

Sym bolic dynam ics III
B ifurcations in billiards and sm ooth potentials

K a i T . H a n s e n
N i e l s B o h r I n s t i t u t e ¹
B l e g d a m s v e j 17, D K -2100 C o p e n h a g e n
e-m a i l: k h a n s e n @ n b i v a x . n b i d k

A B S T R A C T

The singular bifurcations in a dispersive billiard are discussed in term s of sym bolic dynam ics and is com pared to an exam ple of a bifurcation tree in a sm ooth potential. Possible generalizations to other sm ooth potentials are discussed.

¹ z P e r m a n e n t a d d r e s s : P h y s D e p . , U n i v e r s i t y o f O s l o , B o x 1048, B l i n d e m , N -0316
O s l o

1 Introduction

The aim of this article is to describe the bifurcation structure of some families of 2-dimensional Hamiltonian systems. Bifurcations in one dimensional unimodal maps are well understood, and the Metropolis, Stein, Stein (MSS) [1] theory uses symbolic dynamics to establish that the order of bifurcations is universal for all unimodal maps. However, there is no general theory of bifurcations in Hamiltonian systems analogous to the MSS theory for unimodal maps. The method presented here predicts the bifurcations of a periodic orbit, including all periodic orbits emanating from a bifurcation, and the non-periodic (chaotic) orbits born at the accumulation points of bifurcations. We refer to these orbits as the members of one bifurcation family, and we show that the members of a family are well defined and do not change with small changes of the Hamiltonian. However, the ordering in the parameter space of the bifurcations within a family may be different for different smooth potentials, and the ordering of different families in the parameter space is not known a priori.

We are not able to define rigorously the class of smooth Hamiltonians to which the method described here applies, but the Hamiltonian must have some similarities with a billiard system. This includes physically interesting examples such as the classical collinear CO_2 molecule [2], and possibly the classical collinear He atom [3] and the Størmer problem [4]. The smooth potential we use as an example is (for reference see [5, 6])

$$H = \frac{1}{2} p_x^2 + p_y^2 + (x^2 y^2)^{1-a} \quad (1)$$

where variation of the parameter a gives rise to a family of Hamiltonians. In the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ the system reduces to the hyperbola billiard and all orbits can be assigned a well defined symbolic dynamics [7, 8]. As a increases, orbits disappear through inverse bifurcations. The bifurcations are period doublings, symmetry breaking, tangent bifurcations and bifurcations of higher order, and they always imply stable orbits; hyperbolic orbits become elliptic orbits for some parameter interval and then disappear [6], as shown in the examples below.

A billiard system, e.g. the 3-disk billiard discussed below, with a parameter r , also has a bifurcation scenario. For a parameter value r_c , an infinite number of orbits are pruned, but in the billiards considered here, no orbits become stable.

We begin by reviewing the bifurcations in one dimensional unimodal maps. We then describe the simplest example in a 3-disk billiard, and discuss in detail a bifurcation sequence in the 4-disk billiard, and compare it with the bifurcations found by Dahlqvist and Russberg for the Hamiltonian (1).

2 Unimodal maps

Here we motivate our approach by showing that bifurcations in a one-dimensional logistic map are analogous to bifurcations in a smooth potential, and that bifurcations in the tent map are analogous to bifurcations in a billiard. The logistic map is defined as

