REAL-AXIS SOLUTION OF ELIASHBERG EQUATIONS IN VARIOUS ORDER-PARAMETER SYMMETRIES AND TUNNELING CONDUCTANCE OF OPTIMALLY-DOPED HTSC

G iovanniA.Ummarino, Renato S.G onnelli and D ario D aghero INFM - D ipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino, c.so Duca degli Abruzzi, 24 - 10129 Torino, Italy

In the present work we calculate the theoretical tunneling conductance curves of SIN junctions involving high-T_c superconductors, for di erent possible symmetries of the order parameter (s, d, s + id, s + d, anisotropic s and extended s). To do so, we solve the real-axis E liashberg equations in the case of an half-lied in nite band. We show that some of the peculiar characteristics of HTSC tunneling curves (dip and hum p at eV > , broadening of the gap peak, zero bias and so on) can be explained in the fram ework of the M igdal-E liashberg theory. The theoretical dI/dV curves calculated for the di erent symmetries at T = 4 K are then compared to various experimental tunneling data obtained in optim ally-doped BSCCO, TBCO, HBCO, LSCO and YBCO single crystals. To best t the experimental data, the scattering by non-m agnetic in purities has to be taken into account, thus limiting the sensitivity of this procedure in determining the exact gap symmetry of these materials. Finally, the e ect of the tem perature on the theoretical tunneling conductance is also discussed and the curves obtained at T = 2 K are com pared to those given by the analytical continuation of the imaginary-axis solution.

1 Introduction

B oth experim ental evidences and theoretical argum ents suggest that in high-T_c superconductors (HTSC) the k-space symmetry of the energy gap is di erent from the isotropic s-wave typical of conventional superconductors¹. A d-wave gap symmetry with nodes on the Fermi surface, and some mixed symmetries, have been alternatively proposed as possible candidates for explaining the sometimes puzzling features of HTSC.

The experim ental determ ination of the sym m etry of the energy gap is therefore a key step for understanding the nature of the pairing between electrons. In principle, the most usual and straightforward approach to this problem should consists in studying the tunneling conductance of HTSC junctions.

In this paper, we calculate the theoretical tunneling conductance curves for superconductor – insulator – norm al m etal (SIN) junctions involving an high- T_c superconductor, for various sym m etries of the energy gap. We then compare them to the experim ental curves obtained on several high- T_c m aterials. For the calculation, we make use of the M igdal-E liashberg theory². The reasonabless of this approach is proven, among others, by the fact that, for suitable choices of the parameters, the calculations well reproduce several typical features of the experimental tunneling curves.

2 Themodel

B ecause of the layered structure of copper-oxide superconductors, we can restrict ourselves to a single Cu-O layer, thus reducing the dimensionality of the problem. As a result, the k-space becomes a plane and the Ferm i surface is a nearly circular

line on this plane. The quasiparticle wavevectors k and k^0 are then completely determ ined by their modulus k_F and their azim uthal angles and ⁰.

In order to calculate the tunneling conductance curves we solve the real-axis E liashberg equations (EE) for the order parameter (!;) and the renormalization function Z (!;). The kernels of these coupled integral equations contain the retarded electron-boson interaction 2 (;; 0)F () and the C oulom b pseudopotential (; 0)^{3;4;5}. To allow for various symmetries of the gap, we suppose that these quantities can be expanded, at the lowest order, in the following way:

$${}^{2}(;;{}^{0})F() = {}^{2}_{is}F() {}_{is}() {}_{is}({}^{0}) + {}^{2}_{an}F() {}_{an}() {}_{an}({}^{0}) (1)$$

$$(; {}^{0}) = {}_{is is}() {}_{is}({}^{0}) + {}_{an an}() {}_{an}({}^{0}):$$
 (2)

Here, the subscripts mean isotropic and an isotropic respectively, and is () and an () are basis functions de ned by : is () = 1; an () = $2 \cos(2)$ for the d-wave, an () = $8 2 = 35 \cos^4(2)$ for the anisotropic s-wave, and an () = $2 \frac{p}{2=3} \cos^2(2)$ for the extended s-wave¹.

W e are interested in solutions of the real-axis ${\tt EE}$ containing separate isotropic and anisotropic term s, such as:

$$(!;) = _{is}(!) + _{an}(!) _{an}()$$

$$Z(!;) = Z_{is}(!) + Z_{an}(!) _{an}():$$
(3)

Inserting these expressions for (!;) and Z(!;) in the real-axis EE m akes them split into four equations for $_{is}$, $_{an}$, Z_{is} and Z_{an} . From now on, we will put

= 0 for simplicity and we will adm it that Z_{an} is identically zero, as usually happens³. The three remaining equations will be reported elsewhere⁴. To further simplify the problem we will put ${}^{2}_{an}F() = g + {}^{2}_{Rs}F()$ where g is a constant³. Then, ${}^{i}_{ss} = 2 {}^{0}_{0} d {}^{2}_{is}F() = and {}^{an} = (1 =) {}^{0}_{0} d {}^{2}_{an}() {}^{0}_{0} d {}^{2}_{an}F() = result to be proportional: an = g {}^{i}_{ss}$.

