## E ect of unitary impurities in non-STM -types of tunneling in high-T<sub>c</sub> superconductors

Jian-X in Zhu and C.S.T ing

Texas Center for Superconductivity and Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204

Chia-Ren Hu

Departm ent of Physics, Texas A & M University, College Station, Texas 77843

Based on an extended Hubbard model, we present calculations of both the local (i.e., singlesite) and spatially-averaged di erential tunneling conductance in d-wave superconductors containing nonm agnetic in purities in the unitary limit. Our results show that a random distribution of unitary in purities of any concentration can at most give rise to a nite zero-bias conductance (with no peak there) in spatially-averaged non-STM type of tunneling. This is in spite of the fact that local tunneling in the immediate vicinity of an isolated in purity does show a conductance peak at zero bias. We also nd that to give rise to even a small zero-bias conductance peak in the spatiallyaveraged type of tunneling the impurities must form dimers, trimers, etc. along the [110] directions. In addition, we nd that the most-recently-observed novel pattern of the tunneling conductance around a single impurity by Pan et al. [Nature 403, 746 (2000)] can be explained in terms of a realistic model of the tunneling con guration which gives rise to the experimental results reported there. The key feature in this model is the blocking e ect of the Bio and SrO layers which exist between the tunneling tip and the CuO<sub>2</sub> layer being probed.

PACS numbers: 74.50.+ r, 74.62 Dh, 74.80.-g

I. IN TRODUCTION

Several years ago, one of us  $(CRH)^1$  showed that the quasi-particle spectrum of a d-wave superconductor (DWSC) contains a special class of excitations | called midgap states (MS's) in that reference | which have essentially zero energy with respect to the Ferm i energy, and are bound states with their wave functions localized at the vicinities of various kinds of defects in the system, such as a surface<sup>1</sup> and a grain<sup>2</sup><sup>4</sup> or tw in<sup>5</sup> boundary. These MS's form an \essentially dispersionless" branch of elementary excitations, in the sense that their momenta along a at surface or interface can essentially range from

 $k_{\rm F}$  to  $k_{\rm F}$  (Ferm im om entum ), and yet with almost no accompanied kinetic energy variation. The existence of surface and interface M S's appears to have already been con med by several types of experiments.<sup>6 {8</sup> An important question is whether a unitary in purity can also give rise to MS's. The MS's have a topological origin, in the sense that in the sem i-classical W KBJ approximation, which makes these states truly midgap, their existence requires the satisfaction of one or more sign conditions only. More precisely, one can describe such a state in term sofone orm ore (as a linear com bination) closed classical orbits, each of which must encounter two Andreev re ections by the pair potential at two di erent points of the Ferm i surface where the pair potential  $((\tilde{k}))$  has opposite signs. For each M S form ed at a specular surface, only one such closed orbit is involved, so only one sign condition is required.<sup>1</sup> For a MS form ed at a at grain (or twin) boundary, modeled as a planar interface with transm issivity 0 < t < 1 and di erent crystalorientations on its two sides, two closed classical orbits are involved, corresponding to the possibility of transmission and reection at the interface. Thus two sign conditions must

be satis ed simultaneously.<sup>4</sup> If a unitary impurity could be represented by a circular hole of a radius much larger than the Ferm iw avelength, then quasi-classical argum ent can be expected to hold, and at least som e non-vanishing num ber ofm idgap states should exist near its boundary. But when a unitary impurity is of atom ic size, such a quasiclassical argum ent becom es dubious. In fact, if one thinks of scattering by an impurity as a linear combination of in nite number of classical orbits, corresponding to the possibility of scattering by all angles on the Ferm i surface, then it would seem that an in nite number of sign conditions would have to be satis ed in order for the impurity to induce some midgap states, corresponding to requiring sign change of the pair potential between any two points on the Ferm i surface, which clearly is not satis ed. This argum ent suggests that midgap states can not form near an impurity, or at least they will not be exactly m idgap even in the sem iclasscal approximation. However, this argument does not distinguish between a unitary impurity and a non-unitary one. On the other hand, early treatments of a random distribution of unitary in purities in DW SC's, based on the self-consistent t-m atrix approximation, have indicated a broad peak at zero energy in the density of states (DOS).9 (Even earlier sim ilar studies on p-wave SC's also showed such peaks.<sup>10</sup>) Perhaps Balatsky et al. are the rst to mention within this context that a single unitary in purity in a d-wave SC will lead to two zero-energy bound states (per spin), with energies 0.11 (Buchholtz and Zwicknaglm ade a sim ilar statem ent much earlier for p-w ave superconductors.<sup>12</sup>) The t-m atrix approxim ation em ployed in all of these works, which includes a sem iclassical approximation, restores particle-hole symmetry, which is not a very good approximation in high-T<sub>c</sub> superconductors (HTSC's). W ithout this symmetry the energies of these  $\M$  S's" are most-likely not exactly zero. Unlike MS's at surfaces and interfaces, which involve a small num ber of points on the Ferm i surface only, and therefore can easily avoid the gap-node directions, in the case of a state localized around an impurity, all points on the Ferm i surface must be involved, including the nodal directions where the gap vanishes. Then for a state whose energy is not exactly zero, its wave function must be able to leak to in nity near the nodal directions. That is, such a state can not be a genuine bound state, and can only be a resonant state. W hen there is a nite density of impurities, such wave functions should then possess a long-range interaction with each other via the \leakages", leading presum ably to a broad \im purity band". The self-consistent t-m atrix approxim ation does not take this point into account properly, and is therefore not satisfactory. (However, see Joynt<sup>13</sup> for an opposite view, except on the assumption of particle-hole symmetry and the validity of neglecting \crossing diagram s" when perform ing impurity averaging in two dimensions. But we think that neglecting the crossing diagram s is precisely why that approximation can not treat the impurity interaction properly.) A num erical approach has been introduced by X iang and W heatley<sup>14</sup> to avoid these shortcom ings. For a single unitary impurity and a random distribution of unitary in purities it gave results in good agreem ent with the t-m atrix approxim ation on the DOS. Num erical solutions of a lattice BCS model with nearest neighbor attraction has also been em ployed by O nishi et  $al_{r}^{15}$  who showed that: (i) their exist two essentiallyzero-energy states (per spin) localized around an im purity, (ii) their wave functions have long tails in the nodal directions, (iii) as a result such states localized around two impurities separated by a large distance in comparison with the coherence length 0 can still interact with each other, leading to a broad in purity band. Thus the general picture outlined above appears to be con med. But Ref. 14 probably also assumed particle-hole symmetry since it plotted the DOS for positive energy only, whereas in Ref. 15 only = 0 is considered which has exact particle-hole symmetry. Numerical diagonalization method has also been applied to particle-hole nonsym m etric m odels to study im purity e ects, but w ithout addressing the questions raised here. See, for example, Ref. 16. Rather, that work and several other works $^{17}$ debated on whether there is localization in the impurity band | a topic which is not our concern here. Instead, we wish to address the roles played by unitary im purities in single-particle tunneling. In particular, it has been noted that M S's form ed at surfaces and interfaces of DW SC's can lead to an observable zero-bias conductance peak (ZBCP) in tunneling.<sup>1;4;18{21</sup> Indeed, several recent tunneling experim ents perform ed with<sup>22</sup> STM /S and other tunneling techniques<sup>23</sup> on HTSC single crystals and epitaxial thin Im s have shown that in ab-plane tunneling a very prom inent ZBCP can be observed, especially on f110g surfaces. The observed ZBCP exists continuously for long distances along the surfaces, and

