# W eak-Lensing by Large-Scale Structure and the Polarization Properties of D istant R adio-Sources

G abriela C. Surpi and Diego D. Harari Departamento de Fisica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales Universidad de Buenos Aires Ciudad Universitaria - Pab. 1, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

## ABSTRACT

We estimate the elects of weak lensing by large-scale density inhom ogeneities and long-wavelength gravitational waves upon the polarization properties of electrom agnetic radiation as it propagates from cosm ologically distant sources. Scalar (density) uctuations do not rotate neither the plane of polarization of the electrom agnetic radiation nor the source in age. They produce, how ever, an appreciable shear, which distorts the image shape, leading to an apparent rotation of the image orientation relative to its plane of polarization. In sources with large ellipticity the apparent rotation is rather sm all, of the order (in radians) of the dimensionless shear. The e ect is larger at smaller source eccentricity. A shear of 1% can induce apparent rotations of around 5 degrees in radio sources with the sm allest eccentricity am ong those with a signi cant degree of integrated linear polarization. We discuss the possibility that weak lensing by shear with rm s value around or below 5% may be the cause for the dispersion in the direction of integrated linear polarization of cosm ologically distant radio sources away from the perpendicular to their major axis, as expected from models for their magnetic elds. A rms shear larger than 5% would be incompatible with the observed correlation between polarization properties and source orientation in distant radio galaxies and quasars. G ravity waves do rotate both the plane of polarization as well as the source in age. Their weak lensing e ects, how ever, are negligible.

Subject headings: cosm ology: theory | gravitational lensing | large-scale structure of universe | polarization

# 1. Introduction

Polarization properties of extragalactic radio sources are primarily a source of information about magnetic elds in the source, in the intergalactic medium, and in the Milky W ay (Saikia & Salter 1988, K ronberg 1994). The orientation of the plane of linear polarization at the source, derived from multi-frequency polarization measurements after correction for Faraday rotation along intervening magnetic elds, should point perpendicularly to the magnetic eld lines, the emission being synchrotron radiation.

In the case of extended radio jets from quasars, the orientation of the intrinsic linear polarization within a well de ned jet is typically perpendicular to the local direction of each jet segment, and if it does change it is usually a 90 ip (see, e.g., Bridle, Perley & Henriksen 1986; and Killen, Bicknell, & Ekers 1986). Computational MHD modeling of radio jets improves the con dence in the predicted jet-intrinsic magnetic eld con gurations supporting these observations (Clarke, Norman, & Burns 1989).

K ronberg et al. (1991) have proposed and applied a technique involving the angular relationship between the intrinsic polarization vectors and the morphological structure of extended radio jets to probe the gravitational distortion of these sources by foreground lensing galaxies. Since the polarization vector is not rotated by the gravitational eld of an ordinary gravitational lens, the bending of a jet away from its intrinsic projected shape caused by the lensing e ects of intervening masses yields a detectable local \alignment breaking" between the polarization and the orientation of each jet segment. Application of this idea to the analysis of the radio and deep optical images of the strongly polarized jet from 3C 9 provided the rst estimate of the mass and mass distributions of two intervening galaxies acting as lenses using this technique (K ronberg et al. 1991; and K ronberg, D yer, & R oser 1996).

The notion that the plane of polarization is not rotated by the gravitational eld of an ordinary gravitational lens, which underlies the m ethod of K ronberg et al. (1991), was addressed by D yer & Shaver (1992). Using symmetry considerations they argued that the polarization direction of photons in a beam under the in uence of a gravitational lens remains una ected except for lenses in very rapid rotation, an astrophysically unlikely situation. Sim ilar conclusions were also reached by Faraoni (1993), with a di erent approach. The fact that the gravitational eld of rotating bodies m ay rotate the plane of polarization of electrom agnetic waves has been addressed am ong others by Strotskii (1957), Balazs (1958), Plebanski (1960), M ashhoon (1973), P inneault & Roeder (1977) and Su & M allet (1980).

In the present work we analyse the e ect of weak gravitational lensing by large scale structure in the Universe upon the polarization properties of electrom agnetic radiation from distant sources. Through an extension of the m ethod of \alignment breaking", introduced by K ronberg et al. (1991), we suggest that polarization properties of distant radio sources m ay provide information on the uctuations in the gravitational potential due to large scale density inhom ogeneities.

W hen light from a distant source propagates across density inhom ogeneities, the apparent shape and size of the source is distorted by tidal de ections. The e ect is known as weak lensing, in contrast to the case when the bending of light rays is so strong that multiple im age form ation is possible. Weak lensing e ects have already proved to be a powerful tool to map the mass distribution in galactic halos (Tyson et al. 1984) and clusters of galaxies (Tyson, Valdes, & Wenk 1990). They are also a potential probe of density uctuations on the largest scales (B landford

et al. 1991, M irakla-E scude 1991, K aiser 1992), particularly through the correlation in galactic ellipticities induced by the shear they produce. It has been estim ated that shear caused by large-scale density uctuations in the linear regime is of the order of 1%, depending on the cosm ological m odel, the norm alization of the power spectrum, and the redshift distribution of sources (B landford et al. 1991, M irakla-E scude 1991, K aiser 1992). Theoretical predictions on sm aller scales (below 20 arcm in) by Jain & Seljak (1996), show that density inhom ogeneities in the non-linear regime induce a larger cosm ic shear, of the order of a few percent. R ecently, Schneider et al. (1997) presented the rst evidence for the detection of a robust shear signal of about 3% on scales of 1 arcm in, in agreem ent with the above theoretical expectations, from the analysis of data in a eld containing the radio source PK S1508-05. Long-wavelength gravitational waves (tensor m etric perturbations) are also a potential source of weak lensing of distant sources.

R adio sources with a signi cant degree of linear polarization, are also usually elongated along one direction. The angle between the intrinsic plane of polarization and the orientation of the structural axis has been m easured for a large num ber of sources, for which redshift inform ation is also available. The existence of a signi cant correlation between the direction of integrated linear polarization and the source axis orientation has been noticed since the earliest investigations (H aves & Conway 1975, C larke et al. 1980). For high redshift galaxies, a well de ned peak is found around = 90, suggesting a magnetic eld parallel to the source axis. For low redshift sources there is weaker correlation, with a narrow peak at = 0, suggesting a magnetic eld perpendicular to the source axis, and a broader peak at = 90. It is believed that the

= 90 peak corresponds to high-lum inosity sources, while the = 0 peak is ascribed to low -lum inosity objects.

