## TURBULENT-LIKE DIFFUSION IN COMPLEX QUANTUM Systems

Dimitri KUSNEZOV<sup>a\*</sup>, Aurel BULGAC<sup> $b\dagger$ </sup> and Giu DO DANG<sup> $c\ddagger$ </sup>

<sup>a</sup> Center for Theoretical Physics, Sloane Physics Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520-8120, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, FM–15, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

<sup>c</sup> Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies,

Université de Paris-Sud, Bât. 211, 91405 Orsay, FRANCE

May 1997

## ABSTRACT

We study a quantum particle propagating through a "quantum mechanically chaotic" background, described by parametric random matrices with only short range spatial correlations. The particle is found to exhibit turbulent–like diffusion under very general situations, without the *apriori* introduction of power law noise or scaling in the background properties.

PACS numbers: 05.45.+b, 05.40.+j, 92.10.Lq, 67.55.Hc

<sup>\*</sup>Internet: dimitri@nst.physics.yale.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Internet: bulgac@phys.washington.edu

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger}$ Internet: dodang@psisun.u-psud.fr

The diffusion of particles in a complex background, such as disordered media, has often an anomalous or Lévy type character [1,2]. In contrast to classical diffusion, where the variance of the displacement of a particle or tracer grows linearly in time, anomalous transport can have  $\langle R^2 \rangle \propto t^{\alpha}$ , with  $\alpha \neq 1$ , although it is not limited to power law behavior. The diffusive properties of such systems can hence range from enhanced to dispersive dynamics. The microscopic understanding of such stochastic processes in terms of the underlying (chaotic) dynamics remains an active open area of study [2]. While the conventional limits of Lévy processes are  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ , there exist superdiffusive dynamics beyond this range. One of the extreme examples is turbulent diffusion, which occurs when the background is turbulent, originally put in evidence in atmospheric measurements [3]. In such situations, the average separation R between two tracers can increase as fast as  $\langle R^2 \rangle \sim t^3$ . Richardson [3] postulated a Fokker-Planck equation of the form:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}(R,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[ V(R) \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}(R,t)}{\partial R} \right], \qquad V(R) \propto R^{4/3}, \tag{1}$$

which by design reproduced his measurements. Kolmogorov, in studies of turbulence, proposed an energy-wavenumber scaling law (known as the  $\frac{5}{3}$ -law) and further suggested that dissipative behavior is spatially dependent [4]. Refinements of these scaling arguments incorporated intermittent corrections into the power law behavior [5]. More recently, a new class of random walks, termed Lévy walks, have incorporated Kolmogorov's scaling to derive Richardson's  $t^3$  law [6] (including intermittency corrections), showing further that the scaling does not necessarily imply the latter. One of the common assumptions in the description of anomalous transport is a power law behavior of some input distribution function. For instance in Langevin or Fokker–Planck approaches, a power law behavior is generally chosen for the distribution of thermal noise [7] or in the spatial correlations [1]; in deterministic chaotic models, power–law amplification is used [8]; in the random walks, long algebraic tails in step distributions or sticking times are used [6]; in fractional diffusion equations, the power of the fractional derivatives are chosen to describe the anticipated behavior [9]. This is not to say that such power–law behavior is not seen. Indeed experimentally one can justify some of these assumptions [10].

It is instructive to preface our analysis with the results from the classical diffusion problem in d-dimensions in the presence of a quenched random force  $F_{\mu}(x)$  and thermal activation  $\eta_{\mu}(t)$ . Consider the Langevin equation [1]

$$\frac{dx_{\mu}}{dt} = F_{\mu}(x) + \eta_{\mu}(t), \qquad (2)$$

where spatial averages are  $\overline{F_{\mu}(x)} = F_{0,\mu}$ ,  $\overline{[F_{\mu}(x) - F_{0,\mu}][F_{\nu}(x') - F_{0,\nu}]} = G_{\mu\nu}(x - x')$ , and the time average of the noise term is  $\overline{\eta_{\mu}(t)\eta_{\nu}(t')} = 2D\delta(t - t')\delta_{\mu\nu}$ . One of the crucial issues is the construction of the statistical correlation function  $G_{\mu\nu}(x)$ . By using a power law dependence for this function, it can be shown that the dynamics displays diffusion in three dimensions. Thus, the long distance correlations, which are characteristic to superdiffusive dynamics, have to be incorporated into the problem form the very beginning [1].