$$x_{t+1} = ax_t(1 - x_t)$$

and the symbolic dynamics we use is symbol 1 if $x > 1/2$ and symbol 0 if $x < 1/2$. The MSS bifurcation scenario starts out as follows: at $a = 1$ the fixed point $\bar{1}$ becomes stable, at $a = 3$ the fixed point $\bar{1}$ becomes unstable while the period 2 orbit $\overline{10}$ is born as a stable orbit, at $a = 3.4494 \dots$ the orbit $\overline{10}$ becomes unstable and the period 4 orbit $\overline{1011}$ is born as a stable orbit, and so on. The MSS theory predicts period doubling of an orbit into a periodic orbit of twice the length. The symbolic dynamics description of the new orbit is obtained by writing the original symbol sequence twice and then changing the last symbol from 0 to 1 or from 1 to 0; orbit $\overline{1011}$ period doubles into $\overline{10111010}$, etc. At the accumulation point $a = 3.5714 \dots$ all such orbits exist and are unstable. We call this collection of orbits the period doubling family of the fixed point $\bar{1}$. In the same way one finds the period doubling family of the period 3 orbit $\overline{100}$, and other orbits born by tangent bifurcations. To be more precise, we can identify symbol 0 with $x < 1/2$ and symbol 1 with $x > 1/2$ for stable orbits in this family, only when the orbit has passed through the superstable point $x = 1/2$. Between the bifurcation point, where the orbit is born, and the superstable point, the naive symbolic description of the stable orbit is the same as for a different unstable orbit. This unstable orbit is either an orbit of the same length created in a tangent bifurcation, or the orbit which became unstable at a period doubling bifurcation.

In the tent map

$$x_{t+1} = \begin{cases} rx_t & x \leq 1/2 \\ r(1 - x_t) & x > 1/2 \end{cases}$$

this bifurcation scenario is slightly altered. The fixed point $\bar{1}$ exists only when $r > 1$. Since the slope at this fixed point is $r < 1$, the fixed point is unstable and the period 2 orbit $\overline{10}$ exists when $r > 1$. This orbit has stability $r^2 < 1$, and is also unstable. By induction all the orbits in the period doubling family of $\bar{1}$ exist and are unstable for $r > 1$ and consequently $r_c = 1$ is the critical parameter value where all orbits in this family bifurcate simultaneously. For $r = r_c$ all points in all orbits have x -values equal $1/2$ and when r increases from r_c the points in the orbits spread out on the x -axis. The critical value for the period 3 family $\overline{100}$ is $r_c = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = 1.6180 \dots$, with all orbits in the period doubling family born unstable, and the same happens for all orbits born in tangent bifurcations. There may also be other orbits born at these critical parameter values.

MS universality is a consequence of the fact that the parameter space is one-dimensional for a unimodal map, and there is only one possible ordering of bifurcations for any monotone variation of the parameter. An n -modal one-dimensional map has more complicated bifurcations in an n -dimensional parameter space. For higher dimensional maps, the situation is totally different; the parameter space is in principle always infinite dimensional.

3 Bifurcations in disk billiards and potentials

The billiards that we use here as our standard examples of dispersive billiards consist of a point particle scattering elastically between N circular disks in the plane. The basic symbolic description is obtained by enumerating the disks, and letting a bounce off disk number s at the integer time t be denoted by the symbol s_t . Other symbols can be made from $s_t \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the number of symbols can be reduced [6, 8], and a well ordered alphabet can be constructed [9, 10], but for simplicity we shall use only the basic symbols here.

The simplest chaotic billiard consists of 3-disks of radius 1, with the distances between the centers of the disks given by parameters r_{12} , r_{13} and r_{23} . If the disks are sufficiently separated [10], all orbits that can be described by any infinite symbol sequence $\dots s_{t-1} s_t s_{t+1} \dots$ exist, except orbits with two successive bounces off the same disk. As shown in ref. [9, 10], a bifurcation in the billiard takes place when a free path between two bounces is tangent to a disk, or when the bounce of the particle has an incoming and outgoing direction parallel to the edge of the disk. If the parameter r changes further the orbit is not admissible in the system; we call such orbit pruned. It is clear that at the critical bifurcation parameter value r_c the orbit that is tangent to the disk is indistinguishable in configuration space from the orbit that bounces parallel to the edge of the disk. From this fact we can establish the set of orbits that bifurcate at r_c and at the same point in phase space. Analogously to the one-dimensional tent map we call this set of orbits a bifurcation family.

