Vortex-nucleus interaction and pinning forces in neutron stars

P.M. Pizzochero^{a,b}, L.Viverit^a and R.A.Broglia^{a,b,c}

^a D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita degli Studi di M ilano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 M ilano, Italy.

^b INFN, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy.

^c The Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen,

Blegdam svej 17, 2100 Copenhagen , Denmark.

(Revised version)

Abstract

We calculate the force that pins vortices in the neutron super uid to nuclei in the inner crust of rotating neutron stars, relying on a detailed m icroscopic description of both the vortex radial prole and the inner crust nuclear structure. The contribution to the pinning energy from pair condensation is estimated in the local density approximation with realistic nucleon-nucleon interactions. The kinetic contribution, not consistently included in previous approaches, is evaluated in the same approximation and found to be relevant. The vortexnucleus interaction turns out to be attractive for stellar densities greater than

 10^{13} g/cm³. In this region, we not values for the pinning force which are alm ost one order of magnitude lower than the ones obtained so far. This has direct consequences on the critical velocity di erences for vortex depinning.

PACS number(s): 26.60 + c

The calculation of the interaction energy between a vortex and a nucleus has been of high concern since the vortex pinning m odel was proposed by Anderson and Itoh [1] to explain pulsar glitches, that is sudden spin-ups in the neutron star rotation. The idea is to calculate the di erence in energy between a con guration with the nucleus outside the vortex core and one with the nucleus at the center of the core. This is done by taking the con guration of a vortex alone as the one of zero energy, and then calculating the energies of the two con gurations with the nucleus present. The rst estimates [2] considered only the di erence in pairing condensation energy, calculated in a crude m odel with uniform densities for both nuclear and vortex matter. A s m ade clear by Epstein and Baym [3], how ever, the di erence in energy between a vortex alone and one with a nucleus comes from two contributions, one of which is kinetic and the other condensational. These authors also introduced a realistic density pro le for the nuclei present in the neutron star crust, which has a relevant e ect on the results for the pairing energies. To date, their treatm ent is the most re ned available in the literature, although, as discussed later, they only use the condensational contribution to evaluate the pinning energy.

The point of view of Epstein and Baym [3] was to use the G inzburg-Landau approximation to evaluate the pairing properties of the super uid crust. In this scenario, the core radius was taken to be $_{GL}$, the G inzburg-Landau order parameter. The conditions of applicability of the G inzburg-Landau theory, however, are far from satis ed in the case under discussion. Indeed, the neutron star crust is practically a zero-tem perature case (T = 0.01 M eV), while for the G inzburg-Landau approach to be valid, the tem perature of the system should be close to the transition one (T_c = 0.5 M eV). Moreover, the density variations due to the presence of the nucleus are quite steep, which is also in contrast with the requirements of the G inzburg-Landau coherence lengths by factors in the range 2 = 12, in order to reproduce experimental condensation energies for ordinary nuclei.

For these reasons we felt the need to change the theoretical fram ework and use a more

realistic approach to treat the radial dependence of the pairing gap in the inner crust of neutron stars. O urm odel is based on the local density approximation to evaluate the pairing properties of the system [4]. This approach, when applied to ordinary nite nuclei, gives realistic values for their condensation energies [5]. Application of this model to the case of the inner crust of neutron stars, where a lattice of neutron rich nuclei (described in terms of W igner-Seitz cells) is permeated by a gas of unbound super uid neutrons, can be found in Ref. [6]. A full BCS treatment of the problem, although more satisfactory, would bring about m any di culties, due to the di erent symmetries and yet comparable dimensions of the nucleus and the vortex core.

Super uid matter in a straight vortex moves with a velocity eld

$$v(x) = \frac{h}{2m_{N}r}e_{\#};$$
 (1)

where r is the radial distance of the point x to the vortex axis, $m_{_N}$ is the nucleon m ass and e_{\sharp} is the tangent unit vector. >From this equation we can readily see the need for a layer of norm alm atter, called vortex core, surrounding the axis and co-rotating with the solid crust. This is so since the curl of the velocity eld of a super uid has to be zero everywhere. The eld we are considering satis as this condition at every point but on the axis. This singular behaviour can be avoided by assuming that, along the axis, m atter is not super uid. This point can be understood also in another way. Eq. (1) states that the velocity and the kinetic energy density of the super uid tends to in nity as the axis is approached. This is clearly in possible, thus indicating that at some point close to the axis neutron m atternas to undergo a transition to a norm al state, where it can be assumed to be static in a frame where the nuclear lattice is at rest.