In this letter we would like to approach the problem from a slightly different perspective and extend this type of study to the quantum regime, using the Schrödinger equation to describe the dynamics, instead of the Langevin formulation with the thermal activation. The fluctuations will emerge from the dynamics of a test particle in the presence of a correlated chaotic quantum background. We will see that turbulent–like behavior can be manifest on certain time scales under fairly general conditions and that power–law assumptions are not necessary for this.

Since it is known that chaotic dynamics can induce superdiffusive behavior in classical 1d-maps [8], as well as in classical motion coupled to quantum backgrounds [11], a natural starting point is to utilize random matrix Hamiltonians, which are essentially the quantum counterparts of classical chaotic systems. This will provide both a reasonable physical picture as well as a tractable framework for the analysis of the diffusion process. We take a model space which is the direct product of the Hilbert space of the test particle with position R, and the finite dimensional (albeit large) background space defined by a complete basis of states  $|i\rangle$ , with  $i = 1, ..., N \gg 1$ . The background, denoted  $V_{ij}(R)$ , will be taken to be quenched (time-independent), and chaotic in the sense that the spatial inhomogeneity is

described by a deformed, parametric, banded random matrix:

$$V_{ij}(R) = U_{0,ij} + U_{1,ij}(R)$$
(3)

where  $U_{1,ij}(R)$  is a real symmetric matrix, and an element of the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) [12]. As such, it is characterized by the first two cumulants:

$$\overline{U_{1,ij}(R)} = 0$$

$$\overline{U_{1,ij}(R)U_{1,kl}(R')} = [\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}]\mathcal{G}_{ij}(R,R').$$

$$(4)$$

Here the overline indicates the average over the GOE [13]. The density of states of the background is defined through the diagonal matrix  $U_{0,ij} = \Omega_i \delta_{ij}$ , with the constant average level density  $\rho_0$  ( $\rho_0(\Omega_{i+1} - \Omega_i) = 1$ ). The correlation function  $\mathcal{G}_{ij}(R, R')$  is parameterized as [14,15]

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}(R,R') = \frac{\Gamma^{\downarrow}}{2\pi\rho_0} \exp\left[-\frac{(\Omega_i - \Omega_j)^2}{2\kappa_0^2}\right] G\left(\frac{R - R'}{X_0}\right).$$
(5)

This incorporates a bandedness for the random matrix, with an effective width  $N_0 = \kappa_0 \rho_0$ , serving to limit the interaction range to the nearby states, an overall strength denoted  $\Gamma^{\downarrow}$ (also known as the spreading width [15]), and a spatial correlation function  $G(R/X_0)$  with length scale  $X_0$ , normalized such that G(0) = 1. We will assume that the statistics of the background are translationally invariant,  $\mathcal{G}_{ij}(R, R') = \mathcal{G}_{ij}(R - R')$ , although this is not crucial. This function is the matrix analog of the correlated noise used in Eq. (2). But instead of building in long power law tails, we will use  $G(x) = \exp[-x^2/2]$ , which provides a rapid spatial decorrelation. Next we couple a test particle of mass M to this "chaotic" background through the Hamiltonian:

$$H_{ij}(R) = -\delta_{ij} \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \partial_R^2 + V_{ij}(R).$$
(6)

