# A Trace Form ula for Products of Diagonal Matrix Elements in Chaotic Systems

Sanjay Hortikar and Mark Srednicki<sup>2</sup>
Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

## Abstract

We derive a trace formula for  $^P$   $_n$   $A_{nn}B_{nn}:::$  (E  $E_n$ ), where  $A_{nn}$  is the diagonal matrix element of the operator A in the energy basis of a chaotic system. The result takes the form of a smooth term plus periodic-orbit corrections; each orbit is weighted by the usual G utzwiller factor times  $A_pB_p:::$ , where  $A_p$  is the average of the classical observable A along the periodic orbit p. This structure for the orbit corrections was previously proposed by M ain and W unner on the basis of numerical evidence.

Typeset using REVT<sub>E</sub>X

E {m ail: horti@physics.ucsb.edu

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>y}E$  {m ail: mark@physics.ucsb.edu

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

In a recent paper [1], M ain and W unner introduced the weighted density of states

$$A_{n}B_{n}$$
  $A_{nn}B_{nn}$  ::: (E  $E_{n}$ ): (1)

Here A; B;::: are operators with smooth classical limits (Weyl symbols), and  $A_{nn} = \ln \frac{\pi}{A}$  jni is the diagonal matrix element of A in the energy basis. This is a simple generalization of  $A_{nn} = \ln \frac{\pi}{A}$  in  $A_{nn} = \ln \frac{\pi}{A}$  jni is the diagonal matrix element of A in the energy basis. This is a simple generalization of  $A_{nn} = \ln \frac{\pi}{A}$  in  $A_{nn} = \ln \frac{\pi}{A}$  in  $A_{nn} = \ln \frac{\pi}{A}$  in the energy basis. This is a simple generalization of  $A_{nn} = \ln \frac{\pi}{A}$  in  $A_{nn} = \ln \frac{\pi}{A}$  in

$${}^{(A;B;:::)} = {}^{(A;B;:::)} + \frac{1}{h} {}^{X} A_{p}B_{p} ::: w_{p};$$
 (2)

where the sum is over all primitive periodic orbits pwith energy E, and

$$A_{p} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p=0}^{Z} A(X_{p}(t)) dt$$
 (3)

is the average value of the W eyl symbol of A along the orbit; here  $_{\rm p}$  is the period of the orbit, and X = (q;p) denotes both coordinates and m om enta. A lso,

$$w_p = Re_{r=1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{e^{i(S_p = h_p = 2)r}}{jdet(M_p^r = I)^{\frac{1}{2}-2}}$$
 (4)

is the G utzw iller weight factor;  $S_p$ , p, and M p are respectively the action, M aslov index, and m onodrom y m atrix of the orbit. The rst term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2) represents the part that rem ains smooth in the sem iclassical lim it; it should be 0 (h  $^f$ ), where f is the number of freedom s. However, M ain and W unner do not give an explicit formula for it.

If we set B = :::= I in Eq. (1), and hence  $B_p = :::= 1$  in Eq. (2), then we recover the trace formula for  $^{(A)}$  [4{9]. If we set A = I as well, we recover the original G utzwiller trace formula for the density of states [2]. This is the essential motivation of M ain and M unner for Eq. (2). They provide strong numerical evidence in favor of it, but they do not give an analytic derivation.

In this paper, we remedy this situation by deriving Eq. (2) from a generalization of a trace formula originally due to W ilkinson [3] (see also [5,8]). Furthermore we provide an explicit expression for the smooth term.

#### II. ANALYSIS

We rst consider the case of two operators, A and B, and extend the results to an arbitrary number in Section III. Following W ilkinson  $[\beta]$ , we de ne

S(E;) 
$$X = A_{nm} B_{mn-1} (E = \frac{1}{2} (E_n + E_m))_2 (E_n = E_m)$$
; (5)

Here  $_1$  (E) and  $_2$  (E) are smeared delta-functions. Rigorous results concerning S (E; ) have been proven in the case that the Fourier transforms of these smeared delta functions have compact support [8,9]. We will therefore make the simple choice

$$_{i}(E)$$
  $^{Z_{+}}_{i}\frac{dt}{2h}e^{iEt=h}$  (6)

$$= \frac{\sin (E_i = h)}{E}; \qquad (7)$$

where i, i = 1;2, is a time cuto . Our results will come from various manipulations of S (E; ) with = 0.

