Lyapunov exponents, entropy production and decoherence

Arjendu K.Pattanayak

Departm ent of Physics, Rice University, Houston, TX 77251-1892

W e establish that the entropy production rate of a classically chaotic H am iltonian system coupled to the environment settles, after a transient, to a meta-stable value given by the sum of positive generalized Lyapunov exponents. A meta-stable steady state is generated in this process. This behavior also occurs in quantum systems close to the classical lim it where it leads to the restoration of quantum -classical correspondence in chaotic systems coupled to the environment.

The Lyapunov exponents of a chaotic classical Ham iltonian system characterize the rate of exponential divergence of neighbouring phase-space trajectories thus de ning the microscopic time-scales. The question [1] of their role in the m acroscopic behavior of the system is hence at the foundation of statistical mechanics. It is also of deep interest to understand how the Lyapunov exponents govern the behavior of the quantum counterparts of these chaotic system s [2]. For instance, it is predicted [3] that quantum e ects com parable to the classical behavior should be measurable on a time-scale t_B $\frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{1}{h})$. Here h is Planck's constant scaled by a characteristic action for the system and is the largest Lyapunov exponent of the system . This break-time' can be 20 years for astronom ical system s [4] and is clearly incompatible with observations. It is argued [4] that this problem may be resolved by considering the decoherence resulting from including environmentale ects on the system evolution. Since this can be understood as a dynam ical coarse-graining, and statistical properties of the system are typically obtained through a static coarsegraining, a diagnostic of comm on primary interest for both issues is the behavior of the coarse-grained entropy of the system. The G ibbs entropy $S_G = Tr[h]$ and the linear or Renyi entropy $S_2 = \ln(Tr[^2])$ are both useful [5] in this regard. Here is the classical phase-space probability distribution ^C (x) or the quantum quasi-probability W igner distribution 🧧 (x) as appropriate and Tr denotes the integration over all phase-space variables x = (q;p). These entropies measure the information in the probability distribution and remain constant for Hamiltonian evolution without coarse-graining. A change in the coarse-grained entropy corresponds to inform ation being lost at the nest scales. Since in a chaotic system acquires structure at small scales exponentially rapidly [2], S_2 typically grows exponentially rapidly in magnitude initially for such classical system s, as also for their quantum analogs close to the classical lim it [7]. Num erical studies with static coarse-graining [8] and the analysis of the behavior of a Gaussian wave-packet in the upside-down harm onic oscillator suggest that for weakly coupled system § S_{
m S} settles to a meta- $_{i}$ $_{i}^{\dagger}$ over the positive stable constant' after this transient. This meta-stable value is conjectured [4] to be the sum Lyapunov exponents of the classical system, independent of the details of the original distribution. This conjecture has not yet been veried. Preliminary analyses [9] indicate that systems may become meta-stable although the quantitative conjecture does not hold in general. In this paper, we consider a variation of this conjecture and are able to analytically establish it for the general case of an arbitrary distribution evolving in a chaotic system. We do so by considering the dynamics of the quantity (t) which measures the degree of structure in a phase-space distribution, and is directly proportional to the entropy production rate. W e dem onstrate that under reasonable approxim ations the entropy production rate S_2 indeed settles after an exponential transient to S_2 $_{1}^{+}$ $_{2;1}^{+}$. The $_{2;1}$, as de ned below, are generalized Lyapunov exponents that depend upon the initial distribution in general. We further show that this is a robust result: A lthough for su ciently weakly coupled system s S_2 increases in magnitude to a constant, for a stronger coupling the m agnitude of S_2 starts high and decreases to the same constant. The m eta-stable rate is hence independent of the environm ental strength. We also generalize the idea that for such system s can evolve to a m eta-stable state [4,10] and show that for such states the quantum corrections for classically chaotic system s rem ain sm all for all times, indeed resolving the issue of the anom abusly short break-time'. We thus obtain a condition for the restoration of correspondence through decoherence. Finally, we present num erical results verifying these analytic results for the particular case of the quantum Cat M ap and its classical limit, a uniform ly hyperbolic K-system satisfying the assumptions in our arguments [11].

