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#### Abstract

B ased on the previously proposed notions of action operators and of quantum integrability, frequency operators are introduced in a fully quantum m echanical setting. They are conceptually usefulbecause a new form ulation can be given to unitary perturbation theory. W hen worked out for quantum spin system $s$, this variant is found to be form ally equivalent to canonical perturbation theory applied to nearly-integrable system $s$ consisting of classical spins. In particular, it becom es possible to locate the quantum $m$ echan icaloperator-valued equivalent of the frequency denom inators which $m$ ay cause divergence of the classical perturbation series. T he results established here link the concept of quantum $m$ echanical integrability to a technical question, nam ely the behaviour of speci c perturbation series.
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

In classicalm echanics, the distinction betw een integrable and nonintegrable H am iltonian system s is clear-cut. On the one hand, for a system to be integrable, the existence of $N \mathrm{sm}$ ooth, involutive, and functionally independent constants of the m otion is required. Then, its 2 N -dim ensional phase space is guaranteed to be foliated into N dim ensional tori, and the resulting alm ost-periodic motion of the system is very simple. On the other hand, a nonintegrable system $m$ ay explore a ( $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 1$ )-dim ensional region of phase space in the course of tim e if (except for the energy) there are no invariants which restrict the $m$ otion to low er-dim ensionalm anifolds. A ccordingly, the tim e evolution of a nonintegrable system is extrem ely com plicated [1].

M uch is know $n$ about the properties of quantum system $s$ obtained by quantizing classically integrable and nonintegrable system s, respectively [7]. N evertheless, no rigorously established and generally accepted features have em erged which would allow one to unam biguously distinguish integrable and nonintegrable quantum system s in analogy to classicalm echanics. W hile the concept of integrability is im portant in classicalm echanics (for exam ple, the KAM theorem deals with the in uence of a perturbation on integrable system s), it appears, for the tim e being, to be $m$ uch less fertile in quantum m echanics.

A new concept of quantum integrability hasbeen proposed in 目] which is based on quantum m echanicalaction operators w ithout any reference to classicalm echanics. Incorporating criticism ofearlier concepts of quantum integrability [4] ], this notion relies only on the algebraic structure of a quantum system provided by the com $m$ utation relations of the basic operators. It is the ob jective of the present paper to explore the features of nearly quantum integrable system s : a perturbation is added to a system which quali es as quantum integrable in the above sense, and a m ethod is given to successively rem ove the disturbance. By using the quantum actions in a fram ew ork of Lie transform $s$ it becom es possible to lay open strong form alanalogies to the corresponding classicalperturbationalapproach. In particular, w ith frequency operators being de ned for integrable quantum system $s$, it $w i l l$ becom e obvious that quantum $m$ echanical \counterparts" of the classical frequency denom inators show up in this perturbational treatm ent. T he results of the present work are form al, i.e., questions of convergence have not yet been dealt with. Fortunately, the structural sim ilarity of the results to classicalm echanics allow s one already to im agine possible scenarios.
$T$ w o general rem arks are in order before tuming to the detailed presentation. T he investigations are carried out for spin system sbut the generalization of the results to particle system $s$ is not expected to provide substantialdi culties. $T$ hen, it should be pointed out that no part of this w ork relies on sem iclassical approxim ations.

In brief, the paper is organized as follow s. In Section 2, the notion of quantum integrability used here is recapitulated. Frequency operators are introduced in Section 3, and they are recognized to naturally generalize the classical frequencies know $n$ from integrable system $s$. T he m ain part of the paper, Section 4, consists in form ulating the theory of Lie transform sfor nearly quantum integrable system $s$. A pplications to system $s w$ ith one and tw o degrees of freedom follow in Section 5. Finally, the results are sum m arized in Section 6, and connections to other w ork are discussed.

It $w$ ill be useful to sum $m$ arize the algebraic view of quantum integrability in order to $m$ otivate the investigations of the subsequent chapters. O nly the essential points will be m entioned; details have been elaborated on in $R$ ef. 目].

Let us outline the concept of integrability w th a view on the algebraic structure of classicalm echanics. For a system of $N$ degrees of freedom there are canonical variables $q=\left(q_{1} ;::: ; q_{N}\right)$ and $p=\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{N}\right)$. They provide a basis for the algebra of (sm ooth) phase-space functions $f(p ; q)$ since each such fiunction can be approxim ated arbitrarily well by sum $m$ ing products of $p_{n} ; q_{n} w$ ith appropriate coe cients. They can be multiplied by real coe cients, and one can add them; any two phase-space functions de ne a third one via the Poisson bracket tuming the set of all phase-space functions into a Lie algebra. This fram ew ork is the sam e for all classicalm echanicalsystem s. A particular physical system is described by selecting one speci c phase-space function and by calling it the $H$ am iltonian. Its role is to generate the tim e evolution of the system by de ning a ow in phase space. Integrability com es in as follow s. Typically, the $H$ am iltonian function will depend on all com ponents of $m$ om entum $p$ and coordinate $q$. H ow ever, it is possible to perform a canonical change of basis, $(p ; q)!\left(p^{0} ; q^{0}\right)$, as a result of which the $H$ am iltonian will tum into a di erent function if expressed in tem s of the prim ed variables. It $m$ ay happen that such a change of basis leads to a particular form of the new H am iltonian function, nam ely that it depends on only half of the num ber of variables, N. O ne has found a particularly convenient basis of the algebra because it becom es obvious that the ow in phase space decom poses into N decoupled ow s. A system with such a trivial ow is called integrable. As is well-known, this situation is very rare: typically no decoupling basis exists, and the phase-space ow is extrem ely intricate.

It is straightforw ard to rephrase this line of thought for quantum $m$ echanical system s . N ow the basis of the algebra of operators is given by $p=\left(p_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ and $q_{1}=\left(\mathrm{q}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$, and each operator in the algebra can be represented by an appropriate sum of products of $p_{n} ; \phi_{1}$. The com $m$ utator of tw o operators de nes a third one, and Jacobi's identity holds in general: one is dealing w th a L ie algebra of operators. If one particular operator of the algebra is chosen as H am iltonian, a quantum system and its tim e evolution are determ ined. A generic $H$ am iltonian operator $w i l l$ depend on all com ponents of $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{q}$. In special cases, how ever, $a$ unitary transform ation exists which allow s one to express the $H$ am iltonian as a function of only half of the num ber of basic operators, $N$. By analogy, the system will then be called quantum integrable. P art of the purpose of the present work is to show that, in an appropriate sense, the corresponding quantum $-m$ echanical ow is trivial, and that this situation is not generic.

It should be noted that in the context of quantum $m$ echanics the term \algebraic" $w$ ill be understood as \independent of the representation." The idea is to only use relations which have a countenpart in the classical algebra. For exam ple, w ith in each nite-dim ensionalm atrix representation of the spin algebra there exist relations betw een di erent pow ers of spin $m$ atrioes which are speci c for the representation at hand. Such relations do not exist in the classical algebra and, thus, they should not be used. A $n$ instructive discussion of the com $m$ on algebraic structure underlying classicaland quantum $m$ echanics has been given by Falk 5].

