

SOLUTION OF THE GAMMA RAY BURST MYSTERY ?

Nir J. Shaviv and Arnon Dar

Department of Physics and Space Research Institute
Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel.

Abstract

Photoexcitation and ionization of partially ionized heavy atoms in highly relativistic flows by interstellar photons, followed by their re-emission in radiative recombination and decay, boost star-light into beamed γ rays along the flow direction. Repeated excitation/decay of highly relativistic baryonic ejecta from merger or accretion induced collapse of neutron stars in dense stellar regions (DSRs), like galactic cores, globular clusters and super star-clusters, can convert enough kinetic energy in such events in distant galaxies into cosmological gamma ray bursts (GRBs). The model predicts remarkably well all the main observed temporal and spectral properties of GRBs. Its success strongly suggests that GRBs are γ ray tomography pictures of DSRs in galaxies at cosmological distances with unprecedented resolution: A time resolution of $dt \sim 1 \text{ ms}$ in a GRB can resolve stars at a Hubble distance which are separated by only $D \sim 10^{10} \text{ cm}$. This is equivalent to the resolving power of an optical telescope with a diameter larger than one Astronomical Unit!

The origin of gamma ray bursts (GRBs), which have been discovered 35 years ago, is still a complete mystery [1]. Their observed isotropy in the sky, deficiency of faint bursts and the lack of concentration towards the Galactic center, in the Galactic disk and in the direction of M31, strongly suggest [2] that they are cosmological in origin [3]. A cosmological origin implies [4] that GRBs have enormous luminosities during short periods of time,

$$L \approx 10^{50} d_{28}^2 \chi \phi_6 \Delta\Omega \text{ erg s}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where $d = d_{28} 10^{28} \text{ cm}$ is their luminosity distance, $\phi = 10^{-6} \phi_6 \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is their measured energy flux, χ is a bandwidth correction factor and $\Delta\Omega$ is the solid angle into which their emission is beamed. Moreover, the short durations of GRBs imply very compact sources. Relativistic beaming is required then, both in order to explain the absence of accompanying optical light and X-ray emission, and in order to avoid self opaqueness due to $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$. All these considerations [4] seem to support the favorite cosmological model of GRBs; relativistic fireballs [5] formed by mergers of neutron stars (NS) or neutron stars and black holes (BH) in close binary systems [6] due to gravitational wave emission [7], or by accretion induced collapse (AIC) of NS and white dwarfs (WD) [6,8]. Indeed, the above considerations and the observed rate of GRBs favor NS mergers/AIC as the source of cosmological GRBs. Nevertheless, no mechanism has been convincingly shown to be able to convert a large enough fraction of their binding energy release into γ rays and to explain simultaneously the complex light curves and spectral behavior of GRBs [4].

Most of the binding energy released in NS mergers/AIC is expected to be in the form of neutrinos, gravitational waves and kinetic energy of ejected material [9]. No mechanism is known which converts efficiently gravitational waves into γ rays. Neutrino annihilation [6] ($\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow e^+e^-$), and neutrino pair production in strong magnetic fields ($\nu\gamma_v \rightarrow \nu e^+e^-$) near merging/collapsing NS cannot convert enough binding energy into $e^+e^-\gamma$ fireballs or relativistic e^\pm beams which can produce cosmological GRBs, because of baryon contamination [10] and the low efficiency of these processes [11]. Thus, if NS-NS and NS-BH mergers, or AIC of WD and NS, produce GRBs, the production must proceed through conversion of baryonic kinetic energy of a highly relativistic flow (a fireball or a jet) into γ rays. Here we propose that repeated photoexcitation/ionization of the highly relativistic atoms of the flow by star-light in dense stellar regions followed by emission of decay/recombination photons which are beamed and boosted to γ ray energies in the observer frame (see Fig. 1), produce cosmological GRBs. We show that this simple mechanism, which has been overlooked, is able to convert enough baryonic kinetic energy of highly relativistic flows in dense stellar regions into cosmological GRBs. We also show that it predicts remarkably well the main observed properties of GRBs; their burst size, duration distribution, complex light curves and spectral evolution.

