07:31:49.6	11619	44
	208	23:11:07.85	+ 07:24:24.1	12149	61
	210	23:10:38.28	+ 07:33:54.9	12967	57
	211	23:10:37.36	+ 07:32:48.7	12352	38
	212	23:10:33.43	+ 07:32:19.5	12208	48
	215	23:10:49.98	+ 07 27 20 5	12757	82
	220	23:10:45.52	+07:26:14.2	11777	88
	226	23:10:20.74	+ 07:22:14.8	12245	40
	227	23:10:18.12	+ 07:32:42.2	10818	50
	228	23:09:53.38	+07:29:31.6	13752	49
	229	23:09:09.44	+07:30:26.1	11095	40
	*230	23:09:03.92	+ 07:31:04.3	12314	56
	231	23:09:59.04	+ 07:20:32.9	11734	46
	234	23:10:14.43	+ 07:27:09.3	13355	40
	236	23:10:20.81	+07:32:36.2	11225	38
	237	23:10:27.94	+ 07:32:46.1	12771	50
	238	23:10:03.08	+ 07:34:06.7	11241	39
	239	23:10:18.51	+ 07:34:17.8	13573	108
	244	23:10:09.46	+07:16:19.4	13401	45
	246	23:09:54.33	+07:22:16.5	11907	47
	250	23:10:30.43	+ 07:35:20.8	12651	39
	252	23:10:48.48	+ 07:35:12.0	12620	46
	254	23:10:58.94	+ 07:39:38.8	12838	41
	256	23:11:35.66	+ 07:44:35.0	12724	40
	262	23:10:22.39	+ 07:34:51.0	11852	38
	263	23:10:25.11	+ 07:34:42.0	11048	37
	264	23:10:26.86	+ 07:35:24.9	11273	48
	265	23:10:25.88	+ 07:37:39.0	11274	45
	266	23:10:14.73	+ 07:39:08.6	10858	108
	269	23:10:06.28	+ 07:46:02.6	11775	41
	271	23:10:22.53	+ 07:53:25.1	15107	58
	*272	23:10:00.06	+ 07:35:42.9	11073	75
N 56{394		14:34:00.94	+ 03:44:53.4		
	250	14:33:33.52	+03:16:28.4	9190	122
	256	14:33:59.11	+ 03:46:40.7	8722	25
	257	14:33:58.08	+ 03:44:47.9	10037	19
	258	14:34:03.94	+ 03:44:51.2	7366	18