For convenience, the de nition of quantum integrability for spin system s proposed in 3] w ill be reproduced. The description of a quantum spin system $w$ ith $N$ degrees of freedom is based on $N$ copies of the one-spin com $m$ utation relations,

Here is the criterion for integrability of quantum spin system $s$ :
Q J:A given $N$-spin H am ittonian $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ is quantum integrable if there exists a unitary transform ation $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ which converts the spin operators $\mathrm{B}_{j}, j=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$, into new spin operators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oiint_{j}=\varpi \bigotimes_{j} \not \varpi^{Y}=S_{j}\left(马_{1} ;::: \bigotimes_{N}\right) ; \quad j=1 ;::: ; N ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the $\mathrm{H} a \mathrm{~m}$ iltonian tums into a function of N quantum actions $\hat{\mathrm{J}}_{\mathrm{j}}=\oint_{\mathrm{jz}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\bigotimes_{1} ;::: ; \bigotimes_{N}\right)=H\left(\hat{J_{1}} ;::: ; \hat{\mathcal{J}_{N}}\right): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectra of the operators $\hat{J_{j}} ; j=1 ;::: ; N$, in each ( $2 \mathrm{~s}+1$ )-dim ensionalrepresentation s consist of f iform ly spaced levels:

If the transform ation (3) has been achieved, the eigenvalues of the $H$ am iltonian can be read $o$ im mediately, and its eigenfunctions are known as well. In spite of reference to the representation s, Eq. (4) is an algebraic statem ent since it is required to hold in all representations, $s=1=2 ; 1 ; 3=2 ;:::$ A $s$ w ill becom e clear in Section 4, condition (3) de nes a program which can be carried out in strong analogy to classicalm echanics.

## III. FREQUENCY OPERATORS

Frequency operators, to be de ned for quantum integrable system s in a straightforw ard way, are introduced in th is section. The $m$ ain ob jective of $R$ ef. (3] has been to provide num erical and analytical support for the existence of quantum $m$ echanical action operators, and to use them as building blocks for quantum $m$ echanical integrability. $T$ hese argum ents $w$ ill not be repeated here| instead an independent approach willbe presented which ilhustrates the idea of action operators from a new perspective. To arrive at the de nition of frequency operators w ill then be a sm all step.

A s a starter, a classicalspin system with one degree of freedom is considered. Its H am iltonian is assum ed to depend on a single spin com ponent only, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}$, say:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H\left(S_{z}\right): \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com plex quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{x} \quad i S_{y} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are classical counterparts of ladder operators for a quantum spin. In these variables the $P$ oisson brackets for a single spin read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fS}_{\mathrm{z}} ; \mathrm{S} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{iS} ; \quad \mathrm{f} S_{+} ; \mathrm{S} \mathrm{~g}=2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{z}} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as obtained from the replacem ent [i]! ihf ; $g$ in (1). The equations ofm otion are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dS}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{fH} ; \mathrm{Sg} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the H am iltonian (5) they read explicitly

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d S_{z}}{d t}=\mathrm{fH} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~g}=0 ;  \tag{9}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{dS} S_{+}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{fH} ; \mathrm{S}_{+} \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{i} S_{+}!\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

the equation of $q$ otion for $S$ being complex conjugate to that of $S_{+}$. W hen expressing the spin as $S=$ ( $S^{2} p_{1}^{2} \cos ^{\prime} ; ~ S^{2} p_{r}^{2} \sin ^{\prime} ; p$, ) in term $s$ of canonical coordinates $w$ ith $f p_{1} ;^{\prime} g=1$, it becom es obvious that the third com ponent, $S_{z}$, plays the role of an action: it is both a canonical variable and a constant of the $m$ otion. The phase-space functions $S$ are proportional to the exponentiated angle variable. In view of later developm ents it is usefil to stick w th the periodic functions of $\operatorname{tim} \operatorname{e}, \mathrm{S} \quad(\mathrm{t})$, instead of using the canonical variable '. The frequency

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\left(S_{z}\right)=\frac{d H\left(S_{z}\right)}{d S_{z}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is com pletely determ ined by the $H$ am iltonian and thus depends on the action $S_{z}$ only. The tim e evolution of integer powers $k=2 ; 3 ;::$ of $S_{+}(t)$ is obtained from (10) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d S_{+}^{k}}{d t}=\mathrm{fH} ; S_{+}^{k} g=i S_{+}^{k}!_{k}\left(S_{z}\right) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for $S$. The notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{k}\left(S_{z}\right) \quad k!\left(S_{z}\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

used here will sim plify com parison with quantum m echanical expressions.

C onsider now a quantum $m$ echanical spin system $w$ ith one degree of freedom, i.e., one copy of the com $m$ utation relations (1) only occurs. The H am iltonian of the system is assum ed to depend on the third com ponent of the spin operator $\$$ only,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I} 9=\mathrm{H}\left(\Im_{\mathrm{z}}\right): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, ladder operators are useful: $\$=\oint_{x} \quad i \S_{y}$; leading to com $m$ utators sim ilar to (7):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Im_{\mathrm{z}} ; \Im^{9}=\mathrm{h} 9 ; \quad\left[9_{+} ; \$\right]=2 \mathrm{~h} \oiint_{\mathrm{z}}:\right. \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

H eisenberg's equations of $m$ otion for the quantum spin are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d s}{d t}=\frac{i}{h} \llbracket p ; 8\right] ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the H am iltonian (14) they read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{d \Phi_{z}}{d t}=\frac{i}{h} \llbracket ; ; \oiint_{z}\right]=0 ;  \tag{17}\\
& \left.\frac{d \Phi_{+}}{d t}=\frac{i}{h} \llbracket ; ; \Phi_{+}\right]=i \Phi_{+}!\left(\oiint_{z}\right) ; \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and the equation for $\oint=\left(\Im_{+}\right)^{y}$ is the adjoint of the last equation,

The selfadjoint operator $b \quad!\left(S_{z}\right)$ depends on the $z$ com ponent of the spin operator $B$ only; explicitly, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{H}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{h}\right) \quad \mathrm{H}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right) ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

providing thus the de nition of a quantum $m$ echanical frequency operator. Its form is suggested by requiring that the operator $\oiint_{+}$stand on the left of everything else in Eq. 18). The derivation of 20) hinges on the fact that the H am ilton operator depends on the operator $\$_{z}$ only because in (16) one can then use the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{f}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right) ; \mathfrak{S}_{+}^{\mathrm{k}}\right]=\oiint_{+}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{kh}\right) \quad \mathrm{f}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\oiint_{+}^{\mathrm{K}} \quad \exp \left(\mathrm{kh@}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \quad 1 \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho_{z}$ denotes the (form al) derivative $w$ ith respect to the operator $\oint_{z}$. An algebraic proof of 21) is given in A ppendix A for functions $f(x)$ which have a pow er-series expansion in $x$, and another one for arbitrary functions $f(x)$ which holds in each representation. A di erent but equivalent de nition of the frequency operator can be given if one m oves $\$_{+}$to the right in Eq. 18). E ther convention is unam biguous; in the follow ing, the version occurring in 18) will be used.

C om paring the classical and the quantum $m$ echanical equations of $m$ otion, 19) and 18), it becom es plausible to call the operator $\oint_{z}$ an action operator: it is both a constant of the $m$ otion and (the closest analog of) a canonical m om entum in the spin algebra. It is reasonable to consider the H am iltonian (14) as quantum integrable since it depends on nothing but an action operator. This also ts wellw ith conceiving $\mathfrak{b}$ given in 20) as frequency operator because 20) is the discretized version of 11).

O $n$ this basis, the notion ofquantum $m$ echanicalintegrability as de ned in $Q J m$ akes sense: a system with $H$ am ilton operator l p w ill be called quantum integrable if a unitary transform ation $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \\ & \text { can } \\ & \text { be found such that the } H \text { am iltonian }\end{aligned}$ tums into a function of com $m$ uting $z$ com ponents of the di erent spins only while the com mutation relations (1) rem ain invariant. A s a consequence, the equations of $m$ otion in the $H$ eisenberg picture strongly resem ble those of classically integrable system s . In addition, frequency operators are de ned in analogy to the classical frequencies both of which are determ ined com pletely by the $H$ am iltonian. It is not for the rst tim e that operator-valued analogs of the classical frequencies do occur: as early as 1925, D irac introduced a sim ilar concept for particle system s 目].