This is the point of view we took to de ne the radius core. In this case, the distance where the transition occurs can be obtained equating the kinetic energy density, due to the rotation around the axis, to the condensation energy per unit volum e. C beer to the axis the kinetic term increases rapidly, making it energetically unfavorable for matter to remain super uid. The kinetic energy per unit volum e is

$$E_{kin} = \frac{h^2 n}{8m_N r^2}$$
; (2)

where n = n (r) is the super und particle (neutron) density. Due to the super und state, a unit volume of matter has an energy lower by

$$E_{\text{cond}} = \frac{3^2 n}{8"_{\text{F}}}; \qquad (3)$$

com pared to a unit volum e of norm alm atter. Here = (r) is the energy gap calculated in the sem iclassical approximation, and " $_{\rm F}$ = " $_{\rm F}$ (r) is the local Ferm i energy. In the local density approximation, the dierent quantities depend parametrically on r via the local Ferm i m on entum (see Ref. [6]). Equating Eqs. (2) and (3) to zero, one gets an equation in r, whose solution is the transition radius, R_t. This argument can be readily generalized to the case in which a nucleus is set at the center of the vortex core, thus modifying its structure. Numerical calculations, using a realistic neutron density pro le as given by Negele and Vautherin [7], were performed to obtain the shape of the core. In this case, the transition radius R_t = R_t(z) will depend also on the coordinate z, due to the spherical symmetry of the nucleus. In the actual calculations, we took also into account the density variation of the neutron super uid due to the centrifugal potential induced by the rotation, as follows from the local density approximation.

A staid before, the vortex alone was considered as the zero energy state. Setting a nucleus within the ow changes the density prole and the velocity eld, thus causing a variation in the kinetic and condensation energy. D epending on where the nucleus is placed, the energies will be modiled by a dilerent amount. We considered the two cases of a nucleus right at the center of the core (case I) and just barely out of it (case II).

In case I, the kinetic term was obtained by a numerical integration of the kinetic energy density, given by Eq. (2). The condensation energy was obtained via an numerical integration of Eq. (3) over the volume occupied by the super uid. In both cases, the realistic density proles were used. We point out that Epstein and Baym $[\beta]$ neglect the kinetic contribution in this case, while our results shows that it is relevant. Incidentally, a simple calculation

based on their approach (and in the simpli ed scenario of purely axial symmetry, i.e. with a \cylindrical" nucleus) gives a kinetic e ect of magnitude comparable to ours.

Epstein and Baym [3] gave a good estimate of the kinetic energy when the nucleus is out of the vortex core, and we took that as the appropriate value. To not the condensation energy term in case II, we proceeded as before by numerical integration. We point out that Epstein and Baym, after calculating the kinetic contribution, do not include it in the evaluation of the pinning energies. In this sense, their results electively include only the pairing contribution, calculated in the G inzburg-Landau approximation.

Subtraction of the energy of a nucleus outside the core and that of one inside, yields the pinning energy, E_{pin} . The pinning force, F_{pin} , is de ned as E_{pin} divided by the minimum distance between the nucleus and the vortex axis. This was taken to be $R_t + R_N$, where R_N is the nuclear radius.

W e perform ed our calculations for di erent zones in the inner crust of the neutron star. The physical properties of these zones were obtained by Negele and Vautherin [7] and we report them in Table 1. The calculations where done using di erent nucleon-nucleon residual interactions, nam ely Argonne's potential [8] and Gogny's elective interaction [6], and with the nucleon elective mass varying with density. Incidentally, it turns out that setting the elective mass equal to that of a free nucleon does not change the results signi cantly.