The evolution of W under the potential V (q) and coupled to an external environm ent [12,4] is

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho t} = fH; \quad \forall \ g + \sum_{n=1}^{X} \frac{h^{2n} (1)^n}{2^{2n} (2n+1)!} \frac{\varrho^{2n+1} V(q)}{\varrho q^{2n+1}} \frac{\varrho^{2n+1} W}{\varrho p^{2n+1}} + Dr^{2} W:$$
(1)

The rst term on the right is the Poisson bracket, generating the classical evolution for W; the term s in h add the quantal evolution. The environmental e ects are contained in the r² term; for simplicity we have assumed

coupling to all the phase-space variables, although the results can be easily generalized. The parameter D depends strongly upon the form of the coupling and the spectrum of the environment [12]. The entropy production rate is then $S_2 = 2D \frac{Tr[\frac{W}{Tr[(W)^2]}}{Tr[(W)^2]} = 2D \frac{Tr[\frac{W}{Tr[(W)^2]}}{Tr[(W)^2]} = 2D \frac{Tr[\frac{W}{Tr[(W)^2]}}{Tr[(W)^2]} = 2D^2$ where the second equality results from an integration by parts. The global quantity ² (t) corresponds to the mean-square radius of the Fourier expansion of ; it hence measures the structure in the distribution [2] and also governs the entropy production rate. To understand the behavior of S_2 rst consider the classical H am iltonian evolution, that is, only the Poisson bracket terms in Eq. (1). In this case, the point dynamics satisfy $\underline{x} = f(x)$ with the accompanying induced ow $x_t = {}^t x_0$ and [2] the phase-space gradient along a trajectory is governed by r $(x_k) = M(t;x_0)r(x_0)$, where the fundam entalmatrix M is given by [1] the time-ordered series M $(t;x_0) = T \exp_0^0 \frac{\theta(t)}{\theta(x)} d$. This de ness a real sympmetric matrix M^T M (the transpose denoted by M^T) which can be diagonalized [1] as M^T $(t;x_0) = M(t;x_0) = I \exp_0^1 (t;x_0) I (t;x_0) = I (t;x_0) I (t;x_0) I (t;x_0) = I (t;x_0) I$

$${}_{2,i}(;t) = \frac{1}{2t} \ln \frac{\operatorname{Tr}[\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{0})\overset{2}{\mathcal{T}}_{i}(\mathbf{t};\mathbf{x}_{0})]}{\operatorname{Tr}[\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{0})\overset{2}{\mathcal{T}}]} = \frac{1}{2t} \ln \frac{\operatorname{Tr}[\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{1})\overset{2}{\mathcal{T}}]}{\operatorname{Tr}[\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{0})\overset{2}{\mathcal{T}}]}$$
(2)

and their lim its $_{2,i}() = \lim_{t \ge 1} 2_{ji}(;t)$. The last equality in Eq. (2) comes from the orthonormality of the u_i and the dependence of $r_{(x_t)}$ on M. These are dependent versions of the usual generalized Lyapunov exponents of second order [6] which may be recovered by replacing $\dot{r}_i(x_0) \dot{f}$ by the natural invariant measure $_0(x)$ of the dynam ics. For linear systems $_i(t;x_0) = _i(t)$ and the denitions are independent of . For ergodic dynam ics $_{2,i}(;t) = _{2,i}()$ for all time. However, numerical evidence suggests that this equality is valid within sm all errors for typical initial densities for other H am iltonian ows as well. We can always write $_{2,i}(;t) = _{2,i}() + _i = t$ where uctuates in general and =t vanishes with increasing t. The analysis so far has been exact; hereafter we make the approximation of neglecting the term. We now decompose the entropy production rate into the contribution from the di erent stability subspaces as $S_2 = 2D^2 = 2D^2 = 2D^2 = 2D^2 = Tr[\dot{r}_i(x_t)\dot{f}] = Tr[^2(x_t)] \quad h_i^2 \dot{1}_{k}^2$ is the i component of 2 . The preceeding enables us to write