We assume that the ejected mass in NS mergers or in AIC of NS or WD contains high Z nuclei (e.g., iron-like) either from the crust of the neutron star or from nucleosynthesis of ejected neutron star matter when it expands and cools [12]. In view of the uncertainties in modeling merger/AIC of compact stellar objects [11], rather than relying on numerical simulations, we deduce the total relativistic kinetic energy release in such events from observations of type II supernova explosions, which are driven by gravitational core collapse into NS or BH. In type II supernova explosions, typically, $10M_{\odot}$ are accelerated to a final velocity of $v \sim 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ [9], i.e., to a total final momentum $P \sim 5M_{\odot}v$. Since core collapse is not affected directly by the surrounding stellar envelope, we assume that a similar impulse, $\int F dt \approx P$, is imparted to the ejected mass in merger/AIC collapse of NS or WD. If the ejected mass is much smaller than a solar mass, $\Delta M \ll M_{\odot}$, then it is accelerated to a highly relativistic velocity. Its kinetic energy is given then by $E_K \sim Pc \sim 3 \times 10^{53} \text{ erg}$. About 1% of this kinetic energy must be converted into γ rays in order to produce cosmological GRBs with $\sim 3 \times 10^{51} \text{ erg}$.

The natural birth places of close binary systems are the very dense stellar regions (DSR) in galactic cores [13], collapsed cores of globular clusters (GC) and super star-clusters (SSC) [14]. These DSR are rich in very luminous stars and have very large photon column densities, $N_{\gamma} > L/\pi c R \epsilon$. For instance, the core of our Milky Way (MW) galaxy has a surface brightness, $\Sigma \sim 1.2 \times 10^7 L_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2}$, typical photon energies, $\epsilon \sim 1 \text{ eV}$, and a radius, $R \sim 0.3 \text{ pc}$ [14]. These values yield, on average, $N_{\gamma} > 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. A similar value is obtained for the nearby M31 galaxy. Larger values are possible for the unresolved super star-clusters. Much larger values are obtained for active galactic nuclei (AGN). The highly relativistic ejecta expands, cools and becomes transparent to its own radiation after a relatively short time [5]. Its partially ionized, highly relativistic atoms see the interstellar photons blue shifted to X-ray energies. These photons photoexcite/photoionize the high Z atoms of the flow which subsequently decay/recombine radiatively by isotropic X-ray emission in the flow's rest frame. For a highly relativistic flow with a Lorentz factor $\Gamma \gg 1$, the isotropic X-rays are beamed along the flow, and as long as $\theta < 1/\Gamma$ their energies, ϵ_X , are boosted to γ ray energies,

$$\epsilon_{\gamma} \approx 2\Gamma\epsilon_X/(1 + \Gamma^2\theta^2), \quad (2)$$

where θ is the angle of the flow direction relative to the observer. The differential fraction of the emission that is directed towards the observer is given by

$$dI/d(\cos\theta) \approx 2\Gamma^2/(1 + \Gamma^2\theta^2)^2. \quad (3)$$

The total baryonic kinetic energy which is converted into beamed γ ray emission by repeated excitation/decay can be estimated as follows: The total pho-

to absorption cross section of an atom in an atomic state n into a state n' is

$$\int \sigma_{\nu nn'} d\nu = \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c} f_{nn'}, \quad (4)$$

where the integral is over the natural line width and where the oscillator strength $f_{nn'}$ satisfies the sum rule, $\sum_{n'} f_{nn'} = Z$, with Z being the number of electrons in the system [15]. If the typical energy of the interstellar photons is $\epsilon \sim 1 \text{ eV}$, and if their energy is boosted in the flow's rest frame by $\Gamma \sim 10^3$, then their typical photoabsorption cross section by Fe atoms is

$$\bar{\sigma} \equiv \frac{\int \sigma_{\nu nn'} h d\nu}{\Gamma \epsilon} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{h}{m_e c} \frac{hcZ}{\Gamma \epsilon} \approx 3 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2. \quad (5)$$

