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## ABSTRACT

W e estim ate the e ects of weak lensing by large-scale density inhom ogeneities and long-w avelength gravitational waves upon the polarization properties of electrom agnetic radiation as it propagates from cosm ologically distant sources. Scalar (density) uctuations do not rotate neither the plane of polarization of the electrom agnetic radiation nor the source im age. They produce, how ever, an appreciable shear, which distorts the im age shape, leading to an apparent rotation of the im age orientation relative to its plane of polarization. In sources w ith large ellipticity the apparent rotation is rather sm all, of the order (in radians) of the dim ensionless shear. The e ect is larger at sm aller source eccentricity. A shear of $1 \%$ can induce apparent rotations of around 5 degrees in radio sources w th the sm allest eccentricity am ong those w ith a signi cant degree of integrated linear polarization. W e discuss the possibility that weak lensing by shear with m s value around or below $5 \% \mathrm{~m}$ ay be the cause for the dispersion in the direction of integrated linear polarization of cosm ologically distant radio sources aw ay from the perpendicular to their $m$ a jor axis, as expected from m odels for their m agnetic elds. A m s shear larger than $5 \%$ would be incom patible w ith the observed correlation between polarization properties and source orientation in distant radio galaxies and quasars. G ravily waves do rotate both the plane of polarization as well as the source im age. T heir weak lensing e ects, how ever, are negligible.

Subject headings: cosm ology: theory | gravitational lensing | large-scale structure of universe | polarization

## 1. Introduction

Polarization properties of extragalactic radio sources are prim arily a source of inform ation about $m$ agnetic elds in the source, in the intergalactic $m$ edium, and in the $M$ iky $W$ ay (SGaikia
 derived from $m$ ulti-frequency polarization $m$ easurem ents after correction for Faraday rotation
along intervening $m$ agnetic elds, should point penpendicularly to the $m$ agnetic eld lines, the em ission being synchrotron radiation.

In the case of extended radio jets from quasars, the orientation of the intrinsic linear polarization within a well de ned jet is typically perpendicular to the local direction of each jet segm ent, and if it does change it is usually a 90 ip (see, e.g., Bridile
 con dence in the predicted jet-intrinsic $m$ agnetic eld con gurations supporting these observations


K ronberg et al. (1991) have proposed and applied a technique involving the angular relationship between the intrinsic polarization vectors and the $m$ onphological structure of extended radio jets to probe the gravitational distortion of these souroes by foreground lensing galaxies. Since the polarization vector is not rotated by the gravitational eld of an ordinary gravitational lens, the bending of a jet aw ay from its intrinsic pro jected shape caused by the lensing e ects of intervening $m$ asses yields a detectable local \alignm ent breaking" between the polarization and the orientation of each jet segm ent. A pplication of th is idea to the analysis of the radio and deep optical im ages of the strongly polarized jet from 3C 9 provided the rst estim ate of the $m$ ass and $m$ ass distributions of two intervening galaxies acting as lenses using this technique rikenberg elt 'ai. 1901 '; and K ronbergo

The notion that the plane of polarization is not rotated by the gravitational eld of an ordinary gravitational lens, which underlies the $m$ ethod of K ronberg et al. (1991), was addressed by D yer \& Shaver (1992). U sing sym m etry considerations they argued that the polarization direction of photons in a beam under the in uence of a gravitational lens rem ains una ected except for lenses in very rapid rotation, an astrophysically unlikely situation. Sim ilar conclusions were also reached by Faraoni (1993), w ith a di erent approach. The fact that the gravitational eld of rotating bodies $m$ ay rotate the plane of polarization of electrom agnetic waves has been addressed am ong others by Strotskii (1957), B alazs (1958), P lebanski (1960), M ashhoon (1973), P inneault \& R oeder (1977) and Su \& M allet (1980).

In the present work we analyse the e ect of weak gravitational lensing by large scale structure in the U niverse upon the polarization properties of electrom agnetic radiation from distant souroes. $T$ hrough an extension of the $m$ ethod of \alignm ent breaking", introduced by $K$ ronberg et al (1991), we suggest that polarization properties of distant radio souroes $m$ ay provide inform ation on the uctuations in the gravitational potential due to large scale density inhom ogeneities.

W hen light from a distant source propagates across density inhom ogeneities, the apparent shape and size of the source is distorted by tidal de ections. Thee ect is known as weak lensing, in contrast to the case when the bending of light rays is so strong that $m u l t i p l e ~ i m ~ a g e ~ f o r m ~ a t i o n ~$ is possible. $W$ eak lensing e ects have already proved to be a powerful tool to m ap the m ass


et al 1991, Mradaen scude ellipticities induced by the shear they produce. It has been estim ated that shear caused by large-scale density uctuations in the linear regime is of the order of $1 \%$, depending on the cosm ological $m$ odel, the nom alization of the pow er spectrum, and the redshift distribution of sources "Bandfod en sm aller scales (below 20 arom in) by Jain \& Seljak (1996), show that density inhom ogeneities in the non-linear regim e induce a larger cosm ic shear, of the order of a few percent. Recently, Schneider et al. (1997) presented the rst evidence for the detection of a robust shear signal of about $3 \%$ on scales of 1 arom in, in agreem ent $w$ ith the above theoretical expectations, from the analysis of data in a eld contain ing the radio source PK S1508-05. Long-w avelength gravitational w aves (tensor m etric perturbations) are also a potential source of weak lensing of distant sources.

R adio sources w th a signi cant degree of linear polarization, are also usually elongated along one direction. The angle betw een the intrinsic plane of polarization and the orientation of the structural axis has been $m$ easured for a large num ber of souroes, for which redshift inform ation is also available. The existence of a signi cant correlation betw een the direction of integrated linear polarization and the source axis orientation has been noticed since the earliest investigations (Haves - Conway 1979,1 , found around $\quad 90$, suggesting a magnetic eld parallel to the source axis. For low redsh ift sources there is weaker correlation, w ith a narrow peak at $\quad=0$, suggesting a magnetic eld penpendicular to the source axis, and a broader peak at $\quad=90$. It is believed that the
$=90$ peak corresponds to high-hum inosity sources, while the $\quad=0$ peak is ascribed to low -lum inosity ob jects.
$T$ he angle between the plane of integrated linear polarization and the $m$ a jor axis of distant radio sources is potentially a useful tool to search for unusuale ects upon light propagation over cosm ological distances, additional to the standard Faraday rotation. T here have been, for instance, searches for correlations between the polarization properties of extragalactic radio souroes and their location, which would evidence som e kind of \cosm ological birefringence". W hile rst attem pts indicated that the residual polarization rotation tted a dipole rule (
 (1986) rejected that evidence. Recently, $N$ odland \& $R$ alston (1997) have claim ed to nd evidence in the data for a redshift-dependent dipole anisotropy in the rotation of the plane of polarization.

 also be in con ict w th other potentially $m$ ore accurate probes of such cosm ological rotation of polarization, through high resolution polarization and intensity data of som e speci c radio souroes


In this paper, we estim ate the e ect of weak lensing by large scale structure, in the form of density uctuations or gravitational waves, upon the observed angle between the plane of polarization of the electrom agnetic em ission by distant radio souroes and their im age orientation.