Table 3. Steward Observatory 2.3-m MX Velocity Measurements

ListerG alaxyRA (2000)D E C (2000) $V_{\rm H}$ encc25914:34:01.44+ 03:47:50.487792426114:33:55.29+ 03:50:02.687392426314:33:30.74+ 03:41:08.384185026414:33:51.41+ 03:40:45.887022726614:34:50.57+ 03:38:41.885378226714:34:08.23+ 03:54:05.4861622	ror 24 34 30 32 32 32 32 30 0 0
259 14:34:01.44 + 03:47:50.4 8779 24 261 14:33:55.29 + 03:50:02.6 8739 24 263 14:33:30.74 + 03:41:08.3 8418 50 264 14:33:51.41 + 03:40:45.8 8702 27 266 14:34:50.57 + 03:38:41.8 8537 82 267 14:34:08:23 + 03:54:05.4 8616 22	24 60 77 62 62 67 60 0
261 14:33:55.29 + 03:50:02.6 8739 24 263 14:33:30.74 + 03:41:08.3 8418 50 264 14:33:51.41 + 03:40:45.8 8702 27 266 14:34:50.57 + 03:38:41.8 8537 82 267 14:34:08:23 + 03:54:05.4 8616 22	24 50 27 52 22 57 60 0
263 14:33:30.74 + 03:41:08.3 8418 50 264 14:33:51:41 + 03:40:45.8 8702 27 266 14:34:50:57 + 03:38:41.8 8537 82 267 14:34:08:23 + 03:54:05.4 8616 22	50 27 22 23 57 50 0
264 14:33:51:41 + 03:40:45.8 8702 27 266 14:34:50:57 + 03:38:41.8 8537 82 267 14:34:08:23 + 03:54:05.4 8616 22	27 22 22 57 00 0
266 14:34:50.57 + 03:38:41.8 8537 82 267 14:34:08:23 + 03:54:05.4 8616 22	32 22 37 30 .0
267 14 34 08 23 + 03 54 05 4 8616 22	22 57 50 0
	57 60 0
268 14:33:17.49 + 03:54:11.9 8942 57	0
269 14:33:48.30 + 03:57:24.4 8810 30	0
271 14:33:34.49 + 03:55:52.4 25531 40	
282 14:33:38:23 + 03:41:46.0 9797 35	5
283 14:33:41.87 + 03:41:38.0 26220 73	'3
284 14:33:11.88 + 03:48:20.9 25784 60	50
286 14:33:09:26 + 03:34:29.3 9227 90	0
288 14:34:05:29 + 03:39:26.9 8792 131	31
329 14:33:00.51 + 03:47:25.7 8864 45	^{،5}
*331 14:32:41.70 + 03:46:30.9 8406 64	54
337 14:32:49.92 + 03:40:23.8 45093 97)7
347 14:32:35.39 + 03:16:51.8 13853 125	25
*352 14:31:27.52 + 03:25:03.9 16064 122	22
360 14:32:21:32 + 03:24:49.7 12834 78	'8
361 14:32:09.13 + 03:25:52.3 32321 88	8
*363 14:31:53.07 + 03:22:47.8 1497 50	0
*366 14:32:29:21 + 03:27:24:3 8556 50	0
07105036 + 5010031	
214 07:12:25:68 + 50:39:37:1 6480 59	9
227 07.12.43.63 + 50.03.41.7 5487 34	4
235 07:12:04:49 + 50:35:31.5 51:32 48	- 8
236 07.12.03.86 + 50.27.50.6 5818 95	95
237 07:11:29:04 + 50:40:01.7 14797 99	9
238 07:11:22:59 + 50:31:49.2 6206 68	58
*240 07:10:35:10 + 50:47:40.9 5816 50	0
241 07.09.52.71 + 50.27.00.3 5686 24	4
247 07:11:59:72 + 50:15:04:5 5777 10	03
249 07:11:33.59 + 50:14:53.0 6035 24	4
253 07:11:04:66 + 50:08:11.7 4846 39	9
255 07:10:49:07 + 50:14:48:3 23195 122	22
257 07:10:38.62 + 50:10:37.3 5648 33	3
258 07:10:44.98 + 50:04:52.4 571.3 28	8
259 07:10:34:10 + 50:07:07:0 6490 23	3
261 07:10:25:87 + 49:56:29.9 18076 122	22

Table 3 | Continued

C luster	Galaxy	RA (2000)	DEC (2000)	V _H	error
	262	07:10:02.59	+ 50:17:41.2	18411	43
	263	07:09:42.51	+ 50:03:55.9	19451	122
	265	07:09:28.36	+ 50:09:08.4	4887	34
	266	07:11:52.00	+ 49:49:33.3	18621	36
	267	07:11:41.78	+ 49:51:43.5	6164	22
	268	07:11:37.50	+ 49:45:56.5	18589	47
	269	07:11:28.52	+ 49:33:37.8	5865	122
	270	07:11:16.31	+ 49:48:15.0	18398	40
	271	07:11:08.92	+49:53:59.3	6296	77
	273	07:10:53.95	+ 49:54:11.1	6393	19
	274	07:10:34.75	+ 49:52:22.2	6174	26
	275	07:09:51.01	+ 49:52:03.4	5427	50
	276	07:09:30.18	+ 49:39:11.8	18580	50
	278	07:08:38.73	+ 50:35:01.0	13336	119
	*279	07:08:34.23	+ 50:37:51.4	6164	50
	286	07:09:20.48	+ 50:02:48.9	18005	45
	*300	07:09:17.82	+49:52:16.1	4919	50
	*301	07:09:15.87	+ 49:49:12.9	4710	50
S49{140		01:56:22.89	+ 05:37:37.3		
	232	01:58:45.97	+ 05:31:30.9	6715	122
	234	01:58:46.81	+ 05:47:21.1	28341	56
	*253	01:57:22.60	+ 05:42:42.6	4263	122
	261	01:58:07.32	+05:20:16.7	31936	122
	300	01:57:03.63	+ 05:27:42.9	8454	113
	304	01:56:52.10	+ 05:46:29.0	5378	40
	309	01:56:36.80	+ 05:48:14.7	5655	81
	311	01:56:42.87	+ 05:41:16.4	5154	53
	322	01:56:19.03	+ 05:39:07.3	6421	76
	326	01:56:11.99	+ 05:35:18.6	5253	42
	326	01:56:11.99	+ 05:35:18.6	5349	53
	355	01:56:02.38	+ 05:00:04.0	9930	122
	357	01:55:27.56	+052131.9	36395	122
	379	01:55:19.26	+ 05:27:58.6	21073	122
	385	01:54:42.42	+ 05:25:34.4	47490	93
	398	01:55:06.94	+ 05:22:24.7	5551	95
	399	01:55:02.54	+ 05:08:19.0	25329	108
	402	01:54:41.82	+ 05:05:41.0	47620	103
	405	01:53:55.53	+ 05:18:37.1	43495	113
S34–111		01:07:27.71	+ 32:23:59.7		