It is im portant to look at the tim e evolution of powers of $\Phi_{+}$(and $\oint^{9}$ ). O ne nds
where now

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{k}\left(\Im_{z}\right)=\frac{1}{h} H\left(\Im_{\mathrm{z}}+k h\right) \quad H\left(\Im_{z}\right) \quad: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since generally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} \notin \mathrm{~kb} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

a di erence to the classical relation (13) arises which will have interesting consequences for the perturbative approach to be studied later on. W hen form ally expanding both sides of 24) in pow ers ofh, how ever, the leading order re ects the classical relation.

The generalization to system s consisting of $N$ spins, $b_{j} ; j=1 ;::: ; N$, is staightforw ard. $T$ he com ponents of each individualspin ful $l l$ com $m$ utation relations (1]), and operators associated $w$ ith di erent spins $\oiint_{j} ; \oiint_{j}$ com $m$ ute. The Ham iltonian now $w$ ill depend on all spins, $\mathrm{lp}=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ and the equations ofm otion read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d \Phi_{j}}{d t}=\frac{i}{h} \llbracket p ; \oiint_{j}\right] ; \quad=x ; y ; z: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a quantum integrable N -spin H am iltonian there are N frequency operators generalizing the expressions 20) and (23) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{k}^{(j)}\left(\S_{z}\right)=\frac{1}{h} \text { H }\left(\oiint_{1 z} ;::: ; \oint_{j z}+k h ;::: ; \oint_{N z}\right) \quad H\left(\S_{z}\right) ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the tim e evolution of the ladder operators is sim ply given by
and their adjoints.

## IV. PERTURBATION THEORY

H aving established a concept of integrability for quantum system $s$, the program to be carried out is as follow s. In classicalm echanics, a sm allperturbation is added to an integrable system and it is investigated whether, by successive canonical transform ations, the perturbation can be rem oved com pletely; this would render the system integrable. In consequence of the KAM theorem, how ever, integrability is destroyed by a generic perturbation: any perturbation series is doom ed to diverge in nite fractions of phase space. A quantum $m$ echanicalim plem entation of this approach aim sat transform ing a perturbed quantum integrable system into an integrable one by applying a sequence of unitary transform ations. Lie transform s are a convenient tool here since they are adapted to the algebraic structure of the theory. M oreover, they facilitate com parison w ith canonical perturbation theory, the relevant form ulae of which are gathered in A ppendix B .

A quantum system consisting of $N$ spins w ith constant length $\oiint_{j}^{2}$ is assum ed to be described by a $H$ am iltonian operator of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~B} ; ")=\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)+\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~B} ; ") ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{l}_{0}$ is a quantum integrable system, depending only on the $z$ com ponents of the spins (ifnot indicated otherw ise, $\$ \mathrm{~s}$ denotes the collection $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ of operators).

The perturbation $I(3 ; ") \mathrm{m}$ ay depend on all com ponents of the N spins and it contains a realexpansion param eter " such that the pertunbation vanishes for " $=0: ~ f(9 ; 0)=0$. Furtherm ore, it is assum ed that the unperturbed H am iltonian $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ is not degenerate. In the follow ing, a possible dependence of operators on the invariants ${\underset{j}{j}}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{w}$ ill not be $m$ ade explicit.
A. U n itary transform ations

To begin $w$ ith, it is usefulto study the e ect ofunitarily transform ing the spin operatorsby an operator $母_{n}=U(3 ; ")$ $w$ ith $U(3 ; "=0)=1$. $N$ ew spin operators $B^{0}$ (throughout this paper the prim e does not denote a derivative) are introduced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{0}=\mathrm{U} \cdot(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{U}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~S}) ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the induced transform ation of the H am iltonian reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { H ( }(\mathrm{B} ; ")=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{n}^{0} ;{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \text {; } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, in term s of the prim ed operators, $\mathrm{S}_{n}^{0}$, the H am iltonian is given by a new function $\mathrm{H}^{0}$. By using the inverse of 2g) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}=U_{n}^{Y}(S) B_{n}^{0} U_{n}(S)=U_{n}^{O}\left(S_{n}^{0}\right) S_{n}^{0} U_{n}^{0}\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{0}\right) ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

relation (30) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{Q_{y}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{0}\right) H\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{0} ; "\right) U^{0}\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{0}\right)=H^{0}\left(S_{n}^{0} ; "\right): \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation holds for any unitary transform ation $\uplus^{\prime \prime}$. In view of the criterion for quantum integrability, $Q \mathrm{~J}$, the new H am iltonian $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Ip}^{0}$ is required to depend on the z com ponents of the prim ed spin operators $\oint^{\circ}=3^{0}$ only, so that (30) assum es the special form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\beta^{\prime} ; "\right)=H\left(\oiint_{z} ; "\right): \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

De ning $U_{n}(\Im)=U_{n}^{0}(\S)$, Eq. (32) tums into

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{Y}(\oint) H(\oint ; ") U(\oint)=H\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}} ; "\right): \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to distinguish quantities related to a generaltransform ation as in 39) from those associated with 33), curly sym bols such as $\ddagger$ and lp w ill be used. It w ill be convenient to renam e the curly operators $\$ \mathrm{~S}$ by 9 in Eq. 34).

> B.P erturbation expansion

The construction of the unitary transform ation $\uplus^{\circ}$ achieving (34) is at stake now. U nder three assum ptions it can be reduced form ally to the successive solution of an in nite set of hierarchicalequations determ ined by the unperturbed
 in a pow er series of the param eter ":

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}(\mathrm{B} ; ")=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}=1}^{\mathrm{mp}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{~S}): \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen writing the operator $\Psi_{n}$ as a Lie transform,
U (§;") = exp (iu (§;")=h);
a sim ilar expansion is required to exist for the herm itean operator $b_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(S ; ")={ }_{p=1}^{X^{B}}{ }^{n p} u_{p}(S): \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he operator $b^{\prime \prime}$ is called the generator (or generating operator) of the unitary transform ation $\uplus_{\mathrm{P}} . \mathrm{F}$ inally, it $m$ ust be possible to expand the new H am iltonian operator, lp , in powers of ":

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\oiint_{z} ; "\right)={ }_{p=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{L}}} \mathrm{mp}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\oiint_{z}\right): \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

P lugging these expansions into requirem ent (34) and collecting term smultiplied by equalpow ers of the param eter ", one obtains the follow ing set of equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\mathrm{HP}_{0} \quad \mathrm{HP}_{0} ; \\
& \left.\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{~h}} \llbracket \mathrm{P}_{0} ; \mathrm{b}_{1}\right]=\mathrm{H} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{P}_{1} \text {; } \\
& \left.\frac{i}{h} \llbracket \mathrm{P}_{0} ; \mathrm{b}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{I}_{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \mathrm{~h}}\left[\mathrm{~b}_{1} ; \mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{HP}_{1}\right] \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \text {; } \\
& \vdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ! } \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

For convenience, each equation has been solved for the com m utators of the unperturbed $H$ am iltonian, $P_{0}$, w ith the generator of the highest index, $b_{p}$. Eqs. 39) constitute a nested hierarchy: at the pth level the quantities $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $b_{p}$ can be determ ined if all quantities $w$ ith $s m$ aller indices have been found; the operator $\mathbb{R}_{p}$ contains only quantities know from the beginning or determ ined when solving the equations of the low er levels. Such a structure is typical for perturbation theory form ulated in term $s$ of Lie transform $s$. It is possible to discuss the $m$ ethod to solve the equations 39) in full generality 团[象]. For sim plicity, the procedure is carried out here for a system with a single degree of freedom rst; generalization to tw o (or m ore) spins is then straightforw ard.
C.O ne degree of freedom