We nd it is in principle possible that the scattered cases of distant radio sources which appear to be polarized aw ay from the direction either penpendicular or parallel to the source axis, if Faraday rotation were the only propagation e ect, be actually a consequence of additional wavelength-independent rotation of the polarization as their light travels to us through an inhom ogeneous universe.

In section 2 we review the form alism appropriate to describe im age distortions caused by scalar and tensor weak lensing. In section 3 we work in the geom etric optics approxim ation and derive the rotation of the plane of polarization as light propagates in the presence of $m$ etric uctuations. In section 4 we estim ate the e ect of large scale structure upon the angle betw een the plane of polarization and the orientation of a source im age, and show that a shear of $1 \%$ can change the value of by 5 . In section 5 , we discuss the possibility that scalar shear w ith a m s value around or below 5\%, which would cause apparent rotations w ith a m ean square dispersion of 20 , be the cause of the scattered cases of cosm ologically distant radio sources $w$ ith a direction of integrated linear polarization which is not perpendicular to their $m$ ajor axis. In section 6 we sum $m$ arize our conclusions.

## 2. Im age distortion by random $m$ etric perturbations

In this section we review the form alism appropriate to describe weak lensing e ects upon light propagation along cosm ological distances through a universe with large scale density inhom ogeneities and long wavelength gravitational waves. P art of the $m$ aterial in this section is not new (see for instance include it for com pleteness, to de ne ourm ethod and conventions, and because som e of our results for the gravitational distortions are at variance w ith those of Seljak (1994) and K aiser \& Ja e (1997). The di erences do not con ict w ith the $m$ ain conclusion that weak lensing e ects by large scale density inhom ogeneities accum ulate over distances longer than the wavelength of the $m$ etric
 not signi cantly accum ulate $k$ sm all, it is relevant to precisely determ ine the focusing, shear and rotation they induce if we want to determ ine the rotation of the plane of polarization relative to the $m$ orphological structure of the source.
$W$ e restrict our attention to e ects that are linear in the $m$ etric perturbations. For sim plicity, we shall consider scalar and tensorm etric uctuations around a $M$ inkow skibackground.
 restriction to a M inkow skibackground oversim pli es the distance-dependence of the e ects under consideration, but preserves their qualitative features as well as the order of m agnitude estim ates. Vector perturbations could also be easily inconporated into this form alism .
$C$ onsider a $M$ inkow ski background $m$ etric $w$ ith signature $(-1,1,1,1)$, and linear
perturbations h . G reek indices ; ; ;:::=0;1;2;3 denote space-tim e coordinates. Latin indices $i ; j ;:::=1 ; 2 ; 3 \mathrm{w}$ ill denote spatial coordinates, but latin indices $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ;:::=1 ; 2 \mathrm{w}$ ill be reserved for the com ponents transverse to the unperturbed photons paths, that we shall take as approxim ately parallel to the $\mathrm{x}^{3}$ axis. Boldface sym bols w ill denote spatial vectors, ie. $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}, \ldots$
$T$ he linearized geodesic equations read,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x}{d z^{2}}=\quad\left(\mathrm{h} \quad ; \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h} ;\right) \mathrm{p} \mathrm{p} \quad: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e denote the photons 4 m om entum w ith $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{dx}=\mathrm{dz}$, where z is an a ne param eter (not necessarily coincident with the $x^{3}$-coordinate).

We consider photon paths su ciently close to the $\mathrm{x}^{3}$ axis, pointing towards an observer located at the origin of coordinates, and work not only up to linear order in the $m$ etric perturbations, but also up to rst order in the photon departures aw ay from the polar axis, which we param etrize as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{a}(z)=a^{a}+O\left(h ;{ }^{2}\right) \quad(a=1 ; 2) \quad: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e choose an a ne param eter such that $x^{3}=z+O\left(h ;{ }^{2}\right)$, and thust $=t_{e}+\left(z_{s} \quad z\right)+O\left(h ;{ }^{2}\right)$, w ith te the time at which the photon is em itted at the source, located at an a ne distance $z_{\mathrm{s}}$ in the $\mathrm{x}^{3}$-direction. We assum e a gauge such that $\mathrm{h}_{0 j}=0$. To low est order $\mathrm{p}=\left(1 ;{ }^{1} ;{ }^{2} ; 1\right)$, and the geodesic equation for the transverse departure of the photon path aw ay from the $\mathrm{x}^{3}$ axis can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x^{a}}{d z^{2}}=D^{a}(x ; t)+F_{b}^{a}(x ; t)^{b}+O\left(^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{00}+\mathrm{h}_{33}\right)_{; \mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{a} 3 ; 0} \quad h_{\mathrm{a} ; 3}  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{ab}} & =\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ab} ; 0} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ab} ; 3} \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}
3 \mathrm{a} ; b & \left.h_{3 b ; a}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Taylor-expanding $D_{a}(x ; t)$ to rst order in $x^{a}$ we can nally write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x^{a}}{d z^{2}}=D^{a}(z)+M_{b}^{a}(z)^{b}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we de ned

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a b}(z)=z D_{a ; b}(z)+F_{a b}(z) \quad: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities in the rh s of equation ( photon path. For instance $D_{a}(z)=D_{a}\left(x^{a}=0 ; z ; t=t_{e}+z_{s} \quad z\right)$.