Once obtained the real-axis solutions $_{\rm is}$ (!) and $_{\rm an}$ (!), we calculate the quasi-particle density of states:

$$N(!) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} dq \frac{!}{!^{2} [_{is}(!) + _{an}(!)_{an}(.)]^{2}}$$
(4)

whose convolution with the Ferm i distribution function gives the norm alized conductance the main features of which will be discussed in the following section.

3 Theoretical results

The function ${}^2_{is}F$ (), which is needed for numerically solving the real-axis EE, is actually unknown. As a rst approximation, we use for ${}^2_{is}F$ () the experimental 2F ()_{B\,i2212} (determined in a previous paper by starting from tunneling data on B i2212 break junctions 6) suitably scaled to give T_c = 97 K (which is chosen as a representative value for HTSC):

$${}^{2}_{is}F() = -{}^{15} {}^{2}F()_{B\,i2212}$$
: (5)



Figure 1: (a,b) Theoretical tunneling density of states for various sym metries at T=4~K and =0. The values of $_{\rm is}$ and $_{\rm an}$ corresponding to each curve are indicated. (c) Theoretical normalized tunneling conductance in the d-wave sym metry, for increasing values of the coupling constants. The dip at about $2V_{\rm peak}$ and the hum p at about $3V_{\rm peak}$ are well evident.

The details of the shape of $\frac{2}{is}F$ () are actually not relevant for the solutions, which instead strongly depend on the quantity $!_{log} = \exp \frac{2}{0} \frac{R_{+1}}{0} d! \frac{\frac{2}{F}(!)}{0} ln!$.

Figures 1 (a) and 1 (b) show the theoretical conductances calculated at the tem perature T = 4 K for all the sym m etries analyzed here: s, d, s+ id, s+ d, an isotropic s and extended s. For each curve, a couple of is and an values is indicated which gives the expected symmetry and the correct critical temperature. As we shall see in the next section, these curves well reproduce som e of the characteristic features of H T SC, such as the conductance excess below the gap and the broadening of the peak. A nother apparently anom alous feature of HTSC recently reported in literature⁷ is a suppression of the conductivity at about $2V_{\text{peak}}$ (usually known as \dip") and a subsequent enhancem ent at about $3V_{peak}$ (\hum p"). Both these e ects can be easily obtained by starting from the Eliashberg equations, using high values of the coupling constants⁸ and reducing ! log in order to maintain the critical tem perature close to the experim ental value. Figure 1 (c) shows the conductance curves in the d-wave symmetry obtained for increasing values of the coupling constants. Note that the appearance of the \hum p" is strictly related to the presence of the dip, as required by the conservation of the states. For instance, in the fram ework of the E liashberg theory, a similar e ect on the tunneling conductance curves can also be obtained by simply taking into account the niteness of the bandwidth⁹.



Figure 2: C om parison of the normalized tunneling conductance curves obtained by analytical continuation of the imaginary-axis solutions of the EE (dashed curves) to those obtained by direct solution of the real-axis EE (solid curves). All the curves are calculated at T = 2 K. The agreement is good for the d, s + id and anisotropic s sym metries. In the s case, the analytical continuation shifts the gap of about 3 m eV tow ard higher energies. In the s + d case the peak of the imaginary-axis solution is low er and broader than that of the real-axis one. The extended s curves agree quite well except that for very low voltage.

The curves we have discussed so far were calculated at T = 4 K, in view of a comparison to the experim entaldata which are usually obtained at the tem perature of liquid helium. We also calculated the N (V) curves at lower (T = 2 K) and higher (T = 40,80 K) tem peratures. The curves at T = 2 K are not m uch di erent from those reported in F igures 1 (a) and 1 (b), apart from the fact that some ne structures (such as, for example, the rst peak in the anisotropic s curve) are better distinguishable. Increasing the tem perature results, in general, in a sm oothing and broadening of the conductance peak at the gap edge. Above a tem perature of about $T_c=3$ all the conductances, apart the s-wave one, are alm ost the sam e.