the observed tunneling characteristics can be quantitatively tted by a generalized B londer-T inkham -K lapw ijk theory<sup>24</sup> which includes the e ects of the MS's form ed on such surfaces of DW SC's. Assuming that this interpretation is correct, a question one can ask next is: C an unitary in purities be responsible for at least som e of the observed ZBCP's? This question is meaningful since ZBCP's have been observed ubiquitously in all kinds of tunneling settings, and some of them may not possess surface and interface MS's. (See Ref. 4 for a review.) Theoretical results reviewed above, although not conclusive, seem to suggest that unitary impurities can also give rise to ZBCP's. But experim ental evidence in non-STM /S types of tunneling seem to suggest the contrary. To see that this is the case, one must rst exclude ZBCP's observed in ab-plane tunneling, and on tunneling perform ed on polycrystaline and ceram ic sam ples, since in these cases contributions from the surface and interface M S's can most-likely dom inate. (W hereas no M S's can form on a at f100g surface of a DW SC,<sup>1</sup> surface roughness can reverse this conclusion.<sup>25</sup> Thus we exclude a-axis and fn0m g-directional tunneling as well, if n=0.) One is left with c-axis tunneling on single crystals and epitaxial thin Ims only. (Nom inal c-axis point-contact m easurem ents m ay actually be seeing som e ab-plane tunneling, since the tunneling tips have been pushed into the H T SC sam ples in these measurements. So they should also be excluded.) Even in the surest c-axis tunneling cases one must still distinguish between STM /S-type tunneling, which can explore the tunneling characteristics in the close vicinity of a single isolated in purity, and the other non-localized tunneling techniques, which see a spatially averaged tunneling characteristics. For the later type, the spectral weight of the impurity contribution must not be too low to be observed, so the impurity concentration must not be too low. It is this kind of tunneling which we are interested in here, since we suspect that once the spectral weight is su ciently large, the interaction between a random distribution of impurities will also be so large that it still can not give a ZBCP, but only a nite conductance at zero bias, G (0), as a local minimum or even an extra dip. Searching the literature, we nd three m ore recently published papers reporting the STM /S results on the observation of a ZBCP-like feature in the vicinity of an impurity.<sup>26{28</sup> For non-localized tunneling, we nd at most a few cases which can weakly suggest that the small ZBCP's observed in them might originate from impurities.<sup>29</sup> (Even in these cases, it is not clear whether M S's could have form ed at som e exposed CuO<sub>2</sub> edges at the interface with the insulating barrier. The epitaxial lm s m ight also have grain boundaries which could host M S's.) M ost c-axis tunneling data which exhibit a clear gap feature show a minimum at zero bias, with some showing essentially simple d-wave behavior, with very smallG (0),<sup>30</sup> and some showing nearly d-wave behavior but with a nite G (0).<sup>31</sup> Still others show features on both sides of zero bias, giving the impression that there is an extra dip at zero bias.<sup>32</sup> Very few publications seem to have system atically studied the impurity e ects in c-axis tunneling. We nd one: Hancotte et al.<sup>33</sup> showed that Zn substitution (1%) in the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes of BSCCO (2212) caused G (0) to markedly increase, accompanied by a reduced gap. Here the spectral weight of impurity e ects is clearly large enough, yet not even a trace of a ZBCP was observed.

M ore recently, the quasiparticle properties around a single in purity have been investigated in m ore detail in a two dimensionalt-J model,  $^{34;35}$  with a focus on whether the ZBCP observed with STM /S near an impurity is split. or not. The purpose of this paper is, on the other hand, to attem pt to answer the following precise question: A ssum ing that HTSC's are DWSC's, and isolated unitary impurities do possess near-zero energy resonant states which can be observed as a ZBCP-like feature by STM /S in the close vicinity of such an impurity, can any concentration of a random distribution of unitary in purities be able to give rise to an observable ZBCP (of any width) in non-STM /S types of tunneling, or there must be some spatial correlation in the impurity distribution before a ZBCP can appear in such types of tunneling? For this purpose we have perform ed an extensive num erical study. W e have introduced the supercell technique so that the nite-size e ects from the exact diagonalization can be overcom e, and the desired energy resolution can be obtained. This technique has the ability to treat well the impurity of atom ic size. Moreover, the band structure e ects can be incorporated in a naturalway. The results show the conductance behavior is sensitive to the position of the chem ical potential within the band and to the in purity con guration at the atom ic scale. Our results have indeed con med our suspicion that for a simple random distribution of unitary impurities, either their spectral weight is too low for their e ects to be observable in non-STM types of tunneling, or their interaction is so strong that only a nite G (0) is obtained as a local m in im um rather than a peak, because the im purity band has spread wide, with the center of its contribution to the density-of-states function lower than its two sides. In addition, we nd that if only enough num ber of the unitary in purities form nearest neighbors along the [11] directions in a C uO  $_2$  plane, henceforth called [11]-directional dimers", then a weak ZBCP can appear in non-STM types of tunneling. (We also nd that if enough such in purities form [11]-directional trimers, then the ZBCP can be even taller and narrow er, but the chance of form ing such alignments in an actual sample is probably very low. On the other hand, we think that dim ers can probably form with not very low probability.) Furtherm ore, we have also shown that the most recently observed pattern (by STM /S) of the local tunneling conductance around a single in purity<sup>28</sup> can be explained by taking into account that the STM /S tip in that experim ent is separated from the  $CuO_2$  plane under probe by a B iO layer and a SrO layer. Therefore, the tunneling tip can not com municate with the atom directly below it in the CuO<sub>2</sub> plane, due to the blocking e ect of the atom s directly above it in the