In order to determ ine the distortion produced by $m$ etric uctuations upon light propagation, we consider tw o neighboring photon paths that arrive to the observer with angular separation a com ing from two di erent points at the souroe separated by a coordinate distance $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : $T$ he particular solution to the geodesic deviation equation with the appropriate boundary conditions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{a}(z)=a^{a}+\int_{0}^{z} d z^{Z^{z}}{ }_{0}^{z^{0}} d z Q_{M} a_{b}\left(z^{\infty}\right)^{b}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A source w ith a shape described in these coordinates by $x^{a}={ }^{a} z_{s}$ is seen by an observer at the origin as if the im age were at $x^{a}={ }^{a} z_{s}$ in the souroe sphere. Themapping ${ }^{a}!{ }^{a}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\left(a_{b}+a_{b}\right)^{b} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b=\int_{0}^{z_{s}} d z \frac{z_{s}}{z_{s}} M_{a b}(z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the distortion $m$ atrix, which describes the e ect of linearm etric perturbations upon the observed shape of an im age.

We point out now what is the source of variance between our results and those by Seljek (1994) and K aiser \& Ja e (1997). Their expressions for the geodesic deviation and ab coincide
 di erence is the term $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ab}}$, which arises as a consequence that the photon pathsm ust converge into the origin, and thus the unperturbed paths are not strictly parallel. The relevant observational situation is not exactly described by the distortion su ered by a bundle of initially parallel photon paths, but rather by a bundle that starting at the source focalize at the observer location. In other words, tidal de ections are not only due to the gradients of the gravitational potential in the directions transverse to the central ray in the bundle, but there is also a contribution of the gradient in the longitudinal direction, given that the rays in the bundle are not parallel. The longitudinal gradients, as we shall see, are signi cant in the tensor case only, which at any rate is not likely to have a large im pact upon $m$ easurem ents. The precise result for the focusing, shear and rotation induced by gravity waves, how ever, $m$ ust take them into account.

There are som e subtleties in the de nition of the distortion $m$ atrix to linear order in the perturbations that we now discuss. A s de ned by eq. $(\bar{\varphi})$, the distortion $m$ atrix relates coordinate (rather than proper) shapes. It is thus convenient to separate from ab the localdistortion e ects, due to the di erent proper length that a sam e coordinate segm ent has at the observer and source locations. W e thus de ne $\sim_{a b}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ab}=\sim_{\mathrm{ab}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ab}} \quad: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $h_{a b}=h_{a b}\left(z_{s} ; t_{e}\right) \quad h_{a b}\left(z=0 ; t=t_{0}\right)$ is the di erence in the $m$ etric perturbations betw een the events of em ission of the light ray at tim $e t_{e}$ from the souroe location, and of its observation at the origin at tim $t_{0} . \sim_{a b}$ is the appropriate $m$ atrix to describe the im age distortion in term $s$ of proper length $m$ appings:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b}\left(0 ; t_{0}\right)^{a b} z_{s}^{2}=\left[g_{a b}\left(z_{s} ; t_{e}\right)+\sim_{a b}\right] \text { ab } z_{s}^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $g_{a b}=a b+h_{a b}$.
A thhough this is just a conventionalm atter, we also wish to em phasize that our de nition of focusing describes its dependence w ith a ne, rather than coordinate, distance. Since they di er by term $s$ linear in the perturbations, this $m$ atter of convention needs to be speci ed.

The $m$ ore general distortion $m$ atrix ab can be decom posed in term $s$ of a diagonal $m$ atrix, which represents focusing or convergence, a sym $m$ etric traceless $m$ atrix $a b$, which describes shear, and an antisym $m$ etric $m$ atrix, that corresponds to a rigid rotation of the im age:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b=a b+a b \quad!a b \quad: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $a b$ is the Levi-C inita tensor in tw o-dim ensions. Shear can be described in term $s$ of its intensity and the direction of one of its principal axis (which is only de ned modulo ) as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{ab}= & \cos 2  \tag{13}\\
\sin 2 & \sin 2 \\
\cos 2
\end{array} \quad:
$$

$N$ otioe that a rotation of the direction of the shear by $=2$ am ounts to just a change in the sign of . Two independent directions in which to decom pose an arbitrary shear form an angle =4.

W e now specialize the expressions above to the case of scalar and tensor $m$ etric perturbations respectively. We start working w th a single planar metric uctuation, and later com pute the ms e ect of a full stochastic background by supenposition.

### 2.1. Scalar perturbations

W e consider one Fourier m ode of static scalar perturbations

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad(x)=h(k) e^{i k x} \quad=h(k) e^{i k x^{x} x^{a}} e^{i k z} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

appropriate for instance to describe adiabatic density perturbations in a m atter-dom inated, spatially- at R obertson $W$ alker background, in the longitudinalgauge. $W$ e have de ned $=m \quad n$, where is the cosine of the angle between the wave-vector $k=k m$, and the direction $n$ in which light propagates, which is approxim ately along the $x^{3}$ axis, incom ing tow ards the origin from positive values of $x^{3}$. Specialization of the eqs. in the previous section to this case lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b=h(k)\left[\left(; z_{s}\right) m_{a} m_{b}+\left(; z_{s}\right) a b\right]: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we de ned

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(; z_{s}\right)=k^{2}{ }^{R_{z_{s}}} d z \frac{z\left(z_{\mathrm{z}} z\right)}{z_{s}} e^{i k} z \\
& \left.\left(; z_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=\quad \mathrm{ik}^{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{s}}}} \mathrm{dz} \frac{z_{\mathrm{s}}}{z_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) ~ e^{i k z} \quad \text { : } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

W e write the projection of the wave-vector direction onto the plane orthogonal to z as
 and direction, and rotation induced by a single Fourier m ode of scalar perturbations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{k})\left[\begin{array}{llll}
(1 & \left.{ }^{2}\right) & \left(; z_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+2 & \left(; z_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{k})(1  \tag{17}\\
& \left.{ }^{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left.; z_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\boldsymbol{r} \\
! & =0:
\end{align*}
$$

Scalar perturbations induce no rotation. T hey produce shear in the direction of the perturbation w ave-vector, pro jected into the source plane.

As pointed out by K aiser \& Ja e (1997), the reason why weak lensing e ects by scalar perturbations accum ulate over distances longer than the perturbation wavelength is evident from eqs. (1] $\overline{1})$ and $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$. Resonant $m$ odes, those for which the photons rem ain in phase w ith the perturbation, are those with 0 , which produce a signi cant de ection. N otice that ( ) ! $\left(k z_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{2}=6$ and ( ) ! $\mathrm{ik} z_{\mathrm{s}}=2$ as ! 0.0 ur results di er from those by Seljak (1994) and $K$ aiser \& Ja e (1997) by the e ect of the longitudinalm odes which a ect just the focusing
by the term proportional to ( $; z_{s}$ ). Since this term does not contribute in the resonant situation ( $=0$ ), it is sub-dom inant, and negligible, after supenposition of all Fourier $m$ odes of the stochastic background.

