Universal long-time properties of Lagrangian statistics in the Batchelor regime and their application to the passive scalar problem

E.Balkovsky and A.Fouxon

Department of Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100, Israel (January 23, 2022)

We consider the transport of dynam ically passive quantities in the Batchelor regime of a smooth in space velocity eld. For the case of arbitrary temporal correlations of the velocity, we form ulate the statistics of relevant characteristics of Lagrangian motion. This allows us to generalize m any results obtained previously for strain correlated in time, thus answering a question about the universality of these results.

PACS numbers 47.27 Eq, 05.40.-a, 47.10.+g

IN T R O D U C T IO N

The problem of passive scalar transport by turbulent ows has received much attention lately. The progress achieved has been m ade possible m ainly by the introduction of the K raichnan m odel [1]. W ithin the m odel the turbulent velocity statistics is believed to be Gaussian, scale invariant in space and correlated in time, which allows one to write closed equations on the correlation functions of the scalar. Such a velocity has only a few rough features in common with realistic ows, which are intermittent and have a nite scale-dependent correlation time, contrary to what is assumed in the model. Nevertheless, it seems that many interesting properties of the statistics are inherent in the dynam ics, rather than due to the interm ittency of the velocity statistics itself. Unusually for the turbulence theory num erous results have been obtained analytically using the K raichnan m odel.

Having reached an understanding of this model, it is then natural to generalize its results, passing to more realistic ows. However, due to the complicated interplay between spatial and tem poral properties of the velocity, one encounters various di culties in introducing a meaningful velocity eld with a nite correlation time. The only case where this was easily done is the so called Batchelor regime [2], where the spatial structure of the velocity is rather simple, and therefore one can separate space and time dependencies. It appears in the limit of large P randtl num bers, which is the ratio of the uid viscosity to the di usivity of the transported quantity. In studying advection below the viscous length, the correlation functions of the velocity are sm ooth functions of space, which allows one to introduce an e ective description with v =(t)r [2]. In this way time and space become completely separated.

The Batchelor lim it is well studied if has a zero correlation time and its statistics is G aussian [3{13]; that is, in the fram ework of the K raichnan m odel. Certain results have been derived for arbitrary statistics of $[1{4,13}]$.

O ur aim here is to investigate the degree of universality of the passive scalar statistics for arbitrary tem poral correlations of the velocity. We utilize the close relation between the statistics of Lagrangian trajectories in a turbulent ow and the statistics of the passive scalar. Therefore, it seems reasonable to separate the problem s,

rst investigating the Lagrangian m otion and next applying the results to particular problem s. For the Batchelor regime only a few degrees of freedom characterize the Lagrangian dynam ics, which m akes the problem solvable.

The plan of this paper is as follows. First we pass to the com oving reference frame in the equation for a passive scalar, which allows us to consider the Lagrangian mapping as an a ne transformation, characterized by a random matrix. A fler its probability distribution function (PDF) is found, we consider several particular examples of the scalar statistics both for the decaying and forced turbulence. We show that the statistics of the scalar can be found by integration of the distribution function with a kernel, depending on the problem in question.

I.GENERAL RELATIONS

A dvection of a passive scalar # by incompressible velocity eld v is described by the equation

$$\theta_t # + (v;r) # r^2 # = 0;$$
 (1.1)

where is the molecular di usivity. We shall be interested in the limit of small but nite. In the case of continuous injection of the scalar, one should add a source (t;r) into the right-hand side of Eq. (1.1).

Let us consider a blob of the scalar having a size L m uch sm aller than the viscous length of the velocity. The variation of the velocity on the scale of the blob is m uch sm aller than the large hom ogeneous velocity transferring the blob as a whole. To account for a slow variation of the form of the blob due to relative m otion of the particles, it is natural to pass to the reference fram e m oving with the velocity of a particle within the blob [14,15]. Since the velocity is a sm ooth function on the scale of the blob, it can be expanded in a Taylor series, thus leading to the equation

$$Q_t \# + rr \# r^2 \# = 0$$
: (1.2)

Here (t) is the matrix of the velocity derivatives taken at the chosen Lagrangian point. Incompressibility im plies = 0. For turbulent ows should be regarded as a random matrix, having a nite correlation time, which is the Lagrangian correlation time of the velocity.

The complete information about the Lagrangian ow, de ned by QR = R, is contained in the matrix W, satisfying

$$Q_t W = W$$
; $W(0) = 1$:

This generates an a ne transform ation of space points, so that a vector R transform s as R (t) = W (t)R (0). The volum e conservation guarantees det W = 1. The motion of the particles of the scalar di ers from that of the space points due to the nonzero di usivity. To investigate this motion we introduce the "inertia tensor" of the blob [14],

$$I = \frac{1}{2N} drrr \# (t;r); \qquad (1.3)$$

where $N = {}^{R} dr \# (t;r)$ is the number of particles of the scalar. It is easy to check that N is conserved by the full equation (1.2). It turns out that I contains all the necessary information, and will appear in the following sections as the result of formal calculations. The tensor I satis es the closed dynamical equation

$$Q_{t}I = + I + I^{T}$$
: (1.4)

The initial condition depends on the form of the initial blob, generally I L^2 . We shall see that for problem s with spatial isotropy it is enough to consider I (0) = L^2 . One can check that I can be expressed via W in a way that is nonlocal in time. Since I is sym - m etric and incorporates the di usion, instead of working with W it will be m ore convenient for us to work directly with Eq. (1.4).

The dynam ics of the sym metric matrix I can be separated into the nontrivial essential dynam ics of its eigenvalues and the trivial dynam ics of the angular degrees of freedom. It is thus natural to reform ulate the dynam ics for the eigenvalues directly, excluding irrelevant angular degrees of freedom. For the case that is correlated in time, this can be done exactly, resulting in the C alogero-Sutherland model [5]. We shall show that for a nite correlation time of the angular degrees of freedom can also be e ectively excluded. The reason for this is that only the large-time dynam ics of the eigenvalues is in portant for our purposes so that in many respects (but not all) the matrix appears to be correlated in time.

Before we proceed with the derivation, it is useful to understand qualitatively the typical dynamics of a blob. If the amplitude of the velocity uctuations is large enough (the precise condition will be form ulated below), the term on the right-hand side of Eq. (1.4) can be disregarded during the initial stage of the evolution. Then one can make sure that I coincides with L^2WW^T . A ccording to the O seledets theorem [16], at large enough tim es the logarithm s of the eigenvalues of the latter m atrix are asymptotically equal to 2 $_{\rm i}t$. The Lyapunov exponents $_{\rm l},$::;, $_{\rm d}$ do not depend on a particular realization of ; hence they are important characteristics of the system .