Consider as an example the periodic orbit $\overline{123132}$ drawn in figure 1 a) for $r_{12} = r_{13} = r_{23} = 2.5$. To obtain simple figures we have chosen the distance between the centers of the disks 2 and 3 to be the parameter $r = r_{23}$ while keeping $r_{12} = r_{13} = 2.5$. This orbit bifurcates at $r_c = 4.1044 \dots$, as shown in figure 1 b) where the line from disk 2 to disk 3 is tangent to disk 1, and the orbit is not admissible for $r > r_c$. Figure 1 c) shows the periodic orbit $\overline{12131312}$ where the particle bounces off disk 1 instead of moving directly between disk 2 and disk 3. This orbit also bifurcates at $r_c = 4.1044 \dots$ where, in the configuration space, it coincides with the orbit of figure 1 a).

Clearly all orbits that coincide with the orbit of figure 1 b) for r_c bifurcate exactly

at this parameter value, and the symbolic description of these orbits is obtained by either including or not including the symbol for disk 1 each time the particle is tangent to disk 1. One example is when we chose to include the symbol 1 each time the particle goes from disk 3 to disk 2 but not when going from disk 2 to disk 3. This gives the periodic orbit $\overline{1231312}$ drawn in figure 1 d). This orbit has the same length in con guration space as the first orbits for the bifurcation parameter r_c , but it has a broken symmetry and we can think of it as the orbit born at a symmetry breaking bifurcation in a smooth potential. Examples of other periodic orbits in the bifurcation family are $\overline{1231321231312}$, which does not bounce at the tangency point the first 3 times, and then bounces once, and the orbit $\overline{123131212131312}$ that does not bounce the first time, but then bounces the next 3 times at the tangency point. The length of the symbol sequence of these two orbits is approximately twice the length of the symbol sequence of the short orbits, and for the bifurcation parameter value r_c , the length of these orbits in con guration space is exactly twice the length of the short orbits. These orbits could be born at period doubling bifurcations in a smooth potential. Orbits 3,4,5,... times the length of the original orbits are constructed the same way.

All orbits in this bifurcation family are of the form

$$:::212s_{-1}313s_0212s_1313s_2:::$$

where s_i stands for a symbol 1 or no symbol. Periodic sequences of s_i correspond to periodic orbits while non-periodic sequences of s_i correspond to chaotic orbits.

We observe that the scenario of bifurcations is similar to the tent map; all bifurcations take place at one parameter value r_c . The number of orbits in a family here is however larger than in the one dimensional unimodal map period doubling scenario; in one dimension we repeated the symbol sequence twice and changed the last symbol, while here we repeat a short symbol sequence and then have different possibilities for changing a symbol. We expect the bifurcations in a smooth potential to be more complicated and with a many dimensional parameter space.

To compare a billiard system with the smooth Hamiltonian (1) we investigate the bifurcation family of the orbit $\overline{1(32)^44(23)^4}$ in the 4-disk billiard shown in figure 2 a). The parameter in the 4-disk billiard is $r = r_{12} \quad r_{23} \quad r_{34} \quad r_{41}$. This orbit bifurcates when the line from disk 1 to disk 3 is tangent to disk 2, and because of the symmetry of the orbit, at the same parameter value the line from disk 4 to disk 2 is tangent to disk 3. The orbit that bounces off each of the tangent points is the orbit $\overline{12(32)^4343(23)^42}$ drawn in figure 2 b). We can now construct all possible combinations where the orbit does or does not bounce off the disk 2 or 3 at the tangent points. The shortest possibilities are: $\overline{1(32)^434(23)^42}$, $\overline{1(32)^4343(23)^4}$, $\overline{1(32)^44(23)^42}$, $\overline{1(32)^443(23)^42}$ and $\overline{1(32)^4343(23)^42}$, and orbits symmetric to these. The general form of this bifurcation family of orbits is

$$:::1s_{-1}(32)^4t_{-1}4t_0(23)^4s_01s_1(32)^4t_14t_2(23)^4s_2::: \quad (2)$$

with s_i either 2 or no symbol, and t_i either 3 or no symbol.