As discussed in the previous subsection, the local e ects can be subtracted from the distortion $m$ atrix describing proper distortions through $\tilde{a b}=a b \frac{1}{2} h{ }_{a b}$. In this case $h_{a b}=a b\left(e^{i k} z_{s} \quad 1\right)$, and use of the relation $2 \quad 2=\left(\begin{array}{ll}e^{i k} z_{s} & 1) \text { allow s to identify the }\end{array}\right.$ proper distortion $m$ atrix elem ents as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sim & =\frac{1}{2} h(k) \quad\left(; z_{\mathrm{s}}\right)  \tag{18}\\
\sim & :
\end{align*}
$$

C onsider now a stochastic background of scalar uctuations characterized in $m$ om entum -space by the correlations $\left\langle\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0}\right)\right\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & )^{3} & (\mathrm{k} \\ \mathrm{k}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})$ where $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})$ is the power spectrum . The $m$ ean square expectation value of the shear induced is

In the $\lim$ it $k z_{s} \quad 1$ the -integral equals $\overline{15}\left(k z_{s}\right)^{3}+O\left[\left(k z_{s}\right)^{2}\right]$. Shear induced by scalar perturbations of am plitude $h$ accum ulates over distances $D$ longer than the wavelength as h $\quad(D=\beta=2$ (Seljek 1994). In the case of a scale invariant power spectrum $P(k)$, such as that predicted by in ationary models in a CDM scenario, with am plitude, norm alized at small $k$ according to the COBE-DMR m easurem ent of the cosm ic $m$ icrow ave background anisotropy, the $\mathrm{m} s$ shear is of order $\left\langle{ }^{2}\right\rangle^{1=2} \quad 0: 02$. The m s convergence is of the sam e order of m agnitude.

### 2.2. Tensor perturbations

N ow we study im age distortions produced by gravity waves. W e work tensor perturbations in the transverse traceless gauge, where $\mathrm{h} k=0, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{p}}=0$, and $\mathrm{h}=0 . \mathrm{W}$ e consider gravitational
 previous section, $=m \quad n$ is the cosine of the angle between the perturbation wave-vector and the photon propagation direction. W e denote the two independent polarization m odes of
the gravity waves w th the symbols + ; . The norm alm odes of the $m$ etric perturbations read $h_{i j}(x ; t)=h_{i j}(k) e^{i(k x k t)} w$ ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{11}(k)=h_{+}(k)\left({ }^{2} \cos ^{2}, \sin ^{2} \prime\right) \quad h(k) \sin 2^{\prime} \\
& h_{22}(k)=h_{+}(k)\left({ }^{2} \sin ^{2 \prime} \quad \cos ^{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)+h(k) \sin 2^{\prime} \\
& h_{33}(k)=h_{+}(k)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.{ }^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& h_{12}(k)=h_{+}(k) \frac{1}{2}\left(1+{ }^{2}\right) \sin 2^{\prime}+h \quad(k) p \frac{\cos 2^{\prime}}{}  \tag{20}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
h_{13}(k)=h_{+}(k) p{ }^{2} \frac{1}{1} \cos ^{\prime} \quad h(k)^{p} \frac{1}{1-{ }^{2}} \sin \prime \\
h_{23}(k)=h_{+}(k)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

The distortion $m$ atrix now reads, from eq. ( $(\overline{9})$
where and are exactly the same functions as in eq. (1]-̄), now the argum ent being 1 instead of . W e have discarded an irrelevant overall phase factor. The focusing, shear intensity and direction, and rotation induced by each norm alm ode of a gravity wave background, for each independent polarization, thus tum out to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right) h_{+}(k) \quad(1 \quad)^{2}\left(1 ; z_{j}\right)+2(1 \quad)\left(1 ; z_{3}\right) \\
& +=\frac{1}{4} h_{+}(k)\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)(1 \quad)^{2}\left(1 ; z_{s}\right) 2\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)(1 \quad)\left(1 ; z_{1}\right) \\
& +=\text {, } \\
& =\frac{1}{2} h(k)\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)(1 \quad)(1 ; z)+2(1 \quad)(1 ; z)  \tag{22}\\
& ={ }^{\prime} \quad \overline{4} \\
& !=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right) h(k)[(1 \quad) \quad(1 ; z)+2(1 ; z)]:
\end{align*}
$$

G ravity w aves have quite di erent weak lensing e ects than scalar m etric perturbations. T he fact that w aves propagate im ply that the resonant $m$ odes, those that $m$ ay eventually add-up over distances longer than the wavelength, are now those with 1 , which do not produce neither focusing, shear, nor rotation. Eqs. (2"_) coincide with the equivalent expressions of $K$ aiser \& Ja e (1997), exœept for the term sproportional to ( 1; 3).

The + polarization of the gravity waves produces focusing, shear w ith a principal axis in the direction of the wave-vector projection into the source plane ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ), and no rotation. T he polarization produces no focusing, a shear com parable in strength to that of the + polarization but w ith principal axis at 45 , and induces rigid rotation of the im age. In other words, the + polarization produces sim ilar e ects as scalar shear, while the polarization induces truly pseudo-scalar shear (the change from a right-handed coordinate system to a left-handed one changes the sign of $h$ but not of $h_{+}$).

W e now use the relation $2(1 \quad)+\left(1 \quad \mathcal{Y}=1 \quad e^{j k z_{s}(1)}\right.$ to express the rotation ! as

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=\frac{1}{2}(1+\quad) h \quad(k) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.h(k)=h(k)\left(e^{i k(1}\right) z_{s} \quad 1\right)$ is the variation in the amplitude of the com ponent of the gravitational w ave betw een the events of em ission of the light ray at the souroe and its arrival to the observer at the origin. C learly, there is no possible cum ulative e ect. T he rotation can never exceed the dim ension less w ave am plitude.

To separate the local distortion e ects from those produced during light propagation we evaluate $\sim_{a b}=a b \frac{1}{2} h \mathrm{ab}$, in this case the proper distortion $m$ atrix elem ents read:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sim & =0 \\
\sim_{(+;)} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad \text { f } \quad\left(\quad 1 ; z_{3}\right) h_{(+;)}\right.  \tag{24}\\
+ & =!\quad:
\end{align*}
$$

E xpressed in term s of proper areas and as a function of a ne distance to the source, there is no focusing to linear order in the gravity w ave am plitude, in agreem ent w ith previous conclusions by Zipoy \& Bertotti (1968).