This cross section is larger by about seven orders of magnitude than the typical cross sections for production of γ rays in the interstellar medium by inverse Compton scattering, bremsstrahlung and synchrotron emission by electrons. Thus, if the ionized or excited atoms recombine/decay radiatively fast enough, then the typical energy of GRBs (burst size) from NS mergers/AIC in GC is given approximately by

$$E_\gamma \approx \bar{\sigma} N_\gamma (\Gamma \epsilon / m_A c^2) E_K \approx 3 \times 10^{51} \text{ erg}, \quad (6)$$

where $m_A \approx 56 m_p$. In its rest frame the relativistic flow expands against the external radiation field until its internal pressure equals the external pressure due to photoabsorption of the Lorentz boosted photons of the radiation field. Its relativistic atoms are ionized by the photoabsorption of the Lorentz boosted interstellar photons and then recombine radiatively and decay by line emission. The ionization/excitation rate adjusts itself to the recombination/decay rate. A detailed treatment [16] shows that the relativistic flow cools to rather a low temperature (eV), its Fe atoms are only partially ionized and it has a relatively large density which indeed result in fast enough radiative recombination and decay, except, perhaps, near AGN. However, if NS merger/AIC does occur near a bright AGN, where $L \sim 10^{12} L_\odot$ and $R \sim 10^{17} \text{ cm}$, i.e., $N_\gamma \sim 10^{29} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, then a large enough fraction of the kinetic energy of the relativistic flow can be converted into a GRB even by inverse Compton scattering [17,18]. Such a GRB will be extremely short and structureless.

To calculate the detailed predictions of our model, we have constructed a numerical Monte Carlo code which simulates the formation of cosmological GRBs by highly relativistic flows in dense stellar regions [16]. The code employs the quantum mechanical cross sections for the relevant photo excitation/ionization and radiative recombination/decay processes. The core of the MW galaxy [13] was used for modeling the stellar environment (density of stars, stellar luminosities and stellar temperatures) in a typical GC. Initial distributions of Γ which are

consistent with theoretical considerations (e.g., shock acceleration) and observations have been used. Using the GRB simulation code we have found [16] that (a) the main properties of the simulated GRBs are not sensitive to fine details and (b) the calculated light curves and spectral behavior of simulated GRBs reproduce remarkably well those observed in GRBs [1, 19-21]. In particular, the simulated GRBs look undistinguishable from the observed GRBs. This is demonstrated in Fig. 2 which presents a simulated light curve of a GRB and its power spectrum, $P(w) \equiv |\int L(t) \exp(iwt) dt|^2$, that has the universal power-law behavior $P(w) \sim w^{-2}$, which was found for real GRBs [18]. This simple universal behavior can be derived analytically [16,18] from our model. Also the other main observed properties of GRBs [1,19-21] follow from our model. Here we summarize briefly their approximate analytical derivations [16]. For the sake of simplicity we neglect here general relativistic effects (e.g., time dilation and energy redshift) and assume that the explosions are spherical symmetric and occur at the center of the GCs, that the stars within a GC have a uniform spatial distribution, the same luminosity and the same effective surface temperature, and that the energy flux in the relativistic flow, $E^2 dn_A/dE$, is peaked around a Lorentz factor Γ . Then our model predicts that:

1. GRB light curves are composed of a smooth background plus strong and weak pulses. Strong pulses are produced when the relativistic flow passes near luminous stars within the “beaming cone”, i.e., stars at an angle $\theta_* < 1/\Gamma$ relative to the line of sight from the explosion to the observer (see eq. 2). A multiple star system (binary, triplet, etc) produces a multipeak pulse with very short time-spacing between the peaks (spikes). Weak pulses are produced by boosting star-light from less luminous stars or by luminous stars outside the beaming cone. The smooth background is produced by boosting the background light in the beaming cone from all the other stars in the GC.

2. The duration of a GRB reflects the spread in arrival times of gamma rays produced within the beaming cone (we neglect the short formation time of the relativistic flow because the dynamical time for NS merger/AIC is much shorter, typically few ms). It is given approximately by

$$T \sim R/c\Gamma^2. \quad (7)$$

Hence, relativistic flows with $\Gamma \sim 10^3$ in MW-like GC ($R \sim 0.3 \text{ pc}$) produce GRBs that last typically 30 seconds. Thus, approximately a one light-year path in a GC is contracted into 30 seconds γ ray picture in the observer frame.