The position on the edge of disk 1 for the bounce, is shown in figure 3 for some of these orbits as a function of the parameter r . The critical parameter value is $r_c = 2.0312 \dots$ and the orbits are not physically admissible for $r < r_c$. Figure 3 shows that when $r > r_c$ the positions spreads out with orbit $1(32)^4 4(23)^4$ having the largest value and the orbit $12(32)^4 343(23)^4 2$ having the smallest value.

The bifurcation diagram for some of the orbits in this family for the Hamiltonian (1) is described in ref. [6] and is sketched in figure 4. Only the short orbits are included and the drawing is not correctly scaled in the parameter value, and the horizontal positions are chosen only for better visualization (for numerical values see figure 4 in ref. [6]). A dotted line is a hyperbolic orbit while a solid line is an elliptic orbit. The figure shows that the two orbits $1(32)^4 4(23)^4$ and $12(32)^4 343(23)^4 2$ are born together, and that $1(32)^4 4(23)^4$ is the hyperbolic orbit while $12(32)^4 343(23)^4 2$ is the elliptic orbit. The elliptic orbit then has bifurcations where it bifurcates into orbits belonging to the family (2). This family structure of symbols for this Hamiltonian (1) is conjectured by Dahlqvist and Russberg [6]. By finding longer orbits we expect each elliptic branch of the tree to go through an accumulation of bifurcations similar to the logistic map. There is no reason to expect our choice of the parameter a to be in any way a universal choice, or that this should be a universal one-dimensional parameter bifurcation scenario like for the unimodal map. A different parametrization of the Hamiltonian may give a slightly different bifurcation tree, but always including exactly the orbits in the family (2). The number of orbits in the bifurcation family is larger than the period doubling family in the logistic map, and this implies that some orbits have to bifurcate several times. For example, in the logistic map no orbits bifurcates more than once, while in the example above the orbit $12(32)^4 343(23)^4 2$ bifurcates three times.

The example shows that if we know the bifurcation family in a billiard system we can predict the expected bifurcations in a smooth potential and the geometrical shape of the orbits created in a bifurcation. We expect a potential with 3 or 4 smooth hills to exhibit bifurcation trees of similar structure. The theory of bifurcation in smooth potentials [11, 12, 13] predicts bifurcations when an eigenvalue of the monodromy matrix has rational phase on the unit circle, or when it becomes real. The conservation of the Poincaré index gives some restrictions on the possible bifurcations. The theory does however not predict the geometrical structure of the bifurcating orbits, nor tells anything about how many times an orbit bifurcates with the same eigenvalue. Study of the billiard bifurcations adds this information to the theory. This may give a unique symbolic description of all unstable and stable orbits in a potential.

An important implication of the billiard studies is that if the structure of bifurcations in the 4-disk billiard and in the smooth potential are similar, then we expect to be able to describe the allowed orbits in the smooth potential by a pruning front

similar to the pruning front for the billiard [9, 10]. This gives a method to find an approximation of a Markov partition of the system which is useful in calculations of thermodynamical quantities

4 Conclusions

We have here illustrated the utility of comparing bifurcations in billiard systems and in smooth Hamiltonian systems by an example comparing disk billiards and the $(x^2y^2)^{1=a}$ potential. The bifurcations is easy to find in billiards and we obtain the pruning front which determines the forbidden orbits and the symbolic dynamics for the system. It is more difficult to find the bifurcations in a smooth potential and the existence of a pruning front in that case is not yet established. We have shown here that by using symbolic dynamics we can find bifurcation families of orbits and that these families have the same structure in the billiard and in the smooth potential. This indicates that the pruning front is similar for the billiards and for smooth potentials. Knowledge of the bifurcations in billiards can also be of help in numerical searches for bifurcations in a potential.