We begin by writing

$$S (E;0) = {}_{2}(0) {}^{X} A_{nn}B_{nn-1} (E_{n} E)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} {}^{X} A_{nm}B_{mn} \frac{\sin(!_{nm-2})}{E_{n} E_{m}} {}_{1}(E_{n} + E_{m})); \qquad (8)$$

where  $!_{nm}$  ( $E_n$   $E_m$ )=h. The rst term on the right-hand side is the one we want; except for the factor of  $_2$  (0) =  $_2$ = h, it is the same as the right-hand side of Eq. (1), in the lim it as  $_1$ ! 1. To get rid of the unwanted second term, we take  $_2$  to be much greater than the Heisenberg time  $_H$  2 h  $_0$ ; here

$$_{0} = \frac{d^{2f}X}{(2 \text{ h})^{f}} \quad (E \quad H (X))$$
 (9)

is the W eyl form ula for the m ean density of states. If  $_2$   $_H$ , then we typically have j!  $_{nm}$  j  $_2$  1. In this case,  $\sin$  (!  $_{nm}$   $_2$ ) varies erratically as n and m are varied. Furtherm ore the factor of 1= (E  $_n$  E  $_m$ ) can be written as  $_0$ = (n m) = (2 h=  $_H$ )= (n m), up to a factor which also varies erratically. W e then have

$$S (E;0) = \frac{2}{h} \sum_{n=1}^{X} A_{nn} B_{nn-1} (E_{n} E) + \frac{1}{2^{2}h} \sum_{n\neq n} \frac{A_{nm} B_{mn} R_{nm}}{n m} {}_{1} (E \frac{1}{2} (E_{n} + E_{m}));$$
(10)

where we can think of  $R_{nm}$  as a random number. Provided that  $A_{mn}$  j and  $B_{mn}$  j do not tend to increase as jm n j increases (in general a decrease is to be expected), the sum in the second term should quickly converge. Then we have

$$\frac{h}{2} S (E;0) = X A_{nn} B_{nn} (E_n E) + O (H = 2) :$$
 (11)

The rst term on the right-hand side is the same as the right-hand side of Eq. (1), provided  $_1$  , and the second term is small if  $_2$   $_{\rm H}$ .

We now wish to evaluate S (E; ) sem iclassically. We rst use Eq. (6) in Eq. (5) to get

$$S (E; ) = \int_{2}^{Z_{+2}} \frac{dt}{2h} e^{it=h} \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} \frac{dt^{0}}{2h} e^{+iEt^{0}h} F (t;t^{0});$$
 (12)

where we have de ned

F (t; t<sup>0</sup>) 
$$X_{nm} B_{mn} e^{i(E_n + E_m)t^0 = 2h} e^{+i(E_n E_m)t = h}$$
: (13)

The key point is that we can write F  $(t;t^0)$  as a single trace,

F 
$$(t;t^0) = TrU \left( t + \frac{1}{2}t^0 \right) AU \left( t + \frac{1}{2}t^0 \right) B$$
; (14)

where U (t) =  $e^{iH t=h}$  is the time-evolution operator.

To simplify our exposition, we temporarily make the (otherwise unnecessary) assumption that the Weyl symbols of A and B are functions of only the coordinates q and not the momentap. We can then evaluate the trace by inserting two complete sets of position eigenstates, leading to

$$F (t; t^{0}) = d^{f}q_{1} d^{f}q_{2} hq_{1} t^{1} (t + \frac{1}{2}t^{0}) jq_{2} iA (q_{2}) hq_{2} t^{1} (t + \frac{1}{2}t^{0}) jq_{1} iB (q_{1}) :$$
 (15)

We now make use of the sem iclassical approximation [2,10] to get

$$d^{f}q_{2} \ \text{lq}_{3} \ \text{JJ} \ ( \ t + \frac{1}{2}t^{0}) \ \dot{\text{jq}}_{2} \ \text{iA} \ (q_{2}) \ \text{lq}_{2} \ \text{JJ} \ (t + \frac{1}{2}t^{0}) \ \dot{\text{jq}}_{1} \ \text{i}$$

$$= \frac{X}{\text{path}} \ (q_{3}; q_{1}; t^{0}) \ \text{A} \ (q_{\text{path}} \ (t + \frac{1}{2}t^{0})) \ : \tag{16}$$

Here the sum is over all classical paths that go from  $q_1$  at time zero to  $q_3$  at time  $t^0$ ,  $q_{path}$  () is the position reached at time along a particular path, and K  $_{path}$  ( $q_3$ ;  $q_1$ ;  $t^0$ ) is the contribution of that path to the propagator  $p_3$   $p_1$  ( $p_2$ )  $p_3$  in the sem iclassical lim it.

