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#### Abstract

The maxim ally com pact representation of a regular orbit is in term $s$ of its action-angle variables ( J ; ). Com puting the m ap betw een a tra jectory's C artesian coordinates and its action-angle variables is called torus construction. This article review s various approaches to torus construction and their application to galactic dynam ics.


## 1. Introduction

In system swith a single degree of freedom, constancy of the energy allow s the m om entum variable p to be written in term s of the coordinate variable q as $H(p ; q)=E$, and the dependence ofboth variables on tim $e$ follow $s$ im $m$ ediately from H am ilton's equations. In general system S w ith N 2 degrees of freedom (DOF), such a solution is generally not possible unless the H am ilton-Jacobi equation is separable, in which case the separation constants are isolating integrals of the $m$ otion. A $n$ isolating integral is a conserved quantity that in som e transform ed coordinate system $m$ akes $@ H=@ p_{i}=f\left(q_{i}\right)$, thus allow ing the $m$ otion in $q_{i}$ to be reduced to quadratures. Each isolating integral restricts the dim ensionality of the phase space region accessible to an orbit by one; if there are N such integrals, the orbit $m$ oves in a phase space ofdim ension $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N}$, and the m otion is regular. The N -dim ensional phase space region to which a regular orbit is con ned is topologically a torus (F igure 1). O rbits in tim e-independent potentials $m$ ay be either regular or chaotic, respecting a sm aller num ber of integrals \{ typically only the energy integral E. A though chaotic orbits are not, strictly speaking, con ned to tori, num erical integrations suggest that $m$ any chaotic trajectories are e ectively regular, rem aining con ned for long periods of tim to regions of phase space $m u c h$ $m$ ore restricted than the fiull energy hypersurface.

Them ost com pact representation of a regular orbit is in term s of the coordinates on the torus (Figure 1) \{ the action-angle variables (J; ). The process of determ ining the $m$ ap ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{v}$ )!( $\mathrm{J} ;$ ) is referred to as torus construction. There are a num ber of contexts in which it is usefulto know the ( $J$; ). O ne exam ple is the response of onbits to slow changes in the potential, which leave the actions (J) unchanged. A nother is the behavior of w eakly chaotic orbits, which $m$ ay be approxim ated as regular onbits that slow ly di use from one torus to another. A third exam ple is galaxy modeling, where regular orbits are $m$ ost e ciently represented and stored via the coordinates that de ne their tori.

This article reviews techniques for $m$ apping $C$ artesian coordinates into action-angle variables in non-integrable potentials. Two general approaches to
this problem have been developed. Trajectory-follow ing algorithm s are based on the quasi-periodicity of regular m otion: Fourier decom position of the trajectory yields the fundam ental frequencies on the torus as well as the spectral am plitudes, which allow im m ediate construction of the $m$ ap ! $x$. Iterative approaches begin from som e initial guess for $x$ ( ), which is then re ned via H am itton's equations w th the requirem ent that the $i$ increase linearly $w$ ith tim e. T he two approaches are often com plem entary, as discussed below .

## 2. Regular M otion

In certain special potentials, every orbit is regular; exam ples are the K epler and Stackel potentials. M otion in such potentials can be expressed most sim ply by nding a canonical transform ation to coordinates ( $p ; q$ ) for which the H am iltonian is independent of $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p})$; am ong all such coordinates, one particularly sim ple choige is the action-angle variables ( $\left.J_{i} ; ~ i\right)$, in term sofwhich the equations ofm otion are

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{i} & =\text { constant, } \\
i & =\quad i t+\frac{0}{i} ; \quad i=\frac{@ H}{@ J_{i}} ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; N \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

