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#### Abstract

W e present num erical evidence which strongly suggests that irrational triangular billiards (all angles irrational w ith ) are $m$ ixing. Since these system $s$ are know $n$ to have zero K olm ogorov-Sinai entropy, they $m$ ay play an im portant role in understanding the statistical relaxation process.


PACS num ber: $05.45 .+\mathrm{b}$

A fter the pioneering paper of Ferm i, P asta and U lam [1] and the $m$ athem atical works of $K$ olm ogorov school, the $m$ odem ergodic theory can now account for the rich variety of di erent statistical behaviours of classical dynam ical system s . T hese properties range from com plete integrability to determ inistic chaos. The relatively few rigorous results so far available have provided a m guide for a large am ount of analyticaland num ericalw ork which gave a strong im pulse to the eld of nonlinear dynam ics. N eedless to say, several problem s rem ain to be solved. For exam ple it is known that $m$ ixing property guarantees correlations decay and relaxation to statistical equilibrium. It is how ever not know $n$ whether or not th is property is su cient for a m eaningful statistical description of the relaxation process or whether the strongest property of positive K S entropy is required. Even much less clear is the situation in quantum mechanics. For example, to what extent the statistical distribution of energy levels of a quantum conservative ham ittonian system, is related to the di erent properties in the ergodic hierarchy is, as far as we know, an open question.

E xam ples of classically com pletely integrable or determ in istic random system s have been w idely studied in the literature. H ow ever, to our know ledge, there are no physical exam ples of system $s$ which possess the $m$ ixing property only (w ith zero K S entropy). In this respect, the best candidates are billiards in 2-d triangles but, in spite of 30 years of investigations, no de nite statem ent can bem ade conceming their dynam ical properties [苜]. M oreover, 目] \a prevailing opinion in the m athem atical com m unity is that polygonal billiards are never $m$ ixing, but this has not been established".

In this paper we provide strong num erical evidence that generic triangularbilliards, w ith allangles irrational $w$ ith , are $m$ ixing. This result can play an im portant role in the understanding of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics since it lls a gap in the ergodic hierarchy. $M$ oreover, since the local dynam ical instability in these system $s$ is only linear, they have zero algorithm ic com -
plexity. Thism eans that, even though itm ay be very difcult, their analytical solution is not im possible in principle and this $m$ ay prove to be very im portant for the future developm ent of nonlinear dynam ics.
$W$ e consider the $m$ otion of a point particle, w ith unit velocity, inside a generic triangular billiard (w th all angles irrationalw ith , in general). Let us introduce cartesian coordinates ( $x ; y$ ), and align one side of the triangle along the $x$ axis $(y=0)$, starting at the origin $x=0$, and let us choose its length to be unity. W e denote the angle at the origin $(0 ; 0)$ by and the angle at $(1 ; 0)$ by . H ence the other tw o sides of the triangle are given by the eqs. $y=(\tan ) x$, and $y=(\tan )\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x\end{array}\right)$.

W e would like to draw the attention to the follow ing fact: the dynam ics of a point particle in a triangular billiard is equivalent to the $m$ otion of three particles on a ring $w$ ith di erent $m$ asses, $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$. Let the ring have circum ference 1 . The m otion of 3 -particles 1 d gas with coordinates $q_{1} ; q_{2} ; q_{3}\left(q_{1} \quad q_{2} \quad q_{3} \quad q_{1}+1\right.$ ) is govemed by the ham iltonian $H=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} q_{4}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} q_{2}^{2}+$ $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}_{3} q_{8}^{2}$, w ith elastic collisions at $q_{1}=q_{2}, q_{2}=q_{3}$, and $q_{3}=q_{1}+1$. Introducing the notation

$$
\left.r=\gamma^{p} \overline{m_{1}} q_{1} ;{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{~m}_{2}} q_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{~m}_{3}} q_{3}\right)
$$

