Parametric Resonance in an Expanding Universe

Ivaylo Z latev, G reg H uey, and Paul J. Steinhardt D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, U niversity of P ennsylvania P hiladelphia, PA 19104 U SA

Abstract

Param etric resonance has been discussed as a mechanism for copious particle production following in ation. Here we present a simple and intuitive calculational method for estimating the e ciency of parametric amplication as a function of parameters. This is in portant for determining whether resonant amplication plays an important role in the reheating process. We nd that signi cant amplication occurs only for a limited range of couplings and interactions.

Typeset using REVT_EX

Reheating after the end of in ation is the process during which almost all elementary particles in the universe are presumed to have been created. In versions of in ationary $\cos m$ ology in which in ation ends by slow ly rolling towards the minimum of the in aton potential,^{1;2} reheating occurs as the in aton eld descends to the minimum and begins to oscillate rapidly about it. The oscillating in aton eld decays to the various matter elds to which it is coupled.³⁽⁵ In the typical case, the decay of the in aton can be represented by adding to the equation-ofmotion for a phenom enological term —where is the electrice decay rate of particles; a corresponding term is added to the equation describing radiation to account for the transfer of energy from to radiation.³ This treatment assumes the particles composing the oscillating — eld decay incoherently.

Recently, there has been interest in a two-stage reheating scenario which begins with the coherent transfer of energy from the oscillating in aton to a second eld (or elds) X through parametric resonance.^{6{21} Later, the X -particles decay to a rapidly therm alizing distribution of ordinary matter, completing the reheating process. During the rst stage, which has been named preheating, the density of X particles undergoes a period of exponential increase. The resonant transfer of energy is in portant to in ationary cosm ology if it is \e cient": if it results in ampli ed production of X particles by m any orders of m agnitude compared to estimates based on the decay rate () of an individual in aton particle such that a large fraction of the in aton energy density is converted to X particles. In addition to producing a higher reheating tem perature, preheating enables the coherent transfer of in aton energy to particles X which are more massive than itself, whereas only particles less m assive than are produced in incoherent decay and the density of very massive X particles is exponentially suppressed during subsequent therm alization. This makes possible m odels of baryogenesis which rely on there being a high density of particles m ore m assive following in ation which subsequently undergo baryon-asymmetric decays.¹⁰ than

The goal of this paper is to present a straightforward and intuitive method for determ ining the e ciency of parametric resonance for a wide range of model couplings and interactions. We present results for a simple model in which the X -particle is massive and

2

has quartic self-interactions. The m ethod predicts and m akes intuitively clear why resonant particle production is e cient only for a narrow range of m asses and couplings. To check the prediction, we have developed precise num erical codes sim ilar to those of T kachev and K lebnikov^{11,12} and, as shown in the paper, we have found that the estimates of particle production compare very well. W here there is overlap, the results are also consistent with the analysis by K ofm an et al.^{14,15} W ith this veri cation, this approach can now be applied to general in atom m odels to determ ine if resonant particle production is a signi cant feature of the reheating process.

In ourm ethod, resonance is described by trajectories through stability/instability regions of the M athieu equation. This notion has been alluded to in previous discussions;^{6,12,14,18} here we show that it can be developed into a reliable, predictive tool. Q uantitative estim ates are simply obtained from calculable properties of the M athieu equation and the trajectories. The estim ates are reliable except for very large couplings such that other nonlinear e ects | stochastic resonance, backreaction, rescattering and chaotic instability | becom e in portant. A lthough this large coupling regin e has been the focus ofm any interesting studies,^{6,12,14,16,18} it lies far into the \e cient preheating" regin e; as we will demonstrate, we do not need to consider these com plications for our purpose of noting the boundary between ine cient and e cient preheating.

The generalm odel which we consider is described by the Lagrangian density:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (0)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (0 X)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} g^{2} X^{2} - \frac{1}{2} m^{2} X^{2} - \frac{1}{2} m_{x}^{2} X^{2} - V (X):$$
(1)