(Landau \& Lifshitz 1976; G oldstein 1980). The tra jectory $x(J ;)$ is periodic in each of the angle variables i, which $m$ ay be restricted to the range $0<i \quad 2$. $T$ he $J_{i}$ de ne the cross-sectional areas of the tonuswhile the $i$ de neposition on the torus ( $F$ igure 1). T hese tori are som etim es called \invariant" since a phase point that lies on a torus at any tim e will rem ain on it forever.
$M$ ost potentials are not integrable, but regular orbits $m$ ay still exist; indeed these are the orbits for which torus construction $m$ achinery is designed. O ne expects that for a regular orbit in a non-integrable potential, a canonical transform ation (x;v)! (J; ) can be found such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{ \pm}=0 ; \quad \pm=\quad i ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; N: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever there is no guarantee that the full H am iltonian w ill be expressible as a continuous function of the $J_{i}$. In general, the $m$ ap ( $x ; v$ )! ( $J$; ) will be di erent for each orbit and $w$ ill not exist for those tra jectories that do not respect $N$ isolating integrals (although approxim ate maps, valid for som e lim ited span of tim $e, m$ ay be derived for w eakly chaotic tra jectories).

The uniform translation of a regular orbit on its torus implies that the $m$ otion in any canonical coordinates ( $x ; v$ ) is quasi-periodic:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.x(t)={ }^{X} X_{k}(J) \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}} & 1
\end{array}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 2+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 3\right.
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{t}\right] \text {; } \\
& X^{k} \\
& \left.\mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{t})=\quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{~J}) \exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{k}} & 1
\end{array}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 2+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 3\right.
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{t}\right] \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith ( $l_{k} ; \mathrm{m}_{k} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) integens. The Fourier transform of $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$ or $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{w}$ ill therefore consist of a set of spikes at discrete frequencies $!_{k}=l_{k}+m_{k}+n_{k} 3$ that are linear com binations of the $N$ fundam ental frequencies $i, W$ ith spectral am plitudes $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{J})$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{J})$.


Figure 1. Invariant tonus de ning the $m$ otion of a regular orbit in a two-dim ensional potential. The tonus is determ ined by the values of the actions $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$; the position of the trajectory on the torus is de ned by the angles 1 and 2 , which increase linearly $w$ ith tim $e$, $i=i t+{ }_{i}^{0}$.

## 3. T rajectory Follow ing A pproaches

$T$ he $m$ ost straightforw ard, and probably the m ost robust, approach to tonus construction is via Fourier analysis of the num erically-integrated trajectories (Percival 1974; B oozer 1982; B inney \& Spergel 1982, 1984; K uo-P etravic et al. 1983; Eaker et al. 1984; M artens \& E zra 1985). T he Fourier decom position of a quasiperiodic orbit (Equation 3) yields a discrete frequency spectrum. T he precise form of th is spectrum depends on the coordinates in which the orbit is integrated, but certain of its properties are invariant, including the $N$ fiundam ental frequencies $i$ from which every line ism ade up, $!_{k}=l_{k} \quad 1+m_{k} \quad 2+n_{k} \quad 3 \cdot T y p-$ ically the strongest line in a spectrum lies at one of the fundam ental frequencies; once the $i$ have been identi ed, the integer vectors $\left(l_{k} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ corresponding to every line $!_{k}$ are uniquely de ned, to $w$ ithin com putational uncertainties. A pproxim ations to the actions $m$ ay then be com puted using Percival's (1974) form ulae; e.g. the action associated with 1 in a 3 DOF system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}={ }_{k}^{X} h_{k}\left(I_{k} \quad 1+m_{k} \quad 2+n_{k} \quad 3\right) X_{k} \jmath^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for $J_{2}$ and $J_{3}$, upon replacing the rst factor in the sum $m$ ation by $m_{k}$ and $n_{k}$ respectively. $F$ inally, the $m$ aps ( ! x) are obtained by $m$ aking the substitution $i t!i$ in the spectrum, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X } \\
& \left.x(t)=X_{k}(J) \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
i & \left(\begin{array}{lll}
l_{k} & 1
\end{array}+m_{k} \quad 2+n_{k}\right. & 3
\end{array}\right) t\right] \\
& x^{k} \\
& =\quad X_{k}(J) \exp \left[i\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{k}} & 1
\end{array}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 2+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}} 3\right)\right] \\
& \text { k }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=x(1 ; 2 ; 3): \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Trajectory follow ing algorithm s are easily autom ated; for instance, integer program $m$ ing $m$ ay be used to recover the vectors ( $l_{k} ; m_{k} ; n_{k}$ ) (Valluri \& $M$ erritt 1998).