and the orthogonal transform ation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=p \frac{1}{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) M}\left(p_{\overline{m_{1} m_{3}}} ; p_{\left.\overline{m_{2} m_{3}} ; m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}\right. \\
& y=p \frac{1}{\overline{m_{1}+m_{2}}}\left({ }^{p} \overline{m_{2}} ;{ }^{p} \overline{m_{1}} ; 0\right) \quad \Upsilon ; \\
& z=p \frac{1}{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{~m}_{1}} ; \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{~m}_{2}} ; p \overline{\mathrm{~m}_{3}}\right) \quad \text { ri }
\end{aligned}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{m}_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{2}+\mathrm{m}_{3}$, the ham iltonian $\mathrm{writes:}$ $H=\frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{x^{2}}+\underline{y}^{2}+\underline{z}^{2}\right)$ where, as can be checked by straightforw ard calculations, the $m$ otion in the ( $x ; y$ ) plane is bounded by specular re ections from the sides of the triangle w ith angles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan =\frac{r}{\frac{m_{2} M}{m_{1} m_{3}}} ; \tan =\frac{r}{\frac{m_{1} M}{m_{3} m_{2}}} ; \tan =\frac{r}{\frac{m_{3} M}{m_{2} m_{1}}}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The motion in the $z$ coordinate trivially separates and corresponds to the center-ofmass motion in the 3particles 1d gas.

N otice, how ever, that triangular billiards w th one angle larger than $=2$ cannot be related to the 3 -particles

1d gas, since from the above formula it follows that ; ; < =2. A particular case is given by the isosceles triangle which is dynam ically equivalent to the right triangle billiard, w ith e.g. $==2$. T he latter corresponds to $m_{3}=1$, i.e. to the 1 dm otion of two particles $w$ ith m asses $\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}$ betw een hard walls [5].

The dynam ics of triangular billiards can be divided into three classes:
(A) A $1 l$ angles rational $w$ ith . The dynam ics of such triangles is not ergodic; in fact it is pseudo-integrable, i.e. It possesses 2d invariant surfaces of high genus in 4 d phase space.
(B) O nly one angle rationalw ith . Such are generic right triangles, for exam ple. In recent num erical experim ents evidence has been given that right, irrational, triangular billiards are ergodic and weakly m ixing [4].
(C) A llangles irrationalw th . Sunprisingly, to the best of our know ledge, this generic class of triangles has been som ehow overlooked in previous num erical studies and $w$ illbe the $m$ ain object of the present paper. It is $w$ ith in this class that one $m$ ay now hopefiully look for ergodic and $m$ ixing behaviour.

From the rigorouspoint ofview not $m$ uch is known beyond the fact that the set of ergodic triangles is a dense G (intersection of a countable num ber of dense open sets) in a suitable topology. W e recall that a dynam ical system $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{t}}: \mathrm{x}(0)$ ! $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{w}$ th invariant m easure ( x ) in phase space $M$ is $m$ ixing if, for any $L^{2}$ pair of observables in phase space, their tim e correlation function asym ptotically vanishes


Themap $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{m}$ ay represent a continuous ow (t real) or a discrete $m$ ap (t integer). In this paper we shall $m$ ainly consider the dynam ics given by a discrete P oincare map which corresponds to the collisions of the orbits w ith the horizontalside $y=0$. The reduced phase space $\mid$ surface of section (SO S) | is a rectangle, param etrized by the coordinate 0 x 1 and by the corresponding canonical m om entum $1 \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}=\sin \# \quad 1$ (\# = angle ofincidence), w th the invariant m easure $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{dxdp} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$.

A sa rst step we have perform ed an accurate check of ergodicity. $W$ e have done this in two independent ways:
(i) By dividing the phase space ( $\mathrm{SO} S$ ) in a large num ber $N=N_{1} \quad N_{1}$ ofcells and then com puting the num ber $n(t)$ of cells which are visited by a single onbit up to discrete tim et [9]. Then we com puted the average relative $m$ easure $r(t)=h n(t)=N$ i of visited phase space up to tim e twhere h:i denotes phase space average over su ciently m any random ly chosen initial conditions. A s it is know $n$, for the so-called random $m$ odel of com pletely uniform (ergodic) and random dynam ics, one can derive the sim ple scaling law 0]

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=r_{R M}(t)=1 \quad \exp (t=N): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expression ( ( ) (for arbitrary but su ciently ne mesh N) should be considered as a su cient but not necessary condition for ergodicity. In fact, the dynam ics would obey the law (2) only when the system does not possess any non-trivial tim e scale. In $F$ ig. 1 we show the results of num erical com putations for triangles of class ( $B$ ) and (C). $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}$ e take for exam ple a right triangle B w ith angles $=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\overline{5} & 1\end{array}\right)=4 ;==2 \quad \dot{p}^{\prime}==2$ and a generic triangle $C$ with angles $=\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \overline{2} 1\right)=2 ;=(\overline{5}$ 1) $=4 ; \quad$ : It is seen that there is a drastic di erence betw een the tw o cases: the generic triangle C excellently follow s the law (2)), whereas the right triangle $B$, even though ergodic, 龁 strongly deviates and explores the phase space extrem ely slow ly, with a hierarchy of long tim e-scales related to a strong sticking of the orbit in $m$ om entum ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) space.