The above in plies that the directions corresponding to positive and negative Lyapunov exponents will grow or decrease correspondingly, and that the blob will become an ellipsoid with the length of its main axis changing as exp(_it). The orientation of the ellipsoid can be arbitrary. The smallest dimension will decrease exponentially with the rate _d, until at t _ j_d j^1 ln L^2 j_d j it reaches a scale $r_{dif} = -j_d j$ where the di usive spreading of particles makes further contraction in possible. Later, the smallest direction will uctuate around r_{dif} . This will not a ect other directions, that will continue to change according to their Lyapunov exponents. In order to have a wide separation of the Peclet number

Pe
$$L=r_{aif}=L^{p} \frac{p}{j_{d}j}$$
: (1.5)

This ensures that the time needed to reach the di usion scale is large, so that the above argum ents are valid.

A part from the typical event described here, we shall also need the distribution of all outcomes. This is the aim of Sec. II. A lthough it is not dicult to work with the arbitrary dimensionality of space, we shall consider the physical dimensionalities d = 2 and 3 only.

II. STAT IST IC S OF I

To separate the angular degrees of freedom from the radial ones, it is natural to represent I as follow s:

$$I = R^{T} R :$$
 (2.1)

Here R is an orthogonal matrix composed of the eigenvectors of I, and is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues e^{2} ;::: e^{2} d along the diagonal (we believe that the eigenvalues are ordered, so that 1 2 ::: d). Equation (1.4) becomes

$$\theta_{t i} = \gamma_{ii} + \frac{1}{2} \exp(2_i); \quad \gamma = R R^T;$$
(2.2)

$$\varrho_t R = R; \quad ij = \frac{e^{2i} r_{ji} + e^{2j} r_{ij}}{e^{2i} e^{2j}}: \quad (2.3)$$

We do not assume a summation over the repeating indices in Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3). This system of equations is not very useful for analyzing the general case. However, one can notice that if during the evolution the eigenvalues become widely separated, that is $_1$ d, the system is greatly simplied. In this case the antisymmetric matrix becomes

$$_{ik} = \begin{array}{cc} \sim_{ki}; & i < k \\ \gamma_{ik}; & i > k; \end{array}$$
(2.4)

and due to Eqs. (22,23) the dynamics of the angular degrees of freedom is independent on the eigenvalues. Therefore, Eq. (22) can be resolved:

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ \mathbf{i} = & & & & \\ \mathbf{i} = & & & \\ \mathbf{m} & & \\ \mathbf{m} & & & \\ \mathbf{m} & & \\$$

Here $_{0i}$ are some constants of the order of unity that should be determined by matching with the initial period of separation of the eigenvalues.

Integrals in Eq. (2.5) determ ine the dynam ics of i. W e consider times much larger than ~, the correlation time of ~, which is generally less than or of the order of . The form of the probability distribution function of is dierent if we consider ~ t[<] j_dj¹ ln Pe or $t^{>} j_{d} j^{1}$ ln Pe, depending on whether the di usion has started to be relevant or not. If the form er case is considered, one can disregard the second term in Eq. (2.5), thus $\int_{0}^{t} dt^{0} \sim_{ii} (t^{0}) \cdot W$ e recognize the case of the obtaining _i central lim it theorem . However, the Gaussian distribution describes only the bulk of the most probable events leaving rare events out of the dom ain of its validity. We shall need a more general expression [17] which can be derived from the following considerations. The integrals can be considered as sum s of a large num ber n t=~ of independent identically distributed random variables. Thus we investigate the distribution of X given by

$$X = X^{n} x_{i}$$
: (2.6)

W ithout loss of the generality we can assume that $hx_i i = 0$. If the generating function hexp[iyx_i]i of each x is exp[s(y)], then X has the generating function exp[ns(y)]. To nd the distribution function of X, one should make the inverse Fourier transform

$$P(X) = \frac{Z}{2} \exp[iyX \quad ns(y)]:$$

At large n this integral can be calculated in the saddlepoint approximation. Writing the extrem um condition, we see that y_{extr} is a function of the argument X = n, which implies P(X) / exp[nS(X=n)]. For X n one can expand S in the Taylor series and obtain P / exp[$X^2=(2n)$]. Here is the variation of x_i . This is nothing but the central lim it theorem . On the other hand, if we increase n, keeping the ratio X = n a constant of the order of unity, we can assert that $\ln P$ / n. This has a simple interpretation. If X is of the order of n, only realizations where m ost of x_i are of the same sign contribute. Therefore we can model the situation by the binom ial random process, which gives just the above result.

If we replace sum (2.6) by the integral ${}^{R_t}_0$ dt⁰x (t⁰) of a random function x over time t m uch larger than the correlation time, we should only note that the characteristic function of X is proportional to exp[ts(y)], and then proceed as above. We used the fact that the characteristic function is an exponent of the cum ulant generating function. The derivation is easily generalized for several quantities. Thus the distribution functions in d = 2 and 3 are given by the form ulas

$$P / \exp tS_2 - \frac{1}{t} (1) (1 + 2);$$
 (2.7)

$$P / \exp tS_3 - \frac{1}{t}; \frac{1}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{1}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{1}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{1}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{1}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{2}{t$$

Here $S_2(x_1)$ and $S_3(x_1;x_2)$ are some functions depending on the details of the statistics of . In the correlated case one can nd the explicit expression for $S_{2,3}$ [6,13] (see also Appendix A). The constants $_i$ are nothing but the Lyapunov exponents, which are expressed via the statistics of in the following way (cf. Ref. [16])

$$i = h \sim_{ii} i$$
: (2.9)

To have a self-consistent picture, we should assume that the spectrum of the Lyapunov exponents is nondegenerate, that is $_{i} > _{i+1}$. Physically nondegeneracy of the Lyapunov exponents means that a blob is unstable with respect to the uctuations, leading to a separation of the lengths of its sides. Noticing that the Lagrangian point r = 0 is a saddle point for the incompressible ow, one can easily verify that the strain directions corresponding to the further elongation of the blob prevail. Therefore, during a time of approximately constant strain, the blob will be on average further elongated.

Att $(i_{i+1})^{1}$ we can disregard e ects originating from the boundary $i_{i} = i_{i+1}$. Equations (2.7) and (2.8) are not valid in a narrow region near the boundary which has a width of the order of unity. Since it is much smaller than i_{i} , we can use the step function to model the form of the PDF near the boundary.