C onsider now a stochastic background of gravitational radiation, built as a superposition of plane sinusoidal waves. C ontrary to the scalar case, they induce distortions just of the order of their dim ensionless am plitude, but not larger ( $K$ aiser \& Ja e 1997) . C onsider, for instance, an stochastic background such as that predicted by in ationary cosm ological models (ABbott am plitude com patible w ith the observed CM B anisotropy. The m samplitude is of the order of $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{k}) \quad 10^{6} \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{k}$. The dom inant e ect is now that of the w avelengths com parable to the distance to the source. D istortions of order $\quad$, $10^{6}$, a factor $10^{4} \mathrm{sm}$ aller than the e ect expected to be induced by scalar perturbations, are unlikely to be detected. At any rate, it is interesting to observe that there are speci c footprints of weak lensing by tensor perturbations, due to its pseudo-scalar contribution, which $m$ ay eventually serve to distinguish it from that produced by density inhom ogeneities.

## 3. R otation of the plane of polarization by gravity $w$ aves

O ne speci c footprint of weak lensing by gravily w aves is rotation, which is not induced by scalar perturbations. R otation of an im age $m$ ay be di cult to ascertain, w thout a know ledge of the intrinsic source orientation. T he polarization properties of the electrom agnetic em ission of the source $m$ ay, in som e cases, bear som e correlation $w$ ith the intrinsic source orientation. T he angle betw een som e structural direction in a souroe and the direction of the plane of polarization is thus potentially a usefiul tool to search for eventual im age rotation by weak lensing. Since the plane of polarization $m$ ay also rotate as electrom agnetic radiation propagates across density inhom ogeneities or gravitational waves, we should also estim ate this e ect. To do so, in this section we work w thin the geom etric optics approxim ation of the solution to M axw ellequations in curved
 is parallel-transported along the photon path. It is intuitive that scalar perturbations, which have
no handedness, do not rotate the plane of polarization. In the case of gravity waves, how ever, we
 Faraoni 1993]). Im age rotation being a consequence of the geodesic deviation betw een neighboring rays while polarization rotation arises from parallel transport, we m ay in principle expect them to be di erent, and thus potentially provide a test to detect absolute rotations.

Typical wavelenghs of electrom agnetic radiation are extrem ely short com pared to the radius of curvature of space-tim e and to the typical length over which the am plitude, polarization, and w avelength of the electrom agnetic waves may vary. T hus, we m ay con dently apply the geom etric optics approxim ation in our analysis, and electrom agnetic radiation $m$ ay be regarded locally as plane w aves that propagate through a space-tim e of negligible curvature. In the Lorentz gauge the electrom agnetic vector potentialm ay be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=<f a e^{i} g \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a vector amplitude and is a real phase that rapidly changes, $w$ th the typical frequency of the electrom agnetic radiation, $=!\mathrm{p} x+$ const:, $w$ ith ! the wave frequency and $p$ the dim ensionless wave-vector. Expanding the solution of $M$ axw ell's equations in pow ers of the electrom agnetic w avelength divided by the length-scale over which the gravitational potentials vary signi cantly (the gravitational w ave wavelength in our case) one nds to low est order that the photon paths are null geodesic, and to the next order that the vector am plitude a can be written as a $=$ af $w h e r e a$ is a scalar am plitude and $f$ is the polarization vector (norm alized as $f f=1$ ), which satis es the equation of parallel transport along the photon path.

The electric eld $E^{j} \mathrm{~m}$ easured by a com oving observer is derived from the electrom agnetic eld tensor $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{A}$; A ; as

$$
\left.E^{j}=F^{0 j}=<f\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(a^{j ; 0}\right. & a^{0 ; j} \tag{26}
\end{array}\right)+i!\left(a^{j} p^{0} \quad a^{0} p^{j}\right)\right] e^{i} g \quad:
$$

$T$ he length-scale over which the vector am plitude varies being m uch longer than the electrom agnetic wavelength, the rst term in the r.h.s. of Eq. $\left(\overline{2} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$ is negligible com pared to the second. T hus, the direction of the electric eld is indeed given by the direction of $a^{i}$, in turn determ ined by $\mathrm{f}^{i}$.

W e shall calculate the projection of the electric eld direction into the plane perpendicular to the $x^{3}$-axis, $f^{a} w$ th $a=1 ; 2$. Even though the photon paths deviate aw ay from the polar axis in the presence of $m$ etric uctuations, with typical de ections of order $h$, the projection into the plane perpendicular to unperturbed photon paths di ens from the projection into the plane transverse to the actual photon trajectory by term $s$ of order $h^{2}$.

The transverse com ponents of the polarization vector verify the parallel-transport equation, which along a ray that propagates nearly parallel to the $x^{3}$ axis tow ards the origin read:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{df}^{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{dz}}=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{bc} ; 0} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{bc} ; 3}+\mathrm{h}_{3 \mathrm{c} ; 6} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{Bb} ; \mathrm{c}}\right) \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{c}}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otioe that, to the order considered, the di erence betw een the a ne param eter $z$ and the $x^{3}$ coordinate is now irrelevant.

In the case of scalar static perturbations, $h_{a b ; 3}=h ; 3 a b$ is the only non-zero term in the r.h.s. of this equation. T he solution to eq. $\left[\overline{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right)$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{a}(z=0)=\left[{ }^{a}{ }_{b}+\frac{1}{2} h^{a}{ }_{b}\right] f^{b}\left(z_{s}\right) \quad: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h$ ab is diagonal, $f^{1}$ and $f^{2}$ are $m$ odi ed at the sam e rate, and thus there is no rotation of the plane of polarization $w$ ith respect to the xed coordinate grid. In other words: if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=\arctan \frac{\mathrm{f}^{2}(\mathrm{z})}{\mathrm{f}^{1}(\mathrm{z})} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $=\left(z_{s}\right) \quad(0)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0 \quad \text { (scalar) } \quad: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the presence of a plane and $m$ onochrom atic gravitational wave $w$ ith $w a v e-v e c t o r ~ k=k m$, eq. (2 $\overline{2}_{1}$ ) has instead non-diagonal term $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{d f^{a}}{d z}=\frac{i k}{2} e^{i k z(1}\right) h_{3}^{a}(k) m_{b} \quad h_{3 b}(k) m^{a}+(1 \quad) h_{b}^{a}(k)\right] f^{b} \quad: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of this equation betw een the source and the observer leads to