We now perform the integrals over  $d^fq_1$  in Eq. (15) and over  $dt^0$  in Eq. (12) by stationary phase [2{9]. We get a contribution from zero-length paths (for which  $t^0=0$  at the point of stationary phase), and a sum over contributions from periodic orbits (for which  $t^0=p$  at the point of stationary phase). The result is

$$S(E; ) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Z_{+2}}{2} & \frac{dt}{2h} e^{it=h} & \frac{2}{4} & {}_{0}C_{0}(t) + \frac{1}{h} & W_{p}C_{p}(t)^{5}; \end{cases}$$
(17)

where the sum is over all primitive periodic orbits with period less than  $_1$ . A lso, we have introduced the energy-surface correlation function

$$C_0$$
 (t)  $\frac{1}{0}^{Z} \frac{d^{2f}X}{(2 \text{ h})^f}$  (E H (X )) A (X (t))B (X ); (18)

and the orbit correlation function

$$C_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{Z_{p}} dA(X_{p}(t+t+\frac{1}{2}p))B(X_{p}(t));$$
 (19)

Next, we must separate out a possible constant term in  $C_0$  (t). To do so, we take the microcanonical average of A (X ) on a surface of constant energy E ,

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{0}^{Z} \frac{d^{2f}X}{(2 h)^{f}} \quad (E = H (X)) A (X);$$
 (20)

and de ne  $\mathbb{A}(X)$  A (X) A and  $\mathbb{B}(X)$  B (X) B

$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(t) = \frac{1}{0}^{Z} \frac{d^{2}fX}{(2 h)^{f}} (E H (X)) \mathcal{A}(X (t)) \mathcal{B}(X) :$$
(21)

Since the system is chaotic (and hence mixing),  $\mathfrak{E}_0$  (t)! 0 as t! 1. We now have

$$S(E; ) = {}_{0}A_{0}B_{0}_{2}() + {}^{Z}_{2} + {}_{2}\frac{dt}{2h} e^{it=h} {}^{4}_{0}C^{e}_{0}(t) + {}^{1}\frac{1}{h} {}_{p<1}^{X} w_{p}C_{p}(t)^{5}; \qquad (22)$$

Next, we use the fact that  $C_p$  (t) is periodic in twith period p, which allows us to write [3]

$$C_p(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{x^1} e^{+2 ikt = p}$$
; (23)

w here

$$_{pk} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{p=0}^{Z} dt e^{2 ikt} C_{p} (t) :$$
 (24)

We note in particular that

$$p_0 = A_p B_p : (25)$$

Now using Eq. (23) in Eq. (22), we get

$$S (E; ) = {}_{0}A_{0}B_{0} {}_{2}() + {}_{0} {}_{2} \frac{dt}{2h} e^{it=h} \mathcal{C}_{0}(t) + \frac{1}{h} {}_{p \leq 1} {}_{k=1} {}_{k=1}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{h} {}_{p \leq 1} {}_{k=1} {}_{k=1} {}_{2}( 2hk=p) :$$
(26)

This result is a slight generalization of Wilkinson's [3].

We now set = 0. For the k = 0 term in the sum over orbit modes, we have a factor of  $_2(0) = _2 = h$ ; for the  $k \in 0$  term s, we use Eq. (7). A fter dividing through by  $_2(0)$ , and using  $_0 = _H = 2$  h, the result is

$$\frac{h}{2} S (E;0) = {}_{0}A_{0}B_{0} + \frac{H}{2_{2}} {}_{2} {}_{2} \frac{dt}{2h} C_{0}(t) + \frac{1}{h} {}_{p<1} {}_{x} w_{p p0}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{h} {}_{p<1} {}_{2} w_{p} {}_{k \in 0} \frac{y_{k} \sin(2k_{2} = p)}{2k} :$$
(27)

If we assum  $e_2$  , the last term can be neglected. Comparing Eqs. (11) and (27), and recalling Eq. (25), we verify Eq. (2). This is our key result.

There is, however, an important caveat. We have taken both  $_1$  and  $_2$  to be much greater than  $_H$  h  $^{(f\ 1)}$ . The rigorous treatment of [8,9], on the other hand, requires  $_1$  and  $_2$  to remain xed as h! 0, This suggests that a compromise of  $_{1;2}$   $_H$  m ight be optimal, which is consistent with other analyses of the trace formula [11{14}]. To further investigate this issue, we consider the special case A=B. The magnitude of uctuations in the values of the diagonal matrix elements of an operator A have been previously evaluated [4,6,7,15,16], with the result that

$${}_{0}^{(A;A)} = {}_{0}A_{0}^{2} + g^{Z} + \frac{dt}{2h} \mathcal{C}_{0}(t) :$$
 (28)

Here g=2 if the system is time reversal invariant, and g=1 if it is not. Eq. (28) is consistent with Eqs. (11) and (27) if we set  $_2=_{\rm H}$  =2g. We therefore conclude that, in the more general case where A  $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet}$  B,

$$_{0}^{(A,B)} = _{0}A_{0}B_{0} + g^{Z_{+H}} \frac{dt}{2h} \mathcal{C}_{0} (t) :$$
 (29)

If we also set  $_1 = _2$ , then the nalterm in Eq. (27) will be negligible for short periodic orbits (although it may become signicant as the orbit period  $_p$  approaches  $_2$ ). This provides a theoretical explanation for the numerical results of M ain and W unner [1].