In the Table 2 and Table 3 we report the results obtained. The transition radius R_t is the core radius of the vortex alone. In order to compare kinetic and pairing contributions, we give the values for $E_{kin} = E_{kin pout}$ $E_{kin jin}$ and $E_{cond} = E_{condpout}$ $E_{condpin}$, so that the pinning energy is $E_{pin} = E_{kin} + E_{cond}$. The subscript 'in' refers to the state in which the nucleus is at the center of a vortex core, and 'but' to the case of a nucleus whose center is at a distance $R_t + R_N$ from the core axis. When the pinning energy is positive, the vortex pins to nuclei. When the pinning energy is negative, the vortex tends to avoid nuclei in its path through the lattice. We refer to this scenario as threading (or interstitial pinning). Only in the pinning case, can we calculate the pinning force as just described. In the threading

case, instead, it is much easier for the vortex to move through the nuclear array, and the pinning force is orders of magnitude smaller than the values one would obtain from E_{pin} (cf. Ref. [9]).

A general look at the results shows that there is pinning on nuclei for densities greater than 10^{13} g/cm³. This general trend is in agreem ent with what has been so far obtained in the literature. A salready mentioned, the kinetic energy contributions are relevant, as can be seen from the relative values of E _{kin} and E _{cond}. In particular, due to the interplay between the spherical geometry of the nucleus and the cylindrical geometry of the vortex, the kinetic energy di erence can be also negative. The Argonne and G ogny cases are quite similar, although G ogny gives pinning only at slightly larger densities. The fact that these very di erent interactions (Argonne is a bare nuclear potential, G ogny is an elective interaction) give results for the pinning that agree within a factor of two is gratifying, since the choice of the nucleon-nucleon interaction to be used in the calculations discussed here is an open and controversial issue.

We now compare our results with those obtained by other authors. In Table 4 we report the values for the pinning energies obtained by Epstein and Baym [3], as well as the results for the pinning force calculated by Link and Epstein [9] from those energies. We remind that the pinning energies of Epstein and Baym are only condensational (i.e., they correspond to the term E_{cond}). We notice how their pairing energy dimensional (i.e., they correspond to the term E_{cond}). We notice how their pairing energy dimensional (i.e., they correspond to the term E_{cond}). We notice how their pairing energy dimensional (i.e., they correspond to ordinary nuclei in the fact that, in order to reproduce experimental condensation energies for ordinary nuclei in the G inzburg-Landau approach, they must divide their coherence lengths by factors in the range 2 12 (depending on the pairing gaps they use). In turn, this amounts to multiplying the condensation energies by factors in the range 4 144. Finally, after averaging the results obtained from two sets of pairing gaps (Takatsuka' and Chen et al.' gaps [3]), they obtain the best-estimates' for the pairing energy dimensioner reported in Table 4. Numerically, however, the kinetic contribution included by us partially makes up for the dimense, since it presents relevant positive values at larger densities.

To complete the comparison between our results and those obtained by Epstein and Baym in the G inzburg-Landau approximation, we rst observe that the pairing gaps calculated in neutron matter with the Argonne interaction [8] and those calculated by Takatsuka [10] are practically the same in the density range corresponding to the inner crust. Therefore, it is instructive to compare the difference in pairing energy E_{cond} obtained in the present paper with the Argonne potential, and that obtained by Epstein and Baym with the Takatsuka gaps (which can be deduced from table 4 of R ef. [3]). These results are reported in Table 5. The two sets of values difference is pairing discussed how the local density approximation is expected to be a better approach than the G inzburg-Landau one for the situation under study.

>From a general book to the previous results, we see that our treatment gives pinning forces that are smaller than those obtained in the previous approaches by almost one order of magnitude. We point out that having too large values for the pinning force has been one of the problem s of the vortex pinning model. In this sense, the results of our approach seem to go in the right direction.