Table 3 | Continued

C luster	Galaxy	RA (2000)	DEC (2000)	V _H	error
	241	01:07:46.16	+ 32:41:34.2	5434	31
	244	01:09:42.48	+ 32:27:04.9	5081	56
	259	01:08:53.69	+ 32:30:51.9	5051	35
	261	01:08:15.85	+ 32:29:56.3	5661	75
	265	01:08:12.94	+ 32:27:12.4	4846	26
	271	01:07:24.98	+ 32:24:45.1	5212	28
	274	01:09:59.37	+ 32:22:05.8	5247	27
	286	01:09:33.28	+ 32:10:23.2	5606	31
	288	01:09:14.03	+ 32:09:04.7	4757	47
	293	01:08:55.26	+ 32:19:30.4	4735	59
	295	01:08:58.94	+ 32:14:25.8	4514	93
	296	01:08:52.33	+ 32:05:59.0	10617	70
	298	01:08:18.79	+ 32:13:35.2	5315	92
	299	01:08:43.92	+ 32:06:06.4	5718	87
	300	01:08:17.26	+ 32:05:24.1	4652	69
	304	01:07:47.17	+ 32:18:35.6	5534	25
	305	01:07:31.30	+ 32:21:42.7	5709	31
	306	01:07:33.06	+ 32 23 27 5	4824	33
	311	01:07:32.60	+ 32:05:34.0	14851	35
	318	01:09:13.50	+ 31:58:45.8	5255	25
	357	01:07:05.78	+ 32:47:42.2	5045	35
	358	01:06:51.44	+ 32:42:04.4	5945	48
	359	01:06:57.05	+ 32:37:20.3	19986	32
	360	01:07:15.68	+ 32:31:12.9	5492	31
	361	01:07:17.60	+ 32:28:58.8	4465	34
	364	01:06:53.76	+ 32:34:42.7	5558	116
	375	01:05:56.00	+ 32:24:43.7	4830	29
	391	01:07:27.22	+ 32:19:11.5	5156	27
	392	01:07:25.01	+ 32:17:33.8	4313	29
	395	01:07:11.55	+ 32:10:13.4	4821	52
	401	01:07:05.92	+ 32:20:53.0	5965	28
	402	01:06:58.21	+ 32:18:30.4	5581	25
S49–128		02:41:04.23	+ 08:43:48.5		
	248	02:41:55.63	+ 08:59:48.3	6103	90
	250	02:42:07.22	+ 08:57:08.0	21256	45
	270	02:42:45.29	+ 08:53:14.6	21780	64
	271	02:42:44.55	+ 08:50:28.7	21178	57
	276	02:42:26.84	+ 08:49:52.1	21296	46
	281	02:41:50.03	+ 08:52:45.0	6625	35
	289	02:41:16.28	+ 08:45:38.1	6421	90