B iD and SrO layers. R ather, we think that the measured  $\bcal tunneling conductance"$  by the tunneling tip on a C u site in the C uO<sub>2</sub> plane is actually that averaged over a bcal region around that site, excluding the contribution from the atom at that site, because of the blocking e ect just described. U sing this very reasonable postulate, we nd that we can at least sem i-quantitatively understand the pattern observed in R ef. 28, including why it peaks at the in purity site, and vanishes at its four nearest neighbor C u sites, etc.

#### II. THEORET ICAL METHOD

To model decoupled copper-oxygen layers in HTSC's, we consider the single-band extended Hubbard model dened on a two-dimensional square lattice (lattice constant a) with nearest-neighbor hopping, and on-site repulsive and nearest-neighbor attractive interactions.<sup>14</sup> For our purpose, we introduce supercells each with size  $N_xa = N_ya$ . We then de ne the supercell B both states labeled by a wave vector k and a site index i within the supercell. In the mean eld theory, the task becomes to exactly diagonalize the B ogoliubov-de G ennes (BdG) equations:<sup>36</sup>

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X & H_{ij}(k) & {}_{ij}(k) & u_{j}^{n,k} \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ y & {}_{ij}(k) & H_{ij}(k) & v_{j}^{n,k} \end{array} = E_{n,k} & u_{i}^{n,k} \\ \end{array}$$
(1)

Here  $u_i^{n,k}$  and  $v_i^{n,k}$  are the Bogoliubov amplitudes corresponding to the eigenvalue  $E_{n,k}$ .

$$H_{ij}(k) = te^{ik} a_{i+;j} + (U_{i})_{ij}; \qquad (2)$$

$$i_{j} = 0$$
 (i)  $i_{j} + (i) e^{ik} a_{i+;j}$ ; (3)

where t is the hopping integral, is the chemical potential, =  $\hat{x}$ ;  $\hat{y}$  are the unit vectors along the crystalline a and b axes, and  $k_{x,y} = 2 n_{x,y} = M_{x,y} N_{x,y} a$  with  $n_{x,y} = 0;1;2;:::;M_{x,y}$  1.  $M_{x,y} N_{x,y} a$  is the linear dimension of the whole system, which is assumed to be made of M = M<sub>x</sub> M<sub>y</sub> super-cells. The singlesite nonmpagnetic in purity scattering is represented by  $U_i = U_0$   $_{i^0 2 I}$   $_{i^0;i}$  with the sum mation over the set of in purity sites. The self-consistent pair potentials are in turn expressed in terms of the wavefunctions  $(u_i; v_i)$ :

$$_{0} (i) = \frac{g_{0}}{M} \sum_{n,k}^{X} u_{i}^{n,k} (v_{i}^{n,k}) \tanh (E_{n,k} = 2k_{B} T); \quad (4)$$

$$(i) = \frac{g_1}{2M} \sum_{n,k}^{X} [u_i^{n,k} (v_{i+}^{n,k}) e^{ik} a + u_{i+}^{n,k} (v_i^{n,k}) e^{ik} a]$$

$$tanh (E_{n,k}=2k_B T);$$
(5)

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzm ann constant and T is the absolute tem perature. In the case of repulsive on-site  $(g_0 < 0)$  and nearest-neighbor attractive  $(g_1 > 0)$  interactions, the d-wave pairing state is favored, the amplitude of which is dened as:  $_d$  (i) = [ $_{\hat{\chi}_a}$  (i) +  $_{\hat{\chi}_a}$  (i)  $_{\hat{\chi}_b}$  (i)

<sub>≴b</sub> (i)]=4.

W e de ne the local di erential-tunneling-conductance (DTC) by  $^{37}$ 

$$G_{i}(E) = \frac{2}{M} \frac{X}{m_{n,k}} [j u_{i}^{n,k} j^{2} f^{0}(E_{n,k} E) + j v_{i}^{n,k} j^{2} f^{0}(E_{n,k} + E)]; \qquad (6)$$

where the prefactor 2 com es from the two-fold spin degeneracy and  $f^0(E)$  is the derivative of the Ferm i distribution function  $f(E) = [\exp(E = k_B T) + 1]^1 \cdot G_1(E)$  can theoretially be measured by STM experiments. (How - ever, see later for a possible complication when the probed layer and the STM /S tip are separated by other atom ic layers.) The spatially-averaged DTC is de ned by  $G(E) = \int_i G_i(E) = N_x N_y$ , which can essentially be measured in m any non-STM /S types of tunneling experiments (planar, ram p, etc.). (But squeezable junctions m ight measure som ething in between, depending on the pressure applied.)

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the num erical calculation, we take the supercell size  $N_x = N_y = 35$ , the num ber of supercells M = 6 6, and the tem perature  $k_B T = 0.02t$ . The lattice sites within one supercell are indexed as  $(i_x; i_y)$  with  $i_x$  and  $i_y$  each ranging from 1 to 35. In addition, we use the bulk value of the order parameter as an input to diagonalize Eq.(1)and the deform ation of the order param eter near the im purity is ignored. (This approximation should be quite acceptable for the questions we wish to answer here.) In the bulk system, the d-w ave order parameter has the form k = 2 d (cosk<sub>x</sub>a cosk<sub>y</sub>a), where  $k_{x,y}$  are the x- and y-com ponents of the wave vector de ned on the whole system. Table I lists the resulting d-wave pair potential  $_{d}$  and coherence length  $_{0}$  =  $hv_{F}$  =  $_{max}$  for the chosen values of  $g_1 \; \text{and} \;$  , where  $v_F$  is the Ferm ivelocity and  $m_{ax} = 4$  d is the maximum energy gap. The choice of the maximum energy gap is consistent with the bulk gap structure exhibited in the bulk DOS. In the calculation of  $d_1$ , an arbitrary negative value of  $g_0$  can be taken.