Here V (X) represents self-couplings of the X – eld of cubic order or higher. If we assume that is spatially uniform, we can derive a set of coupled equations for and the Fourier modes, X_k. The equations for X_k decouple from one another in the Hartree (mean eld) approximation. In terms of \com oving" elds, $a^{3=2}$ and $k^{3=2}X_k$, the linearized equations are:

$$+ m^{2} + \frac{g^{2}h^{2}i}{a^{3}} + 6 Gp = 0$$
 (2)

$${}_{k}^{*} + {}_{k}^{2} + \frac{g^{2} {}_{a}^{2}}{a^{3}} {}_{k}^{*} = 0; \qquad (3)$$

where

$$l_{k}^{2} = \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} + m_{x}^{2} + f() + 6 Gp$$
 (4)

$$p = -\frac{1}{4 G} \left(\frac{a}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\underline{a}^2}{a^2} \right)$$
(5)

f()
$$h^{a^{3=2}V^{0}(=a^{3=2})}$$
 (6)

where p is the pressure, a is the scale factor, G is Newton's constant, and h:::i represents the spatial average. Henceforth, we choose units of energy, m ass and time where m = 1.

The pressure is negligible when the universe is dom inated by in aton oscillations. Furtherm ore, until one reaches the condition where $g^{2-2}=a^{3-5} m^2$, the backreaction^{6,12,14,16,18} on due to X and rescattering are negligible, too. This condition is not satis ed as long as the energy density in X -particles, $x = \frac{1}{x}h^2 = a^3i = 0$ (1) ($g^{2-2} + m_x^2$) $h^2 = a^3i$, does not exceed the in aton energy density, m^{2-2} . But, this is precisely our regime of interest since we wish to determ ine the range of parameters for which resonant amplication is ine cient to barely e cient (x). Hence, for our purpose of noting the boundary between the ine cient and e cient regimes, backreaction and rescattering can be safely neglected. Of course, for previous studies in the highly e cient, strongly coupled regime, backreaction and rescattering cannot be ignored.^{6,12,14,16,18}

Hence, to good approximation, behaves as a simple harmonic oscillator, = $_0 \cos t$, where time t is measured in units of m = 1 beginning from initial time t_0 m⁻¹ when the in atom begins to oscillate. Then, the equation-of motion for can be written:

$$\frac{\theta^2}{\theta t^2} + [A + 2q\cos(2t)]_k = 0$$
(7)

where

$$q = \frac{q^2 \frac{2}{0}}{4a^3}$$

$$A = \frac{1}{k}^2 + \frac{q^2 \frac{2}{0}}{2a^3} = \frac{1}{k}^2 + 2q$$
(8)

In the lim it of a static universe, a ! constant, Eq. (7) is precisely a M athieu equation.²² The (q; A) plane is separated into stable regions where the amplitude of stays constant and unstable regions where the amplitude increases exponentially, / e^t, where the critical exponent (the imaginary part of the M athieu characteristic exponent) depends on q and A. See Figure 1. For xed A, grows and the instability strips broaden as (q; A) m oves horizontally towards the right. The dotted line A = 2q roughly divides the strips into their narrow and broad regimes. Each m ode k corresponds to some xed (q; A); if this point lies in an unstable regime, the m ode is exponentially amplied. Increasing k corresponds to increasing A leaving q unchanged, so the m odes lie along a ray pointing vertically upwards beginning with the k = 0 m ode.

In portant di erences arise in an expanding universe. First and forem ost, A and q are functions of the scale factor a and, therefore, vary with time. In our treatment, we propose to use the M athieu equation as a guide but take account of the time-evolution in the (q; A) plane. For simplicity, we rst consider f() = 0. As shown in Figure 1, (q = q₀=a⁻³; A = $2q + (k=a)^2 + m_x^2$) is no longer a xed point in an expanding universe, a = a(t). Rather, each k corresponds to a trajectory in the (q; A) plane from upper right, (q₀; A₀), to lower left, (q; A) ! (0; m_x^2). For k > 0, the starting point is shifted vertically upwards from (A₀ = $2q_0 + m_x^2$) by k². Then, the mode is red shifted and the trajectory curves towards the A = $2q + m_x^2$ line as $q = q^2 - \frac{2}{0} = 4a^3$! 0.

Resonant am pli cation occurs as the trajectories pass through parts of the instability regions with large critical exponent . The greatest resonant am pli cation occurs for a sm all band of m odes near k = 0 (see F igure 1) since the corresponding trajectories lie furthest to the right in the (q; A) plane passing through regions with the highest values of . Once a trajectory passes below some critical value, $q = q_e$, though, the value of approaches zero and there is no further am pli cation. This m eans that there is only a nite time for resonant am pli cation in the expanding universe, whereas there is no lim it in the static case; this is a key di erence that qualitatively changes the nature and robustness of param etric resonance. For example, a consequence is that the coupling g m ust exceed a certain m inim al

value for there to be signi cant ampli cation, as we shall show. Another prediction is that increasing $m_x^2 > 0$ (or f () > 0) suppresses resonant ampli cation since it moves the band of trajectories further to the left towards the more stable regimes.