Due to the incompressibility condition the exponents satisfy $\int_{i=1}^{d} i = 0$. Then, in order to have a spectrum that is nondegenerate in d = 2, one should only require that $_1 > 0$, which is the same saying that trajectories diverge exponentially. In d = 3, it is necessary to supply some information about $_2$. If the statistics of is symmetric with respect to time reversion, then $_2 = 0$ [13]. However, if this is not the case, it is generally nonzero. In Appendix B we not the expression for $_2$ if the correlation time of is small, which shows that its sign is generally arbitrary.

The form of the functions $S_{2,3}$ depends on particular details of the statistics of . However, it is possible to make two general statements about these functions. First, one can assert that at small x the expansion

$$S_2$$
 (x) $\frac{x^2}{2C_{11}}$; S_3 (x₁;x₂) $\frac{C_{22}x_1^2}{2(C_{11}C_{22} C_{12}^2)}$

is valid, reproducing the central lim it theorem . The constants C $_{\rm ij}$ are de ned as

$$C_{ij} = dt^0 hh_{ii}(t) \sim_{jj} (t^0) ii:$$

Here hh::ii stands for irreducible correlation function. The integrals should be calculated over an interval, much larger then the correlation time of ~. Note that the condition of incom pressibility ensures that $\int_{j=1}^{d} C_{ij} = 0$. When x_i are of the order of unity, the functions

W hen x_i are of the order of unity, the functions $S_{2;3}$ have no singularities and change smoothly. The quadratic expansion of $S_{2;3}$ is valid as long as

$$i_{i} i_{j} t = ~;$$
 (2.10)

where \sim is the correlation time of \sim . In the -correlated case it holds everywhere (Appendix A).

The norm alization of P is determined by the quadratic part of $S_{2;3}$, since most of the probability is concentrated at j_i itj $P \frac{C_{ij}t}{C_{ij}t}$ t. One can not the norm alization factors (2 $C_{11}t$)¹⁼² in d = 2 and 4 ${}^{2}t^{2}$ ($C_{11}C_{22}$ C_{12}^{2})¹⁼² in d = 3.

Now consider $t^{>}$ $j_d j^1$ ln Pe. The di usion is irrelevant for i having a non-negative Lyapunov exponent. However, there is a nite probability, increasing with t, that d reaches the di usion scale. This requires an account of the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.2)or Eq. (2.5). The di usion will not allow d to decrease much below $\ln(j_d \neq)$. On the contrary, negative d will prevent it from increasing. As a result, the corresponding i will be distributed stationarily around the value $\ln (= j_d)$. Relaxation times associated with this distribution are di usion independent and thus are much less then t. On the other hand, having non-negative Lyapunov exponents are the integrals over the whole evolution time t, so that their values at time t are not sensitive to the last period of evolution with duration of the order of the relaxation time of d. This means that xing their values at time t $j_d j^1$ ln Pe will not a ect the distribution of d, and the whole probability distribution function P is factorized (cf. Refs. [3,8]). In d = 2 we can write

$$P / exp tS_2 - \frac{1}{t} P_{st}(_2):$$
 (2.11)

Here P_{st} is the stationary distribution of $_2$. In d = 3 the situation is more complicated. W hile $_3$ is always negative, $_2$ can be both positive and negative. The form of the PDF will be di erent for these two cases. If $_2$ 0,

$$P / exp tS_3 \frac{1}{t}; \frac{1}{t}; \frac{2}{t}; \frac{2}{t} P_{st}(_3): (2.12)$$

Since $_{d}$ is independent of the rest of $_{i}$ the functions $S_{2;3}$ are the same as in Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8).

If $_2$ is negative, then at t $j_2j^1 \ln (j_2 \neq)$ the distribution over $_2$ will also become steady and concentrated near $\ln (j_2 \neq)$ which by order of magnitude is equal to $\ln (j_3 \neq)$. Therefore, our assumption that $_2$ $_3$ is incorrect. Still $_1$ $_{2;3}$, and the equation for $_1$ is separated from the other variables. Then the distribution function is equal to

$$P / exp t S_3 \frac{1}{t} P_{st}(2; 3):$$
 (2.13)

where S_3 is related to S_3 by exp(S_3) / $\overset{R}{d}_2 \exp(S_3)$.

Finally, let us note that since the con guration space SO (d) of the rotation matrix R [see Eq. (2.1] is nite, and since there is no preferred direction in space, at large t the matrix is distributed uniform ly over the sphere.

The basic result obtained above is the special scaling form of the probability density functions. It is this universal form which lies in the origin of the results derived below.

III. DECAY ING TURBULENCE

As a rst application, let us consider decay of a passive scalar #. The problem is posed as follows: given a random distribution of the scalar density $\#_0$ at t = 0, and its statistics at t > 0. In the framework of the K raichnan model the single-point statistics was considered by Son [6], who obtained the following long-time asymptotic behavior:

$$h_{\#}(t;0)_{ji}/\exp(t):$$
 (3.1)

in d = 3 is equal to (6))D =4 for 0 < 3 where and 9D =4 otherwise (D is a parameter characterizing the strength of the uctuations of). The same decay law has been claim ed for the gradients of the scalar. Here we consider the problem for arbitrary correlation time of . Our consideration shows that due to the abovementioned special form of Eqs. $(2.11\{2.13)$ the law (3.1)is valid for an arbitrary statistics of both for the singlepoint value of the scalar and its gradient. In the correlated limit we obtain a result for di erent from that of Ref. [6]. The results also show that the basic assumption of Ref. [18] is incorrect.

The following qualitative picture, supported by the calculations presented below, explains the decay. First, consider a single blob initially having a characteristic size L and containing N particles of the scalar. As velocity stretches the blob, the number of particles does not change, contrary to the volume of the blob. At

 $t^{>} j_{d}j^{1} \ln(j_{d}j^{2} =)$ the dimensions of the blob with negative Lyapunov exponents are frozen at rdif, while the rest keep grow ing exponentially, resulting in an exponential growth of the total volume of the blob. Since the volum e is proportional to det I = exp(i), one has hj#ji/hexp(i) i, where the averaging should be done with the help of the PDF discussed above, that ish#ji/ dP()exp(i). The result is determ ined by a comprom ise between two competing factors. W hile the averaged quantity exp (i) favors smaller values of i, the maximum of the probability is attained when each growing $_{i}$ is equal to $_{i}$ t. O bviously, for larger the volum e acquires m ore im portance, so that the main contribution is made by smaller blobs, which are less probable but have larger concentration of the scalar. So, at small , the deviation from the average growth t is small, and is determ ined by the Gaussian part of the PDF. This gives a parabolic dependence on . On the other hand, if is large enough, the main contribution is due to a blob having a minimal possible volum e which is of the order of L^d. The decay exponent is fully determ ined by the probability to have such a blob and hence is independent [3,6]. Note that this picture implies that the exponential decay holds at t[>] j_dj¹ lnPe.