$$
f^{a}(z=0)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{b} & \left.!a_{b}+\frac{1}{2} h_{b}^{a}\right] f^{b}\left(z_{s}\right) \tag{32}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$w$ here, $a s$ in the previous section, $h a b=h_{a b}\left(z_{s} ; t_{e}\right) \quad h_{a b}\left(0 ; t_{0}\right)$ denotes the variation in the gravitational w ave am plitude betw een the events of em ission and observation of the photons, and $!=\frac{1}{2}(1+\quad) h \quad$ coincides $w$ ith the value for the im age rotation induced by gravity waves. $T$ he angle that the polarization form $s w$ ith respect to the $x^{1}$-axis in this xed coordinate grid thus changes by the am ount

$$
\begin{equation*}
=!+\frac{1}{4}\left(1+{ }^{2}\right) h+\sin 2\left(r^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} h \cos 2\left(r^{\prime}\right) \text { (tensor) : } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term in the rh $s$, independent of the initial direction of polarization, coincides $w$ ith the im age rotation ! derived in the previous section. The other tw o term $s$, that arise from the presence of $h$ ab in eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, coincide $w$ th the apparent rotation of a direction that subtends an angle $w$ ith respect to the $x^{1}$-axis as a consequence of the local variation in the gravitational potentials betw een the source and observer locations (as we shall discuss further in the next section).

C learly, the rotation of the plane of polarization does not accum ulate over distances longer than the wavelengths as light travels through a stochastic background of gravitational waves, since the e ect is proportional to the change in the wave am plitude between the em ission and observation events. T he rotation of the plane of polarization produced by a stochastic background of gravitational w aves of cosm ological wavelengths and am plitude of order $10^{6}$ is thus totally negligible.

## 4. A pparent rotations

T he rotation of the plane of polarization as light propagates across gravity waves derived in the previous section, or the lack of it in the case of scalar perturbations, were m easured $w$ ith respect to the $x e d$ coordinate grid $\left(x^{1} ; x^{2} ; x^{3}\right)$. N otice, how ever, that due to weak lensing shear and rotation, be it of scalar or tensor nature, light originated in a point located at ${ }^{a} z_{s}$, in a direction that form $s$ an angle $=\arctan \left({ }^{2}={ }^{1}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to the $\mathrm{x}^{1}$-axis in the xed coordinate grid, is seen by the observer at the origin as com ing from a direction, in this sam e coordinate grid, at an angle $+\quad=\arctan \left({ }^{2}={ }^{1}\right)$, w th

$$
\begin{equation*}
=!+\sin 2(\quad) \quad: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e recall that is the shear intensity and its direction. A given xed direction at the source is seen by the observer not just rotated by ! w ith respect to the absolute direction in this Euclidean grid, but is also a ected by shear. In the case of scalar uctuations, the apparent rotation of a xed direction induced by a single Fourier m ode is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sim \sin 2(r) \quad \text { (scalar }): \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of gravity w aves, it is given by

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
=!+\frac{1}{4}\left(1+{ }^{2}\right) h+\sin 2\left(r^{\prime}\right) & +\frac{1}{2} h \cos 2\left(r^{\prime}\right) \\
+\sim_{+} \sin 2(r) & \sim \cos 2\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \tag{36}
\end{array} \quad \text { (tensor) }: \quad \text { ) }
$$

The rst term in the rh.s. of this equation is the rigid rotation !. The next two term $s$ are the e ect upon a given xed coordinate direction of the change in the gravitational potential betw een the em ission and observation events. T he rest is the additional apparent rotation due to the proper shear ~ , given by eq. (24).

The question to address is what are the potentially observable consequences of apparent rotations of directions in the source relative to its plane of polarization. A possible approach is to consider sources w ith som e structural, intrinsic direction. W e can then answ er the question of what happens to the apparent angle betw een the plane of polarization and that structural direction as light from the source propagates across $m$ etric perturbations.

C onsider sources w ith a signi cant degree of linear polarization and elongated shape, with approxim ately elliptical isophotes. For these objects we denote with the position angle on the sky (the orientation of the $m$ ajor axis of the im age), and $w$ th the direction of the plane of integrated linear polarization, after subtraction of the w avelength-dependent Faraday rotation along intervening $m$ agnetic elds.

W eak lensing by m etric perturbations produces focusing, shear, and rotation. C onvergence am pli es sources w thout change in their shape, so it does not a ect the observed position angle . R otation, as described by ! in eq. (1 $\overline{1} \bar{Z})$, accounts for a rigid rotation of the im age, w th the distance betw een any pair of points unchanged. Tensor perturbations rigidly rotate the position
angle by the am ount!. H ow ever, even when no rigid rotation occurs, as is the case for scalar perturbations, shear distorts a source im age in such a way that an ellipse is still seen as an ellipse but w ith di erent ellipticity and orientation.

C onsider an elliptical source whose principal axis form $s$ an angle $w$ ith respect to the $x^{1}$-axis in the source plane. $x^{a}={ }^{a} z_{s}$ is taken to describe such an ellipse. $W$ e denote the length of the $m$ ajor and $m$ inor axes by a and b respectively. W e de ne the ellipticity of the sourae as $=\left(a^{2} \quad b^{2}\right)=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)$. W hen light from this ob ject arrives to the observer distorted by convergence, shear, and rotation, the im age param etrization $x^{a}=\left({ }_{b}+{ }_{b}{ }_{b}\right){ }^{b} z_{S}$ in the observer sphere still describes an ellipse, but rotated an angle and with a di erent ellipticity 0 , given by (if the distortion is sm all) :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left.\tan (2 \quad)=\frac{2[!+(=) \sin 2( }{1+2(=) \cos 2( }\right)\right]  \tag{37}\\
0=\frac{\mathrm{p} \frac{[+2 \cos 2(\quad 9]+4[!+\sin 2( }{[+2} \cos 2(\quad)}{1+2} \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here is, as in previous sections, the direction of the shear principal axis. If the ellipticity is larger than the shear, , we can approxim ate

$$
\begin{equation*}
!+-\sin 2(\quad) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(which of course coincides w ith eq. ( $\mathbf{3}_{1} \overline{4}$ ) in the lim it $=1$ ), and the new ellipticity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0+2(1 \quad) \cos 2(\quad): \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the opposite lim it, of negligible eccentricity, $0 \quad 2$ and which simply m eans that the nearly circular source is distorted in the direction of the shear.