Table 3 | Continued

C luster	Galaxy	RA (2000)	DEC (2000)	V _H	error
	290	02:41:06.12	+ 08:44:16.5	6365	37
	291	02:41:07.19	+ 08:44:06.7	6563	27
	343	02:41:53.87	+ 08:34:00.0	6020	27
	344	02:41:47.69	+ 08:23:04.6	6469	26
	346	02:41:12.81	+ 08:43:09.1	6044	27
	349	02:41:08.91	+ 08:30:09.9	20911	59
	351	02:41:10.05	+ 08:26:31.9	6504	27
	355	02:41:08.29	+ 08:12:59.1	6492	24
	406	02:40:38.30	+ 08:50:53.7	6097	34
	422	02:40:55.15	+ 08:35:44.9	5649	71
	423	02:41:01.08	+ 08:31:56.4	18531	125
	431	02:40:17.51	+ 08:43:11.0	6252	39
	440	02:39:35.97	+ 08:27:51.7	12340	31
мкw 2		10:30:14.33	-03:12:24.2		
	234	10:30:17.36	-03:36:13.2	18340	99
	236	10:30:56.27	-03:30:34.1	11747	33
	251	10:28:03.42	-03:40:32.8	10791	16
	252	10:27:54.83	-03:33:30.2	9036	62
	253	10:28:41.82	-03:37:29.0	20925	73
	264	10:31:23.44	-03:08:01.1	12059	23
	*275	10:31:39.29	-03:16:00.5	28683	113
	281	10:31:42.92	-03:24:51.8	18444	103
	287	10:30:28.40	-03:11:43.5	11353	29
	*288	10:30:21.39	-03:05:52.6	10618	59
	291	10:30:14.60	-03:12:09.8	11346	31
	292	10:29:54.97	-03:14:07.5	11294	119
	295	10:30:14.76	-03:15:40.5	11437	28
	298	10:29:55.82	-03:20:50.3	18489	30
	305	10:29:33.90	-03:10:56.4	11628	.56
	*306	10:30:57.53	-03:17:35.9	6407	81
	307	10:31:07.16	-03:14:33.4	29313	108
	*308	10:31:00.07	-03:11:05.5	12102	122
	309	10:30:41.68	-03:10:59.5	10575	40
	310	10:30:44.68	-03:10:12.8	38152	80
	317	10:30:26.03	-032529.0	11554	45
	*318	10:31:06.29	-032524.0	11470	11.3
	320	10:28:07.14	-03:16:43.5	19902	33
	321	10:28:06:80	-03:19:18:2	17844	39
	323	10:28:27.83	-03:14:34.2	10510	23
	*328	10:28:00.97	-03:20:58.9	10474	44
	329	10.27.49.78	-03.20.14 6	9033	50

Table 3 | Continued

a 1 .					
Cluster	Galaxy	RA (2000)	DEC (2000)	$V_{\rm H}$	error
	339	10:27:36.76	-03:03:59.1	15231	31
	346	10:28:53.66	-03:15:07.3	39602	69
	348	10:29:00.22	-03:12:58.1	38045	101
	351	10:29:15.89	-03:25:37.7	13120	122
	*371	10:30:46.91	-02:45:16.9	9006	49
	373	10:30:28.90	-02:38:13.4	24727	122
	379	10:30:13.00	-02:51:37.8	29785	122
	*381	10:30:03.43	-02:49:11.3	8809	44
	383	10:29:23.80	-02:52:09.6	15222	35
	*387	10:30:46.64	-02:56:18.0	15860	44
	396	10:29:00.84	-02:57:43.9	11187	27

Table 3 | Continued

Emission-Line Redshift



Fig. 1. Example spectra from the ARC 3.5-m DIS spectrograph of the galaxy IC 4508 in the poor cluster N 67-310. The continuum shape is distorted because of the transm ission of the dichroic liter hear 5750A. a) Blue spectrum, b) Red spectrum.



Fig. 2. The volum e-density of our poor cluster sample as a function of redshift. We judge the sample nearly complete in the redshift range 0.01 $ext{z}$ 0.03.



Fig. 3. Histogram of the Biweight scale (velocity dispersion) of all poor clusters with 5 m easured velocities within 0.5 M pc of the optical cluster center.



Fig. 4. Velocity histograms for all poor clusters with 10 m easured velocities. All galaxies within 1 M pc and 2000 km sec¹ of the cluster mean velocity are plotted.







Fig. 5. Velocity-coded diagrams of the spatial (RA and DEC) galaxy distribution for the 15 clusters with signi cant non-norm ality as determined from 1-D statistical tests. The velocity coding is labeled on the diagrams.