If instead of a single blob one takes a spatially hom ogeneous problem, this consideration should be slightly modi ed. At large t, initially uncorrelated blobs are brought close to each other because of the contraction along a certain direction, and then they overlap di usively. Since the number of overlapping blobs is large, due to the central limit theorem it is rather $\#^2$ which is inversely proportional to volum e. Therefore, hj#j i / hexp (____i=2)i.

Form ally, one should solve Eq. (1.2) with the initial condition $\#(0;r) = \#_0(r)$. The solution is

$$# (t; 0) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dk}{(2)^{d}} \#_{0} & W^{T} (t)k \exp [Q & k]; & (3.2) \\ Z_{t} & 0 & 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q(t) = dt^{0}W(t)W^{1}(t^{0})W(t)W^{1}(t^{0})^{1} : (3.3)$$

From the qualitative arguments it is clear that the longtime asymptotic should be independent of the particular form of the distribution. We will take the simplest statistics, which is G aussian with the pair correlation function

$$h\#_0(r_1)\#_0(r_2)i=(r_{12}); = 0 \exp[\hat{r}^2=(8L^2)]: (3.4)$$

This particular form is chosen for further convenience. In what follows we set L = 1. It is possible to generalize the calculation for arbitrary and show that the results are independent of its form .

To proceed, we introduce the generating function of #:

$$Z(y) = hexp[iy#(t;0)]i_{:=0}$$
: (3.5)

Here we assume averaging over the statistics of and the initial distribution of the scalar. The simplest part is

to perform averaging over the Gaussian eld #. To do this, we substitute Eq. (3.2) into Eq. (3.5) and using the expression for the characteristic function of a Gaussian random variable [17], obtain

$$Z(y) = \exp \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{Z}{(2)^d} (W^T k) e^{2Q} k k$$
 :

Substituting $(k) = (8)^{d=2} \exp(2k^2)$ and integrating over k we obtain

$$z = \exp - \frac{y^2}{2} \frac{p}{p - \frac{0}{\det I(t)}}$$
 (3.6)

W e used the fact that $I = W W^{T} + Q$, which can be veri ed by writing equation on $W W^{T} + Q$ and comparing it with Eq. (1.4).

Using Eq. (3.6), one can nd

h
$$\#$$
 (t;0)ji= C (detI) =4 E : (3.7)

Here C is a numerical constant. Equation (3.7) reduces the problem to an averaging of powers of det I = $\exp(2_{i})$,

where P is the probability density function of discussed above. In the large time lim it this integral can be calculated in the saddle-point approximation. The calculation is slightly diment for d = 2 and 3.

A.Two-dim ensional case

In d = 2 integral (3.8) should be calculated only over $_1$, since the distribution over $_2$ is stationary. The saddle-point equation is

$$S_2^0 - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} = 0$$
:

It is clear that $_1$ / t. As long as one can use the quadratic expansion, which valid at least at small , the solution of this equation is $_1 = (_1 \qquad C_{11}=2)t$, hence

$$=\frac{1}{2}$$
 1 $\frac{C_{11}}{4}$: (3.9)

At > $_{cr} = 2S_2^0(_1)$ the value of $_1$ becomes much smaller than $_1t$; the integral (3.8) is determined by the boundary of the integration region, and therefore = $S_2(_1)$, independent of .

The domain of validity of Eq. (3.9) depends on the value of the parameter \sim . If it is much smaller than unity, we can use the quadratic approximation to S_2

In the opposite limit $\sim^> 1$, the quadratic expansion of S_2 cannot be used for $> 1=(\sim C_{11})$, and Eq. (3.9) is valid only at $1=(\sim C_{11})$. The form of the intermediate region is not universal, and depends on the particular form of S_2 and hence on details of the statistics of .

B.Three-dim ensional case

In d = 3 the result is similar to that of d = 2, though the consideration is slightly more complicated, due to the presence of an additional degree of freedom. There are two cases to be considered. If $_2 < 0$, then P is given by Eq. (2.13) and the calculation is the same as for d = 2.

If $_2$ 0, both degrees of freedom $_1$ and $_2$ are active and one should use the PDF (2.12). The saddle-point equations are

$$\frac{\partial S_3(x_1;x_2)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{2} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial S_3(x_1;x_2)}{\partial x_2} + \frac{1}{2} = 0; \quad (3.10)$$

where $x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ =t and $x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ =t. A gain, the beginning of the curve is determ ined by the G aussian part of P, and is parabolic:

$$= \frac{1}{2} j_{3}j_{4}C_{33}$$
; (3.11)

with $_1 = (_1 + C_{13}=2)t$ and $_2 = (_2 + C_{23}=2)t$. At larger than $_{cr}$ calculated below, we have the - independent behavior

$$= S_3 (_1; _2):$$
 (3.12)

Depending on the parameters, two dimensional types of behavior can occur at $< _{\rm cr}$. First, it is possible that as increases, $_2$ will grow more slow by with t and at certain will become much smaller than $_2$ t. At larger

the integration over $_2$ will be determined by the region $_2$ $_2$ t and one should replace system (3.10) by the single equation

$$\frac{@S_3(x_1; 2)}{@x_1} + \frac{1}{2} = 0:$$
(3.13)

In this case $_{cr} = 2 Q_{3}(x_{1}; 2) j_{x_{1}} = \frac{1}{2}$

The other possibility is that at certain the di erence $_1$ $_2$ becomes much smaller than $_{1;2}$. Because of the constraint $_1 > _2$, for larger integral (3.8) is determined by the boundary $_1 = _2$ of the domain of integration and the saddle-point equation becomes

$$\frac{\Im(\mathbf{x}_1;\mathbf{x}_2)}{\Im(\mathbf{x}_1)} + \frac{\Im(\mathbf{x}_1;\mathbf{x}_2)}{\Im(\mathbf{x}_2)} + = 0: \qquad (3.14)$$

Then $_{cr} = [[4]_S(x_1;x_2) + (2]_S(x_1;x_2)]$ at $x_1 = _1$ and $x_2 = _2$. Geometrically, the rst case corresponds to elongated ellipsoids, and the second one to sheets having two largest dimensions of the same order.