The angle between the plane of integrated linear polarization and the major axis of distant radio sources is potentially a useful tool to search for unusual elects upon light propagation over cosm obgical distances, additional to the standard Faraday rotation. There have been, for instance, searches for correlations between the polarization properties of extragalactic radio sources and their location, which would evidence some kind of \cosm obgical birefringence". While rst attem pts indicated that the residual polarization rotation tted a dipole rule (Birch 1982, K endall & Young 1984, Bietenholz & K ronberg 1984), a later analysis of a larger sam ple by Bietenholz (1986) rejected that evidence. Recently, N odland & Ralston (1997) have claim ed to indicated to a dipole rule of polarization. A more conventional interpretation and statistical analysis of the same data (C arroll & Field 1997, E isenstein & Bunn 1997) rejects any statistically signi cant positive signal, which would also be in conict with other potentially more accurate probes of such cosm obgical rotation of polarization, through high resolution polarization and intensity data of some specii c radio sources (Leahy 1997, W ardle et al. 1997).

In this paper, we estimate the e ect of weak lensing by large scale structure, in the form of density uctuations or gravitational waves, upon the observed angle between the plane of polarization of the electrom agnetic em ission by distant radio sources and their in age orientation. We nd it is in principle possible that the scattered cases of distant radio sources which appear to be polarized away from the direction either perpendicular or parallel to the source axis, if Faraday rotation were the only propagation e ect, be actually a consequence of additional wavelength-independent rotation of the polarization as their light travels to us through an inhom ogeneous universe.

In section 2 we review the form alism appropriate to describe in age distortions caused by scalar and tensor weak lensing. In section 3 we work in the geometric optics approximation and derive the rotation of the plane of polarization as light propagates in the presence of metric uctuations. In section 4 we estimate the elect of large scale structure upon the angle between the plane of polarization and the orientation of a source in age, and show that a shear of 1% can change the value of by 5. In section 5, we discuss the possibility that scalar shear with a mean square dispersion of 20, be the cause of the scattered cases of cosm ologically distant radio sources with a direction of integrated linear polarization which is not perpendicular to their major axis. In section 6 we sum marize our conclusions.

## 2. Im age distortion by random metric perturbations

In this section we review the form alism appropriate to describe weak lensing e ects upon light propagation along cosm ological distances through a universe with large scale density inhom ogeneities and long wavelength gravitational waves. Part of the material in this section is not new (see for instance K aiser 1992, Seljak 1994, K aiser & Ja e 1997 and K aiser 1996). We include it for completeness, to de ne our method and conventions, and because some of our results for the gravitational distortions are at variance with those of Seljak (1994) and K aiser & Ja e (1997). The di erences do not conict with the main conclusion that weak lensing e ects by large scale density inhom ogeneities accumulate over distances longer than the wavelength of the metric perturbation as  $(D = )^{3=2}$  (Seljak 1994), while weak lensing distortions by gravitational waves do not signi cantly accumulate (K aiser & Ja e 1997). Even if the e ect of gravitational waves is small, it is relevant to precisely determ ine the focusing, shear and rotation they induce if we want to determ ine the rotation of the plane of polarization relative to the morphological structure of the source.

We restrict our attention to e ects that are linear in the metric perturbations. For simplicity, we shall consider scalar and tensor metric uctuations around a M inkow ski background. The generalization to a Robertson-Walker background is straightforward (Kaiser 1996). The restriction to a M inkow ski background oversimplies the distance-dependence of the e ects under consideration, but preserves their qualitative features as well as the order of magnitude estimates. Vector perturbations could also be easily incorporated into this form alism.

Consider a M inkowski background metric with signature (-1,1,1,1), and linear

perturbations h . Greek indices ; ; ;:::= 0;1;2;3 denote space-time coordinates. Latin indices i; j; :::= 1;2;3 will denote spatial coordinates, but latin indices a; b; :::= 1;2 will be reserved for the components transverse to the unperturbed photons paths, that we shall take as approximately parallel to the  $x^3$  axis. Boldface symbols will denote spatial vectors, i.e. m, k,...

The linearized geodesic equations read,

$$\frac{d^2x}{dz^2} = (h; \frac{1}{2}h;)pp$$
: (1)

We denote the photons 4-m on entum with p = dx = dz, where z is an a ne parameter (not necessarily coincident with the  $x^3$ -coordinate).

We consider photon paths su ciently close to the x  $^3$  axis, pointing towards an observer located at the origin of coordinates, and work not only up to linear order in the metric perturbations, but also up to rst order in the photon departures away from the polar axis, which we parametrize as

$$x^{a}(z) = {}^{a}z + O(h; {}^{2}) \qquad (a = 1;2) :$$
 (2)

We choose an a neparameter such that  $x^3 = z + 0$  (h; <sup>2</sup>), and thus  $t = t_e + (z_s - z) + 0$  (h; <sup>2</sup>), with  $t_e$  the time at which the photon is emitted at the source, located at an a ne distance  $z_s$  in the  $x^3$ -direction. We assume a gauge such that  $h_{0j} = 0$ . To lowest order p = (-1; 1; 2; 1), and the geodesic equation for the transverse departure of the photon path away from the  $x^3$  axis can be written as:

$$\frac{d^2 x^a}{dz^2} = D^a(x;t) + F^a_b(x;t)^b + O(t^2)$$
(3)

where

$$D_{a} = \frac{1}{2} (h_{00} + h_{33})_{;a} + h_{a3;0} \qquad h_{a3;3}$$
  

$$F_{ab} = h_{ab;0} \qquad h_{ab;3} \qquad (h_{Ba;b} \qquad h_{bb;a}) \qquad (4)$$

Taylor-expanding  $D_a(x;t)$  to rst order in  $x^a$  we can nally write

$$\frac{d^2 x^a}{dz^2} = D^a(z) + M^a_b(z)^b + O(z^2)$$
(5)

where we de ned

$$M_{ab}(z) = zD_{a;b}(z) + F_{ab}(z)$$
 : (6)

The quantities in the rh.s of equation (5) are evaluated over the  $x^3$ -axis and along the unperturbed photon path. For instance  $D_a(z) = D_a(x^a = 0; z; t = t_e + z_s = z)$ .

