D irected Percolation Universality in Asynchronous Evolution of Spatio-Tem poral Interm ittency

Juri Rolf, Tom as $Bohr_{\prime}^{y}$ and M ogens H. Jensen^z

N iels Bohr Institute and Center for Chaos and Turbulence Studies, B legdam svej 17, DK -2100

D enm ark

(June 23, 1997)

Abstract

We present strong evidence that a coupled-m ap-lattice model for spatiotem poral interm ittency belongs to the universality class of directed percolation when the updating rules are asynchronous, i.e. when only one random ly chosen site is evolved at each time step. In contrast, when the system is subjected to parallel updating, available num erical evidence suggest that it does not belong to this universality class and that it is not even universal. We argue that in the absence of periodic external forcing, the asynchronous rule is the more physical.

PACS numbers: 05.45.+b, 05.70 Jk, 47.27.Cn

Typeset using $REVT_EX$

E lectronic Address: rolf@ nbidk

^yE lectronic Address: tbohr@ nbidk

^zE lectronic Address: m h jensen@ nbidk

The onset of spatio-tem poral interm ittency is a comm on phenom enon of many extended systems ranging from models based on coupled-map-lattices [1{3] to various experiments in convection [4,5] and in the \printers instability" [6,7]. A particular elegant coupledm ap-lattice (CML) showing spatio-tem poral interm ittency was introduced som e years ago by Chate and Manneville [1,2]. This CML employs individual maps that can be in two very di erent states: either in a chaotic (or \turbulent") state or in a \lam inar" state. For a single m ap the lam inar state is \absorbing": once the m otion is in the lam inar state it cannot escape. For the coupled system, one observes interesting dynam ical patterns of turbulent patches penetrating into a lam inar state, and because of the strong uctuations, this has been called [1] spatio-tem poral interm ittency (STI). Once the system is in a pure lam inar state, it cannot escape: this is an absorbing state of the full spatially coupled system . These properties of the ST I led Pom eau [8] to conjecture that the critical properties at the onset of ST I should be governed by the exponents of directed percolation. The turbulent spots of the dynamics in a space-time plot percolate through the system in a manner very similar to the connected bonds in directed percolation (DP), which also has an absorbing state. Subsequent extensive num erical studies and scaling argum ents [2,3,9] did not show agreem ent with this conjecture. On the contrary, it was found that the generic critical properties were not in the universality class of directed percolation. In fact, since the critical properties vary with the parameters, they are not even universal. Nevertheless it was argued in [10] that the apparent non-universality is due to travelling solitary excitations with long life times, and that one should in principle recover the DP-behaviour only for extrem ely long tim e scales.

The standard time evolution of a coupled-m ap-lattice is by synchronous (or parallel) updating, in which all individual m aps of the lattice are iterated forward simultaneously in a completely determ inistic way [11]. The connection between such coupled m ap lattices and physical systems, described by partial di erential equations is, however, not straight forward. In particular, the synchronous update can only be motivated when the system dynam ics is driven by a global periodic external force (or \clock"). Recently it was observed that the critical properties of a standard CM L, using coupled logistic m aps, actually depend

2

on whether synchronous or asynchronous updating is applied [12]. Here, asynchronous means that in each step a random site on the CML is chosen and is iterated forward.

This result forces us to reconsider the evidence for non-universal behaviour for ST I, which is all based on the synchronous updating rule. In this letter, we thus apply the asynchronous updating to the coupled-m ap-lattice of spatio-tem poral interm ittency discussed above. We nd that all critical exponents, independent of the choice of parameters, fall into the universality class of directed percolation. All critical characteristics of DP, such as hyper-scaling, seem to be fullled, leading to independent controls of the values of the critical exponents.