$$\frac{d_{i}^{2}}{dt} = 2 \frac{2}{i} \frac{2}{2i} \frac{2}{2i}$$
(3)

or equivalently $S_2 = 2D_{i}^{r} \frac{2}{i} (0)e^{2-2it}$ where the -dependence of $_{2;i}$ is left in plicit hereafter. This shows the initial behavior of S_2 ; it is dominated by the largest positive generalized exponent $_{2;1}^{+}$, thus recovering an earlier approximate result [7]. A fler this initial stage, the structure reaches the nest scales and hence the D-dependent di usion term becomes in portant. To include the impact of this we rst consider purely di usive behavior such that $\theta_t = Dr^2$. Since the Laplacian is independent of the coordinate system, this may be decomposed into the same subspaces as above as $\theta_t = D_{i}r_i^2$ with the solution being a product of the distributions as $=_{i}i(x_i)$. Each direction has the standard splution written in terms of the Fourier components as $k_i = k_i \exp(-Dk_i^2t)$ whence the individual $\frac{2}{i}(t) = bk_1^2 i \frac{2}{i} = \frac{k}{k_i^2} j_k(0) \frac{1}{i} \exp(-2Dk_i^2t) = \binom{k}{i} j_k(0) \frac{1}{i} \exp(-2Dk_i^2t)$ have the time-dependence

$$\frac{d_{i}^{2}}{dt} = 2D \left[h_{1}^{k} i_{k}^{2} - h_{1}^{2} i_{k}^{2}\right] = 4D h_{1}^{k} i_{k}^{2} = 4D i_{i}^{4} :$$
(4)

The approximation in Eq. (4) is a mean-eld one, valid for the usual Gaussian solution to the di usion equation for exam ple. The various 🖞 therefore behave as follows: An initial exponential transient entirely kills these quantities in the stable directions (corresponding to the negative Lyapunov exponents) and since this is enhanced by di usive e ects, these directions have a negligible role thereafter. In the unstable directions, how ever, the initial exponential growth is balanced by the di usion. If we now explicitly set d $\frac{2}{3}$ = dt equal to the sum of the chaotic Eq. 3] and di usive \mathbb{E} q.4] term s, we get $\frac{d_{i}}{dt} = 2 \frac{d_{i}}{2t}$ 4D $\frac{d_{i}}{dt}$ for the unstable directions. This has the stationary solution $r_{i}^{2} = r_{2i}^{+}=2D$ yielding the stationary entropy production rate $S_{2}^{2} = 2D^{2} = 2D^{2} = 2D^{2} = 2D^{2}$ i $r_{i}^{2} = r_{i}^{+}$ with the sum over the positive exponents only, as just argued. Thus, within the approxim ations as above, we have shown that the entropy production rate for a chaotic system weakly coupled to the environm ent settles after an initial exponential transient to a m eta-stable value as above, independent of the precise m agnitude of the environm entale ects [13]. This solution is stable under sm all pertubations; thus, the exact dynam ics arguably leave it unaltered. We now consider possible constraints on this result. First, note that the transition from the exponential to the linear regimes happens $(1=2^{+}_{2;i}) \ln \begin{bmatrix} +\\ 2;i \end{bmatrix}$ (0) the shortest scale is approximated by the largest exponent $+\\ 2;1 \end{bmatrix}$ at t_i and the full ² and we shall use those hereafter]. Since t = 0 for $2^{-1}D^{-2}(0) = 1$, for 1, the initial behavior of $\frac{2}{3}$ is not

