Liquid m arkets and m arket liquids

Collective and single { asset dynamics in nancial markets

Gianaurelio Cuniberti^a and Lorenzo Matassini

Max-Planck-Institut fur Physik kom plexer System e, Nothnitzer Stra e 38, D {01187 D resden

Received: / Revised version:

Abstract. We characterize the collective phenom ena of a liquid market. By interpreting the behavior of a no{arbitrage N asset market in terms of a particle system scenario, (thermo)dynam ical{like properties can be extracted from the asset kinetics. In this scheme the mechanism s of the particle interaction can be widely investigated. We test the verisim illitude of our construction on two{decade stock market daily data (DAX 30) and show the result obtained for the interaction potential among asset pairs.

PACS. 02.50 Sk Multivariate analysis { 89.90 + n 0 ther areas of general interest to physicists

Since the late 80s, with the introduction of electronic trading, huge quantities of nancial data becam e available (or at least on sale) for both investment and research analysis. Q uite unusually outside the natural science panoram a, this novelty opened the way to test the reliability of theories and conjectures about the behavior of nancialm arkets. One of them, a paradigm for nancial mathem atics, is the random character of markets [1], that is unpredictability. It has recently been proved, nevertheless, that a certain degree of correlation is still present on extrem ely short tim e scales [2]. Despite that, the interm ediate scales are dom inated by random behavior with Levy stable statistics of asset returns [3]. The possibility to extract information on the future evolution of a single asset by knowing a big enough ensem ble of its past values m atters indeed institutional traders, who can generally intervene on the market in realtime (with delays smaller than few seconds). Their presence reduces at minimum time correlations and consequently speculation possibilities.

T in e dependence is however only one possible dom ain for surveying similar patterns inside nancial signals. The other dom ain for correlation detection, whose exploration was greatly facilitated by modern computation facilities is the 'spatial' one. In fact, albeit much e orts are spent in studying correlations in the time dynamics of a single asset (see [4] and [5] for a digest of the recent physicist and econom ist approach, respectively), there are many applicative and fundamental reasons for understanding deeply spatial, commonly referred as multivariate, correlations. A nancial market is not simply a juxtaposition of di erent prices which are organised on an independent basis, but rather a complex system of interacting constituents [6]. The latter are then monitored by sampling single prices with respect to an arbitrary currency. Hence the study of correlations among di erent asset time signals is of peculiar importance. By the way, this is also the case in m any problem s involved in the modern risk m anagement theory, where the composition of a certain portfolio strongly depends on the movements of di erent underlying assets. On a more fundamental level, the interesting issue is the comprehension of how price changes can be separated, with a su cient degree of con dence, in single asset{ and collective{ behavior.

Since the M arkow itz's work on the theory of optim alportfolio [7], much e ort has been spent to characterize correlation m atrices of nancial assets [8]. In recent contributions, di erent physics concepts have been adopted to endeavor this type of problem, mainly because the study of correlations represents a paradigm of a wide class of physical problem s for which powerful tools have been developed. A bivariate analysis of the futures on the Germ an and Italian bonds showed that despite the perfect uncorrelation of the single tracks, the crosscorrelation of the two signals was signi cantly non zero: the signals considered described two random , but sim ilar, processes [9]. This behavior em erges quite generally in the stock m arket, w here certain asset clusters in over in a particularly correlated way with respect to remaining titles. Using equal time cross{correlation m atrices and several physics{borrowed tools such as the random matrix theory, these conjectures have been quanti ed [10]. In a recent study, the structure of a N stock market has been investigated as regarding the multivariate structure in a global window period [11]. In this paper, we propose a method to investigate asset correlations by interpreting asset grow th rates as observables of a particle system scenario. This idea is carried out by introducing a form alm ap between the logarithm ic returns and the distances am ong gas particles. The strength

e-m ail: cunibert@mpipks-dresden.mpg.de

of this analogy resides in the possibility to separate collective motion from the single asset dynamics through the investigation of m utual interactions am ong titles.W ielded by the theory of liquids, we can study the therm odynam ics of the system and interprete its tem perature as a measure of spatial volatility, as com pared with the more fam iliar (tem poral) volatility. The 2 { asset interacting potential is then calculated on the isotherm al (isovolatile) market. In the remainder of this paper a time dependent asset{ distance and a moving fram e model are introduces. The im plem entation of this scheme is performed on daily stock m arket data taken among the 30 m ost capitalized titles form ing the Deutscher Aktien indeX (DAX 30) in the period 30 Dec 1987 to 7 M ar 1995 (1800 trading days). To maintain a continuity of quotation, we have selected the m axim alsubset of 23 assets which, in the above m entioned period, rem ained in the DAX 30 basket and did not split. Our discussion and comments conclude the paper.