B inney \& Spergel (1982) pioneered the use of tra jectory-follow ing algorithm $s$ for galactic potentials. They integrated orbits for a tim e $T$ and com puted discrete Fourier transform $s$, yielding spectra in which each frequency spike was represented by a peak w ith nite width $=T$ centered on $!_{k}$. They then tted these peaks to the expected fiunctional form $X_{k} \sin \left[\left(!\quad!_{k}\right) T\right]=\left(!\quad!_{k}\right)$ using $a$ least-squares algorithm. They w ere able to recover the fundam ental frequencies in a 2 D OF potentialw ith an accuracy of $0: 1 \%$ after 25 orbitalperiods. B inney \& Spergel (1984) used equation (4) to construct the \action $m$ ap" for orbits in a principalplane of the triaxial logarithm ic potential. C arpintero \& A guilar (1998) and C opin, Zhao \& de Zeeuw (this volum e) applied sim ilar algorithm s to motion in 2-and 3 DOF potentials.
$T$ he accuracy of Fourier transform $m$ ethods can be greatly im proved by $m$ ultiplying the tim e series $w$ ith a w indow ing function before transform ing. T he result is a reduction in the am plitude of the side lobes of each frequency peak at the expense of a broadening of the peaks; the am plitude m easurem ents are then e ectively decoupled from any errors in the determ ination of the frequencies. Laskar $(1988,1990)$ developed this idea into a set of tools, the \num erical analysis of fundam ental frequencies" (NAFF), which he applied to the analysis of weakly chaotic $m$ otion in the solar system. Laskar's algorithm recovers the fundam ental frequencies $w$ th an error that falls $O$ as $T^{4}$ (Laskar 1996), com pared w ith $T^{1}$ in algorithm $s$ like B inney \& Spergel's (1982). Even for m odest integration tim es of $10^{2}$ orbital periods, the NAFF algorithm is able to recover fundam ental frequencies w th accuracies of $10^{8}$ or better in m any potentials. The result is a very precise representation of the torus ( $F$ igure 2).

O ne draw back of trajectory-follow ing algorithm $s$ is the need to extract a large number of term $s$ in the frequency spectrum in cases where the time dependence of the integration variables is very di erent from that of the angles. $T$ his problem $m$ ay be dealt $w$ ith by expressing the num erically-integrated orbit in term $s$ of a set of coordinates that are closer to the angle variables before com puting the Fourier transform ; for instance, tube onbits are m ost e ciently expressed in the canonically-con jugate P oincare variables (related to cylindrical coordinates, e.g. Papaphilippou \& Laskar 1996).

Trajectory-follow ing algorithm salso su er from the fundam entallim itation that they m ust follow the tra jectory su ciently far to see the longest periodicities of the onbit. In other words, the tra jectory m ust adequately sam ple the surface of its invariant torus. N ear a resonant torus, i.e. a torus for which the i satisfy a relation

$$
X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \quad i \quad i=0
$$

$w$ ith the $i$ integens, trajectories $1 l$ their tori very slow ly, necessitating long integration intervals. H ow ever even for near-resonant orbits, one can still e ciently recover the term $s$ in the spectrum associated w ith the \faster" angles, as well as a reasonable approxim ation to the \slow" frequency 3 associated $w$ ith


Figure 2. C onstruction of a 2 D OF, box-orbit torus in a Stackel potential using the NAFF trajectory-follow ing algorithm . (a) The orbit and its actions, computed using Equation (4) w ith $k_{m}$ ax term $s$. $D$ ashed lines show the exact $J_{i}$. (b) The $m$ ap $y\left({ }_{1} ; 2\right)$; dashed contours correspond to negative values of $y$. ( $k_{m a x}$ ) is the RMS error in the reconstructed map , calculated using an equation sim ilar to (5);