For a single-spin system with H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~S} ; ")=\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)+\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~B} ;{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

the unknow $n s b_{p}$ and $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are determ ined from the nested hierarchy 39) as follow $s$.
Solving the rst equation of the hierarchy is particularly sim ple because it states that the old and the new Ham iltonian, $\mathrm{IP}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{0}$, respectively, coincide. By assum ption, $\mathrm{IP}_{0}$ depends on the z com ponent of $\$$ only, and, thus, $\mathrm{IP}_{0}$ has this property, too, while $\oint$ and $\$$ are identical to order $"^{0}$. This is consistent as is easily seen from the lim it of vanishing perturbation, " = 0 .
$T$ he rem aining equations are all of the sam e type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{h}\left[H_{0}\left(\oiint_{z}\right) ; u_{p}\left(\Im_{\Im} ; \oiint_{z}\right)\right]=R_{p}\left(\Im_{;} ; \oiint_{z}\right) \quad H_{p}\left(\oiint_{z}\right): \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $R_{p}$ can be written as a power series in the operators $\$_{\text {( }}$ (cf. Eq. 84) in A ppendix C ):
$w$ ith uniquely de ned operators $\mathbb{R}_{p ; k}^{+}=\left(\mathbb{R}_{p ; k}\right)^{y}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{p ; 0}$ which depend on $\oint_{z}$ only.
On the other hand, expanding $u_{p}\left(\$ ; \oiint_{z}\right)$ as in 42),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{p}\left(\Im_{;} \oiint_{z}\right)=u_{p ; 0}\left(\oiint_{z}\right)+\underbrace{X^{2}}_{k=1} \oiint_{+}^{k} u_{p ; k}^{+}\left(\oiint_{z}\right)+u_{p ; k}\left(\oiint_{z}\right) \Im^{k} \quad ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains for the com $m$ utator in (41)
where equation (22) has been used. The order of the operators $b_{p ; k}$ and the frequency operator of the unperturbed system, $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$, is im $m$ aterial since they depend on $\oint_{\mathrm{z}}$ only. O bviously, there is no term depending on $\oint_{\mathrm{z}}$ alone; hence, for (47) to hold one $m$ ust have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p}\left(\oint_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p} ; 0}\left(\S_{\mathrm{z}}\right): \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthem ore, the operators $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{k}}$ follow from com paring (42) and 44):
where the inverse of the operator $b_{k}$ is well-de ned only if the operator $b_{k}$ does not have a zero eigenvalue; this, how ever, is guaranteed by the assum ption that the unperturbed H am iltonian has no degenerate eigenvalues. T herefore, an operator $b_{p}$ solving Eq. 41) has been found in term $s$ of $\mathfrak{l}_{p}$ and $b_{k}$. Its diagonal part $u_{p ; 0}\left(\oiint_{z}\right)$ (corresponding to a phase shift when acting on eigenstates of $\$_{z}$ ) rem ains undeterm ined for sim plicity, it w illbe chosen equal to zero for allp. A s a result, the possibility to solve the hierarchy of equations 39) has been established. The sim ilarity of Eq. (4d) w ith the classical form ula, Eq. 79), is striking.

It is im portant to note that the expression (4) for the generator $b_{p}$ exhibits factors inversely proportional to the frequency operators $!_{k}\left(\S_{z}\right)$, as is fam iliar from classical perturbation theory (cf. A ppendix B). As can be read o from the structure of the hierarchy (39), the operators $\mathbb{P}_{p}$ are linear in $b_{p} \quad$ : thus, they contain term sproportional to $1=b_{k}^{p}{ }^{1}$. Solving 46) for the generating operator of $p$ th order, $b_{p}$, one nds it to be proportional to the inverse of the pth power of frequency operators. T he structurally sim ilar classical expansion is bound to converge to a wellde ned canonicaltransform ation since all classical system $s w$ ith one degree of freedom are integrable. By analogy, it is expected that the indivdualgenerating operators $b_{p} w$ illadd up to a sensible expression for the unitary transform ation $\uplus_{n}$. If classicalsystem $s w$ th tw o degrees of freedom are studied, the im pact of a perturbation is know $n$ to be disastrous in $m$ ost cases.

> D. T wo degrees of freedom

For a nearly-integrable quantum system with tw o degrees of freedom

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { H }\left(\mathfrak{S}_{1} ; \mathfrak{S}_{2} ; "\right)=\mathrm{H}_{0}\left(\bigotimes_{1 \mathrm{z}} ; \bigotimes_{2 \mathrm{z}}\right)+\mathbb{I}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} ; \mathfrak{S}_{2} ; "\right) ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

the transform ed H am iltonian operator should depend on tw o new spin com ponents only, $\oiint_{1 z}$ and $\oiint_{2 z}$. A s before, the low est order of the hierarchy (39) is easily solved by de ning $\mathrm{IP}_{0}$ to be identicalw ith the unperturbed H am iltonian, $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{P}_{0}$. For p 1, the equations to be solved read:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{h}\left[H_{0}\left(\Im_{1 z} ; \oiint_{2 z}\right) ; u_{p}\left(\Im_{1} ; \oiint_{2} ; \oiint_{1 z} ; \oiint_{2 z}\right)\right]=R_{p}\left(\Im_{1} ; \oiint_{2} ; \oiint_{1 z} ; \oiint_{2 z}\right) \quad H_{p}\left(\oiint_{1 z} ; \oiint_{2 z}\right): \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ sing expansions for the operators $\mathbb{R}_{p}$ and $b_{p}$ (cf. A ppendix $C$ ) in analogy to 42) and 43), respectively, one nds for the generator

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\left.(k \cdot)^{\prime}\right)}{ }^{(0 ; 0)} \text { ! } \\
& +\oint_{1+}^{k} \frac{R_{p ; k},\left(\S_{z}\right)}{i\left(!_{k}^{(1)}\left(\S_{z}\right) \quad!_{\left.!^{(2)}\left(\S_{z}\right)\right)}^{\oiint_{2}^{\prime}}+\text { h.c. }: ~\right.} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

A gain, the generator $b_{p}$ is determ ined up to an arbitrary function of $\xi_{z}$ only which is chosen equalto zero. W hen expressing the denom inators in term $s$ of the unperturbed $H$ am iltonian, it becom es obvious that both spins enter sym $m$ etrically in 49) : the nst one reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+},=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(\oiint_{1 \mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{kh} ; \oiint_{2 \mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{h}\right) \quad \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(\oiint_{1 \mathrm{z}} ; \oiint_{2 \mathrm{z}}\right) ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the second one is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(\oiint_{1 \mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{kh} ; \oiint_{2 \mathrm{z}}\right) \quad \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(\oiint_{1 \mathrm{z}} ; \oiint_{2 \mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{h}\right) \quad: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. 49) belongs to the $m$ ain results of the present paper. For system $s w$ ith two (or more) degrees of freedom the generators contain term s proportional to the inverse of linear com binations of frequency operators. The expansion 49) $m$ akes sense only if the denom inators $b_{k}$, do not have a zero eigenvalue, which $w$ ill be assum ed from now on. W hen dealing w ith the convergence of the perturbation series, it will be necessary to proceed in tw o steps: rst, the existence of each generator $b_{p}$ has to be show $n$, and subsequently it has to be checked that the sum of all $b_{p}$ leads to a sensible result.

## V.EXAMPLES

The pertubation theory as developed in the previous section is applied here to explicit exam ples. System $s$ w ith one and two degrees of freedom $w i l l$ be studied in order to obtain $m$ ore detailed insight into the structure of the denom inators.

