Dynam ical pinning and non-Herm itian mode transmutation in the Burgers equation

HansC.Fogedby

Institute of Physics and A stronom y, University of Aarhus, DK-8000, Aarhus C, Denmark and NORDITA, Blegdam svej 17, DK-2100, Copenhagen, Denmark

(April 14, 2024)

We discuss the mode spectrum in both the determ inistic and noisy Burgers equations in one dimension. Sim ilar to recent investigations of vortex depinning in superconductors, the spectrum is given by a non-Herm itian eigenvalue problem which is related to a 'quantum' problem by a complex gauge transform ation. The soliton pro le in the Burgers equation serves as a complex gauge eld engendering a mode transmutation of di usive modes into propagating modes and giving rise to a dynam ical pinning of localized modes about the solitons.

PACS numbers: 05.10 G g, 05.45.-a, 64.60 H t, 05.45.Y v

The noisy Burgers equation and the related K ardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation provide a continuum description of an intrinsically nonequilibrium noise-driven system . As such they delim it an interesting class of system s far from equilibrium . Speci cally, the equations apply to the grow th of an interface either due to a random drive or subject to random environments.

In the case of one spatial dimension, which is our concern here, the Burgers equation for the local slope u = r h has the form [1]

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho t} \quad ur \quad u = r^2 u + r ; \qquad (1)$$

The related KPZ equation for the height h [2] is $(h=0t) = r^{2}h + (=2)(rh)^{2} + .$ The damping constant characterizes the linear di usive term. The coupling strength controls the nonlinear growth or mode coupling term. The noise is spatially short-ranged Gaussian white noise correlated according to h (xt) (00)i = (x) (t) and characterized by the strength .

The stochastic equation (1) and its KPZ version have been studied intensively in particular in recent years and much insight concerning the pattern form ation and scaling properties engendered by these equations has been gained on the basis of i) eld theoretical approaches [3], ii) m apping to directed polym ers [4], and iii) m apping to the asymmetric exclusion m odel [5].

In recent works [6] we advanced a M artin-Siggia-Rose based canonical phase space approach to the noisy B urgers equation (1). This method applies in the weak noise lim it ! 0 and replace the stochastic B urgers equation (1) by two coupled determ inistic mean eld equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad ur \quad u = r^2 u \quad r^2 p; \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \quad \text{ur } p = r^2 p; \qquad (3)$$

for the slope eld u and a canonically conjugate noise eld p, characterizing the noise . The eld equations derive from a principle of least action with H am iltonian density H = p(r²u + ur u (1=2)r²p) and determ ine orbits in a canonical phase space spanned by u and p. M oreover, the action associated with a nite time orbit from u⁰ to u, S = $\binom{R_{t,u}}{0,u^0}$ dtdx (p@u=@t H), provides direct access to the transition probability P (u⁰ ! u;t) / exp[S=] and associated correlations; an important aspect which was pursued in [6].

On the zero noise' manifold for p = 0 the eld equation (2) reduces to the noiseless Burgers equation [7]

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho t} \quad ur \quad u = r^2 u; \qquad (4)$$

which as a nonlinear evolution equation exhibiting transient pattern formation has been used to model turbulence' and for example galaxy formation [8], see also [9].

In this Letter we discuss two new features associated with the pattern formation in the Burgers equation in both the noiseless case (4) and the noisy case in term s of (2) and (3). We focus on the interplay between localized nonlinear soliton m odes and superposed linearm odes and show the soliton-induced i) mode transmutation of diffusive m odes into propagating m odes and ii) dynam ical pinning of linear m odes about the solitons. D etails will appear elsewhere.