the exponential transient but is given by Eq. (4) instead. For this case, the initial di usive e ects are balanced by the chaos such that an initially large S_2 decreases to a constant. Second, the di erence between the initial entropy $S_2(0)$ and the nalentropy S₂ (1) is typically nite. Thus, the system may not have enough initial entropy' to evolve to the constant entropy rate as above. A rough estim ate (and num erical results as described below) indicate that we need $S_2(0)$ $S_2(1) > 3$ for the linear saturation behavior to emerge [14]. Finally, in contrast to this behavior for chaotic system s, a similar analysis for non-chaotic system s yields an initial non-exponential decay of S_2 after which the competition from the diusive term in plies a maximum (/ D¹) for S₂, and a rapid decrease thereafter. As a result of these dynamics, appropriate initial distributions for chaotic systems settle to a meta-stable state with ² as above. This is a rem arkable result, generalizing earlier argum ents [4,10] for a 'steady-state Gaussian width'. Further, this enables us to bound the quantum corrections in Eq. (1). Consider the rst quantum term in Eq. (1), which scales as h^{2} ³. In the absence of the D-dependent term s, grows exponentially rapidly as exp(t), thus leading to a quantum -classical break-tim e' t_b $\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)$ after which the quantum 'correction' is comparable to the classical evolution and a classical description of the dynamics is invalid. This logarithm ic dependence of t_b on h is extremely weak and is a point of debate in the analysis of quantum chaotic system s [4]. How ever, environm entale ects saturate h^2 (=2D)³⁼² such that this indeed is a correction of (h^2) to the classical and hence the rst quantum term at evolution and there is no break-time'. The condition [15] for restoration of quantum -classical correspondence for chaotic system s m ay be sum m arized as 1 (note that the other quantum term s are higher order in). Physically, quantum e ects are important at the smallest scales h of phase-space. Classically chaotic evolution increases the support of a distribution at the nest scales exponentially rapidly, thus enhancing quantum e ects. However, noise or coarse-graining washes out the details of the ne-scale structure, thus restoring quantum -classical correspondence [16]. For system s where the above inequality is violated, however, a classical or sem iclassical analysis breaks down and we must use the exact quantum evolution.

We have num erically veried the theory using the equivalent of Eq. (1) for the classical and quantum Cat M ap [11]. As this system is linear and ergodic, the approximations above hold exactly. Some of the data obtained are shown in Figs. (1{3). First, with a scaled Planck's constant 2 h = 10⁵, we expect [11] essentially classical behavior, even with no added noise. This is indeed veri ed as in Fig. (1) where the classical data for the same initial conditions and D exactly overlie the quantum results. For an initially sharply localized G aussian state, corresponding to high initial entropy, when D is small we see initial exponential entropy production [7]; this saturates to linear behavior with the predicted slope. The initial exponential behavior is swam ped as D is increased, as argued previously. For a second initial state which is spread out and has lower initial entropy, we see sim ilar stable behavior { although S₂ now saturates earlier, as discussed above { con m ing that this m eta-stability is essentially independent of initial conditions and of D for near-classical system s. Fig. (2) shows the quantum -to-classical transition by comparing various runs for a single sharply localized initial condition at 2 h = 10 3 with their classical equivalents. We see that for very small D (hence large) there is signi cant quantal deviation from the classical behavior. However, as D is increased (and correspondingly decreases below 1), the quantalS₂ approaches the classical linear saturation behavior as above. A more spread-out initial distribution has the same qualitative behavior (not shown) except that it saturates early as expected. We note that the distributions for both Figs.(1,2) are not Gaussian during the m eta-stable stage of the dynam ics. Fig. (3) shows results for 2 h = 0.1. Here the uncertainty principle constraints the initial to occupy a substantial portion of the available phase space. We see again that for 1, the quantum behavior is substantially di erent from the classical and there is alm ost no sensitivity to the environm ent (low entropy production). As D increases, quantum -classical correspondence is indeed restored, although D is now so large the dynamics are essentially that of the noise alone and S_2 saturates at less than 2. The abruptness of the tail in all our computations is most probably a num erical artifact due to the extrem ely sm all num bers being com puted at that time; a detailed understanding of this tail is still absent. These results show that the entropy production rate for an arbitrary distribution in classically chaotic system saturates, after an exponentially rapid transient, to the sum of the positive generalized Lyapunov exponents of the system ; further, the distribution settles to a m eta-stable state. This is a clear signature of the underlying chaos, stable against perturbation. This behavior is echoed by quantum system s close to the classical lim it, and the saturation is the precursor for quantum -classical correspondence in chaotic system s coupled to the environm ent.