## A. O ne degree of freedom

The H am iltonian to be studied is de ned as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
H(\S ; ") & =\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{2} \oiint_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}+\frac{"}{2} \oiint_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \\
& =\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{2} \oiint_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}+\frac{"}{4}\left(\S^{2} \quad \oiint_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}\right)+\frac{"}{8}\left(\oiint_{+}^{2}+\oiint^{2}\right) ; \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

the nonzero constants and being real numbers, and the quantum integrable part, $\mathrm{I}_{0}=\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}+\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}=2$, is assum ed to have no degenerate eigenvalue. The perturbation $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{S} ; ")=" \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}=2$ is particularly sim ple because it consists of just one single term being proportional to ".

It is straightforw ard to calculate the frequency operators according to 23):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}\left(+\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{k}=1 ; 2 ;::: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he rst term on the right-hand-side de nes a function $!k$ of the operator $\$_{z} w$ hich coincides $w$ ith the expression for the classical frequency: $!_{k}\left(S_{z}\right)=k!\left(S_{z}\right)=k\left(+\frac{1}{2} S_{z}\right)$. D ue to the discretization of the derivative an additional term proportional to $h$ show $s$ up in 53).

A ccording to 45) the new rst-order H am iltonian is equal to the diagonal part of the perturbation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(马^{2} \quad \oiint_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}\right) ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

as can be read o from Eq. 52). W hen expanding the o -diagonalpart of the perturbation the only nonvanishing coe cients are found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{1 ; 2}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\frac{1}{8} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to the follow ing expression for the rst-order generator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{1}=\frac{1}{8} \oiint_{+}^{2} \frac{1}{i b_{2}}+\frac{1}{i b_{2}} \Phi^{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $b_{2}$ from (53). Since the energy eigenvalues of the $H$ am iltonian $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ in (52) have been assum ed not to be degenerate, the expectation value of the denom inators in Eq. 56) will not have zero eigenvalues. C onsequently, there is no principal obstacle which would destroy the convergence of the perturbation series for the unitary transform ation $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}}$, sim ilar to classicalm echanics.

C onsider a tw O-spin $H$ am iltonian 47) w ith
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(x)={ }_{j} x+\frac{1}{2} j x^{2} ; \quad j=1 ; 2: \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantum integrable H am iltonian consisting of the rst three term son the right-hand-side ofE q. (57) is quadratic in the $z$ com ponents of the spin. W ith a view to the structure of the denom inators present in the generating operator| which are com pletely determ ined by the frequencies of the unperturbed system as seen from Eq. 49)| the form of the perturbation $I\left(\xi_{1} ; \xi_{2} ; "\right) \mathrm{m}$ ust not be speci ed in detail. On evaluating (26) one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(1)}=\mathrm{k}\left({ }_{1}+{ }_{1} \oiint_{1 \mathrm{z}}+\oiint_{2 \mathrm{z}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{1} \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~h} ; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(2)}$ follows from exchanging the indices (1 \$ 2). The rst term is closely related to the classical frequency,

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{k}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1 z} ; \mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{z}}\right)=\mathrm{k}!^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{z}} ; \mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{z}}\right)=\mathrm{k} \frac{@ \mathrm{H}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1 \mathrm{z}} ; \mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{z}}\right)}{@ \mathrm{~S}_{1 z}}=\mathrm{k}\left({ }_{1}+{ }_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1 \mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{z}}\right) ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and analogously for $!_{k}^{(2)}\left(S_{1 z} ; S_{2 z}\right)$. In form ula 4G), the expansion of the generator $b_{p}$ has been given in general term s . Focus now on the second term of its righthand-side containing the di erence of the frequency operators in the denom inator. Its $m$ atrix elem ents in the product basis $\mathrm{m}_{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{2} i$, consisting of eigenstates of the operators $\oiint_{j z}$, are given by
where, as before, $b_{k},=b_{k}^{(1)} \quad \mathfrak{b}_{1}^{(2)}$, which in the present case reads explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}=k\left(1_{1}+\oiint_{1 z}+\oiint_{2 z}\right) \quad{ }^{\prime}\left(2+\oiint_{2 z}+\oiint_{1 z}\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left({ }_{1} k^{2} \quad 2^{2}\right): \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since both operators, $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{k}}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$, depend on the z com ponents on $\mathrm{l} y$, the expectation value of their ratio, $\mathrm{h} p=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$, is equal to the ratio of their expectation value, he $i=h b_{i}$. C onsequently, the convergence of the pow er series de ning the rst-order generator for the system 57, w ill be controlled by $m$ atrix elem ents of operators such $a s b_{k}$, in Eq. 62). $T$ hey are $m$ ade up from two contributions $w$ ith di erent origin. The operator in large round brackets is recognized as the di erence of the frequency operators which one would obtain if in the classical form ula 60) one were to replace the variables $S_{j z}$ by their operator equivalents, $\oint_{j z}$. T he possibility to approxim ate arbitrarily well the ratio of the frequencies ! ${ }^{(2)}=$ ! ${ }^{(1)}$ for a given torus (i.e. xed values of $S_{1 z}$ and $S_{2 z}$ ) by $k={ }^{\prime}$ leads to the problem of $s m$ all denom inators in classicalm echanics (cf. 10]).
$T$ hen, the di erence $b_{k}$, contains a term proportional to $P$ lanck's constant: the occurrence of a term linear in $h$ follow sfrom studying a $H$ am iltonian $i p$ being quadratic in the $z$ com ponents of the spins. Polynom ials ofdegree $r$ for the $H$ am ittonian lead to term $s$ of at $m$ ost $h^{r}{ }^{\mathrm{I}}$, etc. The presence of such term $s$ has been noted already by $R$ obnik 11] in a sem iclassical treatm ent of particle system s . He suggested that these additional term s w ill have the e ect to $m$ ove the system aw ay from the classical resonance, how ever sm all the value of $h$. B ut looking at the exact expression 62) for a xed value of $P$ lanck's constant, $h=1$, say, one can also conceive it as a new type of resonance condition which is no longer linear in the indices $k$ and ': a quantum $m$ echanical nonlinear resonance condition arises which has to be studied in its own right for all possible representations.
$T$ he relevant $m$ atrix elem ents of the operator $b_{k}$, (cf. 61)) read explicitly
(note the sign change of the last term relative to 62)). In each individual representation, there is an im portant di erence com pared to classical m echanics. The range of the num bers $k$ and ' is not all the integers but it varies over a nite subset of them only, which is determ ined by the spin length s . This is characteristic of nite-dim ensional representations of spin system $s w$ hile it does not occur for particle system s . T hem atrix elem ents ofthe other operators in Eq. 49) lead to expressions of the sam e structure.
$T$ here are two ways to study the expression (63). First, consider it as a quantum $m$ echanical statem ent in its ow $n$ right. This $m$ eans to give $h$ its actual value and to let vary both pairs ( $m_{1} ; m_{2}^{0}$ ) and ( $k$; ${ }^{\text {' }}$ ) over the nite range determ ined by the value of $s$. W thin each ( $2 \mathrm{~s}+1$ )-dim ensional representation of the spin algebra, the modulus of $h_{k}$, i w ill have som e positive nonzero $m$ inim al value, ( $s$ ). C onsequently, for su ciently small values of ", the expansion of the generator $\hat{u}_{p}$ in 37) is expected to converge because the term $s("=(s))^{p}$ can be kept sm all. For spins of length $s$ the perturbed $H$ am iltonian $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ "is given by a nite-dim ensional herm itian $m$ atrix which guarantees the existence of a unitary transform ation diagonalizing it. Therefore, the generic convergence of the construction should not come as a sunprise (for possible technical di culties, cf. [2]). For the present concept of integrability, how ever, it is essential that not one speci c representation is studied but that the statem ents hold in an algebraic sense, or, equivalently, in all representations. The im plications of this requirem ent willbe m ade explicit now.