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}{ }^{2}
$$



Figure 3. Resonant tori. (a) A two-dim ensionaltorus, show $n$ here as a square w ith identi ed edges. The plotted trajectory satis es a $2: 1$ resonance betw een the fundam ental frequencies, $1_{2} \quad 2=0$ (e.g. a \banana"). (b) A three-dim ensional torus, show n here as a cube w ith identi ed sides. The shaded region is covered densely by a resonant trajectory forwhich $21+223=0$. This trajectory is not closed, but it is restricted by the resonance condition to a two-dim ensional subset of the torus. T he onbit in con guration space is thin (Figure 4).
libration around the resonant onbit ( $M$ erritt \& Valluri 1999), and form any applications these are su cient. If the precise dependence of the m ap on 3 is also needed, one possible approach (P apaphilippou \& Laskar 1998) is to integrate the equations of $m$ otion using as a tim e step the period associated with one of the fast angles, thus elim inating it from the spectrum.

Since Fourier techniques focus on the frequency dom ain, they are particularly well suited to identifying regions of phase space occupied by resonances. $T$ hey are also ideal for studying the e ect of resonances on the structure ofphase space, even in cases w here the fulltoriare di cult to reconstruct. R esonant tori are places w here perturbation expansions of integrable system s break down, due to the \problem of sm all denom inators". In perturbed (non-integrable) potentials, one expects stable resonant tori to generate regions of regular m otion and unstable resonant tori to give rise to chaotic regions. A lgorithm s like NAFF allow one to construct a \frequency map" of the phase space: a plot of the ratios of the fundam ental frequencies ( $1=3 ; 2=3$ ) for a large a set of orbits selected from a uniform grid in initial condition space. Resonances appear on the frequency map as lines, either densely lled lines in the case of stable resonances, or gaps in the case of unstable resonances; the frequency m ap is e ectively a representation of the A mold web (Laskar 1993). Papaphilippou \& Laskar (1996, 1998), W achlin \& Ferraz $M$ ell (1998) and Valhuri \& M erritt (1998) used frequency $m$ aps to study the e ect of resonances on the structure of phase space in triaxial potentials.


Figure 4. The surface in con guration space lled by a resonant, or \thin," box orbit in a triaxial potential (Merritt \& Valluri 1999). The order of the resonance is $(2 ; 1 ; 2)$, as in $F$ igure 3 b . T he surface was plotted by representing the spatial coordinates ( $x ; y ; z$ ) param etrically in term $s$ of the two angles that de ne position on the resonant threetorus.

Precisely resonant orbits can be reconstructed using tra jectory-follow ing algorithm $s$ in a particularly straightforw ard way. A resonance has the e ect of restricting an orbit to a subset of its torus, reducing the num ber of independent angle variables by one; thus a 2 D O F tra jectory is reduced to a closed curve and a 3 D O F trajectory becom es a thin sheet (B om 1960; G oldstein 1980; Figure 3). $T$ he two frequencies de ning $m$ otion on a resonant three-tonus $m$ ay be taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
0_{0}^{(1)}=3=1 ; \quad 0_{0}^{(2)}=2=1 ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term $s$ of which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=30_{0}^{(1)} \quad 20_{0}^{(2)} ; \\
& 2=10_{0}^{(2)} ; \\
& 3=10_{0}^{(1)}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ his de nition is not unique since the orbit is not closed. T he m otion in C artesian coordinates then becom es

$$
x(t)=X_{k} X_{k} \exp i\left(H_{k} 1+m_{k} \quad 2+n_{k} \quad 3\right) t
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =x x_{k} \operatorname{expi}\left(l_{k} m_{3}+n_{k} m_{1}\right) 0_{0}^{(1)}+\left(l_{k} m_{2}+m_{k} m_{1}\right) 0_{0}^{(2)^{i}} t \\
& =x^{k} x_{k} \operatorname{expi} f_{k}^{0} 0^{(1)}+m_{k}^{0} 0_{0}^{(2)} t \\
& =x^{k} X_{k} \exp i h_{k}^{0(1)}+m_{k}^{0(2)} \\
& =x\left(^{(1)} ;{ }^{(2)}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The result is a set of param etric expressions for the C artesian coordinates $(x ; y ; z)$ in term s of the angles ( ${ }^{(1)}$; ${ }^{(2)}$ ) that de ne position on the two-torus (Figure 4).