W e conclude that the angle betw een the plane of integrated linear polarization and the souroe axis, $\quad$, is observed to change, as light propagates across density inhom ogeneities and gravitational waves, by the di erence ( ) as given by eqs. (रَ0

R otation and shear produced by a cosm ological background of gravitational waves, at m ost of order $10^{6}$, lead to a totally negligible apparent rotation betw een the plane of polarization and the source position angle.

Scalar shear leads to an apparent rotation ( ) = since $=0$ for scalar perturbations. In sources w ith large ellipticity ( 1), the apparent rotation is of the order of the shear itself. Such a sm all apparent rotation, at most of the order of a few degrees, is not likely to have signi cant observational consequences. G ravitational distortions due to w eak lensing by large-scale structure will produce no observable e ect on the polarization properties of extended radio jets in quasars. In the opposite lim it, nearly circular sources appear distorted in the direction of the scalar shear, while their polarization plane rem ains in the sam e direction. Scalar shear
is thus able to m ask any correlation between orientation and polarization that a nearly circular source m ight have.

The $m$ ost interesting regin e $m$ ay be the case of sources $w$ th ellipticities of the order of $0: 1$. T hese are the sm allest ellipticities am ong radio souroes that still present som e signi cant (larger than 5\% ) degree of linear polarization. They can display an apparent rotation of the plane of polarization relative to their $m$ a jor axis of order
( ) - :

A scalar shear of $1 \%$ can change the observed angle betw een polarization and source orientation by 5 degrees.

## 5. E ects of shear upon the correlation betw een source polarization and orientation

The direction of the plane of polarization in radio galaxies and quasars is expected to trace the geom etric con guration of the $m$ agnetic eld in such ob jects, at least in sources with a signi cant degree of linear polarization. D iscrepancies betw een the observed values and expectations based in $m$ odels for the $m$ agnetic eld in distant radio sources could eventually signal the presence of unusuale ects additional to the standard Faraday rotation in the polarization properties of light
 1992, Nodand - Raston 1997, carrold

It is possible that weak lensing by scalar perturbations explain the dispersion in the direction of integrated polarization of cosm ologically distant radio sources aw ay from the penpendicular to their $m$ a jor axis. We now discuss th is possibility.

C onsider the data on 160 radio sources used in C arroll et. al (1990), w ith the corrections noted in C arroll and F ield (1997). There are 89 souroes w th redshift $\mathrm{z}<0: 3$ and 71 w th redshift $z>0: 3$. The histogram of num ber of radio souroes vs. in the case of nearby ( $z<0: 3$ ) galaxies does not display a very strong correlation, although there is a narrow enhancem ent around 0 and a broader peak at 90. In the case of distant ( $z>0: 3$ ) souroes, the peak around $\quad 90$ enhances dram atically, while there is no notioeabe peak around 0. It is likely that any correlation between polarization and source orientation be weaker in the case of sources only weakly polarized. Restriction of the sam ple to the case of sources with $m$ axim um polarization $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}>5 \%$ does indeed signi cantly enhance the tw o peaks in the histogram of nearby sources, while the peak around 90 for distant sources becom es even m ore convincing.

Them ost likely explanation for these observations is that the sam ple consists of two di erent populations. O ne population would consist of low lum inosity sources, for which either there is no signi cant correlation between polarization and position angle, particularly in the case of weakly polarized sources, or have 0 . The low lum inosity population w ould be underepresented in
the high redshift observations, where the second class, of high hum inosity sources w ith polarization


W e now put forw ard the follow ing scenario, which appears to be consistent w ith present observations. A ssum e that $m$ ost of the distant radio sources that have a signi cant degree of integrated linear polarization are polarized in a direction alm ost penpendicular to their $m$ ajor axis (say less than 5 aw ay from it), while a sm all fraction does not posses a signi cant correlation betw een polarization and position angle. Scalar shear then acts stochastically upon the light em ilted by these sources. T he result should be an increase in the spread of the observed aw ay from 90 , proportional to the m s value of the shear. The e ect actually depends upon the source redshift and ellipticity. If the num ber of data points were su ciently large one could search for a correlation betw een departures of aw ay from 90 w th the source redshift and ellipticity, and put to test this scenario. P resent observations are not su cient to $m$ ake serious attem pts in this direction, but we shall argue that they are at least consistent w th the above scenario, if the scalar shear has m s value around 5\%. N otioe that this shear needs to be coherent only over sm all scales, of the order of the angular size of the source.
$G$ iven that the num ber of data points is not su ciently large to search for any correlation of the e ect of shear w th the source redshift and ellipticity, we shall estim ate the e ect to be expected in a population of radio sources $w$ ith ellipticity equal to the $m$ ean in the sam ple, at a redshiff equivalent to the $m$ ean redshift. Since shear e ects do not signi cantly accum ulate in the case of nearby sources, we choose to consider just the 51 sources w ith $z>0: 3$ and $m$ axim um integrated linear polarization $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $>5 \%$. W e plot the corresponding histogram in F igure 1. T hese sources have a mean value of equal to 90 w ith mm dispersion $=33$, and m ean redshift $z=0: 85$. O f these 51 sources, 41 have their angle of intrinsic polarization less than 45 aw ay from the direction perpendicular to the source axis, while the rem aining 10 appear to be m ore or less uniform ly distributed at angles less than 45 aw ay from the source axis, although the num ber is too sm all to draw signi cant conclusions. If we exchude from the sam ple these 10 sources, under the assum ption that they $m$ ay be predom inantly $m$ em bers of a population $w$ th weak correlation betw een polarization and position angles, the rem aining 41 sources have a $m$ ean value of of 91 w th m s dispersion $=19$ and m ean redshift $\mathrm{z}=0: 84$. W e have evaluated the ellipticites of allbut 4 of them, for which we found the values of the $m$ ajor and $m$ inor axis quoted in $G$ regory at. al (1996). Them ean ellipticity of the sample is $\quad 0: 15$. W e put forw ard the hypothesis that the intrinsic dispersion of aw ay from 90 is m uch sm aller than the observed value, the observed dispersion being the consequence of the action of stochastic cosm ic shear.

