

A New Feature in Some Quasi-discontinuous Systems

W U Shun-Guang ()^{1,2} H E Da-Ren ()¹

¹ Complexity Science Center, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002

² Institute of Low Energy Nuclear Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875

(Received 20 October, 1999)

Many systems can display a very short, rapid changing stage (quasi-discontinuous region) inside a relatively very long and slowly changing process. A quantitative definition for the "quasi-discontinuity" in these systems has been introduced. We have shown by a simplified model that extra-large Feigenbaum constants can be found inside some period-doubling cascades due to the quasi-discontinuity. As an example, this phenomenon has also been observed in Rose-Hindmarsh model describing neuron activities.

PACS: 05.45.+b

Recently, there has been considerable interest in piecewise smooth systems (PWSSs). Such models usually describe systems displaying sudden, discontinuous changes, or jumping transitions after a long, gradually varying process. These systems may show some behaviors apparently different from those of the everywhere-differentiable systems (EDSs) [1-5]. In fact, the sudden changes in the above processes also need time. Therefore, such a process can be everywhere smooth if one describes it with a high enough resolution. Usually, in the largest part of the process, a quantity changes very slowly. It has a drastic changing only in one or several very small stages. We suggest to call the stage as a "quasi-discontinuous region (QDR)" and shall define a "quasi-discontinuity (QD)" inside it quantitatively. A system that can display QDR in its processes may be called a "quasi-discontinuous system (QDS)". Obviously, QDS is a much wider conception than PWSS and may serve as an intermediate between EDS and PWSS.

In order to show our basic idea and the first characteristic of QDS, we have constructed a model map as shown in Fig.1. The map reads:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_1(x) = k_1(x - x_1) + y_1 & x \in [0; x_1]; \\ f_2(x) = \frac{A}{B} \frac{(x - x_0)^2 + y_0}{(x - x_0)^2 + y_0} & x \in [x_1; x_3]; \\ f_3(x) = \frac{r^2}{r^2 + (x - x_0)^2} + y_0 & x \in [x_3; x_4]; \\ f_4(x) = k_2(x - x_4) + y_4 & x \in [x_4; x_5]; \\ f_5(x) = k_3(x - 1) & x \in [x_5; 1]; \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

As can be seen in Fig.1, the slope of f_1 branch is a unit. It is simulating the slowly changing part of the process. Branch f_4 is a linear line with a very large negative slope k_2 . Branch f_3 is a small part of a circle introduced for a smooth connection of f_2 and f_4 . The center of the circle locates at $(x_0; y_0)$, and its radius is r . Branches

f_3 and f_4 can simulate the small drastic changing part. In Eqs. (1), A is chosen as the control parameter. It is obvious that the fixed point at P_2 undergoes period-doubling bifurcation when A changes inside a certain parameter range. $(x_j; y_j)$ denote the coordinates of points P_j ($j = 0, \dots, 5$), respectively. They are determined by the conditions of smooth connections between neighboring branches. For certain function forms of f_2 and f_4 , the circle of f_3 still may be very large or small. We define another parameter to fix it. Therefore, x_0, y_0, r, k , and $(x_j; y_j)$ are all functions of A and ϵ . Their explicit forms will not be shown in this short letter. The parameter ranges chosen for this study are $A \in [8.0; 9.0]$ and $\epsilon \in [0.99995; 0.999997]$.

Now we will define QDR and QD in this model. According to the geometrical properties of Eqs. (1), one can obtain the following conclusions. When $\epsilon = 1, r = 0$, the first order derivative of the map function is discontinuous at $x_3 = x_4$. The second order derivative shows a singularity, that is, an infinitely large value here. When $\epsilon \in (0; 1)$, the branch f_3 has a finite length. The first order derivative of the map function is continuous at both x_3 and x_4 . The second order derivative value between them is finite but trends toward infinite when $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$. In this case, the maximum value of the second order derivative of the map function between x_3 and x_4 may be used to describe the "quasi-discontinuity (QD)". So we shall define QD as

$$= \max_{x \in [x_3; x_4]} \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} \Big|_{x_0}; \quad (2)$$

and define QDR as

$$= x(2) - x(1); \quad (3)$$

where $x(2)$ and $x(1)$ are between x_3 and x_4 , and satisfy

$$\frac{df}{dx} \Big|_{x(1)} = \frac{df}{dx} \Big|_{x(2)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \max_{x \in [x_3; x_4]} \frac{df}{dx} \Big|_x$$

According to the definitions (2) and (3), the QDR and QD for Eqs. (1) can be expressed as

$$x(1) = x_0 - \frac{k_2 r}{2 + k_2^2}; \quad x(2) = x_4; \quad (4)$$

and

$$= \frac{r^2}{[x_4 - x_0]^2 + y_0^2} \Big|_{x_4}; \quad (5)$$

respectively.