The original dynamics of the coupled-map-lattice of C hate and M anneville [1] for a lattice with one space- and one time-direction is written in terms of a eld $u_i(t)$ at site i and time tas

$$u_{i}(t+1) = f(u_{i}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} f u_{i}(t);$$
 (1)

where $fu_i(t) = f(u_{i1}(t)) + f(u_{i+1}(t))$. The parameter measures the strength of the coupling from site ito its two neighbours. The dynamics (1) is parallelor synchronous: all sites are updated at the same time.

The localm ap f is of the form :

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x; & \text{if } x \ge [0; 1=2]; \\ r(1 \ x); & \text{if } x \ge [1=2; 1]; \\ x; & \text{if } x \ge [1; r=2]. \end{cases}$$
(2)

The chaotic motion of f for x 1 is governed by a standard tent m ap of slope r. However, when r exceeds the value two, the trajectory m ay escape to a \lam inar" state with x > 1, and this state is marginally stable, because the slope in the line of xed points is one. A s mentioned above, the lam inar state is absorbing, i.e. the trajectory cannot be pulled back into the chaotic state. This is no longer the case, when the m aps are coupled, since the interactions with its neighbours m ay pull a lam inar site back into chaotic motion, thus causing the interesting interplay between lam inar and turbulent regions.

In this work we consider the same CML under asynchronous updating: at time t a

random site i_r is selected and is altered according to (1) while all the other variables keep their values:

$$u_{i_{r}}(t+1=L) = f(u_{i_{r}}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} f u_{i_{r}}(t);$$
(3)
$$u_{i}(t+1=L) = u_{i}(t) \text{ for } i \in i_{r};$$

where L is the size of the system. Note that with this choice of time step on average each site is updated once in one unit of time.

The introduction of chaotic iterated m aps in extended dynam ical system s - and especially simple one-dimensional, non-invertible maps - can only be motivated in a very heuristic way [1]. The closest physical parallel is probably a collection of weakly coupled subunits, each able to perform chaotic dynamics. In order to derive a discrete, local map, one applies a Poincare section. Note that the Poincare map owes its simplicity to the fact that it is obtained by restricting the dynamics to a surface through the local phase space and is therefore not in general equivalent to moving the system forward through a xed time interval. In the absence of an external, periodic forcing, the time interval between consecutive crossings of the section will generically be variable and thus vary from unit to unit in space. To nd the state of the entire system at xed time intervals, this variation has to be taken into account. This can, in a rough way, be accomplished by using the asynchronous update in which the units experience slightly di erent update times. Of course we are thereby replacing the complicated, determ inistic update rule by a random one and this is obviously a crude approximation. But we believe that this is often closer to physical reality than the synchronous update. As an example, synchronous updating can lead to complicated, spatial structures, in cellular autom ata [13], which disappear under asynchronous updating [14], and should therefore be considered unphysical in the absence an external clock.

Fig. 1 shows a pattern generated by the asynchronous update introduced above. As only one site is updated at a time, a new horizontal line in the time axis is added only after L = 128 time steps (i.e. t ! t + 1). We observe that the turbulent sites (black in Fig. 1) percolate through the system, sometimes ending in a dangling bond.

To estimate the critical exponents for the random ly updated CML (3), we follow the nite size scaling methods of Houlrik et al. [9,15,16]. First of all we have to locate the critical line in the parameter plane (;r). This is done by measuring the absorption time (r; ;L), i.e. the time it takes the system starting from a random initial state to reach the absorbing state – averaged over an ensemble of initial conditions. At the critical point = c(r), this time diverges like

$$(_{c};L)$$
 L^{z} (4)

where the usual dynamical exponent $z = k = \frac{1}{2}$ has been introduced. Fig. 2 shows the phase diagram with the critical line and contrasts it with the critical line for the synchronously updated system (1) taken from [9]. We consider three different values of r and the corresponding values of c and z are found in Table I.