It is a feature of the nonlinear grow th term s that the eld equations (2) and (3) adm it nonlinear localized soliton solutions, in the static case of the kink-like form

$$u_{s} = u \tanh[k_{s}x]; \quad k_{s} = \frac{u}{2}; \quad = 1:$$
 (5)

The index labels the right hand soliton for = 1 with $p_s = 0$, also a solution of the dam ped noiseless Burgers

Perm anent address

equation for = 0; and the noise-excited left hand soliton for = 1 with $p_s = 2 u_s$, a solution of the growing (unstable) noiseless Burgers equation for !. The amplitude-dependent wavenumber k_s sets the inverse soliton length scale. Noting that the eld equations (2) and (3) are invariant under the slope-dependent G alilean transform ation

$$x ! x u_0 t; u ! u + u_0;$$
 (6)

propagating solitons are generated by the G alilean boost (6). Denoting the right and left boundary values by u_+ and u_- , respectively, the propagating velocity is given by the soliton condition

$$u_{+} + u = 2v = :$$
 (7)

It follows from the quasi-particle representation advanced in [6], see also [10], that a general interface slope pro le $u = u_s + u$ at a particular instant can be represented by a dilute gas of solitons am plitude-m atched according to (5) with superposed linearm odes u. For a con guration consisting of n solitons we then have

$$u_{s} = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{p=1}^{X^{n}} k_{p} \tanh k_{p} j(x \ yt \ x_{p}); \qquad (8)$$

where we have introduced the mean amplitude of the p-th soliton $k_p = (=4)(u_{p+1} - u_p)$, u_{p+1} and u_p are the boundary values. The velocity of the p-th soliton is $v_p = (=2)(u_{p+1} + u_p)$, x_p is the center of m ass, and we are assuming vanishing boundary conditions $u_1 = u_{n+1} = 0$. Note that the con guration (8) is only valid at times in between soliton collisions; the interface changes dynamically subject to the conservation of energy, momentum, and total area dx u under the slope pro le. The number of solitons, however, is not conserved, see [6,10]. In Fig 1. we have depicted an n-soliton con guration



FIG.1. We depict an n-soliton slope con guration of a growing interface. The p-th soliton moves with velocity $v_p = (=2)(u_{p+1} + u_p)$, has boundary value u_+ and u_- , and is centered at x_p . The arrows on the horizontal inter-soliton segments indicate the propagation of linear modes.

In order to discuss the linear mode spectrum about the soliton conguration u_s it is convenient to introduce the shifted noise eld '

$$p = (u ');$$
 (9)

The eld equations (2) and (3) then assume the symmetrical form, also discussed in [10],

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} \quad ur \quad u = r^{2} ; \qquad (10)$$

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} \quad ur \quad \prime = r^2 u : \qquad (11)$$

In the linear Edwards W ilkinson case [11] for = 0 the eld equations readily support a di usive mode spectrum of extended growing and decaying modes, u ' / exp(k^2 t) exp(ikx), i.e., u = $A \exp(k^2 t) + B \exp(k^2 t)$] exp(ikx), consistent with the phase space interpretation discussed in [6].

Expanding about u_s and the associated noise eld ' $_s$ (' $_s$ = u_s for = 1),

$$Y_{s} = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{p=1}^{X^{n}} k_{p} j \tanh k_{p} j (x + v_{p} t + x_{p});$$
 (12)

u = $u_{\rm s}$ + u and \prime = $\prime_{\rm s}$ + \prime , the superposed linear mode spectrum is governed by the coupled non-Hermitian eigenvalue equations

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t}$$
 u_sr u = r² ' + (ru_s) u; (13)

$$\frac{0}{2t}$$
 u_sr ' = r² u + (r'_s) u : (14)

In the inter-soliton m atching regions of constant slope eld $ru_s = r'_s = 0$ and the equations (13) and (14) decouple as in the Edwards-W ilkinson case. Setting $u_s = u$ and and searching for solutions of the form u; ' / exp(E_k t) exp(ikx) we obtain u' / exp(E_k t) exp(ikx), i.e., $u = A \exp(E_k^+ t) + B \exp(E_k t) \exp(ikx)$, where the complex spectrum characteristic of a non-H erm itian eigenvalue problem is given by

$$E_{k} = k^{2} \quad iuk: \quad (15)$$

Introducing the phase velocity v = u the u mode corresponds to a propagating wave with both a growing and decaying component

$$u / (Ae^{k^2t} + Be^{k^2t})e^{ik(x+vt)}$$
: (16)