## A.The cases of a single impurity and a sm all cluster of impurities

The quasiparticle property near a single in purity in a DW SC is complicated, and whether the energy of quasiparticle resonant states is exactly zero (relative to the Ferm i energy) or whether the zero (or near-zero) energy

states are split is very sensitive to the band structure and the impurity strength. To give a clear answer or clue to this question, we rst consider the case of weak or moderately strong in purity. Figure 1 plots the local DTC as a function of bias directly on the single-site in purity (a) and one lattice constant away (b). As shown in Fig. 1, when the impurity scattering is weak ( $U_0 = 2.5t$ ), the local DTC on the impurity site has a peak below the Ferm i energy, which is consistent with the earlier study within the continuum theory $i^{11}$  while that at the site nearest-neighboring to the impurity has a double peak structure, one above and the other below the Ferm ienergy. W hen the impurity scattering becomes stronger  $(U_0 = 10t)$ , the peak on the local DTC on the impurity site is pushed toward the Ferm i energy with the amplitude strongly suppressed; nevertheless the double peaks on the localDTC at the nearest-neighbor site converge to each other and the intensity is enhanced. Since = 0 in this calculation, the band is globally particle-hole sym metric. Therefore the non-zero energy resonant states shown above originates from the local particle-hole sym metry breaking. Dierently, when the impurity scattering goes to the unitary limit, whether there exists the particle-hole sym m etry depends on solely on the position of chem ical potential within the band.

In all the following discussions, the single-site in purity potential strength is taken to be  $U_0 = 100t$ , so it is practically in the unitary limit. In Fig. 2 the local DTC is plotted as a function of bias near one singlesite impurity located at site (18;18) for various values of but with  $g_1 = 2t$  xed. The bias is normalized to max. The tunneling point is one lattice constant away from the impurity along the (10) direction, i.e., at (19, 18). As is shown, when = 0 where the particle-hole symmetry holds, a sharp zero-bias conductance peak is exhibited. W hen deviates from zero, the line shape of the local D T C becom es asym m etric with respect to the zero energy position. The peak near zero energy is seen to show an incomplete splitting and the height of this peak is decreased. The extent of splitting increases with the deviation of from zero. This result is quite di erent from the case of a f110g-oriented surface, where an un-split zero-energy peak in the localDTC shows up regardless of the position of the chem ical potential. The sim ilarity between the result obtained here by solving the extended Hubbard model and the corresponding result we obtained earlier by solving the t-J m  $odel^{35}$  indicates that our conclusion about the splitting of the ZBCP is, at least in the mean eld level, model independent. Next, we show that when more than one unitary impurities are present in the SC, the localDTC heavily depends on the impurity con guration. In Fig. 3, we plot the local DTC in a DW SC with two and three in purities form ing a [11]-directional nearest-neighbord in er and trim er, respectively, for  $q_1 = 2t$  and = t. W ithout loss of generality, the in purity positions are placed at sites (17,19) and (18,18) for the dimer case, and at sites (17,19), (18,18) and (19,17) for the trim er case. The

m easured position is still at site (19,18). As can be seen clearly, the near-zero-energy peaks in the local DTC for the single in purity case are strongly pulled toward zero energy in the dimer case. In the trimer case, the zeroenergy peak is even more pronounced. To check this point more seriously, we have also calculated the lowest positive eigenvalues for the system composed of one supercell with one single impurity, and two impurities with di erent relative positions for  $q_1 = 2t$  and = t. We nd that a single impurity leads to two near-zeroenergy states per spin, one just above and one just below the Fermi energy. The absolute energy corresponding to these two eigenstates is roughly 0:1t. (It is not zero because there is no particle-hole symmetry in this model. study.) But for the two in purities positioned as nearest neighbors of each other along the [11] direction, the low est positive eigen-energy is only about 0:03t. If two im purities are positioned as nearest neighbor of each other along the [10] direction, the lowest positive eigenvalue is roughly 0:09t, which is very close to the value for isolated in purities. In Fig. 4, we have plotted the variation of the low est positive eigenvalue with the distance between two im putities aligned along the [11] direction. When two im purities are far apart, the lowest positive eigenvalue os-0:105t and 0:079t, around the value cillates between

0:1t for isolated in purities, as the distance between the two impurities are increased. This oscillation is a clear indication of the long range interaction between two im purities that we have already discussed. (The long tails of the wave function of a bound state around a unitary in purity in the nodal directions are oscillating in Ferm i wavelength, so the sign of the interaction between two impurities can change with distance.) W hen two impurities form a [11]-directional dimer, they combine to play the role of a very short fllg edge. That is, they drastically enhanced the probability for specular re ection by this edge, so the nearest-to-zero eigen-energies become very close to zero energy. This analysis dem onstrates that the closest-to-zero eigen-energies due to the presence of impurities are very sensitive to the short-range correlations in the impurity con guration. Furtherm ore, we plot in Fig. 5 the number of near-zero eigen-energy states versus the num ber of in purities aligned consecutively along the [11] direction. In this calculation, each state with its eigenvalue sm aller than 0:04t is counted as a near-zero-energy state. As the result shows, the num ber of near-zero-energy states increases alm ost linearly with the length of a [11]-directional impurity line. We also nd that, as the number of impurities is increased, there are more and more states with their eigen-energy approaching zero, which con m s from an alternative aspect that the appearance of the ZBCP in the fll0goriented DW SC tunnel junctions is independent of the position of in the band.