The order parameter m (;L;t) is de ned as the fraction of turbulent sites in the lattice, again averaged over m any dierent initial states [9]. The order parameter scales in the usual way when approaching the critical line from above

m (
$$_{\rm c}$$
) for ! $_{\rm c}^+$ (5)

U sing this relation we have estimated the -exponent directly and the results are found in Table I. Applying nite-size scaling arguments we not the following scaling form at the critical point

$$m(_{c};t;L) \quad L^{=} g(t=L^{z}):$$
 (6)

The function $g(t=L^z)$ has the following properties: At times much smaller than the absorption time, one expects a power-law decay in time due to critical correlations and the L-dependent pre-factor in (6) must drop out. Fort L^z , we therefore have

$$m(_{c};t;L) t^{=k}$$
 (7)

For times much larger that the absorption time, we may assume uncorrelated decay of the order parameter, and therefore the function g, will decay exponentially. Fig. 3 shows a plot

of m ($_{c}$;t;L) versus t at r = 2.2. The curves for 7 di erent system sizes in the interval L = 2^{4} ; ...; 2^{10} fall very accurately on the sam e line in the double logarithm ic plot allowing determ ination of = $_{k}$ as listed in Table I. As we see in this table all the critical exponents are, within the error bars, consistent with the values for directed percolation, listed at the bottom line in Table I.

In order to obtain independent checks on the values of the critical exponents we have performed a rescaling analysis using (6). The rescaled curves are shown in Fig. 4. For systems sizes in the interval $L = 2^4$;; 2^{10} the rescaled curves collapse very accurately to a single curve. The corresponding values of $= 2^{10}$ and z are shown in Table II-again consistent with DP.

To extract further critical exponents and to check hyper-scaling we have boked at the spatial correlations. Even though any dynam ics of this CM L will end up in the absorbing (lam inar) state, it is possible to nd non-trivial spatial correlations in a long lasting quasi stationary situation [15]. The correlations can be obtained from the pair correlation function

$$C_{j}(t) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{L}} hu_{i}(t)u_{i+j}(t)i \quad hu(t)\hat{I}; \qquad (8)$$

where the brackets denote the average over di erent initial conditions. If the coupling between the sites is weak, i.e. if is small, one m ight expect the spatial correlations to fall o exponentially with distance. At criticality, on the other hand, one expects an algebraic decay of correlations [15]

$$C_{j}(t) = j^{1} (j = (t));$$
 (9)

where is the associated critical exponent, and correlations are induced over a length scale (t) $t^{1=z}$ as the CM L relaxes towards a steady state. The (equal time) correlation function for various times (at r = 2.2) is shown in Fig. 5, indicating an algebraic decay in space after long time. The corresponding value of from this direct measurements is shown in Table I together with values obtained at other r-values. Again the agreement with DP is con rm ed.

For the spatial correlations, a rescaling analysis can also be performed by plotting $j=t^{1-z}$

versus j ${}^{1}C_{j}(t)$. Fig. 6 shows the corresponding rescaled plot and this technique allows another independent determ ination of z and , the values of which are shown in Table II.

The fourth column of Table II contains the values of obtained from the hyper-scaling relation

$$2 = d + i$$
 (10)

giving a third way of estimating the exponent . All three ways of nding give results that, within error, are in agreement with the directed percolation value 1.51.

We thus conclude that our num erics gives very strong evidence for the fact that spatiotem poral interm ittency in the form of asynchronous coupled m aps falls in the universality class of directed percolation. The reason why synchronously updated m aps do not behave in a universal way must thus be sought in the complicated correlations built up by the strong constraint of exactly simultaneous, completely determ inistic updating, which, in m ost applications will not be fullled. Thus, experiments showing spatio-tem poral interm ittency should be describable by directed percolation near the transition to turbulence – at least insofar as they do not involve global periodic forcing. O nem ight speculate on the possibility of observing the non-universal \synchronous" behaviour in periodically forced system s, as say the printers instability driven by a cylinder of non-circular cross section.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