When $\mu = 1$ it is reasonable to observe one of the typical behaviors of PWSS. That is the interruption of a period-doubling bifurcation cascades by a type V intermittency [2,3].

When μ is smaller than, but close to 1, there is a QDR between x_3 and x_4 instead of the non-differentiable point. The mapping is everywhere smooth, so the period-doubling bifurcation cascade should continue to the end. However, there is a drastic transition of the mapping function slope in a very small QDR that makes all further bifurcation points compressed into a relatively much shorter parameter distance. The Feigenbaum constants δ_i ($i = 3, 4, 5$ or even more), influenced by the compression, should show some extraordinary values. That is exactly what we have observed. Table 1 shows the data about three cascades. In the table, n indicate the sequence number of doubling, $\delta_n(i)$ ($i = 1 \sim 3$) are the Feigenbaum constants of the cascade i . The parameter values $\mu(i)$ and the maximum value of the QD, $\mu_{max}(i)$, for each cascade are indicated in the caption. In the table n_0 data are obtained from Ref. [6]. They are listed here for a comparison with the corresponding ones obtained in a typical everywhere smooth situation. As can be seen in table I, when $\mu(i)$ is large, a lot of Feigenbaum constants, $\delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5, \delta_6$ and δ_7 are extraordinary. The further constants may be considered as ordinary, but they converge to the universal Feigenbaum number very slowly. When $\mu(i)$ is smaller, only δ_3, δ_4 and δ_5 are apparently extraordinary. The further constants converge much faster. When $\mu(i)$ is very small, the whole Feigenbaum constant sequence is very close to the standard n_0 data. That may indicate a smooth transition from QDS to a EDS. Also, from these data one can believe that the extraordinary Feigenbaum constants in the period-doubling cascades are induced by QD of the system. Based on this understanding we suggest the use of the common extraordinary Feigenbaum constant δ_j ($j = 3; 4$) to signify this phenomenon. The relationship between μ , the QD, and the symbol of the phenomenon δ_j ($j = 3; 4$), have been computed. Figure 2 shows the result of function δ_j (Although δ_j is dependent on both μ and A , our numerical results demonstrate that δ_j is not sensitive to the parameter A at a given μ . Therefore, it is possible to choose the maximum to represent QD of a whole diagram. For example, for the bifurcation points A_n ($n = 0; 1; 2; \dots; 12$), indicated in the second column of Table 1, the corresponding δ_j are $220.9 \times 10^6, 208.2 \times 10^6, 205.6 \times 10^6, \dots, 205.0 \times 10^6$, respectively. So we choose 220.9×10^6 as the representative of the bifurcation diagram). One can see that δ_4 increases, but δ_3 decreases when μ becomes larger and larger.

It is important to find examples of this kind of interesting phenomenon in practical systems. We have done such a study in Rose-Hindmarsh (R-H) model. The model, which describes neuronal bursting [7], can be expressed

by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dx}{dt} = y - ax^3 + bx^2 + I - z; \\ & \frac{dy}{dt} = c - dx^2 - y; \\ & \frac{dz}{dt} = r[s(x - x_0) - z]; \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where x is the electrical potential of the biological membrane, y is the recovering variable, z is the adjusting current, $a; b; c; d; s$ and x_0 are constants, r and I are chosen as the control parameters. We shall take $a = 1; b = 3; c = 1; d = 5; x_0 = 1.6; s = 4$ for this study. Fig. 3 shows the Poincare map of a strange attractor observed when $I = 2.9; r = 0.00433$ (Here the Poincare section is defined as the coordinate value of z axis at the maximum in x direction of the trajectory. We have also tested some different definitions of Poincare section, the results have shown that all of them are qualitatively the same as each other). It is clear that the iterations in the region $[z_1; z_2]$ change very rapidly. Therefore, we call this region as a QDR.