M ost recently, Pan et al.<sup>28</sup> have perform ed an STM study on the e ect of a single zinc (Zn) impurity atom on the quasiparticle local density of states (LDOS) in BSCCO. Besides revealing the predicted highly local-

ized four-fold quasiparticle cloud around the impurity, the imaging also exhibited a novel distribution of the near-zero-resonant-energy LDOS near the impurity. In contrast to the existing theories, which give a vanishing LDOS directly at the site of the unitary impurity, and vanishing or low values on all atom ic sites along the [11] directions and at the (20) and (02) sites, it was observed that the LD O S at the resonance energy has the strongest intensity directly on the Zn site, scattering from which is believed to be in the unitary limit. In addition, the LDOS at the resonance energy has nearly local minim a at the four Cu atom s nearest-neighbor to the Zn atom, and has local maxim a at the second- and third-nearestneighbor Cu atom s. The intensity of the LDOS on the second-nearest-neighbor C u atom s is larger than that on the third-nearest-neighbor Cu atom s. A lthough this unexpected phenom enon m ight indicate strong correlation e ects, we would rather try to explain it from an alternative point of view. Superconductivity in HTSC's is believed to originate in the  $CuO_2$  plane, and the STM /S tip at low bias is known to be probing such a plane closest to the surface. But the STM tip is also known to be separated from this plane by a BiO layer and a SrO layer. The BiO layer is believed to be sem iconducting and the SrO layer, insulating. So low energy electrons can go through them . However, the hard cores of the atoms surely can block the tunneling current from directly going through them . We therefore postulate that tunneling occurs from the tip to the atom swithin a small circular area in the CuO<sub>2</sub> plane directly below the tip, except those atom s blocked in the above sense. In addition, we postulate that the linear dimension of the small circular area is only a little larger than the lattice constant a, because the negative-exponential dependence of the tunneling current on distance in plies that we need only include the closest set of atom s that can contribute to the tunneling current. (That is, they are not blocked by the atom s in the B iD and SrO layers closer to the surface than the  $CuO_2$  layer being probed.) Then the tip above a given Zn or Cu site in the CuO<sub>2</sub> plane sees only its four nearest-neighbor sites in that plane. Therefore, we propose that the actual measured LDOS at a Zn or Cu site is essentially the sum of the contributions from its four nearest (Cu or Zn)-neighbors. The measured localDTC should then be related to the calculated local DTC by the relation:

$$G_{i}^{\text{expt.}}(V) = G_{i^{+}}^{\text{calc.}}(V):$$
(7)

In Fig. 6, part (a), the spatial distribution of the calculated bare [i.e., before the transformation given in Eq. (7)] DTC at zero bias is displaced in a threedimensional plot. It includes the e ects of all four near-zero-energy resonant states (two per spin) localized around a unitary in purity.<sup>38</sup> The tem perature is assumed to be at  $k_B T = 0.02t$  (or T '  $0.1T_c$ ) in the calculation. The parameter values  $g_1 = 1.5t$  and = 0.4t are cho-

sen to obtain a single near-zero-bias conductance peak. These values are not yet optim ized, since at the present time we only wish to establish the essential correctness of our idea. In Fig. 6, part (b), a planar "bubble plot" of the same data is given, where the size of each black dot is directly proportional to the calculated bare-DTC at that lattice site. (Our calculation, being tight-binding in nature, gives DTC values only at the lattice sites, unlike the observed data, which gives a continuous variation of the DTC between the atom ic sites.) In Fig. 6, parts (c) and (d), sim ilar plots are given for the calculated transform ed-DTC based on Eq. (7). It is clear from comparing these plots with Fig. 3(b) and (c) of  $Ref_{.}^{28}$ that the calculated transform ed-DTC -distribution agrees quite well, at least qualitatively, with the measured DTCdistribution at the resonant energy in that reference.

As a crude quantitative com parison between our prediction based on Eq. (7) and the measure data of P an et al, we have listed in Table II the norm alized measured values by Pan et al. [based on Fig.4 (a) in Ref.<sup>28</sup>], our normalized calculated bare values, and our normalized calculated transform ed values [by using Eq. (7)], of the bcalDTC at various lattice sites near a Zn im purity [which is de ned to be the (00) site], up to the third nearestneighbor [i.e., the (20) and (02)] sites. W ithin each row of data, the norm alization is such that the largest value becomes unity. (For the rst and third row sofdata, this occurs at the (00) site, but for the second row of data, this occurs at the (10) and (01) sites, because the calculated bare value of the local DTC at the resonant energy vanishes at the (00) site.) W e adm it that this com parison is only a very crude one, since our tight-binding result for the DTC distribution, which exists at the Zn and Cu sites only, and not continuously in between them, should, strictly speaking, be compared with some integrated result of the measured local DTC. But in the rst row of Table II we have only listed the measured local DTC values right at the Zn and near-by Cu sites, with no integration perform ed. In fact, we strongly believe that the agreem ent will be much better if we do perform properly such an integration of the measured data before com parison with our prediction, as may be seen by com paring our prediction given in Fig. 6, part (d), and the Fig. 3 (b) of Ref.<sup>28</sup>, or the three-dimensional gure on the cover page of the M arch 2000 issue of P hysics  $Today^{39}$ . This is particularly so with regard to the relative heights of the local DTC measured at the (10) or (01) sites, and those at the (20) and (02) sites. The form er is larger than the latter in the data given in the rst row of Table II, and in Fig. 4 (a) of  $Ref^{28}$ , where the data in the rst row of table II cam e from . How ever, since at the mom ent we have not gured out the proper way to do this integration, we shall leave that to a future publication. (An even better theory should generate a continuous local DTC in a plane, to be directly com pared with the measured data, with no integration needed. This possibility will also be looked into later.) In spite of the fact that the com parison presented in Table II is only a very crude one, we

believe it still strongly suggests that our idea is essentially correct, although the model clearly can and should be improved, in order to give a local DTC distribution which exists continuously in a two-dimensional plane, as has been observed.