In order to determ ine the distortion produced by metric uctuations upon light propagation, we consider two neighboring photon paths that arrive to the observer with angular separation <sup>a</sup> com ing from two dimensions at the source separated by a coordinate distance  $x^{a}(z_{s}) = {}^{a}z_{s}$ : The particular solution to the geodesic deviation equation with the appropriate boundary conditions is

$$\mathbf{x}^{a}(\mathbf{z}) = {}^{a}\mathbf{z} + {}^{2}_{0} {}^{z}_{0} {}^{2}_{0} {}^{z}_{0} {}^{0}\mathbf{M}^{a}_{b}(\mathbf{z}^{0})^{b} :$$
(7)

A source with a shape described in these coordinates by  $x^{a} = {}^{a}z_{s}$  is seen by an observer at the origin as if the image were at  $x^{a} = {}^{a}z_{s}$  in the source sphere. The mapping  ${}^{a}$ !  ${}^{a}$  is given by

$$a = \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array}\right) b \tag{8}$$

where

$$ab = \int_{0}^{Z} dz \frac{z_{s}}{z_{s}} M_{ab}(z)$$
(9)

is the distortion m atrix, which describes the e ect of linear m etric perturbations upon the observed shape of an im age.

We point out now what is the source of variance between our results and those by Seljak (1994) and Kaiser & Ja e (1997). Their expressions for the geodesic deviation and <sub>ab</sub> coincide with eqs. (7) and (9) with  $M_{ab} = zD_{a,b}$ , rather than the complete expression in eq. (6). The di erence is the term  $F_{ab}$ , which arises as a consequence that the photon paths must converge into the origin, and thus the unperturbed paths are not strictly parallel. The relevant observational situation is not exactly described by the distortion su ered by a bundle of initially parallel photon paths, but rather by a bundle that starting at the source focalize at the observer location. In other words, tidal de ections are not only due to the gradients of the gravitational potential in the directions transverse to the central ray in the bundle, but there is also a contribution of the gradient in the longitudinal direction, given that the rays in the bundle are not parallel. The longitudinal gradients, as we shall see, are signi cant in the tensor case only, which at any rate is not likely to have a large in pact upon m easurem ents. The precise result for the focusing, shear and rotation induced by gravity waves, however, must take them into account.

There are some subtleties in the denition of the distortion matrix to linear order in the perturbations that we now discuss. As dened by eq. (9), the distortion matrix relates coordinate (rather than proper) shapes. It is thus convenient to separate from  $_{ab}$  the local distortion e ects, due to the dimension proper length that a same coordinate segment has at the observer and source locations. We thus dene  $_{ab}$  such that

$$_{ab} = ~_{ab}^{} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ab}$$
 : (10)

Here  $h_{ab} = h_{ab}(z_s;t_e)$   $h_{ab}(z = 0;t = t_o)$  is the di erence in the metric perturbations between the events of em ission of the light ray at time  $t_e$  from the source location, and of its observation at the origin at time  $t_o$ .  $\sim_{ab}$  is the appropriate matrix to describe the image distortion in terms of proper length mappings:

$$g_{ab}(0;t_{o}) \stackrel{a \ b}{=} z_{s}^{2} = [g_{ab}(z_{s};t_{e}) + \ a_{ab}] \stackrel{a \ b}{=} z_{s}^{2}$$
(11)

with  $g_{ab} = ab + h_{ab}$ .

A lthough this is just a conventional matter, we also wish to emphasize that our de nition of focusing describes its dependence with a ne, rather than coordinate, distance. Since they di er by term s linear in the perturbations, this matter of convention needs to be specified.

The more general distortion matrix  $_{ab}$  can be decomposed in terms of a diagonal matrix, which represents focusing or convergence, a symmetric traceless matrix  $_{ab}$ , which describes shear, and an antisymmetric matrix, that corresponds to a rigid rotation of the image:

$$ab = ab + ab ! ab :$$
(12)

Here  $_{ab}$  is the Levi-Civita tensor in two-dimensions. Shear can be described in terms of its intensity and the direction of one of its principal axis (which is only de ned modulo ) as

$$ab = \frac{\cos 2}{\sin 2} \frac{\sin 2}{\cos 2}$$
(13)

Notice that a rotation of the direction of the shear by =2 amounts to just a change in the sign of . Two independent directions in which to decompose an arbitrary shear form an angle =4.

We now specialize the expressions above to the case of scalar and tensor metric perturbations respectively. We start working with a single planar metric uctuation, and later compute the rms e ect of a full stochastic background by superposition.

### 2.1. Scalar perturbations

W e consider one Fourier m ode of static scalar perturbations

appropriate for instance to describe adiabatic density perturbations in a matter-dom inated, spatially- at Robertson-Walkerbackground, in the longitudinal gauge. We have dened = m n, where is the cosine of the angle between the wave-vector k = km, and the direction n in which light propagates, which is approximately along the  $x^3$  axis, incoming towards the origin from positive values of  $x^3$ . Specialization of the eqs. in the previous section to this case lead to

$$_{ab} = h(k)[(;z_s)m_am_b + (;z_s)_{ab}]:$$
 (15)

Herewede ned

$$(;z_{s}) = k^{2} \frac{R_{z_{s}}}{0} dz \frac{z(z_{s} \ z)}{z_{s}} e^{ik \ z}$$

$$(;z_{s}) = ik \frac{R_{z_{s}}}{0} dz \frac{(z_{s} \ z)}{z_{s}} e^{ik \ z} :$$
(16)

We write the projection of the wave-vector direction onto the plane orthogonal to z as  $m^a = \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{2} (\cos'; \sin')$ , and from eqs. (12) and (15) we not that the focusing, shear intensity and direction, and rotation induced by a single Fourier mode of scalar perturbations are

$$= \frac{1}{2}h(k)[(1 \quad 2) \quad (;z_{s}) + 2 \quad (;z_{s})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}h(k)(1 \quad 2) \quad (;z_{s})$$

$$= '$$

$$! = 0 : \qquad (17)$$

Scalar perturbations induce no rotation. They produce shear in the direction of the perturbation wave-vector, projected into the source plane.

As pointed out by Kaiser & Ja e (1997), the reason why weak lensing e ects by scalar perturbations accumulate over distances longer than the perturbation wavelength is evident from eqs. (16) and (17). Resonant modes, those for which the photons remain in phase with the perturbation, are those with 0, which produce a signi cant de ection. Notice that ()!  $(kz_s)^2=6$  and ()!  $ikz_s=2$  as ! 0. Our results di er from those by Seljak (1994) and Kaiser & Ja e (1997) by the e ect of the longitudinal modes which a ect just the focusing by the term proportional to (; $z_s$ ). Since this term does not contribute in the resonant situation ( = 0), it is sub-dom inant, and negligible, after superposition of all Fourier modes of the stochastic background.