If the parameter ~ is small enough, these changes of the regime occur within the Gaussian part of S_3 . Then one can perform a more detailed investigation. The rst regime is realized if $_1 > _2C_{13}=C_{23}$ and $C_{23} < 0$. Then, at > $2_2=C_{23}$ Eq. (3.11) should be replaced by

$$= \frac{2}{8} \frac{C_{12}^2}{C_{22}} C_{11} + \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{12} \frac{C_{12}}{C_{22}} + \frac{2}{2C_{22}} (3.15)$$

If $_2 = 0$, Eq. (3.11) has no region of validity, and at > 0 one should use Eq. (3.15) which becomes

$$= \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad C_{11} \quad \frac{C_{12}^2}{C_{22}} \quad : \qquad (3.16)$$

In particular, within the K raichnan model, Eq. (3.16) is valid for 0 $_{\rm cr}$. Substituting $_1$ and C $_{\rm ij}$ (see Appendix A) one nds $_{\rm cr} = 4$,

$$=\frac{3D}{2}$$
 1 $\frac{1}{8}$; (3.17)

for < $_{\rm cr}$ and = 3D for > $_{\rm cr}$. Our result is di erent from the one obtained in Ref. [6], which coincides rather with Eq. (3.11). An exact solution for = 2 (see Appendix C and Ref. [9]) supports Eq. (3.17). The reason for the discrepancy is the following. Despite the fact that $_2$ / ln (=D), it is in possible to ignore it com – pletely. If this were done, the anticorrelation between $_1$ and $_2$, existing due to the incom pressibility condition would lead to the growth of $_2$, thus making the calculation inconsistent.

The second regime takes place if $C_{23} > C_{13}$ and $C_{23} > C_{13} \ge 1$. Then, starting from $= 2(1 \ge 2) = (C_{23} = C_{13}) \ge q$. (3.11) should be replaced by another formula. Although the dependence on is still parabolic, the coe cients are rather cumbersome, so we do not write this here.

C.G radients of the decaying scalar

In the same m anner one can consider the decay of the gradients of the scalar. In analogy, we can look for the correlation functions hj! j i, where $! = r \# (t;r) j_{r=0}$. As in the case of single-point scalar statistics, these correlation functions decay exponentially in time. It was claim ed in Ref. [6] that the decay law of the scalar and its gradient is the same w ithin the K raichnan m odel. Here we show that this is actually the case for arbitrary correlated strain. Qualitatively it follows from the estim ate that jr #j = 1, where $l = \exp(d)$ is the smallest dimension of the blob. As explained above, # and 1 can be considered as independent, while the statistics of 1 is stationary. Thus the decay of the gradient is solely due to the change of the density of the scalar. M ore form ally, one has

$$! = i \frac{dk}{(2)^{d}} k \#_{0} W^{T}(t) k \exp [Q k k] (3.18)$$

Introducing the function Z (y) = hexp [i (y; !)]i, and averaging it over the initial distribution (3.4) we obtain a formula similar to Eq. (3.6) Then, making a Fourier transform over y, we obtain the PDF of !:

*
$$p = \frac{p}{\det I}$$
 #+
P / $(\det I)^{d=4+1=2} \exp \left(\frac{p}{\det I} (!;I!)\right)$: (3.19)

Considering this expression in the eigenbasis of the matrix I, we observe that hj! j i hj!_dji, since d is sm aller than the rest of the is. Recalling that the distribution over d is stationary, we immediately obtain that

which, due to Eq. (3.7), gives the same law of decay.

IV.FORCED TURBULENCE

A.Single-point distribution of

In this section we shall investigate the steady state distribution of a passive scalar which occurs in the presence of a stationary source. For this purpose we introduce a random function (t;r) on the right-hand side of Eq. (12), injecting blobs of the scalar with the characteristic size L. Due to the linearity of the problem, the scalar eld at the moment t = 0 is given by a superposition of the scalar injected at earlier instants of time. Each realization of can be characterized by a param etert (cf. Ref. [3]), such that the smallest dimension t approaches r_{dif} at t = 0. of blobs in jected at t The ambiguity in the de nition of t is of the order of $j_d j^1$ which is much smaller than the typical stretching time $j_d j^1$ In Pe. Considering the motion of the scalar injected at t < t < 0 one may neglect di usion, and the scalar is simply transfered along Lagrangian trajectories. On the other hand, as discussed in Sec. III, the contribution of the scalar in jected at t < t is exponentially small. Thus t separates di usive and di usion less regimes. One can write the following approximate formula:

If the correlation time of the source is much smaller than t, for a xed realization of integral (4.1) can be considered as a Gaussian variable with zero average and the dispersion proportional to t, so that after averaging over

, for the single-point PDF [3] one obtains

$$P(\#) = \frac{1}{2 _{0}t} \exp \frac{\#^{2}}{2 _{0}t}$$
(4.2)

where $_0 = \frac{R}{dth}$ (t;0) (0;0)i. The e ective Gaussianity of the pumping has its limitations due to nite correlation time of [analogously to the discussion of Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8)]. Since we work in the com oving reference fram e, this correlation tim e is very sm all, and hence only the tail will be a ected. At the end of the section we discuss the implications of this. To proceed with form al calculations, we should specify statistics of . Here we shall take as a Gaussian eld with the pair-correlation function

h (
$$t_1$$
; r_1) (t_2 ; r_2) $i = (r_{12})$ (t_2 t_2);

where (r) is the same as in sec. III. The expression for the generating function Z = hexp(iy#)i follows

$$Z = \exp \frac{y^2}{2} \int_{1}^{Z} \frac{dt^0}{det I(0;t^0)} : (4.3)$$

Here I $(t;t^0)$ is a matrix, satisfying Eq. (1.4) with respect to t and the initial condition $I(t^0; t^0) = 1$. Naturally, the integration is perform ed over the initial time, sum ming up the blobs injected at di erent times. The integral in Eq. (4.3) gives the formal de nition of t entering Eq. (4.2):

$$t = \int_{1}^{Z_{0}} \frac{dt^{0}}{\det I(0;t^{0})} :$$
 (4.4)

Introducing the distribution function p(t), we rewrite Eq. (4.2) as

$$P(\#) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{dt}{2_{0}t} p(t) \exp \frac{\#^{2}}{2_{0}t} : (4.5)$$

Since t is a functional of the whole trajectory $_{i}(t;t^{0})$, one needs m ore inform ation than contained in the simultaneous distribution function of . However, the follow ing approximation, becoming exact at ln Pe ! 1, reduces the problem to single-time statistics. We shall neglect the con gurations for which the sm allest dim ension of the blob once reached $r_{\rm dif}$ starts to grow . Then the realizations for which t is larger than som e T, and those for which the blob in jected at T has $_{3}(0; T) > r_{dif}$, are the same, leading us to the following form ulas:

Here one should substitute PDF's (2.7) and (2.8), since t is determined by the di usion less reqime. These equations de ne nothing but the ux of the probability out of the region $_{3} > \ln(=j_{d})$, once returns are disregarded.