Our M athieu equation picture can be m ade quantitative and used to estim ate the energy density in X, _x(t), produced by resonant scattering as a function of parameters. The zero-point contribution of m ode k to the energy density is _k(t) = $\frac{1}{2}$ _k(t), where $\frac{2}{k}$ (t) $\frac{1}{k}$ (t) + (g² ²=a³). The coupling of to X appears as an additional elective m ass for the

eld. The production of particles by parametric resonance adds energy density

$$_{k}(t) = \frac{1}{2} _{k}(t) (\exp \left[2 \int_{t_{0}}^{Z} dt^{0} _{k}(t^{0})\right] \quad 1);$$
(9)

beginning from time $t_0 = 0$ (m⁻¹) = 0 (1) when in ation ends and in aton oscillations begin; here, _k (t) is the critical exponent for mode k at time t.

Only modes within a small band near k = 0 contribute signicantly to the nal, integrated $_{x}$ (t). See Figure 1. For non-zero com oving wavenum ber k, the trajectory $A = 2q + (k=a)^2 + m_x^2 > 2q$ lies to the left of the A = 2q line where there are smaller values of the critical exponent $_{k}$ (t) on average and, hence, there is less ampli cation. As the trajectory proceeds to sm aller q, the value of $_k$ averaged over several instability regions $_{k}$ (t)) increases as k=a decreases. To gauge the increase in $_{k}$ (t), it is useful to consider the family of curves of the form $A = 2q + \frac{p}{bq}$, along each of which the time-averaged (b) is uniform (e.g., as can be con med using Mathematica $3.Q_{\rm M}$). The value of (b) increases as b decreases, reaching up to 0:1 for b = 0. An important divider **(**b) is the curve with b = 0 (1) for which b) 0:05. Modes with trajectories lying above this curve have very small critical exponents, $_k < b$ 0:1, and so undergo very little amplication. Hence, only the small band of modes close to k = 0 with trajectories lying below this curve are signi cantly amplied. The resonant band corresponds to $0 < k^2 - k^2$ where $k^2 = \frac{q}{bq}$ m_x^2 , which decreases slightly as m_x increases. (A similar relation has been introduced based on di erent arguments in Ref. 6.) Also, k=0 decreases slightly as m_x increases because the k = 0 mode is shifted to the left in the massive case. We can

approximate ${}^{R} dt^{0}_{k} (t^{0})_{k=0} (t t)$ and obtain a simple expression for the total amplied energy density:

$$(t) = \frac{2}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}k}{k} (t) \frac{k^{3}}{6^{2}} = 0 (t) (\exp [2 k = 0 (t - t)] - 1);$$
(10)

where k=0 0:1 for m_x 1 and and k=0 0:075 for $m_x > 1$.

The key parameter, $_{k=0}$, can be estimated without recourse to numerical averaging over a trajectory once one is familiar with some basic properties of the M athieu plot. From the M athieu plot, one can see that varies between 0 (its value in the stable regimes) and 0.27 (its maximal value in the unstable regimes). Form $_{x} = 0$, the trajectory moves through stability and instability regimes such that the stability regimes are wider than the instability regimes (that is, for the range of small q's responsible for amplication). Hence, one can anticipate that the average has a value some what less than half its maximum (0.135). Indeed, a numerical integration along the trajectory in the (q; A) plane yields the result

= 0:12.

For the massive case (m_x 1), it is evident that has to be smaller than the massless result, 0.12: the trajectory lies further to the left of the A = 2q line where the critical exponent is lower. On the other hand, as shown in Figure 1, the trajectory lies to the right of the curve A = $2q + p \overline{p}$. A long this curve, averaged over several stability/instability regimes is constant; for b = 1, say, the average value of 0.05. Hence, one can anticipate that the average value for m_x 1 lies between 0.05 and 0.12. Numerical integration shows

0:08, in agreem ent with this estimate.

Resonance continues until $q(t) = q_p = a^3 = q_p (t_p = t)^2$ falls below the critical value q_p . For the massless case, q_p 1=4 below which trajectories enter a Mathieu stability region that sustains as q ! 0. For the massive case ($m_x > m = 1$), resonance sustains until $A = 2q_p + \frac{q}{bq_p} = 2q_p + m_x^2$, or $q_p = \frac{1}{b}m_x^4$. The duration of the resonance ist $t_p = (\frac{q}{q_p - q_p} - 1)t_p$. To reach barely e cient amplication (x), it is necessary that $q_p = q_p$.