As discussed in the previous subsection, the local e ects can be subtracted from the distortion matrix describing proper distortions through  $a_{ab} = a_{ab} \frac{1}{2} h_{ab}$ . In this case  $h_{ab} = a_{ab} (e^{ik z_s} 1)$ , and use of the relation 2  $2^2 = (e^{ik z_s} 1)$  allows to identify the proper distortion matrix elements as

$$\sim = \frac{1}{2}h(k)$$
 (;  $z_s$ )  
 $\sim = :$  (18)

Consider now a stochastic background of scalar uctuations characterized in m om entum -space by the correlations  $< h(k)h(k^0) > = (2)^3(k)k^0$  (k) where P(k) is the power spectrum. The m ean square expectation value of the shear induced is

$$\langle 2 \rangle = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} k^{2} P(k) \frac{Z}{2} \frac{1}{1} d(1 - 2)^{2} j f$$
 (19)

In the limit kz<sub>s</sub> 1 the -integral equals  $\frac{1}{15}$  (kz<sub>s</sub>)<sup>3</sup> + O [(kz<sub>s</sub>)<sup>2</sup>]. Shear induced by scalar perturbations of am plitude h accumulates over distances D longer than the wavelength as h (D =  $\beta^{3=2}$  (Seljak 1994). In the case of a scale invariant power spectrum P (k), such as that predicted by in ationary models in a CDM scenario, with am plitude, normalized at small k according to the COBE-DMR measurement of the cosm ic microwave background anisotropy, the mms shear is of order < 2 > 1=2 0:02. The mms convergence is of the same order of magnitude.

#### 2.2. Tensor perturbations

Now we study in age distortions produced by gravity waves. We work tensor perturbations in the transverse traceless gauge, where h = 0,  $h_0 = 0$ , and h = 0. We consider gravitational waves with wave vector k = k(1;m), with  $m^i = (\frac{1}{2}\cos'; \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \sin'; \cdot)$ . As in the previous section, m = m is the cosine of the angle between the perturbation wave-vector and the photon propagation direction. We denote the two independent polarization modes of

the gravity waves with the symbols +; . The norm almodes of the metric perturbations read  $h_{ij}(x;t) = h_{ij}(k)e^{i(k \times kt)}$  with

$$\begin{array}{l} h_{11}(k) &= h_{+}(k) \left( {}^{2}\cos^{2}{'} & \sin^{2}{'} \right) & h(k) \sin 2{'} \\ h_{22}(k) &= h_{+}(k) \left( {}^{2}\sin^{2}{'} & \cos^{2}{'} \right) + h(k) \sin 2{'} \\ h_{33}(k) &= h_{+}(k) \left( 1 \right) {}^{2} \right) \\ h_{12}(k) &= h_{+}(k) \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + {}^{2} \right) \sin 2{'} + h(k) \\ h_{13}(k) &= h_{+}(k) p \frac{1}{1 - {}^{2}} \cos{'} h(k) p \frac{\cos 2{'}}{1 - {}^{2}} \sin{'} \\ h_{23}(k) &= h_{+}(k) p \frac{1}{1 - {}^{2}} \sin{'} + h(k) p \frac{1}{1 - {}^{2}} \cos{'} : \end{array}$$

$$(20)$$

The distortion m atrix now reads, from eq. (9)

$$ab = ( 1;z_{a}) \frac{1}{2} h_{33} m_{a} m_{b} (1) h_{a} m_{b}$$

$$( 1;z_{a}) [(1) h_{ab} + (h_{3a} m_{b} h_{bb} m_{a})]$$

$$(21)$$

where and are exactly the same functions as in eq. (16), now the argument being 1 instead of . We have discarded an irrelevant overall phase factor. The focusing, shear intensity and direction, and rotation induced by each norm alm ode of a gravity wave background, for each independent polarization, thus turn out to be

$$= \frac{1}{4} (1 \ {}^{2})h_{+} (k) (1 \ {}^{2} ( 1;z_{3}) + 2(1 ) ( 1;z$$

G ravity waves have quite di erent weak lensing e ects than scalar metric perturbations. The fact that waves propagate in ply that the resonant modes, those that may eventually add-up over distances longer than the wavelength, are now those with 1, which do not produce neither focusing, shear, nor rotation. Eqs. (22) coincide with the equivalent expressions of K aiser & Ja e (1997), except for the term s proportional to (1;g).

The + polarization of the gravity waves produces focusing, shear with a principal axis in the direction of the wave-vector projection into the source plane ('), and no rotation. The polarization produces no focusing, a shear comparable in strength to that of the + polarization but with principal axis at 45, and induces rigid rotation of the image. In other words, the + polarization produces similar e ects as scalar shear, while the polarization induces truly pseudo-scalar shear (the change from a right-handed coordinate system to a left-handed one changes the sign of h but not of  $h_+$ ).

We now use the relation 2(1) + (1<sup>2</sup>) = 1  $e^{ikz_s(1)}$  to express the rotation ! as  $! = \frac{1}{2}(1+)h(k)$  (23) where  $h(k) = h(k) (e^{ik(1)z_s} 1)$  is the variation in the amplitude of the component of the gravitational wave between the events of em ission of the light ray at the source and its arrival to the observer at the origin. C learly, there is no possible cumulative e ect. The rotation can never exceed the dimensionless wave amplitude.

To separate the local distortion e ects from those produced during light propagation we evaluate  $a_{ab} = a_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ab}$ , in this case the proper distortion matrix elements read:

Expressed in terms of proper areas and as a function of a ne distance to the source, there is no focusing to linear order in the gravity wave amplitude, in agreement with previous conclusions by Zipoy & Bertotti (1968).

Consider now a stochastic background of gravitational radiation, built as a superposition of plane sinusoidal waves. Contrary to the scalar case, they induce distortions just of the order of their dimensionless amplitude, but not larger (Kaiser & Ja e 1997). Consider, for instance, an stochastic background such as that predicted by in ationary cosm ological models (Abbott & W ise 1984), (m s amplitude proportional to the wavelength), with the largest possible amplitude compatible with the observed CMB anisotropy. The m s amplitude is of the order of h (k) 10<sup>6</sup> H<sub>o</sub>=k. The dominant e ect is now that of the wavelengths comparable to the distance to the source. D istortions of order ' 10<sup>6</sup>, a factor 10<sup>4</sup> sm aller than the e ect expected to be induced by scalar perturbations, are unlikely to be detected. At any rate, it is interesting to observe that there are speci c footprints of weak lensing by tensor perturbations, due to its pseudo-scalar contribution, which m ay eventually serve to distinguish it from that produced by density inhom ogeneities.