## 4. Iterative A pproaches

Iterative approaches to torus construction consist of nding successively better approxim ations to the $m$ ap ! $x$ given some initial guess $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}$; canonical perturbation theory is a special case, and in fact iterative schem es often reduce to perturbative $m$ ethods in appropriate lim its. Iterative algorithm $s$ were rst developed in the context of sem i-classical quantization for com puting energy levels of bound m olecular system $s$, and they are still best suited to assigning energies to actions, $H(J)$. M ost of the other quantities of interest to galactic dynam icists \{ e.g. the fundam ental frequencies i \{ are not recovered with high accuracy by these algorithm $s$. Iterative schem es also tend to be num erically unstable unless the in itial guess is close to the true solution. O n the other hand, iterative algorithm $s$ can be $m$ ore e cient than trajectory-follow ing $m$ ethods for orbits that are near resonanœe.

R atcli , C hang \& Schw arzschild (1984) pioneered iterative schem es in galactic dynam ics. They noted that the equations ofm otion of a 2 D F regular orbit,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{@}{@ x} ; \quad y=\frac{@}{@ y} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \frac{@}{@_{1}}+2 \frac{@}{@ 2}^{2} x=\frac{@}{@ x} ; \\
& 1 \frac{@}{@_{1}}+2 \frac{@^{2}}{@_{2}} y=\frac{@}{@ y}: \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

If one speci es 1 and 2 and treats $@=@ x$ and $@=@ y$ as functions of the $i$, equations (11) can be view ed as nonlinear di erentialequations for $x(1 ; 2)$ and $y(1 ; 2)$. Ratcli et al expressed the coordinates as Fourier series in the angle variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x()=X_{n}^{x} X_{n} e^{i n}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12) into (11) gives
X

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n \quad f X_{n} e^{i n}=r\right. \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right hand side is again understood to be a function of the angles. Ratcli et al. truncated the Fourier series after a nite num ber of term $s$ and required equations (13) to be satis ed on a grid of points around the torus. $T$ hey then solved for the $X_{n}$ by titerating from an initial guess. C onvergence was found to be possible if the initial guess was close to the exact solution. A sim ilar algorithm w as developed for recovering tori in the case that the actions, rather than the frequencies, are speci ed a priori. Guerra \& R atcli (1990) applied these algorithm $s$ to $m$ otion in the plane of rotation of a nonaxisym $m$ etric potential.

A nother iterative approach to torus construction was developed by C hapm an, $G$ arrett \& $M$ iller (1976) in the context of sem iclassical quantum theory. O ne begins by dividing the $H$ am iltonian $H$ into separable and non-separable parts $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$, then seeks a generating fiunction $S$ that $m$ aps the known tori of $H_{0}$ into tori of $H$. For a generating function of the $F_{2}$-type ( $G$ oldstein 1980), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(; J^{0}\right)=\frac{@ S}{@} ; \quad{ }^{0}\left(; J^{0}\right)=\frac{@ S}{@ J^{0}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( J ; ) and $\left(\mathrm{J}^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right.$ ) are the action-angle variables of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and H respectively. The generator $S$ is determ ined, for a speci ed $J^{0}$, by substituting the rst of equations (14) into the H am iltonian and requiring the result to be independent of . O ne then arrives at $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{J}^{0}\right)$. Chapm an et al. showed that a su ciently general form for $S$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(; J^{0}\right)=\quad \mathcal{J} i_{n \in 0}^{X} S_{n}\left(J^{0}\right) e^{i n} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst term is the identity transform ation, and they evaluated a num ber of iterative schem es for nding the $S_{n}$. O ne such schem e was found to recover the results of rst-order perturbation theory after a single teration. M oG ill \& B inney (1990) re ned the Chapm an et al. algorithm and applied it to 2 DOF m otion in the axisym $m$ etric logarithm ic potential.