Putting these details together, we obtain our prediction for general m $_{\rm x}$

$$(t_{e}) = \frac{[(kq_{0})^{1=2} - m_{x}^{2}]^{3=2}}{6^{2}} (m_{x}^{2} + q^{2} - q_{0}^{2} (t_{0} = t_{e})^{2})^{1=2} (exp [0.2] - q_{p} = q_{p} = t_{0}] \quad 1); \quad (11)$$

where $q_0 = g^2 {}_0^2=4$, $q_e = m \operatorname{ax} \operatorname{fm}_x^4=b; 1g$, $t_e = q_0 = q_e t_0$ and t_0 1. For the case of interactions, f() > 0, we replace $m_x^2 ! m_x^2 + f()$.

Figure 2 compares our prediction to the results of our exact numerical code based on Eqs. (2) and (3). The gure shows an example of e cient preheating, $_{\rm x}$ (t_e)= $_{\rm total}$ = 0 (1), and several choices of parameters which produce ine cient preheating, $_{\rm x}$ (t_e) < $_{\rm total}$. In both regimes, our heuristic picture predicts the general behavior and estimates $_{\rm x}$ (t_e)= $_{\rm total}$ reasonably well. We include an example with V (X) = $\frac{1}{4}$ X⁴, a case of self-interactions which has been discussed qualitatively^{19,21} and computed numerically.^{18,20} Here we are able to predict quantitatively its e ect simply by modifying our expressions for q_e and $_{\rm k=0}$ (t) in Eq. (11) to take account of f ().

The numerical solutions show ne and intermediate scale structure which can also be understood in our M athieu picture. Consider, for example, the particle number (n) as a function of time, as shown in Figure 4(a). Because n / 1 = / 1 =, there is a sharp spike each time oscillates through zero, the minimum of its potential. This is an artifact of the de nition; the physically in portant issue is how the particle num ber changes between spikes and from before to after each spike. At early times when q is large, one observes that n (t) is at between spikes and undergoes a discrete jump up or down (mostly up) from one side of the spike to the other. In discussing the strong coupled regime, K ofman, et al. 14 have pointed to this behavior and argued that the up and down jumps become stochastic when q passes through many stability/instability bands within one in aton oscillation and the coupling is large. However, here we see the same jump feature in the more weakly coupled regime even though q is not changing as rapidly. And, while there are occasional downward jum ps, the average behavior is not stochastic; they are well t by an exponential envelope. This is because the jumps and plateaus them selves have nothing to do with stochasticity or rapid evolution of q; they are already features of the static (constant q) M athieu equation when q is large. The discrete jumps are illustrated in Figure 4(b) for constant q = 119. Because q is constant, all the jum ps are upward. In num erical experim ents where q varies slow ly from a instability regime to a stable regime during an oscillation, we nd downward jumps. Hence, all the small-scale structure in the Figure 4 (a) can be understood in terms of the static or near static M athieu equation.

Figure 4 (c) shows the solution for the static M athieu equation when q is small (q = 0.6), in which case the particle production is found to increase continuously between spikes and across spikes. This behavior compares well with the late time behavior in Figure 4 (a) when q is small.

This behavior can be understood sem i-analytically. In either the high or low q regime, the solution of the static M athieu equation is described by a F loquet solution,

$$= e^{t}f(t):$$
(12)

That is, there is an exponential envelope multiplying a modulating function, f(t). The di erence in the low – versus high-q lim it is that the modulating function is continuously increasing at low q whereas it has sharp jumps at high-q. The particle number, then, is

$$n = e^{2t}g(t)$$
(13)

where g(t) is a function of $f; f^0; f^0$ which has a step-like behavior for high q and is continuously increasing for low q. All this behavior is relected in the expanding universe solutions found numerically. While the details of the modulating function are interesting and it is gratifying that they can be understood within the M athieu picture, what is important for our purpose of predicting the average particle production is the fact that the envelope function is exponential and that is predictable by taking the average over trajectories. Figure 4 illustrates that the exponential envelope as predicted by the M athieu picture is a good t in the static and expanding universe limits in both the high and low q regime in the weak coupling limit.