In conclusion, we have proposed a microscopic model to calculate the vortex-nucleus interaction in the inner crust of rotating neutron stars. We have treated the pairing energies in a sem iclassical approximation, which is better suited to deal with the system under discussion than the G inzburg-Landau approach followed so far. We have also included the kinetic contribution to the pinning energy, which turns out to be relevant. We have used realistic density probes for the Wigner-Seitz cells and dierent realistic nucleon-nucleon interactions to test their in uence. We have dened the radius of the vortex core and the density probe of the rotating super uid in a way which is consistent with the sem iclassical approach followed. In particular, we have not introduced any arbitrary scaling factor in our model. We have obtained results that dier by alm ost one order of magnitude from those obtained in previous less re ned approaches. These results are likely to have in portant elects in relation

to pulsar glitches. For example, critical velocity di erences for depinning are directly related to the pinning forces. These applications, how ever, are beyond the scope of the present work.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.W. Anderson, and N. Itoh, Nature, 256, 25 (1975).
- [2] M A.Alpar, Ap. J. 213, 527 (1977).
- [3] R. Epstein, and G. Baym, Ap. J. 328, 680 (1988).
- [4] R. Bengtsson, and P. Schuck, Phys. Lett. 89B, 321 (1980).
- [5] H.Kucharek, et al, Phys. Lett. B 216, 249 (1989).
- [6] R A. Broglia, et al., Phys. Rev. D 50, 4781 (1994).
- [7] JW . Negele, and D. Vautherin, Nucl. Phys. A 207, 298 (1973).
- [8] M. Baldo, et al, Nucl. Phys. A 515, 409 (1990).
- [9] B. Link, and R. Epstein, Ap. J. 373, 592 (1991).
- [10] T. Takatsuka, Progr. Theoret. Phys. 71, 1432 (1984).

TABLE 1 { Physical parameters of the four regions in the inner crust. The values are taken from Negele and Vautherin [7]. The baryon densities, $_{\rm b}$, of the four zones are given in g/cm³, the densities of the free neutron gas, $n_{\rm ng}$, in fm³ and the radii of the nuclei, $R_{\rm N}$, and those of the W igner-Seitz cells, $R_{\rm WS}$, in fm.

Zone		1		2		3		4
b	1:51	10 ¹²	9 : 55	10 ¹²	3:39	10 ¹³	7 : 76	10 ¹³
n _{ng}	4 : 79	10 4	4 : 68	10 ³	1 : 82	10 ²	4 : 37	10 ²
R_{N}		6 : 0		6 : 73		7:32		6 : 72
R _{₩s}		44 : 0		35 : 5		27 : 0		19 : 4

TABLE 2 { Results of the calculation with the Argonne interaction. The radii of the vortex core, R_t , are given in fm, the energies in M eV, while the pinning forces are in M eV/fm. As explained in the text, the pinning forces are given only for positive pinning energies, since in the threading regime they do not derive from the values of E_{pin} [9].

Zone	1	2	3	4
Rt	3 : 87	2 : 93	3 : 62	7 : 02
E _{kin}	2:59	0 : 52	5:36	1:25
E _{cond}	0:31	0 : 42	0 : 63	2 : 69
$\rm E_{pin}$	2 : 90	0:10	5 : 99	3 : 94
F _{pin}		0:01	0 : 55	029

Zone	1	2	3	4
Rt	2:88	2:44	2:82	5:13
E _{kin}	3 : 90	0:36	6:32	5 : 89
E _{cond}	0:28	1:24	0 : 37	1 : 60
E _{pin}	4:18	1 : 60	5 : 95	7 : 49
F _{pin}			0:59	0 : 63

TABLE 3 { Results of the calculation with the Gogny interaction. The radii of the vortex core, R_t , are given in fm, the energies are in MeV and the pinning forces in MeV/fm.

TABLE 4 { Results from the G inzburg-Landau approximation. The pinning energies are taken from Epstein and Baym [3], the pinning forces from Link and Epstein [9]. The energies are given in M eV and the forces in M eV/fm.

Zone	1	2	3	4
E _{pin}	4:4	0 : 4	15 : 0	9 : 0
${\tt F}_{\tt pin}$		0:11	3 : 6	1 : 9

TABLE 5 { Dierence in pairing energy E_{cond} , obtained in this paper in the local density approximation with the Argonne interaction, and obtained by Epstein and Baym [3] in the Ginzburg-Landau approximation with the Takatsuka gaps [10]. The energies are given in MeV.

Zone	1	2	3	4
A rgonne	0:31	0:42	0 : 63	2 : 69
Takatsuka	42	4 : 8	11:9	17 : 1