As a general starting point, we consider a collection of asset, which is a suitable subpart of titles in a stock market (better if one representative for every econom ic sector), a collection of currency prices, or any combination of them. The value of the asset $_{i}$ at time t, is expressed in unity of asset $_{i}$ by m eans of conversion factors P_{ij}(t):

$$_{i}(t) = P_{ij}(t)_{j}(t)$$
: (1)

The indices i and j span all N considered assets form – ing the market. By writing eq. (1) for another couple of indices, a no{arbitrage equation for a liquid market is obtained $P_{ij} = P_{ik}P_{kj}$. Its multiplicative symmetry is reected in a corresponding additive symmetry of the loga-

rithm ic returns

$$d_{ij}(t) = \frac{1}{-log} \log \frac{P_{ij}(t)}{P_{ij}(t)}$$
; (2)

where $_{\rm H}$ is a collection of H time horizons. The rescaling of the log{returns to the considered time horizon is solicited by its interpretation; in the idealized limit of prices with (determ inistic) growth laws, we get P_{ij}(t) / exp (d_{ij}t), so that the quantity de ned in eq. (2) turns out to be the growth rate between asset i and j, independently on the time horizon. The latter can be considered as a long term limit when one refers | for example| to prices of stocks with respect to currencies. In the opposite limit of extrem ely sm all returns (which eventually corresponds to short time lags), d is the rate of the absolute return, d = P = (P t), obtained by logarithm ic expansion.

A sposition (2) points out, the display of the time series P_{ij} by arranging them in the H dimensional variable d_{ij} , gives a naturalem bedding for a dynam ical system oriented analysis [12]. This is not dicult to understand when thinking that the log{return on a certain time horizon is proportional to the average of log{returns on sub{multiples of . Thus the component d can be written as a linear com - bination of delayed components d $^<$. The no{arbitrage symmetry of the log{returns hints for the further identication of d_{ij} as an (oriented) distance vector between asset i and j; in fact (a.) $d_{ii} = 0$, (b.) $d_{ij} = d_{ji}$, (c.) $d_{ij} = d_{ik} + d_{kj}$. It is easy to see that any norm in a H di-



Fig. 1. Time dependence of the correlated volatility , and the temperatures T (shifted as a visual aid) relative to the x { and r{coordinates (a); the corresponding PDFs are in panelb. All the calculations refer to four horizons (H = 4) of 1, 5, 20, and 250 m arket days.

m ensional euclidean space (in the present work we choose the canonical one) induces a well de ned distance¹ kd_{ij}k between asset i and asset j. As an intrinsic character of nancial markets no asset can be regarded a priori as an absolute quantity, that is why we ended up only with mutual distances am ong asset. Nevertheless some truly single asset property can be extracted by the symmetry of the problem and interpreted consequently. The matrix d_{ij} is skew symmetric, ergo diagonalizable; its spectrum is entirely on the imaginary axe [13]. One of its three di erent eigenvalues is zero and the corresponding eigenspace is orthogonal to $1 = (1;1;\ldots;1)^t$ and $x = (x_1;x_2;\ldots;x_N)^t$, where

$$x_{i} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{N}}{\int_{j=1}^{j=1}} d_{ij};$$
 (3)

here the arrow s indicate super{vectors (vectors in a H N space). The two remaining eigenvalues are N corresponding to the eigenvectors I is x = 0, where

$$\frac{1}{N} \bigvee_{\substack{1 \text{ if } j \text{ N}}}^{S} \frac{kd_{ij}k^2}{kd_{ij}k^2} : \qquad (4)$$

In particular let us observe that $x_i = d_{ij}$, to say that

 $^{^1}$ Here the three distance (de ning axiom s are obtained sim – ply by properties a.(c.