In addition to small-scale structure (on the scale of a single oscillation) in at early times, the particle number n in Figure 4 and the energy density $_{x}$ in Figure 2 also show intermediate scale structure | a sequence of rises and broad plateaus stretching over many

oscillations. These occur because q decelerates as the universe expands and spends several oscillations in each of the last few stability and instability regions. The rises/plateaus correlate with individual stability/instability bands. D uring this period, m ost of the am pli cation takes place.

We have argued that the M athieu picture explains qualitatively all the features seen in particle production in the ine cient to barely e cient regime. We have also seen from our Figures that the picture agrees well quantitatively with numerical results, in spite of the fact that it ignores stochasticity, backreaction and rescattering e ects which are included in the code. The code itself is standard. It employs a variable time step size Runge-Kutta algorithm. We approximate the Fourier transform of a led by a discrete lattice in k-space. Each lattice point corresponds to one of the set of coupled di erential equations. The rescattering is taken into account by splitting the in atom eld into a zero-mode piece and a uctuation piece: $= _0 + \ldots$ We treat $_0$ as a classical background eld, and decompose into k-modes, with a treatment similar to the of the eld. The good quantitative agreement between the M athieu picture and numerics found support our theoretical argument that backreaction and rescattering are not in portant for determining the boundary between ine cient and e cient preheating. They only become non-negligible for couplings far into the e cient preheating regime.

O ur analysis predicts that non-negligible resonance occurs for only a limited range of g. First, there is a minimum value of q_0 needed to have non-negligible resonance, which implies a lower bound on g. The values of m and $_0$ are both xed by the constraints of having su cient e-folds of in ation and su ciently small density uctuations. The only freedom left to adjust $q_0 = g^2 {}_0^2=4$ is through the coupling g. In order to have $q_0 > q_e$, it is necessary that $g^2 {}_0^2 > m \operatorname{ax} f_b^4 m_x^4$; 1g. However, there is also an upper bound on g. The resonance picture assumes $g^2 {}_0^2 = M_p^2$, or else the mass of the X – eld is $(m_x^2 + g^2 {}^2)^{1=2} > M_p$ and quantum gravity e ects become important. In our example, chaotic in ation for V () = $\frac{1}{2}m^2 {}^2$, $_0 = M_p$ at the end of in ation, so we must have g = 1. Also, $g < 10^{-3}$ is required in order to avoid large radiative corrections (assuming no supersymmetric cancellations).¹⁴ A second prediction is that resonant ampli cation falls sharply as m_x increases above the in atom mass. For example, in ourmodel, in ation requires 10^{43} GeV . A proposed application of parametric resonance has been to produce X -bosons with mass 10^{14} GeV or higher whose decay may produce baryon asymmetry. Our analysis suggests that parametric resonance is not e cient for $m_x = m_1$ unless g is much larger than in the massless case.

In general, our method can be used to determ ine the range of g and m_x resulting in e cient preheating, as shown in Figure 3. Ine cient preheating means that parametric resonance is inconsequential and reheating occurs predom inantly through incoherent decay. This curve shows that the e cient preheating regime is small { the minimal value of g needed to have e cient preheating rises sharply with m_x , ultimately exceeding g = 1 (where all approximations become invalid) at m_x 100. Hence, parametric resonance cannot be relied on to produce X particles many times more massive than the in atom eld,¹⁴ which is problematic for models of high energy baryon asymmetry generation. Adding interactions with f() > 0 further suppresses resonance. In particular, e cient reheating requires a small quartic coupling, g^2 (see Figure 2).

A lthough our results have used explicitly a quadratic in aton potential, we have checked that the trajectory picture works well a quartic in aton potential as well, in which resonant am pli cation can also be described in terms of stability-instability regions.¹⁵ In either case, an issue that remains to be explored is an apparent, classic chaotic instability that occurs in our num erical codes for choices of g and m_x far into the e cient preheating regime of F igure 3 as backreaction and rescattering become signi cant.

In sum m ary, we have developed a picture of param etric resonance in an expanding universe in which resonant X -particle production is associated with passage through a sequence of instability regions of the M athieu equation. The picture explains qualitatively and quantitatively why resonance in an expanding universe is elective for only a constrained range of couplings, m asses and interactions, especially in the limit of large m_x . In particular, we have shown that elicient preheating occurs only if the dimensionless couplings satisfy som ew hat unusual conditions where the coupling g is large but all other interactions of the in atom

11

and X - eld are small. Unfortunately, this means that parametric resonance in in ationary cosm ology is less generic or robust than was hoped for.