Table 2 shows the critical bifurcation parameter values and the corresponding Feigenbaum constants for a period-doubling bifurcation cascade. One can see that δ_1 and δ_2 are larger than ordinary values. As our computation has confirmed, that means an interruption of the cascade by a collision of the periodic orbit with the QDR when the first time period-doubling finished.

For a comparison with the function δ_j shown in Fig. 2, we have computed the bifurcation diagrams with $I = 2.8; 2.9; 3.0; 3.1; 3.2; 3.3; 3.4$, and $r = 2 \times 10^{-2}; 4 \times 10^{-2}$. The results are shown in Fig. 4 (Here, we also choose the maximum to represent the quasi-discontinuity of one bifurcation diagram). They are in a qualitative agreement with those in Fig. 2.

In conclusion, we have found some extraordinary Feigenbaum constants in some period-doubling bifurcation cascades in a constructive and a practical system. The mechanism of the phenomenon is that a periodic orbit near a critical point of bifurcation crosses a QDR in the system. This understanding may be important for the experimental scientists because very often they can measure only the first several Feigenbaum constants in a real experiment. After observing strange Feigenbaum constants, they can verify if their system is a QDS with the knowledge in this discussion. Moreover, our results also demonstrate that between typical PWSSs and EDSs there can be a type of transitive systems.

[1] L. Glass, Chaos, 1 (1991) 13.

[2] D. R. He et al. Phys. Lett. A 171 (1992) 61.

[3] C. Marriot and C. Delisle, Physica D 36 (1989) 198.

- [4] C.W u, S. Q u, S.W u and D .R .He, Chin. Phys. Lett. 15 (1998) 246.
[5] X .D ing, S. W u, Y .Y in and D .R .He, Chin. Phys. Lett. 16 (1999) 167.
[6] M .J. Feigenbaum , J. Stat. Phys. 19 (1978) 158.
[7] J. L. H indm arsh and R. M . Rose, Nature(London), 296 (1982) 162.

TABLE I. The data for period-doubling bifurcation cascades in Eqs. (1) with $\alpha = 0.9999970$ and $m_{\max} = 220.9 \cdot 10^6$ for cascade (1); $\alpha = 0.9999196$ and $m_{\max} = 8.2 \cdot 10^6$ for cascade (2); $\alpha = 0.9987537$ and $m_{\max} = 0.5 \cdot 10^6$ for cascade (3). The data are obtained by numerical method with a quadruple precision.

n	$n(1)$	$n(2)$	$n(3)$	n_0
1	4.626168416	4.626168416	4.626168416	4.744309468
2	4.638635250	4.638635250	5.965311262	4.674447827
3	8.823236594	9.982064249	7.746315906	4.670792250
4	16.553602405	11.112580986	4.756159889	4.669461648
5	1.619920263	3.966760226	4.705758197	4.669265809
6	4.147211067	4.411839476	4.674983020	4.669214270
7	5.173386810	4.526007508	4.670687838	4.669204451
8	4.765263421	4.709611842	4.669607200	4.669202201
9	4.757647408	4.671017586	4.669986034	4.669201737
10	4.687625667	4.677922775	4.669375410	4.669201636
11	4.701929236	4.703838840	4.699239861	4.669201614

TABLE II. The data of the bifurcation critical parameter values and the corresponding Feigenbaum constants observed in system (6) with $I = 2.8$.

n	$r_n \cdot 10^{-2}$	n
0	3.52000	
1	1.55550	19.84344
2	1.45650	6.14905
3	1.44040	5.19350
4	1.43730	4.76981
5	1.43665	




FIG .1. Function of Eqs. (1) when $A = 8.75407$; $\alpha = 0.998$. The inset is the enlargement area near P_3 and P_4 .




FIG .2. The function δ_i for Eqs. (1) with the parameter value $\alpha \in [0.99966; 0.999997]$.




FIG .3. The Poincaré map of R-H model. Here the range $[z_1; z_2]$ schematically indicates the QDR, the real QDR calculated via Eq.(3) is too small to be clearly expressed.




FIG. 4. The function δ_i for period-doubling bifurcations in R-H model.