W e now estim ate the am ount of shear necessary to produce a m ean square rotation 20 upon a population of sources w ith ellipticity $\quad=0: 15$, assum ed to be located at equal redshift. In the $\lim$ it $=\ll 1$, where is the $m$ s value of the shear, assum ed to act in all directions in the plane perpendicular to the photon paths w ith uniform probability, the results of the previous
section (eq. $\left.(\overline{3} \overline{9},)_{1}\right)$ indicate that the m s value of the apparent rotation is

A value $=0: 35(20)$ corresponds to $=0: 5$, which im plies a m s shear of 7.5\% if the ellipticity of the sources is $=0: 15$. Eq. (
$=$ is not signi cantly sm aller than unity, as is frequently the case if the m s value is $=00: 5$. To better estim ate the m s apparent rotation in a general case we should use eq. $\left[\overline{3} \bar{\beta}_{1} \mathbf{T}_{1}\right.$. A ssum ing a gaussian distribution of around a zero $m$ ean, $w$ th $m s$ dispersion , and uniform probability distribution in the shear direction , the m s rotation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\underline{2}_{0}^{z}{ }_{0}^{z} d{ }_{1}^{z+1} d \frac{1}{4} \arctan ^{2} \frac{2(=) \sin 2}{1+2(=) \cos 2} \frac{e}{p}_{p^{2}=2^{2}}^{\#_{1=2}}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lim it $=\ll 1$, this expression coincides $w$ th the approxim ate value of eq. opposite lim it, $=\gg 1$, it tends to $=\left(\frac{p}{2} \overline{3}\right)(52)$, which corresponds to a uniform probability distribution for rotations between 0 and $=2$, as expected since in this lim it the apparent rotation is given by $=$.

The result of the num erical evaluation of eq. (4]

$$
\begin{align*}
=0: 35(20) \text { when }=0: 3, \text { which if } \quad 0: 15 \text { im plies } \\
0: 05: \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

T he statistical signi cance of the lim ited sam ple analysed, as w ell as the crude approach taken tow ards the redshift and ellipticity dependence of the e ect, $m$ ake this result only an approxim ate estim ate. It seriously indicates, how ever, that the observed departures of the direction of intrinsic polarization aw ay from the perpendicular to the source axis in distant radio sources are consistent $w$ ith the hypothesis that their origin is the e ect of shear with m s value around or below $5 \%$. M uch larger values of would actually con ict w ith the observed correlation between source polarization and orientation.

O ther accurate $m$ easurem ents of the polarization properties of distant sources com e from high resolution $m$ easurem ents of highly polarized localem ission regions heahy [19997'). In these observations, a very tight correlation is found between the plane of polarization and som e structural direction (such as the direction of intensity gradients), in agreem ent with theoretical expectations. Shear by weak lensing e ects would rotate the observed angle betw een polarization and that locally de ned direction by the m s am ount $\quad={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ only.

## 6. C onclusions

$M$ easurem ent of the rotation of the intrinsic polarization w ith respect to the local orientation of each jet segm ent in extended radio jets has been used to probe the $m$ ass distribution in
interven ing lensing galaxies we extended this $m$ ethod of gravitational \alignm ent-breaking" to the case where the change in the observed polarization properties of distant radio sources is due to the weak lensing e ect produced by large scale density inhom ogeneities. Indeed, we argued that cosm ic shear can have a signi cant im pact upon the observed angle between the direction of linear polarization and som e structural direction of radio sources. In extended radio sources (sources with large ellipticities 1) we found that weak lensing e ects are negligible, since the change in the relative angle does not exceed the $m$ agnitud of the cosm ic shear. O $n$ the other hand, radio souroes w ith interm ediate ellipticities, of the order of $0: 1$, can display a signi cant apparent rotation of the plane of polarization relative to their $m$ a jor axis due to gravitational distortions by large-scale structure.

O ur study provides an altemative approach to evidence the e ects of cosm ic shear coherent over sm all angular scales, of the order of the source angular diam eter. This approach is com plem entary to $m$ ethods currently used to detect cosm ic shear coherent over the extension of galactic elds through the search of correlations in the ellipticities of the galaxies.

Ourm ain conclusion is that density inhom ogeneities do not rotate the direction of linear polarization, but since they distort elliptical shapes, the angle between the direction of linear polarization and the source $m$ ajor axis observed from Earth di ers from the angle at the source (after subtraction of Faraday rotation). In the lim it $\ll \quad$, where is the value of the shear induced by the perturbations betw een the source and the observer, and is the source ellipticity, the apparent rotation is of order -. This becom es very interesting in the case of sources w ith ellipticity of order $0: 1$, since it im plies, in the presence of a shear of order $1 \%$, a rotation of around 5 degrees, already com parable or larger than the typical errors of a few degrees in Faraday rotation subtraction.

W e have shown that the observed correlation between polarization properties and source orientation in distant radio galaxies and quasars can be used to either constrain or eventually reveal the presence of cosm ic shear. The num ber of present observations does not appear to be large enough to test with su cient statistical signi cance whether the observed departures of the intrinsic polarization angle aw ay from the direction penpendicular to the source axis $m$ ay be ascribed to the e ects of scalar shear. P resent observations show that the largest fraction of distant radio sources have integrated linear polarization in a direction alm ost perpendicular to the source axis, with a dispersion around the $m$ ean of about 20 . W e have argued that current observations are consistent w th the hypothesis that this dispersion is caused by a shear w ith m s value around $5 \%$, acting upon a population of radio sources that has a much sm aller intrinsic dispersion. A ms shearmuch larger than $5 \%$ would induce ms rotationsmuch larger than 20 , and would thus be inconsistent w ith the observed correlation betw een source polarization and orientation. W ith a larger num ber of observations available, the cosm ic shear hypothesis could be tested through statistical correlations between the polarization vs. orientation angle and the source redshift and ellipticity.

F inally, weak lensing by a cosm ological background of gravity waves is m ostly of academ ic interest, since their e ect does not accum ulate w ith distance as that of scalar perturbations, and thus the expected distortions are com pletely negligible (of order $10{ }^{6}$ ). From an academ ic standpoint, how ever, it is interesting to em phasize the di erences between tensor and scalar distortions. G ravity waves produce no focusing to linear order in the $m$ etric perturbation. $T$ hey do induce shear plus rigid rotation of im ages, and also rotate the plane of polarization of electrom agnetic radiation.
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Fig. 1.| H istogram of num ber of sources vs. (polarization -position angle) for the 51 sources $w$ th redshift $z>0: 3$ and $m$ axim um integrated polarization $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}>5 \%$


Fig. 2.| R oot $m$ ean square value of the apparent im age rotation induced by a m shear upon a source w ith ellipticity , plotted as a function of $=$