1. Examples of orbits that belong to the same bifurcation family for the 3 disk billiard. a) The orbit $\overline{123132}$ with $r_{12} = r_{13} = r_{23} = 2.5$. b) The orbit $\overline{123132}$ with $r_{12} = r_{13} = 2.5$ and $r_{23} = r_c = 4.1044$; the bifurcation point for the orbit. c) The orbit $\overline{12131312}$, $r_{12} = r_{13} = r_{23} = 2.5$. d) The orbit $\overline{1231312}$, $r_{12} = r_{13} = r_{23} = 2.5$.
2. Examples of orbits that belong to the same bifurcation family in the 4 disk billiard with $r = 2.5$. a) The orbit $\overline{1(32)^4 4(23)^4}$. b) The orbit $\overline{12(32)^4 343(23)^4 2}$. c) The orbit $\overline{1(32)^4 34(23)^4 2}$. d) The orbit $\overline{1(32)^4 343(23)^4}$.
3. The position on disk 1 of a bounce as a function of the parameter r for some periodic orbits in the 4 disk billiard. The bifurcation point is $r_c = 2.0312$. The positions for $r > r_c$ of the two orbits $\overline{1(32)^4 4(23)^4}$ and $\overline{1(32)^4 343(23)^4}$ are close on the uppermost curve and the two orbits $\overline{12(32)^4 343(23)^4 2}$ and $\overline{12(32)^4 4(23)^4 2}$ are close on the downmost curve. For $r < r_c$ all the (physically not admissible) orbits are close.
4. A sketch of the bifurcation diagram of the Hamiltonian (1). Solid lines correspond to elliptic orbits and dotted lines to hyperbolic orbits. Only short orbits are included.

Acknowledgements: The author is grateful to P. Cvitanovic, P. Dahlqvist, G. Russberg and the colleagues in the Chaos group at the Niels Bohr Institute for discussions. The author thanks the Norwegian Research Council for support.

References

- [1] N. Metropolis, M. L. Stein and P. R. Stein, *J. Comb. Theo.* A 15, 25 (1973)
- [2] R. Schinke and V. Engel *J. Chem. Phys.* 93, 3252 (1990)
- [3] G. S. Ezra, K. Richter, G. Tanner and D. Wintgen, *J. Phys. B* 24, L413 (1991); D. Wintgen, K. Richter and G. Tanner, *Chaos J.*, 2 (1992)
- [4] C. Jung and H.-J. Scholz, *J. Phys. A* 21, 2301 (1988); C. Stimmer, *The Polar Aurora* (Oxford University Press, London, 1955).
- [5] P. Dahlqvist and G. Russberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 65, 2837 (1990)
- [6] P. Dahlqvist and G. Russberg, *J. Phys. A* 24, 4763 (1991).
- [7] M. Sieber and F. Steiner, *Physica D* 44, 248 (1990); *Phys. Lett. A* 148, 415 (1990)
- [8] P. Cvitanovic and B. Eckhardt, *Symmetry Decomposition of Chaotic Dynamics submitted to Nonlinearity.*
- [9] Kai T. Hansen, *Chaos J.* 2, 71 (1992)
- [10] Kai T. Hansen, *Symbolic dynamics I, Finite dispersive billiards, submitted to Nonlinearity.*
- [11] K. R. Meyer, *Trans. AM S* 149, 95 (1970).
- [12] J. M. Greene, R. S. MacKay, F. Vivaldi and M. J. Feigenbaum, *Physica D* 3, 468 (1981).
- [13] M. Baranger and K. T. R. Davies, *Ann. Phys.* 177, 330 (1987); M. A. M. de Aguiar, C. P. Malta, M. Baranger and K. T. R. Davies, *Ann. Phys.* 180, 167 (1987).
- [14] L. Bunimovich, *Funkts. Anal. Ego Pribzh.* 8, 73 (1974); L. Bunimovich, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 65, 295 (1979)
- [15] O. Biham and M. Kvale, *Characterization of Chaotic Billiards Through Periodic Orbits*, Preprint.
- [16] Kai T. Hansen, *Symbolic dynamics II, The stadium billiard, submitted to Nonlinearity.*