W e would like to thank L.K ofm an, A.Linde, D.Boyanovsky, I.Tkachev and R.Holm an for useful discussions in the course of this work. This research was supported by the Department of Energy at Penn, $D \to FG 02-95 \to R 40893$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.D.Linde, Phys. Lett. 108B, 389 (1982).
- [2] A. Albrecht and P. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1220 (1982).
- [3] A. Albrecht, P. Steinhardt, M. S. Turner, and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1437 (1982).
- [4] A D. Dolgov and A D. Linde, Phys. Lett. 116B, 329 (1982).
- [5] LF. Abbott, E. Farhi, and M. W ise, Phys. Lett. 117B, 29 (1982).
- [6] L.Kofman, A. Linde, and A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3195 (1994).
- [7] D.Boyanovsky et al, Phys. Rev. D 51, 4419 (1995).
- [8] Y. Shtanov, J. Traschen, and R. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D 51, 5438 (1995).
- [9] L.Kofman, A.Linde, and A.A.Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1011 (1996).
- [10] E.W. Kolb, A. Linde, and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4290 (1996).
- [11] S.K lebnikov and I.Tkachev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 219 (1996).
- [12] S.K lebnikov and I.Tkachev, Phys. Lett. B 390, 80 (1997).
- [13] H.Fujisaki, K.Kumekawa, M.Yamaguchi, and M.Yoshimura, Phys. Rev. D 54, 2494 (1996).
- [14] L.Kofman, A.Linde, and A.A. Starobinsky, hep-ph/9704452 (1997).
- [15] P.G reene, L.K ofm an, A. Linde, and A.A. Starobinsky, hep-ph/9705347 (1997).
- [16] S.K lebnikov and I.Tkachev, hep-ph/9610477
- [17] S.K lebnikov and I.Tkachev, hep-ph/9701423
- [18] B.R.Greene, T.Procopec, and T.G.Roos, hep-ph/9705357 (1997).
- [19] R.Allahverdi and B.A.Cam pbelli, Phys.Lett.B 390, 169 (1997).
- [20] T. Procopec and T.G. Roos, Phys. Rev. D, 55, 3768 (1997).
- [21] A. Riotto and I. Tkachev, Phys. Lett. B 385, 57 (1996).
- [22] N.W. McLachlan, Theory and Application of Mathieu Functions (Dover, New York, 1964).





FIG.1. A sketch of the (q; A) plane in which grey (white) strips represent instability (stability) regions of the M athieu equation. The dotted line corresponds to A = 2q. The thick solid line corresponds to $A = 2q + m_x^2$, the trajectory of the k = 0 mode (assuming V (X) = 0). Also sketched (see thin solid lines with arrow s) are trajectories with k = k, which dominate the resonant ampli cation. Only trajectories lying below the dashed curve, $A = 2q + \frac{p}{bq}$ with b = 0 (1), are signi cantly ampli ed; in the text, we explain how to use this curve to determ ine k and q_e .



FIG.2. Plot of x = total vs.m t=2 comparing numerical results (thin, ragged curves) to the heuristic M athieu equation picture for various models (thick, sm ooth curves), where 2 =m is the in atom oscillation period. The approximation reliably predicts the time-averaged behavior. The top pair of curves corresponds to the minimal grequired for the massless case to achieve e cient preheating, x . As predicted by the heuristic picture, increasing m²_x or f() or decreasing greatly suppresses amplication.



FIG.3. A plot of g vs. $m_x = m$ indicating the boundary between e cient preheating and ine cient preheating. Num erical results (circles) and the prediction based on the M athieu equation (solid curve) are in good agreem ent. Note the low er bound on g required to have e cient preheating, a bound which increases as m_x increases.





FIG.4. For simplicity, the calculations in all three panels of the gure are done for a single k = 0 m ode of the eld. Figure (a) shows the number of -particles (n) produced as a function of time for a typical case of barely eldent preheating. Each time oscillates through its minimum, there is a sharp spike; however, particle production is measured by the time-averaged increase in n_x . Initially, when q is large (t=2 8), the increases in n_x occur in discrete jumps from one side of a spike to another. This behavior is just like the static M athieu equation for high q, as shown in (b). At later times (t=2 8), the growth is continuous between spikes and across them, similar to the static M athieu equation at small q, as shown in (c). For t=2 12, there are also rises and plateaus stretching many oscillations as q spends many oscillations in one instability regime. In all cases, the gures show that the growth has an exponential envelope with exponent reliably predicted by the M athieu picture.