The generating function approach is not naturally suited to deriving the other quantities of interest to galactic dynam icists. For instance, equation (14) gives ${ }^{0}()$ as a derivative of $S$, but since $S m$ ust be com puted separately for every $\mathrm{J}^{0}$ its derivative is likely to be ill-conditioned. B inney \& K um ar (1993) and $K$ aasalainen \& B inney (1994a) discussed two schem es for nding ${ }^{0}$ ( ); the
rst requires the solution of a form ally in nite set of equations, while the latter requires $m$ ultiple integrations of the equations of $m$ otion for each torus \{ e ectively a trajectory-follow ing schem e.

K aasalainen \& B inney (1994a) noted that the success of the generating function $m$ ethod depends strongly on the choice of $H_{0}$. For box onbits, which are $m$ ost naturally described as coupled rectilinear oscillators, they found that a harm on ic-oscillator $H_{0}$ gave poor results unless an additional point transfor$m$ ation was used to deform the rectangular orbits of $H_{0}$ into narrow waisted boxes like those in typical galactic potentials. K aasalainen (1995a) considered orbitsbelonging to higher-order resonant fam ilies and found that it w as generally necessary to de ne a new coordinate transform ation for each fam ily.

W amock (1991) presented a hybrid schem $e$ in which the generating function $S$ was derived by num erically integrating an onbit from appropriate in itial
conditions, transform ing the coordinates to ( J ; ) of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and interpolating J on a regular grid in . T he values of the $S_{n}$ then follow from the rst equation of (14) after a discrete Fourier transform . K aasalainen \& B inney (1994b) found that $W$ amock's schem e could be used to substantially re ne the solutions found via their titerative algorithm. A nother hybrid schem e was discussed by $R$ eim an \& Pom phrey (1991).
$H$ aving com puted the energy on a grid of $\mathrm{J}^{0}$ values, one can intenpolate to obtain the full H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{J}^{0}\right)$. If the system is not in fact com pletely integrable, this $H \mathrm{~m}$ ay be rigorously intenpreted as sm ooth approxim ation to the true $H$ (W amock \& R uth 1991, 1992) and can be taken as the starting point for secular perturbation theory. K aasalainen (1994) developed this idea and show ed how to recover accurate surfaces of section in the neighb orhood of low -order resonances in the planar logarithm ic potential.

Percival (1977) described a variational principle for constructing tori. H is technique has apparently not yet been im plem ented in the context of galactic dynam ics.

## 5. C haotic M otion

Torus-construction $m$ achinery $m$ ay be applied to onbits that are approxim ately, but not precisely, regular (Laskar 1993). T he frequency spectrum of a weakly chaotic orbit will typically be close to that of a regular orbit, w ith m ost of the lines w ell approxim ated as linear com binations of three \fundam ental frequencies" i. H ow ever these frequencies will change with tim e as the orbit di uses from one \torus" to another. The di usion rate can be $m$ easured via quantities like $j_{1} \quad{ }_{1}^{0} j$ the change in a \fiundam ental frequency" over two consecutive integration intervals. P apaphilippou \& Laskar (1996, 1998), Valluri \& M erritt (1998) and W achlin \& FerrazM ello (1998) used this technique to study chaos and di usion in triaxial galactic potentials. K aasalainen (1995b) show ed that approxim ate toricould be constructed even in chaotic phase space via the hybrid schem e of W amodk (1991). W hile such tori clearly do not describe the m otion of chaotic orbits over long tim es, they are useful for understanding the onset of chaos and its relationship to resonances, as well as for studying evolution of the phase-space distribution function in action space via the FokkerP lank equation (Lichtenberg \& Leiberm an 1992).

## 6. Sum m ary

Trajectory-follow ing schem es for torus construction are robust and easily auto$m$ ated. They can recover the fundam ental frequencies $w$ th great precision and are well suited to studies of weak chaos and for m apping resonances. H ow ever they are ine cient for constructing the full torus of an orbit that lies close to, but slightly o of, a resonance. Iterative techniques are e cient for assigning energies to actions but less suited to recovering the other quantities of interest to galactic dynam icists, such as the fundam ental frequencies. H ow ever they can be $m$ ore e cient than trajectory-follow ing algorithm $s$ for constructing nearlyresonant tori. H ybrid schem es that com bine features of both approaches show considerable prom ise.
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