Fig. 2. Masses m $_{i}$ as calculated after eq. (5) versus the asset label [14]. C rosses indicate x {fram e calculations with m ean 1:195, circles the r{fram es with m ean 1:184.

we have introduced a frame in which every single asset is assigned to an absolute position: the problem of the behavior of the N assets of the market is now translated to a physical problem of N interacting particles (a liquid) in H dimensions, with coordinates $x_1; x_2; \ldots; x_N$. At time $t_{x_i}(t)$ is the H dimensional position of particle i. Note that, according to its de nition, the distance between two assets is zero when the price of one with respect to the other remains constant. Furtherm ore, it is easy to check that the x's vectors are centered, hence the positions x_i are referred to a coordinate fram e which attributes to the center of mass of our liquid a trivial dynam ics. From the nancial point of view, it states the closure of our system : the N assets are watched as complementary, with zero overall return. This does not mean that the applicability of the present construction is restricted to those market where this property is nearly ful lled (as an example in the foreign exchange). In stock markets, which experience escape and retention events that is positive and negative return periods, the x are autom atically selected within a neutral fram e which keeps track of the particle cloud. Of course nothing prevents from starting the analysis of an extended m arket with a huge num ber of constituent assets. Some of them would follow similar dynamics by evolving in a closer cluster with respect to others. This could help in order to reduce N to a lower number without losing the basic features of the liquid behavior [15].

C om ing back to the m ap construction it is easy to show that as a consequence of the centered character of the x's coordinates, is exactly their standard deviation. Its H th power is a m easure of the volum e of our system . The nancial counterpart of it is what we call correlated volatility, so to stress that it is a quantity m erely connected to the spatial interactions of the particles at a certain time. As the usual volatility takes into account the tem poral variability of an analyzed xed asset, we are here referring to a m easure of a spatial variability of a group of interacting



F ig. 3. (a) P lot of the pair potential u (r) for the whole data set over four horizons (H = 4) of 1, 5, 20, and 250 m arket days, and (b) the time distribution of the inter{asset distances (a better resolution gure is available upon request).

assets at a xed time. Moreover, even after the compensation of the split discontinuities, the correlated volatility show s clusterization around bubble and crash periods [15]. W e take now advantage of the structure of the eigenvectors of the distance matrix and rescale the x coordinates to volum e renorm alized ones $r_i \quad x_i \texttt{=}$, their di erence is accordingly $r_i = d_{ij} = 0$, so that the two non trivial eigenvectors are indeed 1 in . The r{fram e, being the solution of the eigenvalue problem for the distance matrix, is a volum e preserving fram e. O noe the volum e of the system is stabilized, one may wonder which is the dependence of the liquid tem perature on time. Thus, by analyzing the em pirical behavior of the ensambled averaged square (nite difference) velocities $v_i(t) = (r_i(t) - r_i(t))$ (1) = 1, we found that the r{system is therm ostated at a x tem perature v_i^2 (t) = H ; the correlated volatility is therefore T = a measure of the temperature of our system . Fig. 1 shows this fact: in panel (b), it is plotted the time dependence of the correlated volatility and of the tem peratures T [x] and T [r] calculated by averaging the square velocities in the x{ and r{fram e, respectively. In order to contrast the results, the time averages of and T [x] are rescaled to T (the time average of T [r]). The scale of T is in fact xed by the underlying assumption of an unitary Boltzm ann's constant. To check possible ergodicity properties of the system we have also analyzed the time averaged square velocities of the single assets and extracted from

them mass terms

$$m_{i} = \frac{H T}{h w_{i}^{2} (t) i_{r}} :$$
(5)

Fig.2 shows that the m asses are only slightly a ected by the reference fram e used to calculate them . This indicates that they are an intrinsic property of the asset regardless of the kinetics details. To prove this statem ent, we have plotted, in Fig.1, the correction to the tem perature due to the asset m asses $T_m \ [r] = \ m_i v_i^2$ (t) $_i$ =H . In order to investigate the nature of the interaction of the particle system under study, we have calculated the two point correlation function [16]

$$g(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i < j}^{X} h(\mathbf{r} k \mathbf{r}_{i}(t) \mathbf{r}_{j}(t) k) \mathbf{i}_{t};$$

and the related pair potential u (r) / logg(r).In Fig.3., the potentialu (r) is show n. The great distance tailofu (r) is linear (correlation coe cient= 0:9994, for a regression in the region 2 < r < 4 over 446 points giving the line $u = ar + b_r w \pm h a = 0.689 0.001 and b = 1.101 0.004)$ indicating the strong long range attraction of the market liquid. On the other hand at sm all distances two di erent behaviors em erge. By decreasing the asset {asset distance an equilibrium point is reached. At smaller distances a barrier is presented, follow ed by a region corresponding to less intense repulsive forces. W e interprete it as a signature of the inhom ogeneity of the system, which allow at small distances the form ation of privileged pairs (clusters). As a consequence, we expect that in a wider market (here we consider the quite diversi ed but sm allpoolof the DAX 30 assets) this tendency could even be more pronounced.