#### B. The case of random ly distributed im purities

In Fig. 7, we have plotted the spatially averaged DTC for di erent concentrations of in purities contained in the DW SC. The parameters chosen are:  $q_1 = 2t$  and = t. W hen the super-cell contains only one in purity, because the spectral weight from the impurities is too small for this density (0:08%) of impurities, only a very small spatially-averaged zero-bias DTC, G (0), appears, without a slight trace of a ZBCP. At this low concentration of impurities, G (V) practically re ects the bulk d-wave DOS. The conductance peak outside the positive maximum energy gap stems from the van Hove singularity. To increase the spectral weight of the impurity contribution to G (V), one needs to increase the density of impurities, in presum ably a random distribution. But as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 7, in which the random lydistributed in purity density has been increased to 1:28%, one still does not obtain an observable ZBCP in spatiallyaveraged G (V), but only a nite spatially-averaged G (0) as a localm inimum. This we think is because the wave functions of the near-zero-energy resonant states around the impurities have tails along the near-nodal directions, the interaction between such states at di erent in purity positions (especially between those in purities not very far away from each other) is so large that the contributions from these states to the DTC has spread into a wide band with actually lower value at the band center (i.e., zero bias) than at the band edges. This is consistent with the fact that in most c-axis non-STM -types of tunneling experiments, only a nite G (0) is observed, without showing a peak there of any shape and width.

C om pared with the single in purity case plotted by the solid line, it is clear that G (0) is enhanced at this much higher density of random ly-distributed in purities, show ing that the spectral weight of the impurity contribution at this density of in purities is clearly no longer negligible. Yet no trace of a ZBCP is obtained. On the other hand, we nd that at the same concentration of impurities, if a good portion of these in purities form [11]-directional 2a between the two impuridimers, (with separation ties in each such dimer,) but still with random orientations, a sm all observable ZBCP is exhibited in G (V). [See the short-dashed line in Fig. 7], in which 62:5% of the impurities form such dimers. We have also calculated the wavefunction near the two in purities form ing such a dim er and found that the wavefunction am plitude is very sm allalong the alignm ent direction of the two im purities, but has an oscillatory behavior perpendicular to the alignment direction. This highly an isotropic behavior of the wavefunction may have drastically suppressed the interaction between the inpurities. The height of the ZBCP depends on the total number of inpurities forming such dimers. We also nd that if some impurities form [11]-directional trimers, the amplitude of the ZBCP can be enhanced even further. But we deem the probability for three inpurities to form such a trimer in an actual system is very small, but the assumption that some impurities can form [11]-directional dimers should be reasonable. Thus we propose that this is how im purities can possibly contribute to some of the observed ZBCP's, and why often ZBCP's are not observed in caxis non-localized tunneling, even in samples which have been deliberately introduced some substantial amount of substitutional non-m agnetic zinc (Zn) in purities.<sup>33</sup>

## IV . SU M M A R Y

In summary, we have made detailed calculations of both the local and the spatially-averaged di erential tunneling conductance in DW SC's containing nonmagnetic in purities in the unitary lim it. Our results show the following: (1) P reviously we have show  $n^{35}$  that the local conductance behavior near zero energy at the sites near a unitary impurity is sensitive to how well the particlehole symmetry is satised, and how large is the error of using a sem iclassical W KBJ approximation to treat the problem . Here we have demonstrated that conclusion is model independent. (2) We also nd that the conductance behavior is very sensitive to the impurity conguration. For the single-in purity case, a recently obtained LDOS in aging at the resonance energy has been explained in term s of a m odel where the blocking e ect of the atom s in the B iO and SrO layers are taken into account, so that the tunneling tip does not probe the local density of states of the Cu or Zn site directly below it, but rather essentially the sum of those of its four nearest neighbor sites. Furtherm ore, our study allow sus to conclude that unitary in purities can contribute to an observable ZBCP in non-localized tunneling if and only if a substantial num ber of im purities form [11]-directional dimers, (and trimers, etc.). On the other hand a sim ple random distribution of unitary in purities either has too low a spectral weight to contribute observably to non-localized tunneling, or their interaction is already so strong that they can only produce a nite value at zero bias in non-localized tunneling conductance without giving a peak there of any shape or width. An ultim ate test of this scenario would require: (i) the observation of a ZBCP in STM /S tunneling in the close vicinity of a unitary impurity, (which is already achieved recently); (ii) the non-observation of a ZBCP in non-STM /S types of c-axis tunneling on a single-crystal sample with any concentration of the same type of impurities which are con med to not have form ed [11]-directionaldimers, (or trimers, etc.); and (iii) the appearance of a sm all ZBCP

in the same types of tunneling experiments when the impurity distribution is established to contain such dimers, (or trimers, etc.). Such an ultimate test may be asking too much from the experimentalists, but the fact that this scenario is consistent with many diverse tunneling observations give us much condence on it being at least close to the truth.

#### ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

W e thank Dr. J.C. Seam us D avis and Ms. K ristine Lang for providing us quantitative inform ation about the m easurement they published in their recent N ature article.<sup>28</sup> This work was supported by the Texas Center for Superconductivity at the University of Houston, the R obert A.W elch Foundation, the G rant under the No. N SF-IN T-9724809, and by the Texas Higher Education C oordinating B oard under grant No. 1997-010366-029.

- <sup>1</sup> C.-R.Hu, Phys.Rev.Lett. 72, 1526 (1994); J.Yang and C.R.Hu, Phys.Rev.B 50, 16766 (1994).
- <sup>2</sup> C.-R.Hu, Bull.Am er.Phys.Soc.40,789 (1995); ibid.,41, 361 (1996); Proc. 10th Anniv.HTS W orkshop on Phys. m ater. and Appl.ed.B.Batlogg et al. (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1996) p.551.
- <sup>3</sup> Y. Tanaka and S. Kashiwaya, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3451 (1995); Phys. Rev. B 53, R11957 (1996). Yu. S. Barash, H. Burkhardt, and D. Rainer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4070 (1996); Yu. S. Barash, Phys. Rev. B 61, 678 (2000).
- <sup>4</sup> C.-R.Hu, Phys.Rev.B 57, 1266 (1998).
- <sup>5</sup> M.E.Zitom irsky and M.B.W alker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1734 (1997); D.L.Feder, A.Bedsall, A.J.Berlinsky, and C.Kallin, Phys. Rev. B 56, R5751 (1997); W.Belzig, C. Bruder, and M.Sigrist, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4285 (1998).
- <sup>6</sup> The most extensively studied type of experiments involves the observation of a zero-bias conductance peak in single particle tunneling. This type of experiments is reviewed in detail later in this work.
- <sup>7</sup> H.Walter, W. Prusseit, R. Sem erad, H.Kinder, W. Assmann, H.Huber, H.Burkhardt, D.Reiner, and J.M. Sauls, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3598 (1998). This work showed that MS's can explain an observed low temperature anomaly in the magnetic penetration depth in ion-irradiated HTSC sam ples.
- <sup>8</sup> X.-Z. Yan and C.-R. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1656 (1999). This work showed that M S's can explain the non-Fraunhofer magnetic-eld dependence in the Josephson critical current of a fl10gjf001g HTSC junction observed by Y. Ishim aru, J. W en, N. Koshizuka, and Y. Enom oto Phys. Rev. B 55, 11851 (1997)].
- <sup>9</sup> G . P reosti, H . K im , and P . M uzikar, P hys. R ev. B 50, 1259 (1994); R . Fehrenbacher and M . R . N orm an, P hys. R ev. B 50, 3495 (1994).