3. The properties of the pulses from single stars depend on their locations, luminosities and spectra, and on the distribution of Γ in the flow. A pulse from a star at a distance D_* and an angle θ_* begins at a time $t_i \sim D_*\theta_*^2/2c$ after the beginning of the GRB ($t \equiv 0$). Its duration (integrated intensity equals that due to the whole GC background) is given approximately by

$$T_p \sim D_*\theta_* d\theta_* \approx b/c\Gamma \sim (4\pi/3)RL_*/\pi c\Gamma L, \quad (8)$$

where the integrated intensity from boosting photons from the star over impact parameters smaller than b equals that due to all the other stars in the GC. For main sequence stars with $10^{-1} \leq L_*/L_\odot \leq 10^3$, $\Gamma = 10^3$ and MW-like GC we obtain $10 \text{ ms} \leq T_p \leq 100 \text{ s}$. For typical early type stars with $L_* \sim 3 - 6L_\odot$, $T_p \sim 0.3 - 0.6 \text{ s}$. Multiple stars yield multipeak pulses. For instance, the time difference between the two pulses from a binary star is given by

$$T_b \approx D_* \theta_* d\theta_*/c \approx d_p/\Gamma c, \quad (9)$$

where d_p is the distance between the binary stars projected on the plane perpendicular to the flow. Thus, $T_b < 500(d_{\text{A.U.}}/\Gamma_3) \text{ ms}$, where $d_{\text{A.U.}}$ is the binary separation in astronomical units. Since a large fraction of the stars are in close binaries, triplets, etc, a large fraction of the pulses have a multipeak structure.

4. In a GRB from a GC with N_* stars uniformly distributed, the average number of stars within the beaming cone is $n_p \sim N_*/4\Gamma^2$. Thus, for a MW-like GC and $\Gamma \sim 10^3$, we expect, on average, $n_p \sim 3$ stellar pulses. Their rate is $dn_p/dt \sim cN_*(r)/4r \sim 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$. It is independent of time if $N_*(r)$, the number of stars within a radius r , is proportional to r , as seen in the GC of the MW [13]. Because n_p is a small number, n_p and the average time-spacing between strong pulses, ΔT , which is given by

$$\Delta T = T/n_p \sim 4R/cN_* \sim 10 \text{ s}, \quad (10)$$

are predicted to fluctuate considerably around their average values.

5. The total number of gamma rays in a stellar pulse arriving from the explosion is given by

$$\int F_\gamma dt \approx 10^3 \sigma_{17} (L_*/L_\odot) / d_{28}^2 \epsilon_{ev} \Gamma_3^2 t_{10} \text{ m}^{-2} \quad (11)$$

where $t_* = D_*/c\Gamma^2 = 10t_{10} \text{ s}$ is the peak intensity time of the stellar pulse and $\sigma = 10^{-17} \sigma_{17} \text{ cm}^2$.

6. The durations of GRBs have a bimodal distribution which is a trivial consequence of their multipulse nature and the fact that, on average, $T_p \ll \Delta T$ independent of Γ : Multipulse GRBs have durations which are equal approximately to the sum of the time-spacing between their pulses. Consequently, $T \sim \Sigma \Delta T \gg T_p$. This produces a bimodal distribution which peaks around $T_p \sim 0.3 \text{ s}$ for single pulse GRBs (see point 3) and around $T \sim 30 \text{ s}$ for multipulse GRBs. This is demonstrated in Fig. 3 for simulated explosions in a MW-like GC.

7. Although the photoexcitation of partially ionized atoms produces line emission, the line emission is Doppler broadened into a continuum by the continuous distribution of Γ of the atoms in the flow. Thus, the model predicts a continuous energy spectrum. The minimal Lorentz factor in the flow, Γ_m , and the ionization state of the atoms cuts off emission in the observer frame below $E_{\min} \sim \Gamma_m I \sim 5 - 25 \text{ keV}$, where $I \sim \text{few} \times 100 \text{ eV}$ is the ionization potential of

the last bound electrons in the partially ionized Fe atoms [16]. It explains why GRBs are not accompanied by detectable X-ray or optical-light emission.

8. If the spectrum of Γ in the flow has a power-law form, $dn_A/d\Gamma \sim \Gamma^{-p}$ for $\Gamma > \Gamma_m$, then the energy spectrum of a strong pulse is given approximately by

$$dn_\gamma/dE \sim \bar{\sigma}(\Gamma)n_{eff}(\Gamma)(dE/d\Gamma)^{-1}\Gamma^{-(p-1)}/(\Gamma\theta_* + 1/2) \quad (12)$$