To conclude, we have introduced an interpretation scheme e for the returns of a N asset m arket. Here, the validity of a no{arbitrage condition is guaranteed by the assumed liquid character of the m arket. By in plementing the embedding, naturally prompted by the structure of the returns, we have been able to m ap the nancial signals in positions of particles of an interacting gas (a liquid). Therefore by the only means of the geometrical construction, we have given purport to the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the distance matrix diagonalization problem as the correlated volatility and therm ostated coordinates respectively. O ne of the strength points of this method is its easy generalizability to the case of great N, albeit here we have restricted our analysis to a relative sm all asset market.

On the other hand a word of caution is needed in a great N market. The results presented here share the plain assum ptions of isotropy and hom ogeneity of the market liquid. Indeed they should become weaker for very large and di erentiated markets. There, the pair potential introduced here is supposed to maintain the same great{ distance properties (linearity).At the low {distances (where clustering emerges), in analogy to what is done in the study of ionic liquids [17], a generalized pair potential could be introduced in order to include both anisotropy, cluster form ation, and specie diversi cation: these issues are under investigation and willbe published elsew here [15].

B esides, this approach is straightforwardly employable for time dependent clustering. A procedure similar to the one adopted to organize static distances between assets in hierarchy trees, given in Ref. [11], could be generalized to the time dependent distance matrix (2).

From the nancial perspective, the construction presented in this paper is following a sort of sop's the fox and the grapes strategy. It is easy to despise what one cannot get and in quantitative nance the scarce goods are the rare events. Since there is no methodology to deal with m isprediction given by the insu ciency of statistics, we try to wash it out from the dynamics by exploiting the symmetries of the problem . A fter all, as we have shown, the calm (non-bubble, non-crash) side of nancialmarkets has anyhow a lot to say.

The data for the empirical analysis were kindly provided by Deutsche Borse AG.We would like to acknowledge fruitful discussions with A.Amici, F.Lillo, R.M antegna, E.Scalas, and U.Tartaglino.

References

- 1. P. A. Samuelson, Industrial M anagement Review 6, 41 (1965).
- 2. A.W. Lo, E conom etrica 59, 1279 (1991).
- 3. B.B.M andelbrot, The Journal of Business 36, 394 (1963).
 E.F.Fam a, The Journal of Business 38, 34 (1965).
- 4. R. N. Mantegna and H. E. Stanley, An Introduction to Econophysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- 5. W . A. Brock, W . D. Dechert, B. D. LeBaron, and J. A. Scheinkman, Econometric Reviews 15, 197 (1996).
- 6. E. F. Fam a, Journal of Financial Econom ics 49, 283 (1998).
- 7. H.Markowitz, Portfolio Selection: E cient D iversi cation of Investments (W iley, 1959).
- 8. E.J. Elton and M.J. Gruber, Modern Portfolio Theory and Investment Analysis, 5th ed. (Wiley, 1995).
- 9. G. Cuniberti, M. Raberto, and E. Scalas, Physica A 269, 90 (1999).
- 10. L. Labux, P. Cizeau, J.-P. Bouchaud, and M. Potters, PhysicalReview Letters 83, 1467 (1999).V.Plerou et al, PhysicalReview Letters 83, 1471 (1999). S.D rozdz, F. G rum mer, F.Ruf, and J. Speth, cond-mat/9911168.
- 11. R.N.M antegna, The European Physical Journal B 11, 193 (1999).
- T. D. Sauer, J. A. Yorke, and M. Casdagli, Journal of Statistical Physics 65, 579 (1991).
- F.R.G antm acher, The Theory of Matrices (Chelsea Publ., 1990).
- 14. http://www.deutscheboerse.de
- 15. L.M atassini and G.Cuniberti, in preparation.
- 16. G.A. Martynov, Fundam ental Theory of Liquids: M ethod of D istribution Functions (A dam H ilge, 1992).
- 17. I. R. M acD onald, in Liquids, Freezing and G lass Transition, Vol. LX III of Les Houches E cole d'E te de Physique Theorique, edited by J. P. Hansen, D. Levesque, and J. Zinn-Justin (Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam, 1991), pp.1{42.