The presence of the nonlinear soliton prole thus gives rise to a mode transmutation in the sense that the diusive mode in the Edwards-W ilkinson case is transmuted to a propagating mode (16) in the Burgers case. As indicated in Fig. 1 the linear mode propagates to the left for u > 0 and to the right for u < 0. We note in particular that for a static right hand soliton u = u and the mode propagates towards the soliton center which thus acts like a 'sink'; for a static left hand soliton the situation is reversed, the mode propagates away from the soliton which in this case plays the role of a 'source'.

In the soliton region the slope eld varies over a scale given by k_s^{-1} and we must address the equations (13) and (14). Introducing the auxiliary variables

they take the form

$$\frac{\underline{\theta} \times \mathbf{x}}{\underline{\theta} \mathbf{t}} = D \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}_{s} \times \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r} \mathbf{u}_{s} + \mathbf{r'}_{s}) \times \mathbf{r'}_{s} \quad (18)$$

where D ($u_s=2$) is the 'gauged' Schrodinger operator

D
$$\frac{1}{2}u_{s} = (r \frac{1}{2}u_{s})^{2} + \frac{1}{4}u_{s}^{2} \frac{1}{2}r'_{s}$$
 (19)

for the motion of a particle in the potential (=4) u_s^2

 $(=2)r'_{s} \text{ subject to a gauge eld } (=2)u_{s} \text{ given by } u_{s}. \\ \text{ In the regions of constant slope eld } ru_{s} = r'_{s} = \\ 0, u_{s} = u, D (u_{s}=2) ! (r u=2) + \\ (=4)u^{2} \text{ and searching for solutions of the form } X / \\ \text{exp} (E_{k}t) \text{ exp (ikx) we recover the spectrum (15). In } \\ \text{the soliton region } r'_{s} = ru_{s}, = 1, \text{ and one of } \\ \text{the equations (18) decouples driving the other equation } \\ \text{parametrically.}$

In order to pursue the analysis of (18) we note that the gauge eld $u_s=2$ in the Schrödinger operator can be absorbed by means of the gauge or ColeHopf [12] transform ation

$$U = \exp \frac{2}{2} dx u_s :$$
 (20)

U sing the relation D ($u_s=2$) = U 1 D (0)U 1 we obtain the Herm itian eigenvalue equations

$$\frac{0 X}{0 t} = U^{1} D (0) U^{1} X = \frac{1}{2} (r u_{s} r'_{s}) X ; (21)$$

which are readily analyzed in terms of the spectrum of D (0) discussed in [9].

The exponent or generator in the gauge transform ation (20) samples the area under the slope pro $\lg u_s$ up to the point x. For x ! 1 U ! exp[M =2], where M = dx u_s is the total area; according to (1) or (2) M is a conserved quantity. In terms of the height eld h, u = r h, M = h(+L) h(L) is equal to the height o set across a system of size L, i.e., a conserved quantity under grow th. Inserting the soliton pro $\lg u_s$ (8) the transform ation U factorizes in contributions from local solitons, i.e.,

$$U = \bigcup_{p=1}^{Y^{n}} U_{p}^{\text{sign}k_{p}} ; U_{p} = \cosh^{-1}k_{p} (x + y_{t} + x_{p}) : (22)$$

Focusing on a particular soliton contribution to the interface with boundary values u_+ and u_- and for convenience located at $x_p = 0$ the analysis is most easily organized by rst performing a G alilean transform ation (6) to a local rest frame by shifting the slope eld by $(u_+ + u_-)=2$, corresponding to the velocity given by (7). The static soliton is then given by (5), $u_- u_+$ and

$$D(0) = r^2 + k_s^2 1 = 2 \cosh^2 k_s x$$
; (23)

describing the motion of a particle in the attractive potential 2 $k_s^2 = \cosh^2 k_s x$ whose spectrum is known.