Here, as in all formulas we present, R can be interpreted as a variable of an arbitrary dimensionality, even though some of the formulas we write explicitly for the 1–d case. Using the Feynman and Vernon [16] formalism one can represent the density matrix for our test particle through the following path integral formula

$$\rho(R, R', t) = \int dX_0 dY_0 \rho_0(R_0, R'_0) \int_{R(0)=R_0}^{R(t)=R} \mathcal{D}R(t) \int_{R'(0)=R'_0}^{R'(t)=R'} \mathcal{D}R'(t) \\ \times \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[S_0(R(t)) - S_0(R'(t))\right]\right\} F(R(t), R'(t), t),$$
(7)

where  $S_0(R(t))$  is just the classical action for a free particle and  $\rho_0(R_0, R'_0)$  is the initial density matrix of the test particle. Taking advantage of the large  $N_0$ -limit, it is possible to explicitly compute the influence functional for our Hamiltonian in the adiabatic limit [17,15] (which we discuss below), where we find

$$F(R, R', t) = \exp\left\{\frac{\Gamma^{\downarrow}}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} dt' \left[G([R(t') - R'(t')]/X_{0}) - 1\right]\right\}.$$
(8)

Thus the density matrix for the test particle satisfies the following equation [18]:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(R,R',t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}(\partial_R^2 - {\partial_R'}^2) + i\Gamma^{\downarrow}(G(R,R') - 1))\right]\rho(R,R',t).$$
(9)

In terms of the new variables r = (R + R')/2 and s = R - R' the solution of this equation can be found through quadratures (easily verified by direct substitution):

$$\rho(r,s,t) = \int dr' \int \frac{dk}{2\pi\hbar} \rho_0 \left(r',s-\frac{kt}{M}\right) \exp\left[\frac{ik(r-r')}{\hbar} + \frac{\Gamma^{\downarrow}M}{\hbar k} \int_{s-kt/M}^s ds' [G(s'/X_0) - 1]\right]$$
(10)

where  $\rho_0(r,s) = \rho(r,s,t=0)$  is the initial density matrix at t = 0. If we take the initial state to be a Gaussian  $\psi_0(R) = \exp[-R^2/4\sigma^2]/[2\pi\sigma^2]^{1/4}$ , the initial density matrix is

$$\rho_0(R, R') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(R^2 + R'^2)/4\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-(4r^2 + s^2)/8\sigma^2}.$$
 (11)

The adiabatic condition in which the influence functional (8) is valid, restricts the velocity V of the test particle such that the time scale  $(X_0/V)$  is no greater than that of the background characterized by  $\hbar/\kappa_0$ :  $V_{max} \sim \kappa_0 X_0/\hbar$ . This can be used to constrain the average momentum of the initial wavepacket, through the width  $\sigma$ . For our initial gaussian, these are related by  $\langle P^2 \rangle = \hbar^2/(4\sigma^2) \sim (MV)^2$ , so we require :

$$\sigma \ge \sigma_{\min} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2MX_0\kappa_0}.$$
(12)

To extract the diffusive properties of the wavepacket, we compute the cumulants of the coordinate R directly from the coordinate distribution  $\mathcal{P}(R,t) = \rho(r,s=0,t)$ . This is done by constructing the characteristic function for the coordinate distribution, d(k,t), defined by taking the Fourier transform of  $\mathcal{P}(R,t)$ :

$$d(k,t) = \int dr \rho_0(r, -kt/M) \exp\left\{-\frac{ikr}{\hbar} + \frac{\Gamma^{\downarrow}M}{\hbar k} \left(-\frac{kt}{M} + \int_{-kt/M}^0 ds G(s)\right)\right\}$$
(13)  
$$= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma k}{\hbar}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{2M\sigma}\right)^2 t^2 + \frac{\Gamma^{\downarrow}MX_0\sqrt{2}}{k\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!(2n+1)} \left(\frac{kt}{\sqrt{2}MX_0}\right)^{2n+1}\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ik/\hbar)^m}{m!} \langle \langle R^m \rangle \rangle\right]$$