Im agine to have constructed the diagonalizing transform ations in all representations. This set of $m$ atrioes $m$ ay either be the representations of one single algebraically de ned operator $\uplus_{n}$ or not. In the latter case, the unitary transform ations in the individual representations will not \converge" tow ards one speci c unitary operator for large values of $s$ (since the value of $h$ is kept xed, this procedure is not identical to the classical lim it to be discussed $m$ om entarily). In addition, for larger values of $s$ the num ber ( $s$ ) takes on $s m$ aller and $s m$ aller values which requires the expansion param eter to be correspondigly sm aller. If there is no nite value of" such that the perturbation series converges for all allow ed values ofs, i.e. algebraically, the perturbation f ( 1 ; ") renders the system non-integrable. A strong decrease of the sm allest occurring denom inator ( $s$ ) as a function of $s$ has been observed num erically. If, on the other hand, the sum $m$ ation of the pertunbation series for all $s$ does not require vanishing ", one $m$ ay expect an algebraic diagonalizing operator to exist; hence, the perturbation can be absorbed into an appropriate rede nition of the action operators. In classicalm echanics, this convergence is known for nite " is know $n$ to result from appropriately decreasing num erators.

Tum now to the second way to study 63) : in the sem iclassical lim it with $h \quad 1=s$ and $s!1$, one should recover the behaviour of the classical frequency denom inators from Eq. 63). N um erical tests $m$ ake it plausible that, indeed, the value of (s) decrease $m$ onotonically when larger values of $k$ and ' provide better and better approxim ations of the classical frequency ratio (the values of $h m_{1}$ and $\mathrm{hm}_{2}^{0}$ are kept xed here de ning thus a speci $\mathrm{c} \backslash$ tonus"). An analytic study is not straightforw ard, even for the quadratically m odi ed resonance condition associated with 63): the behaviour of the last term is not easily controlled since $h^{2}$ and $h^{2} m$ ay have values of the order of $s$.

## V I. D ISC U SSIO N

## A. Sum m ary and outlook

For the sake of clarity, the $m$ ain points of the developm ent given in the previous pages are brie y sum $m$ arized. Starting from the algebraic skeleton necessary to describe quantum (spin) system $s$, argum ents for the existence of quantum $m$ echanicalaction and frequency operators in system $s w$ ith a single degree of freedom have been put forw ard. W hen applying these concepts to system swith more degrees of freedom they lend them selves to a de nition ofquantum integrable system s. An algebraic version of perturbation theory of such system s has been form ulated capable to absorb the e ect of an added disturbance. Since this form ulation respects (and exploits) the underly ing Lie-algebraic structure, a tight analogy to classical Lie transform s is achieved. A s a natural consequence, operator-valued analogs of the classical frequency denom inators show up when constructing a unitary transform ation which would diagonalize the $H$ am iltonian algebraically. In this w ay, the quantum $m$ echanicallocus of the convergence problem sknow $n$ to exist in classicalm echanics has been found, at least on a form al level. In an appropriate sense, the quantum m echanical expressions for the denom inators generalize the classical ones. The condition for a classical resonance to occur is, e ectively, equivalent to a linear relation am ong the classical frequencies (associated with a $\backslash p l a n e "$ in action space) whereas, in a given representation, the relevant quantum $m$ echanical relation tums out to be nonlinear (de ning \ellipsoids" or other curved surfaces). Such structures have been observed in sem iclassical treatm ents, for exam ple, in the work by Berry and Tabor [13] w ho derive energy-level statistics for classically integrable quantum system $s$ in the lim it of sm all values for $h$.

W hen scrutinizing the notion ofquantum integrability the ultim ate goalis to provide evidence forboth, its usefiulness and its consistency. R igorously testable predictions of speci c properties m ust result for quantum integrable (and
nonintegrable) system $s$, just as the phase-space foliation of classically integrable system $s$ ow from its classical counterpart. In our view, the major achievem ent of the present approach is to have boiled down the question of quantum integrability (and, a fortiori, of quantum chaos) to a technical problem, nam ely to investigate the (convergence) properties of a pertunbation series. D ue to the nonlinearities in the quantum $m$ echanical expressions just $m$ entioned, the behaviour of the perturbation series is, from the present point of view, not obvious. In principle, there is no need for quantum system $s$ to exactly parallel the properties of classically (non-) integrable system s: the underlying unifying structure is on an algebraic level but w th the algebras being realized in di erent spaces, in phase space and in H ibert space, respectively. In the sem iclassical lim it, how ever, one expects that the statem ents associated $w$ th the two realizations becom e com parable if appropriate tools such as $W$ igner functions are em ployed. The present form ulation now calls for a detailed parallel investigation of the e ects which a perturbation has on a classically integrable system and on its quantum counterpart.

H ere is a brief sketch of a scenario being plausible in view of the present results which, how ever, has to be substantiated by further investigations. Focus on the filly quantum $m$ echanical regim $e$, that is, $x$ the value of $h$ and consider the behaviour of the perturbation series in representations of variable dim ension, $2 s+1$. It is expected that the \visibility" of the perturbation will increase for dim ensions with larger values of s. A vivid illustration of this e ect has been reported for a system consisting oftw o coupled spins where a \regularquantum web" is gradually tom apart by a perturbation of increasing strength 14]. R elated phenom ena have been observed in particle system sby B ohigas, $T$ om sovic, and U $1 \mathrm{~m} \circ$ [15]. In a study of the spin-boson $m$ odel the observed \resonance phenom ena" can be attributed to the presence of $s m$ all denom inators becom ing $m$ ore or less e ective in representations of di erent dim ensions 16 . A $n$ analytic study of these num erically observed e ects in the vein of the present paper is under way 17]. In general, \quantum resonances" in a nonintegrable quantum system due to ever sm aller denom inators will becom e m ore and $m$ ore pronounced if larger representations of the spin algebra are considered. T he in nite-dim ensional representation (not to be confused w ith the sem iclassical lim it) contains the $m$ ost detailed structure, possibly leading to an actual divergence of the perturbation series.

## B. R elated work

There is a large num ber of joints betw een earlier work and the present one which so far have been alluded to only occasionally. It is usefiul to dwell on them for a $m$ om ent, to draw parallels and to point out di erences.
$T$ he introduction of frequency operators (in the present term inology) provides a link to the $m$ ost advanced papers of the \old quantum mechanics." In 1925, D irac 自] de ned \q-num bers" which would ful ll the fiundam ental com $m$ utation relations of position and $m$ om entum . Basic algebraic consequences are derived, partly by exploiting the analogy to the classical P oisson brackets. O ne section of the paper is devoted to $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ ultiply periodic system s" characterized by the existence of \uniform izing variables" (which now adays would be called action and angle operators) such that the H am iltonian depends on the action operators only (cf. Eq. 目)). In the term inology of the present work multiply periodic system s are thus recognized as quantum integrable ones. A ssum ing the action-angle operators to fiul $l l$ the sam e com $m$ utation relations as $m$ om entum and position $\mid$ which is untenable due to the defectiveness of a phase operator 18]| D irac introduced tw o di erent types of frequencies. T he rst one is supposed to govem the tim e evolution of the phase operator itself $w$ hereas the second one is associated $w$ ith the tim e derivative of the exponentiated phase operator. It tums out that the latter frequency operator is equivalent to the expression given in 2d). D irac also $m$ entions its tw o possible versions re ecting the freedom of ordering in 18). For spin system $s$ considered here, the operator $\oint_{+}$plays a role sim ilar to the exponentiated angle operator.