where $\bar{\sigma} \sim \Gamma^{-(1+\delta)}$ and n_{eff} is the average number of emitted photons per excitation/ionization. For $\Gamma \gg 1/\theta_*$, one has [16] $n_{eff} \propto \Gamma$, $E \sim 2\Gamma\epsilon_X$, $\delta \leq 1$ and then $dn_\gamma/dE \sim E^{-(p+\delta)}$. For $\Gamma \ll 1/\theta_*$, one has [16] $n_{eff} \approx 1$, $E \sim \epsilon\Gamma^2$, $\delta \sim 0$, and then $dn_\gamma/dE \sim E^{-(p+1)/2}$. Hence the spectrum of a pulse has a broken power-law form. The break occurs when $2\Gamma\theta_* \sim 1$, i.e., around an energy $E_b \approx 2\Gamma\epsilon_X \approx \epsilon_X/\theta_*$, and the power-index changes by $\sim (p+1)/2 \sim 1.5$. Since $t_i \sim D_*\theta_*^2/2c$ and $D_* \approx R$, on average, $E_b \sim 1/t_i^\alpha$ with $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. (For a GC with a uniform stellar distribution, the probability of D_* is proportional to D_*^2 and consequently most of the stars have $D_* \approx R$.)

9. The relative arrival times at a star of atoms with different Γ are given by $t - t_i \approx D_*/2c\Gamma^{-2}$. Such atoms boost the star-light to an energy between $\epsilon_\gamma \sim \epsilon\Gamma^2$ and $\epsilon_\gamma \sim 2\epsilon_X\Gamma$. Therefore, the peak energy, $E_p \equiv \max E^2(dn_\gamma/dE)$, decreases during a pulse approximately as $\sim 1/(t - t_i + \delta t_i)^\alpha$ i.e.,

$$E_p \sim \Sigma_i A_i t_i^{-\alpha} (t - t_i + \delta t_i)^{-\alpha} ; 1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \quad (13)$$

where A_i is proportional to the mean photon energy of the star-light and δt_i is an added time broadening (a few ms) due to the time extension of the explosion and the extension of the region around the star where the boosting of the star-light takes place. E_p is maximal right after the pulse begins and decreases monotonically afterwards while the photon flux, dn_γ/dE , peaks at a later time, reflecting the $1/r^2$ behavior of the intensity of the radiation around a star and the distribution of Γ in the flow. Normal power-law spectra yield γ ray fluxes during pulses that, on average, are time-asymmetric (fast rise and a slower decay) with longer pulses being more asymmetric. Pulses are narrower and their peak luminosities are shifted closer to the beginning of the pulse when viewed in higher energy bands (larger Γ) as demonstrated in Fig. 4.

10. For a MW-like GC, N_γ is not large enough to attenuate the relativistic flow. The kinetic energy of the the ejecta is injected into the galactic and intergalactic space. The general consequences of this injection will be discussed elsewhere. Here, we comment only on the high energy γ ray production due to occasional collision of the ejecta with interstellar matter (stellar winds, planetary nebulae, etc) or with a molecular cloud with a sizable column density along the line of sight, in/near the GC (10% of the solid angle around AGN is covered by hydrogen clouds). Typical clouds, have $R \sim 5$ pc and $M \sim 10^4 M_\odot$, yielding proton column densities of $N_p \sim 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$. The total inelastic cross section of high energy iron nuclei on protons is $\sigma \approx 10^{-24} \text{cm}^{-2}$. Thus, nuclear collisions

of the flow with a molecular cloud near the explosion will produce pions, and consequently, a burst of $\sim 10^{51} \text{erg}$ multi GeV γ rays through $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decays (and neutrinos through $\pi^\pm \rightarrow \mu\nu_\mu$ and $\mu \rightarrow e\nu_e\nu_\mu$ decays), with a power-law spectrum, $dn_\gamma/dE \sim E^{-p}$. For $p \sim 2$, production of $\sim 20 \text{ GeV}$ γ rays comes from π^0 's produced mainly by nuclei with $\Gamma \sim 200$. Thus π^0 produced γ rays of 20 GeV are delayed, typically, by $R/2c\Gamma^2 \sim 2h$, as was observed in the case of the 17 February 1994 GRB [22].