# 3. Rotation of the plane of polarization by gravity waves

O ne speci c footprint of weak lensing by gravity waves is rotation, which is not induced by scalar perturbations. Rotation of an image may be di cult to ascertain, without a know ledge of the intrinsic source orientation. The polarization properties of the electrom agnetic emission of the source may, in some cases, bear some correlation with the intrinsic source orientation. The angle between some structural direction in a source and the direction of the plane of polarization is thus potentially a useful tool to search for eventual image rotation by weak lensing. Since the plane of polarization may also rotate as electrom agnetic radiation propagates across density inhom ogeneities or gravitational waves, we should also estim ate this electron to M axwell equations in curved space-time (M isner, Thome, & W heeler 1973), which in ply that the direction of linear polarization is parallel-transported along the photon path. It is intuitive that scalar perturbations, which have

no handedness, do not rotate the plane of polarization. In the case of gravity waves, however, we may expect them to induce a \gravitational Faraday e ect" (Perlick & Hasse 1993, Cooperstock & Faraoni 1993). Im age rotation being a consequence of the geodesic deviation between neighboring rays while polarization rotation arises from parallel transport, we may in principle expect them to be di erent, and thus potentially provide a test to detect absolute rotations.

Typical wavelengths of electrom agnetic radiation are extremely short compared to the radius of curvature of space-time and to the typical length over which the amplitude, polarization, and wavelength of the electrom agnetic waves may vary. Thus, we may condently apply the geometric optics approximation in our analysis, and electrom agnetic radiation may be regarded locally as plane waves that propagate through a space-time of negligible curvature. In the Lorentz gauge the electrom agnetic vector potential may be written as

$$A = \langle fa e^{i} g$$
 (25)

where a is a vector amplitude and is a real phase that rapidly changes, with the typical frequency of the electrom agnetic radiation, = !p x + const; with ! the wave frequency and p the dimensionless wave-vector. Expanding the solution of M axwell's equations in powers of the electrom agnetic wavelength divided by the length-scale over which the gravitational potentials vary signi cantly (the gravitational wave wavelength in our case) one nds to lowest order that the photon paths are null geodesic, and to the next order that the vector amplitude a can be written as a = af where a is a scalar amplitude and f is the polarization vector (norm alized as f f = 1), which satis es the equation of parallel transport along the photon path.

The electric eld  $E^{j}$  m easured by a comoving observer is derived from the electrom agnetic eld tensor  $F = A^{i}$ ,  $A^{i}$  as

$$E^{j} = F^{0j} = \langle f[(a^{j;0} \quad a^{0;j}) + i! \ (a^{j}p^{0} \quad a^{0}p^{j})]e^{i}g :$$
(26)

The length-scale overwhich the vector amplitude varies being much longer than the electrom agnetic wavelength, the rst term in the rhs. of Eq.(26) is negligible compared to the second. Thus, the direction of the electric eld is indeed given by the direction of  $a^{i}$ , in turn determ ined by  $f^{i}$ .

We shall calculate the projection of the electric eld direction into the plane perpendicular to the  $x^3$ -axis,  $f^a$  with a = 1;2. Even though the photon paths deviate away from the polar axis in the presence of metric uctuations, with typical de ections of order h, the projection into the plane perpendicular to unperturbed photon paths di ers from the projection into the plane transverse to the actual photon trajectory by terms of order  $h^2$ .

The transverse components of the polarization vector verify the parallel-transport equation, which along a ray that propagates nearly parallel to the  $x^3$  axis towards the origin read:

$$\frac{df^{a}}{dz} = \frac{1}{2} {}^{ab} (h_{bc;0} \quad h_{bc;3} + h_{3c;b} \quad h_{3b;c}) f^{c} :$$
(27)

Notice that, to the order considered, the di erence between the a ne parameter z and the  $x^3$  coordinate is now irrelevant.

In the case of scalar static perturbations,  $h_{ab;3} = h_{;3} a_{ab}$  is the only non-zero term in the r.h.s. of this equation. The solution to eq. (27) is such that

$$f^{a}(z = 0) = [a_{b}^{a} + \frac{1}{2} h_{b}^{a}]f^{b}(z_{s})$$
 : (28)

Since  $h_{ab}$  is diagonal,  $f^1$  and  $f^2$  are modiled at the same rate, and thus there is no rotation of the plane of polarization with respect to the xed coordinate grid. In other words: if

$$(z) = \arctan \frac{f^2(z)}{f^1(z)}$$
 (29)

and =  $(z_s)$  (0), then

$$= 0 \quad (scalar) \quad : \tag{30}$$

In the presence of a plane and m onochrom atic gravitational wave with wave-vector k = km, eq. (27) has instead non-diagonal term s

$$\frac{df^{a}}{dz} = \frac{ik}{2} e^{ikz(1)} [h_{3}^{a}(k)m_{b} h_{3b}(k)m^{a} + (1)h_{b}^{a}(k)]f^{b} :$$
(31)

Integration of this equation between the source and the observer leads to

$$f^{a}(z = 0) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{b} & ! a_{b} + \frac{1}{2} h a_{b} \end{bmatrix} f^{b}(z_{s})$$
(32)

where, as in the previous section,  $h_{ab} = h_{ab}(z_s;t_e)$   $h_{ab}(0;t_o)$  denotes the variation in the gravitational wave amplitude between the events of emission and observation of the photons, and  $! = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cdot) h$  coincides with the value for the image rotation induced by gravity waves. The angle that the polarization forms with respect to the  $x^1$ -axis in this xed coordinate grid thus changes by the amount

$$= ! + \frac{1}{4}(1 + {}^{2})h_{+}\sin 2(') + \frac{1}{2}h_{-}\cos 2(')$$
 (tensor) : (33)

The rst term in the rh.s, independent of the initial direction of polarization, coincides with the image rotation ! derived in the previous section. The other two terms, that arise from the presence of h<sub>ab</sub> in eq. (32), coincide with the apparent rotation of a direction that subtends an angle with respect to the  $x^1$ -axis as a consequence of the local variation in the gravitational potentials between the source and observer locations (as we shall discuss further in the next section).

C learly, the rotation of the plane of polarization does not accumulate over distances longer than the wavelengths as light travels through a stochastic background of gravitational waves, since the e ect is proportional to the change in the wave am plitude between the emission and observation events. The rotation of the plane of polarization produced by a stochastic background of gravitational waves of cosm ological wavelengths and am plitude of order 10<sup>6</sup> is thus totally negligible.