W entzel 19] continued D irac's work by establishing a link betw een H eisenberg's $m$ atrix $m$ echanics and the $q$ num bers. In particular, he w rote dow $n$ expressions for quantum $m$ echanicalfrequencies sim ilar to 2q) being applicable to $m$ ultiply periodic system s only. H ow ever, this line of reasoning seem $s$ to have com $e$ to an abrupt end by the advent of w ave $m$ echanics in 1926: the serious shortcom ing of the earlier quantum theory to allow for quantization ofm ultiply periodic system s only was rem oved by Schrodinger's equation which is easily w ritten dow n for particles sub jected to arbitrary potentials.

Sim ilarly, strong form ala nities to H eisenberg's form ulation of pertunbation theory exist. This is easily understood if one recalls that before the introduction of Schrodinger's equation classicalm echanics served $m$ uch $m$ ore than later as A riadne's thread for quantum $-m$ echanical developm ents. Still, $H$ eisenberg's approach to treat perturbations and the w idely used perturbation schem e of R ayleigh-Schrodinger 21] di er conceptually in an im portant way from the present one. Traditionally, any quantum system $m$ ay serve as a starting point for perturbation theory, the only assum ption being that its (necessarily periodic) solutions are known. In the approach developed here, the unperturbed system is assum ed to be quantum integrable, hence it presum ably stem $s$ from a restricted class of system $s$, and the e ect of the perturbation is to rem ove it from this class. In order to prove the KAM -theorem, classicalperturbation
theory is developed relative to integrable system $s$ : the fate of a torus under the perturbation is investigated, not its e ect on an arbitrary periodic solution | which m ight happen to be an isolated periodic orbit of a nonintegrable system. KAM theory would not apply at all. It is hoped that by system atically $m$ oving aw ay from integrable quantum system $s$ generic properties $w$ ill be seen to em erge for nonintegrable ones.

A s for a close-up of the relation betw een classical tori and H eisenberg's $m$ atrix $m$ echanics which ts well into the schem e developed here, see the w ork by G reenberg, K lein and Li 22].
$N$ ext, there are close ties to $B$ irkho norm al form s fill and to the so-called \algebraic quantization" review ed in 23]. The idea is to quantize integrable approxim ations to classically nonintegrable system s. C rehan 24] w orked out quantum $m$ echanical norm al form $s$ in the spirit of $B$ irkho and $G$ ustavson, starting from the classical $H$ am iltonian function in the neighbourhood of a point of stable equilibrium. Im pressive agreem ent between exact quantum $m$ echanical solutions and the approxim ate ones has been obtained 25,26]. In contrast to these w orks, how ever, no recourse has been $m$ ade to classicalm echanics in the present approach.

C onceming the presence of the quantum equivalents of the sm all denom inators, their occurrence in the sem iclassical lim it has been noted already by R obnik 11] (and by G ra, cf. the introduction of [77). R obnik pointed out that for sm all values of $P$ lanck's constant the classical denom inators $w$ ill be $m$ odi ed by term $s$ of the order $h$. It has been con jectured that in this way the resonance conditions are destroyed which govem the fate of an individual classical torus, that is, its survival or destruction. It is expected that in view of the exact expression 49) the e ect of the denom inators can be studied in $m$ ore detail.

A lso, the understanding of clashes betw een the behaviour of classical and quantum perturbation expansions $m$ ay bene $t$ from the present approach. It has been noted by Eckhardt 28, for a one-dim ensionalparticle system that the convergence of a classical perturbation series is not autom atically re ected in the convergence of the corresponding quantum perturbation series as follow sfrom a sim ple scaling argum ent.

In order to deal with issues of perturbation series for quantum system $s$ a new setting has been provided by Bellissard and V ittot 27] whose work is based on the concept of non-com $m$ utative geom etry. T heir work is focussed on Lie-transform techniques for quantum $m$ echanical particle system $s w$ ith respect to the classical lim it. The aspect of integrability, seem ingly, has not been addressed in detail. It is particularly im portant that estim ates of the N ekhoroshev-type becom e available which allow one to control the approxim ations for energy eigenvalues extrem ely well. For system sw ith one degree of freedom, the convergence of the perturbation series has been proven (cf. 2g]). At present, the theory has been w orked out for particle system s only while the transfer to spin system $s$ is in preparation 30]. A com $m$ on feature of $m$ any works in this area (cf. also 31] is that the unperturbed system s considered are collections of ham on ic oscillators. H aving frequency operators at one's diposal, this restriction seem s to be no longer necessary.

Finally, a review of the use ofLie-transform techniques and KAM-like results in quantum mechanics has been given by Jauslin 32] dealing to a large extent w ith extemally driven system $s$.

$$
\text { A: THECOMMUTATORS E } \left.\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{Z}}\right) ; \mathfrak{S}^{K}\right]
$$

It will be show $n$ by using the com $m$ utation relations of the spin operators that
$w$ here $\varrho_{z}$ is a shorthand for a (form al) derivative $w$ th respect to $\oiint_{z}$. The function $f(x)$ is assum ed to have an expansion as a pow er series in $x$.
$F$ irst, it is proved by induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{n}} ; \bigotimes_{+}\right]=\oiint_{+} \exp \left(\mathrm{h} @_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \quad 1 \oint_{\mathrm{z}}: \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ is relation holds for $\mathrm{n}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\oiint_{z} ; \oiint_{+}\right]=\oiint_{+} \exp \left(h \varrho_{z}\right) \quad 1 \quad \oiint_{z}=\oiint_{+}\left(\oiint_{z}+h \quad \oiint_{z}\right)=h \Phi_{+}: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. 65) is valid for $n+1$ if assum ed to hold for $n$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\bigotimes_{z}^{n+1} ; \oint_{+}\right]=\left[\oint_{z} ; \oint_{+}\right] \oint_{z}^{n}+\oint_{z}\left[\oint_{z}^{n} ; \oint_{+}\right]} \\
& =\oiint_{+} h \oint_{z}^{n}+\left(\oiint_{z}+h\right)\left(\exp \left(h \varrho_{z}\right) \quad 1\right) \oiint_{z}^{n} \\
& =\oint_{+} \exp \left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \quad 1 \quad \oint_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{n}+1} ; \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\left(\oiint_{z}+h\right) \exp \left(h \varrho_{z}\right)=\exp \left(h \varrho_{z}\right) \oiint_{z} \cdot U \operatorname{sing} f\left(\oiint_{z}\right)={ }^{P_{n=0}} f_{n} \oint_{z}$ im plies:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{f}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right) ; \oiint_{+}\right]=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\left[\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{n}} ; \oiint_{+}\right]=\oiint_{+} \quad \exp \left(\mathrm{h} \varrho_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \quad 1_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{A}}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \oiint_{\mathrm{z}}^{n}} \\
& =\oiint_{+} \exp \left(h @_{z}\right) \quad 1 \mathrm{f}\left(\S_{z}\right): \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, Eq. 64) holds for $k=1$, as is necessary for a proofby induction on $k$. The step from $k$ to $k+1$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\oint_{+}^{k+1}\left(\exp \left(h \varrho_{z}\right) \quad 1\right)+\left(\exp \left(k h \varrho_{z}\right) \quad 1\right) \exp \left(h @_{z}\right) f\left(\S_{z}\right) \\
& =\oint_{+}^{\mathrm{k}+1} \exp \left((\mathrm{k}+1) \mathrm{h} \varrho_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \quad 1 \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{\Im}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \text {; } \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in the second line, Eq. 68) has been used in the form : $f\left(\S_{z}\right) \oint_{+}=\S_{+} \exp \left(h @_{z}\right) f\left(\S_{z}\right)$, concluding the proofof (64).

Relation 64) can also be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \oint_{+}^{\mathrm{k}}=\oint_{+}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{kh}\right) ; \text { or } \mathrm{f}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{kh}\right) \oint_{+}^{\mathrm{k}}=\oint_{+}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}\left(\oint_{\mathrm{z}}\right) ; \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

in words, the order of a function of $\oiint_{z}$ only and the kth pow er of the operator $\Phi_{+} m$ ay be exchanged if the argum ent of the function $f$ is shifted by an appropriate multiple of h . Sim ilar relations are obtained for the step-dow $n$ operator by taking the herm itian conjugate of Eqs. 64) and 70).