Investigation of the above integrals shows that p(t) has the following properties. Its main body is concentrated in the vicinity of $t = j_d j^1$ ln Pe, and has a width of the order of In Pe. On the other hand, its tailt $j_d j^1$ ln Pe decays exponentially,

$$p(t) / exp(ct);$$
 (4.7)

where c is equal to $S_2(_1)$ in d = 2 and $S_3(_1;_3)$ in d = 3. The interm ediate region is not universal and depends on the details of . Note that since Eq. (4.7) gives the probability that at large time t a blob has not yet decayed, therefore c is equal to the limiting value of ($m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4$)

(see sec. III).

This information allows one to calculate the probability distribution function P (#). If # ln (j d =), it is the central peak of p(t) that determ ines the scalar PDF:

$$P = \frac{j_{d}j}{2_{0} \ln Pe} \exp \frac{j_{d}j^{2}}{2_{0} \ln Pe} : (4.8)$$

As we increase #, at $\#^{>}$ ln Pe the details of the distribution of t become important. The Gaussian regime Eq. (4.8)] will turn into some nonuniversal asymptotic. Nonetheless, at # ln Pe, due to Eq. (4.7) the universality is restored:

$$P / \exp \frac{r}{2c} \#j: \qquad (4.9)$$

For a -correlated one can nd the complete function P (#). Then $S_{2;3}$ is Gaussian (Appendix A) and the result can be found in the saddle-point approximation. In d = 2 the result coincides with that of Refs. [4,10,11]. In d = 3 we obtain the formula (cf. Refs. [10,11])

$$lnP / 3 ln^2 Pe + \frac{D \#^2}{2_0} lnPe$$

for j#j< 4 ₀=D ln Pe, and s ____

$$\ln P / 6 \ln P e - 4 - 3 \ln^2 P e + \frac{3D \#^2}{8}$$

otherw ise. The change of the regime is related to the fact that the two dimensions of the contributing blobs start to be equal to r_{dif} . This result is dimensions of the one presented in Ref. [10]. The dimensions of the qualitatively explained as follows. In our case the structures of the scalar making the main contribution to the PDF are columns, with the two smallest dimensions of the same order. They appear because of the anticorrelation originating from the incompressibility condition: for t larger than a mean value, 3 should decrease slower than $_{3}t$, which by virtue of the anticorrelation leads to a decrease of $_{2}$ faster than $_{2}t$. Staring from a certain value of #, both $_{2}$ and $_{3}$ decrease at the same rate (an analogous phenomenon is described in subsection IIIB). This

structure is di erent from the ansatz proposed in Ref. [10].

Equation (4.2) should be modiled if has a nite correlation time [see the discussion leading to Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8)). That is

$$P = \frac{1}{2 _{0}t} \exp tf \frac{\#}{t}$$

;

where f(x) deviates from $x^2=(2_0)$ at x > 1=. This may a ect only the tail of P(#). If the parameter $p = \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{0}$ is much smaller than unity the tail is determined completely by the region where f / x^2 , and one obtains the asymptotic result (4.9). Conversely, at $p = \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{0}$

B.G radients

Here we brie y consider the statistics of the scalar gradients. W ithin the K raichnan model the problem was solved in Ref. [7]. From the qualitative picture presented there, one can conclude that the PDF is determined by the short-time uctuations of , and hence is nonuniversal. The following considerations support the conclusion.

In a way, similar to the one leading to Eqs. (3.6), (3.19), (4.2), and (4.3) one can nd

$$Z(y) = \exp \left(\frac{y y_{0}}{4}\right)^{Z_{0}} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{\det I(0;t^{0})} \frac{1}{t^{0}} \frac{1}{t^{0}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$$

A nalogously to the case of the scalar density, the gradient

eld at t = 0 is given by a superposition of contributions ofblobs in jected at earlier m om ents of tim e. W e observe that the contribution of each blob into !² $(r \#)^2$ is determ ined by two factors: the value of the scalar density $_0$ (det I) $^{1=2}$ and the inverse size of the blob contained in I^{1} . Not all the blobs make a contribution to Eq. (4.10). Indeed, the size of the blobs in jected at t^0 j j j (where t is de ned in subsection IVA) is much larger than R_{if} and, therefore the value of the gradient will be sm all. On the other hand, the scalar in jected at t^0 j t jhas an exponentially sm all density and hence does not contribute. Thus the distribution of ! is determ ined by the blobs injected at t⁰ t which have the minimum possible size provided the di usion is still ine ective at t = 0.

Each realization of can thus be roughly characterized by two relevant parameters. The stone is the lateral dimension lofthe thinnest blobs, for which the di usion can still be neglected at t < 0. This is related to the very last stage of the evolution, when blobs of the smallest size of the order of $r_{\rm dif}$ m ay undergo a strong rapid contraction, increasing the gradient without dissipating the scalar. Let us stress that the uctuation should be

short lived in order to suppress the di usive spreading of the particles.

The other parameter is the duration T_0 of the injection stage for these blobs, showing how many blobs approach $r_{\rm dif}$ att0. There is no average strain during this period, so that blobs injected at t $T_0 \leq t^0 \leq$ t all have a size of the order L attt. Since att>t the blobs move in the same velocity ekd, they all have approximately the same size att=0. Form ally, the number of relevant blobs is expressed by the form ula [c.f. Eq. (4.1)]

W riting the estimation for the gradient ! #=1 we can replace Eq. (4.10) by Z = hexp(iy#=1)i; A veraging over , we obtain

$$Z = \exp \frac{Y^2 {}_0 T_0}{2l^2}$$
 :

Since the injection stage occurs at j > j j j $_{dj^{1}} \ln Pe \sim$, the uctuations determining T and lare independent [7,8].

On the average T_0 $j_d j^1$ and l r_{dif} , so that $!^2$ $_0=({}_d^1 r_{dif}^2)$. Nevertheless, studying the tail of the gradients PDF, it is necessary to take into account the large deviations of these parameters. The probability of a large value of T_0 is related to con gurations of sm all strain (see Secs. III and IV A), and decays as exp(cT_0). Writing

P(!) exp
$$[!^{2}l^{2}=(2_{0}T_{0})]_{T_{0};1}$$
;

one can average over $T_0 \mbox{ and } \mbox{ nd }$

P(!)
$$\exp[j!j!(2c=_0)^{1=2}]$$
:

To average over lone notes that the tail $1 \, r_{\rm dif}$ of the probability distribution function of l is related to the tail of P_{st}(_d) [see Eqs. (2.11{2.13}] via l = exp(_d). Indeed, both are determ ined by the probability of a strong and rapid contraction from $r_{\rm dif}$ to l $r_{\rm dif}$. Hence

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & i \\ P (!) & & dlP_{st} (ln l) \exp & j! jl (2c=_0)^{1=2} \end{array}$$
(4.12)

W ithin the Kraichnan model $\ln P_{st} / l^2$ (see Appendix A) and the result $\ln P(!) / j! j^{2=3}$ of Ref. [7] easily follows. In general the uctuations of the sm allest dimension take place at times of order near t = 0, and

APPENDIX A: THE KRAICHNAN MODEL

Here we consider Eqs. (22,2.3) within the K raichnan model, when matrix has zero correlation time and is

therefore are related to the single-time distribution of \sim , which is nonuniversal.