## 4. A pparent rotations

The rotation of the plane of polarization as light propagates across gravity waves derived in the previous section, or the lack of it in the case of scalar perturbations, were measured with respect to the xed coordinate grid  $(x^1;x^2;x^3)$ . Notice, however, that due to weak lensing shear and rotation, be it of scalar or tensor nature, light originated in a point located at  ${}^az_s$ , in a direction that form s an angle =  $\arctan({}^{2}={}^1)$  with respect to the  $x^1$ -axis in the xed coordinate grid, is seen by the observer at the origin as coming from a direction, in this same coordinate grid, at an angle + =  $\arctan({}^2={}^1)$ , with

$$= ! + \sin 2 () :$$
 (34)

We recall that is the shear intensity and its direction. A given xed direction at the source is seen by the observer not just rotated by ! with respect to the absolute direction in this Euclidean grid, but is also a ected by shear. In the case of scalar uctuations, the apparent rotation of a xed direction induced by a single Fourier mode is

$$= -\sin 2 (t') \quad (\text{scalar}): \quad (35)$$

In the case of gravity waves, it is given by

$$= ! + \frac{1}{4}(1 + {}^{2})h_{+}\sin 2(') + \frac{1}{2}h_{-}\cos 2(') + \frac{1}{4}h_{-}\sin 2(') + \frac{1}{2}h_{-}\cos 2(')$$
(36)  
(36)

The rst term in the rh.s. of this equation is the rigid rotation !. The next two terms are the e ect upon a given xed coordinate direction of the change in the gravitational potential between the em ission and observation events. The rest is the additional apparent rotation due to the proper shear ~, given by eq. (24).

The question to address is what are the potentially observable consequences of apparent rotations of directions in the source relative to its plane of polarization. A possible approach is to consider sources with some structural, intrinsic direction. We can then answer the question of what happens to the apparent angle between the plane of polarization and that structural direction as light from the source propagates across metric perturbations.

C onsider sources with a signi cant degree of linear polarization and elongated shape, with approximately elliptical isophotes. For these objects we denote with the position angle on the sky (the orientation of the major axis of the image), and with the direction of the plane of integrated linear polarization, after subtraction of the wavelength-dependent Faraday rotation along intervening magnetic elds.

W eak lensing by m etric perturbations produces focusing, shear, and rotation. Convergence ampli es sources without change in their shape, so it does not a ect the observed position angle . Rotation, as described by ! in eq. (12), accounts for a rigid rotation of the image, with the

. Rotation, as described by ! in eq. (12), accounts for a rigid rotation of the image, with the distance between any pair of points unchanged. Tensor perturbations rigidly rotate the position

angle by the am ount !. However, even when no rigid rotation occurs, as is the case for scalar perturbations, shear distorts a source in age in such a way that an ellipse is still seen as an ellipse but with di erent ellipticity and orientation.

Consider an elliptical source whose principal axis forms an angle with respect to the  $x^1$ -axis in the source plane.  $x^a = {}^a z_s$  is taken to describe such an ellipse. We denote the length of the major and m inor axes by a and b respectively. We denote the ellipticity of the source as  $= (a^2 + b^2) = (a^2 + b^2)$ . When light from this object arrives to the observer distorted by convergence, shear, and rotation, the image parametrization  $x^a = ({}^a{}_b + {}^a{}_b) {}^bz_s$  in the observer sphere still describes an ellipse, but rotated an angle and with a different ellipticity <sup>0</sup>, given by (if the distortion is small):

$$\tan (2 ) = \frac{2[! + (=) \sin 2()]}{1 + 2(=) \cos 2()}$$
(37)

$${}^{0} = \frac{p \left[ \frac{p}{[+2 \cos 2(\frac{f}{]} + 4[! + \sin 2(\frac{f}{]}]}{1 + 2 \cos 2(\frac{f}{]}} \right]}{1 + 2 \cos 2(\frac{f}{]}} :$$
(38)

Here is, as in previous sections, the direction of the shear principal axis. If the ellipticity is larger than the shear, , we can approximate

$$! + -\sin 2($$
 ) (39)

(which of course coincides with eq. (34) in the lim it = 1), and the new ellipticity is

0

$$+ 2 (1) \cos 2 ()$$
 : (40)

In the opposite limit, of negligible eccentricity,  $^0$  2 and , which simply means that the nearly circular source is distorted in the direction of the shear.

We conclude that the angle between the plane of integrated linear polarization and the source axis, , is observed to change, as light propagates across density inhom ogeneities and gravitational waves, by the di erence ( ) as given by eqs. (30,33) and (37).

R otation and shear produced by a cosm obgical background of gravitational waves, at most of order 10  $^6$ , lead to a totally negligible apparent rotation between the plane of polarization and the source position angle.

Scalar shear leads to an apparent rotation ( ) = , since = 0 for scalar perturbations. In sources with large ellipticity ( 1), the apparent rotation is of the order of the shear itself. Such a sm all apparent rotation, at most of the order of a few degrees, is not likely to have signi cant observational consequences. G ravitational distortions due to weak lensing by large-scale structure will produce no observable e ect on the polarization properties of extended radio jets in quasars. In the opposite limit, nearly circular sources appear distorted in the direction of the scalar shear, while their polarization plane remains in the same direction. Scalar shear is thus able to mask any correlation between orientation and polarization that a nearly circular source might have.

The most interesting regime may be the case of sources with ellipticities of the order of

0:1. These are the sm allest ellipticities am ong radio sources that still present som e signi cant (larger than 5%) degree of linear polarization. They can display an apparent rotation of the plane of polarization relative to their major axis of order

A scalar shear of 1% can change the observed angle between polarization and source orientation by 5 degrees.

#### 5. E ects of shear upon the correlation between source polarization and orientation

The direction of the plane of polarization in radio galaxies and quasars is expected to trace the geometric con guration of the magnetic eld in such objects, at least in sources with a signi cant degree of linear polarization. D iscrepancies between the observed values and expectations based in models for the magnetic eld in distant radio sources could eventually signal the presence of unusual elects additional to the standard Faraday rotation in the polarization properties of light as it propagates through the universe (Birch 1982, Carroll, Field & Jackiw 1990, Harari & Sikivie 1992, Nodland & Ralston 1997, Carroll & Field 1997).

It is possible that weak lensing by scalar perturbations explain the dispersion in the direction of integrated polarization of cosm ologically distant radio sources away from the perpendicular to their major axis. We now discuss this possibility.

Consider the data on 160 radio sources used in Carroll et. al (1990), with the corrections noted in Carroll and Field (1997). There are 89 sources with redshift z < 0.3 and 71 with redshift z > 0.3. The histogram of number of radio sources vs. in the case of nearby (z < 0.3) galaxies does not display a very strong correlation, although there is a narrow enhancem ent around 0 and a broader peak at 90. In the case of distant (z > 0.3) sources, the peak around 90 enhances dram atically, while there is no noticeabe peak around 0. It is likely that any correlation between polarization and source orientation be weaker in the case of sources only weakly polarized. Restriction of the sam ple to the case of sources with m axim um polarization  $p_{max} > 5$ % does indeed signi cantly enhance the two peaks in the histogram of nearby sources, while the peak around 90 for distant sources becomes even m ore convincing.