Denoting the eigenvalue problem D (0) $_{n} = _{n}$ the spectrum of D (0) is composed of a zero-energy $_{0} = 0$ localized state $_{0}$ / cosh 1 k_sx, yielding the soliton translation m ode lifting the broken translational symmetry, and a band $_{k}$ / exp(ik_sx)s_k(x) of extended phase-shifted scattering m odes with energy

$$_{\rm k} = (k^2 + k_{\rm s}^2)$$
 : (24)

 $s_k(x) = (k + ik_s \tanh k_s x) = (k \quad ik_s)$ is a modulation of the plane wave state; for x ! 1 $s_k(x)$! exp(i_k), where k is the phase shift of the wave.

Inserting $r'_{s} = ru_{s}$ the uctuations

$$X = U_p \quad X \quad ; \quad U_p = \cosh^{-1} k_s x \qquad (25)$$

then satisfy the Herm itian eigenvalue equations

$$\frac{0 X'}{0t} = D(0) X' (1) X'; (26)$$

which decouple and are analyzed by expanding X^{-} on the eigenstates $_{n}$. For the right hand soliton for = +1and focusing on the plane wave component, ignoring phase shift e ects, we obtain in particular the uctuations

$$X^{+} = (A e^{kt} + B e^{kt})e^{ikx} \cosh^{-1}k_{s}x;$$
 (27)

$$X = B - \frac{k}{k} e^{kt} e^{ikx} \cosh k_s x :$$
 (28)

For realk the modes X are di usive and the spectrum $_{\rm k} = (k^2 + k_{\rm s}^2)$ exhibits a gap $k_{\rm s}^2$ proportional to the soliton am plitude squared. Moreover, the gauge transform ation U_p gives rise to a spatial modulation of the plane wave form which allows us to extend the spectrum by an analytical continuation in the wavenum berk. In particular by setting k ! k $ik_{\rm s}$ for X and noting that X decouple for x $k_{\rm s}^{-1}$ we have $_{\rm k}$! k^2 2i kks and exp (ikx) cosh ${}^1k_{\rm s}$! const and we achieve a matching to the extended propagating modes in the inter-soliton regions. A similar analysis applies to the left hand soliton for = 1 and the gauge transform ation (22) allows for a complete analysis of the linear uctuation spectrum about the multi-soliton con guration $u_{\rm s}$.

The last issue we wish to address is the uctuation spectrum in the noiseless case, extending the analysis in [9]. In this case we only have the right hand soliton for = +1 and the soliton and associated uctuations lie on the zero-noise manifold for p = 0. There is no coupling to the hoisy' modes i.e., u = ', $u = ' = X^+=2$, and X = 0, and the uctuations are given by (27) for B = 0 (ignoring phase shift e ects)

$$x = X^{+} = 2 / e^{k^{t}} e^{ikx} \cosh^{-1} k_{s}x$$
 (29)

It is an essential feature of the non-Herm itian eigenvalue problem (18) characterizing noisy nonequilibrium growth, and in the noiseless case of transient growth yielding (29) that the real spectrum (24) can be extended into the complex eigenvalue plane. This is due to the envelope $\cosh^{-1}k_sx$ which gives rise to a spatial fall o. Setting k ! k + i , k ! E_k; , where

$$E_{k}$$
; = $(k^2 + k_s^2 = (k^2 + k_s^2) + 2ik$: (30)

For $jj < k_s$ we have a band of localized uctuations dynam ically pinned to the soliton. The modes are exponentially damped with a damping constant given by ²). The im agthe real part of E_k ; , ReE_k ; = (k_s^2) inary part of E_k ; , Im E_k ; = 2 k , combined with the phase ikx yields a propagating wave with phase velocity 2 , nally the spatial range of the mode is given by) ¹. For = 0 the spectrum is real, the phase ve-(k_s locity vanishes, the range is $k_{\rm s}^{-1}$, and the localized m ode is symmetric and purely dinusive with a gap k_s^2 . For = k_s, the borderline case, the uctuations are extended in space and purely propagating with a gapless spectrum k^2 . For interm ediate values the modes are propagating with localized envelopes. In Fig. 2 we have depicted the complex eigenvalue spectrum.