All the cumulants are easily identified. The second cumulant, which measures the spreading of the wavepacket, is given by

$$\left\langle \left\langle R^2 \right\rangle \right\rangle = \int dR \ R^2 \mathcal{P}(R,t) = -\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dk^2} d(k,t) \bigg|_{k=0}$$
 (14)

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4M^{2}\sigma^{2}}t^{2} + \frac{\Gamma^{\downarrow}\hbar}{3M^{2}X_{0}^{2}}t^{3}$$
(15)

The terms are readily identified. The first is the initial width at t = 0, and the second is the natural spreading of Eq. (11) due to free expansion, which is the only dynamical contribution when the background is removed ( $\Gamma^{\downarrow} = 0$ ). The dissipative contribution which arises from the background displays the diffusion associated with turbulent backgrounds, namely the  $t^3$ character. One can see that the turbulent–like contribution becomes dominant on the time scale

$$t_{\rm T} \approx \frac{3X_0^2 \hbar}{4\sigma^2 \Gamma^{\downarrow}} \ . \tag{16}$$

The momentum distribution  $\mathcal{P}(P, t)$  and its characteristic function D(s, t), are given by

$$\mathcal{P}(P,t) = \int dR dR' \exp\left(\frac{iP(R-R')}{\hbar}\right) \rho(R,R',t)$$
(17)

$$= \int ds \, \exp\left(\frac{iPs}{\hbar}\right) D(s,t),\tag{18}$$

$$\left\langle \left\langle P^2 \right\rangle \right\rangle = -\left. \hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2} D(s,t) \right|_{s=0} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4\sigma^2} + \frac{\hbar\Gamma^{\downarrow}}{X_0^2} t.$$
(19)

One can see from Eq. (19) that in the absence of coupling to the background ( $\Gamma^{\downarrow} = 0$ ), the momentum cumulant is constant and given by the usual value for a wavepacket. The coupling to the background makes the momentum variance increase linearly with time. Because this turbulent–like behavior is limited to the adiabatic regime, the maximum time scale for turbulent–like diffusion to be present is given by the condition  $\langle \langle P^2 \rangle \rangle^{1/2} \sim M V_{\text{max}} \sim M X_0 \kappa_0 / \hbar$ , or:

$$t_{\rm max} \approx \frac{1}{3} t_{\rm T} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\rm min}} \right)^2 - 1 \right]$$
 (20)

which depends only upon the initial width of the wavepacket  $\sigma$ . Hence for times on the scale  $t_{\rm T} \leq t \leq t_{\rm max}$ , the diffusion of the wavepacket will have a turbulent-like character.  $(t_{\rm max} > t_{\rm T} \text{ requires only that } \sigma > 2\sigma_{\rm min})$ . For  $t \geq t_{\rm max}$ , the character of the interaction with the background changes over to a diabatic behavior, where the above form for the influence functional is no longer valid. This is not to say however that the dynamics ceases to have a turbulent-like behavior, only that our adiabatic expression for the influence functional (8) has a limited range of applicability.

Similar to the Langevin approach in (2), the anomalous diffusion arises from the properties of the spatial correlations, but for quite different reasons. Because we are using a random matrix ensemble to model the background properties, the correlation function G(x) must be positive definite, and can be classified by its short distance behavior:  $G(x) \approx 1 - c|x|^{\alpha} + \cdots$ where the range is restricted to  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$  and  $x = R/X_0$  [13]. If we want a smoothly correlated background then  $\alpha = 2$ , while for a Brownian motion type spatial fluctuations  $\alpha = 1$ . We will only consider here the case of smooth spatial correlations,  $\alpha = 2$ . (The case  $\alpha < 2$  would be interesting to consider further, as the diffusion would be characterized by very long spatial tails and infinite moments, analogous to the Levy stable laws [1].) The Gaussian correlation function provides *generic* results for any  $\alpha = 2$  correlator, which can be seen from the definition of the second cumulant. As the inverse is also a Gaussian, neither the spatial correlation nor its Fourier transform exhibit any long range correlations. All long range diffusive behavior emerges from spatial inhomogeneities on the scale  $X_0$ . If we vary this scale, taking the limit  $X_0 \to 0$ , the anomalous diffusion is enhanced, as can be seen directly from  $\langle \langle R^2 \rangle \rangle$ . The opposite limit,  $X_0 \to \infty$ , corresponds to a constant random background  $(U_{1,ij}(R)$  is replaced with a fixed random matrix), and the turbulent diffusion vanishes, since the spatial domain on which it is active is never reached.