The most likely explanation for these observations is that the sample consists of two di erent populations. One population would consist of low lum inosity sources, for which either there is no signi cant correlation between polarization and position angle, particularly in the case of weakly polarized sources, or have 0. The low lum inosity population would be underepresented in the high redshift observations, where the second class, of high lum inosity sources with polarization alm ost perpendicular to their axis, would dom inate (Carroll & Field 1997, Clarke et al. 1980).

We now put forward the following scenario, which appears to be consistent with present observations. A ssume that most of the distant radio sources that have a signi cant degree of integrated linear polarization are polarized in a direction almost perpendicular to their major axis (say less than 5 away from it), while a small fraction does not posses a signi cant correlation between polarization and position angle. Scalar shear then acts stochastically upon the light emitted by these sources. The result should be an increase in the spread of the observed away from 90, proportional to the mm s value of the shear. The e ect actually depends upon the source redshift and ellipticity. If the number of data points were su ciently large one could search for a correlation between departures of away from 90 with the source redshift and ellipticity, and put to test this scenario. P resent observations are not su cient to make serious attempts in this direction, but we shall argue that they are at least consistent with the above scenario, if the scalar shear has mm s value around 5%. Notice that this shear needs to be coherent only over small scales, of the order of the angular size of the source.

G iven that the number of data points is not su ciently large to search for any correlation of the e ect of shear with the source redshift and ellipticity, we shall estimate the e ect to be expected in a population of radio sources with ellipticity equal to the mean in the sample, at a redshift equivalent to the mean redshift. Since shear e ects do not signi cantly accumulate in the case of nearby sources, we choose to consider just the 51 sources with z > 0.3 and maximum integrated linear polarization  $p_{max} > 5$ %. We plot the corresponding histogram in Figure 1. These equal to 90 with  $m \, s \, dispersion = 33$ , and  $m \, ean \, redshift$ sources have a mean value of z = 0.85.0 f these 51 sources, 41 have their angle of intrinsic polarization less than 45 away from the direction perpendicular to the source axis, while the remaining 10 appear to be more or less uniform ly distributed at angles less than 45 away from the source axis, although the number is too sm all to draw signi cant conclusions. If we exclude from the sample these 10 sources, under the assumption that they may be predom inantly members of a population with weak correlation between polarization and position angles, the remaining 41 sources have a mean value of of 91 with msdispersion = 19 and mean redshift z = 0.84. We have evaluated the ellipticities of all but 4 of them, for which we found the values of the mapr and minor axis quoted in G reqory at. al (1996). The mean ellipticity of the sam ple is 0:15. W e put forward the hypothesis that the intrinsic dispersion of away from 90 is much smaller than the observed value, the observed dispersion being the consequence of the action of stochastic cosm ic shear.

We now estimate the amount of shear necessary to produce a mean square rotation 20 upon a population of sources with ellipticity = 0.15, assumed to be located at equal redshift. In the limit = << 1, where is the rms value of the shear, assumed to act in all directions in the plane perpendicular to the photon paths with uniform probability, the results of the previous

section (eq. (39)) indicate that the rm s value of the apparent rotation is

< ( )<sup>2</sup> > <sup>1=2</sup> 
$$\stackrel{2}{-} \stackrel{2}{-} \stackrel{a}{-} d - \stackrel{2}{-} \sin^2 2 = \frac{1}{p-2} - :$$
 (42)

A value = 0.35 (20) corresponds to = 0.5, which implies a mms shear of 7.5% if the ellipticity of the sources is = 0.15. Eq. (39), how ever, underestim ates the apparent rotation when = is not significantly smaller than unity, as is frequently the case if the mms value is = 0.5. To better estimate the mms apparent rotation in a general case we should use eq. (37). A ssum ing a gaussian distribution of around a zero mean, with mms dispersion , and uniform probability distribution in the shear direction , the mms rotation reads

$$= \frac{2}{2} \int_{0}^{2} d^{2} d^$$

In the lim  $\pm < 1$ , this expression coincides with the approximate value of eq. (42). In the opposite lim  $\pm$ , = >> 1,  $\pm$  tends to  $=(2 \ \overline{3})$  (52), which corresponds to a uniform probability distribution for rotations between 0 and =2, as expected since in this lim  $\pm$  the apparent rotation is given by =.

The result of the numerical evaluation of eq. (43) is plotted in Figure 2. It indicates that = 0.35 (20) when = 0.3, which if 0.15 im plies 0.05 : (44)

The statistical signi cance of the limited sample analysed, as well as the crude approach taken towards the redshift and ellipticity dependence of the elect, make this result only an approximate estimate. It seriously indicates, however, that the observed departures of the direction of intrinsic polarization away from the perpendicular to the source axis in distant radio sources are consistent with the hypothesis that their origin is the elect of shear with rm s value around or below 5%. Much larger values of would actually conict with the observed correlation between source polarization and orientation.

O ther accurate m easurements of the polarization properties of distant sources come from high resolution m easurements of highly polarized local emission regions (Leahy 1997, W ardle et al. 1997). In these observations, a very tight correlation is found between the plane of polarization and some structural direction (such as the direction of intensity gradients), in agreement with theoretical expectations. Shear by weak lensing elects would rotate the observed angle between polarization and that locally defined direction by the mms amount  $= \frac{p}{2}$  only.

## 6. Conclusions

M easurement of the rotation of the intrinsic polarization with respect to the local orientation of each jet segment in extended radio jets has been used to probe the mass distribution in intervening lensing galaxies (K ronberg et al. 1991, K ronberg, D yer, & R oser 1996). In this paper we extended this m ethod of gravitational \alignm ent-breaking" to the case where the change in the observed polarization properties of distant radio sources is due to the weak lensing e ect produced by large scale density inhom ogeneities. Indeed, we argued that cosm ic shear can have a signi cant in pact upon the observed angle between the direction of linear polarization and some structural direction of radio sources. In extended radio sources (sources w ith large ellipticities 1) we found that weak lensing e ects are negligible, since the change in the relative angle does not exceed the m agnitud of the cosm ic shear. On the other hand, radio sources with interm ediate ellipticities, of the order of 0:1, can display a signi cant apparent rotation of the plane of polarization relative to their m a pr axis due to gravitational distortions by large-scale structure.

Our study provides an alternative approach to evidence the elects of cosm ic shear coherent over small angular scales, of the order of the source angular diameter. This approach is complementary to methods currently used to detect cosm ic shear coherent over the extension of galactic elds through the search of correlations in the ellipticities of the galaxies.