- <sup>10</sup> P.J.Hirschfeld, P.W ol e, and D.Einzel, Phys.Rev.B 37, 83 (1988); S.Schm itt-Rink, K.M iyake, and C.M.Varma, Phys.Rev.Lett. 57, 2575 (1986).
- <sup>11</sup> A.V.Balatsky, M.J.Salkola, and A.Rosengren, Phys.Rev. B 51, 15547 (1995); M.I.Salkola, A.V.Balatsky, and D. J.Scalapino, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77, 1841 (1996).
- <sup>12</sup> L.J.Buchholtz and G.Zwicknagl, Phys.Rev.B 23, 5788 (1981).
- <sup>13</sup> R.Joynt, Physica B 261, 479 (1999).
- <sup>14</sup> T. X iang and J.M. W heatley, Phys. Rev. B 51, 11721 (1995).
- <sup>15</sup> Y.Onishi, Y.Ohashi, Y.Shingaki, and K.Miyake, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 675 (1996).
- <sup>16</sup> M.Franz, C.Kallin, and A.J.Berlinsky, Phys.Rev.B 54, R 6897 (1996).
- <sup>17</sup> P.A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1887 (1993); A.V. Balatsky and M.I. Salkola, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2386 (1996); D.N. A ristov and A.G. Yashenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1116 (1998); A.V. Balatsky and M.J. Salkola, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1117 (1998).
- <sup>18</sup> Y. Tanaka and S. Kashiwaya, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3451 (1995); S. Kashiwaya, Y. Tanaka, M. Koyanagi, H. Takashima, and K. Kajimura, Phys. Rev. B 51, 1350 (1995).
- <sup>19</sup> J.H.Xu, J.H.M iller, and C.S.Ting, Phys. Rev. B 53, 3604 (1996).
- <sup>20</sup> J.-X. Zhu and C.S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B 57, 3038 (1998).
- <sup>21</sup> J.X. Zhu, B. Friedm an, and C.S.Ting, Phys. Rev. B 59, 3353 (1999).
- <sup>22</sup> L.Al, H. Takashima, S. Kashiwaya, N. Terada, H. Ihara, Y. Tanaka, M. Koyanagi, and K. Kajimura, Phys. Rev. B 55, 14757 (1997); J.Y. T. Wei, N.-C. Yeh, D.F. Garrigus, and M. Strasik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2542 (1998).
- <sup>23</sup> M. Covington, M. Aprili, E. Paraoanu, L. H. Greene, F. Xu, J. Zhu, and C. A. Mirkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 277 (1997) [for accompanied theoretical discussion, see M. Fogelstrom, D. Reiner, and J. Sauls, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 281 (1997)];
  S. Sinha and K. W. Ng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1296 (1998);
  M. Aprili, M. Covington, E. Paraoanu, B. Niederm eier, and L. H. Greene, Phys. Rev. B 57, R8139 (1998); L. Al, A. Beck, R. Gross, A. Marx, S. K lee sch, Th. Bauch, H. Sato, M. Naito, and G. Koren, Phys. Rev. B 58, 11197 (1998);
  L. Al, S. K lee sch, U. Schoop, M. Zittartz, T. Kemen, T. Bauch, A. Marx, and R. Gross, Eur. Phys. J. B 5, 423 (1998); M. Aprili, E. Badica, and L. H. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4630 (1999); R. Krupke and G. Deutscher, ibid., 4634; W. W ang et al., Phys. Rev. B 60, 4272 (1999).
- <sup>24</sup> G E.B londer, M. Tinkham, and T M.K lapw ik, Phys. Rev. B 25, 4515 (1982).
- <sup>25</sup> Y. Tanuma, Y. Tanaka, M. Yam ashiro, and S. Kashiwaya, Phys. Rev. 57, 7997 (1998). This theoretical work found a zero-energy peak in the local DOS at certain isolated sites near a non- at f100g surface. It is not clear whether this feature has enough spectral weight to be observable in non-STM /S types of tunneling.
- <sup>26</sup> A.Yazdani, C.M. Howald, C.P.Lutz, A.Kapitulnik, and D.M. Eigler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 176 (1999).
- <sup>27</sup> E.W. Hudson, S.H. Pan, A.K. Gupta, K.W. Ng, and J. C.Davis, Science 285, 88 (1999).
- <sup>28</sup> S.H.Pan, E.W. Hudson, K.M. Lang, H.Eisaki, S.Uchida,

and J.C.Davis, Nature 403, 746 (2000); See also, condm at/9909365.