Eq. (29) is an explicit formula for the smooth term. However, for  $_{1;2}$   $_{\rm H}$ , it is an uncontrolled approximation, since the neglected term s in Eqs. (11) and (27) are not formally suppressed. Nevertheless, the agreement of Eq. (28) with the results of [4,6,7,15,16] leads us to believe that Eq. (29) is correct.

#### III. EXTENSIONS

We now return to Eq. (1) and consider a string of diagonal matrix elements of Noperators, A; B;:::; Z. We can not a trace formula for Eq. (1) by starting from

$$F(t_1; :::; t_N) = TrU(t_1)AU(t_2)B :::U(t_N)Z :$$
 (30)

We then make Fourier transforms with respect to suitable linear combinations of the  $t_i$ 's to construct

A straightforward generalization of the analysis in Section II then leads to a result analogous to Eqs. (11) and (27), thus verifying Eq. (2).

The leading contribution to the smooth term is of the form  ${}_{0}A_{0}:::Z_{0}$ . There are also subleading contributions that depend on various energy-surface correlation functions. For example, for N = 3 we have

$${}_{0}^{(A;B;Z)} = {}_{0}A_{0}B_{0}Z_{0} + \frac{g}{2h} {}_{H} {}_{H} {}_{U} {}_{$$

Here  $\mathfrak{C}_0^{\mathrm{AB}}$  (t) is given by Eq. (21),

$$\mathcal{C}_{0}^{ABZ}(t_{1};t_{2}) = \frac{1}{c_{0}}^{Z} \frac{d^{2}fX}{(2 h)^{f}} \quad (E \quad H(X)) \mathcal{R}(X(t_{1})) \mathcal{R}(X(t_{2})) \mathcal{L}(X); \tag{33}$$

and again g = 2 if the system is time-reversal invariant, and g = 1 if it is not. This follows from requiring Eq. (32) to reproduce Eq. (29) when we set Z = I.

#### IV.CONCLUSIONS

We have formulated and veri ed a precise version of Eq. (2), which was originally proposed by Main and Wunner [1] for chaotic systems. Our derivation provides an analytic explanation for their numerical results.

M ain and W unner also proposed an equation analogous to Eq. (2) for integrable systems that generalizes the Berry-Tabor trace formula [17]. We have not attempted to derive this version, but clearly it would be of interest to do so.

#### ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

We thank Jorg Main for helpful correspondence. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant PHY 97-22022.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J.M ain and G.W unner, chao-dyn/9904040, Phys. Rev. E 60, 1630 (1999).
- [2] M.C.Gutzwiller, J.Math.Phys. 8, 1979 (1967); 12, 343 (1971).
- [3] M.Wilkinson, J. Phys. A 20, 2415 (1987).
- [4] M.Wilkinson, J. Phys. A 21, 1173 (1988).
- [5] B. Eckhardt, S. Fishman, K. Muller, and D. Wintgen, Phys. Rev. A 45, 3531 (1992).
- [6] B. Eckhardt and J. Main, chao-dyn/9508006, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2300 (1995).
- [7] B. Eckhardt, S. Fishman, J. Keating, O. Agam, J. Main, and K. Muller, chaodyn/9509017, Phys. Rev. E 52, 5893 (1995).
- [8] M. Combescure and D. Robert, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare 61, 443 (1994); J. Math. Phys. 36, 6596 (1995).
- [9] M. Combescure, J. Ralston, and D. Robert, math-ph/9807005, Comm. Math. Phys. 202, 463 (1999).
- [10] M.V. Berry and K.E. Mount, Rep. Prog. Phys. 35, 315 (1972).
- [11] M.V. Berry and J.P. Keating, J. Phys. A 23, 4839 (1990).
- [12] O.Agam and S.Fishman, J.Phys.A 26, 2113 (1993).
- [13] T. Prosen, Ann. Phys. 235, 115 (1994).
- [14] H. Prim ack and U. Smilansky, chao-dyn/9801025, J. Phys. A 31, 6253 (1998).
- [15] M. Feingold and A. Peres, Phys. Rev. A 34, 591 (1986).
- [16] S. Hortikar and M. Srednicki, chao-dyn/9711020, Phys. Rev. E 57, 7313 (1998).
- [17] M . V . Berry and M . Tabor, Proc. R . Soc. London A 349, 101 (1976). J. Phys. A 10, 371 (1977).