We conclude that the gradient statistics is nonuniversal, and cannot be predicted unless some species of inform ation is supplied. For example, if the distribution of 1 falls overy fast at small 1, the distribution of ! will have an exponential tail at very large !. In particular, this can explain the results of numerical simulations [3,19], where a cuto can be due either to the grid step or imposed by hand [3]. If the tail of P_{st} behaves according to $\ln P_{st}(l)$] / 1, the tail of P(!) has the stretched exponential form : h P(!)] / j! j⁼⁽⁺¹⁾.

V.CONCLUSION

W e considered a passive scalar advected by a random large-scale velocity eld. Our purpose was to establish the degree of universality of the scalar statistics for arbitrary an correlated velocity. The investigation can be reduced to the statistics of di erent Lagrangian characteristics of the smooth ow. In the lim it of large a Peclet num ber, part of the relevant inform ation is contained in the long-time asymptotic properties of the Lagrangian statistics, which is shown to possess a universal form . The scalar quantities related to long-time evolution thus m anifest universal statistical features. Generally, these are the central part and the tail of the corresponding PDF.W e considered several particular examples of such quantities: the decay of the scalar density and its gradient, and the scalar density in the forced case. C onversely, the statistics of the gradients in the forced case requires inform ation about short-time uctuations of the velocity, and is thus sensitive to its details.

The application of the Lagrangian statistics established here is not only restricted to the above examples. One can slightly modify the procedure to consider manypoint correlation functions of the scalar, say the PDF of the scalar di erence at two points [10], correlation functions out of the convective interval [12], and other problem s. A sim ilar schem e could be applied to other passive quantities, like vectors [20] and tensors [21].

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e wish to express our gratitude to G.Falkovich and V.Lebedev for num erous helpful discussions and com - ments. Remarks by I.Kolokolov, M.Vergassola, Y.Levinson and J.L.G ilson are greatly acknow ledged.

Gaussian with the pair-correlation function [1]

h (t)
$$(0)i = D[(d + 1)]$$
 (t):

The tensor structure is xed by incom pressibility condition. Zero correlation time allows to write the Fokker-Planck equation for the probability distribution of R and

. Integrating out the angular degrees of freedom , one can see that the equation obtained is equivalent to the follow ing Langevin dynam ics [5]

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t i} = \frac{D d}{2} \sum_{j \in i}^{X^{d}} \operatorname{coth} (2_{i} 2_{j}) + i + \frac{1}{2} \exp(2_{i});$$

where $_{\rm i}$ are random G aussian delta-correlated processes with the following correlation functions

 $h_{i}(t)_{j}(t^{0})i = C_{ij}$ (t $\overset{0}{t}$); $C_{ij} = D$ (d $_{ij}$ 1):

Let us now consider a typical evolution of the eigenvalues. At t = 0 all the eigenvalues $_{i}$ are equal to zero. Then,

during short initial period of time, all start to di er. We can always arrange so that $_1 >_{P2} > ::> _d$. We observe that then the ballistic terms so that $(2_i 2_j)$ are arranged in the same order so that the eigenvalues will continue to separate and at t D¹ the following inequalities will hold $_1$ _2 ::: _d. If this is the case, we can substitute the hyperbolic cotangents by 1 and get the following equations

This simplied dynamics can be easily turned into the probability density functions of [13,6]

$$P(t; _{1}; _{2}) = \frac{p}{2 D t} \exp \left(\frac{(_{1} D t)^{2}}{2 D t} (_{1}) (_{1} + _{2}); \right)$$
(A1)

$$P(t; _{1}; _{2}; _{3}) = \frac{1}{2^{2} 3 \text{ Dt}} \exp \left(\frac{(_{1} 3\text{ Dt})^{2} + (_{1} 3\text{ Dt})_{2} + \frac{2}{2}}{3\text{ Dt}} (_{1} _{2}) (_{2} _{3}) (_{1} + _{2} + _{3}): (A2)$$

A s explained in the main text these form ulae are valid at times 1=D t 1=D $\ln(D L^2)$ and the form of the PDF near the boundary can be modeled by the step function. At times t 1=D $\ln(D L^2)$ one should also calculate the stationary PDF of d. They are readily found from the one-dimensional Fokker-P lanck equation

$$P_{st}(_{2}) = \frac{1}{4D} \exp \left(2_{2} - \frac{1}{2D} e^{2_{2}} \right)$$
 (A.3)

$$P_{st}(_{3}) = \frac{1}{8^{p}} - \frac{1}{D} \exp \left(3_{3} - \frac{1}{4D}\right)^{3-2}$$
 (A4)

APPENDIX B:SMALL -EXPANSION

In this section we assume that the correlation time pf is small, namely D 1, where D =htr dt T (0) (t) i characterizes the amplitude of the uctuations of . We investigate the e ect of nite correlation time on the Lyapunov spectrum. In d = 2 there are no essential changes with respect to the -correlated 1 get small corrections case since both $_1$ and $_2$ = leaving all qualitative features unchanged. How ever in in d = 3, one can ask whether $_2$ will shift from its zero value at = 0, and whether the correction is positive or negative at nite . We demonstrate that already the rst order correction in leads to a generally non-zero value of $_2$ in d = 3, which can be both positive and negative.

Here it is more convenient to parameterize the angular degrees of freedom of W W^T by the eigenvectors e_i instead of matrix R (see Eqs. (22, 23)), given by $e_i = R_i$. If the eigenvalues are separated, that is 1 2 3, the equations for 1 and corresponding to it eigenvector e_1 decouple

$$(e_{t_1} = (e_1; e_1); e_t e_1 = e_1 e_1(e_1; e_1): (B1))$$

The same is true for $_3$ and e_3

$$(e_1 = (e_3; e_3); \quad (e_1 e_3 = e_3 + e_3 (e_3; e_3));$$
(B2)

This system implies that under the transform ation !