Our main conclusion is that density inhom ogeneities do not rotate the direction of linear polarization, but since they distort elliptical shapes, the angle between the direction of linear polarization and the source major axis observed from Earth di ers from the angle at the source (after subtraction of Faraday rotation). In the limit << , where is the value of the shear induced by the perturbations between the source and the observer, and is the source ellipticity, the apparent rotation is of order -. This becomes very interesting in the case of sources with ellipticity of order 0:1, since it in plies, in the presence of a shear of order 1 %, a rotation of around 5 degrees, already comparable or larger than the typical errors of a few degrees in Faraday rotation.

We have shown that the observed correlation between polarization properties and source orientation in distant radio galaxies and quasars can be used to either constrain or eventually reveal the presence of cosm ic shear. The number of present observations does not appear to be large enough to test with su cient statistical signi cance whether the observed departures of the intrinsic polarization angle away from the direction perpendicular to the source axis may be ascribed to the e ects of scalar shear. P resent observations show that the largest fraction of distant radio sources have integrated linear polarization in a direction alm ost perpendicular to the source axis, with a dispersion around the mean of about 20 . We have argued that current observations are consistent with the hypothesis that this dispersion is caused by a shear with m s value around 5%, acting upon a population of radio sources that has a much sm aller intrinsic dispersion. A mm s shearm uch larger than 5% would induce mms rotations much larger than 20, and would thus be inconsistent with the observed correlation between source polarization and orientation. With a larger number of observations available, the cosm ic shear hypothesis could be tested through statistical correlations between the polarization vs. orientation angle and the source redshift and ellipticity.

Finally, weak lensing by a cosm obgical background of gravity waves is mostly of academ ic interest, since their e ect does not accumulate with distance as that of scalar perturbations, and thus the expected distortions are completely negligible (of order 10  $^6$ ). From an academ ic standpoint, however, it is interesting to emphasize the di erences between tensor and scalar distortions. Gravity waves produce no focusing to linear order in the metric perturbation. They do induce shear plus rigid rotation of im ages, and also rotate the plane of polarization of electrom agnetic radiation.

This work was supported by CONICET, Universidad de Buenos Aires, and by an EEC, DG-XIIG rant No.CT94-0004.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbott, L.F., & W ise, M.B. 1984, ApJ, 282, L47
- Balazs, N.L. 1956, Phys. Rev. 110,236
- Bietenholz, M., & Kronberg, P. 1984, ApJ, 287, L1
- Bietenholz, M. 1986, AJ, 91, 1249
- Birch, P. 1982, Nature, 298, 451
- Blandford, R.D., Saust, A.B., Brainerd, T.G., & Villum sen J.V. 1991, MNRAS, 251, 600
- Bridle, A.H., Perley, R.A., & Henriksen, R.N. 1986, AJ, 92, 534
- Carroll, S.M., Field, G.B., & Jackiw, R. 1990, Phys. Rev. D, 41,1231
- Carroll, S.M., & Field, G.B. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9704263
- Clarke, J.N., Kronberg, P.P., & Sim ard-Norm and in, M. 1980, MNRAS, 190, 205
- Clarke, D.A., Norman, M.L., & Burns, J.O. 1989, ApJ, 342, 700
- Cooperstock, F.I., & Faraoni, V. 1993, Class. Quantum Grav., 10, 1189
- Dyer, C.C., & Shaver, E.G. 1992, ApJ, 390, L5
- Eisenstein, D.J., & Bunn, E.F. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9704247
- Faraoni, V. 1993, A & A, 272, 385
- Gregory, P.C., Scott, W.K., Douglas, K., & Condon, J.J. 1996, ApJ Supplser, 103, 427
- Harari, D. D., & Sikivie, P. 1992, Phys. Lett. B 289, 67
- Haves, P., & Conway, R.G. 1975, MNRAS, 173, 53
- Jain, B., & Seljak U. 1996, preprint astro-ph/9611077
- Kaiser, N. 1992, ApJ, 388, 272
- Kaiser, N. 1996, preprint astro-ph/9610120
- Kaiser, N., & Ja e, A. 1997, ApJ, 484, 545
- Kendall, D., & Young, G.A. 1984, MNRAS, 207, 637
- Killen, N., Bicknell, G.V., & Ekers, R.D. 1986, ApJ, 302, 306
- Kronberg, P.P., Dyer, C.C., Burbidge, E.M., & Junkkarinen, V.T. 1991, ApJ, 367, L1

- Kronberg, P.P., Dyer, C.C., & Roser, H.J. 1996, ApJ, 472, 115
- K ronberg, P.P. 1994, Nature, 370, 179
- Leahy, J.P. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9704285
- Mashhoon, B. 1973, Phys. Rev. D, 7, 2807
- M iralda-Escude, J. 1991, ApJ, 380, 1
- Misner, C.W., Thome, K., & Wheeler, J.A. 1973, Gravitation (San Francisco: Freeman)
- Nodland, B., & Ralston, J.P. 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett., 78,3043
- Perlick, V., & Hasse, W. 1993, Class. Quantum Grav., 10, 147
- Pineault, S., & Roeder, R.C. 1977, ApJ, 212, 541
- Plebanski, J. 1960, Phys. Rev. 118,1396
- Saikia, D. J., & Salter, C. J. 1988, ARA & A, 26, 93
- Schneider, P., Van Waerbeke, L., Mellier, Y., Jain, B., Seitz, S. & Fort, B. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9705122
- Seljak, U. 1994, ApJ, 436, 509
- Strotskii, G.B. 1957, Sov. Phys. Doklady 2,226
- Su, F.S.O. & Mallett, R.L. 1980, ApJ, 238, 1111
- Tyson, J.A., Valdes, F., Jarvis, J.F., & Mills, A.P., Jr. 1984, ApJ, 281, L59
- Tyson, J.A., Valdes, F., & Wenk, R. 1990, ApJ, 349, L1
- Wardle, J.F.C., Perley, R.A., & Cohen, M.H. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9705142
- Zipoy, D., & Bertotti, B. 1968, Il Nuovo Cimento, 56B, 195

This preprint was prepared with the AAS  ${\rm I\!AT}_E X$  m acros v4.0.



Fig. 1. | H istogram of num ber of sources vs. (polarization -position angle) for the 51 sources with redshift z > 0.3 and maximum integrated polarization  $p_{max} > 5$ %



Fig. 2. Root mean square value of the apparent in age rotation induced by a rm s shear upon a source with ellipticity , plotted as a function of =