By examining the dynamics of a wave packet in a chaotic background, we have found that turbulent-like diffusion can emerge under very general circumstances, with only the input of the short distance spatial correlations in the background on a finite scale  $X_0$ : no power law distributions are assumed. Further, our results for the full coordinate and momentum distributions,  $\mathcal{P}(R,t)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(P,t)$ , do not exhibit the usual scaling behaviors or power law properties used in previous studies. Our dynamics is a statistical limit which emerges from the random matrix solution to the influence functional, and as the fluctuations are gaussian, cumulants higher than second order are not invoked. As with classical turbulent diffusion, which can be generalized to included intermittency corrections and so forth, a more general class of this turbulent-like quantum diffusion can be explored by considering various types of backgrounds. This would include, for example, stochastic rather smooth spatial correlation functions G(x) characterized by  $\alpha < 2$ , corrections to the density of states for non-constant behaviors, or inclusion of higher cumulants in the background. In addition, the role of  $\hbar$ , in particular, how the turbulent diffusion survives the limit  $\hbar \to 0$ . These might provide a more general formulation of the quantum analog of diffusion in chaotic backgrounds, in which a classical limit might eventually recover intermittency corrections.

- [1] J. Bouchaud and A. Georges, Phys. Rep. 195 (1990) 127; M. Isichenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64 (1992) 961.
- [2] See for example: Lévy flights and related topics in physics, Eds. M.F. Shlesinger, G.M. Zaslavsky and U. Frisch, (Springer-Verlag, New York 1995).

- [3] L. Richardson, Proc. R. Soc. (London) A110 (1926) 709.
- [4] A. Kolmogorov, J. Fluid. Mech. 13 (1962) 82.
- [5] B. Mandelbrot, J. Fluid Mech. 62 (1974) 331; U. Frisch, P. Sulem, M. Nelkin, J. Fluid Mech.
  87 (1978) 719.
- [6] M. Shlesinger, B. West and J. Klafter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1100; Phys. Scr. 40 (1986)
   445.
- [7] H. Fogedby, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 2517.
- [8] A. Okubo, V. Andeasen and J. Mitchell, Phys. Lett. A105 (1984) 169; T. Geisel and S. Thomae, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 1936.
- [9] G. Zaslavsky, M. Edelman and B. Niyazov, Courant Institute preprint (1996).
- [10] T. Solomon, E. Weeks and H. Swinney, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 3975.
- [11] D. Kusnezov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 1990.
- [12] M. Mehta, Random Matrices (Academic Press, San Diego, 1991).
- [13] D. Kusnezov and C. Lewenkopf, Phys. Rev. E53 (1996) 2283.
- [14] D. Brink, J. Neto and H. Weidenmuller, Phys. Lett. 80B (1979) 170.
- [15] A. Bulgac, G. Do Dang and D. Kusnezov, Phys. Rev. E54 (1996) 3468.
- [16] R. Feynman and F. Vernon, Ann. Phys. (NY) 24 (1963) 118.
- [17] A. Bulgac, G. Do Dang and D. Kusnezov, Ann. Phys. (NY) 242 (1995) 1.
- [18] A. Bulgac, G. Do Dang and D. Kusnezov, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals (to appear, July 1997) and xxx.lanl.gov e–Print archive nucl-th/9612011.