^T the eigenvalues are transformed as $_{1;3}$! $_{3;1}$. In the calculation it is convenient to deal with symmetric matrices, which is achieved by decomposing into symmetric and antisymmetric parts = s + ! and introducing $e_1 = M n$ with

$$Q_{t}M = !M$$
; M (0) = 1

so that

$$1 = h(n;sn)i;$$
 $Q_t n = sn n(n;sn)$

with $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{M}^{T} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{M}$. To nd the rst order correction to 1 we integrate the above di erential equation from 0 to t, and then iterate the obtained expression once. A fler averaging over the directions of n (0) we nd

$$_{1} = \frac{2}{5} \int_{0}^{Z} dt_{1} tr hs(t) s(t_{1}) i + \frac{3}{7} \int_{0}^{Z} dt_{2} tr hs(t) s(t_{1}) s(t_{2}) i;$$

where t . The expression for $_3$ is obtained by changing s ! s in this formula. Then, using $_2 = _1 _3$, we nd

$$_{2} = \frac{12}{35} \int_{0}^{Z_{t}Z_{t}} dt_{1} dt_{2} tr hs(t) s(t_{1}) s(t_{2}) dt_{2}$$

which is generally non-zero and has no de nite sign.

APPENDIX C:PAIR-CORRELATION FUNCTION

In this appendix we calculate the time decay of the pair correlation function f(t;r) = h#(t;r)#(t;0)i within the K raichnan m odel. It satis as the equation [1]

$$@_t f = K \quad (r)r r f + 2 r^2 f; f_{t=0} = (r);$$

 $K = D \quad \frac{d+1}{2} \quad r^2 rr :$

Making the Fourier transform over r and passing to spherical coordinates, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \emptyset \ f = k^2 \theta_k^2 f + (d+1)k \theta_k f & \hat{k} f; \\ & = \frac{D \ (d \ 1)}{2} t; \quad = \frac{4}{D \ (d \ 1)}; \end{aligned}$$

Next, making the Laplace transform over we obtain

$$k^{2}f^{00} + (d+1)kf^{0}$$
 (E + k)f = (k): (C2)

Two linearly independent solutions of the hom ogeneous equation are expressed via the modil ed Bessel functions of the order $= E + d^2=4$. The branch of the square root should be picked up so that it has a cut along the sem iaxis $E < d^2=4$ and takes positive values at $E > d^2=4$. Using these functions one can ind the G reen function g(E;k;k⁰) of Eq. (C2) satisfying the correct boundary conditions

$$g(E;k;k^{0}) = k^{d=2} k^{d=2} I^{1} I (k^{1=2}) K (k^{0}) (k^{0}) k^{0}$$

+ K
$$(k^{1=2})I(k^{0})^{1=2}$$
 $(k k^{0})^{1}$; (C3)

with the solution of Eq. (C1) given by $f(t;k) = dk^0 g(t;k;k^0)$ (k^0). Next we should make the inverse Laplace transform of $g(E;k;k^0)$

$$g(;k;k^{0}) = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{bil}^{z_{b+11}} dE e^{E} g(E;k;k^{0}) : (C4)$$

Here b > 0 is arbitrary. One may deform the integration contour in Eq. (C4) until a singularity of the integrand is encountered. The st singularity appears at $E = d^2=4$, which is the branch point of . Therefore, the integration should be performed along the real axis at $1 < E < d^2=4$ on both sides of the cut. Making the change of variable $E = x^2 d^2=4$ we obtain

$$g(;k;k^{0}) = \frac{2}{2}k^{d=2}k^{(d=2)1}e^{d^{2}} = 4$$

$$dx e^{x^{2}} x \sinh(x)K_{ix}(k^{1=2})K_{ix}(k^{0}) = 0$$

If one is interested in the single-point statistics, one should integrate this expression over k

Z
dk k^{d 1} g(;k;k⁰) =
$$\frac{2^{d=2} 1 d=4}{2} k^{0d=2} 1 e^{d^2} =4$$

Z₁
dx e^{x²} x sinh (x)K_{ix} k^{0 1=2} $\frac{d}{4} + \frac{ix}{2}$

A large the integral is determ ined by a narrow vicinity of x = 0. After a simple calculation we obtain

$$h\#^{2}(t)i = \frac{CPe^{d=2}}{(Dt)^{3=2}} \exp - \frac{d^{2}(d-1)Dt}{8}$$
 (C5)

where C is a -dependent constant.

- [1] R.H.K raichnan, Phys.Fluids 11, 945 (1968).
- [2] G.K.Batchelor, J.Fluid Mech. 5, 113 (1959).
- [3] B.I.Shraim an and E.D.Siggia, Phys. Rev. E, 49, 2912, (1994).
- [4] M. Chertkov, G. Falkovich, I. Kolokolov, and V. Lebedev, Phys. Rev. E, 51, 5609, (1995).
- [5] D. Bemard, K. Gawedzki, and A. Kupianen, J. Stat. Phys. 90, 519, (1998).
- [6] D.T.Son, Phys. Rev. E 59, R3811 (1999).
- [7] M. Chertkov, G. Falkovich, and I. Kolokolov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2121, (1998).
- [8] M. Chertkov, I. Kolokolov, and M. Vergassola, Phys. Rev.E 56, 5483 (1997).
- [9] A.Fouxon, Phys. Rev. E, 58, 4019, (1998).
- [10] I.Kobkobv, V.Lebedev, and M. Stepanov, JETP, 88, 506 [Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.115, 920-939 [M arch 1999)].
- [11] M. Chertkov, A. G am ba, and I. K olokolov, Physics Letters A 192, 435 (1994).
- [12] E.Balkovsky, G.Falkovich, V.Lebedev, and M.Lysiansky, Phys. of Fluids, 11, 2269 (1999).
- [13] R.H.Kraichnan, J.Fluid Mech. 64, 737 (1974).
- [14] H. Tennekes, J. Lum ley, A rst course in turbulence, (Cambridge, MA : M IT Press, 1972).
- [15] A.S.M onin and A.M. Yaglom, Statistical Fluid Mechanics (M IT Press, Boston, 1975), Vol. 2
- [16] I.Goldhirsch, P-L.Sulem, and S.A.Orszag, Physica D, 27, 311 (1987).
- [17] U. Frisch, Turbulence: the Legacy of A. N. Kolm ogorov (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995).
- [18] Ya.G.Sinai and V.Yakhot, Phys. Rev. Let., 63, 1962, (1989).
- [19] E.Balkovsky, A.D yachenko, and G.Falkovich, (unpublished).
- [20] M. Chertkov, G. Falkovich, I.K olokolov, and M. Vergassola, preprint chao-dyn/9906030.
- [21] J.L.Lum Ley, Sym p.M ath. 9, 315 (1972).