A cknow ledgem ent - It is a pleasure to acknow ledge discussions with B ala Sundaram .


- [1] P.G aspard, Chaos, Scattering and Statistical Mechanics (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1998).
- [2] A.K.Pattanayak and P.Brumer, Phys.Rev.E 56, 5174 (1997) and references therein.
- $[3]\ G$.P.Berm an and G.M.Zaslavsky, Physica 91A , 450 (1978).
- [4] W .H.Zurek and J.P.Paz, Phys.Rev.Lett. 72, 2508 (1994); Physica 83 D, 300 (1995).
- [5] These de nitions are consistent with those in the literature up to m inus signs and constant factors. These forms are both specic cases of the generalized Renyientropy [6] $S = \frac{1}{1} \ln (Tr[])$.
- [6] C. Beck and F. Schlogl, Thermodynamics of chaotic systems, (Cambridge University Press, N.Y., 1993).
- [7] A.K.Pattanayak and P.Brumer, Phys.Rev.Lett. 79 4131 (1997).
- [8] See for instance I.H am ilton et al, Phys. Rev. A 25, 3457 (1982); K.Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. (Suppl.) 98, 109 (1989);
 Y.Gu et al, Phys. Lett. A 229, 208 (1997); V Latora et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 520 (1999).
- [9] P.M iller and S.Sarkar, Phys. Rev. E 58, 4217 (1998); Phys. Rev. E 60, 1542 (1999).
- [10] B.C.Bag et al, Physica 125 D, 47 (1999).
- [11] V. I. A mold and A. Avez, Ergodic Problems of Classical Mechanics (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1989). The quantum version corresponds to J.W ilkie and P. Brumer, Phys. Rev. E 49, 1968 (1994) and A.K. Pattanayak and P. Brumer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 59, (1996).
- [12] See W .H. Zurek, Physics Today, 46, 81 (O ctober 1991) for a popular review and extensive references therein.
- [13] Since this is essentially independent of the size of D, it is in particular independent of D t. This explains the results [8] on the entropy production rates of classically chaotic systems subject to static coarse-graining. The averaging over the ne-scale structure in this case is not environmental and time-dependent but done by binning' the distribution.
- [14] This thus explains the result [9] that although the entropy production rate in a speci c chaotic system qualitatively veri ed the conjecture in [4], it did not quantitatively agree.
- [15] This is similar but not identical to the criteria in [4] and also in A.R.Koslovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 340 (1996).
- [16] S.Habib et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 4361 (1998); B.M irbach et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75, 362 (1995).

FIG.1. Time-dependence of the entropy S_2 (t) for the chaotic C at M ap for varying levels of coupling to the environment, as measured by D. C lassical and quantal (2 h = 10⁵) numerics were run for identical initial conditions and noise strengths D. The classical results are shown as points overlaid on the lines for the corresponding quantal data. There are two di erent initial conditions, one with high initial entropy S_2 (0) and the other with low er S_2 (0). A reference line with slope equal to $_2 = 0.9624$ is also shown.


FIG.2. Quantal and classical results are shown with 2 h = 10³, a single initial condition and varying D.AsD is increased, the quantal behavior approaches that of the classical system. As in Fig. (1), a reference line with slope equal to $_2 = 0.9624$ is also shown.


FIG.3. As in Fig. (2), but with 2 h = 10⁻¹. The quantal system is almost una ected by small D. The quantal behavior tends to the classical with increasing D; however, it is then dominated by noise and the slope is always less than that of the reference line shown with slope of $_2 = 0.9624$.

Entropy S₂









Entropy S₂