We would like to thank Bernardo Hubern an and Benny Lautrup for helpful discussions. J.R. gratefully acknow ledges nancial support by the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Chate and P. Manneville, Physica D 32, 409 (1988).
- [2] H. Chate and P. Manneville, Physica D 37, 33 (1989).
- [3] H. Chate in Spontaneous Form ation of Space-T im e Structures and Criticality, ed. by T.R iste and D. Sherrington, p. 273 (K luwer 1991).
- [4] S.Ciliberto and P.Bigazzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 286 (1988)
- [5] F.D aviaud, M.D ubois and P.Berge, Europhys. lett. 9, 441 (1989).
- [6] M. Rabaud, S. Michalland and Y. Couder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 184 (1990).
- [7] S.M ichalland and M.Rabaud, Physica D 61, 197 (1992).
- [8] Y. Pomeau, Physica D 23, 3 (1986).
- [9] J.M. Houlrik, I.W ebm an and M. H. Jensen, Phys. Rev. A 41, 4210 (1990).
- [10] P.G rassberger and T.Schreiber, Physica D 50, 177 (1991).
- [11] K.Kaneko, ed. Theory and applications of coupled map lattices, (W iley 1993).
- [12] P.M arcq, H.Chate and P.M anneville, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4003 (1996); Phys. Rev. E 55, 2206 (1997).
- [13] M. Nowak and R. M. May, Nature 359, 826 (1992).
- [14] B.A.Huberm an and N.S.G lance, Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. 90 7716 (1993).
- [15] J.M. Houlmik and M. H. Jensen, Phys. Lett. A 16, 3275 (1992)
- [16] J.M. Houlrik and M. H. Jensen in Theory and applications of coupled m ap lattices, ed. by K. Kaneko, p. 95 (W iley 1993).

FIGURES



FIG.1. Time evolution of the asynchronous CML (3) with r = 3.0, = 0.58 and system size L = 128. The turbulent sites with u 1 are black while the lam inar sites with u > 1 are white.



FIG.2. Phase diagrams of one-dimensional CML for asynchronous updating (crosses) and synchronous updating (boxes).



FIG.3.0 rder parameter m (t) versus time t for system sizes L between 2^4 and 2^{10} at r = 22. As expected the data falls on one line as long as t is much smaller than the escape time.



FIG.4. Rescaling of the order parameter at r = 2.2 according to (6) to obtain estimates for = 2.2 and for z.D ata for for system sizes L between 2^4 and 2^{10} collapses on one curve.



FIG.5. Spatial correlation function $C_i(t)$ for various times t at r = 2.2. $C_i(t)$ approaches a straight line with slope 1 for large times, indicating an algebraic decay.



FIG. 6. Rescaled spatial correlation function at r = 2.2. The data for various times collapses on one curve if the exponents z and take the values in table II.

TABLES

TABLE I. D irect m easurements of the critical exponents for system (3). The critical values $_{\rm c}$ and the exponent z are found simultaneously by approaching the value of where the scaling (4) is best. The estimation of the other exponents are described in the text. The bottom line are the directed percolation exponents.

r	с	Z		k	
2.2	0.0195(2)	1.58 (2)	0.28(1)	0.16(1)	1,51(2)
2.6	0.5096(2)	1.59(2)	0.28(1)	0.16(1)	1.49(2)
3.0	0 5870 (3)	1.60 (3)	0.28(1)	0.15(2)	1.50(2)
DP		1,57	0.28	0.16	1.51

TABLE II. The exponents and relations obtained from rescaling analysis using nite size scaling. The fourth column is an estimate of using the hyper-scaling relation (10).

r	?	Z	$= 2 - \frac{1}{2} + 1$	Z	
22	0,26(1)	1.57(1)	1,52 (2)	1,56(2)	1.53(2)
2.6	0,26(1)	1,57(1)	1,52 (2)	1,58 (2)	1.49(2)
3.0	0 25 (2)	1.58(1)	1.50 (3)	1,58 (2)	1.53(2)
DP	0.26	1.57	1.51	1.57	1.51