Just before com paring the theoretical results to the experim ental data obtained on tunnel junctions, let us discuss an important point concerning the technique here adopted for the solution of the EE. The most usual and simplest approach to the solution of these equations consists in facts in solving them in their imaginary-axis formulation, and then to continue the solutions (i! n) and Z (i! n) to the realaxis. A ctually, as we show in Figure 2, the results of this procedure only approximately agree with those obtained by directly solving the real-axis EE. Note that, in addi-



Figure 3: C om parison of the theoretical norm alized tunneling conductance curves for the s + idwave sym m etry to those experimentally obtained on BSSCO (a), LSCO (b), YBCO (c), TBCO (d), HBCO (e). In each case the experimental and the calculated critical temperatures (T_c and T_c^{calc}) are indicated. Here is proportional to the impurity concentration and C₀ = cot(₀), where ₀ is the scattering phase shift.

tion, the analytical continuation is meaningful only at very low temperatures (this is the reason why the curves in Figure 2 are calculated at T = 2 K).

4 C om parison to experim ents

Let us now com pare the theoretical conductance curves to those obtained on optim allydoped single crystals^{6;10} of BSSCO, LSCO, YBCO, TBCO and HBCO. Here we only use for the comparison the theoretical curves obtained in the d symmetry, which in fact better agree with experimental data. For each of these materials we use for $^{2}_{is}F()$ the phonon spectral density determined by neutron scattering ¹¹, suitably scaled to obtain the best t. As anticipated in a previous section, a large zero-bias offen appears in the experimental tunneling curves. To best t them, we add in the EE a term taking into account the scattering from in purities⁴. We then solve the real-axis EE with varying is and an, and choose the couple of values which give the best-t curve.

The results are shown in Figure 3 (a)-(e). In the case of BSSCO (a) and YBCO (c) the theoretical curves well agree with the experimental data, and also the calculated critical temperature is close to the measured one (T_c) . In the case of

LSCO (b) the observed dip above the gap cannot be reproduced in the fram ework of E liashberg theory. In fact, the only way for obtaining the great zero-bias value (about 0.8) which appears in this dataset, is to increase the in purity concentration to such a large amount that the peak is broadened and the dip cancels out. For TBCO (d) and HBCO (e), the height of the peak at the gap edge is quite di erent in the experim ental and theoretical curves. A ctually, this arises from the choice of the norm alization for the experim ental data we took from the literature. W ith this norm alization, in fact, the experim ental curves seem to violate the conservation of the states, which is instead necessarily obeyed by the theoretical curves. In these cases, the agreem ent has to be evaluated by com paring only the shape of the curves, as they were plotted on di erent scales. Finally, while for TBCO the experim ental and the theoretical critical tem peratures coincide, in the case of HBCO we have $T_{\rm c}^{\rm calc} = 144$ K, which is much greater than the experim ental one ($T_{\rm c} = 97$ K)

5 Conclusions

We discussed the e ect of di erent possible sym metries of the order parameter on the tunneling conductance curves of HTSC in the fram ework of the E liashberg theory. We showed that this theory allows to explain many characteristic features of HTSC which di er from a classic BCS behaviour, and is therefore a useful tool to investigate the properties of these materials. We also tested the theoretical expectations by comparison with experimental data obtained on many di erent copper-oxide superconductors, and we found in many cases a reasonable agreement between the results of calculation and measurements. The most probable sym metry of the order parameter seems to be the d-wave, even though the sensitivity of this method in distinguish between di erent possible sym metries of the pairing state is strongly limited by the quality of the crystals.

- 1. D.J.Van Harlingen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67 (1995) 515.
- 2. G M. Eliashberg, Sov. Phys. JETP 3 (1963) 696.
- 3. G A. Ummarino and R.S. Gonnelli, Physica C 328 (1999) 189.
- 4. G A. Ummarino et al, to be published; G. A. Ummarino et al, Physica C, Proceedings of M 2S-HTSC VI, Houston (U.S.) 2000 (cond-mat 9912432).
- 5. C.T. Rieck et al, Phys. Rev. B 41 (1989) 7289; G. Strinati and U. Fano, Journal of M athem atical Physics, 17 (1976) 434.
- 6. R.S.Gonnelliet al, Physica C 275 (1997) 162.
- 7. JF. Zasadzinski et al., Physica C Proceedings of M 2S-HTSC VI, Houston (U.S.) 2000
- 8. G. Varelogiannis, Physica C 249, 87 (1995).
- 9. G.A.Ummarino and R.S.Gonnelli, Physica C Proceedings of M 2S-H T SC VI, Houston (U.S.) 2000 (cond-m at 9912433).
- 10. T. Ekino et al, Physica C 263, 1920 (1996); A. M. Cucolo et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 7810 (1990); Lut Ozyuzer et al, Phys. Rev. B 57, 3245 (1998); J. Y.T.W ei et al, Phys. Rev. B 57, 3650 (1998).
- 11. M. Arai et al, Physica C 235-240, 1253 (1994); W. Reichardt et. al, Physica B 156-157, 897 (1989); S.L. Chaplot et al, Physica B 174, 378 (1991); Prafulla K. Jha, Sankar P. Sanyal, Physica C 271, 6 (1996).