

Comment on \Composite Fermion Hofstadter Problem : Partially Polarized Density Wave States in the $\nu = 2/5 \dots$

In a recent paper [1], magnetic field driven spin transitions in fractional quantum Hall (FQH) states were reported, and in particular, at $\nu = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\nu = \frac{2}{5}$, weak features were observed at half polarization. This would imply that the ground state energy is non-monotonic at half polarization. It was proposed in Ref. [2] that the system is then a charge density wave (CDW) state of composite fermions with a subband gap that could explain the observed plateaus at half polarization. Similar CDW state is also expected at $\nu = \frac{2}{3}$ [2]. Here we show that the proposed CDW state is not the half polarized ground state at these two filling factors.

We compare the energies of the CDW state of composite fermions and the Halperin-(1,1,1) liquid state [3]. For $\nu = 2/5$, where the composite fermions occupy the first two Landau levels, the half-polarized state corresponds to a fully occupied $n = 0$ spin Landau level and half-occupied $n = 0$ spin and $n = 1$ spin Landau levels. The CDW of Ref. [2] is formed by $n = 0$ spin and $n = 1$ spin composite fermions on a square lattice. We calculate the cohesive energy of this state from [4]

$$E_{\text{CDW}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}; \uparrow, \downarrow} V_{1,2}(\mathbf{Q}) \rho_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{Q}) \rho_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{Q}) \quad (1)$$

where $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$, $V_{\uparrow\uparrow}$ is the Hartree-Fock potential for composite fermions on $n = 0$ spin Landau level, $V_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ is the Hartree potential for composite fermions on $n = 0$ spin and $n = 1$ spin Landau levels and $V_{\downarrow\downarrow}$ is the Hartree-Fock potential for composite fermions on $n = 1$ spin Landau level. The order parameter $\rho(\mathbf{Q})$ of the CDW corresponding to wave vector $\mathbf{Q}$ for composite fermions with spin $\uparrow$ is taken to be non-zero only for reciprocal vectors: $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_0; 0); (0; Q_0)$ and $(Q_0; Q_0), (Q_0; -Q_0)$, where $Q_0^2 l^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ (l is the magnetic length for composite fermions). The energy of the Halperin-(1,1,1) state is calculated from $E_{(1,1,1)} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^2r V_e(r) [g(r) - 1]$; where $g(r) = 1 - \exp(-r^2/2l^2)$ is the correlation function for a fully occupied Landau level and $V_e(r) = \frac{1}{4} V_{\uparrow\uparrow}^H(r) + V_{\uparrow\downarrow}^H(r) + 2V_{\downarrow\downarrow}^H(r)$ [the subscript H refers to the Hartree term of the interactions in Eq. (1)], is the effective interaction between composite fermions.

The energy of the liquid state at half polarization is $0.196e^2/\epsilon l$ and is lower than that of the CDW state, $0.123e^2/\epsilon l$. However, it is not clear that the Halperin-(1,1,1) state is indeed the ground state of the system. If we consider the Landau levels $n = 0$ spin and $n = 1$ spin as a two-level system and introduce pseudo-spin for the states at different levels, then Halperin-(1,1,1) state has $\nu = N/2$ and $\nu_z = 0$, where N is the number of composite fermions on $n = 0$ spin and $n = 1$ spin Landau levels. If

this state is the correct ground state then the transition from a polarized to an unpolarized state of the system is just the rotation of pseudo-spin vector from $\nu_z = N/2$ to $\nu_z = N/2$ with fixed value of the total pseudo-spin $\nu = N/2$. But then the ground state energy of the system is monotonic with polarization of the system (quadratic function) without any singularity at half polarization.




FIG. 1. Pair-correlation function in spherical geometry. Here, $x = 2\sqrt{5} \sin(\theta/2)$, $y = 2\sqrt{5} \sin(\theta/2) \cos(\phi)$.

We have also calculated the pair correlation functions in finite-size systems for original electrons. The pair correlation function for a 8-electron system at the half-polarized state of $\nu = 2/3$ in spherical geometry is smooth [Fig. 1] and do not exhibit any long-range order. Based on these results we argue that the half-polarized ground state of two-dimensional electron system at filling factors $\nu = 2/3$ and $\nu = 2/5$ is not the CDW state proposed in Ref. [2].

V. M. A. Palkov¹, T. Chakraborty¹, P. Pietiläinen², and K. Niemelä²

¹Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai 600 113, India,

²Theoretical Physics, University of Oulu, Linnanmaa, FIN-90570 Oulu, Finland

PACS numbers: 73.40.Hm, 73.20.Dx

- [1] I. V. Kukushkin, K. von Klitzing, and K. Eberl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3665 (1999).
- [2] G. Murthy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 350 (2000).
- [3] T. Chakraborty and P. Pietiläinen, The Quantum Hall Effects, (Springer, New York, 1995), 2nd Edition.
- [4] D. Yoshioka and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 47, 394 (1979).