- <sup>29</sup> P.Rom ano, A M. Cucolo, R.D iLeo, E.Bacca, and P.Prieto, Physica C 296, 210 (1998); A M. Cucolo, R.D iLeo, A. Nigro, P.Rom ano, E.Bacca, W.Lopera, M E.Gom ez, and P.Prieto, EEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 7, 2848 (1997); H Z.D urusoy, D.Lew, L.Lom bardo, A.Kapitulnik, T H.Geballe, and M.R.Beasley, Physica C 266, 253 (1996); D. Mandrus, L.Forro, D.Koller, and L.Mihaly, Nature 351, 460 (1991).
- <sup>30</sup> A M. Cucolo, R. Di Leo, A. Nigro, P. Romano, F. Bobba, E. Bacca, and P. Prieto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1920 (1996).
- <sup>31</sup> M. Taira, M. Suzuki, X.-G. Zheng, and T. Hoshino, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 1732 (1998); H.J. Tao, F. Lu, E.L. W olf, Physica C 282 - 287, 1507 (1997).
- <sup>32</sup> J. Lesueur, L.H. Greene, W. L. Feldmann, and A. Inam, Physica C 191, 325 (1992); M. Gurvitch, J.M. Valles, Jr., A.M. Cucolo, R.C. Dynes, J.P. Gamo, L.F. Schneem eyer, and J.V. Waszczak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1008 (1989).
- <sup>33</sup> H. Hancotte, R. Deltour, D N. Davydov, A G M. Jansen, and P. Wyder, Physica C 282-287, 1487 (1997).
- <sup>34</sup> H.T suchiura, Y.Tanaka, M.O gata, and S.K ashiwaya, J. Phys.Soc.Jpn.68, 2510 (1999); Phys.Rev.Lett.84, 3165 (2000).
- <sup>35</sup> J.-X. Zhu, T.K. Lee, C.S.Ting, and C.-R.Hu, Phys. Rev. B 61, 8667 (2000); See also, cond-m at/9909363.
- <sup>36</sup> P.G. de Gennes, Superconductivity of M etals and Albys (Benjamin, New York, 1966).
- <sup>37</sup> M. Tinkham, Introduction to Superconductivity (M oG raw H ill, N ew York, 1975).
- <sup>38</sup> The two resonant states for each spin have energies symmetrically placed around zero energy | one positive and one negative. The negative-energy state is related to the positive-energy state by the transformation !,  $u_j !$   $v_j$ , and  $v_j !$   $u_j$ . The positive-energy resonant state is found to have the symmetry property that u has  $d_{x^2 y^2}$  symmetry, whereas v has extended-s symmetry. Both u and v also show oscillation at essentially the Ferm i wavelength as well as a decay in roughly the radial direction. These properties, plus the fact that both u and v vanish at the origin, can qualitatively account for the magnitude variations of the calculated bare localDTC plotted in Figs. 6 (a) and (b).
- <sup>39</sup> B.G.Levi, Phys. Today 53, 17 (M arch issue, 2000).

TABLE I. The values of d-wave order parameter and coherence length for several sets of parameter values. The tem – perature is at T = 0:02t.

=t	g1=t	<sub>d</sub> =t	<sub>0</sub> =a
0	2	0,241	1.33
-0.4	2	0.229	1.32
-0.4	1.5	0.139	2.17
-1	2	0.164	1.68

TABLE II. C om parison between the measured STM /S bcal di erential tunneling conductance at the near-zero-bias resonant energy by P an et al<sup>28</sup> at the Zn impurity site (00), the nearest neighbor sites (10) and (01), the next nearest neighbor site (11), and the third nearest neighbor sites (20) and (02), (the rst row), and the calculated values for the bcaldi erential tunneling conductance at zero bias at the sam e sites before the transform ation (according to Eq. (7)) (the second row), and after the transform ation (the third row). The data in each row is norm alized so that the largest value is unity, which occurs at the (00) site in the rst and the third row s, but at the (10) and (01) sites in the second row .

(00)	(10) & (01)	(11)	(20) & (02)
1.00	0.18	0.29	0.13
0.000	1.000	0.078	0.113
1.000	0.068	0.593	0.384

FIG.1. The local di erential tunneling conductance as a function of a bias at the site directly on the site (18,18) (a) and (19,18) (b) in a DW SC with each supercell containing one single impurity located at site (18,18). The inpurity strength  $U_0 = 2.5t$  (solid line) and 10t (dashed line). The other parameters = 0 and  $g_1 = 2t$ .

FIG.2. The local di erential tunneling conductance as a function of bias in a DW SC with each supercell containing one single in purity located at site (18,18) for = 0 (solid line), 0.4t (dashed line), and t (short-dashed line). The parameter value of  $g_1$  is taken to be 2t. The measure point is at (19,18).

FIG.3. The local di erential tunneling conductance as a function of bias in a d-w ave superconductor with each supercell containing one single in purity (solid line) at site (18,18), and two (dashed line) at sites (17,19) and (18,18), and three in purities (short-dashed line) at sites (17,19), (18,18) and (19,17) aligned consecutively along the flllog direction. The parameters  $g_1 = 2t$  and = t. The measure point is at site (19,18).

FIG.4. The lowest positive eigenvalue as a function of the distance between two in purities aligned along the flll0g direction in the CuO<sub>2</sub> plane. The parameters  $g_1 = 2t$  and = t.

FIG.5. The number of near-zero energy states versus the number of in purities aligned consecutively along the fll0g direction in the CuO<sub>2</sub>. The parameters  $g_1 = 2t$  and = t.

FIG. 6. Spatial distribution of the di erential tunneling conductance at zero bias: The three-dimensional display in the whole supercell before summation over four nearest-neighboring Cu sites (a) and the corresponding two-dimensional bubble view in a small region near the im – purity (b); the three-dimensional display after summation (c) and the corresponding two-dimensional bubble view (d). The bubble size on each Cu site represents the conductance magnitude. The parameters  $g_1 = 1:5t$  and = 0.4t.

FIG.7. The spatially-averaged di erential tunneling conductance as a function of bias in a d-wave superconductor with each supercell containing one single in purity [i.e., the impurity concentration c is about 0.08%] (solid line), a random distribution of in purities with c = 1.28% (dashed line), and 62.5% of the random ly-distributed in purities of the former case changed to diagonal dimers (short-dashed line). The parameters  $g_1 = 2t$  and = t.







FIG. 2 Zhu et al.



FIG. 3 Zhu et al.



FIG. 4 Zhu et al.



FIG. 5 Zhu et al.





G<sub>i</sub>(0)

FIG. 6(a) Zhu et al.

FIG. 6(b) Zhu et al.





0

# FIG. 6(d) Zhu et al.





FIG. 7 Zhu et al.