In conclusion, we have described a simple mechanism by which NS mergers/accretion induced collapse in dense galactic cores produce cosmological GRBs. The remarkable success of the model in reproducing all the main observed temporal and spectral properties of GRBs [16] strongly suggests that GRBs are γ ray tomography pictures of DSR of distant galaxies with unprecedented resolution: A time resolution of $dt \sim 1 \text{ ms}$ in GRBs can resolve stars at a Hubble distance of $3000h^{-1} \text{Mpc} \approx 10^{28} h^{-1} \text{cm}$ that are separated by only $\sim c\Gamma dt \sim 3 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}$. This is equivalent to the resolving power, $\sim \lambda/D$, of an optical telescope with a diameter $D > 2 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}$, i.e. more than one Astronomical Unit!

Finally, the proposed mechanism may play an important role also in other astrophysical gamma ray sources such as AGN, pulsars and other cosmic accelerators.

Acknowledgement: We thank G.J. Fishman, A. Laor, J.P. Lasota, L. Okun and A. Ori for useful comments and the Technion fund for the promotion of research for support. This research was not supported by the Israel Science Foundation.

References

- [1] See, e.g., G.J. Fishman and C.A.A. Meegan, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.* **33**, 415 (1995).
- [2] See, e.g., M.S. Briggs, *Ap. & Sp. Sc.* **231**, 3 (1995).
- [3] V.V. Usov, and G.B. Chibisov, *Sov. Astr.* **19**, 115 (1975); S. van den Bergh, *Astr. & Ap. Suppl.* **97**, 385 (1983).
- [4] For a review of cosmological models see C.D. Dermer and T.J. Weiler, *Ap. & Sp. Sc.* **231**, 377 (1995).
- [5] G. Cavallo and M.J. Rees, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* **183**, 359 (1978); B. Paczynski, *Ap. J.* **308**, L43 (1986); J. Goodman, *Ap. J.* **308**, L47 (1986).
- [6] J. Goodman, A. Dar and S. Nussinov, *Ap. J.* **314**, L7 (1987); D. Eichler et al., *Nature*, **340**, 126 (1989).
- [7] R.A. Hulse and J. H. Taylor, *Ap. J.*, **368**, 504 (1975).
- [8] A. Dar et al., *Ap. J.* **388**, 164 (1992); S.E. Woosley, *Ap. J.* **405**, 273 (1992).
- [9] See, e.g., S. L. Shapiro and S.A. Teukolsky, “Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars” (A. Wiley Intersc. Pub.) 1983.
- [10] B. Paczynski, *Ap. J.* **363**, 218 (1990).
- [11] H.T. Janka and M. Ruffert, *Astr. & Ap.* **307**, L33 (1996).
- [12] G.J. Fishman, private communication.
- [13] D.A. Allen, “The Nuclei of Normal Galaxies” (eds. R. Genzel & A.I. Harris, 1994) p. 293; P. Saha et al., *Ap. J.* in press (1996), astro-ph/9604153.
- [14] D. Maoz et al., *Ap. J.* in press (1996).
- [15] See, e.g., Ya. B Zel’dovich and Yu. P. Raizer, “Physics of Shock Waves and High Temperature Hydrodynamic Phenomena” (Academic Press 1967).
- [16] For details see N.J. Shaviv, Ph.D Thesis, 1996 (Technion Report Ph-96-16).
- [17] A. Shemi, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* **269**, 1112 (1994).
- [18] N.J. Shaviv and A. Dar, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* **277**, 287 (1995).
- [19] See, e.g., J.P. Norris, et al., *Ap. J.* **459**, 333 (1996) and references therein.
- [20] See, e.g., D.L. Band et al., *Ap. J.* **413**, 231 (1993); L.A. Ford et al., *Ap. J.* **439**, 307 (1995); B.J. Teegarden, *Astr. & Sp. Sc.* **231**, 137 (1995).
- [21] G.J. Fishman et al., *Ap. J. Suppl.* **92**, 229 (1994).
- [22] K. Hurley et al., *Nature* **372**, 652 (1994).

Figure Captions

Fig.1 A schematic drawing illustrating the formation of a GRB by a highly relativistic spherical flow in a dense stellar region. Most of the observed γ rays are produced by the radiative decay of photoexcited atoms near stars within a cone with an opening angle $\theta \sim 1/\Gamma$ along the direction to the observer.

Fig.2 A typical light curve of a simulated GRB and its temporal power spectrum. The straight line represents a w^{-2} power law behavior.

Fig.3 A duration distribution of simulated GRBs from a MW-like GC.

Fig.4 A simulated GRB from a MW-like GC viewed in different energy bands.