A proofofEq. 68) for arbitrary functions $f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ is easily given if one exploits the properties of the ladder operators in explicit representations. The action of the step-up operator is in all representations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oiint_{+} \dot{m} ; s i=c_{m}^{s} j n ; s i ; c_{m}^{s}=p \overline{s(s+1) m(m+1)}: \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing $f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{z}\right) \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{si}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{mh})$ in $;$ si, one obtains for all in ; si that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{f}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \oiint_{+} \dot{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{si} & =C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{f}((\mathrm{~m}+1) \mathrm{h}) \dot{\mathrm{m}}+1 ; \mathrm{si}  \tag{72}\\
& =\oiint_{+} \mathrm{f}((\mathrm{~m}+1) \mathrm{h}) \dot{\mathrm{m}}+1 ; \mathrm{si}=\oint_{+} \mathrm{f}\left(\Im_{+} \mathrm{h}\right) \dot{\mathrm{m}} ; \text { si } ; \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

im plying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \oint_{+}=\oint_{+} \mathrm{f}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{h}\right) ; \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to 68). A s before, induction on $k$ com pletes the proof of relation 64).

> B: CLASSICALPERTURBATION THEORY
$R$ esults of classicalL ie-perturbation theory are collected, for easy com parison $w$ th the quantum $m$ echnical form ulae an analogous notation is used. C onsider a H am iltonian $H(S ; ")=H_{0}\left(S_{z}\right)+\mathscr{F}(S ; ")$, where $H_{0}\left(S_{z}\right)$ is integrable and If ( $\mathrm{S} ;{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) is the perturbation. The goal is to rem ove the perturbation by introducing a new set of spin variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{0}=P S ; \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the canonical transform ation is im plem ented by the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nsupseteq=\exp (f u(S ; ") ; g): \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $u(S ; ")$ is assum ed to have an expansion in powers of ", and $u(S ; "=0)=0$. W hen expanding the old and new $H$ am iltonian functions in pow ers of ", the construction of the transform ation $P$ leads to a hierarchy of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f H_{0}\left(S_{z}\right) ; u_{p}\left(S \quad ; S_{z}\right) g=R_{p}\left(S \quad ; S_{z}\right) \quad H_{p}\left(S_{z}\right) \quad p=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::: ; \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the classical counterpart of 33). They can be solved by an appropriate choice of the fiunctions $u_{p}$ andH $p$.
If in classicalm echan ics the expansion of functions $F$ (3) is w ritten in analogy to (84) the solutions of (77) are

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{p}\left(S_{z}\right) & =R_{p ; 0}\left(S_{z}\right) ;  \tag{78}\\
u_{p}\left(S \quad ; S_{z}\right) & =X_{k=1}^{\mathbb{1}} \quad S_{+}^{k} \frac{R_{p ; k}\left(S_{z}\right)}{i!_{k}\left(S_{z}\right)} \quad \frac{R_{p ; k}\left(S_{z}\right)}{i!{ }_{k}\left(S_{z}\right)} S^{k} \quad: \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for $u_{p}\left(S ; S_{z}\right)$ can be sim pli ed by using $!k=k!$ from 13).
Sim ilarly, the results for a two-spin system assum e the form of the expansion 87),

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{p}\left(S_{z}\right)= & R_{p ; 00}\left(S_{z}\right) ;  \tag{80}\\
u_{p}\left(S ; S_{z}\right)= & X_{\substack{k ; 1=0 \\
(k ; i)=(0 ; 0)}} S_{1+}^{k} S_{2+}^{1} \frac{R_{p ; k 1}^{+}\left(S_{z}\right)}{i\left(!{ }_{k}^{(1)}\left(S_{z}\right)+!{ }_{1}^{(2)}\left(S_{z}\right)\right)} \\
& +S_{1+}^{k} \frac{R_{p ; k 1}\left(S_{z}\right)}{i\left(!{ }_{k}^{(1)}\left(S_{z}\right) \quad!{ }_{1}^{(1)}\left(S_{z}\right)\right)} S_{2}^{1}+\text { c.c. }: \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

C: EXPANSION OFAFUNCTIONF( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{Z}$ )

A convention for the expansion of functions of spin operators is established. For sim plicity, the case of a single spin 3 is considered rst.

Let $F\left(S_{2} ; \oiint_{z}\right)$ be expandable in powers of $\oiint_{+}$and $\$_{S}$. Functions depending on $\oiint_{z}$ only are denoted by curly symbols $F\left(\oint_{z}\right)$. In general, $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{w}$ ill consist of a sum of term s , each of which is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oiint_{+}^{n_{1+}+} \oint^{n_{1}} F_{1}\left(\oiint_{z}\right) \oiint_{+}^{n_{2+}} \oint^{n_{2}} \quad F_{2}\left(\oiint_{z}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponents $n_{j}$; are integers greater or equal to zero. Since the product $\oiint_{+} \oint=g\left(\S_{z}\right)$ depends only on $\oiint_{z}$, one can w rite for anbitrary integers $n \quad 0$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{8} \oiint_{+}^{n_{+}}{ }^{n} \mathrm{~F}_{>}\left(\oiint_{z}\right) \quad \mathrm{n}_{+}>\mathrm{n} ; \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

by exchanging $g\left(\S_{z}\right)$ w th $9^{k}$ according to the rules 7 ). Thus, expression 82) can be cast into one of these form $s$ if now $n$ are de ned as the total num ber of $\$$ operators in 82), $n={ }^{P}{ }_{j} n_{j}$.
 w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\Im^{9} ; \oiint_{z}\right)=F_{0}\left(\oiint_{z}\right)+{ }_{k=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{A}}} \oiint_{+}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right)+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \oiint^{\mathrm{k}} \quad ; \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

which willbe considered as standard form. For the sake of generality, the index $k$ is assum ed to run over all integers, while in a speci c representation the sum in (84) will run over $2 s+1$ values only. If $\mathrm{p}^{0}$ is a herm itean operator then $\biguplus_{0}$ is herm itean and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\oiint_{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}: \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he generalization to tw o orm ore degrees of freedom is straightforw ard but the notation becom esm ore cum bersom e. F irst consider a function $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{1} ; \mathfrak{S}_{1 z} ; \mathfrak{S}_{2} ; \mathfrak{S}_{2 z}\right)$ depending on the com ponents of two spins $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{2}$. A $s$ before, the function $F$ is assum ed to have an expansion in powers of the spin components. Since the com ponents of di erent spins com $m$ ute, $\varliminf^{Ð}$ can be brought to the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{c}
k ; 1=0 \\
(k ; 1) \in(0 ; 0)
\end{array} \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\mathfrak{p}^{\circ}$ is a herm itean operator this expression sim pli es to
since, generalizing 85)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\biguplus_{\mathrm{kl}}^{++}=\left(\biguplus_{\mathrm{k} 1}\right)^{\mathrm{y}} ; \risingdotseq_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{+}=\left(\biguplus_{\mathrm{kl}}^{+}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}: \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Functions depending on the com ponents of $m$ ore than two spins can be expanded sim ilarly. O ne gets one term depending only on the $z$ com ponents and $2^{N}$ term $s w h i c h$ contain the explicit dependence on the operators $\oiint_{j+}$ and $\S_{j}$. A unique way of ordering would be, in extension of above, to throughout position the step-up operators $\oiint_{j+}$ on the left and their adjoints on the right of the $m$ iddle part which depends on the $z$ com ponents only.
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