FIG.1. The relative $m$ easure $r(t)$ of the visited phase space as a function of discrete timet. The full and dashed curves refer to di erent discretizations of phase space, nam ely to $\mathrm{N}=10^{6}$ and $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ cells, respectively. T he two upper curves refer to the generic triangle $C$ whereas the two lower curves refer to the golden right triangle $B$ (see text for details). $T$ he random $m$ odel, expr.(2), is given by the dotted-dashed curve which is alm ost indistinguishable from the num erical curve for the triangle $C$ at $N=10^{6}$. In order to better display the excellent agreem ent with expr.(2), we plot in the inset the function $1 r(t)$ for the generic triangle $C$ in the sem $i$ log-scale. At $\mathrm{N}=10^{6}$, and $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$, averages over 200, and 4000 orbits w ith random ized in itial conditions have been used, respectively.
(ii) By com paring the tim e averaged correlation function for a single, but very long onbit, $C_{a}^{t}(t)=$ $\lim _{T!1} \frac{1}{T}{ }_{n=1}^{T} a(n) a(n+t) w$ th the phase averaged correlation function $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{t})=$ ha ( 0 )a ( t$) \mathrm{i}$ which is com puted by $m$ onte-carlo averaging over $m$ any short onbits w ith di erent random ized initial conditions. In F ig 2 we $p l o t$ the di erence betw een the tw o curves for the generic triangle C which tums out to be of the sam e order as the statistical error.


FIG.2. The velocity autocorrelation function $C_{p_{x}}^{p}(t)$ in log-log scale for the generic triangle $C$ (fill curve), which is obtained as the average over $1: 2 \quad 10^{6}$ di erent onbits of length 32768. The dashed line gives the slope 1:00. T he dotted curve gives the estim ated statistical error of the correlation function, while the dots $m$ ark the di erence betw een the phase averaged and the tim e averaged correlation func-
 from a single orbit of length $T=327681: 2 \quad 10^{6} \mathrm{w}$ ith initial condition $\mathrm{x}_{0}=0: 23456 ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x} 0}=0: 34567$. The statistical error is estim ated as the standard deviation of a sequence of $M=1000$ partial averages of length $T / M$ each.

T he above num erical results are surprisingly $m$ uch $m$ ore clear than expected; they dem onstrate ergodic behaviour and they $m$ ake reasonable to expect $m$ ixing behaviour also. W e now tum our attention to the latter question.

W e have perform ed extensive num erical com putations ofautocorrelation functions ofdi erent observables, nam ely, $m$ om entum (horizontal com ponent of velocity) $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$, symmetrized position $\mathrm{x}^{0}=2 \mathrm{x} \quad 1$, and also characteristic functions of sets in phase space. In all cases we have found clear num erical evidence of powerlaw decay of correlation functions over about 4 orders of $m$ agnitude. For a given triangle, correlation functions of di erent observables, decay w th the sam e em pirical exponent which is typically very close to 1. In Fig 2 we show the velocity correlation function of the triangle C, which decays w th exponent w th the tted value
$=0: 90 \quad 0: 02$. H ow ever, since the triangle $C$ is not too far from the right triangle we have chosen another, sim ijar generic triangle $D \mathrm{w}$ th the irrational angles $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\overline{2} & 1)=2 ;=1 ;=\quad \text { and show in }\end{array}\right.$ F ig 3 its velocity and position correlation function which decay w ith em pirical exponent $=0: 940: 04 . \mathrm{We}$ have perform ed extensive num ericalcalculations of correlation functions form any di erent generic triangles, and the exponent of decay have alw ays been very close to

1. There are even cases where the tted decay exponent seem s to be slightly below $1(>1)$, how ever in such cases, correlation functions appearm uch m ore noisy and it is di cult to $m$ ake precise statem ents.


FIG.3. The velocity (a) and position (b) correlation function, $C_{p}^{t}(t)$ and $C_{x}^{t} 0(t)$, for an orbit of length $10^{11}$ in the generic triangular billiard D. In itial condition: $\mathrm{x}_{0}=0: 23456 ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x} 0}=0: 34567$.