FIG.2. We depict the the complex eigenvalue spectrum for the damped modes in the noiseless Burgers equation. On the boundaries = k_s the modes are extended and propagating. The shaded area indicates propagating modes with localized envelopes. For = 0 the mode is symmetrical and purely di usive.

In this Letter we have analyzed two aspects of the interplay between linear superposed modes and nonlinear soliton excitations in the noiseless and noisy Burgers equations describing transient and stationary nonequilibrium growth, respectively. Both aspects are intim ately related to the non-H emmitian character of the eigenvalue problem. The rst aspect is a linearm ode transmutation where the di usive non-propagating m odes in the linear Edwards-W ilkinson case owing to the solitons are transmuted to propagating extended m odes in the nonlinear Burgers case. The second aspect is a dynamical pinning of a band of localized m odes to the solitons. We nally note that similar aspects are also encountered in recent work on the transverse M eissner e ect and ux pinning in superconductors. Here the uniform gauge eld is given by the transverse m agnetic eld wheras in our case the nonlinear soliton pro le provides the nonuniform gauge eld.

D iscussions with A. Svane, K. M lm er, J. Hertz, B. D errida, M. Lassig, J. K rug and G. Schutz are gratefully acknow ledged.

- D.Forster, D.R.Nelson, and M.J.Stephen, Phys.Rev. Lett. 36, 867 (1976); D.Forster, D.R.Nelson, and M.J. Stephen, Phys.Rev.A 16, 732 (1977).
- [2] M.Kardar, G.Parisi, and Y.C.Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56,889 (1986); E.M edina, T.Hwa, M.Kardar, and Y.C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A 39, 3053 (1989).
- [3] T. Hwa and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. A 44, R 7873 (1991);
 U.C. Tauber and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. E 51, 6319 (1995);
 E. Frey, U.C. Tauber, and T. Hwa, Phys. Rev. E 53, 4424 (1996); E. Frey, U.C. Tauber, and H.K. Janssen, Europhys. Lett 47, 14 (1999); M. Lassig, Nucl. Phys. B 448, 559 (1995); M. Lassig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2366 (1998).
- [4] T.Halpin-Healy and Y.C.Zhang, Phys. Rep. 254, 215 (1995).
- [5] B.Derrida and J.L.Lebow itz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 209 (1998).
- [6] H. C. Fogedby, Phys. Rev. E 59, 5065 (1999); H. C. Fogedby, Phys. Rev. E 60, 4950 (1999); H. C. Fogedby, cond-m at/0005027.
- [7] JM Burgers, Proc. Roy. Neth. Acad. Soc. 32, 414,643,818 (1929).
- [8] P. Sa man, Topics in Nonlinear Physics, ed. N.J. Zabusky (Springer, New York, 1968); Y.Zeldovitch, Astron. A strophys 8, 84 (1972).
- [9] H.C.Fogedby, Phys. Rev. E 57, 2331 (1998).
- [10] H.C.Fogedby, A.B.Eriksson, and L.V.M ikheev, Phys. Rev.Lett. 75, 1883 (1995); H.C.Fogedby, Phys.Rev. E 57, 4943 (1998); H.C.Fogedby, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 1126 (1998).
- [11] S.F.Edwards and D.R.W ikinson, Proc.Roy.Soc.London A 381, 17 (1982).
- [12] JD Cole, Quart. Appl. M ath. 9, 22 (1951); E. Hopf, Comm. Pure Appl. M ath. 3, 201 (1950).
- [13] N. Hatano and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 570 (1996); N. Hatano and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. E 56, 8651 (1997).