Finally, we have perform ed a di erent and powerful statistical test, nam ely we have com puted the P oincare' recurrences or the retum tim e statistics, i.e. the probability $P$ ( $t$ ) for an orbit not to stay outside a given subset A $M$ for a time longer than $t$. It has been con jectured [6]] (see also 8] for accurate de nition and fur\#her references) that the integrated probability $P_{i}(t)=$
$t_{t^{0}=t}^{1} P\left(t^{0}\right)$ should be intim ately connected to the correlation decay. M ore precisely, if the correlation function decays as a power-law w th exponent, then the integrated retum probability should decay with a sim ilar exponent $P$ ( $t$ ) $t \quad{ }^{1}$; $P_{i}(t) \quad t$, where $=$. In Fig. 4 we show the recurrence probability $P(t)$ and the integrated probability $P_{i}(t)$ com puted w r.t. half-space $A=f\left(x ; p_{x}\right) ; p_{x}>0 g$ for the same data as in $F$ igs 2,3 (triangularbilliard C).W e have found that num erical results are perfectly consistent w ith $==1$, i.e. w ith $1=t^{2}$ decay of retum probability $P(t)$.

To sum $m$ arize, the num erical obtained value of exponent is close to -1 but in som e cases is slightly less and in other cases slightly larger. W e attribute th is fact to long transient tim es which are caused by the intricate interplay of arithm etic properties of angles , , and by the presence of periodic orbits. In this connection we
would like to $m$ ention that we have num erically investigated the existence of periodic onbits and we have found that their number increases very slow ly w th their period. The num ber of non-equivalent periodic orbits $w$ ith lengths up to 1 (collisions w th the boundary) typically increases slower than 1 .


FIG.4. The retum probability $P$ ( $t$ ) (full curve), and the integrated retum probability $P_{i}(t)$ (dashed curve), for the sam e orbit (triangle D) as in Fig.3. T he dashed-dotted lines have slopes 2 and 1 respectively.

A qualitative argum ent which leads to the $1=\mathrm{t}$ decay of correlations reads as follow s: let us consider a xed, su ciently sm all, region A in phase space around a periodic orbit and study the 1 iterates of the P oincare $m$ ap, where $l$ is the period of the orbit. D ue to the linear (in) stability, the onbit which starts in a sm all square a of side centered on the periodic orbit and contained in A, will rem ain in A for a timet $1=$. It follows that the probability $P(1=)$ for an orbit to stay in the region A for a timet $1=$ is proportional to the probability of the orbit to visit the square a. Now, the linear instability im plies that an orbit which enters the square a will rem ain inside a for a constant tim e which does not depend on as ! 0.As a consequence, from the Liouville theorem it follows that the probability for an orbit to visit the square a $w$ ill be proportionalto its area ${ }^{2}$. $T$ his is the probability $P(1=)$ to rem ain in the region $A$ for a tim et $1=$. This leads to the relation $P(t) / 1=t^{2}$ as con $m$ ed by num erical results.

Since the question discussed in this paper is a very delicate one, we have put particular attention to the accuracy of our num erical com putations. Am ong the several di erent tests, we have developed tw o independent com puter codes, one based on the billiards dynam ics in a 2d plane, and the other based on the three particles 1d gas dynam ics: the results agree. M oreover, since the instability here is only linear in tim $e$, and the $m$ achine precision is $10^{16}$, the num erical errors are alw ays below the statisticalerrors.

The central question is to what extent the results presented here can be considered as a de nite or convincing
evidence for them ixing property ofgeneric triangularbilliards. The correlation decay of som e particular class of functions is certainly com patible w th a w eaker property than $m$ ixing. H ow ever, in this paper we have com pared the correlations decay of di erent variables (velocity, position, characteristic functions of phase space sets etc). W e have also considered the dynam ics given by the discrete $P$ oincare $m$ ap relative to di erent sides of the sam e triangle: all these correlations exhibit a pow er law decay $w$ ith exponent very close to 1 , and $m$ ake very plausible the con jecture that correlation functions in generic triangles decay as / 1=t. Of particular signi cance is the behaviour of the retum probability $P$ ( $t$ ) which decays w ith the expected pow er law / $1=t^{2}$. All the above results (including those described in F ig.1) lead to the conclusion that, outside any reasonable doubt, generic irrational triangles are $m$ ixing. The particular case of isosceles or right triangles is $\mathrm{m} u$ ch less clear. The behaviour of correlations in such case is noisy and the exponent of the decay is too sm all to allow for any de nite conclusion.

The fact that generic triangles have zero K olm ogorovSinai entropy and yet they have a very nioe m ixing behaviour w thout any tim e scale, $m$ ay prove to be very usefiul for understanding the dynam ical basis of the relaxation process to the statisticalequilibrium . M oreover, the analysis of their quantum behaviour will contribute to the current e orts for the construction of a statistical theory of quantum dynam ical system s.
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